Control Efficiency and Mechanism of Spinetoram Seed-Pelleting Against the Striped Flea Beetle *Phyllotreta Striolata*

Tengfei Xiong

Institute of Plant Protection, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou, China.

Nanda satyabrata

MS Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Odisha, India.

Fengliang Jin

Ministry of Education, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China.

Qingsheng Lin (✉ linqs8066@126.com)

Ministry of Education, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China.

Xia Feng

Institute of Plant Protection, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou, China.

Research Article

Keywords: spinetoram, seed-pelleting, SFB, infestation

Posted Date: December 7th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1078315/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

The striped flea beetle (SFB, *Phyllotreta striolata*) is an important pest of the cruciferous crops in Asia. SFB is regarded as the most destructive pest of cruciferous crops in China due to the severe crop loss and frequent infestation incidents. As no SFB resistant cultivar is available at present, therefore, application of insecticides is the primary method of SFB control. On the contrary, the exploitation of chemical insecticides causes severe environmental issues and is not cost-effective. The use of a seed-pelletized coating of spinetoram effectively reduced SFB feedings on the flowering cabbage seedlings, whereas in combination with the insect-proof net, it controlled the SFB infestation throughout the cabbage growth period. The analysis of the pesticide residues in soil and different cabbage parts indicated the degradation dynamics of spinetoram. Furthermore, estimation of the half-life of spinetoram revealed that via seed-palletized application spinetoram half-life was found to be 2.82 days in soil, 4.21 days in the root, 5.77 days in the stem, and 3.57 days in the leaf, respectively. Both the lower pesticide residues and the half-life of spinetoram in soil and cabbage parts suggested it to be a promising environment and food-safe pesticide in controlling SFB. Moreover, the seed-pelletized coating ensured a sustainable release of spinetoram that can reduce the pesticide application frequency and be cost-effective and pocket-friendly for the farmers.

Introduction

The striped flea beetle (SFB, *Phyllotreta striolata*) is a serious pest of cruciferous crops, including cabbages, broccoli, and radish¹. In China, due to the rapid changes in the cultivation system and agricultural environment, the production of cruciferous crops has been adversely affected. On the other hand, SFB infestations on these crops are causing even more loss in their productivity. Due to the increase in SFB infestation rates and occurrences in China, it has been considered to be the most dreadful pest of the cruciferous vegetables accounting for the crop loss of more than a billion US dollars (He et al., 2012; Li et al., 2016). SFB infestations can be devastating as both the larva and adults damage the crops by feeding on the leaves and stems of the plants. The feedings of adult SFBs cause holes on the leaf surface that result in decreased photosynthesis and leaf necrosis. On the other hand, the larvae live in the soil and feed on the roots, which causes severe wilting and eventual death of the plants. As the SFBs complete their life cycles both underground and aboveground, the use of foliar sprays with insecticides and other chemicals doesn't ensure their irradiations (He et al., 2012). The larvae can pupate in the soil and turn into adults via eclosion, and then can come onto the shoots and damage the crops (He et al., 2017). Conversely, the use of insecticides is the most practice method to control the of SFBs are also caused by the exploitation of insecticide usages in agricultural practices. The SFB resistance against some prominent insecticides, including dichlorvos, fipronil, and chlorpyrifos has become even a greater problem in the prevention and control of SFB infestation. Furthermore, the use of multiple insecticides or their excessive uses can be detrimental to the environment. Therefore, an effective and environment-friendly solution should be developed to manage the SFB infestations. In regard, the use of potent biopesticides, such as spinetoram can be a potential solution for SFB pest management. Spinetoram is a

natural-sourced environment-friendly biopesticide with high insecticidal efficiency and subtle on the natural enemies of SFB (Athanassiou et al., 2014). It has already been used in the pest control of many crops and can be a promising candidate for SFB management (Xue et al., 2012; Park et al., 2013).

Seed pelleting and seed coating are two important techniques adopted in agricultural practice to achieve seed enhancements. In seed pelleting, the shape, size, and weight of a natural seed are altered by adding inert materials to it (Murphy, 2017). On the other hand, different substances, including chemicals, pesticides, fertilizers, and phytohormones are mixed with seeds in the process of seed coating to increase the seed performance. Therefore, the seed-pelletized coatings can effectively increase the seed performances and can prevent them from different environmental stresses, including pest attacks (Nadia et al., 2016; Anbarasan et al., 2016). Several studies have reported the influence of seed treatments in enhancing plant resistance against pathogens and pests. For instance, the use of fungicide seed treatments resulted in improved seed germination and broad-spectrum resistance against fungal pathogens in many field crops (Lamichhane et al., 2020). Similarly, seed treatments with imidacloprid were found to be the most effective in controlling leafhopper and thrip infestations in groundnut (Nataraja et al., 2014). Further, the use of Spinosad seed treatment alone or in combinations with other chemicals exhibited improved resistance against the onion maggots (Wilson et al., 2014). More recently, the farmer evaluations of the large-scale maize plantations that went through seed treatments with pesticides revealed that seed treatments are an effective means of controlling the fall armyworm infestations in maize (Chanda et al., 2021). In this study, we have evaluated the efficacy of the spinetoram seed-pelleting in controlling the SFB infestations in cabbage at the field level. Additionally, the lethal concentration 50 (LC₅₀) of spinetoram against SFB was estimated in the study. Further, we have analyzed the pesticide residues in the soil and in cabbage tissues to quantify the residual amounts. We have also analyzed the degradation dynamics of the residual spinetoram in cabbage tissues and estimated the half-life of spinetoram. The findings of this study will add new and valuable insights into the use of spinetoram as a biopesticide and the spinetoram-based seed treatments in SFB management.

Results

Effect of spinetoram seed treatments on SFB infestation and cabbage damage rates. The effects of spinetoram seed treatments were evaluated in both controlled and field environments. At controlled environment, the cabbage damage rates by SFB were found to be 5.08%, 0%, 20.50%, and 60.20%, respectively for group A (spinetoram applied and coated), group B (spinetoram applied, coated, and with net covering), group C (net covering with no seed treatments), and control (CK) on day 4. The damage rates were significantly higher in group C and CK as compared to group A or B ($F=87.59$, $P<0.001$). The damage rates were found to be increasing with the increase in infestation time. On days 7 and 10 the damage rates were significantly higher in the control, group B, and group C compared with group A. On day 31, damage rates were significantly lower in group A as compares with other groups, which shared no significant difference among them (Figure 1).

On the other hand, the field environment studies revealed that on day 4, there was no significant difference found in-between group A and B, and group A and C among the field population of SFB. Conversely, a significant difference in the SFB numbers was found among group C, B, and CK ($df=3$ $F=20.80$ $P<0.001$). On day 7, all groups were significantly different based on the infested SFB numbers on them. We have taken data from day 4 to day 31 on the field evaluation. Interestingly, post-day 7 (from day 10 to day 31), there was no significant differences in the infested SFB numbers were observed among the groups A, C, and CK, whereas significant differences were found among the groups B and A, C, CK (Figure 2).

Degradation dynamics of the residual spinetoram in soil and different plant parts of flowering cabbage.

The spinetoram soil residues were estimated by using the external standard method, where the standard curve equation was deduced to be $Y=1501571X$, with $R^2=0.9997$, and detection limit 0.005ppm. On days 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 19, 25, and 31, the spinetoram residue was detected to be 0.22, 0.37, 0.86, 0.88, 0.63, 0.23, 0.09, 0.04 mg/kg, respectively in the soil. These results showed that the spinetoram residues were increasing in soil for the initial days of treatment, that is, from 0.22 to 0.88 mg/kg on day 1 to day 10. Subsequently, the spinetoram residual amounts started to decline gradually up to day 19, and then the amount was declined sharply reaching 0.04 mg/kg on day 31 (Figure 3).

A similar method of the spinetoram residues estimation was employed to evaluate the insecticide residue in the different plant parts of flowering cabbage. The results revealed that spinetoram residue in the roots to be 9.13, 4.97, 0.95, 0.29, 0.09, 0.08 mg/kg on days 7, 10, 13, 19, 25, and 31, respectively. Likewise, in stem the amount of spinetoram residue was estimated to be 1.74, 0.20, 0.03, 0.0051, 0.0023, and 0.0018 mg/kg on days 7, 10, 13, 19, 25, and 31, respectively. In leaves, the spinetoram residual concentration was found to be 0.74, 0.45, 0.05, 0.0039, 0.0037, and 0.0014 mg/kg on days 7, 10, 13, 19, 25, and 31, respectively. From the results, it is clear that the highest residual spinetoram was recorded in the roots as compared to other plant parts. Furthermore, the residual amounts decrease in all parts of the flowering cabbage with the progress in the days-post treatment (Figure 4).

Estimation of LC_{50} and half-life of spinetoram. Based on the different concentrations of spinetoram solutions (30 mg/L to 480 mg/L) and the respective SFB mortality rate the LC_{50} was estimated. The LC_{50} value was found to be 170.315 ppm ($R^2=0.951$), while the toxic regression equation derived to be $Y=-3.647+1.634X$. On the other hand, the half-life of spinetoram was found to be different in soil and different cabbage parts (Table 1). The half-life of spinetoram post-pelletized seed sowings in soil was found to be 2.82 days, while in cabbage roots, stem, and leaves were 4.21, 5.77, and 3.57 days, respectively.

Table 1
The half-life of spinetoram in the soil and different parts of the flowering cabbage.

Source	First order kinetic equation	R ²	Rate constants	Half-life (in days)
Soil	$C_t = 4.0485e^{-0.1490t}$	0.998	0.149	4.65
Root	$C_t = 25.5993e^{-0.2088t}$	0.914	0.2088	3.32
Stem	$C_t = 2.7959e^{-0.2717t}$	0.844	0.2717	2.55
Leaf	$C_t = 3.0461e^{-0.2718t}$	0.886	0.2718	2.55

Discussion

Seed pelleting and coating is an important process in agriculture. Presently, several insecticides and biopesticides are used for seed coating to control the pest attacks on a variety of crops. Due to the high efficiency of pest control, lower toxicity, environment-friendly nature, seed coating treatments with biopesticides are gaining popularity over the traditional chemical pesticide use on crops. Insecticides, such as neonicotinoids, carbaryl, and fipronil have already been used for seed treatments in the effective control of agricultural pests (Vernon et al., 2008; Bonmatin et al., 2005). Further, many biopesticide seed treatments have been reported to enhance plant resistance against several pathogens and pests. For instance, treatment of *Bacillus subtilis* BY-2 in the seeds of oilseed rape enhanced the defense against the fungal pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Hu et al., 2019). Additionally, many fungal species, including *Beauveria bassiana*, *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*, *Lagenidium giganteum*, and *Entomophaga maimaiga* are reported to have insecticidal properties and are used in seed treatments of several crops (Siegwart et al., 2015). Likewise, spinosyn is a kind of biomolecule having insecticidal properties and is extracted from the gram-positive bacterial *Saccharopolyspora spinosa* after fermentation (Sparks et al., 1998). Spinetoram is the commercial form of spinosyns and can be used in seed treatments for effective pest control (Siegwart et al., 2015). The use of spinetoram in protecting flowering cabbage against SFB infestations has already been reported (Hu et al., 2017; Yin et al., 2017). In this study, we have evaluated the efficacy of spinetoram seed treatments in controlling the SFB infestations on flowering cabbage. In addition, we included a traditional pest protection system of net covering, both independently and along with spinetoram seed treatment to compare the pest control efficiency. The results revealed that the use of spinetoram seed treatment significantly reduced crop damage in the flowering cabbage plants under a controlled environment. However, under field evaluations, the crop damage by SFB was found to be significantly less in the spinetoram treated plants for the initial days (day 7), but later (day 10-31), the least damage was recorded on the crops treated with spinetoram and covered with a net (group B). A reason behind this could be the degradation of spinetoram in both soil and plant parts. Since, the growth period of flowering cabbage is 30-60 days, while spinetoram stays active only for 10-15 days, beyond that period plants are again exposed to the SFB infestation. Interestingly, among the three treatment groups in our research, the net covering with naked seeds (group C) had the worst prevention and treatment effect.

Although the use of the net can prevent the SFB population outside from migrating inside the net, eggs and larvae of SFB in the soil can still damage crops within the nets. On the other hand, the pelletized seeds coated with spinetoram can effectively prevent the SFB from damaging flowering cabbage plants during the initial stage, but its prevention and control effect against SFB will be reduced with the decline in the concentration of spinetoram with time. Thus, we recommend, use of both spinetoram seed-pelletize coating and insect-proof nets can effectively reduce the number of SFB population (including eggs and larvae) in vegetable fields and prevent the SFB population outside from settling on the plants, thereby protecting it throughout its life cycle.

Insecticides with a short half-life are considered to be environment friendly. However, insecticides with lower LC_{50} are considered toxic to humans. The LC_{50} of spinetoram was estimated to be 170.315 ppm, categorizing it to be moderately toxic. Conversely, the half-life period of spinetoram was estimated to be less than 5 days in both soil and plants parts, indicating it to be environment friendly. In addition, at the pre-harvest stage, the spinetoram content in root, stem, and leaf was found to be 0.08 mg/kg, 0.0018 mg/kg, and 0.0014 mg/kg, respectively, meeting the relevant standards of pesticide residue. Thus, the method of spinetoram seed-pelletized coating can be considered in the future to be an environment and food-safe practice in agriculture. On the other hand, the seed-pelletized coating method has better efficiency in the sustained release of insecticide as compared with the traditional thin-film seed coating. In our findings, the concentration of spinetoram in soil showed a variation trend of increasing first and then decreasing, which could have been caused by the sustained release of seed-pelletized coating materials (Zhao et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2014; Jiao et al., 2018). Thus, the use of a seed-pelletized coating of spinetoram can increase pesticide persistence, reduce pesticide application frequency, and lower the cost of application for the farmers.

In summary, our findings revealed that seed-pelletized coating of spinetoram can be a promising method of SFB control in cruciferous crops. The estimated LC_{50} and half-life values of spinetoram suggested that a small amount of spinetoram is needed for the effective control of SFB, whereas due to its rapid degradation in soil, a combination of seed treatment and use of an insect-proof net could be the best possible way to ensure the crop protection. Moreover, its short half-life period in soil and plant parts makes spinetoram an ideal eco-friendly and food-safe candidate in agricultural pest control. Finally, the sustained release of spinetoram from the pelletized and coated seeds can be cost-effective and pocket-friendly for farmer use.

Materials And Methods

Insects and plants. The *P. striolata* (SFB) insect colonies were first obtained from the vegetable fields at Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China. The insects were maintained in the laboratory at Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences (GdAAS), Guangdong Province, China without any insecticide treatments for the last 2 years. Flowering cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) was taken as the plant material for this study. Seeds of the flowering cabbage were obtained from GL seeds Ltd., China, and used for seed pelleting, treatment, and sowing in this study.

Seed pelleting and treatment. A total of 50g flowering cabbage seeds, 200g of seed-pelleting materials (developed by Institute of Plant Protection, GdASS), 8.33ml spinetoram suspension concentrate (60g/L), and the required adhesives were added into the designated positions of the pelleting machine. Once the seed-pelleting was completed, the pelleted seeds were taken out and put in a drying machine for 40 minutes. Finally, the seed-pelletized and spinetoram coated seeds with a pesticide-seed proportion of 1:100 were obtained.

Study design and field data collection. Four independent treatment groups were formed for this study: 1) spinetoram applied and coated (group A), spinetoram applied, coated, and with net covering (B), net covering with no seed treatments (C), conventional planting control (CK). The experiment was carried out with three replicates for each group. The random crossing method of sowing was adopted for all 12 experimental plots (4 groups x 3 replicates). On the 4th, 7th, 10th, 17th, 24th, and 31st day after sowing, a five-point sampling method was used for insect sampling to investigate the number of SFB adults, the number of flowering cabbages (damaged or undamaged), and the damage rate calculation (Hagstrum, 2000). The damage rates of the flowering cabbage plants were calculated as per the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{number of damaged flowering cabbage}}{\text{total number of flowering cabbage}} * 100$$

Estimation of the LC₅₀ of spinetoram to SFB. Serial dilutions of spinetoram solutions, including 480 mg/L, 240 mg/L, 120 mg/L, 60 mg/L, and 30 mg/L were made. Leaf disks from the flowering cabbage leaves were produced by cutting with a cork borer. The leaf disks were immersed in the respective prepared spinetoram solutions for 10 seconds. Then, the disks were air-dried and put inside individual Petri dishes. Ten SFBs were added to each Petri dish and allowed to feed on the treated leaf disks. Leaf disks soaked in sterile water served as the control for this experiment. The mortality rates were checked at 48-hours post SFB feedings and the data was recorded to calculate LC₅₀. The experiment was performed having three independent replicates.

Sample collection for pesticide residue analysis. For the pesticide (spinetoram) residue analysis both soil and plant samples were collected. For soil sampling, the soil around the roots of the treated cabbage plants (group A) from a depth of ≤ 5 cm were randomly collected on the 1st, 4th, 7th, 10th, 13rd, 19th, 25th, and 31st days after sowing. The collected samples were immediately stored at -20°C until further use. For plant sampling, cabbage plant parts (group A), including roots, stem, and leaf were collected randomly and immediately stored at -20°C until further use.

Pesticide residue detection . The pesticide residue detections were carried out by following the QuEChERS Method (Merck, Kenilworth, New Jersey, USA) (Lehotay, 2011). Briefly, 10 ml of acetonitrile were added into the soil samples to disperse it evenly by vortexing. Then, it was subjected to ultrasonication and subsequent centrifugation, and the supernatant was collected. The supernatant was then purified by treatment of PSA, membrane filtered (0.22µm), and then placed on the detector to estimate the pesticide residue. Similarly, to the plant samples, the corresponding amount of acetonitrile was added and soaked

overnight. The soaked samples were then homogenized, centrifuged, and the supernatants were collected. The supernatant was then purified by treatment of PSA, membrane filtered (0.22µm), and then placed on the detector to estimate the pesticide residue.

Estimation of spinetoram dynamic degradation and half-life . The dynamic degradation of spinetoram was calculated by using the following formula:

$$C_T = C_0 e^{(-KT)}$$

C_T is pesticide residue at the time of estimation; C_0 is initial deposition after pesticide application; K is the degradation coefficient; T is time after pesticide application.

According to the above formula, the half-life of spinetoram was calculated based on:

$$\text{Half-life } t_{0.5} = 18 \frac{\ln 2}{k}.$$

Statistical analysis . The statistical significance of the experimental data was analyzed on SPSS Statistics 19.0. The one-way ANOVA, Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT), Student's t-test, and linear regression were used to analyze respective data sets as per their experimental design.

Declarations

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2019YFD1002100) and Research and Development Plan in Key Areas of Guangdong Province (2019B020218009), Discipline team building projects of Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences in the 14th Five-Year Period (202105TD) and The social science reseatch projects of Zhongshan (2019B2021).

Statement

The collection of experimental research and field studies on plants related to the article "Control efficiency and mechanism of spinetoram seed-pelleting against the striped flea beetle *Phyllotreta striolata*" complies with the relevant laws of Mainland China.

References

1. Anbarasan R, Srimathi P and Vijayakumar A. Influence of seed pelleting on seed quality improvement in redgram (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *Legume Research* 39 (4): 584-589. (2016).
2. Athanassiou, Christos G., Nickolas G. Kavallieratos. Evaluation of spinetoram and spinosad for control of *Prostephanus truncatus*, *Rhyzopertha dominica*, *Sitophilus oryzae*, and *Tribolium confusum* on stored grains under laboratory tests. *Journal of Pest Science* 87(3):469-483. (2014).

3. Bonmatin JM, Giorio C, Girolami V , Goulson D, Kreutzweiser DP, Krupke C, et al. Environmental fate and exposure; neonicotinoids and fipronil. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. 2015, 22:35–67. DOI 10.1007/s11356-014-3332-7.
4. Cao WQ. Studies on degradation Dynamics and Mechanism of Butylene Fipronil in Water, Soil and Spinach. Doctoral dissertation. Beijing: Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences.(2010).
5. Chen G, Zhu Y, Zhao J, Yang T, Zhang Y, Wu YL. Residue and decline dynamics of spinetoram in paddy water, soil and rice straw. *Chinese Journal of Pesticide Science*, 16(2): 153-158.(2014).
6. Franziska B, Guillermo H, Jiménez-Alemán, Inga M, Lin MY, Hsu YC, et al. The Aggregation Pheromone of *Phyllotreta striolata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) Revisited. *J Chem Ecol.*, 42(8):748-755. (2016).
7. Franziska B, Inga M, Ramasamy S, Jiří S, Christian V, Hervé M, et al. Male *Phyllotreta striolata* (F.) produce an aggregation pheromone: identification of male-specific compounds and interaction with host plant volatiles. *J Chem Ecol.*, 37(1):85-97.(2011).
8. Hagstrum D. W. (2000). Using five sampling methods to measure insect distribution and abundance in bins storing wheat. *Journal of stored products research*, 36(3), 253–262.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-474x\(99\)00047-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-474x(99)00047-8)
9. He CY. Study on non-chemical control measures of *Phyllotreta striolata* (Fabricius). Master's degree thesis. FuZhou:Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University. (2017)
10. He HL, Bin SY, Lin JT, Liao HZ. Research progress on comprehensive control of *Phyllotreta striolata* (Fabricius) of vegetable pests. *Guangdong Agricultural Science.*, (6):133-138.(2012)
11. He HL, Bin SY, Lin JT. Research progress on biological and ecological characteristics and causes of occurrence. *Journal of Anhui Agri. Sci.*, 40 (20):10683-10686. (2012).
12. Hu XJ, Roberts DP, Xie LH, Qin L, Li YS, Liao XS, et al. Seed treatment containing *Bacillus subtilis* BY-2 in combination with other *Bacillus* isolates for control of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on oilseed rape. *Biological Control*.133: 50-57.(2019).
13. Hu ZD, Liu MJ, Li ZY, Yin F, Chen HY, Bao HL, Feng X. Protective effects and safety assessment of flowering cabbage seed-coating treatment of seven insecticides. *Journal of Environmental Entomology*. 2017, 39 (6) : 1374-1381.
14. Jiao J, Wu D, Li QM, Luo XT, Zhang XY, Pan HJ. Simultaneous Determination of Eight Pesticides Residues in Vegetable. *Anhui Agriculture Science*, 46(6):133-138.(2018).
15. Lamichhane, J. R., You, M. P., Laudinot, V., Barbetti, M. J., & Aubertot, J. N. (2020). Revisiting Sustainability of Fungicide Seed Treatments for Field Crops. *Plant disease*, 104(3), 610–623.
<https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-06-19-1157-FE>
16. Lehotay S.J. (2011) QuEChERS Sample Preparation Approach for Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Pesticide Residues in Foods. In: Zweigenbaum J. (eds) *Mass Spectrometry in Food Safety. Methods in Molecular Biology (Methods and Protocols)*, vol 747. Humana Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-136-9_4

17. Li JY, Qiu LM, Wang H, FU JW. Study on the chemical research of *Phyllotreta striolata* (Fabricius). *Fujian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.*, 22(1):15-18.(2007)
18. Li ZY, Feng X, Liu SS, You MS, Furlong M. Biology, Ecology, and Management of the Diamondback Moth in China. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 61:277–96.(2016).
19. Liang HW, Xian ZH, Long MH. Research progress on pollution-free control technology of *Phyllotreta striolata* (Fabricius). *China Vegetables*, 1(7):36-39.(2007).
20. Linde B, Veerle Ms, Gamal AA, Guy Smagghe. Lethal and sublethal side-effect assessment supports a more benign profile of spinetoram compared with spinosad in the bumblebee *Bombus terrestris*. *Pest Manag Sci* 67(5):541-7.(2011).
21. Murphy DJ (2017) Seed Treatments. In *Encyclopedia of Applied Plant Sciences*. Eds: Thomas B, Murray BG, Murphy DJ. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394807-6.00206-9>.
22. Nadia R, Nayara N, Zahida P and Saleem S. Effect of seed pelleting with biocontrol agents on growth and colonisation of roots of mungbean by root-infecting fungi. *J Sci Food Agric* 96: 3694–3700. (2016).
23. Nataraja MV, Holajjer P, Harish G, Savaliya S (2015) Efficacy of imidacloprid seed treatment for the control of leafhoppers and thrips in groundnut. *Legume Research*. Doi: 10.5958/0976-0571.2015.00044.2
24. Park KH, Choi JH, El-Aty A.M. A, Cho SK, Park JH, Bo MK, et al. Determination of spinetoram and its metabolites in amaranth and parsley using QuEChERS-based extraction and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Chem* 134(4):2552-9.(2012).
25. Paul LS, Chen MK, Mohammad P, Hank JH, Michael AG, Matthew AJ. Effects of temperature and salinity on germination of non-pelleted and pelleted guayule (*Parthenium argentatum* A. Gray) seeds. *Industrial Crops and Products* 55:90–96. (2014).
26. Qiao RX, Zhang WL, Cheng G, Hu MY. Field evaluation of 5% fipronil suspension concentrates for seed dressing against *Phyllotreta vittata* Fabricius. *Journal of changjiang vegetables*. 6:67-69. (2009)
27. Ryu CM, Kim J, Choi O, Kim SH, Park CS. Improvement of biological control capacity of *Paenibacillus polymyxa* E681 by seed pelleting on sesame. *Biological Control*. 2006; 39: 282–289.
28. Samad K, Reza F, Davoud M. Control of garlic fly, *Delia* SP. (Diptera: Anthomyiidae) autumn generation by means of seed coating pesticide. *Mun. Ent. Zool.* 4(2):493-497.(2009).
29. Scheroeder NC, Dumbleton AJ. Thiamethoxam Seed Coating on Rape seed for the Control of Cabbage Aphid *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.). *New Zealand Plant Protection*. 54:240-243.(2001).
30. Siegwart M, Graillot B, Blachere Lopez C, et al. Resistance to bio-insecticides or how to enhance their sustainability: a review. *Front Plant Sci*. 2015;6:381. Published 2015 Jun 19. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00381
31. Sparks T., Thompson G., Kirst H., Hertlein M., Larson L., Worden T., et al. (1998). Biological activity of the spinosyns, new fermentation derived insect control agents, on tobacco budworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 91, 1277–1283. 10.1093/jee/91.6.1277

32. Vernon RS, van Herk WG, Tolman JH, Ortiz SH, Clodius M, Gage B. Transitional sublethal and lethal effects of insecticides after dermal exposures to five economic species of wireworms (Coleoptera: Elateridae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 101:365–74.(2008).
33. Wilson RG, Orloff SB, Taylor AG (2015) Evaluation of insecticides and application methods to protect onions from onion maggot, *Delia antiqua*, and seedcorn maggot, *Delia platura*, damage. *Crop Protection*, 67: 102-108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.10.002>
34. Xu HC, He PJ, Wang GZ, Shao LM. Effects of activated sludge flocs and pellets seeds on aerobic granule properties. *Journal of Environmental Sciences.* 23(4): 537–544.(2011).
35. Xue L, El-Aty A. M. A, Park JY, Park JH, Cho SK, Shin HC, et al. Determination of spinetoram in leafy vegetable crops using liquid chromatography and confirmation via tandem mass spectrometry. *Biomed Chromatogr* 25(10):1099-106.(2011).
36. Yasutaka S, Naoki S. Takafumi Y, Hitoshi T. Development of the Novel Insecticide Spinetoram (DIANA®). R&D Report, “SUMITOMO KAGAKU”, vol. 2012
37. Yin F, Chen HY, Li ZY, Feng X, Zhou XM, Hu ZD, et al. Preliminary study on the effect of seven seed coating agents on Chinese flowering cabbage and *Phyllotreta striolata* (Fabricius)[J]. *Plant Protection*, 2017.
38. Zhao YY, Qin X, Qin DM, Sun Y, Sun YG, Xu YM. Residue Dynamics of XDE-175 and Its Metabolites in Cabbage and Soil. *Journal of Agro-environment Science*, 28(5):1032-1036. (2009).

Figures

Figure 1

The damage rate on the flowering cabbage plants (group A–D) under control environment. The Y-axis represents the plant damage score and the X-axis represent the number of days post-treatment. Alphabets (a–c) indicate the statistical significance at $P \leq 0.05$.

Figure 2

The damage rate on the flowering cabbage plants (group A–D) under field environment. The Y-axis represents the field population (SFB numbers) and the X-axis represents the number of days post-treatment. Alphabets (a–d) indicate the statistical significance at $P \leq 0.05$.

Figure 3

The degradation dynamics of spinetoram in the soil. The Y-axis represents the spinetoram residual amount and the X-axis represents the number of days post-treatment. Alphabets (a–d) indicate the statistical significance at $P \leq 0.05$.