Effect of a positive cumulative fluid balance on the prognosis of patients with sepsis in the Xining area

Si-Qing Ma

Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital

Yun Wang

Affiliated Hospital of Qinghai University

Bin Sun

Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital

Hao Wang (✉ wanghao840323@163.com)

Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital

Xue-Xia Xu

Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital

Zhi-ying Yin

Qinghai university

Junming Luo

Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital

Research Article

Keywords: Sepsis, positive fluid balance, risk factors, Xining, prognosis

Posted Date: August 22nd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1035959/v3>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: The aim of this work is to analyze the effect of a positive cumulative fluid balance and relative clinical indicators on the prognosis of patients with sepsis in the Xining area, China.

Methods: The clinical data of 480 sepsis patients (313 males and 167 females, aged 52–77 (65) years) admitted between January 2017 and December 2019 were retrospectively analyzed. The APACHE II score, SOFA score, SIRS score and clinical laboratory test indicators of the patients were collected. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to analyze the sensitivity and specificity of each indicator in predicting the poor prognosis of patients with sepsis, and the maximum Youden index was used to determine threshold values. Cox regression analysis was performed to assess patient prognosis using data from patients with different fluid balances.

Results: The following clinical indicators were significantly different between the 2 groups ($P < 0.05$): APACHE II score, SOFA score, SIRS score, PCT, IL-6, BNP, CRP, PLT, BUN, CREA, Lac and total fluid balance from days 1 to 5. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for total fluid balance from days 1 to 5 was 0.558, the cut-off value was 2120.5 mL, the sensitivity was 54.0%, and the specificity was 58.1%. The survival rates were different between the 2 groups (60.9% vs 48.9%, $P < 0.05$). Total fluid balance was significantly higher in patients with septic shock and with $\text{Lac} > 2.0 \text{ mmol/L}$ ($P < 0.05$). Cox regression analysis indicated that APACHE II score, SOFA score, PLT score, Lac, and total fluid balance from days 1 to 5 were independent risk factors for poor prognosis.

Conclusion: A positive fluid balance from days 1 to 5 after ICU admission was associated with poor patient outcomes and was an independent risk factor for poor patient prognosis.

Background

Early and adequate fluid resuscitation is the cornerstone of sepsis and septic shock treatment [1, 2]. However, recommended fluid resuscitation regimens may present risks of fluid overload (FO) in some patients with stable blood pressure. In a recent study, it was found that during early fluid resuscitation in patients with sepsis, the first consideration for clinicians is hemodynamic stability, that is, blood pressure stability, rather than changes in organ function. An increasing number of studies have shown that FO can affect the organ function and prognosis of patients with sepsis [3–5]. The altitude of the Xining area of China is 2260 m, the atmospheric pressure is 585 mmHg, the atmospheric oxygen partial pressure is 121 mmHg, and the average human arterial oxygen partial pressure is 75 mmHg. Humans in this location are in a chronic hypoxic environment; however, the human body adapts through compensatory physiological changes. For example, pulmonary arterial pressure gradually increases, and microcirculation hyperplasia occurs. Due to these physiological changes, the cumulative amount of fluid resuscitation will impact the prognosis of patients with sepsis and septic shock. In this study, we retrospectively analyzed the clinical data of sepsis and septic shock patients admitted to our hospital to observe whether the changes in

positive fluid balance in patients are related to adverse patient outcomes and to explore the possible mechanisms.

Methods

Study population

The medical records of 480 sepsis and septic shock patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) of Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital from January 1, 2017, to December 31, 2019, were collected. The inclusion criteria were as follows: admitted patients who met the diagnostic criteria of Sepsis 3.0 [6]; and patients older than 18 years. The exclusion criteria were patients with severe hematological diseases; patients with severe malignant tumors; patients with long-term use of hormones; patients with diabetic ketoacidosis and diabetic hyperosmolar coma; patients who were pregnant; and patients with incomplete clinical data. This clinical trial is registered by Chinese Clinical Trial Registry. The number is ChiCTR190002868. This work has been approved by ethnic Committee of Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital. All patients were performed in accordance with Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2016. The informed consent was obtained from all subjects and/or their legal guardian(s).

Data collection

The following clinical data of patients at the time of admission to the ICU were collected: nationality, sex, source, diagnosis, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II (APACHE II) score, sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score, systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) score, Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score, procalcitonin (PCT) concentration, interleukin-6 (IL-6) concentration, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) concentration, C-reactive protein (CRP) concentration, white blood cell (WBC) count, platelet (PLT) count, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) concentration, creatinine (CREA) concentration, and fluid from days 1 to 5 after ICU admission. The number of days of hospitalization in the ICU and the prognosis at the time of discharge from the ICU were recorded. PCT concentration was determined using a Cobas 8000 (Roche, Germany). BNP concentration was determined using a DXi 800 (Beckman Coulter, USA). Routine blood analyses were performed using an XN9000 (Sysmex Corporation, Japan). Biochemical indicators were analyzed using a Siemens ADVIA2400 automatic biochemical analyzer. Supporting reagents were supplied by the manufacturers.

Monitoring and Treatment

All the patients had invasive arterial blood pressure, some had superior vena cava catheterization, and a few had PICCO. The criteria for fluid therapy include peripheral invasive arterial blood pressure, central venous pressure, PICCO and bedside echocardiography all meet the standards of the Sepsis 3.0 guideline [6]. We did not use vasopressors for sepsis group, while in the septic shock group, we used vasopressors, including norepinephrine, epinephrine, dopamine, terlipressin, metaraminol when fluid resuscitation. All

treatments were performed according to the criteria of Sepsis Guidelines 3.0 and to confirm that all methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations[6].

Outcomes

Hospital mortality was the endpoint, which was defined as the status of patient survival before ICU discharge.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 22.0 was used for data processing. The 1-sample KS test (two-sided test) was used to determine whether the measurement data conformed to a normal distribution. Nonnormally distributed measurement data are presented as $M(Q_1, Q_3)$, and the Mann–Whitney U test was used for comparisons between the 2 groups. Count data were analyzed using the four-grid table or row $\times$ column table χ^2 test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to determine the sensitivity, specificity, and maximum Youden index of each included indicator. The prognosis of patients with different fluid balances was compared using Cox regression analysis. $\alpha < 0.05$ indicated that a difference was statistically significant.

Results

Clinical data between survival group and deceased group

Patients were divided into a survival group and a deceased group based on their status at the time of leaving the ICU. The clinical data of the 2 groups were compared: there was no significant difference between the 2 groups in nationality, sex, etiological composition, or age ($P > 0.05$). Patient source was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$), and the mortality rate for patients from wards (51.2%) was significantly higher than that for patients from the emergency department and from other hospitals (38.8% and 37.4%, respectively). The primary infection was not significantly different between groups ($P > 0.05$). APACHE II score, SOFA score, SIRS score, PCT, IL-6, BNP, CRP, PLT, BUN, CREA, Lac, and total fluid balance from days 1 to 5 were significantly different between the 2 groups ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

Ability of the clinical indicators to predict death from sepsis and septic shock

The value of the significant indicators in the above table (APACHE II score, SOFA score, SIRS score, PCT, IL-6, BNP, CRP, PLT, BUN, CREA, Lac, and total fluid balance from days 1 to 5) in predicting the poor prognosis of patients with sepsis and septic shock was analyzed using ROC curves. The sensitivity, specificity, and maximum Youden index cut-off value are shown in Table 2 and Figure 1.

Comparison of fluid balance based on different clinical factors

There was no significant difference in the total fluid balance from days 1 to 5 between sexes and among different nationalities and different sources ($P > 0.05$). However, the total fluid balance of septic shock patients and patients with Lac > 2.0 mmol/L was significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) (Table 3).

Cox regression analysis

Using 2120.5 ml as the cut-off point for total fluid balance from days 1 to 5, the survival rates for patients with different total fluid balances from days 1 to 5 was statistic difference (60.9% vs. 48.9%, $P < 0.05$). The independent risk factors for poor prognosis included APACHE II score, SOFA score, SIRS score, PCT, IL-6, BNP, CRP, PLT, BUN, CREA, and Lac, and total fluid balance from days 1 to 5 by Cox regression analysis (Table 4, Figure 2).

Discussion

Fluid resuscitation is the basis for maintaining hemodynamic stability and organ and tissue perfusion and for increasing oxygen delivery during the rescue phase of septic shock [7]. Studies have shown that early resuscitation can reduce the mortality of patients with sepsis and septic shock [8–10]. However, excessive fluid resuscitation may be harmful to patients. Many studies have shown that a positive fluid balance or FO is closely associated with the occurrence of acute kidney injury (AKI) and poor patient prognosis [11–16]. Chao et al. [17] found that a negative cumulative body fluid balance from days 1 to 4 reduced the mortality of patients with severe influenza. Our results were very similar to Chao, the median fluid balance from days 1 to 5 in our survival group was 1788ml (Chao's mean was 1161ml) and that in our deceased group was 2399ml (Chao's mean was 3721ml). Judith et al. [18] found that cumulative FO during the first 5 days of pediatric ICU (PICU) admission was an independent risk factor for poor prognosis in children with septic shock. A recent prospective study also showed that in patients who underwent cardiac or aortic surgery, continuous positive fluid balance was associated with AKI and hemodialysis and that the risk of AKI in patients with a continuous positive fluid balance increased by 7.1 times [19]. Our study found that the total fluid balance from days 1 to 5 for patients in the deceased group was significantly higher than that for patients in the survival group, suggesting that total fluid balance from days 1 to 5 may be associated with poor patient prognosis. Furthermore, Cox regression analysis indicated that the total fluid balance from days 1 to 5 was an independent risk factor for poor patient prognosis; the risk of death increased by 0.1% for every 1 ml increase. However, a previous study [20] has shown that after adjusting for disease severity and the lactate clearance rate, cumulative fluid balance was not associated with increased mortality. The reason for this result may be related to the retrospective nature of the analysis. The results of our study showed that there was no significant difference in the mortality rate between sexes, among different nationality groups, and among different ages ($P > 0.05$); however, the mortality rate was significantly different among different sources ($P < 0.05$), with the mortality rate of patients from wards being the highest. One explanation for this result may be that ICU physicians paid more attention to sepsis than general ward physicians or that patients in wards received more fluid therapy. This is just speculation, as relevant data were not collected to address that issue. The comparison of clinical factors showed that there was no difference in fluid balance between sexes, among different nationality groups, and among different sources ($P > 0.05$), indicating that these clinical factors had no effect on fluid balance. However, the fluid balance for patients with septic shock was significantly higher than that for patients with stable hemodynamics at the time of ICU admission, and

the mortality rate for patients with septic shock was significantly higher (49.85% vs. 27.7%), a finding that is consistent with the clinical situation. However, it is not clear whether the poor prognosis of patients is due to unresponsive haemodynamic instability or due to a positive fluid balance. More fluid therapy or a more positive fluid balance may be related to severe vascular leakage and third space leakage, but whether it is a direct cause of increased mortality remains unclear. Because this was a retrospective study, it can only be concluded that a more positive fluid balance may be associated with poor patient prognosis. Cox regression analysis showed that APACHE II score, SOFA score, PLT, and Lac were associated with poor patient prognosis. Studies have confirmed that APACHE II and SOFA scores are associated with poor patient prognosis^[21]. Regarding the prediction of poor patient prognosis, the ROC curve results indicated a sensitivity of 55.6% and specificity of 76.6% for APACHE II > 20 points and a sensitivity of 51.6% and specificity of 80.0% for SOFA > 8 points. The decrease in PLT may be related to the progression of sepsis and dilution caused by the increased body volume resulting from a positive fluid balance. Regression analysis indicated that for every unit increase in PLT, the risk of death decreased by 0.2%. Elevated Lac represents oxygen utilization disorder in the body, which may be caused by oxygen uptake, and oxygen utilization disorder in the tissues, which may be due to the widening of the capillary space after fluid treatment. Among the clinical factors, fluid balance was significantly increased when Lac > 2.0 mmol/L. Lac and fluid balance may have a causal relationship. Unfortunately, this study could not determine cause and effect as it was a retrospective analysis. BUN and CREA in the deceased group were significantly increased at the time of ICU admission; these findings may explain the excessive total fluid load from days 1 to 5 in the deceased group.

Based on the above research results, we need more rigorous studies to re-examine fluid resuscitation therapy for sepsis^[22]. Degradation or damage to the glycocalyx layer of the vascular endothelial cell membrane can occur in the early stage of sepsis, and fluid resuscitation therapy may further damage the glycocalyx layer, especially during rapid infusions and transfusions that result in hypervolemia^[23, 24]. The destruction of the glycocalyx layer of vascular endothelial cells may cause capillary leakage, local tissue edema, and oxygen utilization disorder, which may be side effects of fluid resuscitation treatment, thereby affecting the prognosis of patients. The use of hypertonic fluid for small volume resuscitation in patients with sepsis also requires further study.

Conclusions

This study reviewed the effect of fluid balance on the prognosis of sepsis patients in Chengdong District of Xining City, Qinghai Province. We found that the cumulative fluid balance from days 1 to 5 after admission to the ICU was associated with poor patient prognosis. The risk of death gradually increased when the cumulative fluid balance was greater than + 2120.5 ml (60.9% vs. 48.9%, $P < 0.05$). In view of the above results, fluid management, i.e., cumulative fluid balance, during the treatment of patients with sepsis and septic shock in the Xining area must receive attention from clinicians. Clinicians should focus on a positive fluid balance earlier (within 5 days) and optimize volume management early to achieve a negative cumulative fluid balance.

This study has limitations. First, this was a retrospective study conducted in a hospital in an urban area; therefore, the results may not completely represent the clinical reality at moderate altitudes. Second, because this was a retrospective study, the causal relationship between fluid balance and prognosis cannot be determined. Third, although possible confounding factors, such as sex, nationality, and origin, were compared, all confounding factors could not completely be eliminated. Fourth, the fluid balance before the ICU stay was not considered. Fifth, the use of diuretics was not recorded, and their use may have affected fluid management and the results. The results of this study should be further verified through a study with a larger sample size, and the resulting data should be paired and compared with data from low-altitude areas.

Abbreviations

APACHE II: acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II; SOFA: sequential organ failure assessment; SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome; PCT: procalcitonin; IL-6: interleukin-6; BNP: brain natriuresis peptide; CRP: C-reactive protein; WBC: white blood cell count; PLT: platelet count; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; CREA: creatinine; Lac: lactate; FO: fluid overload; ICU: intensive care unit; GCS: Glasgow coma scale; AKI: acute kidney injury.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

SQM and HW conceived and designed the review. HW and YW drafted the manuscript and prepared figures and tables. SQM, BS, XXX and HW undertook the initial research and discussed the manuscript. SQM, YZY, JML and HW reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Key Research & Development and Transformation Program of Qinghai Province Science and Technology Department (2019-SF-132); Qinghai scientific and technological Basic research project (2019-ZJ-7080) and Guidance Program of Qinghai Province Health and Family Planning System (2018-wjzdx-07).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This work has been approved by ethnic Committee of Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital.

Consent for publication

All patients were performed in accordance with Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2016. The informed consent was obtained from all subjects and/or their legal guardian(s).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

References

1. Gong Y C, Liu J T, Ma P L. Early fluid loading for septic patients: Any safety limit needed? [J]. Chinese journal of traumatology = Zhonghua chuang shang za zhi, 2018, 21(1): 1–3.
2. Antal O, Ștefănescu E, Mleşnițe M, et al. Initial Fluid Resuscitation Following Adjusted Body Weight Dosing in Sepsis and Septic Shock [J]. Journal of critical care medicine (Universitatea de Medicina si Farmacie din Targu-Mures), 2019, 5(4): 130–5.
3. Kim I Y, Kim J H, Lee D W, et al. Fluid overload and survival in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury receiving continuous renal replacement therapy [J]. PLoS One, 2017, 12(2): e0172137.
4. Ladzinski A T, Thind G S, Siuba M T. Rational Fluid Resuscitation in Sepsis for the Hospitalist: A Narrative Review [J]. Mayo Clinic proceedings, 2021.
5. Chen J, Li X, Bai Z, et al. Association of Fluid Accumulation with Clinical Outcomes in Critically Ill Children with Severe Sepsis [J]. PLoS One, 2016, 11(7): e0160093.
6. Singer M, Deutschman C S, Seymour C W, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3) [J]. Jama, 2016, 315(8): 801–10.
7. Wang M P, Jiang L, Zhu B, et al. Association of fluid balance trajectories with clinical outcomes in patients with septic shock: a prospective multicenter cohort study [J]. Military Medical Research, 2021, 8(1): 40.
8. Leisman D E, Doerfler M E, Schneider S M, et al. Predictors, Prevalence, and Outcomes of Early Crystalloid Responsiveness Among Initially Hypotensive Patients With Sepsis and Septic Shock [J]. Crit Care Med, 2018, 46(2): 189–98.
9. Leisman D E, Goldman C, Doerfler M E, et al. Patterns and Outcomes Associated With Timeliness of Initial Crystalloid Resuscitation in a Prospective Sepsis and Septic Shock Cohort [J]. Crit Care Med, 2017, 45(10): 1596–606.
10. Kuttub H I, Lykins J D, Hughes M D, et al. Evaluation and Predictors of Fluid Resuscitation in Patients With Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock [J]. Crit Care Med, 2019, 47(11): 1582–90.

11. Codes L, De Souza Y G, D'oliveira R a C, et al. Cumulative positive fluid balance is a risk factor for acute kidney injury and requirement for renal replacement therapy after liver transplantation [J]. *World journal of transplantation*, 2018, 8(2): 44–51.
12. Zinter M S, Spicer A C, Liu K D, et al. Positive Cumulative Fluid Balance Is Associated With Mortality in Pediatric Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in the Setting of Acute Kidney Injury [J]. *Pediatric critical care medicine: a journal of the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies*, 2019, 20(4): 323–31.
13. Sadaka F, Juarez M, Naydenov S, et al. Fluid resuscitation in septic shock: the effect of increasing fluid balance on mortality [J]. *Journal of intensive care medicine*, 2014, 29(4): 213–7.
14. Smith S H, Perner A. Higher vs. lower fluid volume for septic shock: clinical characteristics and outcome in unselected patients in a prospective, multicenter cohort [J]. *Critical care (London, England)*, 2012, 16(3): R76.
15. Boyd J H, Forbes J, Nakada T A, et al. Fluid resuscitation in septic shock: a positive fluid balance and elevated central venous pressure are associated with increased mortality [J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2011, 39(2): 259–65.
16. Samoni S, Vigo V, Reséndiz L I, et al. Impact of hyperhydration on the mortality risk in critically ill patients admitted in intensive care units: comparison between bioelectrical impedance vector analysis and cumulative fluid balance recording [J]. *Critical care (London, England)*, 2016, 20: 95.
17. Chao W C, Tseng C H, Chien Y C, et al. Association of day 4 cumulative fluid balance with mortality in critically ill patients with influenza: A multicenter retrospective cohort study in Taiwan [J]. *PLoS One*, 2018, 13(1): e0190952.
18. Wong J J, Ho S X, Lee A O C, et al. Positive Fluid Balance is Associated with Poor Clinical Outcomes in Paediatric Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock [J]. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore*, 2019, 48(9): 290–7.
19. Kuo G, Chen S W, Lee C C, et al. Latent Trajectories of Fluid Balance Are Associated With Outcomes in Cardiac and Aortic Surgery [J]. *The Annals of thoracic surgery*, 2020, 109(5): 1343–9.
20. Espinosa-Almanza C J, Sanabria-Rodríguez O, Riaño-Forero I, et al. Fluid overload in patients with septic shock and lactate clearance as a therapeutic goal: a retrospective cohort study [J]. *Revista Brasileira de terapia intensiva*, 2020, 32(1): 99–107.
21. Wang H, Xin N, Xu XX, et al. Relationship between clinical biomarkers and prognosis of geriatric patients with pneumonia in intensive care unit [J]. *Chinese Journal of Critical Care & Intensive Care Medicine*, 2021, 1(7): 14–20.
22. Besen B A, Taniguchi L U. Negative Fluid Balance in Sepsis: When and How? [J]. *Shock (Augusta, Ga)*, 2017, 47(1S Suppl 1): 35–40.
23. Goligorsky M S, Sun D. Glycocalyx in Endotoxemia and Sepsis [J]. *The American journal of pathology*, 2020, 190(4): 791–8.
24. Iba T, Levy J H. Derangement of the endothelial glycocalyx in sepsis [J]. *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis: JTH*, 2019, 17(2): 283 – 94.

Tables

Table 1 Comparison of clinical data between the survival group and the deceased group $[M(Q1, Q3)]$

Indicator		Overall	Survival group	Deceased group	Statistics	<i>P</i>
Number of cases		480(100.0%)	265(55.2%)	215(44.8%)		
Nationality	Han	360(75.0%)	206(42.9%)	154(32.1%)	$\chi^2=5.371$	0.147
	Tibetan	48(10.0%)	19(4.0%)	29(6.0%)		
	Hui	57(11.9%)	32(6.7%)	25(5.2%)		
Salar + Shui + Tu		15(3.1%)	8(1.7%)	7(1.4%)		
Sex	Male	313(65.2%)	165(34.4%)	148(30.8%)	$\chi^2=2.260$	0.133
	Female	167(34.8%)	100(20.8%)	67(14.0%)		
Age	Years old	66(52, 77)	65(50, 76)	67(54, 78)	$Z=-1.850$	0.064
Source	Emergency department	147(30.6%)	90(18.8%)	57(11.8%)	$\chi^2=8.251$	0.016
	Ward	242(50.4%)	118(24.6%)	124(25.8%)		
	Other hospital	91(19.0%)	57(11.9%)	34(7.1%)		
Primary infection	Chest	384(80.0%)	209(43.5%)	175(36.5%)	$\chi^2=0.483$	0.785
	Abdominal cavity	82(17.1%)	48(10.0%)	34(7.1%)		
	Head and neck	14(2.9%)	8(1.7%)	6(1.2%)		
septic shock		178(37.1%)	71(14.8)	107(22.3%)	$\chi^2=26.854$	0.001
APACHE II	Score	18(13, 21)	16(12, 20)	21(16, 28)	$Z=-7.899$	0.001
SOFA	Score	7(5, 10)	6(4, 8)	9(6, 12)	$Z=-7.563$	0.001
SIRS	Score	2(2, 3)	2(2, 3)	2(2, 3)	$Z=-2.862$	0.004
PCT	ng/mL	2.29(0.58, 10.32)	1.36(0.36, 7.04)	2.94(1.25, 15.28)	$Z=-4.374$	0.001
IL-6	pg/ml	355(106, 1104)	236(77, 866)	520(165, 1447)	$Z=-4.457$	0.001
BNP	pg/ml	674(281, 1451)	504(231, 1155)	875(380, 1783)	$Z=-4.233$	0.001
CRP	mg/dl	10.14(3.77, 21.36)	7.97(3.03, 18.28)	13.26(4.74, 24.33)	$Z=-0.357$	0.001

WBC	×10 ⁹ /L	10.59(6.91, 15.37)	10.60(7.26, 15.22)	10.57(6.63, 15.60)	Z=-0.489	0.625
PLT	×10 ⁹ /L	127(81, 187)	135(82, 197)	121(78, 170)	Z=-2.340	0.019
BUN	mmol/L	9.44(6.72, 15.43)	8.61(6.33, 13.42)	11.06(7.24, 19.07)	Z=-4.218	0.001
CREA	μmol/L	87(59, 159)	80(58, 126)	97(61, 188)	Z=-2.711	0.007
ICU stay	Days	5(2, 10)	7(4, 11)	3(1, 9)	Z=-6.801	0.001
Lac	mmol/L	2.1(1.3, 4.0)	1.7(1.2, 3.0)	2.9(1.6, 5.7)	Z=-6.711	0.001
Total fluid balance from days 1 to 5	mL	1962(494, 3582)	1788(311, 3410)	2399(650, 3967)	Z=-2.157	0.031

Note: APACHE II: acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II; SOFA: sequential organ failure assessment; SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome; PCT: procalcitonin; IL-6: interleukin-6; BNP: brain natriuresis peptide; CRP: C-reactive protein; WBC: white blood cell count; PLT: platelet count; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; CREA: creatinine; Lac: lactate.

Table 2 ROC curve results for each indicator

Indicator	AUC	<i>P</i>	Sensitivity	Specificity	Maximum Youden index cut-off value
APACHE II	0.711	0.001	0.556	0.766	20.5
SOFA	0.699	0.001	0.516	0.800	8.5
PCT	0.616	0.001	0.837	0.442	0.945 ng/mL
IL-6	0.617	0.001	0.814	0.392	123.65 pg/ml
BNP	0.612	0.001	0.544	0.653	806.5 pg/ml
CRP	0.594	0.001	0.523	0.653	12.51 mg/dl
PLT	0.562	0.019	0.744	0.370	163×10 ⁹ /L
BUN	0.612	0.001	0.395	0.785	14.08 mmol/L
CREA	0.572	0.007	0.553	0.600	90.50 μmol/L
Lac	0.678	0.001	0.586	0.706	2.45 mmol/L
Total fluid balance from days 1 to 5	0.558	0.030	0.540	0.581	2120.5 ml

Table 3 Comparison of fluid balance based on different clinical factors

Clinical factors		Number of cases	Total fluid balance from days 1 to 5	Statistics	<i>P</i>
Sex	Male	313	1968(369, 3664)	$Z=-0.238$	0.812
	Female	167	1947(780, 3459)		
Nationality	Han	360	1981(488, 3454)	$Z=-0.013$	0.989
	Ethnic minorities (Tibetan + Hui + Salar + Shui + Tu)	120	1877(541, 3925)		
Source	Emergency department	147	1810(486, 3459)	$\chi^2=0.721^a$	0.697
	Ward	242	1992(436, 3626)	$\chi^2=1.730^b$	0.421
	Other hospitals	91	2241(595, 3637)		
septic shock	None	302	1662(350, 3348)	$Z=-2.670$	0.008
	Yes	178	2150(888, 4012)		
Lac	≤ 2.0 mmol/L	233	1526(284, 3332)	$Z=-2.913$	0.004
	>2.0 mmol/L	247	2340(712, 3978)		

Note a: The Kruskal–Wallis test was used to examine whether the distributions of the 2 groups were the same and b: to examine whether the medians of the 2 groups were the same.

Figures

Figure 1

ROC curve for total fluid balance from days 1 to 5, The AUC of total fluid balance from days 1 to 5 was 0.558. The cut-off value, sensitivity and specificity of the maximum Youden index for total fluid balance was 2120.5 ml, 54.0% and 58.1%.

Survival analysis

Figure 2

Survival analysis based on different total fluid balances from days 1 to 5, Kaplan-Meier survival plots for patients with different fluid balance. $P > 0.05$ [Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test]. But the survival rates for patients with different total fluid balances from days 1 to 5 was statistic difference (60.9% vs. 48.9%, $P < 0.05$).