Heart Rate Recovery in Adult Individuals with Asthma

Elisabetta Zampogna (✉ elisabetta.zampogna@icsmaugeri.it)

Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri SpA IRCCS Tradate <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0927-1694>

Nicolino Ambrosino

Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri SpA IRCCS Montescano

Federico Mattia Oliva

University of Insubria Faculty of Medicine and Surgery

Giovanni Sotgiu

University of Sassari

Laura Saderi

University of Sassari

Gioele Cremonese

Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri SpA IRCCS Tradate

Giorgio Bellelli

Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri, Tradate

Antonio Spanevello

Istituti Clinici Maugeri, Tradate

Fabio Angeli

Istituti Clinici Maugeri, Tradate

Dina Visca

Istituti Clinici Maugeri, Tradate

Research

Keywords: Exercise, Autonomic nervous system, Heart Rate Variability, COPD, Pulmonary rehabilitation

Posted Date: November 1st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1024355/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background. Slow heart rate recovery (HRR) after exercise is predictor of overall mortality in individuals with and without cardiovascular or respiratory disorders. No data on adults with asthma are available.

Aim. To evaluate the prevalence of slow HRR in these individuals as compared with those with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Methods. Retrospective analysis of baseline characteristics and physiological response to the six-minute walking distance test of stable individuals with asthma or COPD. Slow HRR was defined as $HR_{peak} - HR$ at 1 minute after end exercise < 12 bpm.

Results. Individuals with asthma walked significantly longer (median (IQR): 455 (385-512) vs 427 (345-485) meters; $p = 0.005$) with a lower prevalence of slow HRR (30.3% vs 49.0%, respectively: $p < 0.001$) than those with COPD. Individuals with asthma and slow HRR were older and walked less than those with normal HRR, without any difference in airway obstruction or in disease severity. Multivariate analysis showed that only the difference $HR_{peak} -$ baseline HR (ΔHR), was predictor of slow HRR in both groups.

Conclusion. More than 30% of adult individuals with asthma may show slow HRR. Only exercise ΔHR but no baseline characteristic seems predict the occurrence of slow HRR.

Introduction

The increase in heart rate (HR) with exercise is due in part to a reduction in vagal tone and the recovery of HR after exercise (HRR) is a function of vagal reactivation [1, 2]. Slow HRR has been defined as the reduction in HR one minute after the end of exercise from the rate at peak (HR_{peak}) less than 12 or 14 bpm [3–5].

A slow HRR is a predictor of overall mortality in individuals with and without cardiovascular [3, 6–9] or respiratory disorders [4, 10]. In individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), a slow HRR after the Six Minute Walking Test (6MWT) was associated with an increased risk of acute exacerbations [11]. Therapeutic interventions like exercise training or oxygen supplementation have been shown to be able to improve autonomic nervous system (ANS) function and HRR in individuals with COPD [12, 13].

A recent study [14] in children with asthma showed a significantly slower HRR when compared to healthy peers. However, at the best of our knowledge, no data on adults with asthma are available. The detection of the risk of slow HRR after exercise and its prediction might improve the clinical management (e.g. exercise training programs). Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of slow HRR (defined as post exercise reduction in $HR \leq 12$ bpm) in adults with asthma as compared with individuals with COPD. As secondary objectives we evaluated the characteristics of individuals with slow HRR.

Methods

This retrospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Istituti Clinici Scientifici (ICS) Maugeri IRCCS (#2279). As a retrospective study, individuals did not provide any specific written informed consent, however at admission they signed, in advance, an informed consent for the scientific use of their clinical data. As a retrospective, the study was not registered.

Patients

We evaluated the medical records of stable adults with asthma or COPD, consecutively admitted for rehabilitation between January 2019 and April 2021 to the Respiratory Unit of ICS Maugeri IRCCS, Hospital of Tradate, Italy, a referral institution for rehabilitation, diagnosis, and care of post-acute and chronic conditions [15, 16]. Data were collected through the hospital informatics system and integrated with data from medical records.

Inclusion criteria were: age ≥ 18 years; confirmed diagnosis of asthma or COPD according to Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) [17] or Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) [18] guidelines respectively; clinical stability as assessed by absence of symptoms warranting change in drug therapy in the previous 30 days; availability of results of and physiological response to the 6MWT performed at admission.

Exclusion criteria were: asthma-COPD overlap syndrome [19]; occurrence of chronic respiratory failure, need of long term oxygen therapy; orthopaedic or neurological diseases preventing the execution of exercise test.

Measurements

The following data and measurements were collected at admission:

- demographics, anthropometrics, diagnoses of asthma or COPD, main comorbidities as reported in the personal medical history, chronic drug therapy.
- Health status by means of the COPD Assessment Test (CAT) [20, 21]. The CAT score ranges from 0 to 40 (higher scores indicating a more severe impact of disease on life).
- Resting (at least 30 minutes in seated position) pulse rate and oxymetry (SpO_2) by a Nonin PalmSAT® 2500 pulse oximeter.
- Arterial blood gases assessed on blood samples from the radial artery with an ABL 825 gas analyser (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark) in sitting patients breathing room air.
- Dynamic lung volumes: according to standards using the predicted values of Quanjer [22, 23].
- The 6MWT according to standards using the reference values by Enright et al [24, 25], under pulse oximeter monitoring (Nonin PalmSAT® 2500). The following parameters were recorded: ΔHR ($\text{HR}_{\text{peak}} - \text{baseline HR}$); $\text{SpO}_{2\text{ nadir}}$; exercise induced oxygen desaturation (EID: $\text{baseline SpO}_2 - \text{SpO}_{2\text{ nadir}} \geq$

4%) [26]. The HRR, was assessed as the difference between HR_{peak} - HR one minute after the end of the test [27]. Slow HRR was defined as < 12 bpm. The HR_{peak} , % maximal predicted was calculated as $HR_{peak}/(220-age)*100$.

Statistical Analysis

An ad hoc excel form aimed at collecting epidemiological, demographic, and clinical variables was prepared. A descriptive analysis of the variables collected for individuals with asthma and COPD was performed. Heart rate recovery was recorded using a cut-off: $\leq$ (slow) or $>$ (normal) 12 bpm [5]. Differences in qualitative variables were analysed with a chi-squared or Fisher's exact test when appropriate. Continuous quantitative variables with normal distribution were compared using Student's t test, whereas those with non-normal distribution using Mann-Whitney test. Association between the collected variables and slow HRR was evaluated with a logistic regression analysis; covariates with a p-value less than 0.05 at the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate model. A two-tailed p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA statistical software version 17 (StatsCorp, Texas, USA).

Results

Out of 1315 patients admitted in the study period, data of 175 individuals suffering from asthma and 153 suffering from COPD fulfilling the inclusion criteria were included in analysis.

The demographic, anthropometric, physiological and clinical characteristics of individuals in study are shown in Table 1. As expected, individuals with asthma showed a higher prevalence of females, were significantly younger, showed a greater BMI, with more than half showing a $BMI \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and suffered from less severe airway obstruction than individuals with COPD. Individuals with asthma walked significantly longer during the 6MWT and showed a significantly lower prevalence of slow HRR than those with COPD (30.3% vs 49.0%, respectively, $p<0.001$).

Table 1
Characteristics of participants

Variables		Total cohort (n= 328)	Asthma (n= 175)	COPD (n= 153)	p-value
<i>Males, n (%)</i>		186 (56.7)	74 (42.3)	112 (73.2)	<0.0001
<i>Age, years</i>		69 (61.5-75.5)	67 (57-74)	71 (65-77)	<0.0001
<i>BMI, kg/m²</i>		28.7 (24.3-33.6)	30.9 (26.1-35.7)	26.5 (22.8-29.8)	<0.0001
<i>BMI < 18.5 kg/m², n (%)</i>		9 (2.7)	1 (0.6)	8 (5.2)	<0.0001
	<i>BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m², n (%)</i>	86 (26.2)	29 (16.6)	57 (37.3)	
	<i>BMI 25-29.9 kg/m², n (%)</i>	103 (31.4)	52 (29.7)	51 (33.3)	
	<i>BMI ≥ 30 kg/m², n (%)</i>	130 (39.6)	93 (53.1)	37 (24.2)	
<i>Resting HR, bpm,</i>		76 (68-84)	75 (68-84)	76 (69-85)	0.63
<i>PaO₂, mmHg</i>		75.9 (68.5-82.0)	78.7 (72.8-84.7)	72 (66.2-78.5)	<0.0001
<i>PaCO₂, mmHg,</i>		36.7 (34.0-39.1)	36.7 (34.2-38.9)	36.7 (33.9-39.6)	0.67
<i>Resting SpO₂%</i>		95 (93-96)	95 (94-96)	94 (92-95)	<0.0001
<i>FEV₁, liters</i>		1.7 (1.3-2.3)	2.0 (1.5-2.4)	1.5 (1.1-2.0)	<0.0001
<i>FEV₁, % predicted</i>		71 (53-87)	80.5 (67-93)	59 (44-74)	<0.0001
<i>FVC, liters</i>		2.8 (2.2-3.4)	2.8 (2.2-3.3)	2.8 (2.2-3.6)	0.862
<i>FVC, % predicted</i>		87 (75-101)	90 (78-104)	84 (71-100)	0.012
<i>FEV₁/FVC, %</i>		64.1 (54.2-71.7)	69.4 (62.4-75.3)	56.4 (43.4-64.7)	<0.0001
<i>Comorbidity</i>	<i>OSA, n (%)</i>	150 (45.7)	100 (57.1)	50 (32.7)	<0.0001
	<i>Cardiovascular disease, n (%)</i>	78 (23.8)	35 (20.0)	43 (28.1)	0.09
	<i>Diabetes, n (%)</i>	32 (9.8)	19 (10.9)	13 (8.5)	0.47
	<i>Hypertension, n (%)</i>	95 (29.0)	54 (30.9)	41 (26.8)	0.42
<i>Drug Therapy</i>	<i>Inhaler therapy, n (%)</i>	299 (91.2)	168 (96.0)	131 (85.6)	0.001
	<i>Antithrombotic, n (%)</i>	124 (24.9)	42 (19.8)	82 (28.7)	0.02

Variables		Total cohort (n= 328)	Asthma (n= 175)	COPD (n= 153)	p-value
	<i>Beta blockers, n (%)</i>	88 (26.8)	48 (27.4)	40 (26.1)	0.79
	<i>Diuretics, n (%)</i>	112 (34.2)	60 (34.3)	52 (34.0)	0.96
	<i>Calcium channel blockers, n (%)</i>	70 (21.3)	34 (19.4)	36 (23.5)	0.37
	<i>RAAS, n (%)</i>	170 (51.8)	93 (53.1)	77 (50.3)	0.61
	<i>Alfa blockers, n (%)</i>	16 (4.9)	7 (4.0)	9 (5.9)	0.43
	<i>Oral Hypoglycemic drugs, n (%)</i>	55 (16.8)	28 (16.0)	27 (17.7)	0.69
	<i>Lipid-modifying drugs, n (%)</i>	127 (38.7)	60 (34.3)	67 (43.8)	0.08
	<i>Systemic Steroids, n (%)</i>	36 (11.0)	19 (10.9)	17 (11.1)	0.94
<i>CAT score</i>		12 (7-19)	12 (6.5-18.0)	12 (7-19)	0.46
<i>6MWT, meters</i>		445 (373.5-500.0)	455 (385-512)	427 (345-485)	0.005
<i>6MWT, % predicted,</i>		95.2 (81.5-106.5)	98.3 (87.0-109.4)	90.5 (75.1-101.9)	<0.0001
<i>HR_{peak} bpm</i>		108.7 (15.0)	109.7 (14.7)	107.6 (15.3)	0.21
<i>ΔHR: HR_{peak} - baseline HR, bpm</i>		31 (24-40)	32 (26-43)	30 (22-39)	0.02
<i>HR_{peak}, % maximal predicted</i>		72.1 (65.3-78.2)	72.3 (65.2-78.1)	71.5 (65.3-78.6)	0.74
<i>SpO₂ nadir %,</i>		91 (88-93)	92 (91-94)	89 (85-91)	<0.0001
<i>ΔSpO₂: baseline SpO₂ - SpO₂ nadir %</i>		-3 (-2-5)	-3 (-2-4)	-5 (-3-7)	<0.0001
<i>slow HRR, n (%)</i>		128 (39.0)	53 (30.3)	75 (49.0)	<0.001
Data as numbers (n) and percentage (%), median [IQR], or mean ± SD					
Abbreviations: COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BMI=body mass index; HR=heart rate; PaO ₂ =arterial oxygen tension; PaCO ₂ =arterial carbon dioxide tension; SpO ₂ =peripheral oxygen saturation; FEV ₁ =forced expiratory volume at 1 second; FVC=forced vital capacity; OSA=Obstructive Sleep Apnea; RAAS=Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone inhibitors; CAT=COPD Assessment Test; 6MWT=six-minute walking test; HR=Heart Rate; SpO ₂ =peripheral oxygen saturation; HRR=heart rate recovery.					

Table 2 shows the characteristics of participants according to their HRR. Individuals with asthma and slow HRR were older and walked significantly less than individuals with normal HRR. There was no difference in level of airway obstruction or in GINA severity grades [17]. Individuals with COPD and slow HRR showed lower BMI, suffered from more severe airway obstruction and lower PaO₂, walked significantly less and showed lower occurrence of OSA than individuals with normal HRR.

Table 2
Characteristics of participants according to HRR

		Asthma n=175			COPD n=153		
<i>Variables</i>		Normal HRR n= 122 (69.7 %)	Slow HRR n= 53 (30.3%)	P value*	Normal HRR n=78 (51.0 %)	Slow HRR n=75 (49.0%)	P value#
<i>Males, n (%)</i>		49 (40.2)	25 (47.2)	0.39	58 (74.4)	54 (72.0)	0.74
<i>Age, years</i>		65 (56- 73)	71 (63- 75)	0.02	72 (65- 76)	71 (65- 77)	0.85
<i>GINA (1-5)</i>	5 (4.1)	2 (3.8)	0.39	-	-	-	
	8 (6.6)	0 (0.0)		-	-		
	3	17 (13.9)		9 (17.0)	-		-
	4	44 (36.1)		18 (34.0)	-		-
	5	48 (39.3)		24 (45.3)	-		-
<i>GIOLD (1-4)</i>	1	-	-	-	16 (21.6)	13 (19.4)	0.13
	2	-	-		34 (46.0)	26 (38.8)	
	3	-	-		22 (29.7)	19 (28.4)	
	4	-	-		2 (2.7)	9 (13.4)	
<i>BMI, kg/m²</i>		31.2 (26.6- 36.6)	29.8 (25.9- 33.8)	0.55	27.7 (23.9- 32.9)	24.7 (21.5- 28.9)	0.004
<i>Resting HR, bpm</i>		74 (68- 81)	77 (68- 85)	0.51	73.5 (65-79)	79 (71- 88)	0.002
<i>PaO₂, mmHg,</i>		79 (71.5- 84.4)	78 (74.0- 84.7)	0.80	74.3 (68.5- 79.7)	69.5 (65.1- 76.8)	0.02

		Asthma n=175			COPD n=153		
<i>PaCO₂, mmHg</i>		36.8 (34.3-38.7)	36.6 (33.9-39.2)	0.91	36.7 (33.9-39.6)	36.7 (33.9-39.9)	0.81
<i>Resting SpO₂%</i>		95 (94-96)	95 (94-96)	0.33	94 (92-95)	94 (93-95)	0.89
<i>FEV₁, liters,</i>		2.0 (1.5-2.4)	1.8 (1.5-2.3)	0.58	1.6 (1.2-2.1)	1.4 (0.9-1.8)	0.03
<i>FEV₁, % predicted</i>		78 (63-92)	84 (68-95)	0.44	59 (47-76)	56 (37-73)	0.12
<i>FVC, liters,</i>		2.8 (2.3-3.4)	2.7 (2.2-3.2)	0.50	2.8 (2.2-3.7)	2.7 (2.1-3.4)	0.61
<i>FVC, % predicted</i>		90 (78-103)	91 (84-107)	0.43	85 (74-99)	83 (67-102)	0.69
<i>FEV₁/FVC, %</i>		69.5 (62.2-76.1)	68.9 (64.6-73.4)	0.79	59.6 (46.9-65.3)	53.2 (39.9-62.2)	0.006
<i>Comorbidities</i>	<i>OSA, n (%)</i>	70 (57.4)	30 (56.6)	0.92	33 (42.3)	17 (22.7)	0.01
	<i>Cardiovascular disease, n (%)</i>	22 (18.0)	13 (24.5)	0.32	22 (28.2)	21 (28.0)	0.98
	<i>Diabetes, n (%)</i>	14 (11.5)	5 (9.4)	0.69	10 (12.8)	3 (4.0)	0.08
	<i>Hypertension, n (%)</i>	38 (31.2)	16 (30.2)	0.90	22 (28.2)	19 (25.3)	0.69
<i>Drug Therapy</i>	<i>Inhaler therapy, n (%)</i>	119 (97.5)	49 (92.5)	0.20	67 (85.9)	64 (85.3)	0.92
	<i>Antithrombotic, n (%)</i>	24 (19.7)	7 (13.2)	0.30	19 (24.4)	23 (30.7)	0.38
	<i>Beta blockers, n (%)</i>	32 (26.2)	16 (30.2)	0.59	21 (26.9)	19 (25.3)	0.82

		Asthma n=175			COPD n=153		
	<i>Diuretics, n (%)</i>	40 (32.8)	20 (37.7)	0.53	26 (33.3)	26 (34.7)	0.86
	<i>Calcium channel blockers, n (%)</i>	24 (19.7)	10 (18.8)	0.90	17 (21.8)	19 (25.3)	0.61
	<i>RAAS, n (%)</i>	62 (50.8)	31 (58.5)	0.35	42 (53.9)	35 (46.7)	0.38
	<i>Alfa blockers, n (%)</i>	5 (4.1)	2 (3.8)	1.00	4 (5.1)	5 (6.7)	0.74
	<i>Oral Hypoglycemic drugs, n (%)</i>	19 (15.6)	9 (17.0)	0.82	14 (18.0)	13 (17.3)	0.92
	<i>Lipid-modifying drugs, n (%)</i>	6 (4.9)	1 (1.9)	0.68	39 (50.0)	28 (37.3)	0.11
	<i>Systemic Steroids, n (%)</i>	14 (11.5)	5 (9.4)	0.69	9 (11.5)	8 (10.7)	0.86
<i>CAT score</i>		12.5 (7.0-17.5)	12 (5-19)	0.88	11.5 (6.0-17.0)	13 (8-22)	0.08
<i>6MWT, meters</i>		465 (402-525)	427 (335-493)	0.005	450 (382-501)	395 (315-465)	0.004
<i>6MWT, % predicted,</i>		99.6 (89.9-111.6)	94.8 (81.6-103.2)	0.02	95.1 (80.7-105.6)	86.8 (68.0-96.4)	0.002
<i>HR_{peak} bpm</i>		111.7 (13.8)	105.2 (15.8)	0.007	109.5 (14.2)	105.6 (16.2)	0.11
<i>ΔHR, bpm</i>		35 (28-44)	29 (22-33)	0.0001	36 (30-41)	24 (17-30)	<0.0001
<i>HR_{peak}, % maximal predicted</i>		72.7 (65.6-78.6)	71.9 (62.2-76.6)	0.19	71.9 (66.3-79.0)	69.4 (63.5-76.8)	0.08
<i>SpO₂ nadir, %</i>		92 (91-94)	92 (90-94)	0.43	90 (86-92)	89 (85-91)	0.48
<i>ΔSpO₂, %</i>		3 (2-4)	3 (2-4)	0.48	4 (2-7)	5 (3-8)	0.52

Asthma	COPD
n=175	n=153
<p>Data as numbers (n) and percentage (%), median [IQR], or mean± SD</p> <p>Abbreviations: COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; GINA=Global Initiative for Asthma; GOLD=Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; BMI=body mass index; HR=heart rate; PaO2=arterial oxygen tension; PaCO2=arterial carbon dioxide tension; SpO2=peripheral oxygen saturation; FEV1=forced expiratory volume at 1 second; FVC=forced vital capacity; OSA=Obstructive Sleep Apnea; RAAS=Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone inhibitors; CAT=COPD Assessment Test; 6MWT=six-minute walking test; HR=Heart Rate; ΔHR=peak - baseline HR; SpO2=peripheral oxygen saturation; ΔSpO2=baseline – nadir SpO2.</p>	

In individuals with asthma, higher exercise capacity, HR_{peak}, and ΔHR were associated with lower risk of slow HRR. In individuals with COPD, lower levels of PaO₂, higher baseline HR, lower ΔHR, 6MWT, and higher occurrence of OSA were associated with higher risk of slow HRR. (Table 3).

Table 3

Relationship of demographic, clinical and physiological characteristics and prevalence of slow HRR in individuals with asthma (Panel A) and COPD (Panel B)

PANEL A				
	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
<i>Males</i>	1.33 (0.70-2.55)	0.39	1.53 (0.74-3.16)	0.25
<i>Age</i>	1.03 (1.00-1.07)	0.05	1.01 (0.98-1.05)	0.49
<i>OSA</i>	0.97 (0.51-1.86)	0.92	-	-
<i>BMI≥ 30</i>	0.95 (0.48-1.86)	0.87	-	-
<i>Cardiovascular disease</i>	1.48 (0.68-3.21)	0.33	-	-
<i>FEV₁, %</i>	1.01 (0.99-1.02)	0.52	-	-
<i>PaO₂ mmHg</i>	1.01 (0.97-1.04)	0.76	-	-
<i>Resting HR, bpm</i>	1.01 (0.98-1.04)	0.66	-	-
<i>6MWT, meters</i>	0.99 (0.99-0.99)	0.008	1.00 (0.99-1.00)	0.26
<i>6MWT, % predicted,</i>	0.99 (0.97-1.00)	0.09	-	-
<i>ΔSpO₂%,</i>	1.06 (0.92-1.22)	0.42	-	-
<i>HR_{peak} bpm</i>	0.97 (0.95-0.99)	0.009	1.00 (0.97-1.03)	0.98
<i>ΔHR,</i>	0.95 (0.92-0.98)	<0.0001	0.96 (0.92-0.99)	0.04
<i>HR_{peak} % predicted</i>	0.97 (0.94-1.01)	0.09	-	-
PANEL B				
	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
<i>Males</i>	0.89 (0.43-1.81)	0.74	1.24(0.53-2.91)	0.62
<i>Age</i>	0.99 (0.96-1.04)	0.99	1.00 (0.95-1.06)	0.95
<i>OSA</i>	0.40 (0.20-0.81)	0.01	0.43 (0.19-1.02)	0.06
<i>BMI≥ 30</i>	0.51 (0.22-1.20)	0.12	-	-
<i>Cardiovascular disease</i>	0.99 (0.49-2.00)	0.98	-	-
<i>FEV₁, %</i>	0.99 (0.97-1.00)	0.10	-	-

PANEL A				
<i>PaO₂ mmHg</i>	0.96 (0.93-0.99)	0.047	0.97 (0.92-1.01)	0.14
<i>Resting HR, bpm</i>	1.04 (1.01-1.07)	0.003	1.03 (1.00-1.07)	0.07
<i>6MWT, meters</i>	1.00 (0.99-1.00)	0.007	-	-
<i>6MWT, % predicted,</i>	0.98 (0.96-0.99)	0.002	0.99 (0.97-1.01)	0.51
<i>ΔSpO₂%,</i>	1.03 (0.96-1.11)	0.41	-	-
<i>HR_{peak} bpm</i>	0.98 (0.96-1.00)	0.11	-	-
<i>ΔHR,</i>	0.92 (0.89-0.95)	<0.0001	0.93 (0.90-0.97)	<0.0001
<i>HR_{peak} % predicted</i>	0.98 (0.95-1.01)	0.13	-	-
<p>An OR higher than 1 highlights the increased probability of slow HRR in case of exposure to a variable selected in the model, whereas an OR less than 1 highlights the decreased probability of slow HRR in case of exposure to a variable selected in the model.</p> <p>Abbreviations: OR=odds ratio; CI=confidence interval; COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; OSA=Obstructive Sleep Apnea; BMI=body mass index; FEV1=forced expiratory volume at 1 second; PaO₂=arterial oxygen tension; HR=heart rate; 6MWT=six-minute walking test; ΔSpO₂=baseline - nadir peripheral oxygen saturation; ΔHR=peak - baseline HR.</p>				

Multivariate analysis showed that only lower values of ΔHR could predict risk of slow HRR in both groups (Table 3).

Discussion

This is the first study investigating the prevalence of HRR in adults with asthma: at least 30% of these people showed slow HRR, a prevalence significantly lower as compared with individuals with COPD. The only predictive factor of slow HRR was ΔHR for the recruited populations.

It has been reported that children and adolescents with asthma have slow HRR after a field test as compared to their peers, suggesting that asthma leads to ANS imbalance [14]. A slow HRR in individuals with cardio vascular diseases may indicate autonomic dysfunction caused by sympatho-vagal imbalance [6, 7]. Sympatho-vagal imbalance, assessed by the analysis of HR variability, was observed also in adults with asthma [28, 29]. However, these studies did not report HRR. Studies have shown that slow HRR in children with asthma can predict a worse exercise capacity [30]. In our study, individuals with asthma with slow HRR walked significantly less than those with normal HRR.

It has been suggested that the parasympathetic component of the ANS might be implicated in the pathogenesis of asthma [31, 32]. In addition, several studies have suggested the existence of alterations in ANS function following exercise in individuals with asthma as compared to non-asthmatic individuals [33–36]. Cardiac vagal reactivity does indeed appear to be increased in asthma, as demonstrated by the

cardiac response to various autonomic function tests [37]. However, other studies have reported a lack of association between bronchial and cardiac vagal tone, and this is in accord with the concept of system-independent ANS control [38].

Unlike young asthmatics in whom the severity of asthma was related to slow HRR [14], the adults seem to not show this relationship.

Our results, in accordance with other studies [39, 40], showed that the risk of low HRR in individuals with COPD increases with impaired lung function and other markers of disease severity. No associations were found between low HRR and obesity or OSA in individuals with asthma. In the study by Cholidou et al. [41], HRR after the 6MWT was significantly higher between healthy subjects and individuals with moderate/severe OSA: the higher the severity of OSA the lower the HRR was. The treatment with CPAP had a beneficial effect on HRR [41].

The prevalence of HRR in our study was evaluated after a 6MWT. The clinical utility of HRR is not dependent on maximal exercise [9]. Authors studied the prognostic utility of HRR in individuals with heart failure after the 6MWT and symptom-limited cardiopulmonary exercise test. The results confirmed that HRR after the 6MWT was a powerful prognosticator that performs similarly to HRR after maximal exercise [9]. The 6MWT was used to study the HRR in individuals with chronic respiratory diseases also by other authors confirming the usefulness of this field test [10, 14, 27, 42].

The assessment of HRR may be useful to evaluate the effects of exercise training. The effect of the exercise training in HRR was studied in individuals with moderate-to-severe patients COPD [12]. After 8-weeks of interval training HRR improved significantly, pre training HRR being the only variable related to post-training HRR. No study has evaluated the effects of exercise training on HRR in individuals with asthma. In our study Δ HRR was the only predictor of slow HRR: this simple and cheap parameter should be always evaluated in the assessment of individuals undergoing exercise training programs.

Conclusion

This is the first study investigating the HRR in adult individuals with asthma: at least 30% of these people show slow HRR, a prevalence significantly lower as compared with individuals with COPD. No baseline characteristic is able to predict this event after a 6MWT. Prospective studies will be useful to evaluate the most useful type exercise (walking vs cycle, incremental vs endurance) to elicit this event in adult individuals with asthma.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Availability of data and materials

Data and materials are available at the research centre upon request

Statement of Ethics

The research complies with the guidelines for human studies and was conducted ethically in accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

Funding Sources

This work was supported by a funding scheme of the Insubria University and the ‘Ricerca Corrente’ funding scheme of the Ministry of Health, Italy.

Author Contributions

The authors gave substantial contributions to the following: Zampogna E.: conception, interpretation, and drafting of the work; Ambrosino N.: interpretation, drafting of the work and revising it critically; Oliva FM.: conception, interpretation and acquisition of the work; Cremonese G.: conception and acquisition of the work; Sotgiu G.: analysis and interpretation; Saderi L.: analysis and interpretation; Bellelli G.: conception and acquisition of the work; Angeli F.: interpretation of the work and revising it critically; Spanevello A.: interpretation of the work and revising it critically; Visca D.: interpretation of the work and revising it critically.

References

1. Arai Y, Saul JP, Albrecht P, Hartley LH, Lilly LS, Cohen RJ, et al. Modulation of cardiac autonomic activity during and immediately after exercise. *Am J Physiol* 1989; 256 (1 pt 2): H132-H141. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.1989.256.1.H132.
2. Pierpont GL, Voth EJ. Assessing autonomic function by analysis of heart rate recovery from exercise in healthy subjects. *Am J Cardiol* 2004; 94 (1): 64–68. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.03.032.
3. Cole CR, Blackstone EH, Pashkow FJ, Snader CE, Lauer MS. Heart-Rate Recovery Immediately after Exercise as a Predictor of Mortality. *N Engl J Med*. 1999; 341 (18): 1351–1357. doi:10.1056/NEJM199910283411804.
4. Lacasse M, Maltais F, Poirier P, Lacasse Y, Marquis K, Jobin J, et al. Post-exercise heart rate recovery and mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med*. 2005; 99 (7): 877–886. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2004.11.012.
5. Seshadri N, Gildea TR, McCarthy K, Pothier C, Kavuru MS, Lauer MS. Association of an abnormal exercise heart rate recovery with pulmonary function abnormalities. *Chest* 2004; 125: 1286–1291. doi: 10.1378/chest.125.4.1286.
6. Cole CR, Foody JM, Blackstone EH, Lauer MS. Heart rate recovery after submaximal exercise testing as a predictor of mortality in a cardiovascularly healthy cohort. *Ann Intern Med*. 2000; 132 (7): 552.

doi:10.7326/0003-4819-132-7-200004040-00007.

7. Qiu S, Cai X, Sun Z, Li L, Zuegel M, Steinacker JM, Schumann U. Heart rate recovery and risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality: A Meta-Analysis of prospective cohort studies. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017; 6 (5). e005505. doi:10.1161/JAHA.117.005505.
8. Nishime EO, Cole CR, Blackstone EH, Pashkow FJ, Lauer MS. Heart rate recovery and treadmill exercise score as predictors of mortality in patients referred for exercise ECG. *JAMA.* 2000; 284 (11): 1392–1398. doi:10.1001/jama.284.11.1392.
9. Cahalin LP, Arena R, Labate V, Bandera F, Lavie CJ, Guazzi M. Heart rate recovery after the 6 min walk test rather than distance ambulated is a powerful prognostic indicator in heart failure with reduced and preserved ejection fraction: a comparison with cardiopulmonary exercise testing. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2013; 15 (5): 519–527. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfs216.
10. Swigris JJ, Swick J, Wamboldt FS, Sprunger D, du Bois R, Fischer A, et al. Heart rate recovery after 6-min walk test predicts survival in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Chest.* 2009; 136 (3): 841–848. doi: 10.1378/chest.09-0211.
11. Rodríguez DA, Kortianou EA, Alison JA, Casas A, Giavedoni S, Barberan-Garcia A, et al. Heart rate recovery after 6-min walking test predicts acute exacerbation in COPD. *Lung.* 2017; 195 (4): 463–467. doi:10.1007/s00408-017-0027-0.
12. Gimeno-Santos E, Rodriguez DA, Barberan-Garcia A, Blanco I, Vilaró J, Torralba Y, Ret al. Endurance exercise training improves heart rate recovery in patients with COPD. *COPD.* 2014; 11 (2): 190–196. doi: 10.3109/15412555.2013.831401.
13. Scalvini S, Porta R, Zanelli E, Volterrani M, Vitacca M, Pagani M, et al. <background-color:#CCCCFF;vertical-align:super;>Effects of oxygen on autonomic nervous system dysfunction in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</background-color:#CCCCFF;vertical-align:super;><uvertical-align:super;></uvertical-align:super;> Eur Respir J. 1999; 13 (1): 119–124. doi: 10.1183/09031936.99.13111999.
14. Pereira Silva É, Soares BA, Reimberg MM, Ritti-Dias R, Nascimento KS, Anjos FS, et al. Heart rate recovery in asthmatic children and adolescents after clinical field test. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2021; 21 (1): 61. doi: 10.1186/s12890-020-01355-9.
15. Maestri R, Bruschi C, Fracchia C, Pinna GD, Fanfulla F, Ambrosino N. <background-color:#CCCCFF;vertical-align:super;>Physiological and clinical characteristics of patients with COPD admitted to an inpatient pulmonary rehabilitation program: A real-life study</background-color:#CCCCFF;vertical-align:super;><uvertical-align:super;></uvertical-align:super;> Pulmonology. 2019; 25 (2): 71–78. doi: 10.1016/j.pulmoe.2018.07.001.
16. Zampogna E, Zappa M, Spanevello A, Visca D. <background-color:#CCCCFF;vertical-align:super;>Pulmonary rehabilitation and asthma</background-color:#CCCCFF;vertical-align:super;><uvertical-align:super;></uvertical-align:super;> Front Pharmacol. 2020; 11: 542. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00542.

17. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention (2021 Update). Published online 2021. <https://ginasthma.org/>. Accessed September, 20, 2021.
18. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (2021 Report). Published online 2021. <https://goldcopd.org/gold-reports/>. Accessed September, 20, 2021.
19. Tu X, Donovan C, Kim RY, Wark PAB, Horvat JC, Hansbro PM. Asthma-COPD overlap: current understanding and the utility of experimental models. *Eur Respir Rev.* 2021; 30 (159): 190185. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0185-2019.
20. Jones PW, Harding G, Berry P, Wiklund I, Chen WH, Kline Leidy N. Development and first validation of the COPD Assessment Test. *Eur Respir J.* 2009; 34 (3): 648–654. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00102509.
21. Kurashima K, Takaku Y, Ohta C, Takayanagi N, Yanagisawa T, Sugita Y. COPD assessment test and severity of airflow limitation in patients with asthma, COPD, and asthma-COPD overlap syndrome. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* 2016; 11: 479–487. doi: 10.2147/COPD.S97343.
22. Culver BH, Graham BL, Coates AL, Wanger J, Berry CE, Clarke PK, et al.; ATS Committee on Proficiency Standards for Pulmonary Function Laboratories. Recommendations for a Standardized Pulmonary Function Report. An Official American Thoracic Society Technical Statement. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2017; 196 (11): 1463–1472. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201710-1981ST.
23. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, Baur X, Hall GL, Culver BH, et al.; ERS Global Lung Function Initiative. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. *Eur Respir J.* 2012; 40 (6): 1324–1343. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00080312.
24. Holland AE, Spruit MA, Troosters T, Puhan MA, Pepin V, Saey D, et al. An official European Society/American Thoracic Society technical standard: field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. *Eur Respir J.* 2014; 44 (6): 1428–1446. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00150314.
25. Enright PL, Sherrill DL. Reference equations for the six-minute walk in healthy adults. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1998; 158 (5 Pt 1): 1384–1387. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.158.5.9710086.
26. Poulain M, Durand F, Palomba B, Ceugniet F, Desplan J, Varray A, et al. 6-minute walk testing is more sensitive than maximal incremental cycle testing for detecting oxygen desaturation in patients with COPD. *Chest.* 2003; 123 (5): 1401–1407. doi: 10.1378/chest.123.5.1401.
27. Rodríguez DA, Kortianou EA, Alison JA, Casas A, Giavedoni S, Barberan-Garcia A, et al. Heart rate recovery after 6-min walking test predicts acute exacerbation in COPD. *Lung.* 2017; 195 (4): 463–467. doi:10.1007/s00408-017-0027-0.
28. Milagro J, Hernández-Vicente A, Hernando D, Casajús JA, Garatachea N, Bailón R, et al. Nocturnal heart rate variability spectrum characterization in preschool children with asthmatic symptoms. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 2018; 22 (5) 1332–1340. doi: 10.1109/JBHI.2017.2775059.
29. Gomes ELFD, Sampaio LMM, Carvalho EFT, Mendes E, Peixoto Souza FS, Costa D. Comparative analysis of autonomic modulation in children with acute and controlled asthma. *Med Scie Tech.*

- 2013; 54: 30–34. doi. org/10.12659 /MST.88386 3.
30. Singh TP, Rhodes J, Gauvreau K. Determinants of heart rate recovery following exercise in children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008; 40 (4): 601–605. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181621ec4.
 31. Lewis MJ, Short AL, Lewis KE. Autonomic nervous system control of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems in asthma. *Respir Med.* 2006; 100 (10): 1688–1705. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2006.01.019.
 32. Kaliner M, Shelhamer JH, Davis PB, Smith LJ, Venter JC. Autonomic nervous system abnormalities and allergy. *Ann Intern Med* 1982; 96 (3): 349–357. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-96-3-349.
 33. Barnes PJ, Brown MJ, Silverman M, Dollery CT. Circulating catecholamines in exercise and hyperventilation induced asthma. *Thorax* 1981; 36 (6): 435–440. doi: 10.1136/thx.36.6.435.
 34. Warren JB, Keynes RJ, Brown MJ, Jenner DA, McNicol MW. Blunted sympathoadrenal response to exercise in asthmatic subjects. *Br J Dis Chest.* 1982 Apr;76(2):147–50. PMID: 7093132.
 35. Inman MD, Watson RM, Killian KJ, O’Byrne PM. Methacholine airway responsiveness decreases during exercise in asthmatic subjects. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1990; 141: 1414–1417. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm/141.6.1414.
 36. Fujii H, Fukutomi O, Inoue R, Shinoda S, Okamoto H, Teramoto T, et al. Autonomic regulation after exercise evidenced by spectral analysis of heart rate variability in asthmatic children. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2000; 85: 233–237. doi: 10.1016/S1081-1206(10)62472-6.
 37. Kallenbach JM, Webster T, Dowdeswell R, Reinach SG, Scott Milar RN, Zwi S. Reflex heart rate control in asthma: evidence of parasympathetic overactivity. *Chest* 1985; 87 (5): 644–648. doi: 10.1378/chest.87.5.644.
 38. Horvath I, Argay K, Herjavec I, Kollai M. Relation between bronchial and cardiac vagal tone in healthy humans. *Chest* 1995; 108 (3): 701–705. doi: 10.1378/chest.108.3.701.
 39. Gupta M, Bansal V, Chhabra SK. Abnormal heart rate recovery and chronotropic incompetence on exercise in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Chron Respir Dis.* 2013; 10 (3): 117–126. doi: 10.1177/1479972313493097.
 40. Ba A, Delliaux S, Bregeon F, Levy S, Jammes Y. Post-exercise heart rate recovery in healthy, obese, and COPD subjects: relationships with blood lactic acid and PaO₂ levels. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2009; 98 (1): 52–58. doi: 10.1007/s00392-008-0723-0.
 41. Cholidou KG, Manali ED, Kapsimalis F, Kostakis ID, Vougas K, Simoes D, et al. Heart rate recovery post 6-minute walking test in obstructive sleep apnea: cycle ergometry versus 6-minute walking test in OSA patients. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2014; 103 (10): 805–815. doi: 10.1007/s00392-014-0721-3.
 42. Shiroishi R, Kitagawa C, Miyamoto N, Kakuno N, Koyanagi H, Rikitomi N, et al. Heart rate recovery after the 6-min walk test is related to 6-min walk distance and percutaneous oxygen saturation recovery in patients with COPD. *Respirology.* 2015; 20 (4): 671–673. doi: 10.1111/resp.12510.