

New Chronostratigraphic Constraints on the Lower Jurassic Pliensbachian–Toarcian Boundary at Chacay Melehue (Neuquén Basin, Argentina)

Aisha H. Al-Suwaidi (✉ aisha.alsuwaidi@ku.ac.ae)

Khalifa University of Science and Technology

Micha Ruhl

Trinity College Dublin

Hugh C. Jenkyns

University of Oxford

Susana E. Damborenea

National University of La Plata

Miguel O. Manceñido

National University of La Plata

Daniel J. Condon

British Geological Survey

Gladys N. Angelozzi

YPF Tecnología South America

Sandra L. Kamo

University of Toronto

Marisa Storm

Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, AB Den Burg (Texel)

Alberto C. Riccardi

National University of La Plata

Stephen Hesselbo

University of Exeter

Research Article

Keywords: Pliensbachian–Toarcian, Carbon-Isotope Excursion, Early Jurassic, Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event (T-OAE), Southern Hemisphere, Neuquén Basin, Geochronology, Chemostratigraphy, Biostratigraphy

Posted Date: November 30th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1042905/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

The Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary interval is characterized by a ~3‰ negative carbon-isotope excursion (CIE) in organic and inorganic marine and terrestrial archives from sections in Europe, such as Peniche (Portugal) and Hawsker Bottoms, Yorkshire (UK). A new high-resolution organic-carbon isotope record, illustrating the same chemostratigraphic feature, is presented from the Southern Hemisphere Arroyo Chacay Melehue section, Chos Malal, Argentina, corroborating the global significance of this disturbance to the carbon cycle. The negative carbon-isotope excursion, mercury and organic-matter enrichment is accompanied by high-resolution ammonite and nannofossil biostratigraphy together with U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS geochronology derived from intercalated volcanic ash beds. A new age of $\sim 183.71 \pm 0.40/-0.51$ Ma for the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary, and $182.77 +0.11/-0.21$ for the *tenuicostatum-serpentinum* zonal boundary, is assigned based on high-precision U-Pb zircon geochronology and a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) stratigraphic age model.

Introduction

The Early Jurassic Pliensbachian–Toarcian (PI–To; ~ 184 Ma) carbon-isotope excursion (CIE) is marked by a -3‰ shift in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in both bulk-rock carbonate and organic carbon^{1,2}. It is associated in time with a second-order extinction event affecting ammonites, belemnites, gastropods, and many other benthic and pelagic groups, that effectively defines the stage boundary^{3–5}. This event precedes the onset of the Early Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event (T-OAE) and its associated CIEs, appears relatively short-lived (~ 50 – 200 kyr⁶) and has been linked to the beginning of activity in the Karoo and Ferrar Large Igneous Provinces (LIP), recording an initial release of volcanogenic CO_2 and other gases^{7–9}.

The PI–To event has been studied in the Tethyan and northwest European realms^{1,6,10}, as well as Canada, Chile, and Japan^{7,11–13}. The age of the boundary is presently computed based on a combination of cyclostratigraphy and U-Pb geochronology and is estimated to be 184.2 Ma with an age of 183.2 ± 0.1 for the top of the *tenuicostatum* Zone¹⁴. Other U-Pb ages that help to constrain this boundary age include dates from Argentina^{15,16}, the USA¹⁷ and Canada^{18–20}, although these lack strong biostratigraphic control, specifically with respect to the European ammonite zones. Dateable stratigraphic sections that can be bio- and chemostratigraphically correlated to marine sections elsewhere, specifically to the GSSP in Peniche, Portugal⁵ are essential to precisely date the base of the Toarcian. An improved age model for the PI–To event offers greater insight into the driving mechanism of the observed environmental phenomena and the relationship with emplacement of the Karoo-Ferrar Large Igneous Province.

Here, we present a new high-resolution carbon-isotope chemostratigraphy and biostratigraphy that is calibrated using U-Pb ID-TIMS zircon dates. A new age-depth model for the Lower Jurassic (Pliensbachian–Toarcian) Chacay Melehue stream section in Neuquén Province, Argentina, is also presented, which constrains the age of both the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary and the onset of the

negative carbon-isotope excursion in the earliest Toarcian. Using this new geochronology and biostratigraphy, combined with correlations to the GSSP and other well-defined sections, we explore the relationship between the Pliensbachian–Toarcian event and Karoo–Ferrar volcanism.

Palaeogeography And Tectonic Setting Of The Neuquén Basin

The Neuquén Basin is located on the eastern side of the Andes in west-central Argentina and central Chile, between 32° and 41° S (Fig. 1). The depositional area was a roughly triangular, north–south-oriented back-arc basin and foreland, now containing more than 6 km of Triassic to Cenozoic sediments in its most central part²¹. The basin had a complicated tectonic history associated with the break-up of Gondwana, subduction of the proto-Pacific plate and the development of the Andean magmatic arc²². Sediments were laid down in several depositional cycles representing deposition from the time of pre-rifting through to foreland-basin development²³. The sediments studied here form part of the marine Cuyo or Cuyano Group (Lower to Middle Jurassic). The deposition of the Cuyo Group was favoured by marine transgression during subsidence in the post-rift phase of basin development²². Sediments entered the Neuquén Basin from two main source areas: the Chilean Coastal Cordillera that supplied immature volcanoclastic material, and cratonic areas to the south and northeast from which more mineralogically mature sediment was derived^{24–26}.

Chacay Melehue Stratigraphy And Depositional Setting

The Arroyo Chacay Melehue stratigraphic section presented here is located at S37°15'18.15", W70°30'26.55" (Fig 1) and comprises more than ~1200 m of sediment spanning the latest Pliensbachian to Oxfordian interval^{27,28}. At the base of the section are epiclastic and pyroclastic deposits of the La Primavera Formation, which are thought to have been derived from an andesitic strato-volcano complex, referred to as the Chilean Coastal Cordillera, on the western side of the Neuquén embayment during the latest Triassic–Early Jurassic^{29,30} (Fig. 1).

Previous studies of sedimentary units at Chacay Melehue suggest that the section was deposited in a marginal marine to offshore environment, recording transgressive–regressive cycles of sedimentation within the Neuquén Basin³¹. Tuffaceous beds present throughout the section are typically fining upwards and inferred to be largely fine-grained turbidites, redepositing previously laid down ash beds. The presence of discrete volcanoclastic beds at the bottom of the section, and the presence of volcanoclastic material in the sandstone beds throughout the section, indicates that the section was proximal to a volcanic arc situated to the west³² (Fig 2.). Up-section, coarser grained material decreases in relative abundance, suggesting that either the grain size from the source area changed or that the basin experienced a relative sea-level rise, increasing the distance between source and depocentre at Chacay Melehue. A deepening environment is also suggested by the presence of dark-coloured shale units with organic enrichment stratigraphically above 11 m in the section, suggesting deposition in an oxygen-depleted environment (Fig. 2).

The presence of two distinct, slumped deposits (~14.5–17 m, Fig. 2) may suggest increased weathering and local sediment overloading at PI–To boundary time, possibly due to an enhanced hydrological cycle³³. Percival *et al.*³⁴ and Xu *et al.*³⁵ have previously suggested enhanced continental weathering during the PI–To boundary interval and T-OAE based on excursions in Os¹⁸⁷/Os¹⁸⁸, as well as evidence of centimetre-scale gravity-flow deposits from the T-OAE interval in the Mochras core, Cardigan Bay, Wales. Many other records of the T-OAE/CIE also show similar evidence for an enhanced hydrological cycle and increased weathering and erosion during this event following Karoo-Ferrar volcanism^{11,36}.

Geochronological And Biostratigraphic Constraints At Chacay Melehue

Ammonites and other fossils were sampled wherever found *in situ*, and tuffaceous samples were collected throughout the section (full details of horizons and determinations are given in the supplementary data).

Biostratigraphic determination of the Chacay Melehue section confirms the presence of deposits of Late Pliensbachian through earliest Toarcian age (Fig. 2). This section was previously studied for geochronology^{37,39}. Sample 2296R collected at 17.34 m in the Chacay Melehue section (see supplementary Fig. 1) and being located within the *tenuicostatum* zone ~6 m above the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary, was analysed by Riccardi & Kamo³⁸. This sample has a mean age of 183.11±0.12 and a Bayesian eruption age estimate³⁹ of 183.11 ± 0.28 Ma.

Here, we have analysed 4 additional samples CM-ASH-1, 3, 5 and 6 from within the same section. Data is corrected to the EARTHTIME tracer ET535, based on U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS analyses of individually abraded zircon crystals (see supplementary information section for details on the methodology).

CM-ASH-1 at 8.69 m, has an estimated depositional age of 184.10 ± 0.54 Ma and is in the latest Pliensbachian *disciforme* Andean ammonite zone, equivalent to the latest *margaritatus–spinatum* northwest European ammonite zones^{40–42}. The bivalve *Kolymonectes weaveri* Damborenea is also present here from 0.50–12.74 m in the section and has an established stratigraphic range from the Late Pliensbachian through the Early Toarcian⁴³. CM-ASH-1 occurs ~5 m below the Lowest Occurrence (LO) of the nannofossil *Lotharingius hauffii* Grün & Zwili (LO 13.55 m), which has an age range from the Late Pliensbachian, NJ5a subzone to the Callovian, NJ12a subzone⁴⁴.

CM-ASH-3, at 19.24 m, gives a mean age of 182.84 ± 0.1 Ma and a Bayesian eruption age estimate of 183.66 ± 0.21 Ma. CM-ASH 3 is located above the LO of *Lotharingius barozii* Noël (at 17.34 m, 2296R occurs at the same level). *Lotharingius barozii* Noël is characteristic of the latest Pliensbachian to earliest Toarcian *disciforme–tenuicostatum* Andean ammonite zones^{40,45,46} as well as above the LO of *Dactyloceras (Eodactylites) cf. simplex* (Fucini) (LO 11.08 m) indicative of the early Toarcian *tenuicostatum* Zone⁴⁷. The base of the Andean *hoelderi* Zone is identified in the section at 21.66 m and is

marked by the LO of *Harpoceras serpentinum* (Schlotheim), *Cleviceras exaratum* (Young & Bird) and *Hildaites cf. murleyi* (Moxon). The Andean *hoelderi* Zone is considered approximately equivalent to the *serpentinum* (= *falciferum*) ammonite Zone of northwestern Europe^{42,45}.

At the Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the base of the Toarcian at Peniche (Portugal), the LO of *Lotharingius barozii* is in sediments of the uppermost *emaciatum* ammonite Zone, ~50 cm below the base of the Toarcian Stage. Furthermore, the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary at this locality is marked by the lowest occurrence of *Dactylioceras (Eodactylites) simplex*, which is considered to allow global correlation of this level, thereby providing strong support for the proposition that the geochronology in this part of the Chacay Melehue section constrains the age of the boundary. CM-ASH-5 did not yield an interpretable age and was largely comprised of inherited or reworked zircons.

The final ash dated in this study, CM-ASH-6 at 25.02 m, gives a weighted mean U-Pb date of 182.84 ± 0.13 Ma, and a Bayesian eruption age estimate of 183.66 ± 0.33 Ma³⁹ and is located ~4.5 m above the LO of *Harpoceras serpentinum* (Schlotheim), *Cleviceras exaratum* (Young & Bird) and *Hildaites cf. murleyi* (Moxon) (LO 21.66 m) within the Andean *hoelderi* Zone, equivalent to the *serpentinum* (= *falciferum*) ammonite Zone of northwestern Europe^{42,45,47}.

Leanza *et al.*³⁷ also sampled and analyzed two ash beds in the Chacay Melehue locality using U-Pb ID-TIMS: one of the ashes, at ~24 m in the section, yielded an age of 185.7 ± 0.40 Ma: this bed is located biostratigraphically above the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary, is cross-bedded, and has a very wide array of zircon ages within the zircon population. Consequently, it appears likely that the bed is largely made up of reworked volcanoclastic material, despite the tightly clustered age ranges of the youngest zircons that contribute to this precise date, but probably do not give an accurate depositional age. A second ash bed was dated by Leanza *et al.*³⁷, which produced an age of 182.3 ± 0.4 Ma; its exact stratigraphic position within the succession is, however, unknown with respect to our measured section. Field photographs in Leanza *et al.*³⁷ could not be matched to the outcrop at the times of our field investigations.

To improve constraints on the age of the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary and the age of the lower Toarcian *tenuicostatum*–*hoelderi* boundary we used a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) model in which stratigraphic superposition is imposed on U-Pb zircon dates⁴⁸. The result is an age–depth model incorporating dates from all beds above and below each sample to produce an internally consistent age model (Fig. 3. B & C.). This model allowed us to extrapolate ages at specific depths, assuming relatively constant sedimentation rates of the deposits between the ash beds that provide the geochronological constraints (Fig 3C). To determine the age of the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary, we assessed the stratigraphic position of the boundary to be at 11.08 m in the section, concurrent with the LO of *Dactylioceras (Eodactylites)*, and interpolated the age to be $183.71 +0.40/-0.51$ Ma (Fig. 3 C). A similar exercise was performed for the *tenuicostatum*–*hoelderi* boundary (concurrent with the *tenuicostatum*–*serpentinum* zone boundary in NW Europe), using the LO of *Harpoceras serpentinum*

(Schlotheim), *Cleviceras exaratum* (Young & Bird) and *Hildaites cf. murleyi* (Moxon) (LO 21.66 m). Thus, at 21.66 m in the section an age of 182.77 +0.11/ -0.21 Ma was interpolated from the model (Fig. 3C).

The age–depth model coupled with biostratigraphy provides a new more precise age for two of the major events in the earliest Toarcian as well as a new age for the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary.

The Pliensbachian–toarcian Boundary Carbon-isotope Excursion

Total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations across the studied stratigraphic interval range from values of 0–1% in the uppermost Pliensbachian *disciforme* Zone (0 to 11m, Fig. 2), to values of 1.5–4% in the *tenuicostatum* Zone (11 to 22 m), and values of 0.5–1% higher up in the section. As the TOC content increases up through the *tenuicostatum* Zone, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{TOC}}$ record shows a marked negative shift, initiated at ~13 m in the studied section (Fig. 3), and with values gradually falling from a background of ~-27.5‰, to -30.1‰ at ~15 m (Fig. 3). The $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{TOC}}$ values above ~16 m in the section shows a gradual positive shift, returning to ~-26.5‰ at ~18 m. Subsequently, from ~18–30 m in the section, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{TOC}}$ values are relatively stable, oscillating by 1–2‰ around an average value of -27‰ (Fig. 2). In the upper part of the studied section, above a poorly exposed stratigraphic interval, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{TOC}}$ values are significantly more negative, averaging around ~-29‰ and falling as low as -29.8‰; this shift to lower values coincides with a gradual increase to relatively more elevated TOC values of up to ~2% in this uppermost part of the section. Tmax°C values range from 296 to 506°C throughout the section, HI values range from 3 to 23 mg HC/gTOC, and S2/S3 <1, suggesting that organic matter in the section is made up of higher plant material and/or hydrogen-poor organic constituents that have been oxidized and/or suffered thermal maturation⁴⁵.

The carbon-isotope profile of Chacay Melehue can be chemostratigraphically correlated to other biostratigraphically well-constrained sections, specifically to the base-Toarcian GSSP in Peniche, Portugal⁴⁷ (Fig. 3). The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures of Chacay Melehue (bulk organic carbon) and Peniche (bulk carbonate) show a remarkably similar ~2‰ negative carbon-isotope excursion across the PI–To boundary. Additionally, the combined chemo-, chrono- and biostratigraphic framework from Chacay Melehue is here also compared and correlated with other stratigraphically well-constrained sections such as from the Mochras borehole, Cardigan Bay Basin, UK^{35,49,50} and Almonacid de la Cuba, Teruel Basin, Spain⁵¹ (Fig. 4, Supp. Fig. 2).

In the Chacay Melehue section, sedimentary mercury [Hg] concentrations are 300–700 ppb in the lowest 5 m of the section with values decreasing to 20–50 ppb through the sediments displaying the negative excursion in the section (~10 to 20 m; Fig. 4). Hg/TOC values show a small increase at the PI–To transition, against a falling trend and, at around 23 m in the studied succession, with values of up to 0.23 ppm/wt%, are followed upwards by reduced values of ~0.05 ppm/weight% (Fig. 4). Hg/TOC values strongly increase up to 0.67 ppm/weight % towards the top of the studied succession, coinciding also with increasing TOC values and decreasing $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{TOC}}$ values (Fig. 4), possibly representing the onset of the

T-OAE negative CIE. The observed trend in the Hg/TOC profile at Chacay Melehue is similar in shape and order of magnitude to other records, such as at Mochras (Cardigan Bay Basin, UK) and Peniche (Lusitanian Basin, Portugal; Fig. 4; ⁵⁰).

Age Implications Of Chacay Melehue Chemo-, Chrono- And Biostratigraphy For The Pliensbachian–toarcian Boundary And T-Oae

Integrated global correlation of the Chacay Melehue data with other successions well documented by ammonite biostratigraphy, chemostratigraphy, magnetostratigraphy and/or geochronology (Fig. 4), support a link between the PI–To boundary event and the onset of Karoo LIP activity (Fig. 5). This relationship is further supported by the stratigraphic distribution of elemental mercury in the section, inferred to have been volcanogenically derived and transported through the atmosphere before final deposition in marine sediments. The signature of elemental mercury observed in the Chacay Melehue section also supports the case for initial volcanism at the PI–To transition, followed by a period of volcanic quiescence and then a second larger pulse of volcanic mercury release, presumably from the onset of Ferrar volcanism and continued Karoo volcanism (Fig. 5) leading up to the T-OAE negative CIE.

The onset of environmental perturbations at the PI–To boundary likely resulted in global warming, oceanic anoxia, intensified weathering, and a calcification crisis, in a similar manner to, and setting the stage for, the larger perturbations recorded during the Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event that had its focus in the *serpentinum* Zone (= ~*falcifererum* Zone = ~*holderi* Zone). Caruthers *et al.* ^{7,52} have also suggested that the pulsed extinction events across the PI–To boundary and throughout the Early Toarcian appear to have been associated with peaks of intrusive volcanism in Karoo and Ferrar and silicic volcanism in Chon Aike (Fig. 1, 5); however, these igneous provinces are chemically distinct, and the likely environmental impact of each is very different. For example, the Karoo LIP was emplaced relatively rapidly and intruded into Permian organic-rich sediments ^{53,54} (Fig. 5), whereas Chon Aike, which is a Silicic Large Igneous province, was emplaced over a longer period and likely did not result in rapid hydrothermal venting of greenhouse gases, but more gradual gaseous release over a relatively long period from ~160–190 Ma ⁵⁵.

Mass-transport deposits (slumps) developed synchronously with the PI–To negative carbon-isotope excursion, in a similar style to that observed at numerous locations for the T-OAE ^{33–36}, support the inference of increased weathering and sediment supply to (unstable) slopes due to a globally accelerated hydrological cycle.

The chemostratigraphy from Chacay Melehue strengthens the case for the global nature of the previously observed PI–To negative carbon-isotope excursion and disturbance to the carbon cycle. The ~3‰ negative excursion in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{TOC}}$ values closely follows the stratigraphically lowest occurrence of *Dactyloceras* (*Eodactylites*) cf. *simplex* (Fucini) in the section, a taxon closely allied to the principal marker for the base Toarcian GSSP at Peniche, Portugal ⁴⁷ (Fig. 4).

In addition, the Chacay Melehue section provides new constraints for the age of the PI–To boundary at $\sim 183.71 \pm 0.40/-0.51$ Ma, as well as for the *tenuicostatum*–*serpentinum* zonal boundary at $\sim 182.77 \pm 0.11/-0.21$ Ma, with the latter occurring stratigraphically close to the onset of the negative carbon-isotope excursion associated with the T-OAE. Using the carbon isotopes, modelled and measured radiometric ages and the biostratigraphy we can estimate the duration of the Pliensbachian-Toarcian event to be around $0.535 \pm 0.28/-0.30$ Ma.

These dates and zonal durations are consistent with recent astrochronological estimates for the ages of this boundary⁴⁹, which suggests a million-year duration for the earliest Toarcian *tenuicostatum* (or concurrent *polymorphum*) Zone^{6,49,56,57}. Furthermore, astrochronological constraints on the duration of the PI–To negative CIE suggest a duration of ~ 200 kyr^{6,58}, which agrees with the geochronological constraints on the duration of this event, as illustrated here.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

This research was made possible through financial contributions of the Scholarship Coordination Office, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. We also acknowledge funding from Shell International Exploration & Production B.V., the Natural Environmental Research Council (NERC) (grant number NE/N018508/1) and NIGFSC facilities grant (IP-1466-0514), and, the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET, Argentina). Daniel Condon publishes with the approval of the Executive Director of the British Geological Survey (NERC). We thank Tamsin Mather for access to the Lumex Hg analyser at Oxford. This manuscript is a contribution to IGCP 655 (IUGS-UNESCO): Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event: Impact on marine carbon cycle and ecosystems, IGCP 632 (IUGS-UNESCO): Continental Crises of the Jurassic: Major Extinction events and Environmental Changes within Lacustrine Ecosystems, and IGCP 739 (IUGS-UNESCO): The Mesozoic–Paleogene Hyperthermal Events.

Author Contributions

All authors were involved in either field work, sample analysis and preparation and data interpretation, specific details are below. All authors have been involved in editing the manuscript and provided comments on the authored work, figures and supplementary data.

A.H. A. – field sampling, sample analysis (carbon isotopes and TOC) and preparation, data interpretation (geochronology, isotopes and biostratigraphy), authoring and illustrating the paper

M.R. – sample analysis for Hg/TOC, illustrating Fig. 5, discussion of data interpretation and editing

H.C.J. – field sampling, data interpretation and discussion and editing

S.E.D.; M.O.M. and A.C.R. – field sampling and logistics support, bivalve and ammonite biostratigraphy and editing.

G.N.A- nannofossil biostratigraphy and interpretation

S.P.H. - field sampling, data interpretation, stratigraphic log illustration and discussion and editing.

M.S; S.L.K. and D.J.C. – geochronology sample analysis, interpretation and editing.

References

1. Littler, K., Hesselbo, S. P. & Jenkyns, H. C. A carbon-isotope perturbation at the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary: evidence from the Lias Group, NE England. *Geological Magazine* **147**, 181 (2009).
2. Hesselbo, S. P., Jenkyns, H. C., Duarte, L. v & Oliveira, L. C. v. Carbon-isotope record of the Early Jurassic (Toarcian) Oceanic Anoxic Event from fossil wood and marine carbonate (Lusitanian Basin, Portugal). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **253**, 455–470 (2007).
3. Little, C. T. S. & Benton, M. J. Early Jurassic mass extinction: A global long-term event. *Geology* **23**, 495 (1995).
4. Correia, V. F., Riding, J. B., Duarte, L. v, Fernandes, P. & Pereira, Z. The palynological response to the Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event (Early Jurassic) at Peniche, Lusitanian Basin, western Portugal. *Marine Micropaleontology* **137**, 46–63 (2017).
5. da Rocha, R. B. *et al.* Base of the Toarcian Stage of the Lower Jurassic defined by the Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) at the Peniche Section (Portugal). *Episodes* **39**, 460–481 (2016).
6. Martinez, M., Krencker, F.-N., Mattioli, E. & Bodin, S. Orbital chronology of the Pliensbachian – Toarcian transition from the Central High Atlas Basin (Morocco). *Newsletters on Stratigraphy* **50**, 47–69 (2017).
7. Caruthers, A. H., Smith, P. L. & Gröcke, D. R. The Pliensbachian–Toarcian (Early Jurassic) extinction, a global multi-phased event. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **386**, 104–118 (2013).
8. Burgess, S. D., Bowring, S. A., Fleming, T. H. & Elliot, D. H. High-precision geochronology links the Ferrar large igneous province with early-Jurassic Ocean anoxia and biotic crisis. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **415**, 90–99 (2015).
9. Atlas, M. *et al.* Bulk-carbonate and belemnite carbon-isotope records across the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary on the northern margin of Gondwana. **466**, 128–136 (2017).
10. Harazim, D. *et al.* Spatial variability of watermass conditions within the European Epicontinental Seaway during the Early Jurassic (Pliensbachian–Toarcian). *Sedimentology* **60**, 359–390 (2012).
11. Them, T. R. *et al.* Evidence for rapid weathering response to climatic warming during the Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 5003 (2017).
12. Fantasia, A. *et al.* The Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event in southwestern Gondwana: an example from the Andean Basin, northern Chile. *Journal of the Geological Society* **175**, 883–902 (2018).

13. Ikeda, M. *et al.* Carbon cycle dynamics linked with Karoo-Ferrar volcanism and astronomical cycles during Pliensbachian-Toarcian (Early Jurassic). *Global and Planetary Change* **170**, 163–171 (2018).
14. Hesselbo, S. P., Ogg, J. G., Ruhl, M., Hinnov, L. A. & Huang, C. J. The Jurassic Period. in *Geologic Time Scale 2020* (Elsevier, 2020). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-824360-2.00026-7.
15. Sell, B. *et al.* Evaluating the temporal link between the Karoo LIP and climatic–biologic events of the Toarcian Stage with high-precision U–Pb geochronology. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **408**, (2014).
16. Sell, B. *et al.* Response to comment on “Evaluating the temporal link between the Karoo LIP and climatic-biologic events of the Toarcian Stage with high-precision U-Pb geochronology.” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* vol. 434 353–354 (2016).
17. de Lena, L. F. *et al.* The driving mechanisms of the carbon cycle perturbations in the late Pliensbachian (Early Jurassic). *Scientific Reports* **9**, (2019).
18. Them, T. R. *et al.* High-resolution carbon isotope records of the Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event (Early Jurassic) from North America and implications for the global drivers of the Toarcian carbon cycle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **459**, 118–126 (2017).
19. Pálffy, J. *et al.* New U/Pb zircon ages integrated with ammonite biochronology from the Jurassic of the Canadian Cordillera. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* **37**, 549–567 (2000).
20. Pálffy, J., Parrish, R. R. & Smith, P. L. A U/Pb age from the Toarcian (Lower Jurassic) and its use for time scale calibration through error analysis of biochronologic dating. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **146**, 659–675 (1997).
21. Howell, J. A., Schwarz, E., Spalletti, L. A. & Veiga, G. D. The Neuquén Basin: an overview. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* **252**, 1–14 (2005).
22. Vergani, G. D., Tankard, A. J., Belotti, H. J. & Welsink, H. J. Tectonic Evolution and Paleogeography of the Neuquén Basin, Argentina. *Petroleum Basins of South America* vol. 62 0 (1995).
23. Vicente, J. C. Dynamic Paleogeography of the Jurassic Andean basin: Pattern of regression and general considerations on main features. *Revista de la Asociacion Geologica Argentina* **61**, 408–437 (2006).
24. Caminos, R., Cingolani, C. A., Hervé, F. & Linares, E. Geochronology of the pre-Andean metamorphism and magmatism in the Andean Cordillera between latitudes 30° and 36°S. *Earth-Science Reviews* **18**, 333–352 (1982).
25. Coira, B., Davidson, J., Mpodozis, C. & Ramos, V. Tectonic and magmatic evolution of the Andes of northern Argentina and Chile. *Earth-Science Reviews* **18**, 303–332 (1982).
26. Eppinger, K. J. & Rosenfeld, U. Western margin and provenance of sediments of the Neuquén Basin (Argentina) in the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous. *Tectonophysics* **259**, 229–244 (1996).
27. Riccardi, A. C. The Jurassic of Argentina and Chile. in *Phanerozoic Geology of the World: The Mesozoic* (eds. Moullade, M. & Nairn, A. E. M.) 201–263 (1983). doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-8252(85)90009-1.

28. Damborenea, S. E. Middle Jurassic inoceramids from Argentina. *Journal of Paleontology* **64**, 736–759 (1990).
29. Llambías, E. J. & Leanza, H. A. Lahar deposits of the Molles Formation in Chacay Melehue, Neuquén: evidence of Jurassic volcanism in the Neuquén basin. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina* **60**, 552–558 (2005).
30. Schiuma, M. & Llambias, E. J. New Ages and Chemical Analysis on Lower Jurassic Volcanism Close to the Dorsal De Huincul, Neuquén. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina* **63**, 644–652 (2008).
31. Llanos, M. P. I. & Riccardi, A. C. The Neuquén composite section: magnetostratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the marine lower Jurassic from the Neuquén basin (Argentina). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **181**, 443–457 (2000).
32. Gulisano, C. A. & Gutiérrez Pleimling, A. R. *Field Guide The Jurassic of the Neuquén Basin (a Neuquén Province)*. (Asociación Geológica Argentina, 1995).
33. Cohen, A. S., Coe, A. L., Harding, S. M. & Schwark, L. Osmium isotope evidence for the regulation of atmospheric CO₂ by continental weathering. *Geology* **32**, 157 (2004).
34. Percival, L. M. E. *et al.* Osmium isotope evidence for two pulses of increased continental weathering linked to Early Jurassic volcanism and climate change. *Geology* **44**, 759–762 (2016).
35. Xu, W. *et al.* Evolution of the Toarcian (Early Jurassic) carbon-cycle and global climatic controls on local sedimentary processes (Cardigan Bay Basin, UK). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **484**, 396–411 (2018).
36. Izumi, K., Kemp, D. B., Itamiya, S. & Inui, M. Sedimentary evidence for enhanced hydrological cycling in response to rapid carbon release during the early Toarcian oceanic anoxic event. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **481**, 162–170 (2018).
37. Leanza, H. A. *et al.* The Chachil Limestone (Pliensbachian–earliest Toarcian) Neuquén Basin, Argentina: U–Pb age calibration and its significance on the Early Jurassic evolution of southwestern Gondwana. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **42**, 171–185 (2013).
38. Riccardi, A. C. & Kamo, S. Biostratigraphy and geochronology of the Pliensbachian-Toarcian boundary in Argentina. in *XIX Congreso Geológico Argentino: Estratigrafía y Sedimentología, Junio 2014, Córdoba* T1–42 (2014).
39. Keller, C. B., Schoene, B. & Samperton, K. M. A stochastic sampling approach to zircon eruption age interpretation. *Geochemical Perspectives Letters* (2018) doi:10.7185/geochemlet.1826.
40. Mattioli, E. & Erba, E. Synthesis of calcareous nannofossil events in Tethyan Lower and Middle Jurassic succession. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* **105**, 343–376 (1999).
41. Page, K. N. The Lower Jurassic of Europe: its subdivision and correlation. *Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS) Bulletin* **1**, 21–59 (2003).
42. Riccardi, A. C. The marine Jurassic of Argentina: a biostratigraphic framework. *Episodes* **31**, 326–335 (2008).

43. Damborenea, S. E. Early Jurassic Bivalvia of Argentina. Part 3: Superfamilies Monotoidea, Pectinoidea, Plicatuloidea and Dimyoidea. *Palaeontographica Abteilung B* **265**, 1–199 (2002).
44. Bown, P. R., Cooper, M. & E, K. Jurassic. in *Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy. British Micropalaeontological Society Publication Series* (ed. Bown, P. R.) 34–85 (Chapman & Hall, 1998).
45. Page, K. N. A sequence of biohorizons for the subboreal province Lower Toarcian in Northern Britain and their correlation with a submediterranean standard. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* **110**, 109–114 (2004).
46. Riccardi, A. C. El Jurásico de la Argentina y sus Amonites. *Revista de la Asociacion Geologica Argentina* **63**, 625–643 (2008).
47. da Rocha, R. B. *et al.* Base of the Toarcian Stage of the Lower Jurassic defined by the Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) at the Peniche section (Portugal) . *Episodes* **39**, 460 (2016).
48. Schoene, B. *et al.* U-Pb constraints on pulsed eruption of the Deccan Traps across the end-Cretaceous mass extinction. *Science* **363**, 862–866 (2019).
49. Storm, M. S. *et al.* Orbital pacing and secular evolution of the Early Jurassic carbon cycle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 3974 LP – 3982 (2020).
50. Percival, L. M. E. *et al.* Globally enhanced mercury deposition during the end-Pliensbachian extinction and Toarcian OAE: A link to the Karoo-Ferrar Large Igneous Province. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **428**, 267–280 (2015).
51. Comas-Rengifo, M. J., Gómez, J. J., Goy, A. M., Osete, L. & Palencia-Ortas, A. The base of the Toarcian (Early Jurassic) in the Almonacid de la Cuba section (Spain). Ammonite biostratigraphy, magnetostratigraphy and isotope stratigraphy. *International Union of Geological Sciences* **33**, 15–22 (2010).
52. Caruthers, A. H., Smith, P. L. & Grocke, D. R. The Pliensbachian-Toarcian (Early Jurassic) extinction: A North American perspective. *Geological Society of America Special Papers* 225–243 (2014) doi:10.1130/2014.2505(11).
53. Svensen, H. *et al.* Hydrothermal venting of greenhouse gases triggering Early Jurassic global warming. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **256**, 554–566 (2007).
54. Svensen, H., Corfu, F., Polteau, S., Hammer, Ø. & Planke, S. Rapid magma emplacement in the Karoo Large Igneous Province. **326**, 1–9 (2012).
55. Pankhurst, R. J. *et al.* The Chon Aike province of Patagonia and related rocks in West Antarctica: A silicic large igneous province. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **81**, 113–136 (1998).
56. Thibault, N. *et al.* The wider context of the Lower Jurassic Toarcian oceanic anoxic event in Yorkshire coastal outcrops, UK. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association* **129**, 372–391 (2018).
57. Huang, C. & Hesselbo, S. P. Pacing of the Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event (Early Jurassic) from astronomical correlation of marine sections. *Gondwana Research* **25**, 1348–1356 (2014).

58. Ruebsam, W., Münzberger, P. & Schwark, L. Chronology of the Early Toarcian environmental crisis in the Lorraine Sub-Basin (NE Paris Basin). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **404**, 273–282 (2014).

Figures




Figure 1

Palaeogeographic map for ~183 Ma (after Blakey 1) showing (A) the location of Karoo–Ferrar Large mafic Igneous Province, the Chon Aike Silicic Igneous Province 2–6, and the Neuquén Basin reconstruction (after Vicente 7), and (B) the interior seaway, and depositional tracts within the basin (adapted and modified after 8,9,10,11,). Location of Chacay Melehue is indicated by white star. (C) Satellite image showing location of Chacay Melehue (red star, 37°15'18.26"S, 70°30'16.34"W) relative to Chos Malal. (Satellite image courtesy of Google Earth Pro. 2020).




Figure 2

Carbon-isotope chemostratigraphy including main formation names, lithology, total organic carbon (TOC) content, ammonite zones and occurrences, nannofossil biostratigraphy and radiometric ages for the Pliensbachian–Toarcian transition in the Chacay Melehue stream section. Photographs of Chacay Melehue Section: (A) light-coloured tuffaceous units interbedded in dark grey lithified units (~3m in section), (B) unit with nodular base, thin tuffaceous units and well-indurated dark grey mudstones (~14 m to 18 m in section), and (C) sediments typical for the upper part of the section, with thin interbedded tuffaceous units (> 20 m in the section).




Figure 3

(A) Detailed comparison of Chacay Melehue geochronology, carbon-isotope chemostratigraphy and the lowest occurrence (LO) of *Dactyloceras* (indicated by the horizontal pink band and vertical red bar) as compared with the GSSP at Peniche, Portugal 12,13. Grey bands highlight chemostratigraphic correlation intervals between the two localities. (B) Shows the distribution of zircons from individual ash bed units in the Chacay Melehue stratigraphic section. Open rectangles indicate ages considered detrital and not used

to determine the mean age or in the Age-Depth model. (C) Age–depth model for Chacay Melehue showing the Bayesian distribution of the ages and the modelled age estimates. The red dashed line and emboldened red numbers indicate the modelled age for the Pliensbachian–Toarcian boundary at 11.08 m, with a modelled age of $\sim 183.70 \pm 0.41 / -0.51$ Ma, and for the tenuicostatum–hoelderi zonal boundary (equivalent to the tenuicostatum–serpentinum zonal boundary) at 21.66 m, with a modelled age of $\sim 182.76 \pm 0.11 / -0.21$ Ma.




Figure 4

Comparison of Hg/TOC, [Hg], carbon isotopes, magnetostratigraphy and ammonite biostratigraphy from Chacay Melehue (this study), Peniche (Lusitanian Basin, Portugal) 12, the Mochras Borehole (Cardigan Bay Basin, UK) 14, and the Almonacid de la Cuba section (Spain) 15.




Figure 5

Distribution of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ absolute ages from the Karoo and Ferrar Large Igneous Provinces on a numerical timescale, relative to the carbon-isotope data (2-pt moving average) from the Mochras Borehole (Cardigan Bay Basin, UK 14,16), spanning the Pliensbachian–Toarcian transition. The numerical timescale is obtained using age tie-points for the ammonite zone boundaries based on geochronological constraints from this study, and linear interpolation in between. Major carbon cycle perturbations are also indicated.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryDataforChacayMelehueData.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryFigure1DetailedStratigraphyChacayMelehue.pdf](#)
- [SupplementaryFigure2ChacayMelehuevsMochrasDetail.pdf](#)