Incidence of Oncogenic HPV and HPV-Related Dysplasia Five Years After a Negative HPV Test by Self-Sampling in Elderly Women

Ruth Hermansson (✉ ruth.hermansson@kbh.uu.se)

Uppsala Universitet <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6549-9311>

Matts Olovsson

Uppsala University: Uppsala Universitet

Inger Gustavsson

Uppsala University: Uppsala Universitet

Ulf Gyllensten

Uppsala University: Uppsala Universitet

Olga Lindkvist

Uppsala University: Uppsala Universitet

Julia Hedlund Lindberg

Uppsala University: Uppsala Universitet

Gabriella Lillsunde-Larsson

Orebro University: Orebro Universitet

Annika K Lindström

Uppsala University: Uppsala Universitet

Research Article

Keywords: cervix, HPV, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, elderly, self-sampling.

Posted Date: December 8th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1079060/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

PURPOSE: Cervical cancer prevention for older women can be challenging since there are no specific guidelines for this group. This study aimed to determine the incidence of oncogenic HPV and HPV-related dysplasia in elderly women 5 years after being HPV negative.

METHODS: Invited women participated five years earlier in a study where self-sampling for HPV testing was applied, at this time, they were all HPV negative. The women were now invited to perform self-sampling for HPV testing. Women with a positive result performed a repeat HPV test. Those with a positive repeat HPV test were examined by colposcopy, biopsy and cytology.

RESULTS: Of the 804 invited women, 634 (76.9%) agreed to participate in the study and a self-sampling kit was sent to them. Of these, 99.6% (632/634) sent a sample to the HPV laboratory. The participation rate in each age group was 93.3% at age 65, 74.0% at age 70, 80.7% at age 75 and 64.6 % at age 80. Overall 18 women (2.8%, 95% CI 3.2 to 6.0) were HPV positive in the first test and 8 (1.3%, 95% CI 0.6 to 2.6) in the second test. Sampling for the second test was done on average 5.4 months after the first test. Fifty per cent (4/8) of the women with a positive repeat test had dysplasia in histology.

CONCLUSION: The incidence of HPV in previously HPV-negative elderly women was low. Among women who were HPV positive in a repeat test, there was a high prevalence of low grade dysplasia.

Introduction

Cervical cancer remains a major health problem worldwide with an estimated 530,000 new cases and 273,000 deaths annually (1, 2). In Sweden, the incidence and mortality rates have substantially declined due to organized screening programs (3). However, around 550 Swedish women are diagnosed with cervical cancer each year and approximately 200 women per year die from this malignancy. About 25% of cases occur in women 65 years of age and older (4, 5). Cervical cancer in women above the age of 65 is usually discovered at advanced stages and the prognosis is poor (6). A lower FIGO stage at diagnosis is strongly associated with cure, since the cancer is highly treatable, and also with long term survival and good quality of life (7).

The primary cause of invasive cervical cancer and precancerous cervical lesions is a persistent infection with oncogenic types of human papillomavirus (HPV). There are two main ways to prevent cervical cancer. Primary prevention with prophylactic (HPV) vaccines has been included in the Swedish vaccination program since 2012. Secondary prevention is based on screening for HPV DNA or RNA and HPV-associated cytological abnormalities (8, 9).

The Swedish cervical screening program entails a 3-year interval between negative screens for women aged 23–50, and 7 years for women aged 51–64. Primary screening for oncogenic HPV has recently been recommended for women aged 30–64, while cytology is the primary screening test for women aged 23–29 (10).

The incidence of cervical cancer is higher among women who have not been invited to screening, or have not accepted the invitation to be screened, with significant morbidity and mortality in the unscreened population (11, 12).

Screening for oncogenic HPV infection is found to be more effective than cytology in reducing the incidence of cervical cancer (9, 17). Self-collection for HPV testing is one recommended approach for increasing access to cervical cancer screening (13). Self-collection of cervicovaginal samples at home with a return by mail for HPV testing, is a promising approach that may alleviate clinic-based cervical cancer screening barriers, such as transportation limitations or discomfort with pelvic examinations (14, 15). Repeated self-sampling for an HPV test is a cost-effective strategy within the framework of an organized screening program (16–18).

Cervical cancer prevention in older women can be especially challenging since there are no specific guidelines. The age-specific risks of cervical pre-cancer and cancer among older women with prevalent HPV infection, is not known. It has been suggested that as women leave the screening program, they should be tested for HPV as an exit test, and that surveillance should be continued for women who are HPV positive, but evidence to support this strategy is limited (19, 20). Besides, it is not known whether changes in hormonal and immunological factors with age can lead to a reactivation of a latent HPV infection, or reduced likelihood for clearance of a new infection (21–23). The appropriate age for discontinuation of screening is still uncertain and subject to discussion. Studies are also needed to better understand the significance of a new HPV infection among elderly women.

The aim of this study was to determine the incidence of oncogenic HPV and HPV-related dysplasia five years after a negative HPV test among elderly women.

Methods

This prospective population-based longitudinal descriptive study was conducted in Dalarna County, Sweden, between August 2019 and May 2020. The study was approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority Dnr: 2019-02490 and 2020-01576.

The study population comprised 804 women who five years earlier were randomly recruited and participated in a study on self-sampling for HPV testing, and at that time (2014-15) were HPV negative (14). The women were now invited to perform a follow-up approximately five years later by self-sampling for HPV testing with the primary aims to determine the incidence of oncogenic HPV and HPV-related dysplasia. Written informed consent was obtained from women who agreed to participate in the study, and self-sampling kits were sent by regular mail. In brief, self-sampling was performed at home (Fig. 1) and the sample was returned, in a prepaid envelope, to the laboratory for analysis of high-risk HPV, as previously described (24). Women with a positive HPV test were sent a new self-sampling kit four months after the previous test was done. The data was analyzed using both a per-protocol approach (PP), including only women who complied with the protocol, and with an intention-to-treat approach (ITT) by including women who had an earlier repeat second self-sampling and clinical follow-up on their initiative.

The HPV test was done using a multiplex real-time PCR assay (HPVIR™), as earlier described, which detects the oncogenic HPV types 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, and 59 (18 and 45 are detected together, and 33, 52 and 58 as one group). The sample was collected using the Rovers Viba-brush (Rover Medical Devices B.V., Oss, card GE Healthcare, Cardiff, UK art. no WB129308), and the DNA was extracted from the FTA cards, as described earlier. The threshold for a positive HPV-type was set at ten copies. The HPV typing and distribution of self-sampling kits was undertaken by the HPV laboratory, Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

Women who were repeatedly positive in the second HPV test were offered examination by colposcopy, sampling for histology, and liquid-based cytology (LBC). Three of the authors (RSH, AL and OL) performed the colposcopies, cervical biopsies, and curettage for histological diagnosis.

Cytotechnicians screened all LBC specimens and those considered abnormal were reviewed by a surgical pathologist. For cervical cytology, the Thin Prep Pap Test was used. The cervical smear was collected with a plastic spatula and a cytobrush. LBC specimens were placed in PreserveCyt solution and processed in the Thin Prep 5000-processor (HologicCytac Corporation, Boxborough, Mass.). For the classification of cytology and histology, the Bethesda system was used. Specialists in surgical pathology examined the cervical biopsy samples for histologic diagnosis. All cytology and histology specimens were examined at the Department of Pathology and Cytology, Falun County Hospital, Falun, Sweden.

For statistical analysis, Excel and the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24 for Windows were used. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. For statistical significance testing of HPV incidence between age groups, the chi-squared test in SPSS was used. Confidence intervals (CI) of proportions (Fleiss) were calculated using Excel (25).

Results

The number of women included and excluded at each stage of the study, and the number of end diagnoses for cytology and histology, are presented in Fig. 2. Of the 804 invited women, 634 agreed to participate in the study and received a self-sampling kit. Of the 634 participant women, 99.6% (632/634) sent a sample to the HPV laboratory. The participation rate in each age group was 93.3% at age 65, 74.0% at age 70, 80.7% at age 75 and 64.6% at age 80. Four of the women delivered insufficient samples for the HPV assay and those women received a new self-sampling kit for resampling. Samples from all 632 participants were finally analyzed. Overall 18 women (2.8%, 95% CI 3.2 to 6.0) were HPV positive in the first test and 8 (1.3%, 95% CI 0.6 to 2.6) in the second test, collected on average 5.4 months after the first test (Table 1, Fig. 2).

According to the study protocol, sixteen women performed a second self-sample for the HPV test and were included in the per-protocol approach (PP). Seven of these women had a positive second HPV test and they were examined with colposcopy, sampling for histology and liquid-based cytology (LBC). Since none of the women had a fully visible transformation zone, sampling was performed by cervical abrasion

and random biopsies. Results from LBC revealed 3 benign, 3 ASCUS and one CIN1 while colposcopy with cervical abrasion and random biopsies identified 3 benign and 4 LSIL. No one had signs of vaginal dysplasia at the time of colposcopy. Two women performed a second self-sampling after 1.2 and 1.6 months respectively, not according to the study protocol. They were included in the ITT group. One of them had a positive second HPV test. Both had a non-visible transformation zone at colposcopy and both cytology and histology were benign. No glandular atypia was diagnosed. Women who were HPV negative in the second HPV test, were scheduled for a follow up HPV test one year later after the second one. There was no significant difference in HPV incidence between the different age groups (Table 1). HPV 51 was the most prevalent type (Table 2).

Table 1
HPV incidence for the different age groups.

Current age, years	HPV negative five years ago	Self-sampling	HPV test 1 positive	HPV test 2 positive
	n	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
65	210	196 (93.3)	7 (3.6)	3 (1.5)
70	219	162 (74.0)	3 (1.9)	2 (1.2)
75	197	159 (80.7)	4 (2.5)	1 (0.6)
80	178	115 (64.6)	4 (3.5)	2 (1.7)
Total	804	632 (78.6)	18 (2.8)	8 (1.3)
In total 804 women were eligible for and invited to this study and 632 women did send a sample. Of them 18 had a positive first HPV test and the second test ended up with 8 that were still HPV positive.				

Table 2

Data on HPV type and results for cytology and histology on samples from elderly women who were HPV positive (n = 18).

Current age	HPV test 1	HPV test 2	Cytology	Histology	PP/ITT
70	31	31	Benign	Benign	PP
65	51	51	Benign	Benign	ITT
70	51	51	LSIL	LSIL	PP
75	51	51	Benign	LSIL	PP
80	56	56	Benign	Benign	PP
80	18/45	18/45	ASCUS	Benign	PP
65	33/52/58	33/52/58	ASCUS	LSIL	PP
65	33/52/58	33/52/58	ASCUS	LSIL	PP
65	18/45	negative			PP
65	51	negative			PP
65	51	negative			PP
65	33/52/58	negative			PP
70	18/45	negative			PP
75	18/45	negative			ITT
75	39	negative			PP
75	31	negative			PP
80	16	negative			PP
80	33/52/58	negative			PP
LSIL: low grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; ASCUS: atypical cells of undetermined significance PP: per protocol approach; ITT: intention to treat approach.					

Discussion

The significance of the detection of an HPV infection among earlier HPV-negative older women is not well studied. One previous study reports on the incidence of HPV and HPV-related dysplasia in women who were HPV negative some years earlier and older than 60 years. It was concluded that older women becoming HPV positive were at high risk of dysplasia (26). Ageing itself is also a risk factor for cancer disease and most chronic diseases (27). Still, the impact of age on the risk of developing severe dysplasia or cervical cancer among elderly women with a newly detected HPV infection, is unknown. An important finding in the current study is that there was no HSIL or cervical cancer among women who

had a negative HPV test five years earlier. This is in agreement with what is known from younger women, that severe dysplasia and cervical cancer among HPV-negative women is quite rare (28), and that the time from being infected with high-risk HPV until the presence of HSIL and cervical cancer, is more than five years in most cases (29).

Studies on the HPV incidence in elderly women are few, but several earlier studies have shown that the HPV prevalence is highest around age 25 and then decreases with age (20, 30, 31). If one compares different age groups of women 50 years and older, when most women are postmenopausal, the prevalence seems to be rather similar (20, 30). In our previous studies on elderly women, we have not been able to show any differences in HPV prevalence between age groups (14, 31). In this study there also seem to be no differences in HPV incidence between the age groups (Table 1). The possibility to draw firm conclusions on this is however limited since the numbers of cases are small.

There are controversies over when to stop screening for cervical cancer, and regarding the significance of a negative exit test (32). Screening practices for postmenopausal women are challenging since both cervical cytology and colposcopies are less accurate among these women. Even if HSIL or cervical cancer were not found in this study it is impossible to ensure that neither were present, since the transformation zone was not visible during the colposcopy, and biopsies were randomly collected. A recent study showed that a persistent HPV infection needs to be monitored despite benign cytology and colposcopy, since 6/19 (32%) women with a persistent and ongoing HPV infection were revealed to have an undiagnosed CIN2+ when LEEP was performed (33). The PPV is an indicator of the significance of having a persistent HPV infection for the risk to have dysplasia. In this case the PPV is probably underestimated since conization probably would have revealed more cases with dysplasia, as has been shown in other studies (Aarnio et al).

In this study, the incidence of HPV five years after a previous negative HPV test was lower than in the general population of elderly women (31, 34), but fifty percent of the women with a positive repeat test had dysplasia in histology. We were unable to determine whether these newly detected infections resulted from a new sexually-acquired HPV infection, a reactivated latent infection acquired many years earlier, or whether the previous test was negative due to a viral load under the threshold for a positive test, i.e. less than ten copies. Thus, the HPV infections detected at the 5-year follow-up may constitute a combination of newly acquired and persistent infections. In the current study, 56% had cleared their infection after 5 months, which is a larger proportion than that which has been seen in other studies on both premenopausal (35) and elderly women (14, 36). The reason for this is not known, but it might be due to a low viral load below cut off in the HPV test (31).

The most frequent HPV type in both the first and the second test was HPV 51 which is in line with other studies (37). This outcome supports the need to also continue screening vaccinated women since the available HPV vaccine at that time did not include HPV 51.

It is not known whether a woman's age influences her risk for HSIL or cervical cancer in the context of HPV positivity. The significance of a persistent HPV infection with or without LSIL is not well studied in

the older age group. It is evident that young women's data are not necessarily applicable to postmenopausal women and especially not elderly women. Mechanisms involved might include changes in local immune defense, blood perfusion, tissue atrophy, and vaginal microbiota (21, 27, 38).

There are some potential limitations with the study, and they include the participants coming from one single region of Sweden which might reduce the generalizability of the obtained results. Another weakness is that there was no data on sexual partners during the last 5 years which could have explained some of the cases with HPV positivity. Finally, the histological data were obtained by, in many cases, randomly collected cervical biopsies, which means that there might have been HSIL or cervical cancer in the invisible part of the cervix, in analogy with what has been seen in the study by Aarnio et al (33). In these cases, it might have been advisable to perform diagnostic conization. The strengths of the study were a relatively high participation rate, that the vast majority followed the study protocol, and that the follow-up with a gynecologic examination including cytology and colposcopy with biopsy was performed on all women with a positive second HPV test.

Conclusion

The incidence of HPV positivity in previously HPV-negative elderly women was low but low grade dysplasia was common in those with repeat positive tests. Additional research is needed to determine whether elderly women with one negative HPV test are sufficiently protected against cervical cancer.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority Dnr: 2019-02490 and 2020-01576. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants including consent for publication.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants including consent for publication.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available on reasonable request. Authors may be contacted at Uppsala University. Department of Women's and Children's Health. Dag Hammarskjölds Väg 14B, 75237 Uppsala Sweden. kbh@kbh.uu.se

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise.

Funding

This work was supported by **the Regional Research Council Uppsala -Orebro, grant number RFR-931226 and Lions Research fund Uppsala grant number 56131**. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

Ruth S Hermansson: conceptualization, methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing- original draft, reviewing and editing, funding acquisition.

Matts Olovsson: conceptualization, methodology, supervision, writing- reviewing and editing.

Inger Gustavsson: Software, investigation, writing- reviewing and editing.

Ulf Gyllensten: Conceptualization, resources, writing- reviewing and editing.

Olga Lindkvist: Investigation, resources, writing- reviewing and editing.

Julia Hedlund Lindberg: Software, Investigation, writing- reviewing and editing

Gabriella Lillsunde-Larsson: Validation, supervision, writing- reviewing and editing

Annika K Lindstrom: Conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, visualization, writing- reviewing and editing supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

1. Cancer IAFRo. Cancer incidence in five continents Volumen X. http://ci5iarcfr/C15-X/pages/age-specific-curves_sel.aspx (accessed 15 september 2019).
2. Torre LA, Siegel RL, Ward EM, Jemal A. Global Cancer Incidence and Mortality Rates and Trends–An Update. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2016;25(1):16–27.
3. Andrae B, Kemetli L, Sparen P, Silfverdal L, Strander B, Ryd W, et al. Screening-preventable cervical cancer risks: evidence from a nationwide audit in Sweden. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2008;100(9):622–9.
4. The Board of Health and Welfare. Cancer incidence in Sweden 2018. [Internet].
5. The Board of Health and Welfare CfE. Causes of Death. [Internet].
6. Darlin L, Borgfeldt C, Widen E, Kannisto P. Elderly women above screening age diagnosed with cervical cancer have a worse prognosis. *Anticancer Res.* 2014;34(9):5147–51.

7. Andrae B, Andersson TM, Lambert PC, Kemetli L, Silfverdal L, Strander B, et al. Screening and cervical cancer cure: population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e900.
8. Arbyn M, de Sanjose S, Saraiya M, Sideri M, Palefsky J, Lacey C, et al. EUROGIN 2011 roadmap on prevention and treatment of HPV-related disease. *Int J Cancer*. 2012;131(9):1969–82.
9. Ronco G, Dillner J, Elfstrom KM, Tunesi S, Snijders PJ, Arbyn M, et al. Efficacy of HPV-based screening for prevention of invasive cervical cancer: follow-up of four European randomised controlled trials. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9916):524–32.
10. Socialstyrelsen. Screening för livmodershalscancer. Rekommendationer och behandlings underlag. Socialstyrelsen;2017. 2017.
11. Arbyn M, Rebolj M, De Kok IM, Fender M, Becker N, O'Reilly M, et al. The challenges of organising cervical screening programmes in the 15 old member states of the European Union. *Eur J Cancer*. 2009;45(15):2671–8.
12. Altobelli E, Lattanzi A. Cervical carcinoma in the European Union: an update on disease burden, screening program state of activation, and coverage as of March 2014. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2015;25(3):474–83.
13. Giorgi Rossi P, Fortunato C, Barbarino P, Boveri S, Caroli S, Del Mistro A, et al. Self-sampling to increase participation in cervical cancer screening: an RCT comparing home mailing, distribution in pharmacies, and recall letter. *Br J Cancer*. 2015;112(4):667–75.
14. Lindstrom AK, Hermansson RS, Gustavsson I, Hedlund Lindberg J, Gyllensten U, Olovsson M. Cervical dysplasia in elderly women performing repeated self-sampling for HPV testing. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(12):e0207714.
15. Nelson EJ, Maynard BR, Loux T, Fatla J, Gordon R, Arnold LD. The acceptability of self-sampled screening for HPV DNA: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2017;93(1):56–61.
16. Ostensson E, Hellstrom AC, Hellman K, Gustavsson I, Gyllensten U, Wilander E, et al. Projected cost-effectiveness of repeat high-risk human papillomavirus testing using self-collected vaginal samples in the Swedish cervical cancer screening program. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2013;92(7):830–40.
17. Gustavsson I, Aarnio R, Berggrund M, Hedlund-Lindberg J, Strand AS, Sanner K, et al. Randomised study shows that repeated self-sampling and HPV test has more than two-fold higher detection rate of women with CIN2+ histology than Pap smear cytology. *Br J Cancer*. 2018;118(6):896–904.
18. Aarnio R, Ostensson E, Olovsson M, Gustavsson I, Gyllensten U. Cost-effectiveness analysis of repeated self-sampling for HPV testing in primary cervical screening: a randomized study. *BMC Cancer*. 2020;20(1):645.
19. Cruickshank ME, Chambers G, Murray G, McKenzie L, Donaldson C, Andrew J, et al. Age-restricted cervical screening: HPV testing at age 50 identifies a high risk group for cervical disease. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2002;12(6):735–40.
20. Franceschi S, Herrero R, Clifford GM, Snijders PJ, Arslan A, Anh PT, et al. Variations in the age-specific curves of human papillomavirus prevalence in women worldwide. *Int J Cancer*. 2006;119(11):2677–

21. Garcia-Pineros AJ, Hildesheim A, Herrero R, Trivett M, Williams M, Atmetlla I, et al. Persistent human papillomavirus infection is associated with a generalized decrease in immune responsiveness in older women. *Cancer Res.* 2006;66(22):11070–6.
22. Gravitt PE, Winer RL. Natural History of HPV Infection across the Lifespan: Role of Viral Latency. *Viruses.* 2017;9(10).
23. Rositch AF, Silver MI, Burke A, Viscidi R, Chang K, Duke CM, et al. The correlation between human papillomavirus positivity and abnormal cervical cytology result differs by age among perimenopausal women. *J Low Genit Tract Dis.* 2013;17(1):38–47.
24. Gustavsson I, Aarnio R, Myrnas M, Hedlund-Lindberg J, Taku O, Meiring T, et al. Clinical validation of the HPVIR high-risk HPV test on cervical samples according to the international guidelines for human papillomavirus DNA test requirements for cervical cancer screening. *Virol J.* 2019;16(1):107.
25. JL. F. Statistical methods for rates and proportions,. Second edition ed: John Wiley & Sons, Inc;. 1981.
26. Lanner L, Lindstrom AK. Incidence of HPV and HPV related dysplasia in elderly women in Sweden. *PLoS One.* 2020;15(3):e0229758.
27. Zhang X, Meng X, Chen Y, Leng SX, Zhang H. The Biology of Aging and Cancer: Frailty, Inflammation, and Immunity. *Cancer J.* 2017;23(4):201–5.
28. Bosch FX, Lorincz A, Munoz N, Meijer CJ, Shah KV. The causal relation between human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *J Clin Pathol.* 2002;55(4):244–65.
29. Woodman CB, Collins SI, Young LS. The natural history of cervical HPV infection: unresolved issues. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2007;7(1):11–22.
30. Jacobs MV, Walboomers JM, Snijders PJ, Voorhorst FJ, Verheijen RH, Franssen-Daalmeijer N, et al. Distribution of 37 mucosotropic HPV types in women with cytologically normal cervical smears: the age-related patterns for high-risk and low-risk types. *Int J Cancer.* 2000;87(2):221–7.
31. Bonde J, Rebolj M, Ejegod DM, Preisler S, Lynge E, Rygaard C. HPV prevalence and genotype distribution in a population-based split-sample study of well-screened women using CLART HPV2 human papillomavirus genotype microarray system. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:413.
32. Arbyn M, Anttila A, Jordan J, Ronco G, Schenck U, Segnan N, et al. European Guidelines for Quality Assurance in Cervical Cancer Screening. Second edition–summary document. *Ann Oncol.* 2010;21(3):448–58.
33. Aarnio R, Wikstrom I, Gustavsson I, Gyllensten U, Olovsson M. Diagnostic excision of the cervix in women over 40 years with human papilloma virus persistency and normal cytology. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol X.* 2019;3:100042.
34. Ernstson A, Asciutto KC, Stureson J, Noren J, Forslund O, Borgfeldt C. Detection of HPV mRNA in Self-collected Vaginal Samples Among Women at 69-70 Years of Age. *Anticancer Res.* 2019;39(1):381–6.

35. Gyllensten U, Sanner K, Gustavsson I, Lindell M, Wikstrom I, Wilander E. Short-time repeat high-risk HPV testing by self-sampling for screening of cervical cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2011;105(5):694–7.
36. Hermansson RS, Olovsson M, Hoxell E, Lindstrom AK. HPV prevalence and HPV-related dysplasia in elderly women. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):e0189300.
37. Nielsen A, Kjaer SK, Munk C, Iftner T. Type-specific HPV infection and multiple HPV types: prevalence and risk factor profile in nearly 12,000 younger and older Danish women. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2008;35(3):276–82.
38. Norenhag J, Du J, Olovsson M, Verstraelen H, Engstrand L, Brusselaers N. The vaginal microbiota, human papillomavirus and cervical dysplasia: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BJOG*. 2020;127(2):171–80.

Figures

Figure 1

Information supplied to the women on how to perform self-sampling using the Rovers Viba-brush and the FTA card.

Figure 2

Flowchart showing study design and data on HPV and dysplasia (n = 632). HPV (human papillomavirus), LSIL (Low-grade Squamous cell Intraepithelial Lesion), ASCUS (Atypical Squamous Cells of Uncertain Significance), PP (Per Protocol), and ITT (Intention to Treat).