

Development of a Structure-Validated Hypersexuality Scale in Chinese University Students

Yanli Jia

Zhejiang University College of Medicine

Xu Shao

Zhejiang University College of Medicine

Chanchan Shen

Zhejiang University College of Medicine

Wei Wang (✉ wew@ntnu.no)

Norwegian University of Science and Technology <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6822-8162>

Research article

Keywords: Exploratory structural equation modeling, Hypersexual experience, Principal component analysis, Structure-validated questionnaire

Posted Date: November 18th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-104593/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Psychiatry on July 14th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-021-03362-4>.

Abstract

Background

Hypersexuality is associated with many psychiatric disorders and creates enormous burden for the affected individual, family and society. However, there is no structure-validated questionnaire available to measure hypersexuality in a comprehensive way, especially in aspects of emotion and stress.

Methods

We designed a matrix of 72 items related to hypersexual experience, and we invited 282 heterosexual university students who experienced hypersexuality at least once life-long to answer the matrix.

Results

Through exploratory factor analyses and exploratory structural equation modeling, we constructed a Hypersexuality Scale, with a satisfactory model structure of five factors (or scales, 4 items for each scale) of hypersexuality, and named them as the Negative Impact, Emotional Coping, Uncontrolled Behavior, Post-sex Regret, and Increased Interest. Most inter-correlations of these factors were significant but in low or medium levels in all participants. Male students scored significantly higher on Negative Impact and Increased Interest than females did.

Conclusions

The five scales described in this study might help to understand hypersexuality, and the Hypersexuality Scale might be applied to the clinical conditions related to hypersexuality.

Introduction

Hypersexuality, also named as sexual addiction, sexual compulsivity or sexual impulsivity, is a phenomenon generally characterized by excessive and intense sexual drives, sexual fantasies, sexual cognitions, or sexual activities. It is related closely to the clinical distress and the impaired functioning in individuals' life domains such as the social, study, occupational, physical, or emotional areas [1, 2]. Kafka proposed diagnostic criteria for hypersexual disorder [3], but it was not included in the main diagnostic criteria systems such as the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition (DSM-5) criteria [4].

The hypersexual behaviors were linked with the compulsive masturbation (56%), pornography use (51%) and extramarital sex (21%) in one study [5]. It has been calculated that the prevalence of hypersexuality is approximately 2% among university students [6], 5% among American adults (a rough estimation) [7], 3.3% among adult outpatients [8], and 4.4% among adult psychiatric inpatients [9]. On the other hand, there was a male preponderance (more than 60%) in people with hypersexuality [6, 8, 10]. Meanwhile, men reported more masturbation, sexual partners, and problematic cybersex than women did [11], while the hypersexual women were involved in more sexual risk behaviors, and more worries about physical pain and harm [12].

The exact etiology of hypersexuality is not fully known up to present. Some clinical-based models such as the neurobiological etiology [13, 14], addiction model [15], psychodynamic theory [16], and so on, have been

proposed, but none of them presents a clear explanation for hypersexuality. Hypersexuality is also a common syndrome in other mental disorders such as bipolar disorder [17, 18], and hypersexual patients have more psychiatric comorbidity, including anxiety, substance use, mood and personality disorders [19, 20]. A recent study also revealed that compared to healthy individuals, hypersexual men had higher rates of impulsivity, attachment difficulties, affective disorders, and maladaptive emotion regulation strategies [21]. Moreover, hypersexuality increased the risk of infectious diseases, such as the sexually transmitted diseases and the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome [22, 23].

There are many questionnaires targeting the measurement of hypersexuality from different angles [24]. However, in these questionnaires, except for the Mood Disorder Questionnaire [25] and the Revised Mood and Sexuality Questionnaire [26], the number of items measuring hypersexuality responding to dysphoric mood and stress is either just one or none. The Internet Sex Screening Test [27] is a highly specialized one but it is just applied to evaluate problematic sexual behavior on the Internet. The Revised Mood and Sexuality Questionnaire [26] is also a highly content-specific one, which aims to assess the sex-related emotions and mood states. On the other aspect, the Sexual Addiction Screening Test [28, 29] is limited in a specific scope in heterosexual men, and it has a lower internal consistency in women [24]. Overall, no single questionnaire offers a comprehensive measure of hypersexuality.

Based on the previous literature, we believe that the measure of hypersexuality includes the following aspects, and we have developed an item-matrix measuring hypersexuality of these aspects. Firstly, the negative impact of hypersexuality on individual's life domain, for example the item that "My self-esteem has been negatively impacted by my sexual activities" is a simplification of the item "My self-respect, self-esteem, or self-confidence, has been negatively impacted by my sexual activities" in Hypersexual Behavior Consequences Scale [30]. Secondly, the sex-related communication, for example that "I have used sexual jokes or implications when communicating with others", which is similar with the item that "I use sexual humor and innuendo with others while online" in Internet Sex Screening Test [27]. Thirdly, the abnormal sexual behavior, for example that "I have beat and kicked, or restrained my sexual partners", which is included in Compulsive Sexual Behavior Inventory [31]. Fourthly, the increased sexual interest and pornography consumption, for example that "I'm more interested in sex than usual", which is included in Mood Disorder Questionnaire [25]. Fifthly, the hypersexual behavior in response to stress and mood, for example that "I often use sex to cope with difficult feelings (e.g., worry, sadness, boredom, frustration, guilt, or shame)", which is also included in Hypersexual Disorder Screening Inventory [32]. Sixthly, cognition of hypersexuality, for example that "I feel that my sexual behaviors are not normal", which is similar to the item "Do you ever feel your sexual behavior is not normal?" in Sexual Addiction Screening Test [28, 29]. Seventhly, the regret after the impulsive sexual behavior, for example that "When I feel anxious or stressed, I am likely to do something sexual that I regret later", which is also included in Revised Mood and Sexuality Questionnaire [26].

For the development of a measure of hypersexuality in our study, we would like to use the exploratory factor analysis and the exploratory structural equation modeling (ESEM) procedures. The ESEM, as a confirmatory tool that integrates the best features of exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis, has more potential advantages than the confirmatory factor analysis, with more remarkable flexibility, better goodness of fit, and more accurate factor correlation, and it also has a wide applicability to clinical measure-research [33]. In addition, the ESEM has been considered as more viable for plenty of items with a modest sample size [33]. In the current study, we have hypothesized that: 1) the hypersexuality includes several aspects: awareness of hypersexual activity, increased

sexual interest, increased pornography consumption, increased emotional-coping with sex, abnormal sexual behavior, negative consequences of hypersexual activity, and regret after impulsive sexual activity, and 2) male participants (university students) express higher levels of hypersexuality than their female counterparts.

Methods

Participants

Two hundred and eighty-two out of 1,872 heterosexual university students who had at least one hypersexual experience and the related distress feeling were recruited in this study (198 males, mean age: 21.07 years \pm 2.11 S.D., age range: 16–27 years; and 84 females, mean age: 21.38 \pm 2.85, range: 18–37). There was no significant age difference between the two gender groups (the Student $t = -0.90$, $p = 0.37$, 95% Confidence Interval: $-0.99 \sim 0.37$). All participants were confirmed to have no prior history of psychiatric disorders, nor other organic brain or physical lesions severely impairing sexual functioning, and to be free from alcohol or drugs, according to the DSM-5 [4] by an experienced psychiatrist (WW). Participants were abstained from pornographic materials or masturbation for at least 72 hours prior to testing. The study protocol was approved by a local ethics committee, and all participants gave their written informed consents (guardians signed written informed consent for the young adolescents).

Measures

Participants were asked to complete the matrix of 72 items regarding hypersexuality in a quiet room, using a 5-point Likert rating scale: 1 (very unlike me), 2 (moderately unlike me), 3 (somewhat unlike and like me), 4 (moderately like me), and 5 (very like me). As mentioned in Introduction, the matrix concerned aspects: 1) negative impact of hypersexuality on some domains, such as study, work or life, 18 items, 2) sex-related communication with others, 6 items, 3) abnormal sexual behavior, 14 items, 4) increased sexual interest and pornography consumption, 11 items, 5) emotional coping with sex, 6 items, 6) cognition of hypersexuality, 12 items, 7) regret after impulsive sexual activity, 5 items. These items were randomized before being presented to participants.

Statistical Analyses

Answers to the 72 items were subjected to the principal component factor analysis, using the Predictive Analytics Software Statistics, Release Version 22.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The factor loadings were rotated orthogonally via the varimax normalized methods. Items which were loaded less heavily (below 0.45) on a target factor, or cross-loaded heavily (over 0.30) on more than one factor were removed from subsequent analyses one-by-one. The procedure continued until no further item was needed to be removed. Then, model fits of the remaining data (i.e., components extracted as latent factors) were evaluated by ESEM using Mplus 7.11 [34]. In this procedure, we used maximum likelihood estimation and Geomin oblique rotation as default methods, and the following indices were used to identify the model fit: the χ^2/df , the comparative fit index, the Tucker-Lewis index, the Akaike information criterion, the Bayesian information criterion, the standardized root mean squared residual, and the root mean square error of approximation.

When factors and the related items were identified, the internal reliabilities as expressed in the coefficient H [35] for each factor were calculated. Moreover, the gender difference of each factor scores was submitted to two-way

ANOVA (i.e., gender × factor score) plus the post-hoc Student t test. A p value less than 0.05 was considered as significant for group comparisons. The Pearson correlation test was applied to evaluate the relationships between these factors in all participants, and a p value less than 0.01 was considered as significant for a meaningful correlation.

Results

Answers to the 72 items measuring the hypersexual experience were submitted to a principal component analysis first. Results of the pre-analysis check were acceptable (KMO = 0.86; the Bartlett test of sphericity = 9525.26; $p = 0.00$). Seventeen eigenvalues greater than 1.0 were identified, and the scree plot indicated a level-off from the sixth factor on. The first five were 14.59, 5.19, 3.99, 2.34 and 2.18 respectively, which altogether accounted for 39.28% of the total variance (the first four altogether accounted for 36.25%, and the first six 42.03%). Therefore, we extracted four-, five-, and six-factor models for further analyses.

Through the ESEM, several (i.e., four-, five-, and six-factor) models with different items were constructed, and their Mplus model fitting indices were computed (Table 1). Overall, five-factor model structure and its item distribution are the best among the models. We have developed a Hypersexual Scale (HYPS, Table 2) with 20 items (four items each factor), and subsequently named its five factors.

Table 1
Fit models of factors regarding hypersexual experience in 282 participants.

Model	χ^2/df	Comparative fit index	Tucker-Lewis index	Akaike information criterion	Bayesian information criterion	Standardized root mean squared residual	Root mean square error of approximation [90% Confidence Interval]
Six-Factor (23 items)	1.62	0.95	0.91	19440.86	20056.34	0.028	0.047 [0.035, 0.058]
Five-Factor (20 items)	1.63	0.96	0.92	16658.21	17131.66	0.028	0.047 [0.034, 0.060]
Four-Factor (20 items)	2.65	0.88	0.81	16662.13	17077.31	0.041	0.076 [0.066, 0.087]

Table 2

Factor loadings of the five-factor model with 20 items after the principal component factor analysis in 282 participants.

Items	Factor 1	2	3	4	5
My self-esteem has been negatively impacted by my sexual activities.	0.83	0.07	0.06	0.12	-0.05
My self-confidence has been negatively impacted by my sexual activities.	0.81	0.10	-0.03	0.18	-0.06
My sexual activities have negatively affected my mental health (e.g. depression and stress).	0.73	0.03	0.05	0.24	0.16
Frequent and intense sexual fantasies, impulses and behaviors cause significant problems for me in social areas of my life.	0.65	0.14	0.27	0.09	0.11
When I feel sad or depressed, I masturbate on my own.	0.11	0.80	-0.03	-0.04	0.08
I often use sex to cope with difficult feelings (e.g., worry, sadness, boredom, frustration, guilt, or shame).	0.07	0.75	0.05	0.28	0.09
When I feel anxious or stressed, I masturbate on my own.	0.08	0.75	0.04	-0.10	0.11
I often use sex to deal with stress or problems in my life.	0.03	0.71	0.12	0.25	0.11
I have beat and kicked, or restrained my sexual partners.	0.05	-0.01	0.74	0.04	-0.03
I have a period of time when the number of my sexual partners increases significantly.	0.11	0.14	0.71	0.09	-0.08
I have forced someone against his or her will to have sex.	0.20	0.00	0.69	0.16	0.06
I have a period of time when the frequency of using sexual toys increases significantly.	-0.06	0.04	0.68	0.11	0.14
When I feel sad or depressed, I am likely to do something sexual that I regret later.	0.17	0.20	0.14	0.78	0.08
When I feel happy or cheerful, I am likely to do something sexual that I regret later.	0.12	0.02	0.10	0.77	0.02
When I feel anxious or stressed, I am likely to do something sexual that I regret later.	0.13	0.24	0.17	0.73	0.14
I do things sexually that are against my values and beliefs.	0.25	-0.10	0.05	0.46	0.02
I am more interested in sex than usual.	-0.01	0.03	0.00	0.09	0.78
I see more pornographic magazines and videos than usual.	0.17	0.17	0.10	0.03	0.75
I browse more sexual websites on the internet than usual.	-0.06	0.07	0.24	0.08	0.72
I am more interested in sex or have more thoughts about sex.	0.02	0.12	-0.24	0.02	0.62
Note: loadings higher than 0.50 were bolded for clarity.					

Factor 1 was called "Negative Impact", which described adverse consequences in mental health and some life domains, such as in study, work, or social area. Factor 2 was called "Emotional Coping", which reflected that

individuals used sex to cope with personal emotion and stress, and some feelings when experiencing hypersexuality. Factor 3 was called “Uncontrolled Behavior”, which described that participants experienced sexual abuses and compulsive behaviors, and had sex with multiple sexual partners and more sexual toys. Factor 4 was called “Post-sex Regret”, which reflected that participants presented regret after engaging in the sexual activities resulted from positive or negative mood. Factor 5 was called “Increased Interest”, which described that participants experienced more sexual interest, sexual thoughts, and pornography utilizations.

Further, two-way ANOVA showed a significant difference in the five HYPS factor (scale) scores between the two groups (group effect, $F [1, 280] = 5.52, p < 0.05$, mean square effect = 139. 98). The post-hoc Student t test detected that the male students scored significantly higher than females did on HYPS Negative Impact ($t = 2.52, p < 0.05$) and Increasing Interest ($t = 2.69, p < 0.01$). The coefficient H values of HYPS five scales were acceptable, and their inter-correlations were significant but remained in a low or medium level (Table 3).

Table 3

Scale scores (means \pm S.D.) of the Hypersexuality Scale in men ($n = 198$) and women ($n = 84$), and their internal reliabilities (in coefficient H) and inter-correlations in 282 participants.

	Factor score				Cohen's d	Coefficient H	Inter-correlation			
	Male	Female	95% Confidence Interval				F1	F2	F3	F4
F1 (Negative Impact)		8.49 \pm 3.93	7.31 \pm 3.44*	0.26 ~ 2.10	0.31	0.84				
F2 (Emotional Coping)		11.14 \pm 4.04	10.11 \pm 4.23	-0.02 ~ 2.09	0.25	0.84	0.23#			
F3 (Uncontrolled Behavior)		5.83 \pm 2.84	5.57 \pm 2.53	-0.44 ~ 0.97	0.09	0.80	0.22#	0.15		
F4 (Post-sex Regret)		9.27 \pm 3.88	9.52 \pm 4.09	-1.27 ~ 0.76	-0.06	0.79	0.43#	0.28#	0.31#	
F5 (Increased Interest)		12.18 \pm 3.55	10.95 \pm 3.38*	0.33 ~ 2.12	0.35	0.81	0.12	0.27#	0.09	0.18#

Note: * $p < 0.05$ vs. Female, # significant correlation at $p < 0.01$.

Discussion

Using exploratory factor analyses and ESEM on the 72 items regarding hypersexual experience, we have constructed a satisfactory model structure of five scales with 20 items (four items each), namely the Negative Impact, Emotional Coping, Uncontrolled Behavior, Post-sex regret, and Increased Interest. These scales had acceptable internal reliabilities and low or medium inter-correlations, which confirmed our first hypothesis. Besides, our results that the male students had higher HYPS Negative Impact and Increasing Interest supported our second hypothesis.

The first HYPs scale, Negative Impact, reflecting the adverse consequences due to hypersexuality, includes the psychological distress and some interference in individuals' lives, which was in line with previous findings. Hypersexual patients acknowledged that they experienced more concern about work, legal, social, and psychological consequences, and experienced significantly more psychoticism than healthy people did [5, 12]. With respect to interference in life areas, in an online survey, around half of people who used online sexual material more than 11 hours a week, reported that their behaviors had interfered with their important life domains such as education, work, and society [36]. Another online survey also found that in men with hypersexual behaviors, more than three quarters of participants felt personal distress and suffered from impaired functioning in life domains due to hypersexual behaviors [37]. Other studies have also indicated that the hypersexual behaviors jeopardized their romantic attachments and partner relationships [37, 38]. Moreover, previous findings that men had more severe hypersexual symptom than women, and its severity level was usually related to the intrapersonal and interpersonal difficulties [11], were in accordance with our results that the male students scored higher on the Negative Impact scale.

The second scale, Emotional Coping, describes the sexual behaviors used to cope with emotion and stress experienced by participants, which was consistent with those descriptions by Kafka [3]. Negative emotions or psychological distress was identified as the center of hypersexuality networks [39]. Hypersexual men experienced more depression and sexual boredom [40], and patients who had more hypersexual behavior consequences were likely to report the elevated impulsivity, depression, anxiety, stress proneness and emotional dysregulation [30]. Moreover, the emotional dysregulation was positively correlated with the compulsive sexual behavior, which might lead to the onset of compulsive sexual behavior [41].

The third scale, Uncontrolled Behavior, includes a series of sexual behavior that deviated from normal levels and social norms, which were similar to those reported previously: the hypersexual behaviors as masturbation, pornography, cybersex, telephone sex, strip clubs, and sexual behavior with consenting adults [10]. In women, the pornography use, masturbation frequency, and number of sex partners were significantly positive predictors of hypersexual behavior [42]. Moreover, in 97 patients with sexual addiction, there were 40.2% reporting pornography dependence, 30.9% compulsive masturbation, and 23.7% protracted promiscuity [43].

The fourth scale, Post-sex Regret, reflects a regret after engaging in some sexual behaviors, regardless of their life values or experienced emotional states, which were in accordance with a study showing that the sexual desire caused by anxiety and depression was positively associated with the likelihood of regret after sex [26]. Another study also found that among heterosexual couples, the increasing likelihood of regrettable sexual behaviors in negative mood states was a significant predictor of sexual infidelity [44]. Furthermore, people with hypersexual behaviors were more likely to experience shame [45–47].

The fifth scale, Increased Interest, describes the more sexual interest, sexual thoughts, and pornography utilizations an individual experienced, which was in line with a study showing that hypersexuality was positively associated with sexual excitation and arousal [48]. It has shown that the pornography consumption occupies a peripheral position in hypersexuality networks [39], and it is one of significantly positive predictors of hypersexual behavior in women [42]. Among heterosexual university students, men usually noticed more sexual intentions than women wanted to communicate, and that men were more direct in terms of expressing sexual interest than women did [49], which supports that the male students scored higher Increased Interest in the current study. Meanwhile, among both genders, problematic pornography consumption was positively associated with

hypersexuality [50]. Notably, regarding pornography consumption, men with high sexual desire held positive attitudes, while those with hypersexuality held negative attitudes [40].

However, the current study has suffered from several limitations. Firstly, personality might influence the hypersexual reports, but we failed to record the personality traits in our participants. Secondly, our participants were heterosexual university students, whether the results could be generalized to people of other ages or to homosexual or bisexual individuals remains unclear. Thirdly, our measure is a self-report, which might suffer from the recall bias and cognitive bias since reporting hypersexuality is shameful [46, 51].

Conclusions

Using exploratory factor analyses and more appropriate method ESEM in Chinese university students, we have developed a structure-validated hypersexuality scale with five factors, namely the Negative Impact, Emotional Coping, Uncontrolled Behavior, Post-sex regret, and Increased Interest, and have demonstrated that male students scored higher on Negative Impact and Increased Interest factors. Our results might help to understand the structures of hypersexuality, and the Hypersexuality Scale might be applied to the clinical settings related to hypersexuality.

Abbreviations

DSM-5

the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition;

ESEM

the exploratory structural equation modeling;

HYPS

the Hypersexual Scale

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of School of Public Health, Zhejiang University (No. ZGL201606-1-6), and all participants had given their written informed consents.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

Regarding research work described in the paper, each one of our co-authors, Yanli Jia, Xu Shao, Chanchan Shen, and Wei Wang, has declared that there is no conflict of interest, has conformed to the Helsinki Declaration concerning human rights and informed consent, and has followed correct procedures concerning treatment of humans in research. Author Wei Wang is a senior editorial member of BMC Psychiatry.

Funding

The study was supported by a grant from the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81771475) to the correspondent author (Dr W Wang). The funding body played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

WW conceived the study, YJ, XS, and CS conducted the tests and collected the data, YJ analyzed the data, and YJ and WW drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the volunteers who took part in the data collection.

References

1. Coleman E. Sexual compulsivity: Definition, etiology, and treatment considerations. *J Chem Dep Treat.* 1987; 1: 189-204.
2. Black DW. The epidemiology and phenomenology of compulsive sexual behavior. *CNS Spectr.* 2000; 5: 26-35.
3. Kafka MP. Hypersexual Disorder: A proposed diagnosis for DSM-V. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2010; 39: 377-400.
4. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.* 5th ed. Arlington: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
5. Reid RC, Carpenter BN, Lloyd TQ. Assessing psychological symptom patterns of patients seeking help for hypersexual behavior. *Sex Relatsh Ther.* 2009; 24: 47-63.
6. Odlaug BL, Lust K, Schreiber LR, et al. Compulsive sexual behavior in young adults. *Ann Clin Psychiatry.* 2013; 25: 193-200.
7. Coleman E. Is your patient suffering from compulsive sexual behavior? *Psychiatr Ann.* 1992; 22: 320-5.
8. Fuss J, Briken P, Stein DJ, Lochner C. Compulsive sexual behavior disorder in obsessive-compulsive disorder: Prevalence and associated comorbidity. *J Behav Addict.* 2019; 8: 242-8.
9. Grant JE, Levine L, Kim D, Potenza MN. Impulse control disorders in adult psychiatric inpatients. *Am J Psychiatr.* 2005; 162: 2184-8.

10. Kaplan MS, Krueger RB. Diagnosis, assessment, and treatment of hypersexuality. *J Sex Res.* 2010; 47: 181-98.
11. Engel J, Kessler A, Veit M, et al. Hypersexual behavior in a large online sample: Individual characteristics and signs of coercive sexual behavior. *J Behav Addict.* 2019; 8: 1-10.
12. Öberg KG, Hallberg J, Kaldo V, Dhejne C, Arver S. Hypersexual disorder according to the hypersexual disorder screening inventory in help-seeking Swedish men and women with self-identified hypersexual behavior. *Sex Med.* 2017; 5: e229-e236.
13. Stein DJ, Hugo F, Oosthuizen P, Hawkrigde SM, Heerden BV. Neuropsychiatry of hypersexuality. *CNS Spectr.* 2000; 5: 36-46.
14. Berlin FS. Basic science and neurobiological research: Potential relevance to sexual compulsivity. *Psychiatr Clin North Amer.* 2008; 31: 623-42.
15. Sexaholics Anonymous. *Sexaholics Anonymous.* Nashville: SA Literature; 1989.
16. Montaldi DF. Understanding hypersexuality with an Axis II model. *J Psychol Hum Sex.* 2002; 14: 1-23.
17. Geller B, Zimmerman B, Williams M, et al. Diagnostic characteristics of 93 cases of a prepubertal and early adolescent bipolar disorder phenotype by gender, puberty and comorbid attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol.* 2000; 10: 157-64.
18. Geller B, Zimmerman B, Williams M, et al. DSM-IV mania symptoms in a prepubertal and early adolescent bipolar disorder phenotype compared to attention-deficit hyperactive and normal controls. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol.* 2002; 12: 11-25.
19. Black DW, Kehrberg LL, Flumerfelt DL, Schlosser SS. Characteristics of 36 subjects reporting compulsive sexual behavior. *Am J Psychiat.* 1997; 154: 243-9.
20. Kuzma JM, Black DW. Epidemiology, prevalence, and natural history of compulsive sexual behavior. *Psychiatr Clin North Amer.* 2008; 31: 603-11.
21. Engel J, Veit M, Sinke C, et al. Same same but different: A clinical characterization of men with hypersexual disorder in the sex@ brain study. *J Clin Med.* 2019; 8: 157.
22. Kalichman SC, Rompa D. Sexual sensation seeking and sexual compulsivity scales: Reliability, validity, and predicting HIV risk behavior. *J Pers Assess.* 1995; 65: 586-601.
23. Dodge B, Reece M, Cole SL, Sandfort TGM. Sexual compulsivity among heterosexual college students. *J Sex Res.* 2004; 41: 343-50.
24. Hook JN, Hook JP, Davis DE, Worthington JEL, Penberthy JK. Measuring sexual addiction and compulsivity: A critical review of instruments. *J Sex Marital Ther.* 2010; 36: 227-60.
25. Hirschfeld RM, Williams JB, Spitzer RL, et al. Development and validation of a screening instrument for bipolar spectrum disorder: the Mood Disorder Questionnaire. *Am J Psychiat.* 2000; 157: 1873-5.
26. Janssen E, Macapagal KR, Mustanski B. Individual differences in the effects of mood on sexuality: The Revised Mood and Sexuality Questionnaire (MSQ-R). *J Sex Res.* 2013; 50: 676-87.
27. Delmonico DL. Internet Sex Screening Test. 1997. <http://www.sexhelp.com>. Accessed 25 Feb 2020.
28. Carnes PJ. *Contrary to love: Helping the sexual addict.* Minneapolis: CompCare Publishers; 1989.
29. Carnes PJ, O'Hara S. Sexual addiction screening test (SAST). *Tennessee Nurse.* 1991; 54: 29.

30. Reid RC, Garos S, Fong T. Psychometric development of the hypersexual behavior consequences scale. *J Behav Addict.* 2012; 1: 115-22.
31. Coleman E, Miner M, Ohlerking F, Raymond N. Compulsive sexual behavior inventory: A preliminary study of reliability and validity. *J Sex Marital Ther.* 2001; 27: 325-32.
32. American Psychiatric Association. Hypersexual Disorder Screening Inventory. American Psychiatric Association's DSM-5 workgroup on sexual and gender identity disorders. 2010.
<http://www.dsm5.org/ProposedRevisions/Pages/proposedrevision.aspx?rid=415>. Accessed 12 May 2020.
33. Marsh HW, Morin AJ, Parker PD, Kaur G. Exploratory structural equation modeling: An integration of the best features of exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* 2014; 10: 85-110.
34. Muthén BO, Muthén LK. Mplus version 7.11. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén; 2013.
35. McNeish D. Thanks coefficient alpha, we will take it from here. *Psychol Methods.* 2018; 23: 412-33.
36. Cooper A, Scherer CR, Boies SC, Gordon BL. Sexuality on the Internet: From sexual exploration to pathological expression. *Prof Psychol-Res Pract.* 1999; 30: 154-64.
37. Spenhoff M, Kruger TH, Hartmann U, Kobs J. Hypersexual behavior in an online sample of males: Associations with personal distress and functional impairment. *J Sex Med.* 2013; 10: 2996-3005.
38. Zapf JL, Greiner J, Carroll J. Attachment styles and male sex addiction. *Sexual Addiction & Compulsivity.* 2008; 15: 158-75.
39. Werner M, Štulhofer A, Waldorp L, Jurin T. A network approach to hypersexuality: Insights and clinical implications. *J Sex Med.* 2018; 15: 373-86.
40. Štulhofer A, Jurin T, Briken P. Is high sexual desire a facet of male hypersexuality? Results from an online study. *J Sex Marital Ther.* 2016; 42: 665-80.
41. Lew-Starowicz M, Lewczuk K, Nowakowska I, Kraus S, Gola M. Compulsive sexual behavior and dysregulation of emotion. *Sex Med Rev.* 2019; DOI: doi.org/10.1016/j.sxmr.2019.10.003
42. Klein V, Rettenberger M, Briken P. Self-reported indicators of hypersexuality and its correlates in a female online sample. *J Sex Med.* 2014; 11: 1974-81.
43. Briken P, Habermann N, Berner W, Hill A. Diagnosis and treatment of sexual addiction: A survey among German sex therapists. *Sexual Addiction & Compulsivity.* 2007; 14: 131-43.
44. Mark KP, Janssen E, Milhausen RR. Infidelity in heterosexual couples: demographic, interpersonal, and personality-related predictors of extradyadic sex. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2011; 40: 971-82.
45. Dhuffar MK, Pontes HM, Griffiths MD. The role of negative mood states and consequences of hypersexual behaviours in predicting hypersexuality among university students. *J Behav Addict.* 2015; 4: 181-8.
46. Dhuffar MK, Griffiths MD. Understanding the role of shame and its consequences in female hypersexual behaviours: A pilot study. *J Behav Addict.* 2014; 3: 231-7.
47. Reid RC, Temko J, Moghaddam JF, Fong TW. Shame, rumination, and self-compassion in men assessed for hypersexual disorder. *J Psychiatr Pract.* 2014; 20: 260-8.
48. Walton MT, Bhullar N. Hypersexuality, higher rates of intercourse, masturbation, sexual fantasy, and early sexual interest relate to higher sexual excitation/ arousal. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2018; 47: 2177-83.
49. Lindgren KP, Schacht RL, Pantalone DW, Blayney JA. Sexual communication, sexual goals, and students' transition to college: Implications for sexual assault, decision-making, and risky behaviors. *J Coll Stud Dev.* 2009; 50: 491-503.

50. Bóthe B, Koós M, Tóth-Király I, Orosz G, Demetrovics Z. Investigating the associations of adult ADHD symptoms, hypersexuality, and problematic pornography use among men and women on a largescale, non-clinical sample. *J Sex Med.* 2019; 16: 489-99.
51. Reid RC, Harper JM, Anderson EH. Coping strategies used by hypersexual patients to defend against the painful effects of shame. *Clin Psychol Psychother.* 2009; 16: 125-38.