

Safety and Effectiveness of Switching to Abacavir/Lamivudine Plus Rilpivirine for Maintenance Therapy in Virologically Suppressed HIV-1 Patients in Singapore (SEALS)

Alvin ZC Lim

Ng Teng Fong General Hospital, Singapore

Grace SR Hoo (✉ Grace_sr_hoo@ttsh.com.sg)

National Centre of Infectious Diseases and Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore

Junhao Ang

Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore

Christine BC Teng

National University of Singapore, Singapore

Li Wei Ang

National Centre for Infectious Diseases, Singapore

Cheng Chuan Lee

National Centre of Infectious Diseases and Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore and National University of Singapore

Yee Sin Leo

National Centre of Infectious Diseases and Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore and National University of Singapore

Hwa Lin Law

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Oon-Tek Ng

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Chen Seong Wong

National Centre of Infectious Diseases and Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore and National University of Singapore

Research

Keywords: maintenance, switch therapy, virologically suppressed, abacavir, rilpivirine, HIV

Posted Date: November 4th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-100235/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

The efficacy and tolerability of an antiretroviral regimen are important considerations for selection of HIV-1 infection maintenance therapy. Abacavir/lamivudine plus rilpivirine (ABC/3TC+RPV) has been shown in international studies to be effective and well-tolerated in virologically suppressed patients. This study evaluated the effectiveness and safety of switching to ABC/3TC+RPV as maintenance therapy in virologically suppressed HIV-1 infected patients in Singapore.

Methods

In this retrospective, single-centre study, we included patients who were prescribed ABC/3TC+RPV, had HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/ml immediately pre-switch, and had no documented history of resistance mutations or virologic failure to any of the components. Patients were followed up for 48+12 weeks. The primary outcome was the proportion of patients who maintained virologic suppression of HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/ml at the end of follow-up period based on on-treatment analysis. The secondary outcomes were the resistance profiles associated with virologic failure, changes in immunologic and metabolic parameters, and the safety profile of ABC/3TC+RPV.

Results

A total of 222 patients were included in the study. The primary outcome was achieved in 197 patients [88.8%, 95% confidence interval: 83.7%-92.4%]. There were 21 patients (9.5%) who discontinued treatment for non-virologic reasons. The remaining 4 patients experienced virologic failure, of whom, 3 of these patients had developed emergent antiretroviral resistance and had HIV-1 RNA >500 copies/ml at the end of the 48+12 weeks follow-up period. The remaining patient experienced sustained low level viremia and subsequently achieved viral suppression without undergoing resistance testing. A total of 49 adverse events were observed in 31 out of 222 patients (14.0%), which led to 13 patients discontinuing therapy. Neuropsychiatric adverse events were most commonly observed (57.1%). A statistically significant increase in CD4 was observed ($p < 0.01$), with a median absolute change of 31 cells/uL (interquartile range: -31.50 to 140.75). No significant changes in lipid profiles were detected.

Conclusion

ABC/3TC+RPV is a safe and effective switch option for maintenance therapy in virologically suppressed HIV-1 patients with in Singapore.

Background

Modern combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimens have generally demonstrated potency and efficacy in achieving virologic suppression.¹ Owing to the chronic nature of HIV infection, maintenance therapy is required even after initial virologic suppression.¹ In addition to efficacy, the cost-effectiveness,

convenience and long-term tolerability of the ART are important considerations in selecting a suitable maintenance therapy.¹

The current standard for HIV treatment is combination ART, which comprise of two nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI) as backbone, paired with a third agent from another class, including integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTI), non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI), or protease inhibitors (PI).¹ Tenofovir and emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) are recommended as a first-line NRTI backbone for their effectiveness, but the combination of abacavir and lamivudine (ABC/3TC) may be used as maintenance therapy for its cost-effectiveness and better safety profile.¹⁻⁴ Compared to tenofovir-based regimens, ABC/3TC offer the advantage of avoiding potential renal and bone toxicity and is suitable for use in individuals with renal insufficiency and osteoporosis.¹⁻⁴ In Singapore, the price of ABC/3TC is roughly half that of TDF and 3TC (as separate components), and one-fifth the cost of a TDF/FTC fixed dose combination tablet. Rilpivirine (RPV) is a second-generation NNRTI approved for use with NRTIs in treatment-naïve HIV-1 infected patients.⁵⁻⁹ Its use is recommended to be limited to patients with pre-treatment CD4 counts exceeding 200 cells/uL and pre-treatment viral loads of less than 100,000 copies/ml.¹ RPV has been shown to have fewer neuropsychiatric adverse effects (AEs) when compared with efavirenz, a more favourable metabolic profile compared with PI, and better cost-effectiveness due to its relatively lower cost.⁵⁻⁹ In Singapore, the cost of RPV is 25% compared to that of a PI or INSTI.

The SIMRIKI retrospective study has demonstrated the long-term effectiveness and safety of ABC/3TC + RPV as a switch therapy with a follow-up period of up to 48 weeks.¹⁰ The results of the SIMRIKI study are corroborated by several similar Spanish studies.¹¹⁻¹³ A local study has also shown the efficacy and safety of this regimen in treatment naïve HIV-1 infected adults,¹⁴ but to our knowledge, there have not been any studies on the effectiveness and safety of ABC/3TC + RPV as a switch regimen in Singapore.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of switching to ABC/3TC + RPV as maintenance therapy for virologically suppressed HIV-1 infected patients in Singapore.

Methods

This study was a retrospective, single-centre evaluation conducted at National Centre for Infectious Diseases (NCID), which provides HIV care for the majority of HIV-infected individuals in Singapore. The study was conducted between June 2014 and September 2018. Approval from Domain Specific Review Board of the National Healthcare Group (Reference Number: 2012/00438-SRF0006) was obtained prior to study commencement.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) documented HIV-1 infection, ii) age \geq 18 years, iii) use of combination of ABC/3TC (600/300 mg fixed dose combination once daily) plus RPV (25 mg once daily) as maintenance therapy between June 2014 and September 2018 inclusive, iv) virologically suppressed (defined as having at least 1 reading of HIV-1 RNA $<$ 50 copies/ml) immediately before switching to ABC/3TC + RPV, and v) no documented history of resistance mutations or virologic failure to ABC, 3TC,

FTC or RPV. Exclusion criteria were CD4 count < 200 cells/uL and pregnancy. As adherence could not be determined for patients who either obtained external supply of ART with no pharmacy dispensed records and/or with erratic clinic attendance, patients with proportion of days covered (PDC) for adherence of < 95% were also excluded. PDC was calculated by the following formula: [Number of days with medication supply in study period / number of days in the study period] x 100, capped at 100%. PDC is a known, validated and stringent measure of patient adherence.^{15,16} The cut-off for PDC was chosen to be 95% as it is a widely used standard for optimal ART.¹⁷

Eligible patients were identified from the NCID HIV Clinical Database, a standardised computerised database containing records of demographic information, HIV transmission route, and ART history. Data collected from electronic medical records included AEs attributed to ART, reasons for ART switch, and other laboratory readings which were not available on the Clinical Database. Data were anonymised upon extraction. Intervals for follow-up were determined by individual attending physicians per in-house clinical care guidelines.

The primary outcome measured was the proportion of patients who maintained virologic suppression on ABC/3TC + RPV after 48 ± 12 weeks of follow-up and had no therapy discontinuation due to non-virologic reasons such as adverse drug reactions. Patients were considered to have maintained virologic suppression if they had no virologic failure. Virologic failure was defined as: i) 2 consecutive HIV-1 RNA readings of > 50 copies/ml, ii) any HIV-1 RNA reading of > 50 copies/ml that resulted in discontinuation of ABC/3TC + RPV, or iii) any HIV-1 RNA reading of > 500 copies/ml. This definition for virological failure is similar to the SIMRIKI study,¹⁰ but also included any HIV-1 RNA reading of > 500 copies/ml to detect any potential emergent antiretroviral resistance. Patients who had a one-off HIV-1 RNA reading between 51 copies/ml and < 199 copies/ml that was followed by a return to virologic suppression were considered virologic blips.¹ For all patients, HIV-1 RNA was measured at least twice throughout the follow-up period.

The secondary outcomes were the resistance profiles associated with virologic failure, changes in immunologic and metabolic parameters, and the AE profile of ABC/3TC + RPV. For the immunologic and metabolic parameters, only patients who had both baseline and follow-up values were analyzed for secondary outcomes.

The 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated based on the Newcombe-Wilson hybrid score.¹⁸ We checked whether the continuous variables were normally distributed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (for $n \geq 50$) and Shapiro-Wilk test (for $n < 50$). We then used the paired samples t-test to analyze variables with normal distribution, and the Wilcoxon Signed Rank test to analyze variables with non-normal distribution. All statistical tests were two-sided, and p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was carried out using the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 24.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

Results

A total of 346 patients were screened. We included 222 patients for analysis. The inclusion process of the study was detailed in Fig. 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients included in the study were displayed in Table 1.

Table 1
Baseline characteristics of study population

Baseline Characteristic		
Age (years), median (IQR)		47 (35–57)
Male, n (%)		205 (92.3)
Race, n (%)		
Chinese		176 (79.3)
Malay		32 (14.4)
Indian		12 (5.4)
Others		2 (0.9)
HIV Risk Group, n (%)		
Heterosexual Contact Only		96 (43.2)
Homosexual Contact Only		93 (41.9)
Others		28 (12.6)
Unknown		5 (2.3)
Previous ART, n (%)		
Backbone	Tenofovir/Lamivudine or Tenofovir/Emtricitabine	135 (60.8)
	Abacavir/Lamivudine	60 (27.0)
	Zidovudine/Lamivudine	23 (10.3)
	Stavudine/Lamivudine	4 (1.9)
Third Agent	Efavirenz	94 (42.3)
	Rilpivirine	52 (23.4)
	Atazanavir/ritonavir	30 (13.5)
	Nevirapine	20 (9.0)
	Raltegravir	15 (6.8)
	Darunavir/ritonavir	5 (2.3)
	Dolutegravir	4 (1.8)
	Lopinavir/ritonavir	2 (0.9)

IQR, interquartile range

Baseline Characteristic	
Reasons for switching to ABC/3TC + RPV, n (%)	
Intolerance	117 (52.7)
Cost reduction	66 (29.7)
Simplification of regimen	52 (23.4)
Drug-drug interaction	5 (2.3)
Others	3 (1.4)
Unknown	31 (14.0)
Comorbidities, n (%)	
Myocardial infarction	4 (1.8)
Ischemic heart disease	5 (2.3)
Other cardiovascular pathologies	7 (3.2)
Hypertension	39 (17.6)
Diabetes mellitus	18 (8.1)
Dyslipidemia	67 (30.2)
Kidney disease	15 (6.8)
Hepatitis B	7 (3.2)
Hepatitis C	16 (7.2)
No. of patients with 5 or more medications (polypharmacy), n (%)	63 (28.4)
Current smokers, n (%)	41 (18.5)
IQR, interquartile range	

The median age was 47 years (interquartile range [IQR]:35–57). Majority of the patients were male (92.3%). The composition of pre-switch NRTI backbone (in combination with either 3TC or FTC) was as follows: TDF (60.8%), ABC (27.0%) zidovudine (10.3%), and stavudine (1.9%). The most common pre-switch third agents were efavirenz (42.3%) among the NNRTI, atazanavir/ritonavir (13.5%) among the PI, and raltegravir (6.8%) among the INSTI. Fifty-two (23.4%) patients already had RPV as their third agent prior to switch. The most common pre-switch combination was TDF + 3TC + RPV, which accounted for 45/222 (20.3%) of all pre-switch regimens. Intolerance (52.7%), cost (29.7%), and simplification of regimen (23.4%) were the most common reasons cited for switching regimen. Thirty-one patients (14.0%) had no documented reason for switch.

The primary outcome was achieved in 197 out of 222 patients [88.8%, 95% confidence interval (CI): 83.7%-92.4%]. Four patients (1.8%) had virologic failure (Supplementary Table 1), while 21 patients (9.5%) discontinued treatment for non-virologic reasons before 48 ± 12 weeks (Supplementary Table 2). Among those who achieved the primary outcome, 7 patients had viral blips during the 48 ± 12 weeks follow-up period.

Of the 4 patients who experienced virologic failure, 3 patients had HIV-1 RNA > 500 copies/ml at the end of the study period and developed emergent antiretroviral resistance. The first patient experienced virologic failure on the 52th week of switching regimen. Resistance testing showed M184MIV, V108VI, E138EK, K238KN mutations. This patient reported no missed doses, but administered ART at irregular timings with inconsistent caloric intake. The second patient failed on the 45th week of ABC/3TC + RPV and had M184I, E138K, H221HY mutations. He estimated missing doses once a week, and having erratic meal consumption. The third patient experienced virologic failure within 17 weeks of switching regimen, with emerging resistance mutations consisting of L74LV, M184I, E138EG, Y181YCF, M230L. This patient claimed full adherence to his ART, including administration with meals. The last patient had 3 consecutive readings of low-level viremia (HIV-1 RNA > 50 copies/ml but < 200 copies/ml). This patient later achieved virologic suppression without the need to change regimen after the study period.

Among the 21 patients who discontinued treatment for non-virologic reasons, 13/21 (61.9%) were due to AEs attributed to ABC/3TC + RPV. The details of these AEs that led to discontinuation are reported in Supplementary Table 2. Of the remaining 8/21 (38.1%) patients that discontinued treatment for non-virologic reasons, half were to avoid drug-drug interactions with other concomitant medications, and one-quarter were due to personal financial issues. A total of 49 AEs were observed in 31 out of 222 patients (14.0%) during the follow-up period (Table 2). All the AEs resolved spontaneously or upon therapy discontinuation. The most common type of AE was neuro-psychiatric (i.e. forgetfulness, insomnia, mood changes, vivid dreams), comprising 28/49 (57.1%) of all AEs reported.

Table 2
Summary of adverse events

Summary of adverse effects	n
Total number of adverse effects	49
Patients with ≥ 1 adverse effects	31
Patients who discontinued therapy due to adverse effects	13
Types of adverse effects	n
Neuro-psychiatric (i.e. forgetfulness, insomnia, mood changes, vivid dreams)	28
Digestive (i.e. abdominal pain, stomach-ache)	11
Dermatological (i.e. rash)	4
Others (e.g. fatigue, lipodystrophy, gynecomastia)	6

Data obtained on changes in the selected laboratory values over the study duration are summarized in Table 3. A statistically significant increase in CD4 was observed ($p < 0.01$), with a median absolute change of 31 cells/uL (IQR: -31.50 to + 140.75). There were no significant changes in fasting blood glucose and HbA1c after switching regimen, based on the small number of patients analysed (11 patients for fasting blood glucose and 8 patients for HbA1c). There was a statistically significant change observed for eGFR ($p < 0.01$), with a median absolute change of -4.24 ml/min/1.73 m² (IQR: -14.06 to + 5.27), while no significant change was detected for eCrCl ($p = 0.463$), with a median absolute change of -0.79 ml/min (IQR: -8.81 to + 7.97). No significant changes in lipid profiles were detected. A statistically significant decrease of -6 U/L (IQR: -11 to 0) was seen for ALT.

Table 3
Laboratory values at baseline and at end of follow-up period

Laboratory parameter		Median (IQR)	p-value
Absolute CD4 Count (n = 38)	Baseline (cells/uL)	323 (220 to 519)	
	Follow up (cells/uL)	397 (252 to 603)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	49 (44 to 52)	
	Change (cells/uL)	31 (-32 to + 141)	< 0.01 ^b
Glucose Monitoring			
Fasting blood glucose (n = 11)	Baseline (mmol/L)	5.1 (4.9 to 5.7)	
	Follow Up (mmol/L)	5.2 (4.7 to 5.5)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	50 (46 to 52)	
	Change (mmol/L)	0.3 (-0.5 to + 0.4)	0.654 ^b
HbA1c (n = 8)	Baseline (%)	5.75 (5.55 to 6.5)	
	Follow Up (%)	5.85 (5.5 to 6.78)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	50 (40 to 58)	
	Absolute Change (%)	0.05 (-0.08 to + 0.2)	0.288 ^a
Renal			
eGFR (n = 139)	Baseline (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	90 (78 to 104)	
	Follow Up (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	87 (76 to 99)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	49 (40 to 54)	
	Change (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	-4 (-14 to 5)	< 0.01 ^b
eCrCl (n = 139)	Baseline (ml/min)	91 (76 to 106)	

Laboratory parameter		Median (IQR)	p-value
	Follow Up (ml/min)	90 (76 to 106)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	49 (40 to 54)	
	Change (ml/min)	-1 (-9 to + 8)	0.423 ^a
Lipids			
TC (n = 38)	Baseline (mmol/L)	5.1 (4.6 to 6.0)	
	Follow Up (mmol/L)	5.2 (4.4 to 5.8)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	51 (45 to 54)	
	Change (mmol/L)	0.1 (-1.1 to + 0.8)	0.778 ^b
HDL-C (n = 38)	Baseline (mmol/L)	1.0 (0.9 to 1.4)	
	Follow Up (mmol/L)	1.2 (0.9 to 1.3)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	50 (45 to 54)	
	Change (mmol/L)	0 (-0.2 to + 0.2)	0.762 ^b
dC LDL-C (n = 38)	Baseline (mmol/L)	2.9 (2.6 to 3.6)	
	Follow Up (mmol/L)	2.8 (2.4 to 3.5)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	51 (45 to 54)	
	Change (mmol/L)	-0.1 (-0.5 to + 0.5)	0.817 ^b
LDL-C (n = 35)	Baseline (mmol/L)	3.1 (2.6 to 3.7)	
	Follow Up (mmol/L)	3.1 (2.7 to 3.7)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	51 (45 to 54)	
	Change (mmol/L)	0.1 (-0.6 to + 0.7)	0.972 ^b
Liver			

Laboratory parameter		Median (IQR)	p-value
ALT (n = 117)	Baseline (U/L)	28 (21 to 44.5)	
	Follow Up (U/L)	22 (16 to 30)	
	Follow up Duration (Weeks)	48 (41 to 52)	
	Change (U/L)	-6 (-11 to + 0)	< 0.001 ^a
^a Wilcoxon signed rank test ^b Paired samples t-test eGFR – Estimated glomerular filtration rate eCrCl – Estimated creatinine clearance TC – Total cholesterol HDL-C – High density lipoprotein cholesterol dC LDL-C – Low density lipoprotein cholesterol estimated with the de Cordova Eq. ¹⁹ LDL-C – Low density lipoprotein cholesterol ALT – Alanine transaminase			

Discussion

Our results showed that a high proportion of patients (88.8%) who switched to ABC/3TC + RPV had maintained virologic suppression. In several studies in Spain, 86–88% of HIV patients maintained virologic suppression and avoided therapy discontinuation at 48 weeks or 12 months.^{11–13} The SIMRIKI study also saw 91.2% of their study population achieving the same outcome at 48 weeks.¹⁰ A local study found that 96% of treatment naïve HIV-1 infected patients achieved virologic suppression at the end of 48 weeks of treatment with ABC/3TC + RPV,¹⁴ which was comparable to that of our study in which 98.0% (197/201) of patients who completed follow-up without therapy discontinuation remained virologically suppressed. The findings of our study reinforce existing primary literature that ABC/3TC + RPV can achieve effective, sustained virologic suppression. In the minority cases that fail ABC/3TC + RPV, patients still have the option of switching to a salvage regimen which includes a PI or INSTI or both.

AEs were observed amongst 31/222 patients (14.0%) and resolved either spontaneously or upon discontinuation of the medication. These results were comparable to the favourable results of SIMRIKI study,¹⁰ as well as the local study on treatment-naïve HIV-infected patients,¹⁴ which reported only 15.6% and 13.5% of patients with observed AEs respectively. The AE profile seen in our study was also very

similar to the local study which saw mostly neuropsychiatric AEs at a rate of 46.4% of all observed AEs compared to our study which saw 57.1% of observed AEs being neuropsychiatric.¹⁴ These findings further support the well-established safety and tolerability of ABC/3TC + RPV.

Our patients had a significant improvement of absolute CD4 count at the end of the 48 ± 12 weeks follow-up. The increase in the CD4 count can possibly be attributed to maintained virologic suppression, and was also seen in other switch studies with increases ranging from + 48 to + 262 cells/uL.¹¹⁻¹³

We observed a statistically significant decrease in eGFR but not in eCrCl. The median absolute changes in eGFR and eCrCl were deemed to be of little or no clinical relevance,^{20,21} as a median change of -4 ml/min/1.73 m² in eGFR or -1 ml/min in eCrCl is unlikely to affect the staging of chronic kidney disease or acute kidney injury. We also observed a statistically significant decrease in ALT, but the magnitude of the change is clinically insignificant,²² as the median change of -6 U/L is insufficient to warrant any clinical action. Significant changes in eCrCl, eGFR and ALTs were rarely noted in other studies on ABC/3TC + RPV, and it is likely that the regimen has no significant effect on these laboratory parameters.¹¹⁻¹⁴

While no statistically significant changes were detected for lipids, fasting blood glucose and HbA1c, the results warrant careful interpretation due to the small number of patients with both baseline and follow-up values. Remarkably, the SIMRIKI study and the studies by Curran et al and Palacios et al all noted significant decreases in total cholesterol and LDL-C, as well as increases in HDL-C.¹⁰⁻¹² However, the local study by Ho et al did not lend evidence to any significant effect of the regimen on lipids,¹⁴ and there are no studies so far that we know of that have documented significant changes in fasting blood glucose and HbA1c.

Our study has several limitations. The absence of a control group prevented us from comparing the effectiveness and safety in patients who would have continued with the prior regimen. As we excluded patients who were < 95% adherent by PDC, our findings may not be generalizable to patients with suboptimal adherence or who are compliant to ART despite irregular clinic attendance or who purchase external supplies of ART. In practice, it will be crucial to take into account the relatively low genetic barrier to resistance and the caloric requirements of RPV when selecting this regimen. The frequency of adverse events may also have been under-reported, as only adverse events that were reported and explicitly attributed to the regimen in the patients' clinical documentation were included in the study.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study shows that ABC/3TC + RPV is an effective and safe switch option for maintenance therapy in virologically suppressed HIV-1 patients in Singapore.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

Ethics approval for use of the clinical data was obtained from the Singapore National Healthcare Group Domain Specific Review Board (Reference Number: 2012/00438-SRF0006).

Consent for publication:

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials:

The dataset generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to the Personal Data Protection Act in Singapore and the sensitivity of the diagnosis, but reversibly anonymised dataset is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding:

None to declare.

Authors' contributions:

LAZ and AJH collected the data. LAZ performed the analysis. LAZ and HG wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

We thank the patients and staff in the NCID Clinical HIV Programme who made this possible.

References

1. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents with HIV. Department of Health and Human Services. Available at <http://www.aidsinfo.nih.gov/ContentFiles/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf>. [Accessed 21 May 2020]
2. Swartz J, Vandekerckhove L, Ammerloon H, et al. Efficacy of tenofovir and efavirenz in combination with lamivudine or emtricitabine in antiretroviral-naïve patients in Europe. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 2015;70(6):1850-1857. doi:10.1093/jac/dkv033
3. Colombo G. Cost-effectiveness analysis of initial HIV treatment under Italian guidelines. *ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research*. 2011:197. doi:10.2147/ceor.s24130
4. Eccleston K, Bambumba A, Babu C, et al. Efficacy and safety of tenofovir/emtricitabine compared to abacavir/lamivudine in HIV-1 infected patients in clinical setting. The TEAL study. *Journal of the*

- International AIDS Society. 2008;11(Suppl 1). doi:10.1186/1758-2652-11-s1-p79
5. Molina J, Cahn P, Grinsztejn B, et al. Rilpivirine versus efavirenz with tenofovir and emtricitabine in treatment-naive adults infected with HIV-1 (ECHO): a phase 3 randomised double-blind active-controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2011;378(9787):238-246. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(11)60936-7
 6. Cohen C, Andrade-Villanueva J, Clotet B, et al. Rilpivirine versus efavirenz with two background nucleoside or nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors in treatment-naive adults infected with HIV-1 (THRIVE): a phase 3, randomised, non-inferiority trial. *The Lancet*. 2011;378(9787):229-237. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(11)60983-5
 7. Molina J, Clumeck N, Orkin C, et al. Week 96 analysis of rilpivirine or efavirenz in HIV-1-infected patients with baseline viral load \leq 100 000 copies/mL in the pooled ECHO and THRIVE phase 3, randomized, double-blind trials. *HIV Med*. 2013;15(1):57-62. doi:10.1111/hiv.12071
 8. Gazon S, Resche-Rigon M, Gatey C, et al. Efficacy and safety of a switch to rilpivirine-based regimens in treatment-experienced HIV-1-infected patients: a cohort study. *Antivir Ther (Lond)*. 2015;21(4):329-336. doi:10.3851/imp3010
 9. Luis Casado J, Bañón S. Recent Advances in Rilpivirine: New Data and Promising Treatment Option. *AIDS Rev*. 2014;16(3):172-18
 10. Troya J, Ryan P, Ribera E, et al. Abacavir/Lamivudine plus Rilpivirine Is an Effective and Safe Strategy for HIV-1 Suppressed Patients: 48 Week Results of the SIMRIKI Retrospective Study. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(10):e0164455. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164455
 11. Palacios R, Pérez-Hernández I, Martínez M, et al. Efficacy and safety of switching to abacavir/lamivudine (ABC/3TC) plus rilpivirine (RPV) in virologically suppressed HIV-infected patients on HAART. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*. 2016;35(5):815-819. doi:10.1007/s10096-016-2602-3
 12. Curran A, Rojas J, Cabello A, et al. Effectiveness and safety of an abacavir/lamivudine+rilpivirine regimen for the treatment of HIV-1 infection in naive patients. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 2016;71(12):3510-3514. doi:10.1093/jac/dkw347
 13. Galizzi N, Galli L, Poli A, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of rilpivirine plus abacavir and lamivudine in HIV-1 infected patients with undetectable viral load. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(2). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0191300.
 14. Ho S, Wong JG, Ng OT, et al. *AIDS Res Ther*. 2020 May 21;17(1):23. doi: 10.1186/s12981-020-00272-5. PMID: 32438914
 15. Bezabhe WM, Chalmers L, Bereznicki LR, Peterson GM. Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy and Virologic Failure. *Medicine*. 2016;95(15). doi:10.1097/md.0000000000003361
 16. Karve S, Cleves MA, Helm M, et al. Prospective Validation of Eight Different Adherence Measures for Use with Administrative Claims Data among Patients with Schizophrenia. *Value in Health*. 2009;12(6):989-995. doi:10.1111/j.1524-4733.2009.00543.x
 17. Lima VD, Harrigan R, Murray M, et al. Differential impact of adherence on long-term treatment response among naive HIV-infected individuals. *AIDS*. 2008;22(17):2371-2380.

doi:10.1097/qad.0b013e328315cdd3

18. Newcombe RG. Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: comparison of seven methods. *Stat Med*. 1998;17(8):857-872. doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-0258(19980430)17:8<857::aid-sim777>3.0.co;2-e
19. Cordova CMMD, Cordova MMD. A new accurate, simple formula for LDL-cholesterol estimation based on directly measured blood lipids from a large cohort. *Annals of Clinical Biochemistry*. 2012;50(1):13-19. doi:10.1258/acb.2012.011259.
20. KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. https://kdigo.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/KDIGO_2012_CKD_GL.pdf. Published January 2013.
21. KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline for Acute Kidney Injury. <https://kdigo.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/KDIGO-2012-AKI-Guideline-English.pdf>. Published March 2012.
22. Giannini EG. Liver enzyme alteration: a guide for clinicians. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2005;172(3):367-379. doi:10.1503/cmaj.1040752

Figures




Figure 1

Patient Inclusion Flowchart

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryTables.docx](#)