Characterization of a potential probiotic bacterium *Lactococcus raffinolactis* WiKim0068 isolated from fermented vegetable using genomic and *in vitro* analyses

Min Young Jung

World Kimchi Institute

Changsu Lee

World Kimchi Institute

Myung-Ji Seo

Incheon National University

Se Hee Lee

World Kimchi Institute

Seong Woon Roh (✉ seong18@gmail.com)

World Institute of Kimchi <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0609-6130>

Research article

Keywords: *Lactococcus raffinolactis*, genome sequence, kimchi, probiotics, vitamin B3

Posted Date: April 29th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.19681/v3>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Microbiology on May 27th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-020-01820-9>.

Abstract

Background: *Lactococcus* members belonging to lactic acid bacteria are widely used as starter bacteria in the production of fermented dairy products. From kimchi, a Korean food made of fermented vegetables, *Lactococcus raffinolactis* WiKim0068 was isolated and its genome was analyzed.

Results: The complete genome of the strain WiKim0068 consists of one chromosome and two plasmids that comprises 2,292,235 bp, with a G+C content of 39.7 mol%. Analysis of orthoANI values among *Lactococcus* genome sequences showed that the strain WiKim0068 has > 67% sequence similarity to other species and subspecies. In addition, it displayed no antibiotic resistance and can metabolize nicotinate and nicotinamide (vitamin B3).

Conclusion: These results augments our understanding of the genus *Lactococcus* and suggest that this new strain has potential industrial applications.

Background

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) activity improves the texture, flavor, and scent of dairy products during fermentation and ripening [1]. In these products, LAB starters contribute to flavor development through the (bio)chemical conversion of milk components, such as lactose, fat, casein via glycolysis, lipolysis, and proteolysis, respectively [2]. Moreover, another favorable property of LAB is its ability to adhere to the host intestinal tract which enables them to be effective probiotic strains [3].

The genus *Lactococcus* includes Gram-positive, catalase-negative, non-motile, non-sporulating, cocci-shaped LAB [4]. *Lactococcus* members are widely used as starter bacteria in the production of fermented dairy products, such as cheese and yogurt [5, 6]. Three species within the genus *Lactococcus*—*L. raffinolactis*, *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*, and *L. lactis* subsp. *cremoris*—are listed among the inventory of microbial food cultures (MFC) of fermented food products as species with demonstrated safety [7].

L. raffinolactis is distributed in a wide range of products, including fermented foods such as fish, meat, vegetables, and milk and other materials of plant and animal origin [8, 9]. *L. raffinolactis*, can ferment α -galactosides, such as raffinose and melibios, which are not used by *L. lactis* [10, 11]. The α -galactosides are dominant in soy-derived foods and induce to flatulence and diarrhea. Therefore, fermentation feature of these sugars is a significant advantage for use as a starter in dairy products. In this study, we report the isolation, identification, and characterization of the *L. raffinolactis* WiKim0068 isolated from fermented cabbage (kimchi). We also evaluated the possibility of using the strain WiKim0068 in dairy products, and the safety of the strain. Further, we analyzed its proteolytic enzymes through complete genome sequence analysis. *In vitro* assays and predictive gene analysis for antibiotic resistance and adhesion were also performed.

Results And Discussion

Phylogenetic and phenotypic features of the isolated LAB strain

The bacterial strain, designated WiKim0068, was isolated from a Korean fermented food, kimchi. In order to identify the phylogenetic similarity of the strain, 16S rRNA gene based phylogenetic analysis of strain WiKim0068 was performed and the closely related strains were found to be *L. raffinolactis* NBRC 100932^T with a similarity of 99.9% (Fig. 1). This result indicated that strain WiKim0068 belongs to *L. raffinolactis* species. Sugar assimilation/acid formation test conducted using API 50CH revealed positive results for galactose, glucose, fructose, mannose, mannitol, *N*-acetylglucosamine, esculin, ferric citrate, salicin, cellobiose, maltose, melibiose, saccharose, trehalose, raffinose, and turanose, whereas H₂S production and urease were negative. Enzyme detection performed with an API ZYM kit indicated esterase, leucine arylamidase, and naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase activities.

General genomic features of *L. raffinolactis* WiKim0068

The PacBio RS II sequencing system generated 74,558 reads, with an average read length of 8,212 bp. The complete genome of the strain WiKim0068 consisted of a circular 2.22 Mb chromosome and two circular plasmids, with a total size of 2.29 Mb. The chromosome contained 2,060 predicted protein-coding genes (CDSs), 13 rRNA genes (5S rRNA, 5; 16S rRNA, 4; 23S rRNA, 4), 55 tRNAs, and 3 other RNAs. The WiKim0068 genome was found to contain 39.7 mol% G+C content (Table 1), which is within the range of 35.5–46.4% reported for *Lactococcus* species [12], and similar to the 39.25 mol% observed in two *L. raffinolactis* strains, 4877 (CALL00000000) and NBRC 100932^T (BCVN00000000). For functional classification, WiKim0068 genome was analyzed using the clusters of orthologous genes (COG) database (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/>), and 2,000 genes were annotated. The annotated genes were associated with the following categories: general function prediction only (R; 237 genes), carbohydrate transport and metabolism (G; 210 genes), function unknown (S; 190 genes), amino acid transport and metabolism (E; 180 genes), coenzyme transport and metabolism (H; 70 genes), defense mechanisms (V; 63 genes), and secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism (Q; 15 genes; Supplementary Table S1). In addition, Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology analysis revealed genes related to the following categories: stress response (2.62%), cofactors, vitamins, prosthetic groups, pigments (5.30%), and virulence, disease, and defense (3.39%) (Supplementary Fig. S1). Stress response-related genes category included: “osmotic stress” (5 genes), “oxidative stress” (17 genes), “cold shock” (1 gene), “heat shock” (15 genes), “detoxification” (9 genes), and “no subcategory” (1 gene). The category of cofactors, vitamins, prosthetic groups, pigments included those related to “biotin” (15 genes), “NAD and NADP” (14 genes), “riboflavin, FMN, FAD” (8 genes), and “folate and pterines” (33 genes). The category of virulence, disease, and defense included mainly those involved in “bacteriocins, ribosomally synthesized antibacterial peptides” (8 genes), “resistance to antibiotics and toxic compounds” (29 genes), and “invasion and intracellular resistance” (15 genes). Of these, biotin, riboflavin, and folate are related to human health and digestion and cause various symptoms when deficient [13]. Bacteriocins are antimicrobial peptides produced by bacteria [14] and an alternative to treat antibiotic resistant bacteria. Significantly, bacteriocins production have been regarded as an important feature in

the selection of probiotic strains. These genes were associated with the presence of useful probiotic characteristics, which play important roles in the food and pharmaceutical industries [15-17].

Comparative genomic analysis

Analysis of the orthologous average nucleotide identity (orthoANI) values among *Lactococcus* genome sequences showed that strain WiKim0068 had 68.55–98.73% genome sequence similarities with other species and subspecies. Its genome was most closely related to that of *L. raffinolactis* NBRC 100932^T (98.73%), followed by *L. raffinolactis* 4877 (87.02%), *L. piscium* MKFS47 (76.57%), *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* IL 1403 (69.41%), *L. fujiensis* JCM 16395 (68.12%), and *L. garvieae* ATCC 49156 (68.55%; Fig. 2). Thus, the comparative whole genome sequence analysis indicated that the strain WiKim0068 belongs to the species *L. raffinolactis* [18]. Its similarity to its two closest relative strains (*L. raffinolactis* NBRC 100932^T and *L. raffinolactis* 4877), based on BLAST comparison, is shown in Fig. 3. This figure describes the difference in GC contents and similarities between strain WiKim0068 and two closest relative strains. Furthermore, we searched for clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs) using the CRISPRFinder platform, but no confirmed CRISPRs were found in the WiKim0068 genome.

Phage and pathogenesis-related genes

PHAST analysis was performed to identify prophage contamination in the genome of WiKim0068. The chromosome contained two intact, one incomplete, and one questionable prophage. The first plasmid (pWiKim0068-1) contained only one incomplete prophage, while the second plasmid (pWiKim0068-2) contained none (Supplementary Fig. S2). Intact prophage regions were located between positions 57,319–90,123 and 1,524,268–1,563,900 bp of the chromosome.

Carbon metabolic pathway

Predicted metabolic pathways in the strain WiKim0068 were associated with diverse phosphotransferase (PTS) systems or permeases that transport various carbohydrates, including d-glucose, d-galactose, d-mannose, trehalose, sucrose, cellobiose, *N*-acetyl-glucosamine, fructose, maltose, mannitol, galactitol, and lactose. The presence of these transport genes suggested that the strain WiKim0068 uses various carbohydrates for fermentation (Fig. 4). Based on the metabolic pathways, it was confirmed that the strain WiKim0068 had heterofermentative pathways.

Hexoses (glucose, fructose, and mannose) are converted to lactate, ethanol, and carbon dioxide. Additionally, d- and l-Lactate are produced from the reduction of pyruvate by d-lactate dehydrogenase (d-LDH) (EC 1.1.1.28) and l-lactate dehydrogenase (l-LDH) (EC 1.1.1.27), respectively. However, strain WiKim0068 harbors only l-LDH (locus tag: CMV25_RS07125). Notably, as shown in a previous report, l-LDH was identified in *Lactococcus lactis*, which belongs to the same genus as the strain WiKim0068 [19]. Since d-lactate produced by LAB may induce d-lactate acidosis in some individuals [20], it is important to develop LAB for the production of dairy products that produce only l-lactate. Therefore, the lack of d-LDH is an advantage that makes the strain WiKim0068 suitable for potential applications in the dairy industry.

Antibiotics susceptibility

Recently, interest in foods as mediators of antibiotics resistance has been increasing. LAB, which are widely used in probiotics and as starter cultures, have the potential to serve as hosts for antibiotic resistance genes, and present the risk of transferring genes from various LAB and bacterial pathogens [21]. The strain WiKim0068 showed susceptibility to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, gentamicin, penicillin, rifampin, tetracycline, and vancomycin (Table 2). Although the strain WiKim0068 was predicted to have vancomycin resistant gene in the genome, antibiotics test confirmed that it was sensitive to vancomycin. This result based on the antibiotic resistance gene prediction can be obtained from a cluster of vancomycin resistant genes. Strain WiKim0068 had only *vanW* gene among the vancomycin resistance gene cluster, and the function of this gene is still unknown. The safety of *L. lactis* strains has not yet been assured through the comparison of antibiotic susceptibility profiles and the presence of the genes putatively encoding antibiotic resistance-related proteins [22, 23]. The analysis of *L. raffinolactis* WiKim0068 based on ResFinder 3.0 did not detect antimicrobial resistance genes against aminoglycoside, beta-lactam, colistin, fluoroquinolone, fosfomycin, fusidic acid, glycopeptide, macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B, nitroimidazole, oxazolidinone, phenicol, rifampicin, sulphonamide, tetracycline, or trimethoprim. The safety against antibiotic resistance of *L. raffinolactis* WiKim0068 could be confirmed by the antibiotic susceptibility test and antibiotic resistance gene prediction.

Adhesion ability, amino acid, and nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism

The capacity to adhere to mucosal surfaces is a useful assay to determine whether probiotic strains have beneficial health effects [24]. Strain WiKim0068 was bound to Caco-2 cell cultures, and its adhesion did not significantly differ from that of *L. rhamnosus* GG (Welch's t-test, $P > 0.05$) (data not shown). The extracellular proteins of lactobacilli play important roles mediating interactions with the host or the environment [25]. Cell surface proteins of strain WiKim0068 include glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, triosephosphate isomerase, trehalose and maltose hydrolases (possible phosphorylases), beta-galactosidase, lipoprotein signal peptidase, and sortase (surface protein transpeptidase), which have been implicated in adhesion or binding to other cells [26]. The amino acid metabolism-related genes of strain WiKim0068 were annotated using the KEGG database. Among 163 genes involved in amino acid metabolism, strain WiKim0068 harbors the most genes involved in the amino acid metabolism of cysteine, methionine, alanine, aspartate, and glutamate (Fig. 5), suggesting that the strain biosynthesize and utilize various amino acids.

Vitamin B3, one of the eight B-vitamins, is also known as nicotinate or niacin. This endogenous metabolite is an effective antioxidant that prevents oxidative damage [27]. In general, nicotinamide and nicotinate metabolites are frequently reported in *Lactobacillus* strains [28-30], while *Lactococcus* members were not known to produce these metabolites until now. Interestingly, *in silico* analysis of WiKim0068 genome predicted an almost complete complement metabolic pathway from the genes involved in the metabolism of nicotinate and nicotinamide (Fig. 6). Demonstrating this, 0.932 mg L^{-1} vitamin B3 was extracted from the cultured cells (Supplementary Fig. S3). These results indicated that

nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism occurs in strain WiKim0068. For comparison, studied 15 LAB isolated from kimchi; *Leuconostoc* spp. produced 0.837-1.05 mg L⁻¹ vitamin B3, and *Lactobacillus* species, *L. sakei*, and *L. curvatus* produced 0.05-0.1 mg L⁻¹.

Conclusions

The complete genome of *L. raffinolactis* WiKim0068 revealed its general genomic features, carbon metabolic pathway, and its ability to produce and utilize nicotinate and nicotinamide. In addition, *in vitro* analysis indicated that the strain possesses beneficial health effects such as vitamin B3 production. These results suggest that *L. raffinolactis* WiKim0068 could be utilized in comparative genome analysis with other *Lactococcus* strains.

Methods

Isolation and characterization of the bacterial strain

The strain WiKim0068 was isolated from kimchi, a Korean fermented food, in Gwangju, Korea using the dilution plating method, and incubated on De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar (MB cell, LA, USA) at 30°C for 48 h under anaerobic conditions (BD GasPak™ EZ Anaerobe Container Systems, New Jersey, USA). Physiological characteristics (acid production, carbon-source utilization, enzyme activity, and biochemical feature) were determined using the API 50CH, API ZYM, and API 20E galleries (bioMérieux, France), according to the manufacturer's instructions [31, 32], while the bacteria were incubated at 30°C for 48 h under anaerobic conditions. Anaerobic conditions were maintained using mineral oil.

Genome sequencing and annotation

Genomic DNA extraction was performed using the QIAcube system with a QIAamp DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The genome was sequenced using the PacBio RS II sequencing system (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA). The reads were assembled *de novo* using Hierarchical Genome Assembly Process version 3.0 (HGAP 3.0) in PacBio SMRT analysis version 2.3.0., as described by Jang *et al.* [33]. The complete genome sequence was annotated using the combined results of the automatic National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Prokaryotic Genomes Annotation Pipeline 4.1 [34] and the RAST server [35]. Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences extracted from the genome, were constructed, as described by Ismaeil *et al.* [36], using the neighbor-joining [37], minimum-evolution [38], and maximum likelihood [39] methods, based on 1,000 randomly generated trees. Protein functions were grouped according to COG using WebMGA on-line tools (for carbohydrate metabolism, antibiotic resistance-related genes, adhesion, proteolytic enzymes, and amino acid metabolism) [40]. Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolic pathway was mapped using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [41]. The fermentative metabolic pathways were constructed based on predicted KEGG pathways and BLASTP analysis using reference gene sequences. Antimicrobial resistance genes were identified using ResFinder 3.0, available from the Center for Genomic

Epidemiology (<http://genomicepidemiology.org/>). Prophage identification was performed using the PHAge Search Tool (PHAST) [42]. The complete genome sequences have been deposited to the DNA databank of Japan/the European Molecular Biology Laboratory/GenBank under the accession numbers CP023392–CP023394.

Carbon metabolic pathway

The fermentative metabolic pathways of *L. raffinolactis* WiKim0068 were constructed based on predicted KEGG pathways and BLASTP analysis. In detail, the genes of *L. raffinolactis* WiKim0068 were mapped to the five KEGG pathways (pentose phosphate pathway, fructose and mannose metabolism, pathways for pyruvate, galactose, starch, and sucrose metabolism). Then, only mapped genes were used to draw one pathway (Fig. 4), and the functions of the individual genes were reconfirmed using BLASTP.

Comparative genomic analysis

For comparative genomic analysis of strain WiKim0068, the genome sequences of two other *Lactococcus raffinolactis* strains: *L. raffinolactis* 4877 (CALL000000000.1) and *L. raffinolactis* NBRC 100932^T (BCVN000000000.1) were obtained from GenBank and used as references. To determine the similarity between genome sequences, OrthoANI values of *L. raffinolactis* WiKim0068 and related strains in the genus *Lactococcus* were calculated using the orthologous average nucleotide identity tool (OAT software, www.ezbiocloud.net/sw/oat; ChunLab) [43]. Circular comparison map of the genomic sequences was created using Blast Ring Image Generator (BRIG) software [44]. Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs) were analyzed using CRISPRFinder [45]. When the algorithm was detected exactly three identical (repeated and sequential) repeating regions separated by a variable order, it was considered "confirmed CRISPR".

***In vitro* analyses**

Antibiotic susceptibility test

Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by the agar disk diffusion method on MRS agar according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [46]. The 100 µL inoculum ($10^7 - 10^8$ CFU mL⁻¹) was spread on MRS plates. Antibiotic disks (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, USA) were placed on MRS agar plates, incubated at 30°C for 48 h, and the diameter of each clear zone was measured in millimeters. Disks containing ampicillin (10 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), erythromycin (15 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), penicillin (10 U), rifampin (5 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), and vancomycin (30 µg) were used.

Adhesion assay

Human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line Caco-2 (HTB-37) was obtained from the Korea Collection for Type Culture (KCTC). Caco-2 cells were grown in minimum essential medium (MEM) according to KCTC guidelines. Adhesion of bacteria to Caco-2 cells was tested as previously described [47]. Briefly, the

strains were added to confluent cell layers (10^6 CFU well⁻¹) in antibiotic-free cell media. After 2 h of incubation, the cell layer was washed to remove non-adherent bacteria and lysed by the addition of 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The viable adhered bacteria were plated on LAB Petrifilm (3M Company, St. Paul, MN, USA) and the cell number was counted after incubation at 30°C for 48 h. Adhesion experiments were performed in triplicate and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG (KCTC 5033) was used as a control. Statistical evaluation was performed using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Differences were considered statistically significant when $P < 0.05$.

Quantitative vitamin B analysis

The strain WiKim0068 was cultured at 30°C for 48 h in MRS broth under anaerobic conditions. The cell-free supernatant was collected using a 0.22 µm syringe filter. Two microliters of the cell-free supernatant was injected into the HPLC system. Vitamin B levels were determined with a NexeraX2 HPLC (Shimadzu, Japan) equipped with an LCMS-2020 LC/MS System (Shimadzu). The compounds were separated on an Aegispak C-8 column (150 mm × 2 mm, 3 µm; Young Jin Biochrom, Korea) at 40°C. Mobile phase A was a 0.1% formic acid in distilled water and mobile phase B was 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. The gradient elution was as follows: from 0 to 1 min isocratic elution with 100% of mobile phase A, then the mobile phase B content was increased linearly to 75% in 20 min. Finally, the isocratic elution (25% A and 75% B) was continued for 7 min. Solvents were delivered at a total flow rate of 0.25 mL min⁻¹. The re-equilibration time was 5 min. Optimal operating conditions for LC-MS/MS analysis were applied according to the method reported by Wirkus *et al.* [47]. Reference vitamin B group standards with 99 % purity supplied by the Sigma-Aldrich were used. All experiments were repeated at least three times. Statistical analysis was performed using Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) test carried out in the "agricolae" package of the R program for group comparisons. Results with $p < 0.05$ were regarded as statistically significant.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The complete genome sequences have been deposited to the DNA databank of Japan/the European Molecular Biology Laboratory/GenBank under the accession numbers CP023392–CP023394.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Funding

This research was supported by a grant from the World Institute of Kimchi, funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (KE2001-2) and Korea Institute of Planning and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (IPET) through Agricultural Microbiome R&D Program, funded by Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (MAFRA) [918006-04-1-HD020].

Authors' contributions

SWR and SHL designed and coordinated all the experiments. MYJ performed cultivation, DNA extraction and purification. CSL, MYJ, and MJS performed sequencing, genome assembly, gene prediction, gene annotation, and comparative genomic analysis. CSL, MYJ, SHL, and SWR wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Abbreviations

CDS: Coding sequences; RAST: Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology; PHAST: PHAge Search Tool; KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; orthoANI: orthologous Average Nucleotide Identity; COG: Clusters of Orthologous Genes

References

1. Bojanic M, Rasovic, Mayrhofer S, Martinovic A, Durr K, Domig KJ. Lactococci of local origin as potential starter cultures for traditional montenegrin cheese production. *Food Technol Biotechnol*. 2017;55(1):55-66; doi: 10.17113/ftb.55.01.17.4854.
2. Smit G, Smit BA, Engels WJ. Flavour formation by lactic acid bacteria and biochemical flavour profiling of cheese products. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*. 2005;29(3):591-610; doi: 10.1016/j.femsre.2005.04.002.
3. Ouwehand AC, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Probiotics: an overview of beneficial effects. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*. 2002;82(1-4):279-89.
4. Schleifer KH, Kraus J, Dvorak C, Kilpper-Balz R, Collins MD, Fisher W et al. Transfer of *Streptococcus lactis* and related streptococci to the genus *Lactococcus* gen. nov. *Syst Appl Microbiol*. 1985;6:183-95; doi: 10.1099/00221287-131-6-1531.
5. Kimoto-Nira H, Aoki R, Mizumachi K, Sasaki K. Interaction between *Lactococcus lactis* and *Lactococcus raffinolactis* during growth in milk: Development of a new starter culture. *J Dairy Sci*. 2012;95(4):2176-85.

6. Cavanagh D, Fitzgerald GF, McAuliffe O. From field to fermentation: the origins of *Lactococcus lactis* and its domestication to the dairy environment. Food Microbiol. 2015;47:45-61; doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2014.11.001.
7. Bourdichon F, Casaregola S, Farrokh C, Frisvad JC, Gerds ML, Hammes WP et al. Food fermentations: microorganisms with technological beneficial use. Int J Food Microbiol. 2012;154:87-97.
8. Blaiotta G, Pepe O, Mauriello G, Villani F, Andolfi R, Moschetti G. 16S–23S rDNA intergenic spacer region polymorphism of *Lactococcus garvieae*, *Lactococcus raffinolactis* and *Lactococcus lactis* as revealed by PCR and nucleotide sequence analysis. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2002;25(4):520-7.
9. Meslier V, Loux V, Renault P. Genome sequence of *Lactococcus raffinolactis* strain 4877, isolated from natural dairy starter culture. J Bacteriol. 2012;194:6364.
10. Kimoto-Nira H, Aoki R, Mizumachi K, Sasaki K, Naito H, Sawada T et al. Interaction between *Lactococcus lactis* and *Lactococcus raffinolactis* during growth in milk: development of a new starter culture. J Dairy Sci. 2012;95(4):2176-85
11. Boucher I, Vadeboncoeur C, Moineau S. Characterization of genes involved in the metabolism of α -galactosides by *Lactococcus raffinolactis*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2003;69(7):4049-56
12. Yan Yang S, Zheng Y, Huang Z, Min Wang X, Yang H. *Lactococcus nasutitermitis* sp. nov. isolated from a termite gut. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2016;66(1):518-22; doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.000743.
13. Intakes IoMSCotSEoDR: Dietary reference intakes for thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin B6, folate, vitamin B12, pantothenic acid, biotin, and choline: National Academies Press (US); 1998.
14. Dobson A, Cotter PD, Ross RP, Hill C. Bacteriocin production: a probiotic trait? Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78(1):1-6.
15. Rouse S, Sun F, Vaughan A, Van Sinderen D. High-throughput isolation of bacteriocin-producing lactic acid bacteria, with potential application in the brewing industry. J Inst Brew. 2007;113(3):256-62.
16. López-Cuellar MdR, Rodríguez-Hernández A-I, Chavarria-Hernández N. LAB bacteriocin applications in the last decade. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip. 2016;30(6):1039-50.
17. LeBlanc J, Laiño JE, del Valle MJ, Vannini Vv, van Sinderen D, Taranto MP et al. B-Group vitamin production by lactic acid bacteria—current knowledge and potential applications. J Appl Microbiol. 2011;111(6):1297-309.
18. Goris J, Konstantinidis KT, Klappenbach JA, Coenye T, Vandamme P, Tiedje JM. DNA-DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2007;57(Pt 1):81-91; doi: 10.1099/ijms.0.64483-0.
19. Guillot A, Gitton C, Anglade P, Mistou MY. Proteomic analysis of *Lactococcus lactis*, a lactic acid bacterium. Proteomics. 2003;3(3):337-54.
20. Mayeur C, Gratadoux J-J, Bridonneau C, Chegdani F, Larroque B, Kapel N et al. Faecal D/L lactate ratio is a metabolic signature of microbiota imbalance in patients with short bowel syndrome. PLoS One. 2013;8(1):e54335.

21. Mathur S, Singh R. Antibiotic resistance in food lactic acid bacteria—a review. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2005;105(3):281-95; doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.03.008.
22. Oliveira LC, Saraiva TD, Silva WM, Pereira UP, Campos BC, Benevides LJ et al. Analyses of the probiotic property and stress resistance-related genes of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* NCD0 2118 through comparative genomics and *in vitro* assays. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(4):e0175116; 10.1371/journal.pone.0175116.
23. van Veen HW, Konings WN. The ABC family of multidrug transporters in microorganisms. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1998;1365(1-2):31-6
24. Tuomola EM, Salminen SJ. Adhesion of some probiotic and dairy *Lactobacillus* strains to Caco-2 cell cultures. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 1998;41(1):45-51
25. Kleerebezem M, Hols P, Bernard E, Rolain T, Zhou M, Siezen RJ et al. The extracellular biology of the lactobacilli. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2010;34(2):199-230; 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2010.00208.x.
26. Jia FF, Zhang LJ, Pang XH, Gu XX, Abdelazez A, Liang Y et al. Complete genome sequence of bacteriocin-producing *Lactobacillus plantarum* KLDS1.0391, a probiotic strain with gastrointestinal tract resistance and adhesion to the intestinal epithelial cells. *Genomics.* 2017;109(5-6):432-7; 10.1016/j.ygeno.2017.06.008.
27. Lin Y-S, Chen S-H, Huang W-J, Chen C-H, Chien M-Y, Lin S-Y et al. Effects of nicotinic acid derivatives on tyrosinase inhibitory and antioxidant activities. *Food Chem.* 2012;132(4):2074-80.
28. Altermann E, Russell WM, Azcarate-Peril MA, Barrangou R, Buck BL, McAuliffe O et al. Complete genome sequence of the probiotic lactic acid bacterium *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;102(11):3906-12.
29. Azcarate-Peril MA, Altermann E, Goh YJ, Tallon R, Sanozky-Dawes RB, Pfeiler EA et al. Analysis of the genome sequence of *Lactobacillus gasseri* ATCC 33323 reveals the molecular basis of an autochthonous intestinal organism. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2008;74(15):4610-25.
30. Singh P, Chung H-J, Lee I-A, D'Souza R, Kim H-J, Hong S-T. Elucidation of the anti-hyperammonemic mechanism of *Lactobacillus amylovorus* JBD401 by comparative genomic analysis. *BMC Genomics.* 2018;19(1):292.
31. Nam Y, Kim J-H, Konkit M, Kim W. Hepatoprotective effects of *Lactococcus chungangensis* CAU 1447 in alcoholic liver disease. *J Dairy Sci.* 2019;102(12):10737-47.
32. Liou J-S, Huang C-H, Wang C-L, Lee A-Y, Mori K, Tamura T et al. *Lactobacillus suantsaii* sp. nov., isolated from suan-tsai, a traditional Taiwanese fermented mustard green. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* 2019;69(5):1484-9
33. Jang J-Y, Oh YJ, Lim SK, Park HK, Lee C, Kim JY et al. *Salicibibacter kimchii* gen. nov., sp. nov., a moderately halophilic and alkalitolerant bacterium in the family Bacillaceae, isolated from kimchi. *J Microbiol.* 2018;56(12):880-5.
34. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L et al. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016;44(14):6614-24; doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw569.

35. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA et al. The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. BMC Genomics. 2008;9:75; doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-75.
36. Ismaeil M, Yoshida N, Katayama A. *Bacteroides sedimenti* sp. nov., isolated from a chloroethenes-dechlorinating consortium enriched from river sediment. J Microbiol. 2018;56(9):619-27.
37. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol. 1987;4(4):406-25.
38. Rzhetsky A, Nei M. A simple method for estimating and testing minimum-evolution trees. Mol Biol Evol. 1992;9(5):945-67.
39. Felsenstein J. Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: a maximum likelihood approach. J Mol Evol. 1981;17(6):368-76.
40. Wu S, Zhu Z, Fu L, Niu B, Li W. WebMGA: a customizable web server for fast metagenomic sequence analysis. BMC Genomics. 2011;12:444; doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-444.
41. Kanehisa M, Goto S, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. Data, information, knowledge and principle: back to metabolism in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(Database issue):D199-205; doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1076.
42. Zhou Y, Liang Y, Lynch KH, Dennis JJ, Wishart DS. PHAST: a fast phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39(Web Server issue):W347-52; doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr485.
43. Lee I, Kim YO, Park SC, Chun J. OrthoANI: An improved algorithm and software for calculating average nucleotide identity. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2015;66:1100-3; doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.000760.
44. Alikhan NF, Petty NK, Ben Zakour NL, Beatson SA. BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. BMC Genomics. 2011;12:402; doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-402.
45. Grissa I, Vergnaud G, Pourcel C. CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35(Web Server issue):W52-7; doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm360.
46. Wikler MA: Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Seventeenth informational supplement: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2007.
47. Wirkus D, Jakubus A, Owczuk R, Stepnowski P, Paszkiewicz M. Development and application of novelty pretreatment method for the concurrent quantitation of eleven water-soluble B vitamins in ultrafiltrates after renal replacement therapy. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2017;1043:228-34; doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2016.09.021.

Table

Table 1. Comparative genomic features of *Lactococcus raffinolactis* WiKim0068, *L. raffinolactis* 4877, and *L. raffinolactis* NBRC 100932^T.

	Strain		
	WiKim0068	4877	NBRC 100932 ^T
Assembly size (Mb)	2.29	2.28	2.18
DNA G+C content (mol%)	39.7	38.7	39.8
CDSs*	2,187	2,362	2,123
Scaffolds	3	127	114
Genes	2,258	2,409	2,141
Proteins	2,123	2,070	2,030
rRNAs	13	12	2
tRNAs	55	48	29
Finishing quality	Complete	Scaffold	Contig

*CDSs, Coding Sequences

Table 2. Antibiotic susceptibility of *Lactococcus raffinolactis* WiKim0068.

Antibiotic	Antibiotic susceptibility test		
	Amount	Inhibition zone diameter (mm)	Resistant/Susceptible
Ampicillin	10 µg	30	Susceptible
Chloramphenicol	30 µg	40	Susceptible
Ciprofloxacin	5 µg	28	Susceptible
Erythromycin	15 µg	48	Susceptible
Gentamicin	10 µg	18	Susceptible
Penicillin	10 U	64	Susceptible
Rifampin	5 µg	42	Susceptible
Tetracycline	30 µg	65	Susceptible
Vancomycin	30 µg	23	Susceptible

Figures

Figure 1

Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences showing the taxonomic position of the strain WiKim0068. Numbers at the nodes represent bootstrap values (> 70%) and were calculated using neighbor-joining/minimum-evolution/maximum likelihood probabilities based on 1,000 replicates. *Streptococcus pyogenes* ATCC 12344^T was used as an out-group. Bar, 0.01 accumulated changes per nucleotides.

Figure 2

OrthoANI values between *Lactococcus raffinolactis* WiKim0068 and the closely related strains: *L. raffinolactis* NBRC 100932^T (98.73%), *L. raffinolactis* 4877 (87.02%), *L. piscium* MKFS47 (76.57%), *L. fujiensis* JCM 16395 (68.12%), *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* IL 1403 (69.41%), and *L. garvieae* ATCC 49156 (68.55%). The orthoANI values represent the similarity between the genomes.

Figure 3

Circular comparison of the genomes of *Lactococcus raffinolactis* WiKim0068 and reference strains, *L. raffinolactis* NBRC 100932^T and *L. raffinolactis* 4877. The degree of similarity between the strains is represented by color intensity.

Figure 5

Amino acid metabolism-related genes of *Lactococcus raffinolactis* WiKim0068.

Figure 6

Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism in *Lactococcus raffinolactis* WiKim0068. Red boxes indicate enzymes in the nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolic pathway present in the strain WiKim0068.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementarydata.docx](#)