

# Dietary Diversity Practice and its Influencing Factors Among Pregnant Women in Afar Region of Ethiopia: A Cross-sectional Study Design Supplemented by Qualitative Study.

Temesgen Gebeyehu Wondmeneh (✉ [tomigeb2006@gmail.com](mailto:tomigeb2006@gmail.com))

Samara University

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** Dietary, diversity, factor, pregnant, women

**Posted Date:** November 19th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1080595/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

1 **Dietary diversity practice and its influencing factors among**  
2 **pregnant women in Afar region of Ethiopia: A cross-sectional**  
3 **study design supplemented by qualitative study.**

4 Temesgen Gebeyehu Wondmeneh<sup>1\*</sup>

5 1. Department of Public health, college of health science, Samara University, Ethiopia.

6 **\*Correspondent author**

7 Temesgen Gebeyehu Wondmeneh

8 **Email:** [tomigeb2006@gmail.com](mailto:tomigeb2006@gmail.com)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

## Abstract

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

**Background:** Women in low-income countries are frequently malnourished when they become pregnant, and the demands of pregnancy can exacerbate nutritional deficiencies, particularly micronutrient deficiencies, with serious health effects on the fetus. Antenatal nutritional supplements can help to improve birth outcomes and maternal health. As a result, determining the magnitude of dietary diversity and its influencing factors among pregnant women in the pastoral region of Afar, where no study has been conducted, is an essential in order to establish an intervention program in the region.

**Method:** A mixed study comprising 241 pregnant women and six focus group discussions was conducted from October 1 to November 10, 2018. Participants in the quantitative study were selected by a systematic sampling method, whereas those in the focus group discussions were chosen by using purposive sampling method. The data was collected using pretested questionnaires administered via face-to-face interviews. The relationship between dietary diversity practice and its affecting factors was investigated using logistic regression analysis. The strength of the association was determined by odds ratio with a 95 % confidence interval. Thematic framework was used to analyse the qualitative data.

**Results:** Seventy-three percent of pregnant women had poor dietary diversity. Dietary diversity was higher in younger pregnant women who were under the age of 20 years (AOR=5.8; at 95% CI: 1.6-13.5) and aged between 21-25 years (AOR=3.9; at 95 percent CI:1.1-12.2) than in older pregnant women with over the age of 30 years. Those participants with a high average family income (above 4500 birr) had a good dietary diversity when compared to those with family income less than 1500 birr (AOR=0.1:95% CI;0.02-0.7) and between 1500-3000 birr (AOR=0.05:95% CI;0.01-0.2). Pregnant women

41 who had one antenatal care visit practiced less dietary diversity than those who had four  
42 or more (AOR=0.18: 95 percent CI; 0.04-0.8). Protein-rich foods (meat and eggs),  
43 semisolid foods (porridge and cereal soup), milk, fruit (banana) and vegetable (cabbage)  
44 were the most commonly avoided foods by pregnant women. These meals were  
45 commonly avoided since they produced large babies and were attached to the fetus's  
46 body.

47 **Conclusion:** The majority of study participants had a poor dietary diversity. Pregnant  
48 women with a low family income and only one prenatal care visit were less likely than  
49 those with a high family income and four or more antenatal care visits to practice dietary  
50 diversity, respectively. Most pregnant women avoided high-protein diets, semi-solid  
51 foods, milk, vegetable and fruit. Due to the presumptions of producing large fetus and  
52 attached to the fetus's body, these foods were avoided.

53 **Key words:** Dietary, diversity, factor, pregnant, women

## Background

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76

Nutrition is critical in reducing pregnancy risks such as maternal and child mortality, intrauterine growth retardation, low birth weight and early births, birth abnormalities, cretinism, poor brain development, and infectious risk. Pregnant women require a diversified diets and increased nutritional intake to cope with the increased demand during pregnancy (1). In a study of low and middle-income countries, women from the Caribbean and Central/South America had higher mean calorie, fat, protein and carbohydrate consumption than women from Africa and Asia. Cereal-based foods constituted the majority of people's diets across the region (2). Based on a study in Eastern Nigeria, around 37% of respondents avoided certain foods during pregnancy owing to food taboos. Snail and grass-cutter meat were the most common foods to avoid during pregnancy, whereas egg was the most common foods avoiding in children under the age of two-years. Some women thought that consuming snail and grass-cutter meat makes a foetus sluggish and makes a labor more difficult. Food avoidance was not associated with maternal educational status, parity and occupation (3). A study in Kenya showed that cereals were the most consumed food group (99%), with a mean and standard deviation dietary diversity score of 6.84 and 1.46, respectively. A minimum of dietary diversity was acquired by the majority of responders (98 percent). Only about 2% of pregnant women did not receive the minimum required amount of dietary diversity. Pregnant women's dietary diversity was influenced by education level, employment status and monthly income (4). A study in Oromia region of Ethiopia among pregnant women revealed that they did not change the amount

77 and type of foods they consumed to increase nutritional needs during pregnancy. There  
78 were a number of taboos related to the consumption of certain food items such as  
79 consumption of green leafy vegetables, yogurt, cheese, sugar cane, and green pepper.  
80 The taboos were more practiced by older women from rural communities and those with  
81 no formal education than those of younger and formal educated mothers, respectively.  
82 Fear of weight gain during pregnancy, which is linked to the difficulty of delivering a large  
83 baby, was one of the reasons for avoiding these foods (5). According to a study conducted  
84 in Wondo Genet district of Southern Ethiopia, most pregnant women's nutrient intakes  
85 (energy, vitamin A, and protein) were below the recommended levels. Furthermore,  
86 almost all micronutrient intakes were below the recommended levels, with the exception  
87 of iron. Multiple pregnancies and a lack of cereal-based diets were also risk factors for  
88 malnutrition (6). Dietary diversity score was a mean score of  $4.45 \pm (SD=1.32)$  in a study  
89 done in North-east Ethiopia. The study found that 43% of pregnant women had the  
90 minimum of dietary diversity score, while the remaining participants did not. All of the  
91 study participants ate grains, white roots, and tubers, all of which were highlighted in  
92 staple foods. Other vegetables were consumed by almost all of the respondents (98.7%).  
93 About 66.3% of the women ate plant-based foods from nuts and pulses, 55.3% consumed  
94 other vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables, and 46.6% took milk and milk products (7). A  
95 qualitative study was done in the Afar region of Ethiopia, consisting of four focus group  
96 discussions and eight key informants with an in-depth interview. Pregnant women were  
97 prohibited from consuming large amounts of any food, as well as meat, milk products,  
98 bread and cold water. Gastritis, diarrhoea, typhoid and skin discoloration of the foetus  
99 were among the reasons stated for sticking to the dietary banned during pregnancy (8).

100 Although few studies have been conducted in other parts of Ethiopia, the practice of  
101 dietary diversity and its associated determinants among pregnant women was not studied  
102 in the context of Afar pastoral region, where sociocultural and economic realities are  
103 considerably different. In order to address this knowledge gap, a study was established  
104 to assess the magnitude of dietary diversity practiced and its influencing factors among  
105 pregnant women residing in Awash seven district, Afar region of Ethiopia.

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

## Methods

120

### Study area

121 The study was conducted at Awash seven health facility in Afar region of Ethiopia. A large  
122 extent of Afar people are a pastoral and follow the Muslim religion. The region's climate  
123 is dry and hot, and food shortages occurred on a yearly basis. Aid organizations assisted  
124 almost all of the people (under safety net). Cattle, goats, sheep, and camels are the most  
125 common livestock used by households. During the dry season, migration of livestock to  
126 the major river for pasture and water is common. The Afar social structure is based on  
127 descent and affine relationships. The Afar has a patrilineal descent system that assigns  
128 a person to a certain clan (the society called mela). Clan members are supposed to share  
129 resources and assist one another in the event of an emergency. Cross-cousin marriages  
130 are compulsory under Islamic rule. Engagement for marital commitments can start as  
131 early as childhood (locally called Absuma). The real wedding usually takes place when  
132 girls are in their mid-teens. The boy's father arrives with two camels loaded with butter  
133 (locally known as subah), wheat flour, and mat (locally called Senan), and transports the  
134 couple to the location where they would build their own home. When a wife becomes  
135 pregnant, the husband usually returns her to her family to give birth. Afar people have a  
136 strong desire to have as many children as possible. This is because children are viewed  
137 as a way of increasing household income by engaging in a variety of activities such as  
138 trade, salt caravans, labour migration, and herding (9). Female genital mutilation is a  
139 common practiced in the Afar society. Women, men, religious leaders and traditional birth  
140 attendants are a key role in the continued practice of female genital mutilation (FGM) (10).



## 141 **Study design and sample size determination**

142 A quantitative cross-sectional study complemented by qualitative study design was used  
143 to determine dietary diversity practice and its influencing factors among pregnant women  
144 from October 1 to November 10, 2018. The sample size for quantitative data was  
145 calculated using a single proportion formula with the assumption of a small total  
146 population (512). Thus, considering 95% confidence level with a 5% precision, and taking  
147 57% inadequate dietary diversity from a prior study in Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia (7),  
148 The sample size was found to be 217. After adding for 15% non-response rate, the final  
149 sample size was 250. Focus group discussions (FGDs) with groups of pregnant women  
150 were conducted until the qualitative data was saturated.

## 151 **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

152 All pregnant women who agreed to take part in the study and had lived in the study area  
153 for at least one year prior to the study period were included. The study did not include  
154 pregnant women who had chronic conditions like cancer or diabetes. This was due to the  
155 fact that these illnesses are known to have an impact on a person's food consumption  
156 and nutritional status. The respondents' health information was used to compile this data.  
157 Pregnant women who had consumed special diets in the previous 24 hours owing to  
158 holidays or celebrations were also excluded.

## 159 **Sampling technique and procedures**

160 The sampling interval was calculated by dividing the total number of pregnant women  
161 who attended antenatal care in the health facility three months ago by the total sample  
162 size. Then, using systematic sampling technique, the required study subjects were

163 recruited. From the first two study subjects, the first study subject was selected by lottery,  
164 and then every second study subject was selected until the required sample size was  
165 achieved. But, pregnant women who had previously participated in the study did not re-  
166 interview. Purposive sampling was used to select focus group discussants (FGD) for the  
167 qualitative study. The FGD participants, on the other hand, were not the same as those  
168 who were sampled for quantitative data.

### 169 **Data collection instruments and procedures**

170 For quantitative data: Data on demographic, socio-cultural, and economic factors, as well  
171 as maternal health service utilization factors were constructed from previous literature  
172 and collected by face-to-face interview using pre-tested structured questionnaires. Three  
173 data collectors (two midwives and one nurse) were trained to collect quantitative data,  
174 whereas two data collectors (two nurses) were recruited and trained to collect qualitative  
175 data. The training allowed them to become familiar with the food groups and particular  
176 foods within each food groups in order to place recalled foods into the appropriate food  
177 groups. Data on dietary diversity was collected using a modified individual dietary  
178 diversity Score (IDDS) questionnaire developed from food and agriculture organization  
179 (FAO) (11). Individual dietary diversity Score (IDDS) questionnaire consisted of nine food  
180 groups. All of the data collectors were fluent in Afar language (native). The questionnaires  
181 are first written in English and then translated into the Afar language by a third person  
182 who is a native Afar speaker with translation experience. Health extension workers in the  
183 community and midwives at the Awash seven health centre's antenatal care (ANC) clinic  
184 were also consulted to amend the food list in the food groups, whether or not they  
185 matched with local names of foods, and acceptable terminology was agreed upon

186 (modification was carried out based on local language). The respondents were asked to  
187 recall all foods (meals and snacks) consumed the previous day and night (24-hour recall).  
188 After recalling all foods and beverages consumed, these food items were recorded. The  
189 interviewers underlined the corresponding foods in the list under the appropriate food  
190 categories and entered “1” in the column next to the food group if at least one food was  
191 consumed. Once the recall was completed, look for food groups that were not consumed  
192 by respondents. After ensuring that no meals from that food group were eaten, “0: was  
193 filled in the right-hand column corresponding to the food group.

194 Qualitative study: The qualitative data was collected by focus group discussion using  
195 open-ended guiding question which was developed based on the study objective. The  
196 purpose of study was explained for each study participant before data collection started  
197 for both quantitative and qualitative data. Those who agreed to take part in the study were  
198 then interviewed face-to-face in a quiet, comfortable and convenient location in order to  
199 better understand each other and ensure confidentiality. The handwritten field notes from  
200 all of the focus groups were transcribed into Microsoft Word 7, and everything was  
201 translated from Afar to English, including certain key Afar words in brackets. On a daily  
202 basis, the supervisor checked the collected data for completeness and accuracy. The  
203 guiding questions used to interview focus group discussions was shown (Table 1).

204 Table 1: Shows the key questions used in focus group discussion interviewing guidelines.

---

Participants	Key questions
--------------	---------------

Pregnant women

Are there any food taboos or foods that a pregnant woman should avoid eating for the welfare of the mother or the unborn child? What exactly are these dietary taboos?

---

205

## 206 **Variables and operational definition**

207 Independent variables were age, religion, ethnicity, marital status, residence, family size,  
208 educational status, occupation, average monthly income, birth interval, parity, month of  
209 pregnancy, antenatal care visits, food restriction and frequency of eating per day. The  
210 dependent variable was dietary diversity (poor/good).

211 Good dietary diversity: Pregnant women who consumed four and above food groups out  
212 of a total of nine food groups.

213 Poor dietary diversity: For those pregnant women who did not meet the minimum dietary  
214 diversity requirement (consumption of less than four food group).

## 215 **Data analysis**

216 SPSS Version 23 was used for the analysis. Tables with frequency were used to present  
217 the results. Binary logistics regression was used to find significant associations between  
218 dietary diversity practice and independent variables. Variables having a p-value less than  
219 0.05 in binary logistic regression were included in multivariate logistic regression. The

220 regression analysis' results were provided as odd ratios (OR), with 95% CI and a  
221 significance level of less than 0.05. When respondents consumed four or more food  
222 groups, their responses were categorized as good, and if they consumed less than four  
223 food groups, they were categorized as poor. The qualitative data was manually analysed  
224 using the framework techniques in multidisciplinary research (12) The results of the  
225 thematic analysis are presented in the form of narrative with supporting quotes. Finally,  
226 the qualitative study's findings were triangulated with the quantitative findings.

### 227 **Ethical consideration**

228 The Ethics Committee at Samara University's Health Science College gave ethical  
229 clearance. Before recruiting, informed consent was obtained from each mother after  
230 explained about the study's objectives. Participation in the study was entirely voluntary.  
231 All information was kept in strict confidence.

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

## Results

241

### Quantitative study results

242

**Socio-demographic characteristics of pregnant women reside in Awash seven**

243

**district, Afar region of Ethiopia.**

244

The response rate of the study was 96.4% (241/250). About 29.9% of participants were

245

in the age range of 21-25 years. Ninety-five percent of participants were married. Majority

246

of pregnant women (72.2%) follows Muslim religious. More than half (58.5%) of study

247

participants were Afar ethnicity. Almost three-fourth (75.9) of participants were urban

248

residents. Illiterate pregnant women represented 35.3% of study participants. Moreover,

249

around three-fourth (75.5%) of pregnant mothers were housewives. Twenty-seven

250

percent of pregnant women lived in extended family members (above four family

251

members). Nearly half (50.6%) of study participants had average family income between

252

1500-3000 birr (Table 2).

253

Table 2: shows socio-demographic characteristics of pregnant women reside in Awash

254

seven district, Afar region of Ethiopia.

Variables	Categories	No (%)
Age	=< 20	51 (21.2)
	21-25	72 (29.9)
	26-30	66 (27.4)

	>= 31	52 (21.6)
Marital status	Married	229 (95%)
	Unmarried	12 (5%)
Religious	Orthodox	55 (22.8)
	Protestant and catholic	12 (5)
	Muslim	174 (72.2)
Ethnicity	Others	39 (16.2)
	Amara	61 (25.3)
	Afar	141 (58.5)
Residence	Urban	183 (75.9)
	Rural	58 (24.1)
Educational status	Illiterate	85 (35.3)
	Primary school	68 (28.2)
	Secondary school	63 (26.1)
	College and above	25 (10.4)
Occupation	Housewives	182 (75.5)
	Government employed	23 (9.5)

	Merchants	36 (14.9)
Family size / members	Four and below	176 (73%)
	Above four	65 (27%)
Average monthly income (Ethiopian birr)	< 1500	53 (22)
	1500-3000	122 (50.6)
	3001-4500	33 (13.7)
	> 4500	33 (13.7)

255 Others represent Oromo, southern nation and nationalities peoples, and Tigray peoples

256 **Obstetric and health service utilization characters of pregnant women reside in**

257 **Awash seven district, Afar region of Ethiopia.**

258 About 67.3% of multiparous pregnant women had a birth interval of more than two years.

259 Nulliparous participants accounted for 32.4% of the total study participants. Almost half

260 of the study participants (49.8%) were more than six months pregnant. Only 7.1% of study

261 participants had four antenatal care visit, while 49% of pregnant women had once

262 antenatal care visits (Table 3).

263 Table 3: Shows obstetric and health service utilization characters of pregnant women

264 reside in Awash seven district, Afar region of Ethiopia.

Variables	Categories	No (%)
Birth interval	Two year and below	53 (32.7)



	Above two year	109 (67.3)
Parity	Nulliparous	78 (32.4)
	Multiparous	163 (67.6)
Month of pregnancy	Less than 3 months	38 (15.8)
	3-6 months	83 (34.4)
	Greater than 6 months	120 (49.8)
Antenatal care visits	One	118 (49)
	2-3 times	106 (44)
	Above three	17 (7.1)

---

265

266 **Dietary habit of pregnant women reside in Awash seven district, Afar region of**  
267 **Ethiopia.**

268 Individual dietary diversity scores of study participants had mean and standard deviations  
269 of 3.1 and 1.38, respectively. Twenty-seven percent of study participants had good dietary  
270 diversity practice, whereas the remaining participants had poor dietary diversity practice.  
271 Only 9.5% of pregnant women consumed meals five times a day, whereas 74.2% of study  
272 participants ate three times a day. Nearly thirty-seven percent (37.3%) of pregnant  
273 women avoided certain types of meals during their pregnancy. During pregnancy, 58.9%  
274 and 13.3% of pregnant women avoided at least one of the protein foods (meat and eggs)  
275 and semi-solid foods (cereal soup and porridge), respectively, out of the total food  
276 restricted participants. (Table 4).

277 Table 4: Dietary habit of pregnant women reside in Awash seven district, Afar region of  
 278 Ethiopia.

Variables	Categories	No (%)
The mean of individual dietary diversity score (IDDS)= 3.1±1.38		
Dietary diversity	Poor	176 (73)
	Good	65 (27)
Frequency of food taking per day	Three times	178 (74.2)
	Four times	40 (16.7)
	Five times	22 (9.2)
Is there certain types of food taboos?	Yes	90 (37.3)
	No	151 (62.7)
Types of foods avoided during pregnancy	Reason for prohibition	
Protein (meat and egg)	Producing big fetus	53 (58.9)
Semi-solid foods (porridge and cereal made soup)	Attached to the fetus body	12 (13.3)
Milk	Producing Big fetus and attached to the fetus body	11 (12.2)
Fruit and vegetable (banana and cabbage)	Attached to the fetus body	7 (7.8)
Carbohydrate (bread and other sweet foods)	Producing Big fetus	7 (7.8)

279 **Pregnant women consumed food groups in the previous 24 hours in Awash**  
 280 **seven district, Afar region of Ethiopia.**

281 Over ninety percent of participants (91.3 percent) ate starchy staple foods (teff, wheat  
 282 and maize). About 61.8% of pregnant women consumed legumes, nuts and seeds. Milk  
 283 and milk production were taken by more than half of the participants (57.7%) (Table5).

284 Table 5: The types of food groups consumed by pregnant women in the previous 24 hours  
 285 in Awash seven district, Afar region of Ethiopia.

Starchy Staple (cereal based like teff, wheat and maize)	220 (91.3)
Dark green leafy vegetables (kale and spinach)	44 (18.3)
other vitamin A rich foods and vegetables (mango, papaya and orange)	61 (25.3)
Other fruits and vegetables (avocado, lemon, cabbage, banana and apple)	61 (25.3)
Organ meat	5 (2.1)
Meat and fish	35 (14.5)
Eggs	41 (17)
Legume, nuts and seeds	149 (61.8)
Milk and milk products	139 (57.7)

286

287 **Influencing factors associated with dietary diversity practice among pregnant**  
 288 **women reside in Awash seven district, Afar region of Ethiopia.**

289 When confounding factors were adjusted, participants under the age of 20 years  
 290 (AOR=5.8; at 95% CI: 1.6-13.5) and aged between 21-25 years (AOR=3.9; at 95%CI:1.1-  
 291 12.2) had higher good dietary diversity consumption than those over the age of 30 years.

292 Participants with a monthly average family income of less than 1500 birr (AOR=0.1; at  
 293 95% CI:0.02-0.7) and 1500-300 birr (AOR=0.05; at 95% CI: 0.01-0.2) had less dietary  
 294 diversity consumption than those with a monthly average family income of more than  
 295 4500 birr. Pregnant women who had once antenatal care visit were 0.18 times more likely  
 296 to have a minimum dietary diversity consumption than those who had four or more  
 297 antenatal care visits (AOR=0.18; at 95% CI:0.04-0.8) (Table 6).

298 Table 6: Shows influencing factors associated with dietary diversity practices among  
 299 pregnant women reside in Awash seven district, Afar region of Ethiopia.

Variables		Dietary diversity		COR with	AOR with
		Poor	Good	95% CI	95% CI
		No (%)	No (%)		
Age	=< 20	31 (12.9)	20 (8.3)	<b>3.1 (1.2-7.7)</b>	<b>5.8 (1.6-13.5)</b>
	21-25	49 (20.3)	23 (9.5)	2.2 (0.9-5.4)	<b>3.9 (1.1-12.2)</b>
	26-30	53 (22)	13 (5.4)	1.2 (0.5-3.0)	1.6 (0.4-6.1)
	>= 31	43 (17.8)	9 (3.7)	1	1
Religious	Orthodox	32 (13.3)	23 (9.5)	<b>2.5 (1.3-4.7)</b>	
	Protestant & catholic	9 (3.7)	3 (1.2)	1.2 (0.3-4.5)	
	Muslim	135 (56.2)	39 (16)	1	

Residence	Urban	125 (52)	58 (24)	<b>3.4 (1.5-7.9)</b>	
	Rural	51 (21.2)	7 ( 2.9)	1	
Educational status	Illiterate	75 (31.1)	10 (4.1)	<b>0.1 (0.03-0.3)</b>	
	Primary school	51 (21.2)	17 (7.1)	<b>0.2 (0.1-0.6)</b>	
	Secondary school	40 (16.6)	23 (9.5)	0.4 (0.1-1.0)	
	College and above	10 (4.1)	15 (6.2)	1	
Occupation	Housewives	143 (59.5)	39 (16)	<b>0.4 (0.2-0.9)</b>	
	Government employed	11 (4.6)	12 (5)	1.7 (0.6-4.9)	
	Merchants	22 (9.1)	14 (5.4)	1	
Monthly family income (ETB)	< 1500	43 (17.8)	10 (4.1)	<b>0.1 (0.03-0.3)</b>	<b>0.1 (0.02-0.7)</b>
	1500-3000	107 (44.4)	15 (6.2)	<b>0.1 (0.02-0.1)</b>	<b>0.05 (0.01-0.2)</b>
	3001-4500	17 (7.1)	16 (6.6)	<b>0.3 (0.1-0.9)</b>	0.6 (0.1-2.4)
	> 4500	9 (3.7)	24 (10)	1	1
Antenatal care visits	One	99 (41.1)	19 (7.9)	<b>0.17(0.1-0.5)</b>	<b>0.18 (0.04-0.8)</b>
	2-3 times	69 (29)	37 (15)	0.5 (0.2-1.3)	0.9 (0.2-3.9)
	Four and above	8 (3.3)	9 (3.7)	1	1

Frequency of eating per day	Three times	147(61)	31 (13)	<b>0.12 (0.1-0.3)</b>
	Four times	21 (8.8)	19 (7.9)	0.5 (0.2-1.5)
	Five times	8 (3.3)	14 (5.8)	1
Food prohibition	Yes	79 (32.8)	11 (4.6)	<b>0.25 (0.1-0.5)</b>
	No	97 (40.2)	54(22.4)	1

300

301

### Qualitative study results

302 In the qualitative study, a total of 38 pregnant women involving in six focus group  
 303 discussions, four of which were held with urban residents and two with rural inhabitants.

304 In urban residents, seven pregnant women participated in each of the two focus group  
 305 discussion and six study participants involved in the remaining each two focus group  
 306 discussion. In rural residents, each of the two focus group discussion had six participants.

307 The majority of participants (44.7%) were between the ages of 21-30 years, with the  
 308 remaining 15.8% and 39.5% were under and over the age of 20 years, respectively. About  
 309 28.9% and 44.7% of participants were illiterate and in primary school, respectively, while  
 310 18.4% and 7.9% of pregnant women were in secondary school, and college and above,  
 311 respectively. Nearly ninety percent (89.5%) of pregnant mothers were multiparous. More  
 312 than half of the participants (55.3%) were pregnant for 3-6 months, and 34.2% were  
 313 pregnant for over six months. Half of the study participants had one prenatal care follow-  
 314 up, while 42.1% had 2-3 antenatal care follow-ups. In this qualitative study, dietary taboos  
 315 during pregnancy was investigated among pregnant women. According to focus group

316 discussants, the most commonly avoided foods during pregnancy were semi-solid and  
 317 solid cereal-based foods, fruit and vegetables, animal products and soft drinks. A key  
 318 theme, food taboos, was developed using codes and categories (Table 7).

319 Table 7: Shows the development of a key theme, food taboos during pregnancy, using  
 320 codes and categories based on reports from pregnant women reside in Awash district,  
 321 Afar region of Ethiopia.

A major theme	Categories	Codes
Food taboos during pregnancy	Semi-solid and solid foods	Porridge, soups, bread
	Fruits and vegetable	Banana, cabbage
	Animal products	Milk, meat, eggs
	Soft drink	Coca cola, sprit

322

323 The following are the details of the qualitative study's participant interviews.

324 During pregnancy, the majority of the participants believed that semi-solid foods, as well  
 325 as some fruits and vegetables, should be avoided due to they thought these foods were  
 326 stick with the fetus's body. *A 35-years-old women explained that "porridge, cereal soup*  
 327 *(locally known as atimt), banana and cabbages were not consumed during pregnancy*  
 328 *since they can cling with the fetus body" (a 35-years pregnant woman in FGD1).*

329 *The majority of the group members reflected their opinion that sweat foods and animal*  
 330 *products should not be consumed by pregnant women since the fetus would grow large*

331 *and difficult to deliver. A 28-years-old pregnant women stated that "in our society, most*  
332 *pregnant women do not consume meat, egg and milk since it is believed that these foods*  
333 *produced big fetus and cause delivery to be delayed" (a 28-years pregnant woman in*  
334 *FGD2).*

335 *Participants in the focus group discussion (FGD) also avoided milk, fruits and semi-solid*  
336 *foods when pregnant. A pregnant 29-year-old woman expressed her belief that "yogurt,*  
337 *bananas and porridge were adhering to the unborn fetus' body, resulting in an abnormal*  
338 *child. Thus, I did not eat these foods" (a 29- years old pregnant woman in FGD3).*

339 *Almost all of the participants in the focus groups discussion said that protein and*  
340 *carbohydrate diets were forbidden during pregnancy. This was explained by a 37-year-*  
341 *old woman who stated that "I did not consume meat, eggs and bread because they*  
342 *increased the weight of the fetus, caused prolonged labor and bleeding" (a 37-years old*  
343 *pregnant woman in FGD4).*

344 *Coffee with milk and sugar was not allowed to take pregnant women to prevent fetal*  
345 *obesity and facilitate delivery. A 39-year-old pregnant woman clarified this, "in Afar*  
346 *culture, a mixture of coffee, milk and sugar (locally known as ashara) is not taking during*  
347 *pregnancy since it is believed that it increased fetus weight and difficulty to easily deliver"*  
348 *(a 39-years old pregnant woman in FGD5).*

349 *The majority of group respondents avoided soft drinks and semi-solid foods during their*  
350 *pregnancy due to concerns about a thin baby bone caused by soft drinks and the*  
351 *attachment of semi-solid foods to the fetus body. A 30-years-old woman noted that*  
352 *"Coca-Cola and Sprite made the fetus bone thin, and porridge and cereal soup (locally*



353 *called atmit) stick to the fetus body. So, we did not consume these food items” (a 30-*  
354 *years old pregnant woman in FGD6).*

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

## Discussions

372 Inadequate dietary diversity can harm both the mother and the fetus, with the effects on  
373 the fetus perhaps persists to childhood. To promote maternal nutrition, health, and child  
374 development, it is vital to recognize the dietary diversity practices of pregnant women (1).

375 There is no study on the dietary diversity among pregnant women in Afar region of  
376 Ethiopia. Thus, identifying the magnitude of dietary diversity practice and its influencing  
377 factors among pregnant women in pastoral region of Afar, is merit for policy and program  
378 consideration. The average dietary diversity score in the study was  $3.1 \pm (SD=1.38)$ .  
379 Furthermore, 73% of study participants exhibited poor dietary diversity. These findings  
380 were higher than that of a study in Kenyan (4) and North East Ethiopia (7). The  
381 discrepancy in dietary diversity scores could be attributable to the study area, where more  
382 cultural practice might influence food intake during pregnancy, as opposed to study  
383 settings in North East Ethiopia, where urban inhabitants could have more nutrient  
384 diversity. According to the current survey, 37.3 % of pregnant women avoided certain  
385 types foods. This finding is consistent with the finding in Eastern Nigeria (3). According to  
386 international food policy research institutions, there is a positive relationship between  
387 dietary diversity and nutrient adequacy (diet meeting requirement of energy and essential  
388 nutrients). Furthermore, according to the food and agricultural organization (FAO), the  
389 individual dietary diversity score (IDDS) shows the nutrient adequacy of the diet and the  
390 food groups analysed in this score place a greater focus on micronutrient consumption.  
391 Therefore, lack of adequate dietary diversity in the majority of pregnant women in the  
392 current study suggested nutrient deficiency, implying that the requirement for sufficient  
393 energy and key nutrients was not met. This evidence supports prior study in Wondo Genet

394 district of Southern Ethiopia, which found that most pregnant women's nutritional intakes  
395 were below recommended levels (6). The majority of the participants in this study (91.3  
396 %) ate cereal-based diets. This result is consistent with a research in low and middle-  
397 income countries (2), but lower than a study in Kenya (4) that found cereal-based foods  
398 were the most popular (99%). Furthermore, all food groups consumed by pregnant  
399 women in the current study were lower than in a study done in north east Ethiopia (7),  
400 with the exception of milk and milk products, which were common in the pastoral region  
401 of Afar. Meat and eggs were the most avoided foods during pregnancy and the least  
402 consumed food groups out of nine food groups. These findings were strengthening the  
403 qualitative finding, which revealed that animal products (meat and eggs) were the most  
404 popular avoided foods during pregnancy. Moreover, Semi-solid foods (porridge and  
405 cereal soup), milk, some fruits and vegetables, and carbohydrate-rich foods (bread and  
406 sweet foods) were also forbidden during pregnancy, according to study participants in  
407 both quantitative and qualitative investigations. Fear of fetus weight increase, which is  
408 linked to delivery difficulty, and the assumption that semi-solid meals, fruit, and vegetable  
409 attachments to the fetus body were the reasons for avoiding eating these foods. These  
410 findings are consistent with those of a prior study conducted in Eastern Nigeria (3),  
411 Ethiopia's Oromia area (5) and Afar region Ethiopia (8). Participants under the age of 20  
412 years and those between the ages of 21-25 years consumed better dietary diversity than  
413 those over the age of 30 years. This could be due to the fact that older women are more  
414 likely to adhere to food taboos. This evidence is related with a study in Oromia region of  
415 Ethiopia, which found that elderly women were more likely to practice dietary taboos than  
416 younger women (5). Pregnant women with a low monthly average family income (under

417 3000 birr) were less likely than those with a higher average monthly family income (over  
418 4500 birr) to practice the minimal dietary diversity. This finding is similar with a study  
419 finding in Kenya (4). Pregnant women who had once antenatal care visit were also less  
420 likely to consume the minimum dietary diversity than those who had four or more. The  
421 minimum dietary diversity did not have a significant association with educational level and  
422 occupation. This is contradicting with a study in Kenya (4). This could be more antenatal  
423 care visits are linked to increased awareness of the benefits of nutritional diversity.

424 This study is cross-sectional study, so, it is difficult to infer a causal association. Adding  
425 an exploratory study with a quantitative study, on the other hand, aids in the discovery of  
426 additional information not available from the quantitative study. The open-ended  
427 questionnaire allowed participants to report any foods or beverages they had consumed  
428 or not consumed without restriction. Thus, these questionnaires allowed women to  
429 describe their experiences in their own words, but they were vulnerable to social  
430 desirability. Using a single 24-hour recall period did not reveal an individual's habitual  
431 diet, and the amount of food consumed is not indicated by the dietary diversity score.  
432 Attainment of pregnant women at antenatal clinics was low, particularly in rural areas,  
433 resulting in a smaller number of participants being recruited from these areas. The fact  
434 that the sample was limited to a single season may restrict the generalizability of the  
435 results to subsequent seasons. However, the study provided a new perspective on factors  
436 that influence dietary diversity among pregnant women, particularly in the pastoral  
437 community of Afar, which is novel and interesting. Therefore, the findings can give useful  
438 information for nutrition-sensitive intervention.

439 **Conclusion:** The majority of study participants consumed below the minimum dietary  
440 diversity. Younger pregnant women had good dietary diversity than elder pregnant  
441 women. Having a high average family income was associated with good dietary diversity.  
442 Those pregnant women with once antenatal care visits had less minimum dietary diversity  
443 than those who had four or more antenatal care visits. Protein-rich foods (meat and eggs),  
444 semisolid foods (porridge and cereal soup) and milk were the most commonly avoided  
445 foods by pregnant women. The most common reason for avoiding these meals was  
446 delivery difficulties and the fear that they might stick to the fetus's body.

447 **List of abbreviation:** FGD: focus group discussion, SPSS: social science statistical  
448 package, COR: crude odd ration, AOR: adjusted odd ratio, CI: confidence interval, SD:  
449 standard deviation.

#### 450 **Declaration**

451 Ethical approval and consent to participate: Ethical clearance was obtained from a  
452 research and ethics review committee of health science college, Samara University. All  
453 methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.  
454 Informed consent was obtained from each study participant after explanation of the  
455 study's aim. No one was harmed as a result of participating in this study. By eliminating  
456 any identifier from questionnaires, confidentiality was respected.

457 **Consent for publication:** Not applicable

458 **Availability of data and material:** Based on author request.

459 **Competing interest:** The author declared that no conflict of interest for these work.

460 **Funding:** No funding source

461 **Acknowledgement:** The authors like to thank all study participants and other individuals  
462 involving in any process of this study.

463 **Author contributions:** Conceive and design the study: T.G.W, Perform the study:  
464 T.G.W, Analysis the data: T.G.W, Wrote the paper: T.G.W.

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

## Reference

- 479 1. Nnam N. Improving maternal nutrition for better pregnancy outcomes. Proceedings  
480 of the Nutrition Society. 2015;74(4):454-9.
- 481 2. Lee SE, Talegawkar SA, Merialdi M, Caulfield LE. Dietary intakes of women during  
482 pregnancy in low-and middle-income countries. Public health nutrition. 2013;16(8):1340-  
483 53.
- 484 3. Ekwochi U, Osuorah CD, Ndu IK, Ifediora C, Asinobi IN, Eke CB. Food taboos and  
485 myths in South Eastern Nigeria: The belief and practice of mothers in the region. Journal  
486 of ethnobiology and ethnomedicine. 2016;12(1):1-6.
- 487 4. Kiboi W, Kimiywe J, Chege P. Determinants of dietary diversity among pregnant  
488 women in Laikipia County, Kenya: a cross-sectional study. BMC Nutrition. 2017;3(1):1-8.
- 489 5. Zerfu TA, Umeta M, Baye K. Dietary habits, food taboos, and perceptions towards  
490 weight gain during pregnancy in Arsi, rural central Ethiopia: a qualitative cross-sectional  
491 study. Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition. 2016;35(1):1-7.
- 492 6. Kuche D, Singh P, Moges D, Belachew T. Nutritional status and associated factors  
493 among pregnant women in Wondo Genet District, Southern Ethiopia. Journal of Food  
494 Science and Engineering. 2015;5(2):85-94.
- 495 7. Shenka A, Damena M, Abdo M, Roba KT. Dietary diversity and nutritional status  
496 of pregnant women attending public hospitals in dire dawa city administration, eastern  
497 Ethiopia. East African Journal of Health and Biomedical Sciences. 2018;2(1):10-7.
- 498 8. Hadush Z, Birhanu Z, Chaka M, Gebreyesus H. Foods tabooed for pregnant  
499 women in Abala district of Afar region, Ethiopia: an inductive qualitative study. BMC  
500 nutrition. 2017;3(1):1-9.

- 501 9. Reda KT. Social organization and cultural institutions of the Afar of Northern  
502 Ethiopia. *International Journal of Sociology and Anthropology*. 2011;3(11):423-9.
- 503 10. Andarge MY. The Difficulties of Ending Female Genital Mutilation (FGM): Case of  
504 Afar Pastoralist Communities in Ethiopia. Retrieved from [www.ohchr](http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/Women/WRGS/FGM/NGOs/ActionFor)  
505 [org/Documents/Issues/Women/WRGS/FGM/NGOs/ActionFor](http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/Women/WRGS/FGM/NGOs/ActionFor). 2014.
- 506 11. Kennedy G, Ballard T, Dop MC. Guidelines for measuring household and individual  
507 dietary diversity: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2011.
- 508 12. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, Rashid S, Redwood S. Using the framework  
509 method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC*  
510 *medical research methodology*. 2013;13(1):1-8.

511