

Effects of Fish Oil and Dietary Antioxidant Supplementation on Bone Health

Grzegorz Skiba

Polish Academy of Sciences

Stanisława Raj

Polish Academy of Sciences

Monika Sobol

Polish Academy of Sciences

Marian Czauderna

Polish Academy of Sciences

Paweł Kowalczyk (✉ p.kowalczyk@ifzz.pl)

Polish Academy of Sciences

Methodology article

Keywords: fish oil, antioxidant, diet supplementation, lamb femur

Posted Date: November 19th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-107749/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

1 **Effects of fish oil and dietary antioxidant supplementation on bone health**

2 **Grzegorz Skiba^{1*}, Stanisława Raj¹, Monika Sobol¹, Marian Czauderna¹, Pawel**

3 **Kowalczyk^{1*}**

4 ¹*The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences,*
5 *Department of Animal Nutrition, Instytucja 3 Street, 05-110 Jabłonna, Poland*

6
7 * Corresponding authors: email g.skiba@ifzz.pl and p.kowalczyk@ifzz.pl

8 9 **Abstract**

10 Background: Lambs or sheep are considered an excellent animal model for humans
11 owing to advantages related to bone anatomy, formation, biomechanical characteristics; bone
12 strength; and absorption of minerals and vitamins. Moreover, bone healing in many animal
13 species is faster than that in humans, whereas bone healing, turnover, and remodelling in sheep
14 and humans are comparable. In this context, it would be interesting to examine the effects of
15 bioactive components of diet (including Se and carnosic acid) on bone mineralisation and
16 strength, since these are the most important indicators of bone status. This knowledge may be
17 useful in the context of orthopaedic research, recovery after orthopaedic surgeries, and
18 prevention of skeletal diseases in humans.

19 Results: The aim of the present study was to assess the effects partial replacement of
20 rapeseed oil (RO) with fish oil (FO) combined with dietary supplementation of various
21 antioxidants on the characteristics of lamb femur. Thirty male lambs were assigned to five
22 dietary treatments and fed isoproteinous and isoenergetic diets for 35 days. The control diet
23 was enriched with 3.0% RO, while the experimental diets were enriched either only with 2.0%
24 RO and 1.0% FO or additionally with 0.1% carnosic acid, 0.1% carnosic acid and 0.35 ppm
25 Se as selenised yeast, or 0.1% carnosic acid and 0.35 ppm Se as sodium selenite. After 35
26 days, the lambs were slaughtered, and the femur was dissected from the carcass of each animal
27 and analysed for morphometric properties.

28 Conclusions: The present study indicated that dietary bioactive components may
29 improve bone health by promoting bone mineralisation in lambs. Partial replacement of RO
30 with FO combined with dietary supplementation of carnosic acid and organic Se improved the
31 geometric, densitometric, and biomechanical properties of lamb femur.

32 **Keywords:** fish oil, antioxidant, diet supplementation, lamb femur

33
34

35 **Background**

36 In previous studies, dietary supplementation of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3
37 PUFA) improved the growth, meat fatty acid profile, fertility, and immunity of pigs [1,2],
38 chicken [3], ruminants [4,5], and rabbits [6]. However, several of these studies used fats rich in
39 n-3 PUFAs, primarily linseed oil [a source of α -linolenic acid (ALA, C18:3n-3)] or fish oil [FO;
40 a source of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, C22:6n-3)] but tested the benefits of n-3 PUFA
41 supplementation of animal feed irrespective of the dietary source. In some studies, n-3 PUFA
42 supplementation of diet improved the fatty acid profile as well as degree of bone mineralisation
43 and bone strength in monogastric animals [2,7]. Thus, dietary supplementation of n-3 PUFA in
44 monogastric animals is beneficial for both animals (by improving their welfare) and humans
45 (by improving the composition of animal products, such as meat). Recently, much scientific
46 efforts was put into the modification of fatty acid profile of ruminant tissues [5,8,9]. However,
47 achieving benefits in this group of animals is rather difficult due to differences in the structure
48 of the gastrointestinal tract. Therefore, studies on the modification of dietary fatty acid
49 composition and its effects on ruminant tissues have been conducted using various feed
50 supplements (e.g. oils or phytochemicals). Moreover, additional studies have been designed to
51 reduce of bacterial lipolysis and subsequently suppress biohydrogenation and isomerisation in
52 rumen, mainly through decreasing the enzymatic isomerisation yield of linoleic acid (LA,
53 C18:2n-6) or ALA via inhibition of ruminal bacterial isomerase activity [10,11,12].

54 Furthermore, Miezeliene et al. [13] proposed that Se regulates the key pathways of
55 antioxidant defence mechanism in the body. In addition, Davis et al. [14] reported that Se is an
56 essential constituent of selenoenzymes, which play pivotal roles in various physiological
57 processes. Se is commonly added to animal diets in an inorganic (sodium selenite) or organic
58 (e.g. selenised yeast) form; however, Gjerlaug-Enger et al. [15] and Rayman [16] demonstrated
59 that the organic form shows greater bioavailability and is more effectively taken up by tissues.
60 Some studies [17,18] indicated that partial replacement of rapeseed oil (RO) with FO [(rich in
61 long-chain n-3 PUFA (LCPUFA)] combined with supplementation of carnolic acid and Se
62 compounds (both organic and inorganic forms) affected rumen isomerisation and
63 biohydrogenation, further decreasing the tissue concentration of undesirable saturated fatty
64 acids (SFA) and increasing the tissue concentration of desirable unsaturated fatty acids (UFA),
65 particularly LCPUFA.

66 Moreover, according to Rozbicka-Wieczorek et al. [9], such a dietary supplementation
67 decreased oxidative processes in the animal body. Oxidative stress disrupts bone remodelling,
68 consequently reducing bone mass and bone density and increasing bone susceptibility to

69 fractures [19]. In addition, some clinical studies [20,21] have shown that antioxidants play
70 important roles in reducing inflammatory processes, which negatively affect bone turnover.
71 Consistent with this, another study [22] in rabbits showed that the combined administration of
72 sodium selenite with vitamins E and C (with antioxidant properties) was more effective in
73 preventing structural alterations of bones than the use of vitamins alone.

74 However, the effects of partial replacement of RO with oils rich in LCPUFA, such as
75 FO, combined with the supplementation of carnosic acid and organic or inorganic Se
76 compounds on bone strength and mineralisation in animal models, especially those other than
77 rodents, remain unknown. Increasing evidence indicates [23,24] that lambs or sheep can serve
78 as an excellent large animal model for humans in studies on orthopaedic or dental defects,
79 owing to advantages related to bone anatomy, formation, and biomechanical characteristics;
80 ease of handling; and absorption of minerals and vitamins. Moreover, bone healing in many
81 non-human animal species is faster than that in humans, whereas this rate is comparable in
82 sheep and humans [25,26]. Additionally, sheep bones have been previously established as
83 useful models for human bone turnover and remodelling [23]. In this context, it seems
84 interesting to assess the effects of dietary supplementation of various oils combined with
85 antioxidants (carnosic acid and organic or inorganic Se compounds) on bone characteristics in
86 a sheep model. These analyses will advance our understanding of the association between
87 dietary supplementation of various antioxidants and bone properties as well as the related
88 metabolic processes. This information will also allow for better design and conduct of research
89 using a lamb model (e.g. the role of natural dietary supplements in orthopaedics, recovery after
90 orthopaedic procedures, and prevention of skeletal diseases).

91 To this end, we hypothesised that partial replacement of RO with FO combined with
92 supplementation of various antioxidants (carnosic acid and Se compounds) in diets would
93 improve the tissue profile of n-3 PUFA, mineralisation, geometry, and biomechanics of lamb
94 femur. Therefore, the primary aim of the present study was to evaluate the effects of various
95 dietary modifications on femur morphometry, cortical wall thickness (CWT), cross-sectional
96 area (CSA), cortical index (CI), and strength in a lamb model.

97

98 **2. Material and Methods**

99 **2.1. Ethics**

100 All experimental procedures in this study were performed in accordance with the
101 relevant national or local ethical guidelines and were approved by the III Local Ethics
102 Committee on Animal Experimentation of Warsaw University of Life Sciences, SGGW,

103 Poland. According to the principles of the 3Rs (replacement, reduction, and refinement), the
104 study and experiments were designed to minimise the number of animals whilst maintaining
105 high statistical power.

106

107 **2.2. Animal experiments**

108 Material for research was obtained from an experiment evaluating the effects of dietary
109 modification on the profile of biohydrogenation products, specifically conjugated fatty acids,
110 in ruminal fluid and some tissues as well as microbiota [18]. The femur was collected, as this
111 bone is commonly used in human osteoporosis and orthopaedic research. Since densitometric
112 measurements are commonly used in human medicine, the present study focused mainly on the
113 state of bone mineralisation owing to its great impact on bone strength.

114 The study was performed in 30 male Corriedale lambs. The animals were randomly
115 stratified into five groups ($n = 6$ animals each) and individually kept in pens on rubber mats.
116 The main experiment was performed following a 3-week initial period (change in body weight
117 from ~25 to 30 kg). During this period, the lambs were fed only a basal diet (BD) containing
118 36.0% meadow hay and 64.0% concentrate with soybean meal (360 g), barley (165 g), wheat
119 starch (90 g), and a mineral–vitamin mixture (25 g). When lambs reached the body weight of
120 30 kg, BD was supplemented with 3.0% RO (group C); RO (2.0%) + FO (1.0%) (group EI);
121 RO (2.0%) + FO (1.0%) + carnosic acid (0.1%) (group EII); RO (2.0%) + FO (1.0%) + carnosic
122 acid (0.1%) + organic Se (0.35 ppm selenised yeast) (group EIII); or RO (2.0%) + FO (1.0%)
123 + carnosic acid (0.1%) + mineral Se (0.35 ppm sodium selenite) (group EIV). These feeding
124 schemes were maintained for the following 35 days (until the lambs reached ~37.0 kg body
125 weight).

126 Feeding schemes as well as feed chemical composition, energy content, and fatty acid
127 concentration are presented in Tables 1-3. During both initial and experimental periods, animals
128 had semi-*ad-libitum* access to diets (0.85 and 1.08 kg·day⁻¹, respectively), offered twice a day
129 (7.30 am and 4.00 pm) in equal amounts, and *ad-libitum* access to fresh water. The control and
130 experimental diets were isoenergetic and isonitrogenous and constituted (including
131 supplements) the following contents per kilogram dry matter, according to the feeding
132 recommendations for ruminants [27]: 17.9 MJ gross energy, 202 g crude protein, 119 g crude
133 fibre, and 51.7 g crude fat. RO and commercial odourless FO containing high amounts of
134 LCPUFA were purchased from Agrosol (Pacanów, Poland), and carnosic acid was purchased
135 from Hunan Geneham Biomedical Technology Ltd. (Hunan, China). Selenised yeast

136 (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) was purchased from Sel-Plex (Alltech In., USA), and sodium
137 selenite was provided by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

138 At the end of the main experimental period (35 days), after 12 h of starvation, each lamb
139 was anaesthetised via intramuscular xylazine injection (2-4 mg·10 kg⁻¹ body weight) and then
140 slaughtered by exsanguination. Next, from each right half-carcass, the femur was dissected,
141 cleaned of any remaining flesh, weighed, and frozen (-30°C) for subsequent analyses.

143 **2.3. Determination of chemical composition**

144 Dry matter, nitrogen, ash, crude fibre, and ether extract contents of the diets were
145 determined using the standard methods 934.01, 984.13, 942.05, 978.10, and 920.39 of the
146 Association of Official Analytical Chemists [28], respectively. Fatty acid content of the feeds
147 and each diet component was determined by base- and acid-catalysed methylation, as described
148 by Czauderna et al. [29], followed by quantification using capillary gas chromatography
149 coupled with mass spectrometry (GC–MS), as described by Rozbicka-Wieczorek et al. [9]
150 GCMS-QP2010 Plus EI (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a BPX70 fused silica column
151 (120 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 µm film thickness; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), a
152 quadruple mass selective detector (Model 5973 N), and an injection port was used, with helium
153 as the carrier gas. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) were identified by the comparison of
154 electron ionisation spectra of standards (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and the NIST 2007
155 reference mass spectra (National Institute of Standard and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD,
156 USA). All FAME analyses were based on total ion current chromatograms and/or selected ion
157 monitoring chromatograms.

159 **2.4. Densitometric measurements of the femur**

160 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometric scans of the femur were obtained using the XR-
161 800TM (Norland Medical Systems, CooperSurgical, Fort Atkinson, WI, USA) densitometer
162 scanner according to the manufacturer's protocol of scanning and analysis (research-scan type).
163 A quality assurance test was performed every day to verify the stability of the system calibration
164 (control scans). Moreover, the system was calibrated daily using the QC Phantom and QA
165 Calibration Standard (Norland Medical Systems). Specimens for scanning were thawed at 23°C
166 for 12 h prior to use. During scanning, the right femur was positioned horizontally, with the
167 femoral head facing upwards and the condyles facing downwards, and then scanned from the
168 distal to proximal end. All scans were performed in triplicate to avoid bone rotation, as
169 inconsistencies in the orientation can hamper the accuracy of test results. To ensure consistency,

170 all scans were performed by the same operator. Bone mineral content (BMC) and bone mineral
171 density (BMD) were recorded.

172

173 **2.5. Three-point bending test of the femur**

174 Following dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, a three-point bending test was performed
175 using a TA-HDi texture analyser (Stable Micro Systems Ltd.) to determine the biomechanical
176 properties of the right femur, as described by Ferretti et al. [30]. The distance between bone
177 supports was set at 40% of the femur length, and the measuring head loaded bone samples at
178 the mid-shaft at a constant speed of 50 mm·min⁻¹. Maximum strength (MS) and maximum
179 elastic strength (MES) of the bone were determined.

180

181 **2.6. Geometric measurements of the femur**

182 Geometric properties of each femur were determined based on the measurements of
183 horizontal and vertical diameters (both external and internal) using an electronic ruler after
184 cutting the bone. CWT (mm), CSA (mm²), and CI (%) were determined using the following
185 mathematical formulae:

186

$$187 \quad \text{Cortical wall thickness (CWT)} = \frac{[(V + H) - (v + h)]}{4}$$

$$188 \quad \text{Cross - section area (CSA)} = \frac{\pi \times [(H \times V) - (h \times v)]}{4}$$

189

$$190 \quad \text{Cortical index (CI)} = \left(\left(\frac{H - h}{H} + \frac{V - v}{V} \right) \div 2 \right) \times 100$$

191

192 where V is the vertical external diameter (mm); H is the horizontal external diameter (mm); v
193 is the vertical internal diameter (mm); and h is the horizontal internal diameter (mm).

194

195 **2.7. Statistical analysis**

196 Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica (version 12, StatSoft, Tulsa, OK,
197 USA). The examined bone characteristics in different groups are presented as mean values,
198 with statistical errors pooled as standard error. Results were analysed with one-way ANOVA.
199 When the F ratio was significant, Tukey test was used to determine differences between groups.
200 Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. With an α level of 0.05, the power established at

201 80%, and an effect size of 0.75, the required total sample size was 30 (i.e. n = 6 per group). The
202 hypothesised effect size of 0.75 was established based on descriptive statistics of a previous
203 study [2]. *Post hoc* calculations using the above-mentioned data indicated that the actual power
204 achieved in this study was 81.4%.

205

206 **3. Results**

207 The experimental factors did not affect the growth rate of lambs. There were no
208 significant differences in body weight across lamb groups (30.5 ± 0.5 and 37.3 ± 0.60 kg at the
209 beginning and end of the main experiment, respectively).

210 Femur mass and length did not differ across groups (mean 135 g and 17.24 cm,
211 respectively Table 4). Femur CWT and CSA did not differ between groups EIII and EI (mean
212 3.24 mm and 157 mm², respectively); however, values in groups EIII (P = 0.0153) and EI (P =
213 0.0461) were greater than those in C, EII, and EIV (mean 3.13 mm and 149 mm², respectively).
214 The highest femur CI was recorded in group EIII (39.4%; P = 0.0315), followed by groups EI
215 and EIV (mean 35.9%), while the lowest CI was recorded in groups C and EII (mean 35.0%).
216 The highest femur BMC was recorded in group EIII (37.20 g), followed by groups C, EI, and
217 EII (mean 34.9 g), while the lowest BMC was recorded in group EIV (33.9 g; P = 0.0003). The
218 highest femur BMD was recorded in group EIII (0.768 g·cm⁻²), followed by groups EII, EI,
219 and EIV (mean 0.716 g·cm⁻²), while the lowest BMD was recorded in group C (0.703 g·cm⁻²,
220 P = 0.0001). However, BMD in groups EI and EIV did not significantly differ from values in
221 groups C and EII. Femur MS was recorded in following order (P < 0.0002): group EIII (281 N)
222 > EII and EI (mean 259 N) > C and EIV (mean 246 N). MES followed the same order as MS
223 (P = 0.0006).

224

225 **4. Discussion**

226 In the present study, the energetic and nutritive value of diets as well as feed intake was
227 equalised in all experimental groups. Thus, the diets offered to lambs in a particular group
228 differed only in terms of the content of LCPUFA in fat source of supplements. Previous studies
229 on the modification of fatty acid composition of diets were designed to reduce bacterial lipolysis
230 and subsequent biohydrogenation and isomerisation in the rumen [10,12].

231 Lambs or sheep are considered an excellent animal model for humans [23,24], owing to
232 advantages related to bone anatomy, formation, biomechanical characteristics; bone strength;
233 and absorption of minerals and vitamins. Moreover, bone healing in many animal species is
234 faster than that in humans, whereas bone healing [25,26], turnover, and remodelling [23] in

235 sheep and humans are comparable. In this context, it would be interesting to examine the effects
236 of bioactive components of diet (including Se and carnosic acid) on bone mineralisation and
237 strength, since these are the most important indicators of bone status. This knowledge may be
238 useful in the context of orthopaedic research, recovery after orthopaedic surgeries, and
239 prevention of skeletal diseases in humans.

240 Previous studies using porcine [2] and rats [31] models indicated that dietary
241 supplementation of n-3 PUFAs improved bone mineralisation and strength. In the present study,
242 we also found a positive effect of partial replacement of RO (a source of LA) with FO (a source
243 of EPA and DHA) on the tested bone parameters. In the body, elongase and desaturase convert
244 LA to arachidonic acid (AA) [32]. However, both EPA and AA are substrates for the production
245 of eicosanoids, particularly prostaglandins (PGs). PGE2 is a product of n-6 PUFA, and PGE3
246 is a product of n-3 PUFA, and these PGs exert antagonistic effects. PGE2 exerts inflammatory
247 effects, whereas PGE3 exerts anti-inflammatory effects. The inflammatory effects of PGE2 and
248 other inflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-1, IL-6, and TNF- α) suppress bone formation and
249 enhance bone resorption [33], possibly through upregulating the osteoclast activator RANKL
250 [34]. However, n-3 PUFA inhibit this reaction [35] and promote osteoblastogenesis in the bone
251 marrow [36] and bone formation [37]. Interestingly, in a rats [31] and pigs [38] model, diets
252 rich in ALA did not affect femur morphology, biomechanical properties, and bone mineral
253 content and density compared with diets rich in LA or SFA. This can be explained by the
254 mammalian fatty acid metabolism: limited desaturases are available in LCPUFA synthesis,
255 leading to low endogenous EPA and DHA levels [32]. Elevated PGE3 synthesis also alters cell
256 membrane structure and fluidity, which facilitates vitamin D permeation, thus playing a crucial
257 role in the active transport of Ca across the cell membrane [39,40]. Therefore, if EPA and DHA
258 contents of diet exceed AA content, less substrate is available for eicosanoid synthesis from
259 AA. Thus, this fatty acid composition of diet positively affects bone health.

260 Carnosic acid is a major bioactive component of rosemary and has been reported to
261 show antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties [41,42]. Moreover, it enhanced bone
262 formation and inhibited bone resorption [43]. Above cited authors hypothesised that although
263 carnosic acid is degraded in the body, its inhibitory effects on the formation of multinucleated
264 osteoclasts would support the osteoblastic differentiation. According to these authors inhibition
265 of osteoclast formation is the key action of carnosic acid that improves bone health.
266 Unfortunately, however, bone metabolism at the cellular level was not studied in the present
267 study thus, we cannot prove this hypothesis. Regarding the effects of carnosic acid on bone
268 mineralisation, our results indicated that the supplementation of lamb diets with this acid

269 improved bone mineral content and bone mineral density to similar extents as did the partial
270 replacement of RO with FO.

271 Se regulates the key pathways related to antioxidant defence mechanisms in all tissues
272 by controlling glutathione metabolism through major Se-containing antioxidant enzymes.
273 Moreover, Se is an essential nutrient, which plays pivotal roles in various physiological
274 processes as an essential constituent of nearly 25 selenoenzymes, in which it is present as the
275 selenoamino acid selenocysteine [44]. Se is commonly added to animal diets in an inorganic
276 form (sodium selenite). However, owing to low bioavailability [15], much of inorganic Se is
277 excreted from the body and is thus not effectively taken up by tissues, including bones [16].
278 Moreover, this form of Se may exert pro-oxidant and even toxic effects, particularly at high
279 levels [45,46]. Therefore, recently, interest in the organic form of Se has increased because of
280 its better absorption and greater biological effectiveness in pigs [47], broilers [48], beef cattle
281 [49], and laying hens [50]. In a study on rabbits Ebeid et al. [51] found that bioactive compounds
282 (e.g. organic Se) positively affect body metabolism. They hypothesised that the mechanism of
283 action of these bioactive compounds is based on the mitigation of oxidative stress, which
284 consequently protects UFA from peroxidation damage, and these UFA can then be effectively
285 taken up by soft tissues. Thus, similar effects are likely produced in bone, as evidenced by the
286 positive effects of n-3 PUFA on bone parameters in a previous study on rats [31].

287 In the present study, we found a positive effect of simultaneous administration of a
288 mixture of RO (a source of n-6 PUFA with pro-oxidative properties) and FO (a source of n-3
289 LCPUFA with antioxidative properties) with carnosic acid and organic Se on the tested bone
290 parameters: geometrical, biomechanical, and densitometric parameters of femur in lambs that
291 received this diet were improved compared with those of lambs that received other diets. The
292 mixture of such bioactive compounds likely acted synergistically on the metabolism of fatty
293 acids in the rumen as well as on their accumulation in the tissues of lambs, including the
294 skeleton.

295 To the best of our knowledge, no study in the literature has explored the effects of
296 simultaneous administration of carnosic acid and organic Se with a mixture of RO and FO on
297 skeletal metabolism, bone mineralisation, and bone strength in ruminants. The present study
298 demonstrated that a diet containing a mixture of RO and FO enriched with carnosic acid and
299 organic Se improved the tested parameters of lamb femur. Based on previous reports [52], we
300 believe that this effect was caused by the greater efficacy of organic Se than that of inorganic
301 Se in increasing the content of this element in tissues. Inorganic Se is retained in the body for a
302 short period, and only small amount of Se is incorporated into selenoproteins, while majority is

303 excreted through urine. Studies on rabbits [53], bulls [54], and pigs [55] have demonstrated
304 greater accumulation of organic Se in meat tissues. Other studies have also shown that Se is an
305 essential dietary nutrient, which plays vital roles in bone health through promoting bone
306 formation during bone turnover [15,25,56] and reducing the risk of bone fracture in both
307 animals [15,24] and humans [56,57]. This can be explained by the fact that Se regulates a major
308 part of the antioxidant defence mechanism by controlling glutathione metabolism through the
309 key Se-containing antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase and thioredoxin reductase
310 [13,58]. In turn, glutathione peroxidase protects the integrity of unsaturated bonds in membrane
311 phospholipids by preventing damage caused by free radicals, which can initiate lipid
312 peroxidation [58]. Therefore, these properties of Se (and other antioxidants) have been believed
313 to protect body cells from the imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants and subsequent
314 oxidative stress, which is considered to be the primary pathogenesis of skeletal disorders (e.g.
315 low bone mineral density or decreased in bone mass) that make bones more prone to fractures
316 [20,59].

317 In summary, a large part of function of maintaining the growth and health of bones is
318 attributed to the balance between osteoclast and osteoblast activities, which regulate bone
319 remodelling. Recent evidence has shown that bioactive substances (e.g. LCPUFA, carnosic
320 acid, and organic Se), including those contained in the diet, play crucial roles in maintaining
321 normal bone remodelling processes and protecting bone health. They prevent and/or relieve
322 oxidative stress, inflammation, and changes in cell membrane structure and fluidity, thereby
323 inhibiting osteocyte apoptosis and mitigating osteoclast activity to ultimately increase
324 osteoblast activity and osteogenesis. Thus, such compounds may be used as dietary supplements
325 for maintaining bone health, preventing skeletal diseases, and facilitating recovery following
326 orthopaedic procedures.

327

328 **Conclusion**

329 The present study indicated that dietary bioactive components may improve bone health
330 by promoting bone mineralisation in lambs. Partial replacement of RO with FO combined with
331 dietary supplementation of carnosic acid and organic Se improved the geometric, densitometric,
332 and biomechanical properties of lamb femur.

333

334 Table 1. Scheme of the study (diet and supplements consumption by particular group of lambs
 335 during main experiment)

Group/ diet	Preliminary (3 weeks)	Main experiment (5 weeks)					
		Diet	Supplement				
	Diet		RO (%)	FO (%)	Carnosic acid (%)	SeY (ppm)	Na ₂ SeO ₃ (ppm)
C	BD	BD	3.0	-	-	-	-
E _I	BD	BD	2.0	1.0	-	-	-
E _{II}	BD	BD	2.0	1.0	0.1		
E _{III}	BD	BD	2.0	1.0	0.1	0.35	-
E _{IV}	BD	BD	2.0	1.0	0.1	-	0.35

336 C – animals fed basal diet + 3.0% rapeseed oil; E_I - animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil
 337 + 1.0 % fish oil; E_{II}– animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil + 1.0% fish oil + 0.1% carnosic
 338 acid; E_{III} – animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil + 1.0% fish oil + 0.1% carnosic acid +
 339 0.35ppm SeY; E_{IV} - animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil + 1.0% fish oil + 0.1% carnosic
 340 acid + 0.35ppm Na₂SeO₃; SeY - selenized yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*); RO – rapeseed
 341 oil; FO – fish oil; BD – basal diet (1kg include: meadow hay – 360 g, concentrate consisting:
 342 soybean meal – 360 g, barley – 165 g, wheat starch – 90 g and mineral-vitamin mixture – 25
 343 g); Mineral - Vitamins mixture in the diet provided by POLFAMIX OK (Grodzisk Mazowiecki,
 344 Poland), supplied per kg of diet: g: Ca 285, P 16, Na 56, Fe as sulphate 1, Cu as sulphate 0.5,
 345 Mn as sulphate 5.8, Zn as sulphate 7.5; mg: Co as carbonate 42, I as iodate 10, Se as selenite 6;
 346 and IU: vit. A 500 000, vit. D3 125 000, vit. E as α -tocopherol 25 000

347

348

349

350 Table 2. Chemical composition and energy content in the ingredients of diets

Item	Meadow hay	Concentrate		
		Barley meal	Soybean meal	Wheat starch
Dry matter (DM), %	88.4	87.6	89.7	87.3
In DM, %				
crude protein	9.50	9.94	41.8	0.90
crude fibre	27.3	2.87	4.34	-
crude fat	3.40	2.50	2.25	0.09
ash	4.85	1.84	6.16	0.12
Gross energy, MJ/kg DM	17.1	16.3	17.8	16.7

351

352

353

354 Table 3. Long chain fatty acid concentration (g/kg) in the ingredients and diets fed to animals.

Fatty acids	Ingredients				Period of the study		
					Preliminary	Main experiment (diet/group)	
	Concentrate	Meadow hay	RO	FO	BD	C	E _I , E _{II} , E _{III} , E _{IV}
C18:2 n-6 (LA)	29.2	13.1	282.0	115.0	20.0	28.5	26.84
C18:3 n-3 (ALA)	1.01	4.18	38.5	21.0	2.04	3.19	3.02
C20:5 n-3 (EPA)	nd	nd	nd	6.79	nd	nd	0.07
C22:5 n-3 (DPA)	nd	nd	nd	1.56	nd	nd	0.02
C22:6 n-3 (DHA)	nd	nd	nd	26.6	nd	nd	0.27

355 C – animals fed basal diet + 3.0% rapeseed oil; E_I - animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil
356 + 1.0 % fish oil; E_{II} – animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil + 1.0% fish oil + 0.1% carnolic
357 acid; E_{III} – animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil + 1.0% fish oil + 0.1% carnolic acid +
358 0.35ppm SeY; E_{IV} - animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil + 1.0% fish oil + 0.1% carnolic
359 acid + 0.35ppm Na₂SeO₃; SeY - selenized yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*); RO – rapeseed
360 oil; FO – fish oil; BD – basal diet (1 kg include: meadow hay - 360g, concentrate consisting of:
361 soybean meal - 360g, barley - 165g, wheat starch - 90g and mineral-vitamin mixture - 25g);
362 Mineral-Vitamins mixture in the diet provided by POLFAMIX OK (Grodzisk Mazowiecki,
363 Poland), supplied per kg of diet: g: Ca 285, P 16, Na 56, Fe as sulphate 1, Cu as sulphate 0.5,
364 Mn as sulphate 5.8, Zn as sulphate 7.5; mg: Co as carbonate 42, I as iodate 10, Se as selenite 6;
365 and IU: vit. A 500 000, vit. D3 125 000, vit. E as α -tocopherol 25 000; nd – not determined

366

367

368

369 Table 4. Femur morphometric, geometric, densitometric and biomechanical properties at the
 370 end of the study in lambs

item	Group/diet					SE	P - Value
	C	E _I	E _{II}	E _{III}	E _{IV}		
Mass, g	136	137	135	135	132	4.09	0.9491
Length, cm	17.3	17.4	17.2	17.4	16.9	0.20	0.3572
CWT, mm	3.16 ^a	3.21 ^b	3.11 ^a	3.26 ^b	3.13 ^a	0.104	0.0153
CSA, mm ²	149 ^a	154 ^b	149 ^a	160 ^b	148 ^a	4.819	0.0461
CI, %	34.7 ^a	35.9 ^b	35.3 ^a	39.4 ^c	36.0 ^b	1.281	0.0315
BMC, g	34.3 ^B	35.1 ^B	35.4 ^B	37.2 ^C	33.9 ^A	0.618	0.0003
BMD, g/cm ²	0.703 ^A	0.713 ^{AB}	0.725 ^B	0.768 ^C	0.710 ^{AB}	0.009	0.0001
MES, N	182 ^A	190 ^B	188 ^B	216 ^C	183 ^A	5.101	0.0006
MS, N	245 ^A	261 ^B	257 ^B	281 ^C	247 ^A	5.509	0.0002

371 C – animals fed basal diet + 3.0% rapeseed oil; E_I - animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil
 372 + 1.0 % fish oil; E_{II} – animals : basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil + 1.0% fish oil + 0.1% carnolic
 373 acid; E_{III} – animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil + 1.0% fish oil + 0.1% carnolic acid +
 374 0.35ppm SeY; E_{IV} - animals fed basal diet + 2.0% rapeseed oil + 1.0% fish oil + 0.1% carnolic
 375 acid + 0.35ppm Na₂SeO₃; SeY - selenized yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*); CWT – cortical
 376 wall thickness; CSA – cross sectional area; CI – cortical index; BMC – bone mineral content;
 377 BMD – bone mineral density; MES – maximum elastic strength; MS – maximum strength;; SE
 378 – pooled standard error of mean; ^{A,B,C} mean values within a rows with unlike superscript letters
 379 were significantly different at P<0.01; ^{a,b,c} mean values within a rows with unlike superscript
 380 letters were significantly different at P<0.05.

381

382 **Highlights**

383 Supplementation a diet with long-chain n-3 fatty acids improves health of the bones.

384 Addition of carnosine acid to a diet containing long-chain fatty acids does not improve bone
385 health.

386 Long-chain n-3 fatty acids, carnosic acid and selenised yeast shows a synergistic effect and
387 improve bone health in the greatest degree.

388 **Declarations:**

389

390 **Ethics approval and consent to participate:** Authors declare that they have no conflict of
391 interest. This article does not contain any studies with human participants performed by any
392 of the authors.

393

394 **Consent for publication:** All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the
395 manuscript.

396

397 **Availability of data and material:** data and material available at any time upon request of
398 reviewers or potential users

399

400 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

401

402 **Author Contributions:** Conception or design of the work, P.K., G.S.; methodology, S.R.,
403 M.Cz., M.S.; software, P.K., G.S.; validation, G.S.,P.K., S.R., M.S. M.Cz.; formal analysis,
404 G.S. S.R., M.S., M. Cz.,P.K.; investigation, G.S., P.K., S.R., M.S., M.Cz.; interpretation of
405 data for the work, G.S., P.K., S.R., M.S.; drafting the work, M.S., P.K., G.S.; revising it
406 critically for important intellectual content; S.R., G.S., P.K.; resources, G.S., data curation,
407 M.S., G.S., S.R. , M.Cz., P.K.; writing of the original draft preparation, G.S., S.R., M.S., P.K.;
408 writing of review and editing, G.S., P.K., S.R., M.S.; visualization, G.S., S.R., P.K.;
409 supervision, G.S. and P.K; project administration, S.R.and G.S; funding acquisition, G.S.

410

411 **Acknowledgments**

412 According to 3R (Replacement, Reduction, Refinement) ethical principle the design of the
413 study and experimental techniques used through the analysis allowed to minimize the number
414 of animals with maintaining high statistical precision. There is no way to completely replace
415 live animals with another research model. Thus, lambs have been chosen as a model for humans
416 in the area of orthopedic, bone defects filling due to factors strictly related to bone anatomy,
417 bone turnover and remodeling, biomechanical features, absorption of minerals and vitamins.
418 Moreover, in contrast to other animal species sheep/lambs have a bone healing rate close to
419 humans.

420

421

422

423 **Funding**

424 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit
425 sectors.

426

427 **Conflict of Interest**

428 None.

429

430 **Statement**

431 The amount and source of fat and antioxidants used in animal study could reasonably be
432 expected to be achieved in the human population, e.g., in food products, among other as a
433 component in vegetable salad, as a cooking oil.

434

435 **LITERATURE**

436

- 437 1. Skiba G, Poławska E, Sobol M, et al. Omega-6 and omega-3 fatty acids metabolism pathways in the
438 body of pigs fed diets with different sources of fatty acids. *Archiv Anim Nutr.* 2015; 69, 1–16.
- 439 2. Sobol M, Skiba G, Raj S. Dietary n-3 PUFA content as a modulator of the femur properties in
440 growing pigs. *Br J Nutr.* 2019; 121, 508–518.
- 441 3. Konieczka P, Czauderna M, Smulikowska S. The enrichment of chicken meat with omega-3 fatty
442 acids by dietary fish oil or its mixture with rapeseed or flaxseed—Effect of feeding duration dietary
443 fish oil, flaxseed, and rapeseed and n-3 enriched broiler meat. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* 2017; 223,
444 42–52.
- 445 4. Demirel D, Wachira LA, Sinclair RG, et al. Effects of dietary n–3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, breed
446 and dietary vitamin E on the fatty acids of lamb muscle, liver and adipose tissue. *Br J Nutr.* 2004;
447 91, 551–565.
- 448 5. Ebrahimi M, Rajion MA, Goh Y M, et al. Effect of linseed oil dietary supplementation on fatty acid
449 composition and gene expression in adipose tissue of growing goats. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2013; 94625.
- 450 6. Kouba M, Benatmane F, Blochet JE, et al. Effect of linseed diet on lipid oxidation, fatty acid
451 composition of muscle, perirenal fat, and raw and cooked rabbit meat. *Meat Sci.* 2008; 80, 829–834.
- 452 7. Bonnet N & Ferrari SL Effects of long-term supplementation with omega-3 fatty acids on
453 longitudinal changes in bone mass and microstructure in mice. *J Nutr Biochem.* 2011; 22, 665–672.
- 454 8. Razminowicz RH, Kreuzer M, Leuenberger H, et al. Efficiency of extruded linseed for the finishing
455 of grass-fed steers to counteract a decline of omega-3 fatty acids in the beef. *Livest Sci.* 2007; 114,
456 150–163.
- 457 9. Rozbicka-Wieczorek A, Wiesyk E, Krajewska-Bienias K, et al. Supplementation effects of seleno-
458 compounds, carnosic acid, and fish oil on concentrations of fatty acids, tocopherols, cholesterol,
459 and amino acids in the livers of lambs. *Turkish J Vet Anim Sci.* 2016; 40, 681–693.
- 460 10. Lourenco M, Ramos-Morales E, Wallace RJ. The role of microbes in rumen lipolysis and
461 biohydrogenation and their manipulation. *Animal.* 2010; 4, 1008–1023.
- 462 11. Wasowska I, Maia MR, Niedźwiedzka KM, et al. Influence of fish oil on ruminal biohydrogenation
463 of C18 unsaturated fatty acids. *Br J Nutr.* 2006; 95, 1199–1211.
- 464 12. Morán L, Andrés S, Mateo J, et al. Effect of dietary carnosic acid on meat quality from suckling
465 lambs. *Small Ruminant Res.* 2014; 121, 314–319.
- 466 13. Miezeleiene A, Alencikiene G, Gruzauskas R, et al. The effect of dietary selenium supplementation
467 on meat quality of broiler chickens. *Biotechnol Agron Soc Environ.* 2011; 15, 61–69.

- 468 14. Davis CD, Tsuji PA, Milner, JA. Selenoproteins and cancer prevention. *Annu Rev Nutr.* 2012; 32,
469 73–95.
- 470 15. Gjerlaug-Enger E, Haug A, Gaarder M. Pig feeds rich in rapeseed products and organic selenium
471 increased omega-3 fatty acids and selenium in pork meat and backfat. *Food Sci Nutr.* 2015; 3, 120-
472 128.
- 473 16. Rayman MP. The use of high-selenium yeast to raise selenium status: how does it measure up? *Br*
474 *J Nutr.* 2004; 92, 557–573.
- 475 17. Kišidayová S, Mihaliková K, Siroka P, et al. Effects of inorganic and organic selenium on the fatty
476 acid composition of rumen contents of sheep and the rumen bacteria and ciliated protozoa. *Anim*
477 *Feed Sci Technol.* 2014; 193, 51–57.
- 478 18. Białek M, Czauderna M, Białek A. Partial replacement of rapeseed oil with fish oil, and dietary
479 antioxidants supplementation affects concentrations of biohydrogenation products and
480 conjugated fatty acids in rumen and selected lamb tissues. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* 2018; 241, 64–
481 74.
- 482 19. Baek KH, Oh KW, Lee WY, et al. Association of oxidative stress with postmenopausal osteoporosis
483 and the effects of hydrogen peroxide on osteoclast formation in human bone marrow cell cultures.
484 *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2010; 87, 226–235.
- 485 20. B, Michaëlsson K, Helmersson J, Byberg L, et al. Oxidative stress and bone mineral density in
486 elderly men: antioxidant activity of alpha-tocopherol. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2009; 47, 668-673.
- 487 21. Domazetovic V, Marcucci G, Iantomasi T, et al. Oxidative stress in bone remodeling: role of
488 antioxidants. *Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab.* 2017; 14, 209-216.
- 489 22. Turan B, Can B, Delilbasi E. Selenium combined with vitamin E and vitamin C restores structural
490 alterations of bones in heparin-induced osteoporosis. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2003; 22, 432–436.
- 491 23. Schultz CG, Dier J, Kuchel TR, et al. Serial DXA bone and soft tissue estimations in growing sheep.
492 *Br J Med Res.* 2015; 10, 1-9.
- 493 24. Sartoretto SC, Uzeda MJ, Miguel FB, et al. Sheep as an experimental model for biomaterial implant
494 evaluation. *Acta Ortop Bras.* 2016; 24, 262–266.
- 495 25. Wu ZX, Lei W, Hu YY, et al. Effect of ovariectomy on BMD, micro-architecture and biomechanics
496 of cortical and cancellous bones in a sheep model. *Med Eng Phys.* 2008; 30, 1112-1118.
- 497 26. 27. Zarrinkalam MR, Beard H, Schultz CG, et al. Validation of the sheep as a large animal model
498 for the study of vertebral osteoporosis. *Eur Spine J.* 2009; 18, 244-253.
- 499 27. Strzetelski JA, Brzóška F, Kowalski ZM, et al. Feeding Recommendation for Ruminants and Feed
500 Tables (in Polish). National Research Institute of Animal Production, Krakow Poland, 2014; pp. 392

- 501 28. (AOAC) Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC
502 International. 18th ed. Current Through Revision 4. 2011; Gaithersburg (MD): AOAC
- 503 29. Czauderna M, Kowalczyk J, Korniluk K et al. Improved saponification then mild base and acid-
504 catalyzed methylation is a useful method for quantifying fatty acids, with special emphasis on
505 conjugated dienes. *Acta Chromatogr.* 2007; 18, 59-71.
- 506 30. Ferretti JL, Capozza RF, Mondelo N, et al. Interrelationships between densitometric, geometric and
507 mechanical properties of rat femora: inferences concerning mechanical regulation of bone
508 modelling. *J Bone Miner Res.* 1993; 8, 1389-1396.
- 509 31. Lau BY, val Fajardo A, McMeekin L et al. Influence of high-fat diet from differential dietary sources
510 on bone mineral density, bone strength, and bone fatty acid composition in rats. *Appl Physiol Nutr*
511 *Metab.* 2010; 35, 598–606.
- 512 32. Burdge GC. Metabolism of α -linolenic acid in humans. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids.*
513 2006; 75, 161–168.
- 514 33. Watkins BA, Shen CL, McMurtry JP et al. Dietary lipids modulate bone prostaglandin E2
515 production, insulin-like growth factor-I concentration and formation rate in chicks. *J Nutr.* 1997;
516 127, 1084–1091.
- 517 34. Hofbauer LC, Heufelder AE. Role of receptor activator of nuclear factor- κ B ligand and
518 osteoprotegerin in bone cell biology. *J Mol Med.* 2001; 79, 243–253.
- 519 35. Sun D, Krishnan A, Zaman K et al. Dietary n-3 fatty acids decrease osteoclastogenesis and loss of
520 bone mass in ovariectomized mice. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2003; 18, 1206–1216.
- 521 36. Shen CL, Peterson J, Tatum OL et al. Inflammation mediators during osteoblastogenesis. *J Med*
522 *Food.* 2008; 11, 105-110.
- 523 37. Watkins BA, Li Y, Lippman HE et al. Modulatory effect of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on
524 osteoblast function and bone metabolism. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids.* 2003; 68, 387–
525 398.
- 526 38. Weiler HA, Fitzpatrick-Wong SC. Modulation of essential (n-6):(n-3) fatty acid ratios alters fatty
527 acid status but not bone mass in piglets. *J Nutr.* 2002; 132, 2667–2672.
- 528 39. Classen N, Coetzer H, Steinmann CM, et al. The effect of different n-6/n-3 essential fatty acid ratios
529 on calcium balance and bone in rats. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids.* 1995; 53, 13–19.
- 530 40. Heaney RP, Carey R, Harkness L. Roles of vitamin D, n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid, and soy
531 isoflavones in bone health. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2005; 105, 1700–1702.
- 532 41. Kontogianni VG, Tomic G, Nikolic I, et al. Phytochemical profile of *Rosmarinus officinalis* and
533 *Salvia officinalis* extracts and correlation to their antioxidant and anti-proliferative activity. *Food*
534 *Chem.* 2013; 136, 120–129.

- 535 42. Nieto G, Ros G, Castillo J. Antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of rosemary (*Rosmarinus*
536 *officinalis*, L.). *Medicines* (Basel). 2018; 5, 98-108.
- 537 43. Hagiwara H, Basnet R, Wiyasihati SI, et al. Carnosic acid inhibits the formation of osteoclasts
538 through attenuation of expression of RANKL *Pharma Nutrition*. 2015; 3, 1-6.
- 539 44. Rayman MP. Selenoproteins and human health: Insights from epidemiological data. *Biochim*
540 *Biophys Acta*. 2009; 1790, 1533–1540.
- 541 45. Seko Y, Saiti Y, Kitahara J, et al. Active oxygen generation by the reaction of selenite with reduced
542 glutathione in vitro 1989; pp. 33–70 in Wendel A, Editor. *Selenium in biology and medicine*.
543 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- 544 46. Spallholz J E. On the nature of selenium toxicity and carcinostatic activity. *Free Radic Biol Med*.
545 1994; 17, 45–64.
- 546 47. Jang YD, Choi HB, Durosoy S, et al. Comparison of bio-availability of organic selenium sources in
547 finishing pigs. *Asian Austral J Anim Sci*. 2010; 23, 931-936.
- 548 48. Lisiak D, Janiszewski P, Blicharski T, et al. Effect of selenium selenium source v. seleno-yeast and
549 mineral selenium sources on muscle selenium enrichment and selenium digestibility in broiler
550 chickens. *Br J Nutr*. 2014; 110, 617–624.
- 551 49. Juniper DT, Phipps RH, Ramos-Morales E, et al. Effect of dietary supplementation with selenium-
552 enriched yeast or sodium selenite on selenium tissue distribution and meat quality in beef cattle. *J*
553 *Anim Sci*. 2008; 86, 3100–3109.
- 554 50. Delezie, EM, Rovers A, Van der Aa, et al. Comparing responses to different selenium sources and
555 dosages in laying hens. *Poult Sci*. 2014;93, 3083–3090.
- 556 51. Ebeid T, Zeweil H, Basyony M, et al. Fortification of rabbit diets with vitamin E or selenium affects
557 growth performance, lipid peroxidation, oxidative status and immune response in growing rabbits.
558 *Livest Sci*. 2013; 155, 323–331.
- 559 52. Kanački Z, Ružić Z. The effect of different forms of selenium in diet on liver function and body
560 weight of broiler chickens. *Veterinaria*. 2014; 63, 29-36.
- 561 53. Amer SA, Omar AE, Abd El-Hack ME Effects of Selenium- and Chromium-enriched diets on
562 growth performance, lipid profile, and mineral concentration in different tissues of growing
563 rabbits. *Biol Trace Elem Res*. 2019; 187, 92-99.
- 564 54. Haug A, While SG, Berg J, et al. Feeding potentially health promoting nutrients to finishing bulls
565 changes meat composition and allow for product health claims. *Meat Sci*. 2018; 145, 461-468.
- 566 55. Jiang J, Tang X, Xue Y et al. Dietary linseed oil supplemented with organic selenium improved the
567 fatty acid nutritional profile, muscular selenium deposition, water retention, and tenderness of
568 fresh pork. *Meat Sci*. 2017; 131, 99–106.

- 569 56. Zeng H, Cao JJ, Combs G F Jr Selenium in bone health: roles in antioxidant protection and cell
570 proliferation. *Nutrients*. 2012; 5, 97–110.
- 571 57. Hoeg A, Gogakos A, Murphy E, et al. Bone turnover and bone mineral density are independently
572 related to selenium status in healthy euthyroid postmenopausal women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*.
573 2012; **97**, 4061–4070.
- 574 58. Jiang Z, Lin Y, Zhou G et al. Effects of dietary selenomethionine supplementation on growth
575 performance, meat quality and antioxidant property in yellow broilers. 2009; *57*, 9769-9772.
- 576 59. Banfi G, Iorio EL, Corsi MM Oxidative stress, free radicals and bone remodeling. *Clin. Chem Lab*
577 *Med*. 2008; **46**, 1550-1555
- 578