

Factors Affecting Titanium Mesh Cage Subsidence in Single-level Anterior Cervical Corpectomy and Fusion for Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament

Yifan Tang Shanghai Changzheng Hospital
Fengning Li Shanghai Changzheng Hospital
Xiangwu Geng Shanghai Changzheng Hospital
Lianshun Jia Shanghai Changzheng Hospital
Shengyuan Zhou Shanghai Changzheng Hospital
Xiongsheng Chen (Schenxiongsheng@vip.sohu.com)
Shanghai Changzheng Hospital
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2402-5985

Research article

Keywords: titanium mesh cage subsidence, anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion, ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament, distraction degree, cervical curvature

Posted Date: November 22nd, 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1078721/v1

License: (a) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Abstract

Background: To analyze risk factors of titanium mesh cage (TMC) subsidence in single-level anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion (ACCF) for cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL).

Methods: Patients with cervical OPLL who were treated with single-level ACCF between January 2019 to December 2019 were retrospectively analyzed in two groups: patients with TMC subsidence as Group S, and patients with no TMC subsidence as Group N during the one-year follow-up period. The degree of distraction between decompression of the vertebral bodies and correction of the cervical curvature was measured to analyze their relationship with TMC subsidence.

Results: There was no significant difference in patient demographics and complications between the two groups. The degree of distraction in Group S was significantly higher than that in Group N. The change of C2 to C7 Cobb angle (α) in Group S was significantly greater than that in Group N, and the interspinous process distance (SPD) in Group S was also significantly greater than that in Group N. The JOA score and JOA recovery rate were not statistically different between the two groups.

Conclusions: Intraoperative selection of overlength TMC in single-level ACCF for OPLL, over-distraction, and excessive correction of the cervical curvature may cause TMC subsidence after surgery. No significant impact of TMC subsidence on the surgical outcome was observed during the 1-year follow-up period.

Background

Anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion (ACCF) by titanium mesh cage (TMC) placement is an effective procedure for the treatment of cervical spine diseases, including cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament, cervical spondylosis, cervical spine hyperextension injury, and tumors. ACCF can rebuild the stability of the cervical spine by directly decompressing the compressed spinal cord, thus providing patients with long-term improvement [1–4]. Autologous iliac bone grafting is the gold standard for reconstruction of bone defects in corpectomy. However, about 25% patients may develop donor site complications, including local pain, infection, and hematoma formation at the donor site [5–7]. The use of TMC can avoid the occurrence of donor site complications and establish early biomechanical stability [3]. However, according to the literature, there is a high risk of TMC subsidence. According to different definitions, the incidence of TMC subsidence ranges from 9–79.7% [8–11]. In this article, we retrospectively analyzed the data of 68 patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament after ACCF, TMC and titanium plate internal fixation from January 2019 to December 2019, and explored the relationship between TMC subsidence and over-distraction and excessive correction of the cervical curvature.

Materials And Methods

Medical records

This study retrospectively analyzed patients who were treated with ACCF, TMC and titanium plate internal fixation for cervical OPLL from January 2019 to December 2019 in the Spine Surgery Center of Shanghai Changzheng Hospital (Shanghai, China). The inclusion criteria were patients (1) who were diagnosed with cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament through X-ray, MRI and CT scan before surgery; (2) who received single-level ACCF, TMC and titanium plate internal fixation because of the presence of local compression on the spinal cord; and (3) who were followed up consecutively at 1 day, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months after operation. The exclusion criteria were patients with (1) simple intervertebral disc degeneration; (2) a history of trauma, infection, tumor, osteoporosis or other serious neurological diseases; (3) incomplete follow-up data; and 4) a history of substance abuse.

Surgical procedures and postoperative treatment

The patient was laid in a supine position, and a transverse incision was made on the front right side of the neck. The skin and subcutaneous tissue were cut in sequence, the subcutaneous space was freed, the platysma was cut longitudinally, and the joint fascia was cut. After retracting the trachea and esophagus to the left, the anterior fascia was cut to expose the vertebral body and the front of the intervertebral disc. The intervertebral space was propped up by using the vertebral body distraction screw. After removing the intervertebral disc, the vertebral body was sub-totally removed, and the endplates at both ends were completely retained. At the same time, the patients were treated with 500 mg methylprednisolone. The prefilled TMC of appropriate size (d=1.0cm) with the local bone harvest from corpectomy was placed into the decompressed area between the endplates and hammered tightly. After removing the distraction nail, a pre-bent titanium plate of appropriate length was fixed on the upper and lower vertebral bodies of the TMC with semi-restrictive screws. The position of the inner plant was confirmed by X-ray. After hemostasis in the incision, a drainage tube was placed and the incision was sutured layer by layer.

Cefuroxime or clindamycin was used to prevent infection on the first day after surgery. In the first three days after surgery, the patients were treated with 120 mg, 80 mg, or 40 mg methylprednisolone. Nebulized inhalation was applied to reduce airway reactions. After 24 hours, the patient was advised to get up and walk under the protection of the Philadelphia collar, and the positions of the TMC, titanium plates and screws were evaluated and confirmed by cervical X-ray radiography.

Clinical evaluation

The incidence of TMC subsidence was assessed by cervical spine X-ray films during the 1-year follow-up period at 1 day, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months after surgery. TMC subsidence is defined as the reduction of the distance between the upper and lower vertebral bodies on the lateral X-ray film of the cervical spine, the anterior height (AD) or posterior height (PD) of the adjacent vertebral bodies by \geq 2mm (Fig.1). The method of judging bone fusion is plain radiography showing the formation of a mature

trabecular bridge between the TMC and the adjacent endplates. The change of C2 to C7 Cobb angle (α) and the change of the interspinous process distance (SPD) of the fusion segments were measured on one day post-operation to assess the degree of correction of the cervical spine curvature (Fig.2). The larger the α is, or the smaller the SPD is, the greater the correction of curvature is. The relationship between excessive distraction and TMC subsidence was analyzed by calculating differences between the pre- and postoperative expansion height using the follow equation: Degree of distraction= (postoperative AD) -(preoperative AD) / (preoperative AD)×100%. Before surgery and during the follow-up period, neurological function was evaluated independently by different physicians using the Japanese Orthopedic Association (JOA) score system. The JOA recovery rate = (postoperative JOA-preoperative JOA)/(17-preoperative JOA)×100%.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS 22 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). X_2 test was used to compare the patient's gender, age, operation segment and complication rate. Differences in JOA score, JOA recovery rate, α , SPD, degree of distraction, operation time, and intraoperative blood loss between groups were analyzed by *t* test. Repeated measurement data within the groups were tested by Hottlling T2 test. P<0.05 was considered statistically different.

Results

Characteristics of the patients

Thirty-nine consecutive patients were finally included in this study, including 16 patients with TMC subsidence (Group S) and 23 patients with no TMC subsidence (Group N). The general information of the patients is shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in age, gender, operation segment, operation time, or intraoperative blood loss between the two groups (P>0.05).

The relationship between TMC length, intraoperative overdistraction, or cervical curvature overcorrection and TMC subsidence

The results showed that the mean length of TMC in Group S was longer than that in Group N (31.5 ± 4.6 mm *vs.* 27.5 ± 4.0 mm, P=0.006). The degree of distraction in Group S was significantly higher than that in Group N ($11.4\%\pm7.8\%$ *vs.* $5.7\%\pm9.9\%$, P=0.03), indicating that excessive distraction during the operation or too long TMC may cause postoperative TMC subsidence. The a in Group S was significantly greater than that in Group N (P=0.003), and SPD in Group S was significantly greater than that in Group N (P=0.003), and SPD in Group S was significantly greater than that in Group N (P=0.013) (Table 2), indicating that overcorrection of the cervical spine curvature could also lead to TMC subsidence.

The relationship between TMC subsidence and surgical efficacy

In Group S, the mean JOA score at 1 day after operation was 13.0 ± 1.7 , and the JOA recovery rate was $28.7\%\pm21.2\%$; the mean JOA score at final follow-up was 14.1 ± 1.5 , and the JOA recovery rate was $45.7\%\pm25.9\%$. In Group N, the mean JOA score was 13.9 ± 1.5 on the first postoperative day, and the JOA recovery rate was $34.7\%\pm26.2\%$; the mean JOA score at the last follow-up was 14.4 ± 1.6 , and the JOA recovery rate was $47.0\%\pm26.2\%$. The JOA score, JOA recovery rate at 1 day after operation, and the JOA score and JOA recovery rate at the final follow-up were significantly improved in both groups (P<0.05). However, the JOA score and JOA recovery rate were not statistically different between the two groups on the first day after operation and final follow-up (P>0.05) (Table 3).

The relationship between TMC subsidence and surgical complications

Cerebrospinal fluid leakage (CSFL) occurred in 2 cases in Group S. In Group N, CSFL occurred in one case and C5 nerve palsy occurred in another case (Table 1). All these postoperative complications in the above 4 cases were cured after non-surgical treatment and rehabilitation exercises. There was no statistical difference in the incidence of complications between the two groups (P>0.05).

Discussion

Surgical treatment strategies for OPLL include anterior, posterior and anteroposterior approaches [12– 14]. The advantage of anterior surgery lies in that it can remove the ossified mass and achieve direct decompression. After anterior decompression, the bone graft or internal plants, including the titanium plates, screws, cage and TMC are usually needed to reconstruct the anatomical integrity and stability of spine and achieve bone fusion [15, 16]. ACCF is a commonly used anterior procedure for the treatment of OPLL, especially for decompression of the compression behind the vertebral body, which cannot be achieved by ACDF [17–19]. Since the advent of TMC in 1986, it has been widely used to support the anterior spine and restore the natural alignment of the cervical spine [20]. At present, there are multiple TMC designs for clinical use, including application of the endcaps at both ends of the TMC to increase the contact area between the TMC and the adjacent endplates, thereby preventing TMC subsidence [21-23]. However, the incidence of TMC subsidence still fluctuates between 9–79.7% despite various designs to optimize TMC [8–11]. The result of our study showed that the incidence of TMC subsidence incidence was 40.3% in single-level ACCF for OPLL during the 1-year follow-up period. Since patients with osteoporosis were excluded in our study, this may exclude most cases of TMC subsidence. At the same time, we defined TMC subsidence as the reduction of AD or PD of the adjacent vertebral bodies ≥ 2 mm, while some researchers also included TMC subsidence 1-3mm in their studies [9].

To the best of our knowledge, no study has confirmed whether there is an association between the TMC length and TMC subsidence. Our results showed that the TMC length in Group S was significantly greater than that in Group N, and the distraction degree in Group S was also significantly greater than that in Group N, suggesting that an excessive length of TMC and excessive distraction of the decompression segments may cause TMC subsidence more easily after surgery. In addition, our research also showed that both a and SPD in Group S were significantly greater than those in Group N, indicating that intraoperative curvature was over-corrected. The greater the degree of cervical lordosis after surgery may also be a factor contributing to the occurrence of TMC subsidence. Studies have shown that with the distraction height increasing, the load between the TMC and the vertebral body may exceed the bearing capacity of the vertebral body, resulting in TMC subsidence [24]. However, the insufficient distraction height is difficult to restore the normal cervical curvature and intervertebral height. At present, there is no specific standard for the distraction height reported in the literature.

Our results showed that the JOA score and JOA recovery rate after ACCF for OPLL were significantly increased, indicating that ACCF is an effective surgical treatment for OPLL, which is consistent with existing reports in the literature [25, 26]. However, we found no significant difference in JOA score and JOA recovery rate between Group S and N during the 1-year follow-up period, indicating that there is no significant correlation between TMC subsidence and surgical efficacy. It has been reported in the literature that cage subsidence after anterior cervical surgery can lead to new neck pain and radiculopathy, and imaging examination confirmed that the intervertebral height decreased after cage subsidence [27]. Anatomically, TMC subsidence could also cause the loss of intervertebral height, resulting in a reduction in the volume of the intervertebral foramen, and nerve root compression may cause new postoperative radiculopathy. However, no similar cases were observed in our series. Only 2 cases of CSFL occurred in Group S, which is a common complication after anterior cervical surgery for OPLL [28]. Also, we found no significant correlation between the occurrence of CSFL and TMC subsidence.

In addition, we chose non-restrictive screws during the operation to increase the fusion rate and avoid the occurrence of broken nails. However, small displacement of the semi-restrictive screws may also cause TMC subsidence, though no related information has been reported in the literature, suggesting that design of a new screw type may be required to solve this problem.

This study has some limitations. First, this is a retrospective study that only included patients treated in our department. In addition, we only selected cases treated by the same surgeon to control the impact of individual differences on the surgical outcome. Finally, we only followed up the patients for a year after surgery.

Conclusion

Intraoperative selection of overlength TMC in single-level ACCF for OPLL, over-distraction, and excessive correction of cervical spine curvature may cause TMC subsidence after surgery. The surgical outcome

was not affected by TMC subsidence during a 1-year follow-up period in our series.

Abbreviations

TMCIItitanium mesh cage

ACCF[®]anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion

OPLLICervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament

SPD[®]interspinous process distance

AD[®]anterior height

PD[®]posterior height

JOAIJapanese Orthopedic Association

CSFL[®]Cerebrospinal fluid leakage

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shanghai Changzheng Hospital. Informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

Consent for publication

All patients give informed consent.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Y.F. T., F.N.L and X.W.G. contributed equally to this work. X.S.C., S.Y.Z and Y.F.T designed the study. X.W.G. collected and analyzed the data. Y.F.T and F.N.L. were major contributors in writing the manuscript. X.S.C. and L.S.J. supervised the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

- 1. Eck KR, Bridwell KH, Ungacta FF, Lapp MA, Lenke LG, Riew KD. Analysis of titanium mesh cages in adults with minimum two-year follow-up. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2000;25(18):2407–15.
- 2. Chuang H-C, Cho D-Y, Chang C-S, Lee W-Y, Jung-Chung C, Lee H-C, et al. Efficacy and safety of the use of titanium mesh cages and anterior cervical plates for interbody fusion after anterior cervical corpectomy. Surgical neurology. 2006;65(5).
- 3. Kanayama M, Hashimoto T, Shigenobu K, Oha F, Ishida T, Yamane S. Pitfalls of anterior cervical fusion using titanium mesh and local autograft. J Spinal Disord Tech. 2003;16(6):513–8.
- 4. Wen Z, Lu T, Wang Y, Liang H, Gao Z, He X. Anterior Cervical Corpectomy and Fusion and Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion Using Titanium Mesh Cages for Treatment of Degenerative Cervical Pathologies: A Literature Review. Medical science monitor: international medical journal of experimental and clinical research. 2018;24:6398–404.
- 5. Sevki K, Mehmet T, Ufuk T, Azmi H, Mercan S, Erkal B. Results of surgical treatment for degenerative cervical myelopathy: anterior cervical corpectomy and stabilization. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2004;29(22):2493–500.
- 6. Schnee CL, Freese A, Weil RJ, Marcotte PJ. Analysis of harvest morbidity and radiographic outcome using autograft for anterior cervical fusion. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1997;22(19):2222–7.
- Sawin PD, Traynelis VC, Menezes AH. A comparative analysis of fusion rates and donor-site morbidity for autogeneic rib and iliac crest bone grafts in posterior cervical fusions. J Neurosurg. 1998;88(2):255–65.
- 8. van Jonbergen H-PW, Spruit M, Anderson PG, Pavlov PW. Anterior cervical interbody fusion with a titanium box cage: early radiological assessment of fusion and subsidence. The spine journal: official journal of the North American Spine Society. 2005;5(6).
- 9. Chen Y, Chen D, Guo Y, Wang X, Lu X, He Z, et al. Subsidence of titanium mesh cage: a study based on 300 cases. J Spinal Disord Tech. 2008;21(7):489–92.
- 10. Lau D, Song Y, Guan Z, La Marca F, Park P. Radiological outcomes of static vs expandable titanium cages after corpectomy: a retrospective cohort analysis of subsidence. Neurosurgery. 2013;72(4).

- Hu B, Wang L, Song Y, Hu Y, Lyu Q, Liu L, et al. A comparison of long-term outcomes of nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide-66 cage and titanium mesh cage in anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion: A clinical follow-up study of least 8 years. Clinical neurology and neurosurgery. 2019;176:25– 9.
- 12. Boody BS, Lendner M, Vaccaro AR. Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament in the cervical spine: a review. International orthopaedics. 2019;43(4):797–805.
- 13. Wu J-C, Chen Y-C, Huang W-C. Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament in Cervical Spine: Prevalence, Management, and Prognosis. Neurospine. 2018;15(1):33–41.
- 14. Singhatanadgige W, Limthongkul W, Valone F, Yingsakmongkol W, Riew KD. Outcomes following Laminoplasty or Laminectomy and Fusion in Patients with Myelopathy Caused by Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament: A Systematic Review. Global spine journal. 2016;6(7):702–9.
- 15. Kwok SSS, Cheung JPY. Surgical decision-making for ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament versus other types of degenerative cervical myelopathy: anterior versus posterior approaches. BMC musculoskeletal disorders. 2020;21(1):823.
- 16. Zhang L, Chen J, Cao C, Zhang Y-Z, Shi L-F, Zhai J-S, et al. Anterior versus posterior approach for the therapy of multilevel cervical spondylotic myelopathy: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Archives of orthopaedic and trauma surgery. 2019;139(6):735–42.
- 17. Dorai Z, Morgan H, Coimbra C. Titanium cage reconstruction after cervical corpectomy. J Neurosurg. 2003;99(1 Suppl):3–7.
- 18. Chen Z, Liu B, Dong J, Feng F, Chen R, Xie P, et al. Comparison of anterior corpectomy and fusion versus laminoplasty for the treatment of cervical ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament: a meta-analysis. Neurosurgical focus. 2016;40(6):E8.
- 19. Andaluz N, Zuccarello M, Kuntz C. Long-term follow-up of cervical radiographic sagittal spinal alignment after 1- and 2-level cervical corpectomy for the treatment of spondylosis of the subaxial cervical spine causing radiculomyelopathy or myelopathy: a retrospective study. Journal of neurosurgery Spine. 2012;16(1):2–7.
- 20. Riew KD, Rhee JM. The use of titanium mesh cages in the cervical spine. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2002(394):47–54.
- 21. Liu X, Chen Y, Yang H, Li T, Xu H, Xu B, et al. The application of a new type of titanium mesh cage in hybrid anterior decompression and fusion technique for the treatment of continuously three-level cervical spondylotic myelopathy. European spine journal: official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society. 2017;26(1):122–30.
- 22. Hasegawa K, Abe M, Washio T, Hara T. An experimental study on the interface strength between titanium mesh cage and vertebra in reference to vertebral bone mineral density. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2001;26(8):957–63.
- 23. Wu J, Luo D, Ye X, Luo X, Yan L, Qian H. Anatomy-related risk factors for the subsidence of titanium mesh cage in cervical reconstruction after one-level corpectomy. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(5):7405–

11.

- 24. Truumees E, Demetropoulos CK, Yang KH, Herkowitz HN. Effects of disc height and distractive forces on graft compression in an anterior cervical corpectomy model. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2008;33(13):1438–41.
- 25. Wang S, Xiang Y, Wang X, Li H, Hou Y, Zhao H, et al. Anterior corpectomy comparing to posterior decompression surgery for the treatment of multi-level ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament: A meta-analysis. International journal of surgery (London, England). 2017;40:91–6.
- 26. Liu X, Min S, Zhang H, Zhou Z, Wang H, Jin A. Anterior corpectomy versus posterior laminoplasty for multilevel cervical myelopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European spine journal: official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society. 2014;23(2):362–72.
- 27. Gercek E, Arlet V, Delisle J, Marchesi D. Subsidence of stand-alone cervical cages in anterior interbody fusion: warning. European spine journal: official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society. 2003;12(5):513–6.
- Mazur M, Jost GF, Schmidt MH, Bisson EF. Management of cerebrospinal fluid leaks after anterior decompression for ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: a review of the literature. Neurosurgical focus. 2011;30(3):E13.

Tables

Table 1

Characteristics of the patients

	Group S	Group N	P value	
Number of patients	16	23		
Age (yr)	58.3±7.4	57.4±7.7	0.73	
Sex				
Male	10	18	0.31	
Female	6	5		
Level of surgery				
C4	4	12	0.23	
C5	8	7		
C6	4	4		
Operation time (min)	78.4±11.1	76.6±12.3	0.64	
Intra-operative blood loss (ml)	149.2±31.6	139.5±31.0	0.35	
Number of CSFL cases	2 (12.5%)	1 (4.3%)	0.36	
Number of C5 palsy cases	0	1 (4.3%)	0.59	
Group S: TMC subsidence group				
Group N: TMC non-subsidence group				
CSFL: cerebrospinal fluid leakage				

Table 2

Possible reasons for TMC subsidence

	Group S	Group N	P value		
L (mm)	31.5±4.6	27.5±4.0	⊠0.05		
Degree of distraction (%)	11.4±7.8	5.7±9.9	0.03		
α (°)	5.7±2.8	1.4±4.7	⊠0.05		
SPD (mm)	7.0±4.4	4.1±2.8	⊠0.05		
Group S: TMC subsidence group					
Group N: TMC non-subsidence group					
L: TMC length					
SPD: spinous process distance					

Table 3

JOA score and JOA recovery rate during the 1-year follow-up period

	Group S	Group N	P value	
JOA score				
Pre-operative	11.5±1.5	12.1±1.8	0.30	
1-day post-operative	13.0±1.7	13.9±1.5	0.08	
Final follow-up	14.1±1.5	14.4±1.6	0.56	
JOA recovery rate (%)				
1-day post-operative	28.7±21.2	34.7±26.2	0.45	
Final follow-up	45.7±25.9	47.0±26.2	0.88	
Group S: TMC subsidence group				
Group N: TMC non-subsidence group				
JOA: Japanese Orthopedics Association				

Figures

Figure1



Figure 1

Measurement of TMC subsidence. AD: anterior distance. PD: posterior distance.

Figure2



Figure 2

Correction of the cervical curvature. The degree of recovery of cervical lordosis: $\alpha = \alpha 2 - \alpha 1$. The change of spinous process distance: SPD=SPD1-SPD2.