

# Factors associated with normal physical function in patients with rheumatoid arthritis of different ages

Yoji Komiya (

komirheu@tmd.ac.jp)

Tokyo Medical and Dental University: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Takahiko Sugihara

Tokyo Medical and Dental University: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7181-7494

**Fumio Hirano** 

Tokyo Medical and Dental University: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Takumi Matsumoto

Tokyo Medical and Dental University: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Mari Kamiya

Tokyo Medical and Dental University: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Hirokazu Sasaki

Tokyo Medical and Dental University: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Tadashi Hosoya

Tokyo Medical and Dental University: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Naoki Kimura

Tokyo Medical and Dental University: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Tatsuro Ishizaki

Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology: Tokyo-to Kenko Choju Iryo Center

Masaaki Mori

Tokyo Medical and Dental University: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Shigeto Tohma

National Hospital Organization Tokyo National Hospital

Shinsuke Yasuda

Tokyo Medical and Dental University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences: Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku Daigakin Ishigaku Sogo Kenkyuka

**Toshihiro Matsui** 

Sagamihara National Hospital: Kokuritsu Byoin Kiko Sagamihara Byoin

Research Article

Keywords: old RA, very old patients, physical function, MTX, biological DMARDs, glucocorticoids

Posted Date: November 10th, 2021

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1048428/v1

License: © 1 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

### **Abstract**

# **Background**

To investigate factors associated with normal physical function of middle-aged (55-64), old (65-74) or very old (75-84) patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

# **Methods**

Data from RA patients in the National Database of Rheumatic Diseases in Japan (NinJa) were extracted from April 2017 to March 2018. Factors associated with impaired physical function (Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index [HAQ-DI] >0.5) were analyzed by multivariable logistic regression. Association of glucocorticoids (GCs) and age with impaired physical function were presented as adjusted odds ratio (OR) for the 5 groups relative to middle-aged patients without GCs as the reference group.

# **Results**

Low disease activity (3.3< simplified disease activity index [SDAI] ≤11) or remission (SDAI ≤3.3) was achieved in 3,466 (31.4%) or 3,021(27.4%) of 11,036 patients aged 55-84, respectively. To reduce the influence of joint destruction on HAQ-DI, we assessed the 3,708 patients in both SDAI ≤11 and Steinbrocker stage I/II. About half of the very old patients were receiving methotrexate, which was the lowest proportion amongst the three age groups. GCs were continued in 32.6% of very old patients, and the proportion was higher than in old and middle-aged patients. On the other hand, 16.2% of the very old patients received biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (bDMARDs), and the proportions were similar among the three groups. SDAI was higher in patients with HAQ-DI >0.5 at all ages, and GCs was used more frequently in the old and very old patients with HAQ-DI >0.5, compared to those with HAQ-DI ≤0.5. To minimize the influence of disease activity on HAQ-DI, we selected the 2078 patients in both remission and stage I/II. Multivariable analysis revealed the use of GCs further increased the adjusted OR from 4.01 (95% confidence interval [CI] 2.30-6.99) to 6.81 (95%CI 3.65-12.7) in the very old patients, while the adjusted OR was 2.03 (95%CI 1.17-1.13) in the old patients without GCs, 2.22 (95%CI 1.13-4.36) in the old patients with GCs, and 0.73 (95%CI 0.21-2.56) in the middle-aged patients with GCs.

# **Conclusions**

The negative impact of GCs was likely to most strongly influence physical function of very old patients than middle-aged or old patients.

# **Background**

Achieving remission or low disease activity (LDA) has become a realistic goal in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) with the evolution of treat-to-target (T2T) strategies using conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (csDMARDs) such as methotrexate (MTX), biological DMARDs (bDMARDs) or targeted synthetic DMARDs (tsDMARDs). Abrogation of inflammation and prevention of joint destruction were associated with physical function in patients with RA (1–3).

The increasing age of onset of RA in Japan and its increasing incidence in old patients has been noted (4, 5). Old patients given bDMARDs or tsDMARDs achieved favorable outcomes (6–9), but had lower rate of achievement of clinical remission and more serious infectious events or serious adverse events than younger patients (10). Older patients have higher disease activity and a higher frequency of comorbidities than younger patients, and it was associated with poorer treatment response and functional disability (11, 12). It was challenging to implement T2T achieving remission in frail older patients with comorbidities (13). Therefore, drug use may differ among patients who achieved remission or LDA, according to whether they are middle-aged, old or very old.

Previous cohort studies showed that glucocorticoids (GCs) were more frequently given to older RA patients who received bDMARDs less often (14, 15). Long-standing use of GCs was associated with serious infectious events (16–18), cardiovascular events (19, 20), and fracture (21).

The normalization of physical functioning is an important therapeutic goal for old patients with RA, because physical deterioration in old adults is associated with progression of physical frailty and subsequently decreased life expectancy (22). We hypothesized that drugs used and factors associated with the normal physical function may differ depending on age, from middle-age to old and very old age, even when disease activity is controlled to similar degrees (LDA or remission). Especially, GC use might have greater impact on physical function in very old patients or old patients, compared to middle-aged patients.

Using a large national registry of Japanese RA patients, we utilized the Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index (HAQ-DI) as an indicator of normal physical function and investigated current status of treatment for middle-aged, old, and very old patients with RA who had achieved LDA or remission, based on the Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI). We also assessed whether association with normal physical function and GCs were different in middle-aged, old or very old RA patients.

## **Methods**

# NinJa registry

The National Database of Rheumatic Diseases in Japan (NinJa) is a nationwide, multicenter, prevalent, longitudinal observational database of RA patients (16 years of age or older) treated in Japan with a fixed data collection interval of 1 year (23). The NinJa registry was established in 2002 and currently collects information annually from 49 institutions located throughout Japan. The registry, and all subsequent studies utilizing pre-existing registry data, was approved by the Sagamihara National Hospital Institutional Review Board.

For the present study, we used data from the NinJa registry originating from the time period April 2017 to March 2018. The database included age, sex, duration of disease, SDAI, anti-citrullinated protein antibody (ACPA), medications (MTX, csDMARDs other than MTX, bDMARDs, tsDMARDs, GCs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs]), HAQ-DI, EuroQol 5 Dimension (EQ-5D) (24), C-reactive protein (CRP), body mass index (BMI), serum creatinine (Cr), complications requiring hospitalization (all hospitalizations, infectious disease, interstitial lung disease, cerebrovascular disease, cardiac disease), and malignancies during the 1-year observation period. In NinJa registry, evaluation of radiographic joint destruction was done with Steinbrocker stage (25) at hand and wrist.

# **Outcomes**

The primary outcome of the present analysis is the normal physical function (defined as a HAQ-DI  $\leq$  0.5). SDAI disease activity states were defined as follows: SDAI remission (SDAI $\leq$ 3.3); SDAI LDA (3.3<SDAI $\leq$ 11).

# Statistical Methods

One-way analysis of variance, the Kruskal–Wallis test, and the Chi-squared test were used to compare clinical findings among middle-aged, old, and very old patients. For comparisons of the clinical findings between patients with HAQ-DI >0.5 or  $\leq$ 0.5, and between patients with or without GC, student's t test, the Mann-Whitney test, and the Chi-squared test were used depending on their distribution. To examine associations between HAQ-DI >0.5 and GCs use in middle-aged, old, and very old patients, we stratified the patients aged 55-84 into six groups, namely very old, old and middle-aged patients with or without GCs. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) of 5 groups relative to the reference group of middle-aged patients without GCs were calculated in the multivariable logistic regression analysis. The reported p values were two-tailed, and the level of significance was set at p <0.05. All analytical procedures were performed using IBM SPSS Version 27.

### **Results**

# Baseline characteristics of old patients achieving LDA in the NinJa cohort

Of the 15,185 patients enrolled in the NinJa database in 2017, 9,387(61.8%) were ≥65 years of age, with 5,227 included as an old group aged 65-74 years, and 3,460 as a very old group of 75-84 years. A middle-aged group (n=2,986) at 55-64 years was selected to act as the reference control cohort for the old patients. Overall, 11,849 patients aged 55-84 years were selected of whom data on SDAI could be extracted for 11,036. SDAI LDA or SDAI remission was achieved in 3,466 (31.4%) or 3,021(27.4%) of these 11,036, respectively. Of the total of 6,487 patients whose disease activity was LDA or remission, 3,708 were in stage I/II according to the Steinbrocker classification, and 2,778 in stage III/IV (Table 1).

Table 1
Characteristics of middle-aged, old, and very older patients in SDAI ≤11 (SDAI LDA or remission)

|                                       | Stage I/II        |                   |                   |                   |                      | Stage III/IV      |                         |        |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|--|
|                                       | 55-64             | 65-74             | 75-84             | <i>P</i><br>value | 55-64                | 65-74             | 75-84                   | Р      |  |  |
|                                       | years             | years             | years             | value             | years                | years             | years                   | value  |  |  |
|                                       | (n=1,107)         | (n=1,648)         | (n=953)           |                   | (n=612)              | (n=1,320)         | (n=846)                 |        |  |  |
| Age, year, mean<br>(S.D.)             | 60.0 (2.9)        | 69.4 (2.8)        | 78.6<br>(2.7)     | <0.001            | 60.5<br>(2.6)        | 69.5 (2.8)        | 78.5<br>(2.7)           | <0.001 |  |  |
| Duration of disease,                  | 6 (3-10)          | 7 (3-11)          | 7 (3-12)          | 0.028             | 17 (12-<br>26)       | 21 (13-<br>30)    | 24 (14-<br>33)          | <0.001 |  |  |
| year, median (IQR)                    |                   |                   |                   |                   | 20)                  | 30)               | 33)                     |        |  |  |
| Gender, female, %                     | 75.1              | 69.8              | 70.4              | 0.006             | 86.9                 | 85.6              | 84.5                    | 0.438  |  |  |
| BMI, mean (S.D.)                      | 22.7 (3.7)        | 22.7 (3.5)        | 22.3<br>(3.3)     | 0.016             | 21.7<br>(3.6)        | 21.9 (3.5)        | 21.8<br>(3.5)           | 0.462  |  |  |
| ACPA positive, %                      | 68.2              | 64.7              | 56.1              | <0.001            | 85.5                 | 86.7              | 79.8                    | 0.054  |  |  |
| Tender joint count,<br>median (IQR)   | 0 (0-1)           | 0 (0-1)           | 0 (0-1)           | 0.073             | 0 (0-2)              | 0 (0-1)           | 0 (0-1)                 | 0.075  |  |  |
| Swollen joint count,<br>median (IQR)  | 0 (0-0)           | 0 (0-0)           | 0 (0-1)           | 0.751             | 0 (0-1)              | 0 (0-1)           | 0 (0-1)                 | 0.116  |  |  |
| Patient VAS, 0-10<br>cm, median (IQR) | 1.0 (0.3-<br>2.1) | 1.0 (0.3-<br>2.8) | 1.3 (0.5-<br>2.7) | <0.001            | 1.6<br>(0.6-<br>3.0) | 1.6 (0.7-<br>3.0) | 2.3<br>(1.0-<br>4.2)    | <0.001 |  |  |
| Physician VAS, 0-10 cm,               | 0.7 (0.2-<br>1.2) | 0.6 (0.2-<br>1.1) | 0.7 (0.3-<br>1.2) | 0.431             | 1 (0.5-<br>1.6)      | 1.0 (0.5-<br>1.6) | 1.0<br>(0.5-<br>1.6)    | 0.975  |  |  |
| median (IQR)                          |                   |                   |                   |                   |                      |                   |                         |        |  |  |
| CRP, mg/dL,                           | 0.10              | 0.12              | 0.14              | <0.001            | 0.14                 | 0.17              | 0.19                    | 0.002  |  |  |
| median (IQR)                          | (0.05-<br>0.28)   | (0.06-<br>0.30)   | (0.06-<br>0.38)   |                   | (0.06-<br>0.40)      | (0.06-<br>0.47)   | (0.07-<br>0.53)         |        |  |  |
| SDAI, median (IQR)                    | 2.83              | 2.58              | 3.00              | <0.001            | 4.90                 | 4.56              | 5.32                    | <0.001 |  |  |
|                                       | (1.07-<br>5.38)   | (1.09-<br>5.17)   | (1.36-<br>6.04)   |                   | (2.33-<br>7.52)      | (2.26-<br>7.33)   | (2.99-<br>7.91)         |        |  |  |
| HAQ-DI, median<br>(IQR)               | 0 (0-0.25)        | 0 (0-0.35)        | 0.25 (0-<br>0.75) | <0.001            | 0.38 (0-<br>1.00)    | 0.44<br>(0.1.13)  | 0.88<br>(0.25-<br>1.75) | <0.001 |  |  |
| EQ-5D, mean (S.D.)                    | 0.86<br>(0.16)    | 0.85 (1.7)        | 0.78<br>(0.18)    | <0.001            | 0.77<br>(0.19)       | 0.75<br>(0.19)    | 0.68<br>(0.21)          | <0.001 |  |  |
|                                       |                   |                   |                   |                   |                      |                   |                         |        |  |  |

ACPA: anti-citrullinated protein antibody, bDMARDs: biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), BMI: body mass index, BUC: bucillamine, Cr: creatine, CRP: C-reactive protein, EQ-5D: EuroQol 5 dimension, GCs: glucocorticoids, HAQ-DI: health assessment questionnaire-disability index, Interstitial lung disease: ILD, IGR: iguratimod, IQR: interquartile range, LDA: low disease activity, MTX: methotrexate, NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, SASP: salazosulfapyridine, S.D: standard deviation, SDAI: simplified disease activity index, TAC: tacrolimus, TNFi: TNF inhibitor, tsDMARDs: targeted synthetic DMARDs, VAS: visual analogue scale.

|                                       | Stage I/II      |                 |                 |        | Stage III/      | IV              |                 |         |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Serum Cr, mg/dl,                      | 0.66            | 0.68            | 0.72            | <0.001 | 0.61            | 0.63            | 0.69            | <0.001  |
| median (IQR)                          | (0.57-<br>0.76) | (0.58-<br>0.81) | (0.60-<br>0.86) |        | (0.54-<br>0.71) | (0.55-<br>0.75) | (0.60-<br>0.83) |         |
| NSAIDs, %                             | 31.6            | 30.7            | 29.0            | 0.418  | 45.9            | 39.0            | 39.3            | 0.01    |
| MTX, %                                | 74.1            | 67.1            | 52.5            | <0.001 | 73.5            | 68.3            | 54.7            | <0.0016 |
| bDMARDs, %                            | 19.8            | 17.6            | 16.2            | 0.093  | 35.3            | 32.7            | 29.5            | 0.061   |
| TNFi, %                               | 10.7            | 9.1             | 7.5             | 0.035  | 18.3            | 18.6            | 12.6            | 0.001   |
| tsDMARDs, %                           | 1.8             | 1.8             | 0.9             | 0.159  | 2.6             | 3.2             | 1.7             | 0.092   |
| GCs, %                                | 20.8            | 25.4            | 32.6            | <0.001 | 33.7            | 35.2            | 45.2            | <0.001  |
| SASP, %                               | 19.1            | 20.1            | 25.4            | 0.002  | 17.0            | 17.4            | 17.9            | 0.891   |
| TAC, %                                | 8.2             | 9.9             | 12.9            | 0.002  | 10.1            | 11.7            | 16.1            | 0.001   |
| BUC, %                                | 7.8             | 10.3            | 12.9            | 0.001  | 10.5            | 9.2             | 9.7             | 0.701   |
| IGR, %                                | 7.6             | 6.3             | 7.5             | 0.315  | 7.8             | 6.4             | 7.4             | 0.42    |
| All hospitalization, %                | 3.9             | 7.0             | 10.5            | <0.001 | 10.9            | 10.2            | 14.2            | 0.015   |
| Infectious disease                    | 0.5             | 1.6             | 2.5             | 0.001  | 2.6             | 2.5             | 5.4             | 0.001   |
| Hospitalization, %                    |                 |                 |                 |        |                 |                 |                 |         |
| Osteoporosis<br>hospitalization, %    | 0.2             | 0.5             | 1.1             | 0.011  | 0.5             | 1.5             | 2.5             | 0.011   |
| Cardiac disease<br>hospitalization, % | 0.2             | 0.4             | 0.3             | 0.542  | 0.2             | 0.1             | 0.7             | 0.022   |
| ILD, %                                | 0.2             | 0.5             | 0.6             | 0.272  | 0.3             | 0.1             | 0.4             | 0.316   |
| hospitalization, %                    |                 |                 |                 |        |                 |                 |                 |         |
| Cerebrovascular<br>disease            | 0.0             | 0.5             | 0.6             | 0.043  | 0.0             | 0.3             | 0.6             | 0.144   |
| hospitalization, %                    |                 |                 |                 |        |                 |                 |                 |         |
| Malignancy, %                         | 0.6             | 1.6             | 1.6             | 0.056  | 0.5             | 8.0             | 1.3             | 0.256   |

ACPA: anti-citrullinated protein antibody, bDMARDs: biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), BMI: body mass index, BUC: bucillamine, Cr. creatinine, CRP: C-reactive protein, EQ-5D: EuroQol 5 dimension, GCs: glucocorticoids, HAQ-DI: health assessment questionnaire-disability index, Interstitial lung disease: ILD, IGR: iguratimod, IQR: interquartile range, LDA: low disease activity, MTX: methotrexate, NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, SASP: salazosulfapyridine, S.D: standard deviation, SDAI: simplified disease activity index, TAC: tacrolimus, TNFi: TNF inhibitor, tsDMARDs: targeted synthetic DMARDs, VAS: visual analogue scale.

In stage I/II patients, ACPA positivity decreased with age, and higher HAQ-DI and lower EQ-5D were observed in the very old patients. About half of the very old patients were receiving MTX, which was the lowest proportion amongst the three age groups. GCs were continued in 32.6% of very old patients, and the proportion was higher than in old and middle-aged patients. On the other hand, 16.2% of the very old patients received bDMARDs, and the proportion was comparable to that in middle aged and old patients. The proportion of MTX use in cases of concomitant bDMARDs use was 66.4%

in middle-aged patients and 59.6% in the old but only 43.3% in very old patients. Newly-developed comorbidities and infections requiring hospitalization increased with age (Table 1).

Patients with stage III/IV had longer disease duration, higher proportion of ACPA positivity, higher HAQ-DI and lower EQ-5D than patients with stage I/II. The differences in the SDAI, HAQ-DI, EQ-5d, and proportion of each drug use among the three age groups tended to be the same as the results observed in the patients with stage I/II (Table1).

### Clinical features of patients with and without normal physical function when achieving LDA or remission at stage I/II

To reduce the influence of progression of joint destruction on physical function, we assessed the 3,708 patients in stage I/II whose disease activity was SDAI  $\leq$ 11 (SDAI LDA or remission). Impaired physical function (HAQ-DI >0.5) was reported for 646 (17.6%) of these 3,708 patients. The patients with impaired physical function had higher SDAI, more tender joints, higher patient VAS, and higher physician VAS than those with normal physical function (HAQ-DI  $\leq$ 0.5), even after achieving LDA or remission. On the other hand, the number of swollen joints was comparable in patients with or without impaired physical function. These results were the same for all three age groups (Table 2).

Table 2
Characteristics of each age groups of the patients in both SDAI ≤11 (SDAI LDA or remission) and stage I/II with normal physical function and those without.

|  | 55-64 years          |                      |                   | 65-74 years       | 3                    |                   | 75-84 years          |                      |                   |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|  | HAQ<br>≦0.5          | HAQ<br>>0.5          | <i>P</i><br>Value | HAQ<br>≦0.5       | HAQ<br>>0.5          | <i>P</i><br>value | HAQ<br>≦0.5          | HAQ<br>>0.5          | <i>P</i><br>value |
|  | (n=991)              | (n=116)              | value             | (n=1,409)         | (n=239)              |                   | (n=662)              | (n=291)              |                   |
| Age, year,<br>mean (S.D.)                      | 60.0<br>(2.9)        | 60.0<br>(3.0)        | 0.153             | 69.3 (2.8)        | 70.0<br>(2.9)        | 0.001             | 78.3<br>(2.6)        | 79.5<br>(2.8)        | <0.001            |
| Duration of<br>disease, year,<br>median, (IQR) | 6 (3-10)             | 7 (3-13)             | 0.879             | 7 (3-11)          | 10 (5-<br>16)        | 0.038             | 7 (4-14)             | 8 (4-13)             | 0.374             |
| Gender, female,<br>%                           | 73.6                 | 88.8                 | <0.001            | 68.1              | 80.0                 | <0.001            | 68.4                 | 74.9                 | 0.025             |
| BMI, mean<br>(S.D.)                            | 22.6.<br>(3.6)       | 23.5<br>(4.5)        | 0.025             | 22.7 (3.4)        | 22.7<br>(4.0)        | 0.999             | 22.3<br>(3.1)        | 22.4<br>(3.7)        | 0.569             |
| ACPA positive,<br>%                            | 69.0                 | 60.8                 | 0.268             | 64.6              | 65.2                 | 1.000             | 53.7                 | 61.9                 | 0.107             |
| Tender joint<br>count, median<br>(IQR)         | 0 (0-1)              | 1 (0-2)              | <0.001            | 0 (0-0)           | 0 (0-1)              | <0.001            | 0 (0-1)              | 0 (0-1)              | <0.001            |
| Swollen joint<br>count, median<br>(IQR)        | 0 (0-0)              | 0 (0-1)              | 0.100             | 0 (0-0)           | 0 (0-1)              | 0.554             | 0 (0-0)              | 0 (0-1)              | 0.655             |
| Patient VAS, 0-<br>10 cm,<br>median (IQR)      | 0.9<br>(0.3-<br>2.0) | 2.9<br>(1.7-<br>4.5) | <0.001            | 0.8 (0.2-<br>1.9) | 2.7<br>(1.2-<br>4.2) | <0.001            | 0.9<br>(0.3-<br>2.0) | 2.4<br>(1.1-<br>4.5) | <0.001            |
| Physician VAS,                                 | 0.6                  | 1.1_                 | <0.001            | 0.6 (0.2-         | 1.0                  | <0.001            | 0.6                  | 1.0_                 | <0.001            |
| 0-10 cm,<br>median (IQR)                       | (0.2-<br>1.1)        | (0.7-<br>1.8)        |                   | 1.0)`             | (0.5-<br>1.6)        |                   | (0.2-<br>1.0)        | (0.5-<br>1.6)        |                   |
| CRP, mg/dl,                                    | 0.1                  | 0.12                 | 0.068             | 0.12              | 0.13                 | 0.339             | 0.11                 | 0.20                 | <0.001            |
| median (IQR)                                   | (0.05-<br>0.28)      | (0.06-<br>0.39)      |                   | (0.05-<br>0.30)   | (0.06-<br>0.30)      |                   | (0.05-<br>0.33)      | (0.07-<br>0.52)      |                   |

ACPA: anti-citrullinated protein antibody, bDMARDs: biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), BMI: body mass index, Cr: creatinine, CRP: C-reactive protein, GCs: glucocorticoids, HAQ-DI: health assessment questionnaire-disability index, IQR: interquartile range, LDA: low disease activity, MTX: methotrexate, NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, S.D: standard deviation, SDAI: simplified disease activity index, TNFi: TNF inhibitor, tsDMARDs: targeted synthetic DMARDs, VAS: visual analogue scale.

|   | 55-64 yea       | ars             |        | 65-74 year      | s               |        | 75-84 yea       | ars             |        |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| SDAI, median                              | 2.47            | 5.78            | <0.001 | 2.29            | 5.41            | <0.001 | 2.35            | 5.63            | <0.001 |
| (IQR)                                     | (1.02-<br>5.00) | (3.92-<br>8.24) |        | (0.97-<br>4.66) | (2.80-<br>7.80) |        | (1.11-<br>4.82) | (2.70-<br>8.24) |        |
| SDAI remission, %                         | 59.6%           | 19.0%           | <0.001 | 62.5%           | 30.1%           | <0.001 | 64.0%           | 30.6%           | <0.001 |
| Serum Cr,<br>mg/dl, median                | 0.66            | 0.61            | 0.056  | 0.69            | 0.66            | 0.007  | 0.71            | 0.72            | 0.79   |
| (IQR)                                     | (0.57-<br>0.77) | (0.54-<br>0.75) |        | (0.59-<br>0.81) | (0.53-<br>078)  |        | (0.61-<br>0.86) | (0.58-<br>0.89) |        |
| NSAIDs, %                                 | 29.9            | 46.6            | <0.001 | 29.5            | 38.1            | 0.005  | 26.0            | 35.7            | 0.002  |
| MTX, %                                    | 64.6            | 69.8            | 0.265  | 67.6            | 64.4            | 0.372  | 59.2            | 37.1            | <0.001 |
| bDMARDs, %                                | 19.3            | 24.1            | 0.219  | 16.6            | 23.4            | 0.008  | 13.9            | 21.3            | 0.003  |
| TNFi, %                                   | 10.9            | 9.5             | 0.752  | 9.1             | 9.2             | 0.904  | 7.4             | 7.6             | 1.000  |
| tsDMARDs, %                               | 1.5             | 4.3             | 0.05   | 1.6             | 3.3             | 0.115  | 1.1             | 0.7             | 0.730  |
| GCs, %                                    | 20.0            | 27.6            | 0.069  | 23.9            | 34.3            | 0.001  | 26.9            | 45.7            | <0.001 |
| All<br>hospitalization,<br>%              | 0.3             | 0.9             | 0.01   | 6.1             | 12.6            | 0.001  | 7.9             | 16.5            | <0.001 |
| Infectious<br>disease<br>hospitalization, | 0.1             | 0.0             | -      | 1.1             | 4.2             | 0.002  | 1.4             | 5.2             | 0.001  |

ACPA: anti-citrullinated protein antibody, bDMARDs: biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), BMI: body mass index, Cr. creatinine, CRP: C-reactive protein, GCs: glucocorticoids, HAQ-DI: health assessment questionnaire-disability index, IQR: interquartile range, LDA: low disease activity, MTX: methotrexate, NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, S.D: standard deviation, SDAI: simplified disease activity index, TNFi: TNF inhibitor, tsDMARDs: targeted synthetic DMARDs, VAS: visual analogue scale.

Regarding the proportion of use of medications in patients with or without impaired physical function, MTX was used less frequently in very old patients with impaired physical function. GCs were given more frequently to those old and very old patients with impaired physical function, while the proportion of GCs use in middle-aged patients was similar regardless of physical function (Table 2). The proportion of patients with newly-developing infectious diseases requiring hospitalization in those who had impaired physical function was significantly higher than those without impaired function in the old and very old age groups. Serum Cr was almost similar between patients with or without impaired physical function in all three age groups (Table 2).

#### Characteristics of each age groups of the patients at stage I/II taking GCs or not

In patients in SDAI  $\leq$ 11, patients with GCs had higher SDAI, higher HAQ-DI, and more newly-developing comorbidities than those without GCs in all three age groups (Table 3). Interestingly, in very old patients in SDAI  $\leq$ 3.3, even though SDAI was similar between patients with and without GCs, HAQ-DI was higher in patients with GCs than those without GCs. In contrast, in middle-aged patients in SDAI  $\leq$ 3.3, even though SDAI was higher in patients with GCs than in those without GCs, HAQ-DI was similar between patients with and without GCs. In old patients in SDAI  $\leq$ 3.3, both SDAI and HAQ-DI was higher in patients with GCs than in those without GCs (Table 3). Regardless of age or remission status, the

proportions of bDMARD use were similar between patients with and without GCs. MTX was less used in patients with GCs than those without GCs in the middle-aged and old patients, but the proportion of MTX use was similar between very old patients in SDAI remission. Newly-developing comorbidities were more frequently reported in patients with GCs than those without GCs in all three age groups, except for old patients in remission (Table 3).

Table 3
Characteristics of groups of patients in stage I/II with glucocorticoid or not.

|  | Patients in SD        | Al ≤11               |                   | Patients in S        | Patients in SDAI ≤3.3 |                   |  |  |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|
|  | Without GCs           | With GCs             | <i>P</i><br>value | Without<br>GCs       | With GCs              | <i>P</i><br>value |  |  |
| 75-84 years old                            | n=642                 | n=311                |                   | n=371                | n=142                 |                   |  |  |
| Age, year, mean (S.D.)                     | 78.4 (2.7)            | 79.0 (2.8)           | 0.004             | 78.4 (2.7)           | 78.7 (2.8)            | 0.266             |  |  |
| Duration of disease, year,<br>median (IQR) | 8 (4-14)              | 6 (3-12)             | 0.064             | 8.5 (4-14)           | 5 (2-9)               | 0.001             |  |  |
| Gender, female, %                          | 72.2                  | 66.6                 | 0.082             | 70.6                 | 64.8                  | 0.203             |  |  |
| BMI, mean (S.D.)                           | 22.3 (3.2)            | 22.4 (3.5)           | 0.684             | 22.3 (3.1)           | 22.6 (3.2)            | 0.463             |  |  |
| ACPA positive, %                           | 54.6                  | 58.8                 | 0.390             | 51.8                 | 54.4                  | 0.789             |  |  |
| SDAI, median (IQR)                         | 2.82 (1.32-<br>5.60)  | 3.75 (1.66-<br>7.54) | <0.001            | 1.45 (0.74-<br>2.35) | 1.56 (1.14-<br>2.24)  | 0.267             |  |  |
| HAQ-DI, median (IQR)                       | 0.13 (0-0.50)         | 0.38 (0.00-<br>1.13) | <0.001            | 0.00 (0.00-<br>0.25) | 0.12 (0.00-<br>0.63)  | 0.003             |  |  |
| Serum Cr mg/dl, median (IQR)               | 0.70 (0.60-<br>0.85)  | 0.75 (0.61-<br>0.90) | 0.076             | 0.72 (0.60-<br>0.86) | 0.71 (0.59-<br>0.87)  | 0.849             |  |  |
| NSAIDs, %                                  | 24.9                  | 37.3                 | <0.001            | 19.4                 | 35.9                  | <0.001            |  |  |
| MTX, %                                     | 56.4                  | 44.4                 | 0.001             | 55.5                 | 49.3                  | 0.235             |  |  |
| bDMARDs, %                                 | 16.4                  | 15.8                 | 0.851             | 16.7                 | 16.2                  | 1.000             |  |  |
| All hospitalization, %                     | 7.5                   | 16.7                 | <0.001            | 5.6                  | 12.7                  | 0.014             |  |  |
| Infectious disease<br>hospitalization, %   | 1.4                   | 4.8                  | 0.003             | 0.8                  | 2.8                   | 0.096             |  |  |
| 65-74 years old                            | n=1229                | n=419                |                   | n=757                | n=195                 |                   |  |  |
| Age, year, mean (S.D.)                     | 69.4 (2.8)            | 69.5 (2.7)           | 0.811             | 69.3 (2.8)           | 69.5 (2.8)            | 0.416             |  |  |
| Duration of disease, year,<br>median (IQR) | 7 (4-12)              | 7 (3-13)             | 0.391             | 8 (4-13)             | 7 (3-13)              | 0.915             |  |  |
| Gender, female, %                          | 71.1                  | 65.9                 | 0.049             | 70.0                 | 64.1                  | 0.119             |  |  |
| BMI, mean (S.D.)                           | 22.7 (3.4)            | 22.5 (3.5)           | 0.192             | 22.7 (3.4)           | 22.6 (3.6)            | 0.522             |  |  |
| ACPA positive, %                           | 65.4                  | 62.8                 | 0.503             | 64.8                 | 59.4                  | 0.347             |  |  |
| SDAI, median (IQR)                         | 2.45 (1.02-<br>44.81) | 3.59 (1.88-<br>6.17) | <0.001            | 1.30 (0.55-<br>2.18) | 1.76 (1.05-<br>2.37)  | <0.001            |  |  |
| HAQ-DI, median (IQR)                       | 0.00 (0.00-<br>0.25)  | 0.13 (0.00-<br>0.38) | <0.001            | 0.00 (0.00-<br>0.13) | 0.00 (0.00-<br>0.25)  | 0.001             |  |  |

ACPA: anti-citrullinated protein antibody, bDMARDs: biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), BMI: body mass index, Cr: creatinine, GCs: glucocorticoids, HAQ-DI: health assessment questionnaire-disability index, IQR: interquartile range, LDA: low disease activity, MTX: methotrexate, NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, S.D: standard deviation, SDAI: simplified disease activity index.

|  | Patients in SD       | Al ≤11               |        | Patients in SI       |                      |        |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|--------|
| Serum Cr mg/dl, median (IQR)               | 0.68 (0.58-<br>0.79) | 0.70 (0.58-<br>0.84) | 0.115  | 0.69 (0.59-<br>0.81) | 0.69 (0.57-<br>0.88) | 0.639  |
| NSAIDs, %                                  | 26.9                 | 41.8                 | <0.001 | 21.1                 | 38.5                 | <0.001 |
| MTX, %                                     | 70.4                 | 57.5                 | <0.001 | 69.7                 | 53.3                 | <0.001 |
| bDMARDs, %                                 | 18.2                 | 15.8                 | 0.266  | 18.6                 | 18.5                 | 1.000  |
| All hospitalization, %                     | 6.0                  | 10.0                 | 0.008  | 5.9                  | 7.7                  | 0.408  |
| Infectious disease<br>hospitalization, %   | 1.1                  | 2.9                  | 0.021  | 0.8                  | 2.6                  | 0.054  |
| 55-64 years old                            | n=877                | n=230                |        | n=528                | n=85                 |        |
| Age, year, mean (S.D.)                     | 59.9 (2.8)           | 60.0 (2.9)           | 0.479  | 60.0 (2.9)           | 60.1 (3.0)           | 0.722  |
| Duration of disease, year,<br>median (IQR) | 6 (3-10)             | 7 (3-13)             | 0.024  | 7 (4-11)             | 8 (3-13)             | 0.259  |
| Gender, female, %                          | 76.6                 | 69.6                 | 0.032  | 77.3                 | 61.2                 | 0.003  |
| BMI, mean (S.D.)                           | 22.5 (3.6)           | 23.3 (4.3)           | 0.010  | 22.5 (3.4)           | 23.1 (4.5)           | 0.119  |
| ACPA positive, %                           | 66.7                 | 74.1                 | 0.164  | 67.1                 | 76.7                 | 0.221  |
| SDAI, median (IQR)                         | 2.60 (0.93-<br>4.87) | 4.6 (2.30-<br>7.52)  | <0.001 | 1.12 (0.4-<br>1.94)  | 1.73 (0.90-<br>2.55) | 0.001  |
| HAQ-DI, median (IQR)                       | 0.00 (0.00-<br>0.13) | 0.00 (0.00-<br>0.38) | 0.001  | 0.00 (0.00-<br>0.00) | 0.00 (0.00-<br>0.13) | 0.231  |
| Serum Cr mg/dl, median (IQR)               | 0.64 (0.56-<br>0.74) | 0.68 (0.58-<br>0.80) | 0.005  | 0.65 (0.56-<br>0.74) | 0.71 (0.61-<br>0.83) | 0.006  |
| NSAIDs, %                                  | 27.9                 | 45.7                 | <0.001 | 20.8                 | 37.6                 | 0.001  |
| MTX, %                                     | 77.0                 | 63.0                 | <0.001 | 78.2                 | 57.6                 | <0.001 |
| bDMARDs, %                                 | 19.2                 | 22.2                 | 0.307  | 20.8                 | 24.7                 | 0.476  |
| All hospitalization, %                     | 3.1                  | 7.0                  | 0.011  | 1.9                  | 7.1                  | 0.015  |
| Infectious disease<br>hospitalization, %   | 0.1                  | 2.1                  | 0.002  | 0                    | 3.5                  | 0.003  |

ACPA: anti-citrullinated protein antibody, bDMARDs: biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), BMI: body mass index, Cr: creatinine, GCs: glucocorticoids, HAQ-DI: health assessment questionnaire-disability index, IQR: interquartile range, LDA: low disease activity, MTX: methotrexate, NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, S.D: standard deviation, SDAI: simplified disease activity index.

# Different Impacts Of Gcs In The Three Age Groups

To investigate associations between GC use and impaired physical function in middle-aged, old, and very old patients, 3708 patients in both stage I/II and SDAI ≤11 were analyzed. We extracted statistically significant items in univariable analysis and selected clinically-important factors as covariates (age, sex, SDAI, GCs use, NSAIDs use, MTX use, bDMARD use, and newly-developing comorbidities requiring hospitalization) in multivariable logistic regression models. Association of GCs and age with impaired physical function were presented as adjusted OR for the 5 groups relative to

middle-aged patients without GCs as the reference group. Age was associated with impaired physical function, and the use of GCs further increased the adjusted OR from 1.49 (95%Cl 1.23-1.81) to 2.57 (95%Cl 2.06-3.20) in the old patients, and from 2.70 (95%Cl 2.19-3.33) to 5.02 (95%Cl 3.97-6.35) in the very old patients. Increased ORs in GC use compared to GC non-use were observed in all age groups, and the extent of the increase was comparable. Of note, as the age of the group increased, GCs use had a greater impact on impaired physical function (Table 4).

Table 4

Multivariable logistic regression models to examine associations of glucocorticoids and aging with impaired physical function.

|                                      | Patients in SDAI ≤ | 11              | Patients in SDAI ≤3.3 |                 |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
|                                      | Odds ratio         | <i>P</i> -value | Odds ratio            | <i>P</i> -value |  |
|                                      | (95% CI)           |                 | (95% CI)              |                 |  |
| 55-64 years/without GCs (reference)  | 1.00               | -               | 1.00                  | -               |  |
| 55-64 years/with GCs <sup>1</sup>    | 1.70 (1.29-2.24)   | <0.001          | 0.73 (0.21-2.56)      | 0.620           |  |
| 65-74 years/without GCs <sup>1</sup> | 1.49 (1.23-1.81)   | <0.001          | 2.03 (1.17-3.49)      | 0.011           |  |
| 65-74 years/with GCs <sup>1</sup>    | 2.57 (2.06-3.20)   | <0.001          | 2.22 (1.13-4.36)      | 0.020           |  |
| 75-84 years/without GCs <sup>1</sup> | 2.70 (2.19-3.33)   | <0.001          | 4.01 (2.30-6.99)      | <0.001          |  |
| 75-84 years/with GCs <sup>1</sup>    | 5.02 (3.97-6.35)   | <0.001          | 6.81 (3.65-12.7)      | <0.001          |  |
| Gender, female                       | 2.66 (2.26-3.14)   | <0.001          | 1.73 (1.19-2.53)      | 0.004           |  |
| NSAIDs                               | 1.39 (1.23-1.58)   | <0.001          | 1.40 (0.98-1.98)      | 0.061           |  |
| MTX                                  | 0.69 (0.61-0.78)   | <0.001          | 0.56 (0.41-0.78)      | 0.001           |  |
| SDAI, per 1.0 increment              | 1.30 (1.27-1.33)   | <0.001          | 1.72 (1.45-2.05)      | <0.001          |  |
| bDMARDs                              | 1.93 (1.68-2.21)   | <0.001          | 1.30 (0.88-1.91)      | 0.187           |  |
| All hospitalization                  | 2.03 (1.66-2.47)   | <0.001          | 1.11 (0.61-2.00)      | 0.735           |  |
|                                      |                    |                 |                       |                 |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Odds ratio of HAQ >0.5 for the 5 groups relative to middle-aged patients without GCs as the reference. Covariates: gender, SDAI, NSAIDs, MTX, bDMARDs, all hospitalization.

bDMARDs: biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, CI: confidence interval, GCs: glucocorticoids, MTX: methotrexate, NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, SDAI: simplified disease activity index.

To minimize the influence of disease activity for physical function, we selected the 2078 patients in both stage I/II and SDAI  $\leq$ 3.3 (SDAI remission). Multivariable analysis revealed an age-dependent increasing adjusted ORs of patients without GCs relative to middle-aged patients without GCs. Interestingly, the use of GCs further increased the adjusted OR from 4.01 (95%CI 2.30-6.99) to 6.81 (95%CI 3.65-12.7) in the very old patients, while the adjusted OR was 2.03 (95%CI 1.17-1.13) in the old patients without GCs, 2.22 (95%CI 1.13-4.36) in the old patients with GCs, and 0.73 (95%CI 0.21-2.56) in the middle-aged patients with GCs. Thus, in the patients in both remission and stage I/II, increased OR of GC use to GC non-use was observed only in the very old patients (Table 4).

# **Discussion**

We confirmed that 31.4% or 27.4 % of the 11,036 patients aged 55-84 years in the Japanese national registry had achieved SDAI LDA or remission, respectively. The present study indicated that SDAI remission was associated with normal physical function (HAQ-DI ≤0.5) for both old and very old patients with disease in Steinbrocker stage I/II and is thus an appropriate goal not only for younger patients. Notably, we clarified that the negative impact of GCs on physical function increased with age in patients achieving SDAI LDA or remission. Interestingly, increased OR for impaired physical function in patients with GCs compared to those without GCs was observed in all age groups in SDAI LDA or remission, but in the patients in SDAI remission, it was observed only in the very old group, but not in the middle-aged group and old group. Our data indicated influence of GCs use for HAQ-DI >0.5 were different between the very old group and the old / middle-aged groups.

The present study showed that the proportion of MTX use in patients achieving LDA at stage I/II decreased with aging from middle-aged to old and then to very old, while GCs use increased with age. Previous cohort studies more than 10 years ago showed that GCs were more frequently given to older RA patients who received bDMARDs less often (14, 15). In the present analysis, we saw similar use of bDMARDs in all three patient age groups. This tendency is similar to that also seen in a nationwide survey using the Japanese insurance database (26), and is also seen for treatment of elderly-onset early RA (27). The present study also showed MTX was used less frequently in older patients receiving bDMARDs, and this was the same as the previous study (28–30).

In addition to disease activity, aging, joint damage and comorbidities influence physical function of RA patients who achieved clinical remission or LDA (31–35). Therefore, physical function might not normalize in the very old patients even when disease activity declined. To the disadvantage of older patients, comorbidities are associated with poorer treatment response or difficult-to-treat RA (12, 36). Since the strict goal-oriented therapy would be sometimes harmful in the elderly population as shown in the diabetes mellitus (37, 38), these suggested LDA might be a realistic goal of older RA in clinical practice to reduce the risk of DMARDs-related AEs. However, the present study showed that SDAI remission was associated with improvement of physical outcome in both very old and old patients.

The improvement of tender joint count, but not swollen joint count, was associated with the lower HAQ-DI in the old and very old patients. Previous reports for younger patients also showed tender joints were an important outcome from the point of view of the patients, while physicians attached greater importance to swollen joints (39).

Imaging and pathological studies showed more remarkable synovitis of elderly-onset RA compared to younger-onset RA (40, 41). Progressive joint destruction of elderly-onset rheumatoid arthritis was equal or higher compared with younger-onset RA (27, 42, 43). Initial failure of DMARDs was common in older patients with poor prognostic factors (27, 44), and adhere to T2T strategy targeting LDA improved functional outcome (45). However, it was difficult to stop GCs during 3 years in about 15 % of elderly-onset patients, and those patients had higher disease activity throughout 3 years (45). The present study also showed that very old / old patients given GCs in SDAI LDA had higher SDAI, lower proportion of MTX use, and more newly-developing comorbidities, than those not given GCs in SDAI LDA.

In the very old patients in SDAI remission, HAQ-DI was higher in patients with GCs than those without GCs, despite comparable disease activity in both groups. In contrast, in middle-aged patients in SDAI remission, HAQ-DI was similar between patients with or without GCs, despite higher disease activity in patients with GCs than in those without GCs. In the old patients, residual disease activity was likely to be related to physical function (Table3). Our multivariable analysis showed that, in the very old patients in SDAI remission, the adjusted OR of the very old patients with GCs increased compared to those without GCs. In the middle-aged and old patients, the adjusted OR of the patients with GCs did not increase compared to those without GCs (Table 4). These novel findings clearly demonstrated the impact of GC use on physical function differed between middle-aged, old, and very old patients. Factors other than disease activity might be involved in physical function of very old group. Tolerance to long-standing use of GCs might be lower in the

very old patients (17–19), and GC-associated comorbidities might be one of the associated factors with physical function in very old patients, but not in middle-aged and old patients.

A strength of this study is the use of data from a nationwide multicenter cohort representing approximately 2% of Japan's 850,000 RA patients (26). There are also several limitations to this study, including the following. First, the cross-sectional nature of the data makes it difficult to determine sustained SDAI LDA or remission. Second, it was difficult to determine whether the use of GCs caused the decline in physical functioning or was a result of declines in physical function. A third limitation is that the effectiveness of MTX or bDMARDs could not be longitudinally assessed. Forth, we collected data about complications requiring hospitalization and malignancy, but chronic diseases persisting for more than one year or GC-associated comorbidities could not be assessed.

### **Conclusions**

Lower SDAI is a universal ideal goal for younger patients, and importantly, the present study showed that this was applicable for old and very old patients to enable them to achieve normal physical function. Notably, the negative impact of GCs was likely to most strongly influence physical function of very old patients than middle-aged or old patients, while the proportion of patients using GCs in those achieving LDA or remission increased with age. Protocols for tailoring therapy based on the patient's age should be developed when applying T2T strategies.

### **Abbreviations**

ACPA: anti-citrullinated protein antibody, bDMARDs: biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, BMI: body mass index, BUC: bucillamine,

C.I.: confidence interval, Cr: creatinine, CRP: C-reactive protein, csDMARDs: conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, EQ-5D: EuroQol 5 dimension, GCs: glucocorticoids, HAQ-DI: health assessment questionnaire-disability index, IGR: iguratimod, IQR: interquartile range, LDA: low disease activity, MTX: methotrexate, NinJa: National Database of Rheumatic Diseases in Japan, NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, OR: odds ratio, RA: rheumatoid arthritis, SASP: salazosulfapyridine, S.D: standard deviation, SDAI: simplified disease activity index, T2T: treat-to-target, TAC: tacrolimus, TNFi: TNF inhibitor, tsDMARDs: targeted synthetic DMARDs, VAS: visual analogue scale.

# **Declarations**

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Ethical Guidelines for Epidemiological Research in Japan. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tokyo Medical and Dental University (M2016-232).

### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable

### Availability of data and materials

All of the data supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article.

#### Competing interests

Tokyo Medical and Dental University received unrestricted research grants for the salary of the members in Department of Lifetime Clinical Immunology from AbbVie GK, Asahikasei Pharmaceutical Co., AYUMI Pharmaceutical Corporation, Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., CSL Behring K.K., Japan Blood Products Organization, Nippon Kayaku Co., Ltd. and UCB Japan Co. Ltd. TS has received research grants from Asahikasei Pharmaceutical Co., Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., and Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. TS has received honoraria from AbbVie GK, Asahikasei Pharmaceutical Co., Astellas Pharma Inc., AYUMI Pharmaceutical Corporation, Bristol Myers Squibb K.K., Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Eli Lilly Japan K.K., Mitsubishi-Tanabe Pharma Co., Ono Pharmaceutical, Pfizer Japan Inc., Takeda Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., and UCB Japan Co. Ltd. NK has received grants from Novartis Pharma K.K. NK has received honoraria from Eisai Co., Ltd., AbbVie GK, Asahikasei Pharmaceutical Co., Novartis Pharma K.K., Japan Blood Products Organization, Kissei Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Mitsubishi-Tanabe Pharma Co. MM has received consulting fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., and Taisho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. MM has received honoraria from MSD K.K. ST has received research grants from AbbV GK, Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. ST has received honoraria from AbbVie GK, Astellas Pharma Inc., AYUMI Pharmaceutical Corporation, Pfizer Japan Inc. TM has received research grants from AbbVie GK, AsahiKASEI Co., Ltd., Chuqai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Eisai Co., Ltd., and Mitsubishi-Tanabe Pharma Co., TM has received honoraria from AbbV GK, Astellas Pharma Inc., AYUMI Pharmaceutical Corporation, Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., Eisai Co., Ltd., Eli Lilly Japan K.K., Gilead Sciences, Inc., Mitsubishi-Tanabe Pharma Co., Ono Pharmaceutical, Pfizer Japan Inc., Takeda Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., and UCB Japan Co. Ltd. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

### **Funding**

This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan (19FE1003), and the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (21ek0410086h0001).

#### **Authors' contributions**

YK, TS, TI, and TM contributed to the study conception and design, methodology, and formal analysis. MM, ST and TM contributed to obtain funding. TS, MM, ST, SY and TM contributed to project administration. YK and TS drafted the original version of the manuscript, with the critical input of TI, SY, and TM. YK, FH, TM., MK, HS, TH, NK and TM contributed to date curation. All authors critically revised the report, commented on drafts of the manuscript, and approved the final report.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge all investigators in The National Database of Rheumatic Diseases in Japan, and the following investigators: Hideyuki Iwai, Akiou Yamamoto and Yoshishige Miyabe of the Tokyo Medical and Dental University for collecting the data; Kimito Kawahata of the Division of Rheumatology and Allergy, Department of Internal Medicine, St. Marianna University School of Medicine, for technical advice.

# References

- 1. van der Kooi E, Klarenbeek NB, Guler-Yuksel M, Kerstens PJ, van der Lubbe PA, Westedt ML, et al. A decrease in disease activity score (DAS) level is associated with a decrease in health assessment questionnaire (HAQ) score, independent of follow-up duration, during 5 years of tightly controlled treatment: results from the BeSt study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2011;70(1):168–71.
- 2. Hirano F, Yokoyama W, Yamazaki H, Amano K, Kawakami A, Hayashi T, et al. Achieving simplified disease activity index remission in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis is associated with subsequent good functional and

- structural outcomes in a real-world clinical setting under a treat-to-target strategy. Mod Rheumatol. 2017;27(5):811–9.
- 3. Welsing PM, van Gestel AM, Swinkels HL, Kiemeney LA, van Riel PL. The relationship between disease activity, joint destruction, and functional capacity over the course of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2001;44(9):2009–17.
- 4. Kato E, Sawada T, Tahara K, Hayashi H, Tago M, Mori H, et al. The age at onset of rheumatoid arthritis is increasing in Japan: a nationwide database study. Int J Rheum Dis. 2017;20(7):839–45.
- 5. Kojima M, Nakayama T, Tsutani K, Igarashi A, Kojima T, Suzuki S, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of rheumatoid arthritis in Japan: Prevalence estimates using a nationwide population-based questionnaire survey. Mod Rheumatol. 2020;30(6):941–7.
- 6. Bathon JM, Fleischmann RM, Van der Heijde D, Tesser JR, Peloso PM, Chon Y, et al. Safety and efficacy of etanercept treatment in elderly subjects with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2006;33(2):234–43.
- 7. Koller MD, Aletaha D, Funovits J, Pangan A, Baker D, Smolen JS. Response of elderly patients with rheumatoid arthritis to methotrexate or TNF inhibitors compared with younger patients. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2009;48(12):1575–80.
- 8. Curtis JR, Schulze-Koops H, Takiya L, Mebus CA, Terry KK, Biswas P, et al. Efficacy and safety of tofacitinib in older and younger patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2017;35(3):390–400.
- 9. Fleischmann R, Alam J, Arora V, Bradley J, Schlichting DE, Muram D, et al. Safety and efficacy of baricitinib in elderly patients with rheumatoid arthritis. RMD Open. 2017;3(2):e000546.
- 10. Sugihara T, Kawahito Y, Morinobu A, Kaneko Y, Seto Y, Kojima T, et al. Systematic review for the treatment of older rheumatoid arthritis patients informing the 2020 update of the Japan college of rheumatology clinical practice guidelines for the management of rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol. 2021: doi: 10.1080/14397595.2021.1912922. Online ahead of print.
- 11. Cho SK, Sung YK, Choi CB, Cha HS, Choe JY, Chung WT, et al. Do patients with elderly-onset rheumatoid arthritis have severe functional disability? Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2012;42(1):23–31.
- 12. Ranganath VK, Maranian P, Elashoff DA, Woodworth T, Khanna D, Hahn T, et al. Comorbidities are associated with poorer outcomes in community patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2013;52(10):1809–17.
- 13. Takanashi S, Kaneko Y, Takeuchi T. Elderly patients with comorbidities in the definition of difficult-to-treat rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2021: doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220315. Online ahead of print.
- 14. Mueller RB, Kaegi T, Finckh A, Haile SR, Schulze-Koops H, von Kempis J, et al. Is radiographic progression of late-onset rheumatoid arthritis different from young-onset rheumatoid arthritis? Results from the Swiss prospective observational cohort. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2014;53(4):671–7.
- 15. Tutuncu Z, Reed G, Kremer J, Kavanaugh A. Do patients with older-onset rheumatoid arthritis receive less aggressive treatment? Ann Rheum Dis. 2006;65(9):1226–9.
- 16. Schneeweiss S, Setoguchi S, Weinblatt ME, Katz JN, Avorn J, Sax PE, et al. Anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha therapy and the risk of serious bacterial infections in elderly patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;56(6):1754–64.
- 17. Dixon WG, Abrahamowicz M, Beauchamp ME, Ray DW, Bernatsky S, Suissa S, et al. Immediate and delayed impact of oral glucocorticoid therapy on risk of serious infection in older patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a nested case-control analysis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2012;71(7):1128–33.
- 18. Widdifield J, Bernatsky S, Paterson JM, Gunraj N, Thorne JC, Pope J, et al. Serious infections in a population-based cohort of 86,039 seniors with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2013;65(3):353–61.
- 19. Widdifield J, Abrahamowicz M, Paterson JM, Huang A, Thorne JC, Pope JE, et al. Associations Between Methotrexate Use and the Risk of Cardiovascular Events in Patients with Elderly-onset Rheumatoid Arthritis. J

- Rheumatol. 2019;46(5):467-74.
- 20. Ajeganova S, Andersson ML, Frostegard J, Hafstrom I. Disease factors in early rheumatoid arthritis are associated with differential risks for cardiovascular events and mortality depending on age at onset: a 10-year observational cohort study. J Rheumatol. 2013;40(12):1958–66.
- 21. Buckley L, Guyatt G, Fink HA, Cannon M, Grossman J, Hansen KE, et al. 2017 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Prevention and Treatment of Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2017;69(8):1095–110.
- 22. Sugihara T, Harigai M. Targeting Low Disease Activity in Elderly-Onset Rheumatoid Arthritis: Current and Future Roles of Biological Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drugs. Drugs Aging. 2016;33(2):97–107.
- 23. Saeki Y, Matsui T, Saisho K, Tohma S. Current treatments of rheumatoid arthritis: from the 'NinJa' registry. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2012;8(5):455–65.
- 24. Group E. EuroQol–a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. Health Policy. 1990;16(3):199–208.
- 25. STEINBROCKER O, TRAEGER CH, BATTERMAN RC. Therapeutic criteria in rheumatoid arthritis. J Am Med Assoc. 1949;140(8):659–62.
- 26. Nakajima A, Sakai R, Inoue E, Harigai M. Prevalence of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and age-stratified trends in clinical characteristics and treatment, based on the National Database of Health Insurance Claims and Specific Health Checkups of Japan. Int J Rheum Dis. 2020;23(12):1676–84.
- 27. Murata K, Ito H, Hashimoto M, Nishitani K, Murakami K, Tanaka M, et al. Elderly onset of early rheumatoid arthritis is a risk factor for bone erosions, refractory to treatment: KURAMA cohort. Int J Rheum Dis. 2019;22(6):1084–93.
- 28. Matsubara H, Kojima T, Kaneko A, Hirano Y, Ishikawa H, Hattori Y, et al. Longterm retention rate and risk factor for discontinuation due to insufficient efficacy and adverse events in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving etanercept therapy. J Rheumatol. 2014;41(8):1583–9.
- 29. Pers YM, Schaub R, Constant E, Lambert J, Godfrin-Valnet M, Fortunet C, et al. Efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in elderly patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Joint Bone Spine. 2015;82(1):25–30.
- 30. Harigai M, Ishiguro N, Inokuma S, Mimori T, Ryu J, Takei S, et al. Safety and effectiveness of abatacept in Japanese non-elderly and elderly patients with rheumatoid arthritis in an all-cases post-marketing surveillance. Mod Rheumatol. 2019;29(5):747–55.
- 31. Radner H, Smolen JS, Aletaha D. Impact of comorbidity on physical function in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69(3):536–41.
- 32. Aoki T, Ito H, Ogura T, Hirata A, Nishiwaki Y, Kameda H. Association of age with the non-achievement of clinical and functional remission in rheumatoid arthritis. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):15277.
- 33. Radner H, Smolen JS, Aletaha D. Comorbidity affects all domains of physical function and quality of life in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (0xford). 2011;50(2):381–8.
- 34. Aletaha D, Funovits J, Smolen JS. Physical disability in rheumatoid arthritis is associated with cartilage damage rather than bone destruction. Ann Rheum Dis. 2011;70(5):733–9.
- 35. Smolen JS, Aletaha D, Grisar JC, Stamm TA, Sharp JT. Estimation of a numerical value for joint damage-related physical disability in rheumatoid arthritis clinical trials. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69(6):1058–64.
- 36. Takanashi S, Kaneko Y, Takeuchi T. Characteristics of patients with difficult-to-treat rheumatoid arthritis in real-world. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2021: keab209. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keab209. Online ahead of print.
- 37. Huang ES, Zhang Q, Gandra N, Chin MH, Meltzer DO. The effect of comorbid illness and functional status on the expected benefits of intensive glucose control in older patients with type 2 diabetes: a decision analysis. Ann Intern

- Med. 2008;149(1):11-9.
- 38. Toyoshima K, Araki A, Tamura Y, Iritani O, Ogawa S, Kozaki K, et al. Development of the Dementia Assessment Sheet for Community-based Integrated Care System 8-items, a short version of the Dementia Assessment Sheet for Community-based Integrated Care System 21-items, for the assessment of cognitive and daily functions. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2018;18(10):1458–62.
- 39. Studenic P, Radner H, Smolen JS, Aletaha D. Discrepancies between patients and physicians in their perceptions of rheumatoid arthritis disease activity. Arthritis Rheum. 2012;64(9):2814–23.
- 40. Romao VC, Humby F, Kelly S, Di Cicco M, Mahto A, Lazarou I, et al. Treatment-resistant synovitis and radiographic progression are increased in elderly-onset rheumatoid arthritis patients: findings from a prospective observational longitudinal early arthritis cohort study. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2020;50(4):735–43.
- 41. Dejaco C, Duftner C, Wipfler-Freissmuth E, Weiss H, Schneider T, Schirmer M. Elderly- versus younger-onset rheumatoid arthritis: higher levels of ultrasound-detected inflammation despite comparable clinical disease activity. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2013;65(2):304–8.
- 42. van der Heijde DM, van Riel PL, van Leeuwen MA, van 't Hof MA, van Rijswijk MH, van de Putte LB. Older versus younger onset rheumatoid arthritis: results at onset and after 2 years of a prospective followup study of early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 1991;18(9):1285–9.
- 43. Mueller RB, Kaegi T, Finckh A, Haile SR, Schulze-Koops H, von Kempis J. Is radiographic progression of late-onset rheumatoid arthritis different from young-onset rheumatoid arthritis? Results from the Swiss prospective observational cohort. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2014;53(4):671–7.
- 44. Sugihara T, Ishizaki T, Hosoya T, Iga S, Yokoyama W, Hirano F, et al. Structural and functional outcomes of a therapeutic strategy targeting low disease activity in patients with elderly-onset rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective cohort study (CRANE). Rheumatology (Oxford). 2015;54(5):798–807.
- 45. Sugihara T, Ishizaki T, Onoguchi W, Baba H, Matsumoto T, Iga S, et al. Effectiveness and safety of treat-to-target strategy in elderly-onset rheumatoid arthritis: a 3-year prospective observational study. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2021; 60(9): 4252–4261.