

Only fungal migration runs through the biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces

Qingzhou Zhao

University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences

Yanfen Wang

University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences

Xiaoyong Cui

University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences

Zhihong Xu

Griffith University

Zhisheng Yu (✉ yuzs@ucas.ac.cn)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4032-2544>

Research

Keywords: biotope, microbial migration, phyllosphere microbiota, fecal microbiota

Posted Date: December 30th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.19643/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Only fungi migrate across the biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces

Qingzhou Zhao^{a, b}, Yanfen Wang^{c, d}, Xiaoyong Cui^{c, d}, Zhihong Xu^b, Zhisheng Yu^{a, f}*

^a College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19 A Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

^bEnvironmental Futures Research Institute, Griffith University, Nathan QLD 4111, Australia

^cCollege of Life Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19 A Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

^dCAS Center for Excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, P. R. China

^f Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 18 Shuangqing Road, Beijing 100085, P. R. China

***All correspondence should be addressed to:**

Prof. Zhisheng Yu

College of Resources and Environment

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

19 A Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District

Beijing 100049, P. R. China

E-mail: yuzs@ucas.ac.cn

Tel: +86 10 88256057

Fax: +86 10 88256057

Abstract

Background

Understanding the microbial linkages among the soil, plants and animals is crucial for maintaining the balance of the ecosystem in grazed grasslands. However, previous study always focused on the biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces respectively and little has been known about the microbial distribution and migration among these biotopes. Here, a systematic survey to investigate the alteration and overlap among the various microbiotas of biotopes and how the microbial cycle served for the ecosystem was conducted on the molecular ecological level.

Results

Our findings revealed that the biotopes driven the distinct microbial community assemblages with various richness, β -diversity and composition. The substantial overlaps between soil and phyllosphere in fungi, bacteria and archaea indicated that soil played the role of the microbial source for phyllosphere. Nevertheless, Ascomycota were the only microorganisms definitely migrating among all the biotopes. After the long-term impact of faeces via grazing, the soil and phyllosphere microbiota were altered significantly.

Conclusions

Biotopes driving leads the discrepancy of microbiota distribution among soil, phyllosphere and faeces. Soil could potentially perform as the microbial reservoir for phyllosphere. However, there was only fungal migration running through the ecosystem to link all the biotopes. These findings improved our understanding of microbial linkages among the biotopes in the grazed grassland ecosystem and better managing the soil, plants and animals for the pasture ecosystem service.

Keywords: Biotope, microbial migration, phyllosphere microbiota, fecal microbiota

Background

Soil, plant and animal, as the primary objects of grassland study, have been investigated in the traditional researches respectively. Nevertheless, these issues are all the interconnected components in the entirety of the grassland ecosystem. Thus, a new insight of internal connections among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces in the ecosystem are urgently needed to investigate the grassland. To evaluate the components of the ecosystem, the term “biotope” has been applied for a long history. The concept of biotope has evolved with quite different definitions along the development of ecology theory [1, 2]. With the evolution of the relative accompanying ideas, a new definition of the concept biotope has been accepted extensively with the contemporary meaning of the combination of the substantial environment and the assembled organism species [3, 4]. The abiotic components are attributed to “habitat”, which means the physical and chemical environment with distinctive physiographic features and geographical locations. The biotic components are attributed to “community”, which means groups of organism species in a specific environment with the interaction of each other or the interconnection between the organisms and environment [1, 2]. Notably, Olenin and Ducrotoy pointed out that the summation of all the biotopes does not involve the energy flow and other linkages between the ecosystem components, compared to the entire ecosystem [1].

In a temperate grazed steppe, the ecosystem could be divided into three biotopes of great importance: soil, phyllosphere and the faeces. All of the biotopes include the distinctive environmental features of abiotic conditions. These abiotic conditions could also regulate and control the distribution and migration of the microbial community among the three biotopes. Thus, every biotope with unique abiotic and biotic characteristics is shaped into a sub-system of the pastureland ecosystem. Concurrently, all these sub-systems interact with each other by the web of food and predation. In such an environment, microbes play a role of the vector and transporter for the energy flow [5-7]. This function made microbiota as an important inhibitor

for the environmental disturbance to regulate and maintain the ecosystem [8].

As the main assembled organisms, soil, phyllosphere and fecal microbiota play an essential role to regulate and control the ecosystem in the grazed steppe. Initially, soil microbiota is a deeply engaged participant in the grassland ecosystem. Soil microbiota is the engine of the biogenic elements in the soil-plant system to immobilize and regulate the migration and transportation of nutrient elements owing to its role of decomposer. Concurrently, soil microbiota plays a key role in the soil assimilation process to the contaminant because the microbial biotransformation is considerably correlated to the occurrence and fate of the soil contaminants [9-12]. The last function of soil microbiota is regulation of the greenhouse gas emission by affecting the biogeochemical process of the elements [13-17]. Another critical microbial community controlling the grazed steppe ecosystem is phyllosphere microbiota. Phyllosphere microbiota is termed as the microbes who colonize in the aerial habitat on the surface of leaves [18, 19]. The primary phyllosphere microbial modification is the alteration to the properties of plant surface [20]. The substantial heterogeneous aggregates of microbes also modify the traits of their microhabitat to develop the nutrient availability expression of phenotypes by the phylloplane [21-24]. The phyllosphere epiphytic microbiota modifies the plant by controlling plant disease and frost injury as well [18, 25-27]. In addition, the microbial adaption regulates the resistance to the stress of the phyllosphere [19, 28-30]. The last crucial compound to regulate the pasture is fecal microbiota. The fresh faeces microbiota originates from the intestinal tracts of large livestock. In a grazed grassland, 60-99% of the nutrients ingested by the herbivorous livestock are returned into soil as the excreta [31]. After entering to the soil through the faeces covered patches, the fecal microbiota could regulate the decomposition process of the faeces and control the release of the nutrient element [32-34]. Besides, the physiochemical properties, biological activities and plant growth are also influenced by fecal microbiota [35, 36]. In the pasture, the fecal microbial composition and the

behavior of microbial community is a vital attribute to impact the strategy and the method of livestock utilization and management [33, 34, 36]. Unfortunately, there is surprisingly little knowledge about the migration and cycle of the microbial community among three substantial biotopes: soil, phyllosphere and faeces in a grazed grassland. Although the studies on soil, phyllosphere fecal microbiota have been carried out respectively, the lack of the demonstration for microbial transportation among these three biotopes limits the perspicuity explanation of the link among components and energy flow path in the ecosystem. Neither any study for how these microbial linkages served for the stability of the ecosystem has been surveyed.

In this study, we investigated the distribution of soil, phyllosphere and fecal microbiota to figure out the microbial migration and cycle among these biotopes using molecular methods such as high-throughput sequencing and real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR). The hypotheses are raised up as following: (1) Are there significant different distributions among soil, phyllosphere and fecal microbiota? (2) What are the interactions of the microbiota among three biotopes? (3) What are the dominant microorganisms playing key role in this migration and cycle?

Result

A total of 535430 bacterial 16S rRNA V4 amplicon sequences were obtained from 9 samples covering 3 biotopes: soil, phyllosphere and faeces in the grazed temperate steppe in Inner Mongolia and further clustered into 2136 OTUs. Simultaneously, 840851 ITS gene sequence and 614820 16S gene sequence were also generated and clustered into 1340 fungal and 621 archaeal OTUs respectively.

The α -diversity revealed distinct communities among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces (Table S1). The shannon and simpson index indicated that the soil fungal and bacterial diversity were induced in phyllosphere and faeces contrasted to soil while fungi and bacteria showed diversity at lowest level in faeces. The ace and chao index demonstrated the highest

fungal richness in soil than the other two biotopes. The fungal and bacterial richness also diminished in faeces.

All the microbiotas showed significant different distribution among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces. The microbial communities were visualized via polar coordinate analysis (PCoA) (Fig. 1a). The PCoA graphs indicated that distinct clusters were shaped among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces for fungal, bacterial and archaeal phyla (Fig. 1a). These clusters were confirmed by the sample within-between analysis which consisted of permutational multivariate analysis of variance (ADONIS) and similarity analysis (ANOSIM) (Table 1). These examinations also revealed that the bacterial community varied among the three biotopes more significantly than fungi and archaea. The Hierarchical clustering tree on order level based on bray- curtis distance, further supported these microbial phylogenetic differentiations among three biotopes (Figure S1).

The network-like venn analysis revealed that soil was the potential microbial source reservoir of the phyllosphere while faeces was a much less relevant biotope to them. Figure. 3A indicated that phyllosphere shared large amount of OTUs with soil. However, faeces shared much fewer OTUs with the other two biotopes, especially rarely with soil. The OTUs shared by all the three biotopes also contributed a fraction of low percentage (Fig. 2a). To be precise, the venn analysis of fungi, bacteria and archaea (Fig. 2b) showed that the main proportion of shared OTUs are contributed by fungi and bacteria. 916 and 419 OTUs were shared by soil and phyllosphere for fungi and bacteria respectively while only 26 OTUs for archaea.

However, with respect to the OTUs between soil and faeces, only 20 occurred in fungi, and both the OTUs numbers in bacteria and archaea are 0. The OTUs shared among all the three biotopes were also mainly in fungi. The three biotopes communal OTUs numbers are 104, 33 and 6 for fungi, bacteria and archaea successively.

The microbial composition also showed remarkable differentiation among the biotopes of soil,

phyllosphere and faeces. For the fungi, samples from soil biotope were more diverse than the phyllosphere and faeces biotopes. Ascomycota (62.94%) was the dominant phylum followed with Zygomycota (14.97%) and Basidiomycota (10.01%) in soil. Ascomycota was also the most predominant phylum in phyllosphere while its relative abundance increased to 89.69% and it was 2.63% and 5.99% for Zygomycota and Basidiomycota respectively. Moreover, Ascomycota (97.36%) almost completely dominated in the faeces fungal microbiome (Fig. 3a). For the bacterial community, the fecal microbiota was not as diverse as soil and phyllosphere microbiota. The dominant bacterial phyla in soil and phyllosphere were both Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi and Cyanobacteria. Actinobacteria (soil: 44.02%, phyllosphere:34.69%) contributed most in both biotopes. The relative abundance of Bacteroidetes (soil: 0.92%, phyllosphere:10.99%) and Acidobacteria (soil: 20.29%, phyllosphere:1.83%) increased or decreased most acutely from soil to phyllosphere biotopes. Nevertheless, Firmicutes (61.71%) and Bacteroidetes (24.63%) dominated in the fecal microbiota. In the archaeal community, the dominant phyla in soil and phyllosphere biotopes were Thaumarchaeota while Euryarchaeota dominated in the faeces biotope (Fig. 3a).

To further understand the association of the microbial structure among soil, phyllosphere and faeces, the fungal, bacterial and archaeal composition of three biotopes were visualized by ternary analysis (Fig. 1b). Plenty of fungal classes were shared between soil and phyllosphere with low abundance. Few fungal classes coexisted between Faeces and the other two biotopes. Several fungal classes with a certain amount of relative abundance were communal among three biotopes. Most of these classes belonged to phylum Ascomycota and some classes with low abundance belonged to Basidiomycota. As for bacteria, classes shared between soil and phyllosphere were also common while much fewer classes occurred between faeces and phyllosphere. Furthermore, there was no bacterial class between soil and

faeces. In the archaeal community, all the classes shared by soil and phyllosphere belonged to Thaumarchaeota. A few classes belong to Euryarchaeota were shared by faeces and phyllosphere but it was at low level of abundance in phyllosphere. The archaeal classes did not coexist between soil and faeces either (Fig. 1b). The radar analysis and coxcomb analysis of the microbial composition also solid these statements. It was found that Ascomycota and Basidiomycota were communal among three fungal microbiota but the relative abundance of Basidiomycota was lower than Ascomycota. Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Acidobacteria were the shared bacterial phyla between soil and phyllosphere while Bacteroidetes were shared between phyllosphere and faeces. As for archaea, Thaumarchaeota abounded in both soil and phyllosphere biotopes. Euryarchaeota abounded in faeces but it occurred rarely in phyllosphere (Fig. 3b and 3c).

To identify the influence of faeces input to the soil and phyllosphere microbiota, the microbial community of soil and phyllosphere biotopes from grazed and ungrazed grassland were presented via network analysis. Multiple network topological metrics were employed to reveal the remarkable difference between the microbial assemblages of grazed and ungrazed grassland. Although the co-occurrence networks constructed by significant correlation indicated a larger proportion of bacterial and fungal OTUs were included in the networks of grazed grassland, there were similar edges in these assemblages with grazing (Fig. 4a and 4b). The average weigh degree, which indicated the normalized number of connections to a node, diminished under the grazing conditions. The decreasing cluster coefficient and increasing average path length also exhibited the attenuated connectivity of fungal and bacterial networks in grazed grassland. Likewise, the margin attenuation of fungal and bacterial networks density with grazing conditions reflected that enormous potential connection between nodes of fungal network lost efficacy in the grazed grassland. Nevertheless, the modularity index indicated that the bacterial and fungal assemblages were

more modular in the grazed grassland than ungrazed grassland while it was reverse in the archaeal assemblages (Table S2).

To clarify the influence factor of the microbiota among the biotope of soil, phyllosphere and faeces, the environmental characteristics were determined (Table S3). The Mantel Test revealed that total nitrogen (TN), pH and temperature influenced all the fungal, bacterial and archaeal microbiota extremely significantly and total organic carbon (TOC) also influenced fungi very remarkably (Table S4). Although all the environmental factors such as TOC, TN, total phosphorus (TP), nitrate nitrogen (NO_3^- -N), ammonia nitrogen (NH_4^+ -N), carbon nitrogen ratio (C/N), pH, temperature and moisture could influence the fungal and bacterial communities, there were only TOC, TN, pH and temperature exerting impact on archaeal community. The canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) indicated that there was no predominant influence factor in these environmental variables (Fig. 5). Carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus impacts were strongly positive correlated while they were negative correlated to pH impact. Moisture and ammonia nitrogen were in another strongly positive correlated impact pair while they were significantly negative correlated to nitrate nitrogen. Notably, soil bacteria were most strongly influenced by nitrate nitrogen and the phyllosphere bacterial community was most correlated to temperature.

The structure equation model (SEM) was also constructed to assess the direct and indirect environmental impacts on the microbiota of the grazed grassland ecosystem which consisted of biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces (Fig. 6). The multigroup modelling approach was applied to assess the hypothesized relationship among significant environmental physicochemical factors and microbial communities. Temperature directly and strongly influenced fungal and bacterial communities. Nevertheless, moisture and carbon were weakly correlated to fungal community. Nitrogen directly influenced fungal and bacterial communities, meanwhile, it was impacted by carbon, temperature, moisture, fungal and

bacterial communities. The only factor directly linked to fungal, bacterial and archaeal community was pH. The fungal impact on bacterial community was weak while there was strong correlation between bacterial and archaeal communities.

Discussion

Previous studies focus on the biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces in a grazed steppe ecosystem respectively while the connections of microbiota among these biotopes, which played a role to carry out the energy flow in the ecosystem, were neglected. This study investigated the diversity, structure and interaction of the microbial communities in these biotopes on the molecular ecological level. It provided direct evidence to reveal the microbial distribution, migration and ecosystem function of the microbiota among these biotopes. Soil, phyllosphere and faeces all maintained distinct microbiota and the type of biotopes explaining majority of this microbial variation. As for the α -diversity, the soil fungal richness was higher contrasted to the fungal microbiota from the other biotopes (Table S1). This community diversity variation was due to the complicate and distinctive soil environment. Soil encompasses numerous microcosms to harbour distinct microbial communities rather than one single environment. Although these soil environments were limited in the only micrometer or millimeter scale, they provided niches of unique abiotic habitat and biotic communities to filter the microbial activities, diversities and compositions [37]. In addition, compared to bacteria, soil fungi were considered more adaptive to the vastly different environment. Fungi were regarded as the mediators of slower carbon cycling because of their low growth rate and tolerance of low temperature and aridity [38-40]. Furthermore, the great priority effect influence on fungi and made it easier to survive in the extensive environments [41]. Meanwhile, fecal richness was of the lowest level in the fungal and bacterial community among all the biotopes (Table S1). The fecal microbial communities were considered similar to those residing to the livestock intestinal tract [42]. Obviously, the extreme environment of

intestinal tract limited the fungal and bacterial richness of gut and faeces via filtering the microbiota with pH, moisture and temperature stress and anaerobic environment. With respect to the β -diversity, all the microbiome also showed distinct microbial clusters among the three biotopes. This was due to the environmental filter driving the microbial community assembling in a dynamic ecosystem. Rothschild *et al* revealed that the environment dominated in shaping microbiome although it was only considered as a minor factor in the previous studies [43]. The biotope preferences of the microbiota were associated with the environmental and ecological characteristics such as physicochemical properties and physiological capabilities [44-46].

Although all the three biotopes harboured distinct microbial communities from each other, the overlaps among these microbiotas revealed the microbial migration in the grazed steppe ecosystem (Fig. 2). The largest proportion of OTUs overlap occurred between soil and phyllosphere. These overlaps mainly contributed by bacteria and fungi suggested that the majority of phyllosphere bacteria and fungi originated from soil. In other words, soil showed its potential as a microbial source reservoir of phyllosphere [47]. This was consistent to the common view that phyllosphere microbiota was influenced by the microbiota of surrounding environmental sources initially and then they were selected by the leaf taxa [48, 49].

However, the specific environmental source influencing phyllosphere microbiota most strongly depends on the growing condition of the leaf species. Each leaf shaped a unique environment to thrive for specific microorganisms [19, 28]. For instance, Copeland *et al* found the phyllosphere microbiota of several annual crops mainly originated from soil microbiota in the field condition [48]. Nevertheless, on the *A. thaliana* leaves, the phyllosphere microbiota mirrored airborne microbiota initially in the sterile soil [49]. A small proportion of fecal OTUs also occurred in phyllosphere while most of them were at low level of abundance. Phyllosphere microbiota was colonized on the leaf surface exposed to rapidly

fluctuating temperature, moisture and relatively alternative humidity and nutrient resource [20]. Thus, although the fecal microbiota originated from a specific environment with extreme stresses quite different from most of other biotopes, there were still some OTUs shared by these two biotopes since phyllosphere could offer a temporary microenvironment similar to the fecal microbiota. However, the drastic fluctuation of environment conditions made phyllosphere an extreme and hostile habitat for the microbial community [20]. For instance, a large majority of bacterial colonists in phyllosphere had to face threat of being washed or killed by water, peroxide and UV light [50, 51]. The availability of nutrient sources such as glucose, fructose, and sucrose were also limited for the phyllosphere epiphytic colonization [52]. Hence, phyllosphere microbiota maintained at a low level of abundance because it had to tolerate such environment. Nevertheless, OTUs rarely occurred in both soil and faeces biotopes. Soil lacked of the drastic fluctuation of environmental conditions like phyllosphere to fit the extreme environment condition of faeces. To put it another way, the rapid fluctuation of environment conditions limited the growth and activity of phyllosphere microbiota, but it made phyllosphere a most extensively adaptive biotope for microbiota. Therefore, phyllosphere played the role of bridge to link the microbial communities among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces in such a grazed steppe ecosystem.

Referring to the community structure, the microbial migration among biotopes was predominated by specific taxa. ADONIS and ANOSIM described the increasing community discrepancy among three biotopes with the successive order of fungi, archaea and bacteria (Table 1). The similarity for bacteria was mainly contributed by the shared taxa between soil and phyllosphere. With high relative abundance, Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria occurred in both biotopes of soil and phyllosphere as the dominant phyla (Fig. 3). Whipps and Laforest-Lapointe also found α - and γ -Proteobacteria the most common classes within the

phyllosphere. However, the proximity of the relative abundance of these two phyla in phyllosphere varied from the low abundance of Actinobacteria within tree phyllosphere while the patterns of some Conifer species were consistent to our study [23, 53]. Actinobacteria were the most dominant bacteria in the soil biotope to decompose the plant litters and debris [54]. In addition, the genus of *Streptomyces*, which belonged to Actinobacteria, played role in inhibiting the fungal pathogens within rhizosphere. It was supposed to perform a similar function in the biotope of phyllosphere [55]. Nevertheless, the bacteria in the faeces biotopes showed significant discrepancy from the other two biotopes. The dominant phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes occurred rarely in soil and phyllosphere. The observed dominance of these phyla was due to the extreme environment in the intestinal tract of livestock. Firmicutes were resistant to harsh environmental conditions, especially the desiccation stress, through their Gram-positive cell walls and spore-forming ability [56, 57]. Bacteroidetes were the primary gateway to take advantage of glycans and carbohydrates for the metabolism of the microbiota in the anaerobic and extreme environment in intestinal tracts [58-61]. In contrast to the diversity in bacteria domain, the archaea showed more homogeneous within three biotopes. Thaumarchaeota predominated in both soil and phyllosphere. This distribution was similar to the previous study in the two biotopes respectively [62-64]. Thaumarchaeota were known as a novel phylum serving for the aerobic ammonia oxidization and the nitrification in archaea independent to Crenarchaeota and Euryarchaeota [46, 65, 66]. In the fecal archaea, Euryarchaeota replaced Thaumarchaeota as the dominant phylum. Methanobacteria and Methanomicrobia (belonged to Euryarchaeota) composed the primary assemblages. Both these classes were methanogenic archaea colonized by gut to reduce carbon dioxide into methane through present hydrogen in the intestinal tracts [67, 68]. Therefore, with the environmental filtering and functional selection, bacteria and archaea migrated between biotopes of soil and phyllosphere, rather than faeces.

The only communal phyla migrating among all the three biotopes were Ascomycota and a small amount of Basidiomycota belonging to fungi. It indicated that these fungal phyla possessed robust environmental resistance and extensive environmental adaptability. In most of the previous studies focusing on the fungal communities of soil, phyllosphere and faeces, Ascomycota all occupied the significant place of the dominant phyla order [69-71]. In all the three biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces, the Ascomycota behaviours were saprophytic and played role in decomposing the various substrates such as plant and animal residues to transform them into nutrition for the direct usage of relative biota. Meanwhile, Nectriaceae, a parasitic and saprophytic family belonging to Ascomycota, generally occurred in the livestocks and monocotyledons. Nectriaceae were pivotal for maintaining the balance of the grazed grassland ecosystem because of their function of regulating the host genotypes and environments [70]. Only a few sequences classified into Basidiomycota were shared by all the three biotopes. Most of them belonged to *Cryptococcus*. *Cryptococcus* occurred commonly in the animal to cause systemic infection and its primary risk factor was HIV infection [72, 73]. The *Cryptococcus* from animal faeces could be carried into other biotopes such as soil and phyllosphere with the niches of sufficient utilizable carbon and nitrogen source [74]. However, whether the overlap of *Cryptococcus* could be applied to other terrestrial area was not clear since this overlap occurred rarely in taxa classification and this result may depend on the timing of the sampling. Thus, Ascomycota was the definite phylum migrating in the ecosystem to link all the biotopes. Yet more types of livestock should be brought into the survey to confirm this result since only sheep were investigated in this study.

Physical environmental factors explained more variation of the microbial abundance among biotopes while nitrogen was the most remarkable nutrient source for the microbial distribution. SEM (Fig. 6) showed that temperature explained most of the impacts on fungi and bacteria abundance while pH was the only factor influenced fungi, bacteria and archaea.

Mantel test (Table S4) also demonstrated that temperature influenced microbial diversity significantly. This discrepancy might be owing to the environmental sensitivity of the dominant species such as Actinobacteria, Zygomycota and Thaumarchaeota, so they were replaced by more extensively adaptive and stress-tolerant phyla such as Firmicutes, Ascomycota and Euryarchaeota in the intestinal tracts with harsh environmental conditions [46, 56, 67, 68, 75]. Nitrogen was also found to be the vital nutrition factor revealed by SEM (Fig. 6). This was mainly caused by Ascomycota, the communal and dominant phylum migrating among all the biotopes since it was highly associated with the nitrogen immobilization [75]. In addition, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Fusarium solani*, *Cylindrocarpon tonkinense* and *Gibberella fujiiuroii*, belonging to Ascomycota, all performed function of denitrification [76].

Although soil showed its potential of the microbial reservoir for phyllosphere, only fungi migrated among all the biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces. Thus, the biotopes in an ecosystem were linked by the energy flow through the fungal community. De Vries *et al* reported the fungal-based process and the carbon and nitrogen cycle governed by it led to weak resilience and strong resistance of grassland ecosystem [8]. Our network analysis (Fig. 4) confirmed it by exhibiting the significant discrepancy between the microbial community of grazed and ungrazed grassland after long-term moderate grazing. It was also noteworthy that fungi showed the most marginal variation in the co-occurrence networks. This was consistent to the slower response of fungi due to their lower growth rate and extensively adaptability in contrast to bacteria [6, 38-40]. Therefore, the fungal migration among biotopes made the ecosystem robust for the short-term but instable for the long-term.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this empirical study investigated the distribution and migration of the microbiota among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces through the systematic survey.

Our findings demonstrated that the type of biotopes led the microbial variation. The fungal richness of soil was the highest while the fungal and bacterial richness of faeces was the lowest among biotopes. All the biotopes showed distinct microbiota as for β -diversity and composition. Soil performed its potential of the microbial source of phyllosphere for fungi, bacteria and archaea. However, only Ascomycota belonging to fungi definitely migrated across all the three biotopes. This fungal migration made the microbial network of the ecosystem less resilient but more resistant. Overall, various biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces harboured microbiotas with distinct discrepancy while soil was the microbial reservoir of phyllosphere, and the fungal migration linked all the biotopes. This study provides new insight to clarify the connection and interaction of the biotopes and better understand how to maintain the stability and sustainability of grazed grassland ecosystems with the ongoing ecological and environmental changes.

Method

Experiment design, study site and sample collection

This study employed the soil, phyllosphere and faeces samples from the same grazed grassland to compare and contrast the microbial distribution and migration among them. Samples were collected in Research Station of Animal Ecology (44°18'N, 116°45'E, 1079 m a.s.l) located in the Maodeng Pasture, Inner Mongolia Autonomous region, China. The sampling area belongs to the Xinlingol steppe with a continental temperate semi-arid climate. The mean annual temperature is 3 °C and the mean annual precipitation is 350mm. The dominant vegetation species of the study area is *Leymus chinensis* (Trin.) Tzvel, *Stipa grandis*, *Cleistogenes squarrosa* (Trin.) Keng, and *Agropyron cristatum*. The phyllosphere samples were collected by cutting the leaves approximately 10 cm above ground from 1m × 1m quadrat of selected plots with 3 replicates. The corresponding soil samples were also collected in the same plots from the depth of 0-20 cm using a 3 cm diameter earth boring

auger in August 2017. The excreta collected immediately from Ujimqin sheep which is the primary herbivores of the pasture was studied as the fecal samples.

Measurements of physicochemical properties

The physicochemical characteristics of soil and faeces samples were measured as the Zhao *et al* [77] reported. The phyllosphere properties were measured by the similar methods after ultrasonic leave washing with 50MHz and buffer elution with potassium phosphate buffer [78]. The gravimetric water content was determined as the moisture with over-drying at 105 °C for 24 h; the pH was measured in the shaken soil water suspension with 1:2.5 soil: water ratio; total organic carbon (TOC) of samples was measured by a TOC5000A Analyzer (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) [77]; total phosphate (TP) was determined with the method as previous described [79]; total carbon (TC) and nitrogen (TN) were analyzed with a Vario EL III Elemental Analyzer (Elementar, Hanau, Germany); C/N was calculated by the ratio value of TC and TN; NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N of samples were determined by Continuous Flow Analyzer (AA3, SEAL analytical, Germany) [80].

DNA extraction and sequencing

Soil and fecal DNA were extracted from the collected samples using the MoBio Powersoil and Qiagen Powerfecal isolation kits according to the manufacture instructions respectively (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The phyllosphere genomic samples were extracted using Mobio Powersoil isolation kits after ultrasonic leave washing. Leave samples were aseptically placed in the polypropylene tubes containing 0.1 mol/L potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) as the washing buffer. After the ultrasonic clean bath and the membrane filtration, the microorganisms were dislodged for the nucleic acid extraction [78].

Subsequently, a quality and quantification assessment of the purified DNA was conducted by the Nana-Drop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies Inc., Wilmington,

DE). The final DNA samples obtained from three biotopes were diluted and stored at $-80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for further analysis.

For the Illumina Miseq High-throughput sequencing, PCR amplification of 16S rRNA was performed with universal bacterial primers 338F and 806R in the region V3-V4 [81], universal fungal primers ITS1F and ITS2R [82] and universal archaeal primers 524F-10-ext and arch958R in the region V4-V5 respectively [83]. The amplicon proceeded to be sequenced on an Illumina Miseq PE250 platform.

Statistical analysis

Forward raw sequences for the amplicons were filtered by QIIME to remove the noise and chimeras. After this process, the remained sequences were clustered as operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with the average neighbor algorithm of 0.03 distance. For the libraries of bacteria and archaea, the taxonomy of OTUs was performed using the Silva database (release 128) by RDP classifier (v2.2), while OTUs were classified with the UNITE database (release 6.0) for fungal library.

For bacterial and archaeal libraries, the Silva database (release 128) was used for the taxonomic assignment of OTUs by using RDP classifier (v2.2) [84]. For fungal libraries, OTUs were classified against the UNITE database (release 6.0).

All the statistic analysis were performed in R library using packages of “vegan”, “Hmisc”, “ggtern” and “ggplot2” except for the otherwise annotation. To determine the α -diversity, shannon and simpson index were calculated for microbial diversity while ace and chao index for richness and shannoneven index for evenness. To identify the microbial β -diversity among soil, phyllosphere and faeces, ANOSIM, ADONIS and Polar Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) were performed by the “ANOSIM”, “ADONIS” and “PCoA” function of the “vegan” package [85]. The OTUs overlaps were conducted into the Venn analysis using package of “venndiagramm”. A network-like venn plot was generated to reflect the comparison of OTUs

among three biotopes by the software of gephi. The taxa were also clustered into Hierarchical clustering tree on Order level by the “stats” package built-in R library using the algorithm of bray-curtis. To clarify the alteration of the microbial community among biotopes, the relative abundance of the microbial communities on the phylum level was presented via ANOVA barplot, radar plot and coxcomb plot. The Ternary Analysis, which visually exhibited the microbial variation among three biotopes, was presented by the “ggtern” package [86]. To examine the environmental impact on microbial community, the canonical correlation analysis (CCA) were visualized using the “CCA” function in the “vegan” package and embellished by “ggplot2” package with the environmental factors of “carbon”, “nitrogen”, “nitrate nitrogen”, “ammonia nitrogen”, “phosphorus”, “temperature”, “pH” and “moisture” [85, 87]. All these factors were also calculated for the Mantel test to confirm their respective influence on microbial communities through the “vegan” package [85]. A structure equation model (SEM) was constructed to examine the effect of physical and nutrient factor effect on microbiota among biotopes via path coefficient. SEM was commonly used as a multivariate technique achieved by statistical methods and computer algorithms [88]. The appropriate variables were selected based on the test for pre-assumed causal relationships as an initial step of SEM. Such test was based on the statistical criteria referring to the standard estimating results and path coefficients via Amos, 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) [89].

Network construction

The OTUs with relative abundance < 0.01% were removed to reduce the rare OTUs in the dataset. Only the OTUs occurred in more than half of the samples were screened for the co-occurrence networks. The co-occurrence networks were constructed based on the Spearman correlation matrix with “Hmisc” package [90]. The nodes of the networks indicated the species and the edges between them implied the correlation between the OTUs. The P values were controlling symmetrically for the further multiple test via the false discovery rate (FDR)

procedure [91]. A cutoff wall was set up based on the Spearman correlation values and P values. All the cutoff of correlation coefficient were 0.9 via random matrix theory-based method. The cutoff of the P values for fungi and bacteria were determined as 0.001 [92]. To simplify the network for better visualization, only fungal and bacterial OTUs over than 30 and archaeal OTUs over than 5 were employed. The matrix containing the information of nodes and edges was visualized into image by Gephi platform using the algorithm of Fruchterman Reingold [93].

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Funding

This work was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program (B) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB15010200).

Availability of data and material

Authors' contributions

QZ and ZY conceived and designed the experiments; QZ collected the samples, performed the experiment, analysed the data and wrote the paper. ZY revised the paper. YW, XC and ZX gave the suggestion for the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Reference

1. Olenin S, Ducrotoy JP. The concept of biotope in marine ecology and coastal management. *Mar Pollut Bull.* 2006; 53(1-4):20-29.
2. Dennis RLH, Dapporto L, Dover JW. Ten years of the resource-based habitat paradigm: the biotope-habitat issue and implications for conserving butterfly diversity. *J Insect Biodiv.* 2014; 2(8).
3. Rodiek J, Thomas JW. Wildlife habitat planning and landscape architecture. *Landscape Res.* 2007; 5(3):12-16.
4. Kobori H. Current trends in conservation education in Japan. *Biol Conserv.* 2009; 142(9):1950-1957.
5. Wardle DA, Bardgett RD, Klironomos JN, Setälä H, van der Putten WH, Wall DH. Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. *Science.* 2004; 304(5677):1629-1633.
6. Bardgett RD, van der Putten WH. Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Nature.* 2014; 515(7528):505-511.
7. Andrés P, Moore JC, Simpson RT, Selby G, Cotrufo F, Deneff K, Haddix ML, Shaw EA, de Tomasel CM, Molowny-Horas R et al. Soil food web stability in response to grazing in a semi-arid prairie: The importance of soil textural heterogeneity. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2016; 97:131-143.
8. de Vries FT, Liiri ME, Bjørnlund L, Bowker MA, Christensen S, Setälä HM, Bardgett RD. Land use alters the resistance and resilience of soil food webs to drought. *Nat Clim Change.* 2012; 2(4):276-280.
9. Giller KE, Witter E, McGrath SP. Heavy metals and soil microbes. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2009; 41(10):2031-2037.
10. Zhu YG, Yoshinaga M, Zhao FJ, Rosen BP. Earth Abides Arsenic Biotransformations. *Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci.* 2014; 42:443-467.
11. Adrian L, Szewzyk U, Wecke J, Gorisch H. Bacterial dehalorespiration with chlorinated benzenes. *Nature.* 2000; 408(6812):580-583.
12. Bunge M, Adrian L, Kraus A, Opel M, Lorenz WG, Andreesen JR, Gorisch H, Lechner U. Reductive dehalogenation of chlorinated dioxins by an anaerobic bacterium. *Nature.* 2003; 421(6921):357-360.
13. Mahecha MD, Reichstein M, Carvalhais N, Lasslop G, Lange H, Seneviratne SI, Vargas R, Ammann C, Arain MA, Cescatti A et al. Global Convergence in the Temperature Sensitivity of Respiration at Ecosystem Level. *Science.* 2010; 329(5993):838-840.
14. Lu YH, Conrad R. In situ stable isotope probing of methanogenic archaea in the rice rhizosphere. *Science.* 2005; 309(5737):1088-1090.
15. Brauer SL, Cadillo-Quiroz H, Yashiro E, Yavitt JB, Zinder SH. Isolation of a novel acidiphilic methanogen from an acidic peat bog. *Nature.* 2006; 442(7099):192-194.

16. Yvon-Durocher G, Caffrey JM, Cescatti A, Dossena M, del Giorgio P, Gasol JM, Montoya JM, Pumpanen J, Staehr PA, Trimmer M et al. Reconciling the temperature dependence of respiration across timescales and ecosystem types. *Nature*. 2012; 487(7408):472-476.
17. Erkel C, Kube M, Reinhardt R, Liesack W. Genome of Rice Cluster I archaea - the key methane producers in the rice rhizosphere. *Science*. 2006; 313(5785):370-372.
18. Lindow SE, Leveau JHJ. Phyllosphere microbiology. *Curr Opin Biotech*. 2002; 13(3):238-243.
19. Vacher C, Hampe A, Porté AJ, Sauer U, Compant S, Morris CE. The Phyllosphere: Microbial Jungle at the Plant–Climate Interface. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol System*. 2016; 47(1):1-24.
20. Lindow SE, Brandl MT. Microbiology of the phyllosphere. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2003; 69(4):1875-1883.
21. Rastogi G, Coaker GL, Leveau JH. New insights into the structure and function of phyllosphere microbiota through high-throughput molecular approaches. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*. 2013; 348(1):1-10.
22. Horton MW, Bodenhausen N, Beilsmith K, Meng D, Muegge BD, Subramanian S, Vetter MM, Vilhjalmsson BJ, Nordborg M, Gordon JI et al. Genome-wide association study of *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaf microbial community. *Nat Commun*. 2014; 5:5320.
23. Whipps JM, Hand P, Pink D, Bending GD. Phyllosphere microbiology with special reference to diversity and plant genotype. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2008; 105(6):1744-1755.
24. Monier JM, Lindow SE. Differential survival of solitary and aggregated bacterial cells promotes aggregate formation on leaf surfaces. *P Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2003; 100(26):15977-15982.
25. Melotto M, Underwood W, Koczan J, Nomura K, He SY. Plant stomata function in innate immunity against bacterial invasion. *Cell*. 2006; 126(5):969-980.
26. Knief C, Ramette A, Frances L, Alonso-Blanco C, Vorholt JA. Site and plant species are important determinants of the *Methylobacterium* community composition in the plant phyllosphere. *ISME J*. 2010; 4(6):719-728.
27. Gourion B, Rossignol M, Vorholt JA. A proteomic study of *Methylobacterium extorquens* reveals a response regulator essential for epiphytic growth. *P Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006; 103(35):13186-13191.
28. Vorholt JA. Microbial life in the phyllosphere. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2012; 10(12):828-840.
29. Zimmerman NB, Vitousek PM. Fungal endophyte communities reflect environmental structuring across a Hawaiian landscape. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012; 109(32):13022-13027.
30. Delmotte N, Knief C, Chaffron S, Innerebner G, Roschitzki B, Schlapbach R, von Mering C, Vorholt JA. Community proteogenomics reveals insights into the physiology of phyllosphere bacteria. *P Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009; 106(38):16428-16433.
31. Cai Y, Chang SX, Cheng Y. Greenhouse gas emissions from excreta patches of grazing animals and their mitigation strategies. *Earth Sci Rev*. 2017; 171:44-57.

32. Lin X, Wang S, Ma X, Xu G, Luo C, Li Y, Jiang G, Xie Z. Fluxes of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O in an alpine meadow affected by yak excreta on the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau during summer grazing periods. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2009; 41(4):718-725.
33. Liu S, Zheng R, Guo X, Wang X, Chen L, Hou Y. Effects of yak excreta on soil organic carbon mineralization and microbial communities in alpine wetlands of southwest of China. *J Soil Sediment.* 2018; 19(3):1490-1498.
34. Saggart S, Bolan NS, Bhandral R, Hedley CB, Luo J. A review of emissions of methane, ammonia, and nitrous oxide from animal excreta deposition and farm effluent application in grazed pastures. *New Zeal J Agr Res.* 2010; 47(4):513-544.
35. Cai Y, Akiyama H. Nitrogen loss factors of nitrogen trace gas emissions and leaching from excreta patches in grassland ecosystems: A summary of available data. *Sci Total Environ.* 2016; 572:185-195.
36. Jost DI, Joergensen RG, Sundrum A. Effect of cattle faeces with different microbial biomass content on soil properties, gaseous emissions and plant growth. *Biol Fert Soil.* 2012; 49(1):61-70.
37. Fierer N. Embracing the unknown: disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2017; 15(10):579-590.
38. Sun S, Li S, Avera BN, Strahm BD, Badgley BD. Soil Bacterial and Fungal Communities Show Distinct Recovery Patterns during Forest Ecosystem Restoration. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2017; 83(14).
39. Rousk J, Bååth E. Fungal biomass production and turnover in soil estimated using the acetate-in-ergosterol technique. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2007; 39(8):2173-2177.
40. Rinnan R, Baath E. Differential utilization of carbon substrates by bacteria and fungi in tundra soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2009; 75(11):3611-3620.
41. Peay KG, Belisle M, Fukami T. Phylogenetic relatedness predicts priority effects in nectar yeast communities. *Proc Biol Sci.* 2012; 279(1729):749-758.
42. Romero-Perez GA, Ominski KH, McAllister TA, Krause DO. Effect of environmental factors and influence of rumen and hindgut biogeography on bacterial communities in steers. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2011; 77(1):258-268.
43. Rothschild D, Weissbrod O, Barkan E, Kurilshikov A, Korem T, Zeevi D, Costea PI, Godneva A, Kalka IN, Bar N et al. Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature.* 2018; 555(7695):210-+.
44. Stams AJ, Plugge CM. Electron transfer in syntrophic communities of anaerobic bacteria and archaea. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2009; 7(8):568-577.
45. Bates ST, Berg-Lyons D, Caporaso JG, Walters WA, Knight R, Fierer N. Examining the global distribution of dominant archaeal populations in soil. *ISME J.* 2011; 5(5):908-917.
46. Jiao S, Xu Y, Zhang J, Lu Y. Environmental filtering drives distinct continental atlases of soil archaea

- between dryland and wetland agricultural ecosystems. *Microbiome*. 2019; 7(1):15.
47. Zarraonaindia I, Owens SM, Weisenhorn P, West K, Hampton-Marcell J, Lax S, Bokulich NA, Mills DA, Martin G, Taghavi S et al. The soil microbiome influences grapevine-associated microbiota. *MBio*. 2015; 6(2).
 48. Copeland JK, Yuan L, Layeghifard M, Wang PW, Guttman DS. Seasonal community succession of the phyllosphere microbiome. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 2015; 28(3):274-285.
 49. Maignien L, DeForce EA, Chafee ME, Eren AM, Simmons SL. Ecological succession and stochastic variation in the assembly of *Arabidopsis thaliana* phyllosphere communities. *MBio*. 2014; 5(1):e00682-00613.
 50. Beattie GA, Lindow SE. The Secret Life of Foliar Bacterial Pathogens on Leaves. *Annu Rev Phytopathol*. 1995; 33:145-172.
 51. Wilson M, Lindow SE. Ecological Similarity and Coexistence of Epiphytic Ice-Nucleating (Ice(+)) *Pseudomonas-Syringae* Strains and a Non-Ice-Nucleating (Ice(-)) Biological-Control Agent. *Appl Environ Microb*. 1994; 60(9):3128-3137.
 52. Wilson M, Lindow SE. Coexistence among Epiphytic Bacterial-Populations Mediated through Nutritional Resource Partitioning. *Appl Environ Microb*. 1994; 60(12):4468-4477.
 53. Laforest-Lapointe I, Messier C, Kembel SW. Tree phyllosphere bacterial communities: exploring the magnitude of intra- and inter-individual variation among host species. *PeerJ*. 2016; 4:e2367.
 54. Ventura M, Canchaya C, Tauch A, Chandra G, Fitzgerald GF, Chater KF, van Sinderen D. Genomics of Actinobacteria: tracing the evolutionary history of an ancient phylum. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*. 2007; 71(3):495-548.
 55. Kunova A, Bonaldi M, Saracchi M, Pizzatti C, Chen X, Cortesi P. Selection of *Streptomyces* against soil borne fungal pathogens by a standardized dual culture assay and evaluation of their effects on seed germination and plant growth. *BMC Microbiol*. 2016; 16(1):272.
 56. Van Horn DJ, Okie JG, Buelow HN, Gooseff MN, Barrett JE, Takacs-Vesbach CD. Soil microbial responses to increased moisture and organic resources along a salinity gradient in a polar desert. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2014; 80(10):3034-3043.
 57. Schimel J, Balser TC, Wallenstein M. Microbial stress-response physiology and its implications for ecosystem function. *Ecology*. 2007; 88(6):1386-1394.
 58. Fischbach MA, Sonnenburg JL. Eating for two: how metabolism establishes interspecies interactions in the gut. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2011; 10(4):336-347.
 59. Sonnenburg ED, Zheng H, Joglekar P, Higginbottom SK, Firkbank SJ, Bolam DN, Sonnenburg JL. Specificity of polysaccharide use in intestinal bacteroides species determines diet-induced microbiota alterations. *Cell*. 2010; 141(7):1241-1252.
 60. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, Bittinger K, Chen YY, Keilbaugh SA, Bewtra M, Knights D, Walters WA, Knight R et al. Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. *Science*. 2011;

334(6052):105-108.

61. Faith JJ, McNulty NP, Rey FE, Gordon JI. Predicting a human gut microbiota's response to diet in gnotobiotic mice. *Science*. 2011; 333(6038):101-104.
62. Fierer N, Leff JW, Adams BJ, Nielsen UN, Bates ST, Lauber CL, Owens S, Gilbert JA, Wall DH, Caporaso JG. Cross-biome metagenomic analyses of soil microbial communities and their functional attributes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012; 109(52):21390-21395.
63. Rinta-Kanto JM, Sinkko H, Rajala T, Al-Soud WA, Sorensen SJ, Tamminen MV, Timonen S. Natural decay process affects the abundance and community structure of Bacteria and Archaea in *Picea abies* logs. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2016; 92(7).
64. Taffner J, Cernava T, Erlacher A, Berg G. Novel insights into plant-associated archaea and their functioning in arugula (*Eruca sativa* Mill.). *J Adv Res*. 2019; 19:39-48.
65. Brochier-Armanet C, Gribaldo S, Forterre P. Spotlight on the Thaumarchaeota. *ISME J*. 2012; 6(2):227-230.
66. Konneke M, Bernhard AE, de la Torre JR, Walker CB, Waterbury JB, Stahl DA. Isolation of an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing marine archaeon. *Nature*. 2005; 437(7058):543-546.
67. Roccarina D, Lauritano EC, Gabrielli M, Franceschi F, Ojetti V, Gasbarrini A. The role of methane in intestinal diseases. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2010; 105(6):1250-1256.
68. van de Pol JA, van Best N, Mbakwa CA, Thijs C, Savelkoul PH, Arts IC, Hornef MW, Mommers M, Penders J. Gut Colonization by Methanogenic Archaea Is Associated with Organic Dairy Consumption in Children. *Front Microbiol*. 2017; 8:355.
69. Yelle DJ, Ralph J, Lu F, Hammel KE. Evidence for cleavage of lignin by a brown rot basidiomycete. *Environ Microbiol*. 2008; 10(7):1844-1849.
70. Jia T, Wang R, Fan X, Chai B. A Comparative Study of Fungal Community Structure, Diversity and Richness between the Soil and the Phyllosphere of Native Grass Species in a Copper Tailings Dam in Shanxi Province, China. *Appl Sci*. 2018; 8(8).
71. Perazzolli M, Antonielli L, Storari M, Puopolo G, Pancher M, Giovannini O, Pindo M, Pertot I. Resilience of the natural phyllosphere microbiota of the grapevine to chemical and biological pesticides. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2014; 80(12):3585-3596.
72. Cafarchia C, Camarda A, Romito D, Campolo M, Quaglia NC, Tullio D, Otranto D. Occurrence of yeasts in cloacae of migratory birds. *Mycopathologia*. 2006; 161(4):229-234.
73. Idnurm A, Bahn YS, Nielsen K, Lin X, Fraser JA, Heitman J. Deciphering the model pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2005; 3(10):753-764.
74. Nielsen K, De Obaldia AL, Heitman J. *Cryptococcus neoformans* mates on pigeon guano: implications for the realized ecological niche and globalization. *Eukaryot Cell*. 2007; 6(6):949-959.
75. Egidi E, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Plett JM, Wang J, Eldridge DJ, Bardgett RD, Maestre FT, Singh BK. A few Ascomycota taxa dominate soil fungal communities worldwide. *Nat Commun*. 2019;

10(1):2369.

76. Levy-Booth DJ, Prescott CE, Grayston SJ. Microbial functional genes involved in nitrogen fixation, nitrification and denitrification in forest ecosystems. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2014; 75:11-25.
77. Zhao Q, Niu H, Wang Y, Cui X, Li Y, Yu Z. Response of soil bacterial communities to moisture and grazing in the Tibetan alpine steppes on a small spatial scale. *Geomicrobiol Jc.* 2019; 36(6):559-569.
78. Zhang B, Bai Z, Hoefel D, Tang L, Yang Z, Zhuang G, Yang J, Zhang H. Assessing the impact of the biological control agent *Bacillus thuringiensis* on the indigenous microbial community within the pepper plant phyllosphere. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 2008; 284(1):102-108.
79. Brookes PC, Powlson DS, Jenkinson DS. Measurement of Microbial Biomass Phosphorus in Soil. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 1982; 14(4):319-329.
80. Zhao ZB, He JZ, Geisen S, Han LL, Wang JT, Shen JP, Wei WX, Fang YT, Li PP, Zhang LM. Protist communities are more sensitive to nitrogen fertilization than other microorganisms in diverse agricultural soils. *Microbiome.* 2019; 7(1):33.
81. Huse SM, Dethlefsen L, Huber JA, Mark Welch D, Relman DA, Sogin ML. Exploring microbial diversity and taxonomy using SSU rRNA hypervariable tag sequencing. *PLoS Genet.* 2008; 4(11):e1000255.
82. Bokulich NA, Mills DA. Improved selection of internal transcribed spacer-specific primers enables quantitative, ultra-high-throughput profiling of fungal communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2013; 79(8):2519-2526.
83. Pires AC, Cleary DF, Almeida A, Cunha A, Dealtry S, Mendonca-Hagler LC, Smalla K, Gomes NC. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis and barcoded pyrosequencing reveal unprecedented archaeal diversity in mangrove sediment and rhizosphere samples. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2012; 78(16):5520-5528.
84. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2007; 73(16):5261-5267.
85. Dixon P. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *J Veg Sci.* 2003; 14(6):927-930.
86. Hamilton N. ggtern: An Extension to 'ggplot2', for the Creation of Ternary Diagrams. *Anesthesiology.* 2017; 64(64):72-86.
87. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. New York: Springer Science & Business Media; 2009.
88. Gupta V, Kapur PK, Kumar D. Modeling and measuring attributes influencing DevOps implementation in an enterprise using structural equation modeling. *Information and Software Technology.* 2017; 92:75-91.
89. Mardani A, Streimikiene D, Zavadskas EK, Cavallaro F, Nilashi M, Jusoh A, Zare H. Application of Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to Solve Environmental Sustainability Problems: A

- Comprehensive Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sustainability*. 2017; 9(10).
90. Harrell FE. *Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous*. 2008; 3:4-4.
 91. Benjamini Y, Krieger AM, Yekutieli D. Adaptive linear step-up procedures that control the false discovery rate. *Biometrika*. 2006; 93(3):491-507.
 92. Luo F, Zhong JX, Yang YF, Scheuermann RH, Zhou JZ. Application of random matrix theory to biological networks. *Phys Lett A*. 2006; 357(6):420-423.
 93. Bastian M, Heymann S, Jacomy M. Gephi: An Open Source Software for Exploring and Manipulating Networks. In: *International Aaai Conference on Weblogs & Social Media; Third International Aaai Conference on Weblogs & Social Media*. 2009; 8: 361-362.

Figure.1 (A) Weighed Unifrac PcoA plot of fungal, bacterial and archaeal community composition among biotopes. (B) Ternary plot depicting compartment relative abundance of fungal, bacterial and archaeal OTUs among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces. Each point corresponds to a class. Its position represents its relative abundance with respect to each biotope, and its size represents average relative abundance across biotopes. All the classes in the same phylum are exhibited in the same colour.

Figure. 2 (A) Network like Venn plot of microbial communities across biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces. OTUs in soil, phyllosphere and faeces and their mutual overlaps are presented with network topography. (B) Venn plot of fungal, bacterial and archaeal OTUs among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces.

Figure. 3 Relative abundance analysis of fungal, bacterial and archaeal communities in biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces on phylum level visualized by (A) barplot, (B) radar and (C) coxcomb analysis.


Figure. 4 Fungal, bacterial and archaeal co-occurrence networks of soil and phyllosphere biotopes in (A) grazed and (B) ungrazed grassland. Nodes represents individual species; edges represent significant spearman correlations ($|\rho| > 0.9$, $p < 0.001$). All the species classified in the same phylum are visualized in the same colour.

Figure. 5 Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) of the environmental factor impacts on fungal, bacterial and archaeal community composition.

Figure. 6 Structural equation model (SEM) of relationship between physical factors, nutrient properties and microbiota. For each significant relationship, standardized coefficient and $p=$ values are given alongside arrow, and arrow weights are proportional to standardized coefficients.

Figures

A



B









Figure 1

(A) Weighed UniFrac PcoA plot of fungal, bacterial and archaeal community composition among biotopes. (B) Ternary plot depicting compartment relative abundance of fungal, bacterial and archaeal OTUs among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces. Each point corresponds to a class. Its position represents its relative abundance with respect to each biotope, and its size represents average relative abundance across biotopes. All the classes in the same phylum are exhibited in the same colour.

A**B****Figure 2**

(A) Network like Venn plot of microbial communities across biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces. OTUs in soil, phyllosphere and faeces and their mutual overlaps are presented with network topography.

(B) Venn plot of fungal, bacterial and archaeal OTUs among biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces.

A**B****C****Figure 3**

Relative abundance analysis of fungal, bacterial and archaeal communities in biotopes of soil, phyllosphere and faeces on phylum level visualized by (A) barplot, (B) radar and (C) coxcomb analysis.




Figure 4

Fungal, bacterial and archaeal co-occurrence networks of soil and phyllosphere biotopes in (A) grazed and (B) ungrazed grassland. Nodes represents individual species; edges represent significant spearman correlations ($|\rho| > 0.9$, $P < 0.001$). All the species classified in the same phylum are visualized in the same colour.




Figure 5

Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) of the environmental factor impacts on fungal, bacterial and archaeal community composition.




Figure 6

Structural equation model (SEM) of relationship between physical factors, nutrient properties and microbiota. For each significant relationship, standardised coefficient and p = values are given alongside arrow, and arrow weights are proportional to standardised coefficients.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplementarymaterial.docx](#)