The Genomic Basis of the *Streptococcus Thermophilus* Health-Promoting Properties

Emeline Roux

Université de Lorraine, CALBINOTOX, Nancy

Aurélien Nicolas

INRAE, Institut Agro, STLO, Rennes

Florence Valence

INRAE, Institut Agro, STLO, Rennes

Grégoire Siekaniec

Université de Rennes, INRIA, Campus de Beaulieu, Rennes

Victoria Chuat

INRAE, Institut Agro, STLO, Rennes

Jacques Nicolas

Université de Rennes, INRIA, Campus de Beaulieu, Rennes

yves Le Loir

INRAE, Institut Agro, STLO, Rennes

Eric Guédon (✉ eric.guedon@inrae.fr)

INRAE, Institut Agro, STLO, Rennes

Research Article

Keywords: Lactose, galactose, GABA, comparative genomics, pangenome, PrtS, vitamin, conjugated linoleic acid, bacteriocin, antibiotic resistance, mutations, *Escherichia coli*

Posted Date: December 1st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1081849/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Genomics on March 16th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08459-y>.

Abstract

Background

Streptococcus thermophilus is a Gram-positive bacterium widely used as starter in the dairy industry as well as in many traditional fermented products. In addition to its technological importance, it has also gained interest in recent years as beneficial bacterium due to human health-promoting functionalities. The objective of this study was to inventory the main health-promoting properties of *S. thermophilus* and to study their intra-species diversity at the genomic and genetic level within a collection of representative strains.

Results

In this study various health-related functions were analyzed at the genome level from 79 genome sequences of strains isolated over a long time period from diverse products and different geographic locations. While some functions are widely conserved among isolates (e.g., degradation of lactose, and folate production) suggesting their central physiological and ecological role for the species, others including the tagatose-6-phosphate pathway involved in the catabolism of galactose, and the production of bioactive peptides and g-aminobutyric acid are strain-specific. Most of these strain-specific health-promoting properties seems to have been acquired via horizontal gene transfer events. The genetic basis for the phenotypic diversity between strains for some health related traits have also been investigated. For instance, substitutions in the *galK* and *gadBC* promoter region correlate with the ability of some strains to catabolize galactose via the Leloir pathway and to produce high level of g-aminobutyric acid, respectively. Finally, the low occurrence in *S. thermophilus* genomes of genes coding for biogenic amine production and antibiotic resistance is also a contributing factor to its safety status.

Conclusions

The natural intra-species diversity of *S. thermophilus*, therefore, represents an interesting source for innovation in the field of fermented products enriched for healthy components that can be exploited to improve human health. A better knowledge of the health-promoting properties and their genomic and genetic diversity within the species may facilitate the selection and application of strains for specific biotechnological and human health-promoting purpose. Moreover, by pointing out that a substantial part of its functional potential still defies us, our work opens the way to uncover additional health-related functions through the intra-species diversity exploration of *S. thermophilus* by comparative genomics approaches.

Background

Streptococcus thermophilus (STH, formally *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus*) is a nonpathogenic Gram-positive bacterium belonging to the phylum *Firmicutes* and family *Streptococcaceae*. It belongs to the salivarius group of the Viridians streptococci, which includes two

other species, *Streptococcus salivarius* and *Streptococcus vestibularis* (1). These two species are human commensal bacteria, whereas the environmental reservoir of STH has not been yet identified (2). It grows spontaneously in numerous traditional dairy products and is believed to persist in the farm environment (3–5). STH also belongs to the heterogeneous group of lactic acid bacteria (LAB), that includes notably species from the genera *Lactococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Enterococcus*, *Carnobacterium*, *Leuconostoc*, *Oenococcus*, and *Pediococcus* and plays a crucial role in food fermentation.

STH has a long history of use in dairy fermentations. It has a “Generally Recognized As Safe” (GRAS) status in the United States and a “Qualified Presumption of Safety” (QPS) status in the European Union. This thermophilic bacterium, with an optimum growth temperature of 40–45°C, is widely used as a starter in the dairy industry as well as in many traditional fermented products including yogurt and various soft (e.g., Mozzarella), hard (e.g., Cheddar, Emmental, Parmigiano, Pecorino), surface ripened (e.g., Gruyère, Appenzeller, Tête de Moine) and mould ripened cheeses (e.g., Gorgonzola) (6, 7). STH is also found in dairy products spontaneously fermented such as diverse fermented milks in Mongolia (8) and Ragusano cheese in Sicily (9). In addition, STH can participate to fermentation of leguminous such as soy juice (10, 11) and kefir grains (12, 13).

STH is a clonal species that emerged only recently on the evolutionary timescale (3,000–30,000 years ago), from a commensal ancestor of the *salivarius* group (14). Its remarkable adaptation to grow in milk, a narrow and well-defined niche, has resulted to its genome shaping through loss-of-function events and horizontal gene transfers (HGT) (15–17). Approximately 10% of the STH predicted proteome are pseudogenes. Most of them correspond to original functions being unnecessary for growth in milk such as proteins involved in carbohydrate metabolism (16, 17) and surface-exposed proteins that are involved in host-bacteria interactions (2, 15, 18). HGT events have contributed substantially to the genomic plasticity, population evolution and adaptation of the species to the milk environment. The genomic regions acquired include those encoding industrially important phenotypic traits, such as the production of bacteriocins and exopolysaccharides, restriction-modification systems, oxygen tolerance, amino acid metabolism and milk-protein degradation (16, 17, 19–22).

Due to the technological properties and commercial importance of STH, its physiological and metabolic properties have been the subject of numerous studies such as rapid acidifying ability, fast growth, proteolytic ability, production of EPS and phage resistance (16, 17, 19, 23, 24). In addition to its technological importance, STH has also gained interest in recent years as a probiotic bacterium (25). Many commercial probiotic products available on the market all over the world contain STH (26), although its ability to survive the stomach environment can be questionable for a probiotic (25, 27). The presence of STH in fermented products has been linked to various beneficial effects on human health, including notably alleviation of lactose intolerance (28), inhibition of pathogens (29), prevention of diarrhea (30, 31), modulation of production by the host of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines (32–35), reduction of uremia (36), reduced risk of developing certain cancers (37, 38), and prevention or treatment of inflammatory gut diseases (14, 39–41). The development of dairy fermented products naturally enriched in health-promoting metabolites produced by STH during fermentation such

as γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA), folate and bioactive peptides has also been investigated (42–45). However, the only STH-related health claim recognized by European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) is linked to the live yogurt culture consumption on lactose maldigestion (46).

Most of these functionalities are strain-dependent suggesting that the wide range of health-promoting properties of STH results in a high degree of variation in the content of strain genomes. Analysis at the genome level is, thus, required to better understand strain features. Thanks to the democratization of low-cost sequencing systems, the number of sequenced bacterial genomes has boomed in the last decade. For instance, among the genus *Streptococcus*, around 8,000 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, 2,000 *Streptococcus pyogenes*, 1,000 *Streptococcus agalactiae*, 100 *S. salivarius*, and 10 *Streptococcus macedonicus* genomic sequences are available in the NCBI genome database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/>). The first complete genome sequence of STH dates back to 2004 (15) and ever since more than 100 genomes are now publicly available at NCBI. Among them, 50% were deposited in the last two years showing the growing interest for STH. The purpose of this study is to explore the health-promoting properties of STH and their diversity at the genomic and molecular level across strains. To this aim, a comparative genomic study of 79 representative strains was performed on microbial activities known to be beneficial on host physiology. They can result from the ability of STH to produce metabolites beneficial for host (e.g., GABA, folate, bacteriocins), to liberate enzymes that can strengthen the host capability (e.g., antioxidative enzymes), to metabolize food components that can be harmful to sensitive people (e.g., lactose and galactose) and to release beneficial breakdown products from food components (e.g., bioactive peptides). We also evaluated the presence of genes coding for undesirable traits such as production of biogenic amines and antibiotic resistance in STH genome sequences. Finally, although STH can be considered to be a probiotic, probiotic traits were not included since genetic determinants are still elusive and the probiotic character of STH is often determined in association with other bacteria (47–49). All health-related properties explored here are summarized in Figure 1.

Results & Discussion

General genomic features of STH

Among the STH available genomes in NCBI database in January 2020, 45 were selected according to their finishing level to explore the STH health-promoting properties: 27 genomes have been fully sequenced, 8 have been completely sequenced at the chromosome level, and the remaining 10 genomes have finished draft (**Table S1**). We completed this dataset with the complete genome sequences of strains from CIRM-BIA collection (31 genomes) and MicroScope database (3 genomes). The 79 genome sequences selected correspond to strains isolated over a long time period (1954 to 2018) from diverse products (including cheeses, yogurts, fermented milks, starters, kefir grains, and digestive tract of marine fish), and different geographic locations (19 countries) providing a good sample of the overall species diversity of STH (**Table S1**). The complete genome sequences ($n = 68$) range in size from 1.731 (ACA-DC 2) to 2.102 Mb (NCTC12958) with a mean GC content of 39%. Small plasmids ranging in size from 3.17

to 4.45 kb are detected in only 10 strains: 8 strains contain one plasmid, and the others two plasmids. The number of predicted CDS (coding DNA sequence) in each complete genome ranges from 2,033 (ACA-DC 2) to 2,513 (M17PTZA496) with an average of $2,170 \pm 101$ CDS per genome (median = 2,150). Note that the number of predicted CDS in the incomplete genomes is slightly lower with an average of $2,092 \pm 160$ CDS (median = 2,109).

The pan-genome of STH estimated on 79 strains contains 7,298 protein families (170,341 proteins), while 1,011 protein families (86,189 proteins) constitute the core genome. It is interesting to notify that the STH pan-genome is larger than that of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, among others, although its genome size is smaller, pointing out the higher genetic diversity and adaptability of the species likely related to its domestication and genome evolution by HGT (50). The accessory genome, i.e. the set of proteins absent in some genomes but present in two or more genomes, is composed of 6,287 protein families (84,202 proteins). The number of strain-specific proteins (i.e. the set of proteins present in a single genome) ranges from 0 to 199 with an average of 28 unique proteins per genome. Analysis of the core and pan-genome size evolution as a function of the extent of available genomes showed opposite patterns (Figure 2A). While the core genome curve tended to saturate, indicating that the set of protein families shared by all strains was mostly captured with the 79 selected genomes, the pan-genome curve continuously increased with the addition of new genomes. This result shows that the STH pan-genome can be considered as open and likely reflects the life of the species in a narrow niche but with multiple ways of exchanging genetic material to guarantee its adaptation to fluctuating environments (51). This result is also supported by the fact that almost all isolates still have specific proteins (**Table S1**). The fact that new protein families will always continue to be identified with additional genomes implies that the genomic diversity of the species has only been partially discovered. Distribution of core and accessory proteins in COG categories is not homogeneous. Indeed, the proteins involved in RNA processing and modification (A), translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis (J), posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones (O), energy production and conversion (C), lipid transport and metabolism (I), cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning (D), replication and repair (L), amino acid metabolism and transport (E), nucleotide transport and metabolism (F) and coenzyme transport and metabolism (H) were better represented in the core genome than the accessory genome. (Figure 2B). Inversely, proteins involved in defense (V), transcription (K), secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism (Q), cell wall / membrane / envelop biogenesis (M), signal transduction (T), cell motility (N), carbohydrate transport and metabolism (G), and inorganic ion transport and metabolism (P) were better represented in the accessory genome than in the core genome (**Table S2**). These accessory functions, which notably include bacteriocin synthesis, transcriptional factors, cell surface proteins and sugar metabolism, featured the life history traits of STH and its adaptation to dairy environment (2, 16, 17). Finally, one genomic feature of STH is the marked level of gene decay (15). Depending on the gene prediction used, the estimated number of pseudogenes represented on average from 5 to 10% of the STH predicted proteome (**Table S1**). These pseudogenes are mostly transporters (e.g., ABC transporter, PTS systems, permease...), enzymes (e.g., dehydrogenase, metalloprotease, oxidoreductase, phosphatase, n-acetyltransferase, endonuclease, ...), mobile element

proteins (e.g., transposase) and regulatory systems (transcriptional, regulator, helix-turn-helix, histidine kinase, ...) (Figure 2C).

Recently, the phylogenomic relationship between 23 STH strains based on their core genome showed a clustering of strains into two main groups (19). A similar clustering was performed from the core genome of the 79 strains. 89% of strains were present in these two groups (Cluster a and b, Figure 2D). The inclusion of more strains, however, allowed to refine the contours of the clusters by subdividing them into two to three subgroups of strains. In addition, our analysis also revealed an additional cluster composed of eight strains, very distant from the others. The presence/absence heat map of the accessory proteins of strains also supported the existence of this additional cluster (**Figure S1**). Except this additional cluster which is composed of strains mostly isolated in Italia, overall no clear association between the type of products, the year of isolation or the geographic origin of the strain and their phylogeny were evidenced. Overall, these genomic analyses pointed out that the STH population is likely more diverse than that expected and a substantial part of the STH functional potential still defies us and remains to be explored.

Lactose and galactose metabolism

The primary function of STH in dairy fermentation is the rapid acidification of milk that leads to a modification of the physico-chemical properties of milk and an inhibition of the growth of spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms. Milk acidification results from the production of lactic acid by fermentation of lactose, the major carbohydrate in milk. Lactose fermentation by STH and other LAB contributes therefore to manufacture low-lactose and bacterial lactase-containing dairy products that are suitable for lactose intolerant people. Note that over 60% of the human population has a reduced ability to digest lactose (52). STH imports lactose through the LacS permease, which functions as a lactose/galactose antiporter (Figure 3A). Lactose is further cleaved by the LacZ beta-galactosidase (EC 3.2.1.23) into glucose and galactose that are metabolized by the glycolytic pathway and Leloir pathway, respectively. The genome of most STH strains contained intact copy of *lacS* and *lacZ* (**Table S3**). Catabolism of lactose results in production of lactate and other end products, while galactose serves to synthesize polysaccharides, teichoic acids and nucleotide sugars. Galactose can also enter glycolysis through phosphoglucomutase (PgmA; EC 5.4.2.2) and Leloir pathway. Although all the strains contain the genes coding for the Leloir pathway (GalKTEM) and PgmA (**Table S3**), most STH strains are unable to ferment galactose (53, 54), which is generally expelled into the medium (54–56). Presence of galactose in dairy products is harmful for individuals with galactosemia, a genetic metabolic disorder that affects their ability to metabolize galactose properly. Therefore, development of dairy products with low galactose level has been investigated (57).

The low expression level of *galKTEM* operon and the low activity of the galactokinase GalK represent the major limiting steps for galactose utilization in STH. Spontaneous STH mutants able to ferment galactose (Gal⁺ mutants) have been isolated (58). They contain mutations at three positions in the *galK* promoter region including substitutions and a single-base-pair insertion that lead to increased *galK* expression. Among them, one substitution located in the -10 box of *galK* promoter region was also

independently found in a spontaneous Gal⁺ mutant (59) and associated with the Gal⁺ phenotype of various STH wild-type strains indicating that the *galK* promoter region plays a crucial role in galactose phenotype of the strains (53, 60–62). The activity of GalK is also a limiting step for galactose metabolism and substitutions in the ribosome binding site of *galK* increase GalK activity (55, 58, 59). Analysis of the *galR-galK* intergenic region from 79 STH strains showed numerous nucleotide substitutions and single-base-pair insertions/deletions (Figure 3B). Notably, 15 strains have the same substitution associated with Gal⁺ phenotype in the -10 box (58, 59), 15 strains have the same A insertion preceding the – 35 region of Gal⁺ mutants (58) and 8 have several nucleotide substitutions in the *galK* ribosome binding site. A possible link between these different nucleotide variations and the ability of these strains to catabolize galactose remains to be established.

Finally, the reduction of accumulation of galactose in fermented milks can also be achieved through the use of strains able to ferment galactose through the tagatose-6-phosphate pathway (T6P) (63). Such strains uptake lactose or galactose via PTS systems resulting in the formation of lactose/galactose-6-phosphate, which is further catabolized by T6P pathway (64). Interestingly, two STH strains, CIRM-BIA336 and JIM10116, contained genes coding for the LacEF PTS system and the LacABCDG enzymes of the T6P pathway (Figure 3A). These genes were clustered into a single genomic region flanked by a transposase and of approximately 10 kb which is 99% identical between the two strains (Figure 3C). The region from *lacR* to *lacX* also shared high identity (>96% at the nucleotide level) with plasmid or genomic regions from numerous strains of various *Enterococcus* and *Lactococcus* species. The cluster also encoded LacX aldolase 1-epimerase (EC 5.1.3.3), which catalyzes the conversion of β -glucose and β -galactose into their α -isomers, which might be important for the efficient catabolism of galactose notably through the Leloir pathway. The low occurrence of this cluster in the STH population (2/79), the presence of transposase in the 5'- and 3'-extremity of the cluster and its high identity with gene clusters found in phylogenetically distant species support that the ability to ferment galactose through the T6P pathway is a feature likely acquired by few STH strains. However, experimental verification is required to validate the ability of strains CIRM-BIA336 and JIM10116 to catabolize galactose throughout the T6P pathway.

Production of bioactive peptides

The proteolytic system, which is associated with faster growth and milk acidification, has been widely studied for its essential role to sustain the growth of STH. Indeed, milk is poor in free amino acids and STH, like many other LAB, is multi-auxotrophic for amino acids (65–67). This function contributes also to the formation of flavor and texture of the fermented milk products (17). The proteolytic system that encompasses proteins involved in the breakdown and uptake of milk proteins and peptides, is notably composed of an extracellular proteinase, PrtS, responsible of milk protein degradation. In addition to its role in nitrogen supply, PrtS-induced degradation of bovine milk proteins liberates peptides with bioactive activity (68–70). Most are angiotensin-I-converting enzyme inhibitors, but peptides with immunomodulatory, antioxidant and antibacterial activity can also be generated among others (70–72). Although caseins are the main source of proteins and therefore of bioactive peptides in bovine milk, PrtS is also able to degrade β -lactoglobulin and α -lactalbumin, allowing to increase their digestibility and to

hydrolyze β -lactoglobulin-derived allergenic peptides (73, 74). Moreover, other protein sources such as goat milk and plant substrate have been successfully tested for bioactive peptides production by STH sole or in combination with other species (75–77). Bioactive peptides can be produced during food fermentation by STH, but they can also be added as ingredients. Indeed, Paul and Somkuti, which studied the effect of yogurt starters on two bioactive peptides showed that they remained intact at acidic pH in the presence of STH suggesting that the addition of pre-formed bioactive peptides to yogurt near the end of fermentation is conceivable to produce a stable functional food (78).

PrtS was acquired by HGT from *Streptococcus suis* and the selection of fast acidifying strains has increased its prevalence in the STH population, notably among commercial starters (20, 79). Therefore, according to the strains set screened, the number of strains containing the *prtS* gene, i.e., *prtS*⁺ strains, can vary from approximately 30 to 50% (20, 80–82). Here, we found a complete *prtS* gene in 19 genomes, meaning that a maximum of 23% of the strains could be proteolytic (**Table S3**). Indeed, despite the presence of *prtS* Galia *et al.* found that only 65% of *prtS*⁺ strains expressed a measurable cell envelope-associated proteinase activity (81). Among the 19 *prtS*⁺ strains, IDCC2201-, N4L- and BIO1488-derived PrtS exhibited a shorter sequence, due to the lack of an imperfect duplication of a motif of 32 residues in the PP domain. This has already been reported for strain 4F44 (83) and CNRZ385. Nevertheless, although shorter, the protease expressed was still active in 4F44. In addition to the duplicated region, 56 variable positions over the 1618 amino acid sequence length of the 19 protein variants were detected corresponding to an average divergence over all sequence pairs of 0.96%. Figure 4 shows the mapping of these variable positions according to the seven PrtS functional domains (84). Variable positions were mainly located into the PP, PR, A and H domains, notably the PR catalytic protease domain and the A domain involved in the regulation of the PrtS activity/specificity. It is interesting to note that the pairwise distance between PrtS sequences from LMD-9 and 4F44 is around 1.6% and the two proteins are able to produce different bioactive peptides from caseins (70). Whether the PrtS amino acid diversity, notably in the PR and A domains, is a contributing factor to the liberation of diverse bioactive peptides from milk proteins still needs further investigations.

Production of GABA

Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), a non-protein amino acid, has been classified as a health-promoting metabolite with antihypertensive, antidiabetic, diuretic and tranquilizer effects (85). Due to its function on human health, the development of functional foods containing GABA has attracted great interest. Production of GABA has been reported in several major groups of LAB used in dairy fermentations, including STH, thus offering the opportunity to develop naturally fermented GABA-enriched products (42, 44, 85).

The glutamate decarboxylase enzyme (GadB, EC 4.1.1.15) synthesizes the irreversible conversion of glutamate into GABA, which is secreted throughout the GadC GABA/Glutamate antiporter. 29% of strains (23/79) contain both *gadB* and *gadC* that form a cluster of two contiguous genes (Figure 5A, **Table S2**). This result is higher than previously reported (10%) and shown by a PCR-based screening of the presence

of *gadB* on a set of 191 strains mainly isolated from Italian raw-milk cheeses (80). The *gadBC* genes are surrounded by two copies of IS6 (IS1216) family transposase (98% identity at the nucleotide level) and are part of a larger locus flanked upstream by *luxS* coding for AI-2 synthesis protein, and downstream by *rnY* coding for ribonuclease Y (Figure 5A). The *gadBC*-containing locus, which is predicted as a region of genomic plasticity by the MicroScope database, appears to be variable in STH. It contains numerous IS transposases and specific genes in a strain-dependent fashion. A chromosomal region containing only genes *luxS* and *rnY* is present in *S. salivarius* and *S. vestibularis*, two species that share a common ancestor with STH (2). This set of genomic data suggests that the *gadBC*-containing region tends to undergo multiple evolutionary events and that an ancestral strain may have acquired the *gadBC* genes by HGT. Accordingly, the polymorphism of *gadB* and *gadC* gene coding sequences is low with an average divergence over all sequence pairs of 0.3 and 0.8%, respectively (maximum divergence between sequence pairs of 1.0 and 1.5% for *gadB* and *gadC*, respectively). However, sequence comparison of the *gadB* upstream region between strains showed more nucleotide differences (average divergence over all sequence pairs of 1.6%, with a maximum divergence between sequence pairs of 3.6%). These differences are of particular interest, notably those located in the putative promoter region (Figure 5B). Indeed, they could impact the *gadB* expression level and therefore the production of GABA. It has been reported that production of GABA can vary from 0.6 to 80 mg/kg of GABA according to strains (86). Whether sequence variations in the *gadB* promoter region and *gadBC* coding sequences contribute to GABA production diversity between strains need further experimental investigation, but it could clearly be taken into account to select high GABA producers.

Biosynthesis of folate and B-vitamins

Folate (vitamin B9) is involved, as a cofactor, in many essential functions of cell such as synthesis of nucleic acids and of amino acids, cellular growth and cell division. Folate deficiency is associated with a variety of human health disorders including osteoporosis, poor cognitive performance, Alzheimer's disease, neural tube defects in newborns and colorectal and breast cancer (87). Because humans cannot synthesize folate, this vitamin must be obtained from the diet. A wide variety of foods naturally contain folate, including seafoods, fruits, vegetables, eggs and milk. Interestingly, folate content of food products can be increased up to 20-fold after fermentation by folate producing microorganisms (88). Therefore, fermentation represents a tool to produce natural folate-enriched foods, particularly in fermented dairy products, which are considered as good sources of folate. Several studies have shown the ability of STH to produce folate extracellularly during growth in various media, including milk (89, 90). However, the amount of folate accumulated was shown to depend on media, growth kinetics, culture conditions and individual strains (91).

In an attempt to better understand the causes of such strain-dependency in folate production, we first explored the diversity of folate synthesis at the genomic level. Folate is synthesized from the precursors GTP and *p*-aminobenzoate (PABA), which derive from the purine and phenylalanine metabolism, respectively (**Figure S2**). Most STH strains harbor the genetic determinants for *de novo* folate synthesis (**Table S3**). However, as observed for most organisms, no gene specifying an alkaline phosphatase (EC

3.1.3.1) can be found on the genomes of STH and it has previously been proposed that this reaction is catalyzed by nonspecific phosphatases (92). In *L. lactis*, the synthesis of dihydroneopterin is performed throughout an alternative route catalyzed by FolQ (EC 3.6.1.67), a protein belonging to the large and functionally heterogeneous Nudix hydrolase family of enzymes (93). Genomes of STH harbor several genes coding for putative Nudix enzymes but none of the corresponding genes are located within the *fol* cluster as shown in *L. lactis*. The involvement of a member of the Nudix enzyme family, a nonspecific phosphatase, or other enzymes in dihydroneopterin synthesis remains to be elucidated. From these genomic data, the strain-dependent capacity to synthesize folate might not be related to the absence or inactivation of folate-specific genes but might result from metabolic bottlenecks in dihydroneopterin synthesis. Variations in sequence composition of enzymes between strains could also lead to marked differences in folate production within the species STH. However, the polymorphism in folate biosynthetic enzymes is low with an average divergence over all sequence pairs from 0.3% for FolC2 to 1.2% for FolK (maximum divergence between sequence pairs of 6.8%). These divergences between sequences might however account for the folate production diversity among STH strains. Although it has been reported that the overexpression of *folk* in *L. lactis* increases the secretion of folate (91), the level of expression of the *folK* and *folP* genes in STH is not correlated with the amounts of folate secreted into the environment indicating that other mechanisms are involved (94). These may include, for example, transcriptional control mechanisms, modulation by metabolites of metabolic flux and the amount of folate consumed or excreted by strains. Further studies are needed, notably genomic comparison between low and high folate excreters to understand the basis of STH strains to produce and secrete folate (95).

The capability of STH to synthesize the seven other B-group vitamins was also evaluated. All STH strains with complete genome sequence have incomplete *de novo* thiamin (vitamin B1), riboflavin (vitamin B2), pantothenate (B5), pyridoxine (vitamin B6) and biotin (vitamin B8) biosynthesis pathways (data not shown). This analysis confirms at a larger scale vitamin B2 and B5 auxotrophy of STH determined by single omission experiments (66, 96). However, the presence of parts of the biosynthetic pathways suggests that STH can produce certain vitamins from extracellular intermediates provided by other bacteria in mixed cultures. For example, the presence of genes coding for pyridoxal kinase (EC 2.7.1.35), pyridoxamin-phosphate transaminase (EC 2.6.1.54) and pyridoxal 5'-phosphate synthase (EC 1.4.3.5) indicates that STH strains could produce pyridoxal 5'-phosphate, the active form of vitamin B6, from pyridoxal, pyridoxamine and pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate (**Table S2**).

De novo vitamin B3 synthesis pathway was found complete only in strain M17PTZA496. However, two out of the three genes that are missing in most STH strains and coding for enzymes involved in synthesis of nicotinate from aspartate, NadA (EC 2.5.1.72) and NadB (EC 1.4.3.16) are truncated in strain M17PTZA496 and might thus result in nonfunctional enzymes. The *nadABC* locus of strain M17PTZA496 is present on a 20 kb contig (AZJT01000081) with 99% identity at the nucleotide level to a chromosomal region in *S. macedonicus* ACA-DC 198 and *S. gallolyticus* ICDDR-B-NRC-S1 suggesting this region was acquired by HGT in strain M17PTZA496M. Although the functionality of this pathway remains uncertain, this finding points out that selected STH strains could produce other B-group vitamins

and harnessing the natural STH biodiversity may be source for innovation in the field of vitamin-enriched fermented products.

Production of conjugated linoleic acid

Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) is a group of isomers of linoleic acid that have been shown to exert numerous health benefits, including anti-carcinogenesis, anti-obesity and anti-inflammation effects (97–100). Milk is the main source of CLA in the human diet. CLA concentration in cow milk, which is affected by lactation stage, rumen microbiota and dietary regime of cows can be enhanced by fermentation (101). Several strains of LAB including STH have been shown to produce CLA from linoleic acid (102–105).

The conversion of linoleic and linolenic acid into their conjugated forms, CLA and CLNA, respectively, is carried out by linoleate isomerase (EC 5.2.1.5). To our knowledge, the genetic determinants involved in CLA synthesis are unknown in STH. However, the genome of six strains (CIRM-BIA336, CIRM-BIA368, CIRM-BIA956, CIRM-BIA998, JIM10116 and M17PTZA496) encodes a protein annotated as oleate hydratase with homology (63-68% identity) to linoleate isomerase from *Limosilactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55739, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* AS1.1854 and *L. lactis* KF147 (106) (**Table S2**). STH oleate hydratase proteins shared 98% identity with Sph protein from *Weissella cibaria* strains isolated from Kimchi and sourdough (107). Although the CLA-producing ability of these STH strains as well as the linoleate isomerase activity of the proteins identified remain to be demonstrated, they are promising candidates that can be explored to enhance CLA contents in fermented dairy products.

Production of bacteriocins

In their competition for nutrients, LAB produce metabolites including active antimicrobials such as lactic or acetic acid, hydrogen peroxide, and/or bacteriocins. Bacteriocins are ribosomally synthesized proteins with bactericidal activity. They are natural products with great potential in food biopreservation, against both pathogens and spoiling microorganisms. Bacteriocins usually exhibit antimicrobial activity towards strains closely related to the producer strain or towards other species sharing the same ecological niche (108). STH strains can produce bacteriocins with inhibitory activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria including LAB as well as, among others, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Clostridium botulinum*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Staphylococcus carnosus*, *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* (109–112).

The assessment of antimicrobial activity is carried out *in vitro* by methods related to the agar well diffusion test on Petri dishes from Tagg and McGiven (113) on selected strains. Softwares were also developed to predict *in silico* bacteriocin loci from genomes, such as antiSMASH (114–116) and BAGEL4 (117–119). If more than 20 strains of STH have been reported in the literature as bacteriocin producers, only five genomes of those strains were sequenced in August 2021 : B59671 (120), LMD-9 (121, 122), KLDS 3.1003 (123), ST106 and ST109 (124).

The results of bacteriocin prediction obtained *in silico* with BAGEL4 on the 79 genomes of STH investigated here are summarized in Figure 6. From one to seven regions on the chromosome of each

strain were annotated as potentially coding for bacteriocins including butyrovibriocin, lanthipeptides, streptide and Blp. In accordance to Hols *et al.* (17), the *bpl* locus seems to be ubiquitous in STH strains, except for two strains: TH982 and CIMR-BIA772. These prediction results, nevertheless, are to be taken with precaution. Indeed, although a *blp* cluster was predicted in LMD-9, CNRZ1066 and LMG 18311 both by BAGEL4 and antiSMASH (data not shown), it has been shown that the *blp* cluster was only found to be functional in LMD-9 due to a truncated form of the BlpB protein on the two other strains, which is essential for the secretion of the induction factor BlpC (17, 122). A similar truncated form of BlpB was found in the genomes of 18 strains, certainly leading to a non-functional *blp* cluster. Furthermore, the *blpF*, *blpE* and *blpD* genes are missing in most of the previous strains and eight strains also lack the two genes *blpQ* and *blpG*, leading to a very incomplete *blp* cluster (**Table S4**). Nevertheless, these strains could still exhibit an antimicrobial activity through a potential lanthipeptide, sactipeptide or streptide production. Tools for bacteriocin prediction *in silico* remain interesting to investigate potential antimicrobial strains, but up to date, *in vitro* experiments remain necessary to demonstrate the antimicrobial activity of a strain. Such standardized procedures would be interesting to compare the STH strains in terms of biopreservative potential. Nevertheless, STH species remains a promising source of antimicrobial peptides with a broad range of targets.

Antioxidative activity

Antioxidative activity of LAB can confer a health benefit to the host by decreasing the risk of ROS (reactive oxygen species) accumulation (125) and therefore, can be considered as oxidative stress reducing supplements (126, 127). STH exhibits antioxidative activity *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and prevents ROS-induced DNA damage in human cells (128–131). This function is mainly associated with its ability to produce ROS protective factors such as antioxidant compounds, mainly glutathione, and antioxidant enzymes that can strengthen the host antioxidant capability (130–132). Glutathione synthetase catalyzes the formation of glutathione from glutamate, cysteine and glycine (**Figure S3**). Antioxidant enzymes include superoxide dismutase and catalase as well as enzymes belonging to the glutathione and thioredoxin systems that play a role in hydroperoxide radical detoxification and redox cycle (133).

Two genes coding for superoxide dismutase (SodA, EC 1.15.1.1) were found in all STH genomes, while no catalase was evidenced indicating that the detoxification of SodA-generated hydrogen peroxide is mainly achieved via the glutathione and thioredoxin systems. Glutathione synthase, glutathione reductase, glutathione peroxidase and glutaredoxin compose the glutathione system. The thioredoxin system includes thioredoxin reductase, thioredoxin-dependent peroxiredoxin and thioredoxin. Most STH genomes harbor a powerful arsenal of antioxidant enzymes (**Table S3**). One singularity detected, however, is the low occurrence of the *gpx* gene among strains (only 10 out of 79 genomes). The *gpx* gene codes for a glutathione peroxidase responsible of the reduction of hydrogen peroxide as glutathione is oxidized to its disulfide-oxidized form. Accordingly with this prediction, a glutathione peroxidase activity was reported in a very limited number of STH strains (132). The *gpx* gene is included in a large gene cluster that also carries sulfur amino acid ABC transporter encoding genes (Figure 7). The cluster is absent in all *gpx*-missing STH genomes, but present in *S. salivarius* genomes with high nucleotide

identity (90%) suggesting its transfer between the two species. Overall, our analysis underlines the antioxidative potential of STH and its ability to provides ROS protective factors to host.

Production of biogenic amines

Biogenic amines (BAs) are produced by many bacterial genera and species from the decarboxylation of amino acids (134). They are found in varying concentrations in a wide range of foodstuffs such as fish and seafood, wine, meat and vegetables. In fermented dairy products, the most important biogenic amines are histamine, tyramine, cadaverine, putrescine and beta-phenylethylamine (135, 136). The consumption of foods containing large amounts of BAs can have physiological and toxicological consequences (137, 138). In addition to producing microorganisms, their formation is influenced by the raw material, processing technologies, and conservation conditions (134–136, 139). One effective strategy to prevent harmful accumulation of BAs in dairy fermented products is to select LAB starters lacking BA biosynthetic activities (140) or with BAs degrading activities (141).

Like many LAB, STH has been described as potential BA producer, notably histamine and tyramine (135, 142–145). A BLASTp-based search with histamine synthesis proteins from STH strain CHCC 6483 (embl accession no. FN686790.1) revealed that only a few STH strains (1F8CT, CIMR-BIA1121, CIRM-BIA1048, CIRM-BIA1049, TH1435 and KLDS 3.1003) contained genes coding for histamine production from histidine (**Table S3**). They are organized in a chromosomal gene cluster likely acquired through HGT that consists of three adjacent genes encoding the HcdA histidine decarboxylase proenzyme, the HdcP histidine/histamine antiporter, and HdcB, which is involved in HdcA maturation (146) (**Figure S4A**). A satellite prophage harbors the HdcABR cluster in strain TH1435 (147). Concerning tyramine production, no protein (BLASTp) and genomic region (tBLASTn) with homology to the TcdA tyrosine decarboxylase of STH strain 1TT45 (144) was detected in the 79 STH genome sequences. Likewise, no protein/genomic region with homology to ornithine decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.17) (putrescine synthesis), lysine decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.25) (tyramine synthesis), tryptophan decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.105) (tryptamine synthesis) and phenylalanine decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.53) (phenylethylamine synthesis) from various species was detected. These *in silico* predictions show that only a few STH strains contain BA-related genes. These findings are consistent with the previous results of a screening of 30 STH strains for the presence of histidine, lysine, and tyrosine decarboxylase genes by a PCR-based approach (25). Ladero et al. reported that almost all the LAB that possess BA-related genes synthesize BAs at the phenotypic level (148). This analysis shows that overall STH can be considered a species at low risk regarding biogenic amine production.

Resistome and Virulome

The resistome of 79 STH genomes was investigated using ABRicate with multiple databases. Only two genes potentially conferring resistance to antibiotics were detected in nine strains. Four strains, B59671, CIRM-BIA1047, CIRM-BIA1050 and KLDS 3.1003, encode *mefE* which is 83% identical with 95% coverage at the nucleotide level with *mefE* of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. The *mefE* gene codes for a proton efflux pump that confers resistance to macrolides including erythromycin (149, 150). *S. pneumoniae* *mefE* gene

is localized on a conjugative transposon-related element with *mel*, which is required for the maximal *mef*-mediated efflux of erythromycin (149). No *mel* homologs were evidenced in STH genomes, suggesting that *mefE* is likely not able to confer high-level macrolide resistance in STH (**Table S5**). A *tet(S)* antibiotic resistance gene was detected in five other STH strains (CIRM-BIA368, CIRM-BIA961, CIRM-BIA967, CIRM-BIA998 and M17PTZA496) by four databases (NCBI AMRFinderPlus, Resfinder, ARG-ANNOT, CARD). Tet(S) confers resistance to tetracycline with a ribosomal protection protein (151). The STH *tet(S)* gene is 100% identical with *tet(S)* from multiple species and is flanked by some mobile element proteins in STH genomes, which argue for an acquisition via HGT (**Figure S4B**). Resistance to tetracycline of strain M17PTZA496 has been previously demonstrated suggesting that the four other *tet(S)*-containing strains are also tetracycline resistant (152). The possibility of antibiotic resistance gene transmission from food bacteria to microbiota is a serious issue. However, no transfer of *tet(S)* from strain M17PTZA496 was detected due to its chromosomal location (152). Finally, the protein sequences of all the strains under investigation were compared against the VFDB database to obtain data on potential virulence factors on the genome and no virulence factor were found. In all, the low occurrence of antibiotic resistance genes among STH strains contributes to the safety status of the species.

Conclusions

The results of this comparative genomic study provide a sound basis for future studies on *S. thermophilus*. Notably our analysis provides targets for further phenotypic characterizations and experimental validations. While some beneficial traits are widely shared among isolates suggesting their central physiological and ecological role for the species (e.g., degradation of lactose, folate production), others including the tagatose-6-phosphate pathway involved in the catabolism of galactose, and the production of bioactive peptides and GABA are highly strain-specific. Most of strain-specific health-promoting features seems to have been acquired via horizontal gene transfer events. Likewise, sequence variations in the promoter region of certain genes from the core genome may be associated with the phenotypic diversity reported between strains for some beneficial traits. For instance, substitutions in the *galK* and *gadBC* promoter region could be associated with the ability of strains to catabolize galactose via the Leloir pathway and to produce high level of GABA, respectively. The low occurrence in the STH genomes of genes coding for biogenic amine production and antibiotic resistance is also a contributing factor to its safety status. Some isolates that distinguish themselves from others by combining health-promoting properties are promising candidates to develop functional and beneficial fermented food products. Knowledge associated with these features and their diversity between isolates may facilitate the selection and application of strains for specific biotechnological and health purpose. Moreover, with an open pan-genome, it is very likely that numerous STH strains not included in our analysis may have additional health-promoting properties that remain to be investigated. The decreasing cost of genome sequencing and the development of user-friendly and robust pipelines for genomic analyses are key factors to explore new health-promoting traits. The natural biodiversity of STH species is therefore an interesting source for innovation in the field of fermented products enriched in beneficial components for human health. Finally, it is interesting to point out that STH is rarely used in single culture during

fermentations, but mostly in mixtures with one or more other species, such as with *Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus* for yogurt production. The interactions between species during mixed fermentations that are not neutral can confer additional characteristics to fermented products compared to monocultures, which are at the origin of the diversity of their organoleptic and physicochemical properties. One can suppose that the interactions of STH with other species in mixed cultures can not only enhance its health-promoting properties but also elicit the production of additional health-promoting compounds notably via metabolic complementarity phenomena. The exploration and scientific knowledge of the interactions between species in mixed cultures will open new innovative avenues for developing new health promoting applications.

Methods

Genomes selection

Thirty one strains from the CIRM-BIA collection and two strains JIM1081 and JIM10116 (INRAE collection, Jouy-en-Josas, France) have been newly sequenced by Oxford Nanopore Technologies in our lab and by Illumina technology allowing an hybrid assembly (Siekanić et al., 2021). All genomes were integrated in MaGe (MicroScope Genome Annotation) for annotation and comparisons (<https://mage.genoscope.cns.fr/microscope/home/index.php>) (153). Furthermore, the 31 CIRM-BIA genomes were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA, <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/home>) and they were made public in July 2020. In addition, genomes available in NCBI repository before June 2019 were selected and those with less than 150 contigs were kept, corresponding to 45 genomes: 27 complete, 8 chromosome, 3 scaffolds and 7 contigs. These genomes were also integrated in MaGe. One genome only available in MaGe, from strain CAG:236, was also added to this study. At all, 79 strains were selected (Table S1).

Genomic features

MicroScope Pan-genome analysis tool was used to identify core, accessory, and unique protein families within 79 selected strains with the 80% amino acid identity and 80% alignment coverage option. Protein sequences were extracted and submitted to eggNOG-mapper to obtain COG classification of core and accessory genome (154,155). A presence / absence gene heatmap was created from accessory genome composition with R libraries pheatmap and dendextend (156). Protein sequences of core proteome were gathered from Microscope and proteins duplicated in at least one strain were discarded. Sequences were concatenated strain by strain and aligned with MAFFT online version in auto mode (157). Alignment was submitted to MEGA software to calculate a pairwise distance matrix (158,159). Then the pairwise intersection heatmap was drawn with Intervene's Shiny App (160). Pseudogenes word cloud was drawn from gene and product fields of MaGe annotation gff files with R libraries rtracklayer, tm, wordcloud and SnowballC (161,162).

Homolog search and analysis

The presence of some genes and proteins in the 79 STH genome sequences was investigated using tBLASTn and BLASTp searches implemented in the MicroScope platform as entry the following protein sequences: histamine decarboxylase from *S. thermophilus* CHCC6483 (FN686790.1); tyrosine decarboxylase from *S. thermophilus* 1TT45 (FR682467); ornithine decarboxylase from *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* (Q1G8S9), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (A0A0B7M4H0), and *Enterococcus faecium* (Q5ZH57); lysine decarboxylase from *Enterococcus faecalis* (Q838D6), *Enterococcus faecium* (A0A481NV25), *Lactobacillus brevis* (J7GQ11) and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (A0A0H2ZRA0); tryptophan decarboxylase from *Ruminococcus gnavus* (A7B1V0), *Clostridium sporogenes* (J7SZ64) and *Flavobacterium* sp. 9R (A0A653PYX3); phenylalanine decarboxylase from *Enterococcus faecium* (Q1JTV5); linoleate isomerase from *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55739 (AX062045), *Lactobacillus acidophilus* AS1.1854 (DQ239438), *Lactobacillus plantarum* AS1.555 (DQ227322) and *L. lactis* KF147 (ADA64689.1); glutamate decarboxylase from *S. thermophilus* (Q0GE18); glutamate/gamma-aminobutyrate antiporter from *L. lactis* MG1363(O30417); PrtS proteinase from *S. thermophilus* (D3KCP7, B9UZ65). MEGA X was used to align sequences and to calculate the divergence between sequence pairs and the average divergence over all sequence pairs using the maximum composite likelihood method (158,159). Sequences alignments of PrtS domains and promoter regions of *galK* and *gadB* were performed with ClustalW with default parameters. Gene clusters were aligned and drawn with clinker (163). The proteinase PrtS domains were drawn with MyDomains (164).

Resistome and virulome

ABRicate (<https://github.com/tseemann/abricate>) with NCBI AMRFinderPlus (165), Resfinder (166), ARG-ANNOT (167), CARD (168), EcoH (169) and VFDB (170) databases was used to search antibiotic resistance and virulence genes in genome sequences. The softwares antiSMASH (accessible via MicroScope platform) (114) and BAGEL4 (URL: <http://bagel4.molgenrug.nl/>) were used to search bacteriocins and Ribosomally synthesized and Post translationally modified Peptides (RiPPs) clusters sequences in *S. thermophilus* genome sequences. Resulting heatmap was drawn with Python's matplotlib library (171).

Abbreviations

BA: Biogenic amine

CDS: Coding DNA Sequence

CLA: Conjugated Linoleic Acid

GABA: Gamma-aminobutyric acid

GRAS: Generally Recognized As Safe

GTP: Guanosine TriPhosphate

EFSA: European Food Safety Authority

HGT: Horizontal Gene Transfer

LAB: Lactic Acid Bacteria

PABA: *p*-aminobenzoate

QPS: Qualified Presumption of Safety

ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species

STH: *Streptococcus thermophilus*

T6P: Tagatose-6-Phosphate

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article and its additional files.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research was funded by a PhD grant from an INRAE-INRIA consortium agreement (G.S.) and a INRIA delegation position (E. R.).

Authors' Contributions

E.G. conceived and supervised the project. E.R., A.N. and E.G. performed the analyses. F.V., G.S., V.C., J.N. and Y.L.L. commented on the interpretation of the results. E.R., A.N. and E.G. wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments.

The biological research center CIRM-BIA (<https://collection-cirmbia.fr/>) is acknowledged for the provision of *S. thermophilus* strains. The Genouest bioinformatics platform (<https://www.genouest.org/>) is acknowledged for the provision of computing resources. The LABGeM (CEA/Genoscope & CNRS UMR8030), the France Génomique and French Bioinformatics Institute national infrastructures are acknowledged for support within the MicroScope annotation platform. A part of this work was conducted in the frame of PROLIFIC project funded by Bretagne and Pays de la Loire Regions and Bba Milk Valley consortium.

References

1. Facklam R. What happened to the streptococci: overview of taxonomic and nomenclature changes. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2002 Oct;15(4):613–30.
2. Delorme C, Abraham A-L, Renault P, Guédon E. Genomics of Streptococcus salivarius , a major human commensal. Infect Genet Evol. 2015 Jul;33:381–92.
3. Aponte M, Fusco V, Andolfi R, Coppola S. Lactic acid bacteria occurring during manufacture and ripening of Provolone del Monaco cheese: Detection by different analytical approaches. Int Dairy J. 2008 Apr;18(4):403–13.
4. Cruciata M, Sannino C, Ercolini D, Scatassa ML, De Filippis F, Mancuso I, et al. Animal Rennets as Sources of Dairy Lactic Acid Bacteria. Björkroth J, editor. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014 Apr 1;80(7):2050–61.
5. Settanni L, Di Grigoli A, Tornambé G, Bellina V, Francesca N, Moschetti G, et al. Persistence of wild Streptococcus thermophilus strains on wooden vat and during the manufacture of a traditional Caciocavallo type cheese. Int J Food Microbiol. 2012 Apr;155(1–2):73–81.
6. Beresford TP, Fitzsimons NA, Brennan NL, Cogan TM. Recent advances in cheese microbiology. Int Dairy J. 2001;11:259–74.
7. Gänzle MG. Lactic metabolism revisited: metabolism of lactic acid bacteria in food fermentations and food spoilage. Curr Opin Food Sci. 2015 Apr;2:106–17.
8. Yu J, Wang WH, Menghe BLG, Jiri MT, Wang HM, Liu WJ, et al. Diversity of lactic acid bacteria associated with traditional fermented dairy products in Mongolia. J Dairy Sci. 2011 Jul;94(7):3229–41.
9. Licitra G, Ogier JC, Parayre S, Pediliggieri C, Carnemolla TM, Falentin H, et al. Variability of Bacterial Biofilms of the “Tina” Wood Vats Used in the Ragusano Cheese-Making Process. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007 Nov 1;73(21):6980–7.
10. Boulay M, Al Haddad M, Rul F. Streptococcus thermophilus growth in soya milk: Sucrose consumption, nitrogen metabolism, soya protein hydrolysis and role of the cell-wall protease PrtS. Int J

11. Harlé O, Falentin H, Niay J, Valence F, Courselaud C, Chuat V, et al. Diversity of the metabolic profiles of a broad range of lactic acid bacteria in soy juice fermentation. *Food Microbiol.* 2020 Aug;89:103410.
12. Chen Z, Liu T, Ye T, Yang X, Xue Y, Shen Y, et al. Effect of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts on the structure and fermentation properties of Tibetan kefir grains. *Int Dairy J.* 2021 Mar;114:104943.
13. Simova E, Beshkova D, Angelov A, Hristozova T, Frengova G, Spasov Z. Lactic acid bacteria and yeasts in kefir grains and kefir made from them. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2002 Jan;28(1):1–6.
14. Delorme C. Safety assessment of dairy microorganisms: *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2008 Sep 1;126(3):274–7.
15. Bolotin A, Quinquis B, Renault P, Sorokin A, Ehrlich SD, Kulakauskas S, et al. Complete sequence and comparative genome analysis of the dairy bacterium *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2004 Dec;22(12):1554–8.
16. Goh YJ, Goin C, O’Flaherty S, Altermann E, Hutkins R. Specialized adaptation of a lactic acid bacterium to the milk environment: the comparative genomics of *Streptococcus thermophilus* LMD-9. *Microb Cell Factories.* 2011 Aug 30;10 Suppl 1:S22.
17. Hols P, Hancy F, Fontaine L, Grossiord B, Prozzi D, Leblond-Bourget N, et al. New insights in the molecular biology and physiology of *Streptococcus thermophilus* revealed by comparative genomics. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2005 Aug;29(3):435–63.
18. Couvigny B, Thériat C, Gautier C, Renault P, Briandet R, Guédon E. *Streptococcus thermophilus* Biofilm Formation: A Remnant Trait of Ancestral Commensal Life? Al-Ahmad A, editor. *PLOS ONE.* 2015 Jun 2;10(6):e0128099.
19. Alexandraki V, Kazou M, Blom J, Pot B, Papadimitriou K, Tsakalidou E. Comparative Genomics of *Streptococcus thermophilus* Support Important Traits Concerning the Evolution, Biology and Technological Properties of the Species. *Front Microbiol.* 2019 Dec 20;10:2916.
20. Delorme C, Bartholini C, Bolotine A, Ehrlich SD, Renault P. Emergence of a cell wall protease in the *Streptococcus thermophilus* population. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2010 Jan;76(2):451–60.
21. Eng C, Thibessard A, Danielsen M, Rasmussen TB, Mari J-F, Leblond P. In silico prediction of horizontal gene transfer in *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *Arch Microbiol.* 2011 Apr;193(4):287–97.
22. Liu M, Siezen RJ, Nauta A. In Silico Prediction of Horizontal Gene Transfer Events in *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* Reveals Protocooperation in Yogurt Manufacturing. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2009 Jun 15;75(12):4120–9.

23. Iyer R, Tomar SK, Uma Maheswari T, Singh R. Streptococcus thermophilus strains: Multifunctional lactic acid bacteria. *Int Dairy J.* 2010 Mar;20(3):133–41.
24. Markakiou S, Gaspar P, Johansen E, Zeidan AA, Neves AR. Harnessing the metabolic potential of Streptococcus thermophilus for new biotechnological applications. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2020 Feb;61:142–52.
25. Uriot O, Galia W, Awussi AA, Perrin C, Denis S, Chalancon S, et al. Use of the dynamic gastro-intestinal model TIM to explore the survival of the yogurt bacterium Streptococcus thermophilus and the metabolic activities induced in the simulated human gut. *Food Microbiol.* 2016 Feb;53:18–29.
26. Martinović A, Cocuzzi R, Arioli S, Mora D. Streptococcus thermophilus: To Survive, or Not to Survive the Gastrointestinal Tract, That Is the Question! *Nutrients.* 2020 Jul 22;12(8):2175.
27. Tannock GW (Gerald W). Probiotics and prebiotics: scientific aspects. Caister Academic Press; 2005. 230 p.
28. Rizkalla SW, Luo J, Kabir M, Chevalier A, Pacher N, Slama G. Chronic consumption of fresh but not heated yogurt improves breath-hydrogen status and short-chain fatty acid profiles: a controlled study in healthy men with or without lactose maldigestion. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2000 Dec;72(6):1474–9.
29. Sharma R, Bhaskar B, S. Sanodiya B, S. Thakur G, Jaiswal P, Yadav N, et al. Probiotic Efficacy and Potential of Streptococcus thermophilus modulating human health: A synoptic review. *IOSR J Pharm Biol Sci.* 2014;9(3):52–8.
30. Hickson M, D’Souza AL, Muthu N, Rogers TR, Want S, Rajkumar C, et al. Use of probiotic Lactobacillus preparation to prevent diarrhoea associated with antibiotics: randomised double blind placebo controlled trial. *BMJ.* 2007 Jul 14;335(7610):80.
31. Hu J-S, Huang Y-Y, Kuang J-H, Yu J-J, Zhou Q-Y, Liu D-M. Streptococcus thermophiles DMST-H2 Promotes Recovery in Mice with Antibiotic-Associated Diarrhea. *Microorganisms.* 2020 Oct 24;8(11):1650.
32. Dargahi N, Johnson J, Apostolopoulos V. Streptococcus thermophilus alters the expression of genes associated with innate and adaptive immunity in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Ashour HM, editor. *PLOS ONE.* 2020 Feb 11;15(2):e0228531.
33. Mizuno H, Tomotsune K, Islam MA, Funabashi R, Albarracin L, Ikeda-Ohtsubo W, et al. Exopolysaccharides From Streptococcus thermophilus ST538 Modulate the Antiviral Innate Immune Response in Porcine Intestinal Epitheliocytes. *Front Microbiol.* 2020;11:894.
34. Shastri MD, Chong WC, Vemuri R, Martoni CJ, Adhikari S, Bhullar H, et al. Streptococcus Thermophilus UAS_t-09 Upregulates Goblet Cell Activity in Colonic Epithelial Cells to a Greater Degree than other Probiotic Strains. *Microorganisms.* 2020 Nov 9;8(11):1758.

35. Junjua M, Kechaou N, Chain F, Awussi AA, Roussel Y, Perrin C, et al. A large scale in vitro screening of *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains revealed strains with a high anti-inflammatory potential. *LWT*. 2016 Jul;70:78–87.
36. Vitetta L, Llewellyn H, Oldfield D. Gut Dysbiosis and the Intestinal Microbiome: *Streptococcus thermophilus* a Key Probiotic for Reducing Uremia. *Microorganisms*. 2019 Jul 31;7(8):228.
37. Larsson SC, Andersson S-O, Johansson J-E, Wolk A. Cultured milk, yogurt, and dairy intake in relation to bladder cancer risk in a prospective study of Swedish women and men. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008 Oct;88(4):1083–7.
38. Pala V, Sieri S, Berrino F, Vineis P, Sacerdote C, Palli D, et al. Yogurt consumption and risk of colorectal cancer in the Italian European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition cohort. *Int J Cancer*. 2011 Dec 1;129(11):2712–9.
39. Astegiano M, Pellicano R, Terzi E, Simondi D, Rizzetto M. Treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. A case control experience. *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol*. 2006 Dec;52(4):359–63.
40. Levit R, Savoy de Giori G, de Moreno de LeBlanc A, LeBlanc JG. Folate-producing lactic acid bacteria reduce inflammation in mice with induced intestinal mucositis. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2018 Nov;125(5):1494–501.
41. Ogita T, Nakashima M, Morita H, Saito Y, Suzuki T, Tanabe S. *Streptococcus thermophilus* ST28 Ameliorates Colitis in Mice Partially by Suppression of Inflammatory Th17 Cells. *J Biomed Biotechnol*. 2011;2011:1–9.
42. Carafa I, Stocco G, Nardin T, Larcher R, Bittante G, Tuohy K, et al. Production of Naturally γ -Aminobutyric Acid-Enriched Cheese Using the Dairy Strains *Streptococcus thermophilus* 84C and *Lactobacillus brevis* DSM 32386. *Front Microbiol*. 2019 Feb 13;10:93.
43. Laiño JE, Juarez del Valle M, Savoy de Giori G, LeBlanc JGJ. Applicability of a *Lactobacillus amylovorus* strain as co-culture for natural folate bio-enrichment of fermented milk. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2014 Nov;191:10–6.
44. Linares DM, O’Callaghan TF, O’Connor PM, Ross RP, Stanton C. *Streptococcus thermophilus* APC151 Strain Is Suitable for the Manufacture of Naturally GABA-Enriched Bioactive Yogurt. *Front Microbiol* [Internet]. 2016 Nov 23 [cited 2021 Jul 1];7. Available from: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01876/full>
45. Plaisancié P, Boutrou R, Estienne M, Henry G, Jardin J, Paquet A, et al. β -Casein(94-123)-derived peptides differently modulate production of mucins in intestinal goblet cells. *J Dairy Res*. 2015 Feb;82(1):36–46.

46. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA). Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to live yoghurt cultures and improved lactose digestion (ID 1143, 2976) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA J [Internet]. 2010 Oct [cited 2021 Jul 6];8(10). Available from: <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1763>
47. Sakandar HA, Zhang H. Trends in Probiotic(s)-Fermented milks and their in vivo functionality: A review. Trends Food Sci Technol. 2021 Apr;110:55–65.
48. Taverniti V, Guglielmetti S. The immunomodulatory properties of probiotic microorganisms beyond their viability (ghost probiotics: proposal of paraprobiotic concept). Genes Nutr. 2011 Aug;6(3):261–74.
49. Wagar LE, Champagne CP, Buckley ND, Raymond Y, Green-Johnson JM. Immunomodulatory Properties of Fermented Soy and Dairy Milks Prepared with Lactic Acid Bacteria. J Food Sci. 2009 Oct;74(8):M423–30.
50. Costa SS, Guimarães LC, Silva A, Soares SC, Baraúna RA. First Steps in the Analysis of Prokaryotic Pan-Genomes. Bioinforma Biol Insights. 2020 Jan;14:117793222093806.
51. Medini D, Donati C, Tettelin H, Massignani V, Rappuoli R. The microbial pan-genome. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2005 Dec;15(6):589–94.
52. Séguérel L, Bon C. On the Evolution of Lactase Persistence in Humans. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2017 Aug 31;18(1):297–319.
53. van den Bogaard PTC, Hols P, Kuipers OP, Kleerebezem M, de Vos WM. Sugar Utilisation and Conservation of the gal-lac Gene Cluster in Streptococcus thermophilus. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2004 Jan;27(1):10–7.
54. Mora D, Fortina MG, Parini C, Ricci G, Gatti M, Giraffa G, et al. Genetic diversity and technological properties of Streptococcus thermophilus strains isolated from dairy products. J Appl Microbiol. 2002 Aug;93(2):278–87.
55. Vaillancourt K, Moineau S, Frenette M, Lessard C, Vadeboncoeur C. Galactose and lactose genes from the galactose-positive bacterium Streptococcus salivarius and the phylogenetically related galactose-negative bacterium Streptococcus thermophilus: organization, sequence, transcription, and activity of the gal gene products. J Bacteriol. 2002 Feb;184(3):785–93.
56. de Vin F, Rådström P, Herman L, De Vuyst L. Molecular and Biochemical Analysis of the Galactose Phenotype of Dairy Streptococcus thermophilus Strains Reveals Four Different Fermentation Profiles. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2005 Jul;71(7):3659–67.
57. Anbukkarasi K, UmaMaheswari T, Hemalatha T, Nanda DK, Singh P, Singh R. Preparation of low galactose yogurt using cultures of Gal+ Streptococcus thermophilus in combination with Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus. J Food Sci Technol. 2014 Sep;51(9):2183–9.

58. Vaughan EE, van den Bogaard PTC, Catzeddu P, Kuipers OP, de Vos WM. Activation of Silent gal Genes in the lac-gal Regulon of *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *J Bacteriol*. 2001 Feb 15;183(4):1184–94.
59. Sørensen KI, Curic-Bawden M, Junge MP, Janzen T, Johansen E. Enhancing the Sweetness of Yoghurt through Metabolic Remodeling of Carbohydrate Metabolism in *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*. Elkins CA, editor. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2016 Jun 15;82(12):3683–92.
60. Giaretta S, Treu L, Vendramin V, da Silva Duarte V, Tarrah A, Campanaro S, et al. Comparative Transcriptomic Analysis of *Streptococcus thermophilus* TH1436 and TH1477 Showing Different Capability in the Use of Galactose. *Front Microbiol* [Internet]. 2018 Aug 7 [cited 2019 Jan 31];9. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01765/full>
61. de Vin F, Radstrom P, Herman L, De Vuyst L. Molecular and Biochemical Analysis of the Galactose Phenotype of Dairy *Streptococcus thermophilus* Strains Reveals Four Different Fermentation Profiles. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2005 Jul 1;71(7):3659–67.
62. Xiong Z-Q, Kong L-H, Meng H-L, Cui J-M, Xia Y-J, Wang S-J, et al. Comparison of gal–lac operons in wild-type galactose-positive and -negative *Streptococcus thermophilus* by genomics and transcription analysis. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2019 May;46(5):751–8.
63. Wu Q, Shah NP. The potential of species-specific tagatose-6-phosphate (T6P) pathway in *Lactobacillus casei* group for galactose reduction in fermented dairy foods. *Food Microbiol*. 2017 Apr;62:178–87.
64. Vos WM, Vaughan EE. Genetics of lactose utilization in lactic acid bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*. 1994 Oct;15(2–3):217–37.
65. Bracquart P, Lorient D. Influence of amino acids and peptides on the growth of *Streptococcus thermophilus*, 3: Peptides containing Glu, His and Met. *Milchwissenschaft*. 1979;34:676–9.
66. Letort C, Juillard V. Development of a minimal chemically-defined medium for the exponential growth of *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2001 Dec;91(6):1023–9.
67. Pastink MI, Teusink B, Hols P, Visser S, de Vos WM, Hugenholtz J. Genome-Scale Model of *Streptococcus thermophilus* LMG18311 for Metabolic Comparison of Lactic Acid Bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2009 Jun 1;75(11):3627–33.
68. Hafeez Z, Cakir-Kiefer C, Roux E, Perrin C, Miclo L, Dary-Mourot A. Strategies of producing bioactive peptides from milk proteins to functionalize fermented milk products. *Food Res Int*. 2014 Sep;63:71–80.
69. Korhonen H. Milk-derived bioactive peptides: From science to applications. *J Funct Foods*. 2009 Apr;1(2):177–87.

70. Miclo L, Roux É, Genay M, Brusseau É, Poirson C, Jameh N, et al. Variability of Hydrolysis of β -, α_{s1} -, and α_{s2} -Caseins by 10 Strains of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and Resulting Bioactive Peptides. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2012 Jan 18;60(2):554–65.
71. Brown L, Vera Pingitore E, Mozzi F, Saavedra L, M. Villegas J, M. Hebert E. Lactic Acid Bacteria as Cell Factories for the Generation of Bioactive Peptides. *Protein Pept Lett*. 2017 Jan 4;24(2):146–55.
72. Chang OK, Roux É, Awussi AA, Miclo L, Jardin J, Jameh N, et al. Use of a free form of the *Streptococcus thermophilus* cell envelope protease PrtS as a tool to produce bioactive peptides. *Int Dairy J*. 2014 Oct;38(2):104–15.
73. Bertrand-Harb C, Ivanova IV, Dalgalarrrondo M, Haertllé T. Evolution of β -lactoglobulin and α -lactalbumin content during yoghurt fermentation. *Int Dairy J*. 2003 Jan;13(1):39–45.
74. Bu G, Luo Y, Zhang Y, Chen F. Effects of fermentation by lactic acid bacteria on the antigenicity of bovine whey proteins. *J Sci Food Agric*. 2010 Jun 25;n/a-n/a.
75. Ayala-Niño A, Rodríguez-Serrano GM, Jiménez-Alvarado R, Bautista-Avila M, Sánchez-Franco JA, González-Olivares LG, et al. Bioactivity of Peptides Released During Lactic Fermentation of Amaranth Proteins with Potential Cardiovascular Protective Effect: An *In Vitro* Study. *J Med Food*. 2019 Oct 1;22(10):976–81.
76. Moreno-Montoro M, Olalla-Herrera M, Rufián-Henares JÁ, Martínez RG, Miralles B, Bergillos T, et al. Antioxidant, ACE-inhibitory and antimicrobial activity of fermented goat milk: activity and physicochemical property relationship of the peptide components. *Food Funct*. 2017;8(8):2783–91.
77. Moreno-Montoro M, Jauregi P, Navarro-Alarcón M, Olalla-Herrera M, Giménez-Martínez R, Amigo L, et al. Bioaccessible peptides released by in vitro gastrointestinal digestion of fermented goat milks. *Anal Bioanal Chem*. 2018 Jun;410(15):3597–606.
78. Paul M, Somkuti GA. Degradation of milk-based bioactive peptides by yogurt fermentation bacteria. *Lett Appl Microbiol*. 2009 Sep;49(3):345–50.
79. Dandoy D, Fremaux C, Henry de Frahan M, Horvath P, Boyaval P, Hols P, et al. The fast milk acidifying phenotype of *Streptococcus thermophilus* can be acquired by natural transformation of the genomic island encoding the cell-envelope proteinase PrtS. *Microb Cell Factories*. 2011;10(Suppl 1):S21.
80. Brasca M, Hogenboom JA, Morandi S, Rosi V, D’Incecco P, Silvetti T, et al. Proteolytic Activity and Production of γ -Aminobutyric Acid by *Streptococcus thermophilus* Cultivated in Microfiltered Pasteurized Milk. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2016 Nov 16;64(45):8604–14.
81. Galia W, Perrin C, Genay M, Dary A. Variability and molecular typing of *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains displaying different proteolytic and acidifying properties. *Int Dairy J*. 2009 Feb;19(2):89–95.

82. Yamamoto E, Watanabe R, Koizumi A, Ishida T, Kimura K. Isolation and characterization of *Streptococcus thermophilus* possessing prtS gene from raw milk in Japan. *Biosci Microbiota Food Health*. 2020;39(3):169–74.
83. Chang OK, Perrin C, Galia W, Saulnier F, Miclo L, Roux E, et al. Release of the cell-envelope protease PrtS in the growth medium of *Streptococcus thermophilus* 4F44. *Int Dairy J*. 2012 Apr;23(2):91–8.
84. Fernandez-Espla MD, Garault P, Monnet V, Rul F. *Streptococcus thermophilus* cell wall-anchored proteinase: release, purification, and biochemical and genetic characterization. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2000 Nov;66(11):4772–8.
85. Melini F, Melini V, Luziatelli F, Ficca AG, Ruzzi M. Health-Promoting Components in Fermented Foods: An Up-to-Date Systematic Review. *Nutrients*. 2019 May 27;11(5):1189.
86. Franciosi E, Carafa I, Nardin T, Schiavon S, Poznanski E, Cavazza A, et al. Biodiversity and γ -Aminobutyric Acid Production by Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Traditional Alpine Raw Cow's Milk Cheeses. *BioMed Res Int*. 2015;2015:1–11.
87. Iyer R, Tomar SK. Folate: a functional food constituent. *J Food Sci*. 2009 Dec;74(9):R114-122.
88. Saubade F, Hemery YM, Guyot J-P, Humblot C. Lactic acid fermentation as a tool for increasing the folate content of foods. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2017 Dec 12;57(18):3894–910.
89. Crittenden RG, Martinez NR, Playne MJ. Synthesis and utilisation of folate by yoghurt starter cultures and probiotic bacteria. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2003 Feb 15;80(3):217–22.
90. Holasová M, Fiedlerová V, Roubal P, Pechačová M. biosynthesis of folates by lactic acid bacteria and propionibacteria in fermented milk. *Czech J Food Sci*. 2004 Nov 16;22(No. 5):175–81.
91. Sybesma W, Starrenburg M, Kleerebezem M, Mierau I, de Vos WM, Hugenholtz J. Increased Production of Folate by Metabolic Engineering of *Lactococcus lactis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2003 Jun;69(6):3069–76.
92. Zamudio M, Gonzalez A, Medina JA. *Lactobacillus plantarum* phytase activity is due to non-specific acid phosphatase. *Lett Appl Microbiol*. 2001 Mar 6;32(3):181–4.
93. Klaus SMJ, Wegkamp A, Sybesma W, Hugenholtz J, Gregory JF, Hanson AD. A nudix enzyme removes pyrophosphate from dihydroneopterin triphosphate in the folate synthesis pathway of bacteria and plants. *J Biol Chem*. 2005 Feb 18;280(7):5274–80.
94. Meucci A, Rossetti L, Zago M, Monti L, Giraffa G, Carminati D, et al. Folates biosynthesis by *Streptococcus thermophilus* during growth in milk. *Food Microbiol*. 2018 Feb;69:116–22.

95. Tarrah A, Castilhos J de, Rossi RC, Duarte V da S, Ziegler DR, Corich V, et al. In vitro Probiotic Potential and Anti-cancer Activity of Newly Isolated Folate-Producing *Streptococcus thermophilus* Strains. *Front Microbiol.* 2018 Sep 19;9:2214.
96. Liu G, Qiao Y, Zhang Y, Leng C, Sun J, Chen H, et al. Profiles of *Streptococcus thermophilus* MN - ZLW -002 nutrient requirements in controlled pH batch fermentations. *MicrobiologyOpen.* 2019 Feb;8(2):e00633.
97. Coakley M, Banni S, Johnson MC, Mills S, Devery R, Fitzgerald G, et al. Inhibitory effect of conjugated alpha-linolenic acid from bifidobacteria of intestinal origin on SW480 cancer cells. *Lipids.* 2009 Mar;44(3):249–56.
98. Gorissen L, Leroy F, De Vuyst L, De Smet S, Raes K. Bacterial Production of Conjugated Linoleic and Linolenic Acid in Foods: A Technological Challenge. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 2015 Sep 19;55(11):1561–74.
99. Hennessy AA, Ross RP, Devery R, Stanton C. The health promoting properties of the conjugated isomers of α -linolenic acid. *Lipids.* 2011 Feb;46(2):105–19.
100. Yang J, Wang H-P, Zhou L-M, Zhou L, Chen T, Qin L-Q. Effect of conjugated linoleic acid on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled trials. *Lipids Health Dis.* 2015 Feb 18;14:11.
101. Cossignani L, Blasi F. Fermentation as a strategy to increase conjugated linoleic acid in dairy products. *Large Anim Rev.* 2019;25(3):101–4.
102. Alonso L, Cuesta EP, Gilliland SE. Production of free conjugated linoleic acid by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus casei* of human intestinal origin. *J Dairy Sci.* 2003 Jun;86(6):1941–6.
103. Ewaschuk JB, Walker JW, Diaz H, Madsen KL. Bioproduction of Conjugated Linoleic Acid by Probiotic Bacteria Occurs In Vitro and In Vivo in Mice. *J Nutr.* 2006 Jun 1;136(6):1483–7.
104. Rodríguez-Alcalá LM, Braga T, Xavier Malcata F, Gomes A, Fontecha J. Quantitative and qualitative determination of CLA produced by *Bifidobacterium* and lactic acid bacteria by combining spectrophotometric and Ag⁺-HPLC techniques. *Food Chem.* 2011 Apr;125(4):1373–8.
105. Van Nieuwenhove CP, Oliszewski R, González SN, Pérez Chaia AB. Conjugated linoleic acid conversion by dairy bacteria cultured in MRS broth and buffalo milk. *Lett Appl Microbiol.* 2007 May;44(5):467–74.
106. Macouzet M, Lee BH, Robert N. Genetic and structural comparison of linoleate isomerases from selected food-grade bacteria: Linoleate isomerases from food-grade bacteria. *J Appl Microbiol.* 2010 Dec;109(6):2128–34.

107. Arendt EK, Lucid A, Lucey B, Sleator RD, Coffey A, Lynch KM. Genomics of *Weissella cibaria* with an examination of its metabolic traits. *Microbiology*. 2015 Apr 1;161(4):914–30.
108. Klaenhammer TR. Genetics of bacteriocins produced by lactic acid bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*. 1993 Sep;12(1–3):39–85.
109. Ivanova I, Miteva V, Stefanova T, Pantev A, Budakov I, Danova S, et al. Characterization of a bacteriocin produced by *Streptococcus thermophilus* 81. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 1998 Jul;42(3):147–58.
110. Marciset O, Jeronimus-Stratingh MC, Mollet B, Poolman B. Thermophilin 13, a Nontypical Antilisterial Poration Complex Bacteriocin, That Functions without a Receptor. *J Biol Chem*. 1997 May;272(22):14277–84.
111. Rossi F, Marzotto M, Cremonese S, Rizzotti L, Torriani S. Diversity of *Streptococcus thermophilus* in bacteriocin production; inhibitory spectrum and occurrence of thermophilin genes. *Food Microbiol*. 2013 Aug;35(1):27–33.
112. Villani F, Pepe O, Mauriello G, Salzano G, Moschetti G, Coppola S. Antilisterial activity of thermophilin 347, a bacteriocin produced by *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 1995 Apr;25(2):179–90.
113. Tagg JR, McGiven AR. Assay system for bacteriocins. *Appl Microbiol*. 1971 May;21(5):943.
114. Blin K, Medema MH, Kottmann R, Lee SY, Weber T. The antiSMASH database, a comprehensive database of microbial secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene clusters. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2017 Jan 4;45(D1):D555–9.
115. Medema MH, Blin K, Cimermancic P, de Jager V, Zakrzewski P, Fischbach MA, et al. antiSMASH: rapid identification, annotation and analysis of secondary metabolite biosynthesis gene clusters in bacterial and fungal genome sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2011 Jul 1;39(suppl_2):W339–46.
116. Weber T, Blin K, Duddela S, Krug D, Kim HU, Brucoleri R, et al. antiSMASH 3.0—a comprehensive resource for the genome mining of biosynthetic gene clusters. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2015 Jul 1;43(W1):W237–43.
117. van Heel AJ, de Jong A, Montalbán-López M, Kok J, Kuipers OP. BAGEL3: automated identification of genes encoding bacteriocins and (non-)bactericidal posttranslationally modified peptides. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2013 Jul 1;41(W1):W448–53.
118. de Jong A, van Hijum SAFT, Bijlsma JJE, Kok J, Kuipers OP. BAGEL: a web-based bacteriocin genome mining tool. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2006 Jul 1;34(Web Server):W273–9.
119. de Jong A, van Heel AJ, Kok J, Kuipers OP. BAGEL2: mining for bacteriocins in genomic data. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2010 Jul 1;38(suppl_2):W647–51.

120. Renye JA, Somkuti GA. BlpC-regulated bacteriocin production in *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *Biotechnol Lett*. 2013 Mar;35(3):407–12.
121. Fontaine L, Hols P. The Inhibitory Spectrum of Thermophilin 9 from *Streptococcus thermophilus* LMD-9 Depends on the Production of Multiple Peptides and the Activity of BlpGSt, a Thiol-Disulfide Oxidase. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2008 Feb 15;74(4):1102–10.
122. Fontaine L, Boutry C, Guedon E, Guillot A, Ibrahim M, Grossiord B, et al. Quorum-Sensing Regulation of the Production of Blp Bacteriocins in *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *J Bacteriol*. 2007 Oct 15;189(20):7195–205.
123. Evivie SE, Li B, Ding X, Meng Y, Yu S, Du J, et al. Complete Genome Sequence of *Streptococcus thermophilus* KLDS 3.1003, A Strain with High Antimicrobial Potential against Foodborne and Vaginal Pathogens. *Front Microbiol* [Internet]. 2017 Jul 11 [cited 2017 Dec 19];8. Available from: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01238/full>
124. Somkuti GA, Renye JA. Effect of a BlpC-Based Quorum-Sensing Induction Peptide on Bacteriocin Production in *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *J Food Res*. 2015;4(1):88.
125. Zhong L. Emerging roles of lactic acid bacteria in protection against colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20(24):7878.
126. Songisepp E, Kullisaar T, Hütt P, Elias P, Brilene T, Zilmer M, et al. A New Probiotic Cheese with Antioxidative and Antimicrobial Activity. *J Dairy Sci*. 2004 Jul;87(7):2017–23.
127. Songisepp E, Kals J, Kullisaar T, Mändar R, Hütt P, Zilmer M, et al. Evaluation of the functional efficacy of an antioxidative probiotic in healthy volunteers. *Nutr J*. 2005 Dec;4(1):22.
128. Koller VJ, Marian B, Stidl R, Nersesyan A, Winter H, Simić T, et al. Impact of lactic acid bacteria on oxidative DNA damage in human derived colon cells. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2008 Apr;46(4):1221–9.
129. Kusuhara S, Ito M, Sato T, Yokoi W, Yamamoto Y, Harada K, et al. Intracellular GSH of *Streptococcus thermophilus* shows anti-oxidative activity against low-density lipoprotein oxidation *in vitro* and in a hyperlipidaemic hamster model. *Benef Microbes*. 2018 Jan 29;9(1):143–52.
130. Wang Y, Li H, Li T, Du X, Zhang X, Guo T, et al. Glutathione biosynthesis is essential for antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects of *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *Int Dairy J*. 2019 Feb;89:31–6.
131. Zaroni S, Pompei A, Cordisco L, Amaretti A, Rossi M, Matteuzzi D. Growth kinetics on oligo- and polysaccharides and promising features of three antioxidative potential probiotic strains. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2008 Nov;105(5):1266–76.
132. Pophaly SD, Poonam S, Pophaly SD, Kapila S, Nanda DK, Tomar SK, et al. Glutathione biosynthesis and activity of dependent enzymes in food-grade lactic acid bacteria harbouring multidomain

bifunctional fusion gene (*gshF*). J Appl Microbiol. 2017 Jul;123(1):194–203.

133. Staerck C, Gastebois A, Vandeputte P, Calenda A, Larcher G, Gillmann L, et al. Microbial antioxidant defense enzymes. Microb Pathog. 2017 Sep;110:56–65.

134. Benkerroum N. Biogenic Amines in Dairy Products: Origin, Incidence, and Control Means: Biogenic amines in dairy products.... Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf. 2016 Jul;15(4):801–26.

135. Linares DM, Martín M, Ladero V, Alvarez MA, Fernández M. Biogenic Amines in Dairy Products. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2011 Aug;51(7):691–703.

136. Spano G, Russo P, Lonvaud-Funel A, Lucas P, Alexandre H, Grandvalet C, et al. Biogenic amines in fermented foods. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2010 Nov;64(S3):S95–100.

137. Pessione E, Cirrincione S. Bioactive Molecules Released in Food by Lactic Acid Bacteria: Encrypted Peptides and Biogenic Amines. Front Microbiol [Internet]. 2016 Jun 9 [cited 2021 Jul 1];7. Available from: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00876/abstract>

138. Ruiz-Capillas C, Herrero A. Impact of Biogenic Amines on Food Quality and Safety. Foods. 2019 Feb 8;8(2):62.

139. Linares DM, del Río B, Ladero V, Martínez N, Fernández M, Martín MC, et al. Factors Influencing Biogenic Amines Accumulation in Dairy Products. Front Microbiol [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2021 Mar 1];3. Available from: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00180/abstract>

140. Barbieri F, Montanari C, Gardini F, Tabanelli G. Biogenic Amine Production by Lactic Acid Bacteria: A Review. Foods. 2019 Jan 7;8(1):17.

141. Guarcello R, De Angelis M, Settanni L, Formiglio S, Gaglio R, Minervini F, et al. Selection of Amine-Oxidizing Dairy Lactic Acid Bacteria and Identification of the Enzyme and Gene Involved in the Decrease of Biogenic Amines. Björkroth J, editor. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2016 Dec 1;82(23):6870–80.

142. Gardini F, Rossi F, Rizzotti L, Torriani S, Grazia L, Chiavari C, et al. Role of Streptococcus thermophilus PRI60 in histamine accumulation in cheese. Int Dairy J. 2012 Dec;27(1–2):71–6.

143. Gezginc Y, Akyol I, Kuley E, Özogul F. Biogenic amines formation in Streptococcus thermophilus isolated from home-made natural yogurt. Food Chem. 2013 May;138(1):655–62.

144. La Gioia F, Rizzotti L, Rossi F, Gardini F, Tabanelli G, Torriani S. Identification of a tyrosine decarboxylase gene (*tdcA*) in Streptococcus thermophilus 1TT45 and analysis of its expression and tyramine production in milk. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011 Feb;77(3):1140–4.

145. Rossi F, Gardini F, Rizzotti L, La Gioia F, Tabanelli G, Torriani S. Quantitative analysis of histidine decarboxylase gene (*hdcA*) transcription and histamine production by Streptococcus thermophilus PRI60

under conditions relevant to cheese making. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2011 Apr;77(8):2817–22.

146. Calles-Enríquez M, Eriksen BH, Andersen PS, Rattray FP, Johansen AH, Fernández M, et al. Sequencing and transcriptional analysis of the *Streptococcus thermophilus* histamine biosynthesis gene cluster: factors that affect differential *hdcA* expression. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2010 Sep;76(18):6231–8.

147. Rezaei Javan R, Ramos-Sevillano E, Akter A, Brown J, Brueggemann AB. Prophages and satellite prophages are widespread in *Streptococcus* and may play a role in pneumococcal pathogenesis. *Nat Commun.* 2019 Dec;10(1):4852.

148. Ladero V, Martín MC, Redruello B, Mayo B, Flórez AB, Fernández M, et al. Genetic and functional analysis of biogenic amine production capacity among starter and non-starter lactic acid bacteria isolated from artisanal cheeses. *Eur Food Res Technol.* 2015 Sep;241(3):377–83.

149. Ambrose KD, Nisbet R, Stephens DS. Macrolide Efflux in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Is Mediated by a Dual Efflux Pump (*mel* and *mef*) and Is Erythromycin Inducible. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2005 Oct;49(10):4203–9.

150. Del Grosso M, Iannelli F, Messina C, Santagati M, Petrosillo N, Stefani S, et al. Macrolide Efflux Genes *mef* (A) and *mef* (E) Are Carried by Different Genetic Elements in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2002 Mar;40(3):774–8.

151. Charpentier E, Gerbaud G, Courvalin P. Characterization of a new class of tetracycline-resistance gene *tet*(S) in *Listeria monocytogenes* BM4210. *Gene.* 1993 Sep;131(1):27–34.

152. Tarrah A, Pakroo S, Corich V, Giacomini A. Identification and Transferability of Tetracycline Resistance in *Streptococcus thermophilus* during Milk Fermentation, Storage, and Gastrointestinal Transit. *Fermentation.* 2021 Apr 28;7(2):65.

153. Vallenet D, Calteau A, Dubois M, Amours P, Bazin A, Beuvin M, et al. MicroScope: an integrated platform for the annotation and exploration of microbial gene functions through genomic, pangenomic and metabolic comparative analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019 Oct 24;gkz926.

154. Cantalapiedra CP, Hernández-Plaza A, Letunic I, Bork P, Huerta-Cepas J. eggNOG-mapper v2: Functional Annotation, Orthology Assignments, and Domain Prediction at the Metagenomic Scale [Internet]. *Bioinformatics*; 2021 Jun [cited 2021 Jul 6]. Available from: <http://bioxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.06.03.446934>

155. Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund SK, Cook H, et al. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019 Jan 8;47(D1):D309–14.

156. Galili T. dendextend: an R package for visualizing, adjusting and comparing trees of hierarchical clustering. *Bioinformatics.* 2015 Nov 15;31(22):3718–20.

157. Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief Bioinform.* 2019 Jul 19;20(4):1160–6.
158. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Battistuzzi FU, editor. Mol Biol Evol.* 2018 Jun 1;35(6):1547–9.
159. Stecher G, Tamura K, Kumar S. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) for macOS. *Russo C, editor. Mol Biol Evol.* 2020 Apr 1;37(4):1237–9.
160. Khan A, Mathelier A. Intervene: a tool for intersection and visualization of multiple gene or genomic region sets. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2017 Dec;18(1):287.
161. Lawrence M, Gentleman R, Carey V. rtracklayer: an R package for interfacing with genome browsers. *Bioinformatics.* 2009 Jul 15;25(14):1841–2.
162. Feinerer I, Hornik K, Meyer D. Text Mining Infrastructure in *R*. *J Stat Softw* [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2021 Oct 22];25(5). Available from: <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v25/i05/>
163. Gilchrist CLM, Chooi Y-H. clinker & clustermap.js: automatic generation of gene cluster comparison figures. *Robinson P, editor. Bioinformatics.* 2021 Jan 18;btab007.
164. Hulo N, Bairoch A, Bulliard V, Cerutti L, Cuče BA, de Castro E, et al. The 20 years of PROSITE. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007 Dec 23;36(Database):D245–9.
165. Feldgarden M, Brover V, Haft DH, Prasad AB, Slotta DJ, Tolstoy I, et al. Validating the AMRFinder Tool and Resistance Gene Database by Using Antimicrobial Resistance Genotype-Phenotype Correlations in a Collection of Isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* [Internet]. 2019 Nov [cited 2021 Jul 6];63(11). Available from: <https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/AAC.00483-19>
166. Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, et al. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2012 Nov 1;67(11):2640–4.
167. Gupta SK, Padmanabhan BR, Diene SM, Lopez-Rojas R, Kempf M, Landraud L, et al. ARG-ANNOT, a New Bioinformatic Tool To Discover Antibiotic Resistance Genes in Bacterial Genomes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2014 Jan;58(1):212–20.
168. Jia B, Raphenya AR, Alcock B, Wagglechner N, Guo P, Tsang KK, et al. CARD 2017: expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017 Jan 4;45(D1):D566–73.
169. Ingle DJ, Valcanis M, Kuzevski A, Tauschek M, Inouye M, Stinear T, et al. In silico serotyping of *E. coli* from short read data identifies limited novel O-loci but extensive diversity of O:H serotype combinations within and between pathogenic lineages. *Microb Genomics* [Internet]. 2016 Jul 11 [cited 2021 Jul 6];2(7). Available from: <https://www.microbiologyresearch.org/content/journal/mgen/10.1099/mgen.0.000064>

170. Liu B, Zheng D, Jin Q, Chen L, Yang J. VFDB 2019: a comparative pathogenomic platform with an interactive web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019 Jan 8;47(D1):D687–92.
171. Caswell TA, Droettboom M, Lee A, De Andrade ES, Hoffmann T, Hunter J, et al. matplotlib/matplotlib: REL: v3.5.0rc1 [Internet]. Zenodo; 2021 [cited 2021 Oct 14]. Available from: <https://zenodo.org/record/592536>

Figures

Figure 1

Health-promoting properties of *S. thermophilus*. Green, beneficial traits; orange, undesirable traits.

various COG categories. (A) RNA processing and modification; (C) Energy production and conversion; (D) Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning; (E) Amino acid transport and metabolism; (F) Nucleotide transport and metabolism; (G) Carbohydrate transport and metabolism; (H) Coenzyme transport and metabolism; (I) Lipid transport and metabolism; (J) Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis; (K) Transcription; (L) Replication, recombination, and repair; (M) Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis; (N) Cell motility; (O) Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones; (P) Inorganic ion transport and metabolism; (Q) Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism; (S) Function unknown; (T) Signal transduction mechanisms; (U) Intracellular trafficking and secretion; (V) Defense mechanisms. (C) Word cloud of pseudogenes annotations from MicroScope. Word size is calculated accordingly to its occurrence. (D) Core proteome pairwise heatmap of the 79 STH strains. Strains were clustered into three clusters (a, b, c). Subgroups within the clusters are also identified (a1, a2, a3, b1, and b2).

Figure 3

Metabolism of galactose and lactose in *S. thermophilus*. (A) Schematic representation of the main steps of lactose and galactose catabolism in *S. thermophilus*. LacEF, lactose/galactose PTS system; LacG, 6-phospho-beta-galactosidase; LacD, tagatose 1,6-biphosphate aldolase; LacC, tagatose-6-phosphate kinase; LacAB, galactose-6-phosphate isomerase subunits A and B; LacS, lactose permease; LacZ, beta-galactosidase; GalM, galactose mutarotase; LacX, aldolase 1-epimerase; GalK, galactokinase; GalT,

galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase; GalE, UDP-glucose 4-epimerase; PgmA, phosphoglucomutase.

(B) Nucleotide sequences alignment of the galR-galK intergenic region of various *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains. Promoter sequences were annotated according to Vaughan et al. (2001) and Vaillancourt et al. (2002). The start codon of both galR and galK is underlined. The -35 and -10 sequences are grey-boxed and the ribosome binding sites are in bold. The transcription starting sites are in red. Nucleotide substitutions and indels are yellow- and blue-boxed, respectively. 1: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* DGCC 7710, 05-34, C106, ND07, MN-BM-A02, ASCC 1275, N4L, MTH17CL396, JIM8232, CIRM-BIA1048, CIRM-BIA1049, ST109, CAG:236, CIRM-BIA1047, MTCC 5461 and CIRM-BIA772; 2: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* CIRM-BIA1121; 3: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* B59671; 4: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* TH982; 5: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* 1F8CT; 6: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* LMG 18311, BIO1488, KU30, KLDS3.1012, CIRM-BIA1125, CIRM-BIA1116, GABA, LMG S-29186, LMD-9, CIRM-BIA1051, CIRM-BIA1055, CIRM-BIA2101, CIRM-BIA67, CIRM-BIA1050, CIRM-BIA36, TH985, FAM 13496, CIRM-BIA16, IDCC2201, ACA-DC 2, CIRM-BIA18, CIRM-BIA32, CIRM-BIA1358, CIRM-BIA19, APC151, CIRM-BIA1122, MN-ZLW-002, ND03, SMQ-301, MN-BM-A01, and S9; 7: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* EPS; 8: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* CIRM-BIA65; 9: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* CNRZ1066; 10: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* CS8; 11: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* CIRM-BIA1046; 12: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* TH1477; 13: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* CIRM-BIA23, CIRM-BIA29, CIRM-BIA30, and CIRM-BIA1035; 14: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* KLDS SM; 15: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* KLDS 3.1003; 16: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* ST3; 17: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* TH1436; 18: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* M17PTZA496, ATCC 19258, and NCTC12958; 19: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* JIM1081, CIRM-BIA961, CIRM-BIA967, JIM10116, and CIRM-BIA336; 20: Promoter sequence of *S. thermophilus* CIRM-BIA368, CIRM-BIA956, and CIRM-BIA998. (C) Genetic organization of genes coding for the tagatose 6P pathway in *S. thermophilus* strain JIM10116 and CIRM-BIA336. The lengths of genes (pentagons) and intergenic regions are drawn to scale. Blue-colored pentagons code for proteins involved in galactose/lactose assimilation; black-hatched pentagons code for transposase; grey-colored pentagons code for proteins of unknown function; yellow-colored pentagon code for a putative imma protease; red-colored pentagon code for a XRE family transcriptional regulator; pink-colored pentagon code for an haloacid dehalogenase. The underlined part of the cluster corresponds to the region with high nucleotide identity (>96%) with gene clusters found in various *Enterococcus* and *Lactococcus* species.

<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> strains	gene locus tag	protein identifier	SS (35 aa)	PP (141 aa)	PR (495 aa)	A (439 aa)	H (367 aa)	W (106 aa)	AN (35 aa)
LMD-9; ST3; LMG 5-29186	STER_0846	ABJ66087.1	100% id	100% id	100% id	100% id	100% id	100% id	100% id
ASCC1275; C106; ND07; KLD5 SM; DGCC 7710; 05-34	T303_RS05160	WP_024704321.1	100% id	99.3% id (1 mut.: S/P)	100% id	100% id	97.5% id (9 mut.)	100% id	100% id
GABA	CR021_RS04045	WP_101415599.1	100% id	100% id	99.8% id (1 mut.: N/D)	100% id	100% id	100% id	100% id
JIM 8232	STH8232_RS04360	WP_014621449.1	100% id	99.3% id (1 mut.: S/P)	100% id	99.8% id (1 mut.: K/E)	97.3% id (10 mut.)	98.1% id (2 mut.)	91.4% id (3 mut.)
MIN-BM-A02	MNA02_RS04075	WP_024704321.1	100% id	99.3% id (1 mut.: S/P)	100% id	100% id	97.5% id (9 mut.)	100% id	100% id
MN-ZLW-002	Y1U_RS05420	WP_014727544.1	100% id	95.7% id (6 mut.)	98.6% id (7 mut.)	97.5% id (11 mut.)	99.7% id (1 mut.: R/W)	100% id	100% id
TH1435	U730_RS01245	WP_023909378.1	97.1% id (1 mut.: V/V)	95.7% id (6 mut.)	99.4% id (3 mut.)	100% id	97.3% id (10 mut.)	97.2% id (3 mut.)	88.6% id (4 mut.)
CIRM1051	H1X38_RS05425	WP_180484887.1	100% id	95.7% id (6 mut.)	98.6% id (7 mut.)	97.5% id (11 mut.)	99.4% id (2 mut.)	100% id	100% id
CIRM1046	H1W95_RS03985	WP_180483567.1	100% id	95.7% id (6 mut.)	98.6% id (7 mut.)	96.3% id (16 mut.)	100% id	100% id	100% id
N4L; BIO1488; IDCC2201	STN4L_RS08245	WP_064356012.1	100% id	75.9% id (gap 32 aa + 2 mut.)	100% id	100% id	100% id	100% id	100% id
4F44 (Chang et al., 2012)		A0877872.1	100% id	74.4% id (gap 32 aa + 4 mut.)	98.6% id (7 mut.)	97.5% id (11 mut.)	99.7% id (1 mut.: R/W)	99% id (1 mut.: S/G)	91.4% id (3 mut.)
CNRZ385 (Fernandez-Espia et al., 2000)	AF243528	AAG097771.1	100% id	73.7% id (gap 32 aa + 5 mut.)	98.6% id (7 mut.)	92.0% id (35 mut.)	100% id	100% id	100% id

Figure 4

PrtS protease domain alignments and amino acid sequence identity percentages (reference: PrtS from STH LMD-9). The domains of PrtS are: SS, signal sequence; PP, propeptide; PR, catalytic domain; A, globular domain; H, helical domain; W, cell wall domain; AN, cell wall anchor. Domain length is indicated in number of amino acids (aa). The two biochemically characterized sequences of PrtS from strains CNRZ385 (84) and 4F44 (83) were added to this table.

Figure 5

Genomic features of GABA biosynthetic genes in *S. thermophilus*. (A) Comparison of the genomic region harboring the *gadB* and *gadC* genes coding for GABA production in various *S. thermophilus* strains and *S. salivarius* strain JIM8777. The relative positions of genes (pentagons) and intergenic regions are drawn to scale. (B) Nucleotide sequences alignment of the *gadB* promoter region of various *S. thermophilus* strains. The deduced -35 and extended -10 sequences are grey-boxed and variable nucleotides are in red.

Figure 6

Heatmap of the presence or absence of bacteriocin clusters in *S. thermophilus* strains (predicted by BAGEL4). The color gradient represents the number of predicted clusters per strain, from 0 to 4. LAPs: Linear azol(in)e-containing peptides.

Figure 7

Comparison of the genomic region harboring the *gpx* gene in various *S. thermophilus* strains, *S. vestibularis* NCTC12167 and *S. salivarius* CCHSS3. The relative lengths of genes (pentagons) and intergenic regions are drawn to scale.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [STHhealthSupfig.docx](#)
- [SuppTablesSTHHealthENDAN.xlsx](#)