Mechanisms of Gene Rearrangement in 13 Bothids Based on Comparison with a Newly Completed Mitogenome of the Threespot Flounder, *Grammatobothus polyophthalmus* (Pleuronectiformes: Bothidae)

Hairong Luo

the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Xiaoyu Kong (✉ xykong@scsio.ac.cn)

South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0010-7425>

Shixi Chen

the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Wei Shi

the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Research article

Keywords: Flatfish, Bothidae, Mitogenome, Rearrangement mechanism, Dimeric mitogenomes, Intergenic spacer

Posted Date: July 31st, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.9891/v2>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Genomics on October 30th, 2019. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6128-9>.

Abstract

Background: The previously characterized mitogenomes of 12 teleost fish of the bothid family (order Pleuronectiformes) indicated that the genomic-scale rearrangements occurred in this teleost lineage. A novel mechanism of genomic rearrangement called the Dimer-Mitogenome and Non-Random Loss (DMNL) model was used to account for the rearrangement found in one of these bothids, *Crossorhombus azureus*. **Results:** The 18170 bp mitogenome of *G. polyophthalmus* contains 37 genes, two control regions (CRs), and the origin of replication of the L-strand (OL). This mitogenome is characterized by genomic-scale rearrangements: genes located on the L-strand are grouped in an 8-gene cluster (Q-A-C-Y-S1-ND6-E-P) that does not include tRNA-N; genes found on the H-strand are grouped together (F-12S ... CytB-T) except for tRNA-D that was translocated inside the 8-gene L-strand cluster. Compared to non-rearranged mitogenomes of teleost fishes, gene organization in the mitogenome of *G. polyophthalmus* and in that of the other 12 bothids characterized thus far is very similar. These rearrangements could be sorted into four types (Type I, II, III and IV), differing in the particular combination of the CR, tRNA-D gene and 8-gene cluster and the shuffling of tRNA-V. The DMNL model was used to account for all but one gene rearrangement found in all 13 bothid mitogenomes. Translocation of tRNA-D most likely occurred after the DMNL process in 10 bothid mitogenomes and could have occurred either before or after DMNL in the three other species. During the DMNL process, the tRNA-N gene was retained rather than the expected tRNA-N' gene. tRNA-N appears to assist in or act as OL function when the OL secondary structure could not be formed from intergenic sequences. A striking finding was that each of the non-transcribed genes has degenerated to a shorter intergenic spacer during the DMNL process. These findings highlight a rare phenomenon in teleost fish. **Conclusions:** This result provides significant evidence to support the existence of dynamic dimeric mitogenomes and the DMNL model as the mechanism of gene rearrangement in bothid mitogenomes, which not only promotes the understanding of mitogenome structural diversity, but also sheds light on mechanisms of mitochondrial genome rearrangement and replication.

Background

The mitogenome of most fish contains 37 genes, including 13 protein-coding genes, two ribosomal RNA (rRNA), and 22 transfer RNA (tRNA) genes. Most of these genes are located on the heavy strand (H-strand), only *ND6* and eight tRNA genes (*N*, *Q*, *A*, *C*, *Y*, *S*₁, *E* and *P*) are located on the light strand (L-strand). Additionally, the mitogenome contains two non-coding regions; the origin of replication of the L-strand (*O*_L) and the control region (CR). The CR includes the origin of replication of the H-strand (*O*_H) as well as the transcription initiation site for both the L- and H-strands [1–3]. Three types of gene rearrangements have been observed in the mitogenomes of animals: shuffling, translocation, and inversion [4–7]. Before gene inversion was discovered in tongue fish [8], only gene shuffling and translocation had been reported in fishes [9–11]. Since then, an increasing number of rearranged mitogenomes of flatfishes featuring all three gene rearrangement types have been found [12–16]. One such representative case was the mitochondrial gene rearrangement in the blue flounder, *Crossorhombus*

azureus [14]. In this mitogenome, genes were grouped with identical transcriptional polarities, including a cluster of eight genes on the L-strand (8-gene cluster, *Q-A-C-Y-S₁-ND6-E-P*) that did not include *tRNA-N*, and a cluster of genes (*F-12S... CytB-T-D*) on the H-strand. The order of these genes in these two clusters was maintained as in the non-rearranged mitogenome of fish, except for the novel location of *tRNA-D*. Furthermore, unlike the typical position of the CR in fish, the CR of this species is located between *tRNA-D* and *tRNA-Q*, thus separating the two gene clusters on the H-strand and L-strand.

How did this particular mitogenome structure emerge? Four mechanisms have been proposed to account for mitogenomic rearrangements, including duplication-random loss [17], tRNA mis-priming model [18], intramitochondrial recombination [19], and duplication-nonrandom loss [20]. However, none of these four mechanisms can fully explain the gene rearrangements seen in the *C. azureus* mitogenome. Therefore, a novel mechanism called the Dimer-Mitogenome and Non-Random Loss (DMNL) model was proposed to account for the rearrangements found in *C. azureus* [14]. The inferred DMNL process would be as follows, starting with an ancestral mitogenome with a gene order typically seen in fish (Fig. 1A). The first step is a dimerized event of two monomer mitogenomes to form a functionally dimeric molecule linked head-to-tail (Fig. 1I-C). The dual promoter functions in one of two CRs are then lost by mutation and the genes controlled by these promoters are thus no longer transcribed (Fig. 1I-D), and may degenerate. The genes controlled by the remaining two functional promoters continue to be transcribed. Thus, the final gene order in the mitogenome of *C. azureus* is formed (Fig. 1 I-E).

Retention of *tRNA-N* rather than the expected *tRNA-N'* gene occurred during the DMNL process. Shi *et al.* hypothesized that the exceptional retention of *tRNA-N* is related to the structure and function of O_L based on the study of Seligmann and Krishnan [14, 21]. O_L is usually located between *tRNA-N* and *tRNA-C* of the so-called WANCY region, formed by a cluster tRNA genes that includes *tRNA-W*, *tRNA-A*, *tRNA-N*, *tRNA-C* and *tRNA-Y* [21]. Due to the rearrangement of *tRNA-C* and *tRNA-Y*, only a 7-bp intergenic region remained between *tRNA-N* and *COI*, an insufficient number of base pairs to allow for the necessary O_L secondary structure formation. Interestingly, a 26-bp middle portion of *tRNA-N* could form an O_L -like structure (Fig. 2A) for L-strand replication; this gene was therefore retained [14].

One question remains: when was *tRNA-D* translocated from an original site between *tRNA-S₁* and *COII* to a region between *tRNA-T* and the CR in the *C. azureus* mitogenome? Shi *et al.* speculated that translocation of *tRNA-D* could have occurred either before (Fig. 1I-B) or after (Fig. 1I-E) the DMNL process [14]. Careful examination and comparison of available mitogenomes of 12 bothid species from eight genera revealed interesting patterns of gene arrangements. Gene organization in these 12 genomes is very similar, except for the shuffling *tRNA-V* (Fig. 1IV-B2), and the existence of one of the following four arrangements of CRs, *tRNA-D* and the 8-gene cluster (*Q-A-C-Y-S₁-ND6-E-P*): *D*-CR-8-gene cluster, CR-5-gene cluster (*Q-A-C-Y-S₁*)-*D*-3-gene cluster (*ND6-E-P*), CR1-5-gene cluster-*D*-3-gene cluster-CR2, and 5-gene cluster-*D*-3-gene cluster-CR.

The DMNL model was also used by Gong *et al.* [12] to explain the production of the mitogenome of *Bothus myriaster*, the only bothid species other than *C. azureus* for which a mechanism of gene

rearrangement has been provided (Fig. 1A, II-C, D, F and B). One difference was noted between the gene structure of the *B. myriaster* and *C. azureus* mitogenomes. In *C. azureus*, *tRNA-D* was translocated outside of the 8-gene cluster (Fig. 1I-E), while in *B. myriaster*, *tRNA-D* was found inside this gene cluster (Fig. 1II-B). Whether *tRNA-D* translocation occurred before or after the DMNL process was not determined by Gong *et al* [12].

To better understand the mitochondrial gene structure and gene rearrangement mechanisms of bothids, the mitogenome of the threespot flounder, *Grammatobothus polyophthalmus*, was sequenced and characterized. This species is one of few bothids featuring one lateral line on both sides of the body. We wondered, what are the mitogenomic characteristics of this species? Did rearrangement occur in this mitogenome, and if so, what is the rearrangement type? Our results reveal additional mitochondrial diversity in Bothidae, and provide a foundation for further research on mitochondrial gene rearrangement of fish.

Results

Organization and gene rearrangement of the G. polyophthalmus mitogenome

A total of 18170 bp of *G. polyophthalmus* mitogenome contained 37 genes, including 13 protein-coding genes, two rRNA genes, and 22 tRNA genes. Among these genes, 28 genes are located on the H-strand, while *ND6* and eight tRNA genes (*N*, *Q*, *A*, *C*, *Y*, *S*₁, *E* and *P*) are located on the L-strand (Fig. 1 III-B, Additional file 2 and 3). In this mitogenome, after *tRNA-C* and *tRNA-Y* were rearranged, a 40-bp intergenic spacer remained between *tRNA-N* and *COI*. The secondary structure of O_L was formed with 38 bp of this intergenic spacer and 4 bp of the 3' end of *tRNA-N* (Fig. 2E and Fig. 4B). The O_L had the same 5'-TAGA-3' sequence motif on the 3' end as that of eight other bothid species (Fig. 2F-M); the sequence 5'-GGTGG-3' on the 5' end was slightly different from either of the motifs 5'-GGGGG-3' seen in most bothids (Fig. 2F-M), or 5'-GCCGG-3' seen in most of the 17 flatfishes from seven families [23].

Two large non-coding (NC) regions were also discovered, NC1 is composed of 773 bp located between *tRNA-T* and *tRNA-Q*, while NC2 is comprised of 1611 bp between *tRNA-P* and *tRNA-F*. We compared NC1 and NC2 with conserved CR structures existed in 12 other bothid species and the ridged-eye flounder *Pleuronichthys cornutus*, and found similar conserved structures, including the terminal associated sequences (TAS) with the core sequence ACAT-CTGTA; the conserved sequence blocks (CSB) of central conserved domain, CSB-F, E, D, C and B; the Pyrimidine T-tract; and the other two conserved sequence blocks, CSB-1 and -2 (Fig. 3). Additionally, NC2 had tandem repeated sequences (35 copies of a 22 bp motif) at the 3' end, as did CR or CR2 in the other species (Additional file 4). Based on sequence conservation of the NCs and the tandem repeats in NC2 of *G. polyophthalmus*, we conclude that both NC1 and NC2 are control regions, thus named CR1 and CR2, respectively (Fig. 1III-B and Fig. 3).

When the previously sequenced mitogenomes of 12 bothid species were compared with that of *G. polyophthalmus*, the gene order of this species was found to be identical to that of four other species,

namely, *Arnoglossus tenuis*, *Lophonectes gallus*, *Laeops lanceolata*, and *Psettina iijimae*. This finding raises a question, how were genomic-scale rearrangements and two CRs generated in these five species? Although the mitogenomes of the above four bothids have been reported for years, the mechanism of their gene rearrangement remains unaddressed. Here, using the *G. polyophthalmus* mitogenome as a representative (Fig. 1 Type III), the process of gene rearrangement was found to be consistent with the DMNL model used to explain the evolution of the *C. azureus* and *B. myriaster* mitogenomes.

The process of gene rearrangement in these fishes can be reconstructed as follows, starting with the typical mitogenome of fish (Fig. 1A). First, a dimerized event occurred to form a functionally dimeric mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (Fig. 1III-C). At this stage, transcription of genes from the dimeric mtDNA could be initiated normally using the H-strand promoters (HSP and HSP') and the L-strand promoters (LSP and LSP') located in the two CRs. Transcription from the H-strand would terminate at TAS and TAS' in the CRs, while on the L-strand *tRNA-L₁* and *tRNA-L₁'* would act as transcription terminators. Second, the function of the promoters (assumed to be LSP and HSP) in one of CRs was lost by mutation, thus the genes controlled by the disabled promoters could not be transcribed and then degenerated or disappeared entirely due to compact feature of mitochondrion (Fig. 1III-D). Consequently, the genes transcribed from LSP' were clustered together forming the 8-gene cluster *Q'-A'-C'-Y'-S₁'-ND6'-E'-P'''*, and the other group of genes (*F*, *12S*, *V* ... *ND5*, *CytB* and *T*) were transcribed from HSP' (Fig. 1III-F). With the exception of *tRNA-N*, genes with identical transcriptional polarity were placed together but were separated by two CRs via the DMNL process. Finally, the gene *tRNA-D* was translocated from the site between *COI* and *COII* to between *tRNA-S₁* and *ND6* to form the 9-gene cluster *Q-A-C-Y-S₁-D-ND6-E-P''* (Fig. 1III-B).

Gene-rearrangement mechanism of 13 bothid mitogenomes

In summary, three variants of the DMNL model can be used to account for the gene rearrangements seen in 11 bothid mitogenomes: Type I explains rearrangements seen in *C. azureus*, *Crossorhombus kobensis*, and *Crossorhombus valderostratus*, Type II explains those of *B. myriaster*, *Arnoglossus polyspilus*, and *Chascanopsetta lugubris*, and Type III describes the rearrangement mechanism used in the other five species (Fig. 1 Type I, II and III).

A fourth variant of the DMNL model can also be adopted to explain the rearrangement process that created the *Bothus pantherinus* and *Asterorhombus intermedius* mitogenomes (Fig. 1 Type IV). These two species appear to share the same rearrangement process (Fig. 1A, IV-C, D, and F) except for a difference in *tRNA-V* shuffling (Fig. IV-B1, B2); in *A. intermedius*, *tRNA-V* is moved from the location between *12S* and *16S* (*12S-V-16S-L₁*) to between *16S* and *tRNA-L₁* (*12S-16S-V-L₁*). In Type IV, step A, C, and F of the DMNL processes are similar to those in Type I, II and III; unique to step D of Type IV variant is the degeneration of the whole CR1 (Fig. 1IV-D), leaving only one CR in both the *B. pantherinus* and the *A. intermedius* mitogenomes (Fig. 1IV-B1 and B2).

Discussion

tRNA-D translocation

The *tRNA-D* gene is found in one of two sites in the 13 bothid mitogenomes studied here: outside (Fig. 1I-E) or inside (Fig. 1II-B, III-B, IV-B1 and IV-B2) the 8-gene cluster. In the Type I variant, translocation of *tRNA-D* to a locus outside of the cluster could occur either before (Fig. 1 A, I-B) or after (Fig. 1II-F, I-E) the DMNL process, because either translocation times requires the same number of gene rearrangement steps. In variants Type II, III and IV, translocation of *tRNA-D* occurs to the same locus inside the cluster. Using the Type II variant as an example, if the *tRNA-D* gene was firstly translocated to a region between *ND5* and *ND6* (Fig. 1II-B'), then after the DMNL process (Fig. 1II-C', D') *tRNA-D* would be located outside the cluster between *ND5* and *CytB* (Fig. 1II-F'). To become located inside the cluster, this gene would require one more translocation step (Fig. 1II-B). In contrast, if translocation of *tRNA-D* occurred after the DMNL process (Fig. 1II-F), one translocation step would be sufficient to create the current gene structure. Therefore, it is more parsimonious to assume that *tRNA-D* translocation occurred after, rather than before, the DMNL process in variants Type II-IV.

The fate of tRNA-N and tRNA-N' during DMNL

The *tRNA-N* gene is retained in mitogenome of *C. azureus* [14], and Shi *et al.* hypothesized that this gene acts as a functional O_L (Fig. 2A) because the intergenic spacer remaining between *tRNA-N* and *COI* is too small to form the necessary O_L secondary structure. In the mitogenomes of 12 other bothids, both shorter (12–14 bp) and longer (47–55 bp) intergenic spacers were found. As in *C. azureus* mitogenome, the shorter intergenic spacer in *A. intermedius*, *C. kobensis* and *C. valderostratus* (Fig. 2B-D) could also not form the O_L secondary structure directly, but the middle sequence of *tRNA-N* could form an O_L -like structure. The longer intergenic spacer in the other nine species (Fig. 2E–M), when including only 3–5 bp from the 3' end of *tRNA-N*, could also form the O_L structure, therefore, *tRNA-N* appears to assist in O_L function. This finding supports the correlation between the *tRNA-N* and the O_L , which lays a foundation for further studying mitochondrial gene rearrangement and replication.

Evidence for the DMNL model

Compared with intergenic spacers in non-rearranged mitogenomes of four representative flatfishes, *Psettodes erumei*, *Platichthys stellatus*, *Peltorhamphus novaezeelandiae* and *Pelotretis flavilatus*, six unique intergenic spacers (2–61 bp), either at unique locations or of longer length, were found in the *G. polyopthalmus* mitogenome (Fig. 4). The discovery of such intergenic spacer diversity led us to ask whether such spacers also occurred in the other 12 bothid mitogenomes. We found 121 unique spacers in 12 bothids at fourteen loci (number 1 to 12 plus repeated spacers labeled 6' and 10'); the length of 115 of these spacers ranged from 2 to 88 bp while the length of another six spacers (at the location numbered 12) ranged from 155 to 511 bp (Fig. 4B). What is the origin of these unique intergenic spacers? And what is the significance of these spacers in rearranged mitogenome? As we traced the DMNL process in each of the 13 mitogenomes, a striking finding was that each non-transcribed gene degenerated to a shorter intergenic spacer (Fig. 4A and B). This finding supports the DMNL model as the mechanism of

mitochondrial gene rearrangement in these species, as well as supports the existing of dimeric mitogenome in mitochondrion.

Further analyses showed that the intergenic spacers are evolutionarily diverse. For example, in *C. valderostratus* and *A. tenuis*, the numbers of unique intergenic spacers are 5 and 11, respectively. This result indicates that the corresponding non-transcribed genes are progressively degenerating or have completely disappeared in these 13 bothid mitogenomes. The length of each spacer also varies in different species, for example, spacers numbered 2 and 5 range in length from 3–9 bp and 4–41 bp, respectively. This result suggests that each of non-transcribed genes degenerated at different rates in different species.

Seven intergenic regions appear to have no relationship to the DMNL process. Three intergenic spacers of the *12S-16S-V-L₁* region were found only in *A. intermedius* and were generated by the shuffling of *tRNA-V*. The double-A spacer between *tRNA-H* and *tRNA-S₂* shared the same base as seen at the 3' end of *tRNA-H*. The other three intergenic regions were also found in the non-rearranged mitogenomes of flatfishes and other teleosts.

Conclusions

In summary, the newly completed mitogenome of *G. polyophthalmus* and the sequenced mitogenomes of 12 other bothids all possessed genomic-scale rearrangements. These rearrangements could be sorted into four types (Type I, II, III and IV), differing in the particular combination of CR, *tRNA-D* and 8-gene cluster and the shuffling of *tRNA-V*. The DMNL model can be used to account for all the gene rearrangements in all 13 bothid mitogenomes, except for the translocation of *tRNA-D* which appears to have occurred after the DMNL process in 10 of these mitogenomes, and either before or after in three others. During the DMNL process, *tRNA-N* was retained rather than the expected *tRNA-N'* gene, and *tRNA-N* appears to assist in or act as O_L function when the O_L secondary structure could not be formed directly.

A striking finding was that each of the non-transcribed genes has degenerated to an intergenic spacer during the DMNL process. This result provided significant evidence to support the existence of dynamic dimeric mitogenomes and the DMNL model as the mechanism of gene rearrangement in bothid mitogenomes. These findings highlight a rare phenomenon in teleost fish, which not only promotes the understanding of mitogenome structural diversity, but also sheds light on mechanisms of mitochondrial genome rearrangement and replication.

Methods

Sampling, DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing

A single specimen of *G. polyophthalmus* was collected from fishing activity in Fangcheng Port, China, conducted by artisanal bottom-trawling, therefore no specific information is available on depth of

capture, substrata, or longitude and latitude of the catch. Fresh specimen was stored in crushed ice immediately after collection, and then frozen at -20°C in the lab until further processing. Total genomic DNA was extracted from the epaxial musculature of the specimen using the SQ Tissue DNA Kit (OMEGA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Nine primer pairs were designed for amplification of the mtDNA genome (Additional file 1), based on the known complete mitochondrial sequences of bothids. More than 70 bp of overlaps between sequenced fragments ensured correct assembly of the complete mtDNA genome.

PCR was performed in a 25 μl reaction volume containing approximate 50 ng DNA template, 0.5 μM of each primer, 1.0 U Taq polymerase (Takara, China), 2.0 mM MgCl_2 , 0.4 mM of each dNTP, and 2.5 μl of 10 $\times$ Taq buffer. The PCR cycling protocol included one cycle at 95°C for 3 min (initial denaturation), followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 30s (denaturation), $45-55^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 50 s (annealing) and $68-72^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 1.5–5 min (extension), followed by a final extension at $68-72^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 10 min. The PCR products were purified by the Takara Agarose Gel DNA Purification Kit (Takara, China) and then were used directly as templates for cycle sequencing reactions. Sequence specific primers were designed for walking across each fragment with an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, USA). Sequenced fragments were assembled to a complete mitochondrial genome using CodonCode Aligner v3 and BioEdit v7 [24]. For large fragments and walking sequences, manual examinations ensured reliable assembly of the mitogenome. The complete sequence of *G. polyophthalmus* mitogenome was submitted to GenBank under the accession number MK770643.

Sequence analysis

Identification and annotation of protein coding genes and rRNA genes were performed using NCBI-BLAST (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>). The tRNA genes and their secondary structures were determined using tRNAscan-SE 1.21 [25], setting the cut-off values to 1 when necessary. The secondary structure of O_L was identified by using the mfold web server (<http://unafold.rna.albany.edu/?q=mfold>). The gene map of *G. polyophthalmus* mitogenome was generated by using CGView [26]. Mitogenomes of eight out of 12 bothid fishes used in this study were determined in our lab, including *A. intermedius*, *A. tenuis*, *B. myriaster*, *C. azureus*, *C. lugubris*, *C. valderostratus*, *L. gallus*, and *P. iijimae*, available under the accession numbers MK256952, KP134337, KJ433563, JQ639068, KJ433561, KJ433566, KJ433567 and KP134336, respectively. Mitogenome sequences of four other bothids were retrieved from GenBank, including *A. polyspilus*, *B. pantherinus*, *C. kobensis*, and *L. lanceolata*, using accession numbers NC_024946, NC_024947, NC_024949, and AP014591, respectively.

Abbreviations

Mitogenome: Mitochondrial genome, **rRNA:** Ribosomal ribonucleic acid, **tRNA:** Transfer ribonucleic acid, **H-strand:** Heavy strand, **L-strand:** Light strand, **O_L :** Origin for L-strand replication, **CR:** Control region; **O_H :** Origin for H-strand replication, **8-gene cluster:** Eight genes (*Q-A-C-Y-S₇-ND6-E-P*) on L-strand grouped to a cluster, **DMNL:** Dimer-Mitogenome and Non-Random Loss mechanism of mitochondrial rearrangement,

mtDNA: Mitochondrial DNA, **HSP:** H-strand promoters, **LSP:** L-strand promoters, **WANCY:** tRNA cluster of *tRNA-W*, *tRNA-A*, *tRNA-N*, *tRNA-C* and *tRNA-Y*, **5-gene cluster:** Five genes (*Q-A-C-Y-S₇*) on L-strand grouped to a cluster, **3-gene cluster:** Three genes (*ND6-E-P*) on L-strand grouped to a cluster, **PCR:** Polymerase chain reaction, **NC:** Non-coding region, **TAS:** Terminal associated sequences, **CSB:** Conserved sequence blocks.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The specimen of *G. polyophthalmus* was collected from the commercial fishery. This species was not involved in the International Union for Conservation of Nature Red List of Threatened Species. Specimen collection and maintenance were performed in strict accordance with both of the recommendations of Animal Care Quality Assurance in Chinese Mainland and the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of Laboratory animals (NIH Publications No. 8023, revised 1978).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The sequence of complete mtDNA of *G. polyophthalmus* in this study was submitted to GenBank under the accession number MK770643. Mitogenomes of other 12 bothids fishes (*A. intermedius*, *A. polyspilus*, *A. tenuis*, *B. myriaster*, *B. pantherinus*, *C. azureus*, *C. kobensis*, *C. lugubris*, *C. valderostratus*, *L. gallus*, *L. lanceolata* and *P. iijimae*) were under the GenBank accession numbers MK256952, NC_024946, KP134337, KJ433563, NC_024947, JQ639068, NC_024949, KJ433561, KJ433566, KJ433567, AP014591 and KP134336, respectively.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 31872570 and 31471979).

Authors' contributions

HRL sampled specimen, collected the datasets and drafted the manuscript. XYK directed the entire research project and revised the manuscript. SXC carried out the experiments. WS revised the analysis of

datasets. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to Prof. Elizabeth A. De Stasio for English editorial assistance, and also thank Z.-C. Zhou, X.-L. Yu and W. Xi for providing assistance in collecting field samples.

References

- 1.Anderson S, Bankier AT, Barrell BG, de Bruijn MH, Coulson AR, Drouin J, Eperon IC, Nierlich DP, Roe BA, Sanger F. Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. *Nature*. 1981;290(5806):457.
- 2.Clayton DA: Replication and transcription of vertebrate mitochondrial DNA. *Annu Rev Cell Biol*. 1991;7(1):453–78.
- 3.Fernández-Silva P, Enriquez JA, Montoya J. Replication and transcription of mammalian mitochondrial DNA. *Exp Physiol*. 2003;88(1):41–56.
- 4.Macey JR, Larson A, Ananjeva NB, Fang Z, Papenfuss TJ. Two novel gene orders and the role of light-strand replication in rearrangement of the vertebrate mitochondrial genome. *Mol Biol Evol*. 1997;14(1):91–104.
- 5.Thyagarajan B, Padua RA, Campbell C. Mammalian mitochondria possess homologous DNA recombination activity. *J Biol Chem*. 1996;271(44):27536.
- 6.Tsaousis AD, Martin DP, Ladoukakis ED, Posada D, Zouros E. Widespread recombination in published animal mtDNA sequences. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2005;22(4):925.
- 7.Zhuang X, Cheng CH. ND6 gene “lost” and found: evolution of mitochondrial gene rearrangement in Antarctic notothenioids. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2010;27(6):1391.
- 8.Kong XY, Dong XL, Zhang YC, Shi W, Wang ZM, Yu ZN. A novel rearrangement in the mitochondrial genome of tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*: control region translocation and a tRNA gene inversion. *Genome*. 2009;52(12):975–84.
- 9.Miya M, Nishida M. Organization of the Mitochondrial Genome of a Deep-Sea Fish, *Gonostoma gracile* (Teleostei: Stomiiformes): First Example of Transfer RNA Gene Rearrangements in Bony Fishes. *Mar Biotechnol*. 1999;1(5):416–26.
- 10.Ki JS, Jung SO, Hwang DS, Lee YM, Lee JS. Unusual mitochondrial genome structure of the freshwater goby *Odontobutis platycephala*: rearrangement of tRNAs and an additional non-coding region. *J Fish Biol*. 2008;73(2):414–28.

- 11.Satoh TP, Miya M, Endo H, Nishida M. Round and pointed-head grenadier fishes (Actinopterygii: Gadiformes) represent a single sister group: evidence from the complete mitochondrial genome sequences. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 2006;40(1):129–38.
- 12.Gong L, Shi W, Yang M, Li DH, Kong XY. Novel gene arrangement in the mitochondrial genome of *Bothus myriaster* (Pleuronectiformes: Bothidae): evidence for the Dimer-Mitogenome and Non-random Loss model. *Mitochondrial DNA Part A.* 2016;27(5):3089–92.
- 13.Dong JX, Shi W, Kong XY, Chen SX. Characteristic analysis of the complete mitogenome of *Plagiopsetta glossa* and a possible mechanism for gene rearrangement. *Journal of Tropical Oceanography.* 2018;37(1):1–11. (in Chinese)
- 14.Shi W, Dong XL, Wang ZM, Miao XG, Wang SY, Kong XY. Complete mitogenome sequences of four flatfishes (Pleuronectiformes) reveal a novel gene arrangement of L-strand coding genes. *BMC Evol Biol.* 2013;13(1):173.
- 15.Shi W, Gong L, Wang SY, Miao XG, Kong XY. Tandem Duplication and Random Loss for mitogenome rearrangement in *Symphurus* (Teleost: Pleuronectiformes). *BMC Genomics.* 2015;16(1):1–9.
- 16.Shi W, Miao XG, Kong XY. A novel model of double replications and random loss accounts for rearrangements in the Mitogenome of *Samariscus latus* (Teleostei: Pleuronectiformes). *BMC Genomics.* 2014;15(1):352.
- 17.Moritz C, Dowling TE, Brown WM. Evolution of Animal Mitochondrial DNA: Relevance for Population Biology and Systematics. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst.* 1987;18(1):269–92.
- 18.Cantatore P, Gadaleta MN, Roberti M, Saccone C, Wilson AC. Duplication and remoulding of tRNA genes during the evolutionary rearrangement of mitochondrial genomes. *Nature.* 1987;329(6142):853–5.
- 19.Poulton J, Deadman ME, Bindoff L, Morten K, Land J, Brown G. Families of mtDNA re-arrangements can be detected in patients with mtDNA deletions: duplications may be a transient intermediate form. *Hum Mol Genet.* 1993;2(1):23–30.
- 20.Lavrov DV, Boore JL, Brown WM. Complete mtDNA sequences of two millipedes suggest a new model for mitochondrial gene rearrangements: duplication and nonrandom loss. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2002;19(2):163–9.
- 21.Hurst CD, Bartlett SE, Davidson WS, Bruce IJ. The complete mitochondrial DNA sequence of the Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. *Gene.* 1999;239(2):237–42.
- 22.Seligmann H, Krishnan NM, Rao BJ. Possible multiple origins of replication in primate mitochondria: Alternative role of tRNA sequences. *J Theor Biol.* 2006;241(2):321–32.

- 23.Wang SY, Shi W, Miao XG, Kong XY. Complete mitochondrial genome sequences of three rhombosoleid fishes and comparative analyses with other flatfishes (Pleuronectiformes). *Zool Stud.* 2014;53(1):80.
- 24.Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. In: Hall T, Hall TA, Hall D, editors. *Nucleic acids symposium series*: 1999. London: Information Retrieval Ltd.; 1999. p. 95–8.
- 25.Lowe TM, Eddy SR. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1997;25(5):955–64.
- 26.Stothard P, Wishart D. Circular genome visualization and exploration using CGView. *Bioinformatics.* 2005;21(4):537–9.

Additional File Legend

Additional file 1: Table S1. Primers used for fragment amplification of the *G. polyophthalmus* mitogenome.

Additional file 2: Table S2. Organization of the *G. polyophthalmus* mitogenome.

Additional file 3: Figure S1. Gene map of the *G. polyophthalmus* mitogenome.

Additional file 4: Figure S2. Aligned sequences of complete control region in 13 bothids and *Pleuronichthys cornutus*.

Figures

Figure 1

Four mitogenomic rearrangement variants in 13 bothids using the DMNL model. The letters A to F after the variant number I-IV represent the steps of DMNL process. A: Ancestral gene order in typical fish. The rRNA, protein-coding genes and CRs are indicated by boxes; the tRNA genes are indicated by columns, genes labeled above the columns are located on the H-strand, those below the columns on the L-strand. TAS is an acronym for the terminal associated sequences. B: Translocated position of tRNA-D prior to

DMNL gene rearrangement in Type I, and that of tRNA-D posterior to DMNL gene rearrangement and the finally mitogenomic structure in Type II, III, and IV. C: The dimeric molecule formed by two monomers linked head-to-tail. The HSP, HSP', LSP, and LSP' indicate the H- and L- strand promoters of transcription. The TAS, TAS', tRNA-L1, and tRNA-L1' indicate the H- and L- strand terminations of transcription. The direction of transcription is shown by an arrow. D: Functional loss of LSP and HSP. Grey boxes indicate the degenerated genes controlled by non-functional HSP and LSP. The triangle marks the retention of tRNA-N rather than the expected tRNA-N' gene. E: The translocation of tRNA-D posterior to DMNL gene rearrangement and the finally mitogenomic structure in Type I. F: The mitogenomic structure generated after degeneration of non-transcribed genes in the dimeric molecule. The path from A, II-C, II-D, II-F to I-E in Type I indicates the translocation of tRNA-D occurred after the DMNL process; the path from A, II-B', II-C', II-D', II-F' to II-B in Type II indicates the translocation of tRNA-D occurred before DMNL process; the difference between the steps of B', C', D' and F' with that of B, C, D and F is the location of tRNA-D. The broken line in IV-B2 indicates the omitted genes that are the same as those shown in IV-B1.

Figure 2

Secondary structures of the OL in 13 bothid mitogenomes. Red underlined bases are conserved sequences. Bases in green font come from the 3' end of the tRNA-N.

Crossorhombus kobensis; C.va: Crossorhombus valderostratus; A.po: Arnoglossus polyspilus; B.my: Bothus myriaster; C.lu: Chascanopsetta lugubris; A.in: Asterorhombus intermedius; and B.pa: Bothus pantherinus. The number 1 and 2 after the names indicate CR1 and CR2.

Figure 4

Characteristics of unique intergenic spacers in 13 bothid mitogenomes. A: Grey boxes indicate the non-transcribed genes located in the intermediate dimeric molecule. B: Dark grey boxes indicate the numbered intergenic spacers. Fourteen loci are numbered as 1 to 12 plus two repeated spacers labeled 6' and 10'. The lines between A and B indicate that the non-transcribed genes in A degenerated to intergenic spacers in B; the pair of blue and brown lines indicate alternative results of degeneration of each underlined non-transcribed genes, respectively. The symbol of indicates regions of non-transcribed genes. The purple box indicates the OL formed by the middle sequence of tRNA-N, and the green box indicates the OL formed by an intergenic spacer and 3-5 bp from the 3' end of tRNA-N. The tRNA-V and tRNA-D in solid and dotted boxes represent the alternative location in four species. C: The length of intergenic spacers. Species names are abbreviated as in Fig. 3. The number in parentheses after the species names is the amount of unique intergenic spacers. Below intergenic spacer No. 3, length of spacers between OL and COI are indicated in black numbers, and that between tRNA-N and COI indicated in dark red. The light brown numbers indicate the length of intergenic spacers that have no relationship to the DMNL process.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplement2.png](#)
- [supplement3.tif](#)

- [supplement4.tif](#)
- [supplement5.tif](#)
- [supplement6.docx](#)
- [supplement6.tif](#)