The Effect of Pelvic Floor Muscle Training on Pelvic Floor Function and Sexuality Postpartum. A Randomized Study Including 300 Primiparous

Sabine Schuetze (✉ sabine.schuetze@uniklinik-ulm.de)

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital of Ulm

Marlen Heinloth

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital of Ulm

Miriam Uhde

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital of Ulm

Juliane Schütze

Department of Basic Science, University of Applied Sciences Jena

Beate Hünér

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital of Ulm

Wolfgang Janni

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital of Ulm

Miriam Deniz

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital of Ulm <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7327-7735>

Research Article

Keywords: pelvic floor muscle training, primiparous women, pelvic floor function, sexuality, female sexual dysfunction, Female Sexual Function Index

Posted Date: December 14th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1083275/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics on April 4th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-022-06542-z>.

Abstract

Purpose

Although pregnancy and childbirth are physiological processes, they may be associated with pelvic floor disorders. The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of pelvic floor muscle training on postpartum pelvic floor and sexual function of primiparous.

Methods

This is a randomized, prospective study including 300 primiparous women. Inclusion criteria were the delivery of the first, mature baby, the ability to speak, understand German. The participants were evaluated by clinical examinations and questionnaires after 6, 12 months postpartum. After 6 months the women were randomized in two groups. Compared to the control group the intervention groups participated in pelvic floor muscle training once a week over 6 weeks.

Results

The results of the questionnaires showed no significant differences between the groups after 12 months. A significant stronger pelvic floor muscle strength was found for the intervention group after 12 months. The improvement of the pelvic floor and sexual function over the time showed a significant improvement in both groups

Conclusion

Supervised pelvic floor muscle training did not improve both the pelvic floor and the female sexual function in comparison to the control group. After 12 months the pelvic floor and sexual function improved significant in all women.

Trial registration number

German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS00024725), retrospectively registered

Introduction

Although pregnancy and childbirth are physiological processes, they may be associated with potential physical problems. It is known, that up to 33% women suffer of urinary incontinence (UI) and 10% report stool incontinence after delivery [1]. Another important topic during and after pregnancy is the change in sexual life. This still seems to be a taboo topic as it is not regularly integrated in the gynecological checkups. Therefore, the validated Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) questionnaire is a great tool to advance research in this topic [2]. Many postpartum problems originate from pelvic floor disorders. The question arises, whether pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) has an influence on the sexual and the pelvic floor function. Martinez et al. 2014 stated, that women with stronger pelvic floor muscles have better

sexual function, though the mechanism by which this is achieved is not clearly understood [3]. Ferreira et al. stated that most studies indicated an improvement of at least one sexual variable due to PFMT [4]. The effect of PFMT on other pelvic floor disorders postpartum are also uncertain. Woodley et al. were unable to prove, if PFMT is effective to prevent or treat these incontinences in pregnant or postpartum women one year after delivery [5].

In order to gain more knowledge of the influence of PFMT on the pelvic floor and sexual function, this randomized study was conducted. The goal was to find ways to help affected women, breaking taboo topics and highlighting the importance of health care workers to speak about these topics.

Material And Methods

Ethical Board Approval and Registration:

This study was approved by the ethics committee Ulm, Germany (377/16) and registered in German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS00024725). Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Study design, participants

All primiparous, who delivered in our hospital between 2018 - 2019, were asked to take part. Women were informed about the study by a doctor using written and verbal information. Inclusion criteria were primiparas with a term baby and the ability to speak German fluently. Exclusion criteria were secondipara or above, a premature delivery and language barriers. Due to the new approach of this study, no comparable studies were found to carry out a reliable power analysis. Recruitment was stopped when the number of 300 women were included (Figure 1). The participants were evaluated by examinations and questionnaires (see *Examination, Questionnaire*) at 6 (T1) and 12 (T2) months postpartum. At T1 the women were randomized in two groups (see *Randomization, Intervention*). At T1 200, at T2 164 women participated (Figure 1). Reasons for the loss to follow-up were moves, limited time, fear of the corona virus, corona infection, other illnesses and no interest. The primary outcome was the effect of PFMT on the FSFI and the PFQ. Secondary outcomes were the influence of the PFMT on the grade of prolapse, the pelvic floor muscle contraction and the effect of time between 6 and 12 months.

Examination

Participants at 6 and 12 months postpartum received questionnaires and underwent a gynecologic examination. This included a speculum examination to evaluate the degree of the prolapse with the pelvic organ prolapse quantification system (POP-Q, [6]). The POP-Q staging is rated from 0 (no prolapse) to 4 (total prolapse). An evaluation of pelvic floor contraction was conducted using the Oxford Score [7]. A modified Oxford Score from 1 (no contraction), 2 (weak), 3 (moderate), 4 (good) to 5 (strong) was used. Four gynecologists specialized in urogynecology performed alternately the examination.

Questionnaires

Women received the German FSFI-d and the “Pelvic floor questionnaire for pregnant women and women after childbirth” (PFQ).

FSFI-d

The FSFI-d is the German version of the FSFI questionnaire; a 19-item English questionnaire including the domains desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction, pain. Each domain has a maximum value of 6. The FSFI total score ranges from 2 to 36 [2, 8]. 15 of the items contain a zero option to indicate either “no sexual activity” (12 items) or “did not attempt intercourse” (3 items). The absence of sexual activity or intercourse is not attributable to sexual dysfunction. The incorrect use of the zero category in calculating FSFI domains, except desire, and the total score would underestimate women’s sexual functioning scores [9]. All scores, except desire, were excluded for these women in the analysis. A total score ≤ 26.55 is indicative for female sexual dysfunction (FSD) [10]. Therefore, in both groups the proportion of FSD was evaluated.

PFQ

The PFQ consisting of question regarding the delivery, demographic data and 42 specific questions. These specific questions counted in the score and encompassing the four areas of bladder-, anal-, prolapse- and sexual function [11]. The four areas were scaled from 0 (no dysfunction) to 10 (maximal dysfunction). The areas were summated, giving a total score of 0 – 40.

Randomization and Intervention

Randomization in two groups, IG - and CG, took place after 6 months. The randomization was prepared in advance with sealed envelopes for a target size of 300 participants. These were opened in the presence of the participants after the examination. The IG comprised weekly, 45 minutes pelvic floor training for 6 weeks, in groups of 10 women instructed by professional pelvic floor physiotherapists. They were asked to do the exercise daily. The CG was asked to do the exercises they had learned in the postnatal gymnastic daily. Out of the IG, 12 women did not take part in the professional pelvic floor training. They were excluded for the statistical analyses.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics V26. Quantitative data were represented by min, max, median, Interquartile range, or mean and standard deviation. Comparisons between IG and CG were analyzed by Man-Whitney U or t-Test, improvement over time between groups was reported by the corresponding differences and analyzed by repeated measurement design. Qualitative data were examined by Chi Square test with Fisher’s exact method in case of expected cell frequency below 5. McNemar test was used for comparison over time. No missing data were imputed resulting in different number of cases in the tests”

Results

Study population

Figure 1 shows the number of participants and the lost to follow-up. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics. The two groups were balanced with no significant differences. Regarding sexual intercourse no significant difference was shown between the groups at T1. The analyses between the lost to follow-ups and the included participants showed no significant difference for demographic factors, examination findings and the FSFI score. The lost to follow-ups had a significant better PFQ total score ($p = 0.004$).

Table 1
Demographic data of female participants

Parameters	Intervention group (n)	Intervention group (mean / SD)	Control group (n)	Control group (mean / SD)	p-value
Age in years*	100	32.32 (4.70)	100	31.57 (4.56)	0.253
BMI*	99	25.38 (6.06)	99	25.57 (4.71)	0.806
Child weight (grams)	100	3297 (402)	100	3332 (523)	0.596
Familiar pelvic floor dysfunction					0.560
<i>yes</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>14.3%</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>20%</i>	
<i>don't know</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>16.3%</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>16%</i>	
<i>no</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>69.4%</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>64%</i>	
Smoking					0.964
<i>yes</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>6.1%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>6%</i>	
<i>stopped</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>14.3%</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>13%</i>	
<i>no</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>79.6%</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>81%</i>	
Possible pelvic floor contraction					0.349
<i>yes</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>90.8%</i>	<i>91</i>	<i>91.9%</i>	
<i>don't know</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5.1%</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>7.1%</i>	
<i>no</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>4.1%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1%</i>	
breast feeding					0.965
<i>never</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>8.0%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>6.4%</i>	
<i>< 6 month</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>19.5%</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>21.8%</i>	
<i>> 6 months to one year</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>44.8%</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>43.6%</i>	
<i>> 1 year</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>27.6%</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>28.2%</i>	

Intervention

18% of the women in the IG did not take part. 6% of the women joined 17%, 2% joined 33%, 74% joined $\geq$ 50% of training. Those with $\leq$ 50% adherence (with one exception) had a bladder score $\leq$ 1.75 at T1.

Furthermore, all participants who had a bladder score >1,75 at T1 attended >50% of appointments (except the same one exception) (Figure 2). There was a significant correlation between an initially higher bladder score and therapy adherence ($p = 0.025$; Figure 2).

Group comparisons at T2

At T1 77% of the IG and 73% of the CG had sexual intercourse. At T2 82% of the women in the control group (CG), 86% of the participants in the intervention group (IG) were included.

Table 2 demonstrates the differences of the FSFI (T2-T1) and the PFQ (T1-T2) over time for each group and compares them. None of the domains were found to be significantly set apart. The POP Q score showed no significant difference for both groups (IG: $p = 0.053$; CG: $p = 0.545$; group comparison $p = 0.281$). A significant higher Oxford score was found for the IG (IG $p = 0.005$; CG $p = 0.111$; Table 3). Frequency of sexual intercourse after 12 months showed a significant improvement in the IG ($p = 0.002$; Table 3).

Table 2
Comparisons of change of the FSFI and the PFQ between the groups after the intervention (12 months; T2).

	Intervention group		Control group		p- value
	T2-T1	N	T2-T1	N	
	median [min; max]		median [min; max]		
FSFI (total score)	2.7 [-6.7; 13.8]	44	3.10 [-5.1; 13.2]	42	0.465
arousal	0.3 [-2.4; 3.3]	49	0.6 [-1.5; 3.0]	45	0.426
lubrication	0.3 [-3.0; 3.3]	49	0.3 [-1.2; 4.8]	45	0.682
orgasm	0.00 [-2.8; 3.6]	47	0.4 [-3.6; 3.2]	44	0.729
pain	0.4 [-2; 4]	48	0.6 [0; 4.4]	44	0.775
desire	0.6 [-1.2; 3.6]	69	0.6 [-3; 2.4]	65	0.432
satisfaction	0.0 [-3.6; 4]	49	0.8 [-4.4; 2.8]	45	0.112
	T1-T2	n	T1-T2	n	
	median [min; max]		median [min; max]		
PFQ (total score)	1.5 [-5,1; 9,9]	51	1.1 [-2,5; 7,6]	57	0.940
Bladder score	0.0 [-1,5; 3,3]	65	0.0 [-1,3; 2,1]	63	0.477
Bowl score	0,3 [-1,3; 2,3]	67	0.3 [-2,6; 3,6]	65	0.453
Prolapse score	0.0 [-2; 4]	67	0.0 [-1,3; 2,7]	76	0.808
Sexuality score	0.4 [-4,2; 5]	59	0.4 [-1,3; 4,2]	61	0.633

Table 3

Examination findings at the different time points (T1: 6 months and T2: 12 months after delivery) for the respective group as well as the group comparison.

	Intervention group			Control group			Group comparison
	T1	T2	p value n	T1	T2	p value n	p value
POP-Q							
grade 0	29.4%	48.5%	0.053	35.2%	46.5%	0.545	0.281
grade 1	61.8%	42.6%	n=68	62.0%	50.7%	n= 71	
grade 2	8.8%	8.8%		2.8%	2.8%		
Oxford-Score							
1	11.8%	10.3%	0.005	19.4%	11.1%	0.111	0.735
2	30.9%	8.8%	n= 68	29.2%	16.7%	n= 72	
3	22.1%	29.4%		19.4%	34.7%		
4	26.5%	27.9%		23.6%	23.6%		
5	8.8%	23.5%		8.3%	13.9%		
intercourse frequency							
nor	12.9%	7.1%	0.002	11,9%	6.0%	0.086	0.181
rare	58.6%	42.9%	n=70	49.3%	46.3%	n= 67	
regularly	28.6%	50%		38.8%	47.8%		
Risk for FSD	43.2%	22.7%	0.049 n= 44	42.9%	11.9%	0.001 n=42	0.186

Improvement over the time

Both groups showed a significant improvement in the FSFI scores over time. The IG demonstrated a significant improvement over time of the total score ($p = 0.001$), the domains desire ($p = 0.00$), arousal ($p = 0.043$), lubrication ($p = 0.002$), orgasm ($p = 0.010$), pain ($p = 0.00$). Only satisfaction was not significant ($p = 0.153$). The following results were found for the CG: Total score ($p = 0.000$; figure 3), the

domains desire ($p = 0.00$), arousal ($p = 0.001$), lubrication ($p = 0.000$), orgasm ($p = 0.012$), satisfaction ($p = 0.005$), pain ($p = 0.00$). Regarding the question whether there is sexual intercourse no significant difference could be shown over time.

In respect to the PFQ except for the bladder score, all scores were significant improving over the time. IG: total score ($p = 0.000$; figure 3), bladder score ($p = 0.354$), anal score ($p = 0.005$), prolapse score ($p = 0.005$), sexual score ($p = 0.000$). CG: total score ($p = 0.000$), bladder score ($p = 0.051$), anal score ($p = 0.002$), prolapse score ($p = 0.001$), sexual score ($p = 0.000$).

Regarding the examination the POP Q score showed a positive trend over time, however no significant change in both groups (IG: $p = 0.053$; CG: 0.545). A significant improvement over the time showed the Oxford Score for the IG: $p = 0.005$ (Table 3).

FSD

The proportion of participants with a FSFI total score ≤ 26.55 did not differ between the groups at T1 ($p = 0.926$). A risk for FSD was identified in 42.9% of CG and 43.2% of IG. After intervention 11.9 %of the CG and 22.7 %of the IG were indicative for FSD. The proportion of participants with FSD did not differ significantly between the groups after intervention ($p = 0.186$). In both groups there was a significant improvement over time (CG $p = 0.001$, IG $p = 0.049$; Table 3).

Discussion

The demographic and clinical characteristics were similar in the IG and CG indicating a balanced study population. This is an important foundation to compare these groups and it demonstrates a balanced study population.

The main question is the influence of additional PFMT on the sexual and pelvic floor function. Interestingly, participants with a worse bladder score showed a higher therapy adherence. This could be explained due to a higher initial level of suffering. In the main domains of the FSFI and the PFQ no significant differences could be shown between the groups. This is in accordance with other studies [12, 13]. Ahlund et al. randomized 100 primiparous women in home-base PFMT between 3 – 9 months after delivery. The CG received the customary written postpartum instructions and recommendations about PFMT. The IG received a lecture on anatomy, physiology, urinary continence, a written special PFMT program and they had three planned visits during the study period for a follow up and an examination by the midwives. Both groups were re-examined and assessed 9 months postpartum. No differences between these groups were found, both groups showed a significantly better muscle strength after the intervention time [13]. Other studies demonstrated a positive effect of PFMT on the sexual function [14] [4] as well as on the pelvic floor function [15, 16]. The results of our study could be explained by the socio-demographic characteristics of the subjects: highly motivated participants, recruited from a clinic with high socio-economic status. As Baumann et al. stated, both social influences and motivation could be

important determinants of adherence to training [17]. It is known, that independent practice in addition to the exercise lessons improves the effectiveness of the postnatal gymnastics [18]. The CG was most likely well motivated and probably adhered to their learned PFMT to the same extent as the IG.

The additional PFMT had a positive effect on the strength of the pelvic floor muscles. It should be mentioned that the Oxford Score is a subjectively ascertained parameter. In this study no connection between a stronger pelvic floor muscle and a better sexual function, as demonstrated by Martinez et al., could not be shown in this study [3]. The frequency of sexual intercourse varied significantly in the IG. They had regular sexual activity, which might be due to the higher Oxford Score. A significant improvement of pelvic floor and sexual function over the time could be shown in both study groups in almost all domains. This is in line with the study of Ahlund et al. [13]. These significant improvement over time can be seen in context with the normal reparative process, the adaption to a live with a baby as well as the probably highly motivated participants, who carried out PFMT regularly. This is important for counseling couples antenatally; thus averting many problems in the postnatal period as pelvic floor disorders can have a detrimental effect on a women's quality of life.

The bladder score showed no significant improvement over the time. It is known that the strength of the pelvic floor muscles decrease during pregnancy and in the first months after childbirth due to hormonal and anatomical changes, which could lead to UI [19]. The Cochrane analyses of Woodley et al. demonstrated that it is uncertain whether a population-based approach for delivering postnatal PFMT is effective in reducing UI. Uncertainty surrounds the effects of PFMT as a treatment for UI in antenatal and postnatal women, while it is accepted to be effective in mid-life women [5, 20]. Hilde et al. 2013 found, that PFMT does not decrease UI prevalence 6 months after delivery in primiparous women [21]. These data are in line with our findings. Following factors might have an influence. Our study population was not separated for risk factors regarding UI and it seems questionable to compare women after delivery, with those in their mid-life age.

Up to two-thirds of postpartum women have a FSD during the first year after delivery [22, 23]. In this study collective, up to one half of the participants had a risk for FSD, however, after 12 months 22.7% in the IG and 11.9% in the CG suffered from residual FSD. This highlights the importance of time. Our results seem better than those reported in the literature, which may be explained by a better open communication about sexuality in both groups as part of the present study.

Nevertheless, there are limitations in this study. There was a low consistent participation and no control of the regularity of the daily training. A training diary would have been necessary. The CG received no instruction, only the request to continue the exercises as before. This was in order to simulate the reality. Another limitation regards the loss to follow-up, with a high number of participants missing in the final analysis, and the time of the follow-ups. This study should have been ideally carried over a longer time to examine long-term outcome.

In summary supervised PFMT improved the pelvic floor muscle strength but did not improve both the pelvic floor and the female sexual function. Further long-term studies are necessary to evaluate the effect

of strict PFMT on the pelvic and sexual function. After 12 months the pelvic floor and the sexual function improved significant in all women highlighting the importance of time in pelvic floor recovery. An open communication about this improvement already antenatal could probably prevent problems for the affected women.

Abbreviations

Female Sexual Function Index: FSFI

Pelvic floor questionnaire for pregnant women and women after childbirth: PFQ

Pelvic floor muscle training: PFMT

Pelvic organ prolapse quantification system: POP-Q

Female sexual dysfunction: FSD

IG: intervention group

CG: control group

UI: urinary incontinence

Declarations

Funding

The clinic provided the finances for the additional pelvic floor muscle training included in this study.

Competing interests

All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors contributions

Miriam Deniz, Sabine Schütze designed and supervised the project; conducted the examinations; wrote the article.

Marlen Heinloth, Miriam Uhde coordinated the appointments; conducted the examinations and collected the data.

Juliane Schütze performed the statistical analysis and revised the manuscript.

Beate Hünér revised the manuscript, edited language.

Wolfgang Janni revised the manuscript, edited language and supported the work financially.

Ethics approval

The study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics committee of the University of Ulm, Germany (377/16). It was registered in German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS00024725).

Consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the participants, the physiotherapists and the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology for the financial support.

References

1. Hay-Smith J, Mørkved S, Fairbrother KA, Herbison GP (2008) Pelvic floor muscle training for prevention and treatment of urinary and faecal incontinence in antenatal and postnatal women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 20:CD007471. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007471>
2. Berner MM, Kriston L, Zahradnik HP, et al (2004) Überprüfung der Gültigkeit und Zuverlässigkeit des deutschen Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI-d). *Geburtsh Frauenheilk* 64:293–303. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-815815>
3. Martinez CS, Ferreira FV, Castro AAM, Gomide LB (2014) Women with greater pelvic floor muscle strength have better sexual function. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 93:497–502 <https://doi.org/10.1111/aogs.12379>
4. Ferreira CHJ, Dwyer PL, Davidson M, et al (2015) Does pelvic floor muscle training improve female sexual function? A systematic review. *Int Urogynecol J* 26:1735–1750. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-015-2749-y>
5. Woodley SJ, Lawrenson P, Boyle R, et al (2020) Pelvic floor muscle training for preventing and treating urinary and faecal incontinence in antenatal and postnatal women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 92:909. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007471.pub4>
6. Persu C, Chapple CR, Cauni V, et al (2011) Pelvic Organ Prolapse Quantification System (POP-Q) - a new era in pelvic prolapse staging. *J Med Life* 4:75–81.
7. Isherwood PJ, Rane A (2000) Comparative assessment of pelvic floor strength using a perineometer and digital examination. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 107:1007–1011. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2000.tb10404.x>
8. Rosen C Brown J Heiman S Leib R (2000) The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A Multidimensional Self-Report Instrument for the Assessment of Female Sexual Function. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy* 26:191–208. <https://doi.org/10.1080/009262300278597>

9. PhD CMM, MSW BKF, BA ABH, et al (2019) Scoring and Interpretation of the FSFI: What can be Learned From 20 Years of use? *The Journal of Sexual Medicine* 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2019.10.007>
10. WIEGEL M, MESTON C, ROSEN R (2005) The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): Cross-Validation and Development of Clinical Cutoff Scores. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy* 31:1–20.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00926230590475206>
11. Metz M (2017) Development and validation of a questionnaire about pelvic floor dysfunction and associated risk factors during pregnancy and postpartum. <https://doi.org/10.17169/refubium-8202>
12. Tennfjord MK, Hilde G, Jensen JS, et al (2016) Effect of postpartum pelvic floor muscle training on vaginal symptoms and sexual dysfunction—secondary analysis of a randomised trial. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 123:634–642. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13823@10.1002/\(ISSN\)1471-0528\(CAT\)EditorsPick\(VI\)EditorsPick](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13823@10.1002/(ISSN)1471-0528(CAT)EditorsPick(VI)EditorsPick)
13. Åhlund S, Nordgren B, Wilander E-L, et al (2013) Is home-based pelvic floor muscle training effective in treatment of urinary incontinence after birth in primiparous women? A randomized controlled trial. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 92:909–915. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aogs.12173>
14. Hadizadeh-Talasaz Z, Sadeghi R, Khadivzadeh T (2019) Effect of pelvic floor muscle training on postpartum sexual function and quality of life: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. *Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 58:737–747.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjog.2019.09.003>
15. Harvey M-A (2003) Pelvic floor exercises during and after pregnancy: a systematic review of their role in preventing pelvic floor dysfunction. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 25:487–498.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s1701-2163\(16\)30310-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1701-2163(16)30310-3)
16. Kahyaoglu Sut H, Balkanli Kaplan P (2016) Effect of pelvic floor muscle exercise on pelvic floor muscle activity and voiding functions during pregnancy and the postpartum period. *Neurourol Urodyn* 35:417–422. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nau.22728>
17. Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Dzewaltowski DA, Owen N (2002) Toward a better understanding of the influences on physical activity: the role of determinants, correlates, causal variables, mediators, moderators, and confounders. *Am J Prev Med* 23:5–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797\(02\)00469-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797(02)00469-5)
18. Mørkved S, Bø K (1996) The effect of post-natal exercises to strengthen the pelvic floor muscles. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 75:382–385. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00016349609033336>
19. Soave I, Scarani S, Mallozzi M, et al (2019) Pelvic floor muscle training for prevention and treatment of urinary incontinence during pregnancy and after childbirth and its effect on urinary system and supportive structures assessed by objective measurement techniques. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 299:609–623. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-018-5036-6>
20. Dumoulin C, Cacciari LP, Hay-Smith EJC (2018) Pelvic floor muscle training versus no treatment, or inactive control treatments, for urinary incontinence in women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 10:CD005654. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005654.pub4>

21. Hilde G, Stær-Jensen J, Siafarikas F, et al (2013) Postpartum Pelvic Floor Muscle Training and Urinary Incontinence. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 122:1231–1238.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000000012>

22. Rezaei N, Azadi A, Sayehmiri K, Valizadeh R (2017) Postpartum Sexual Functioning and Its Predicting Factors among Iranian Women. *The Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences: MJMS* 24:94–103. <https://doi.org/10.21315/mjms2017.24.1.10>

23. Khajehei M, Doherty M, Tilley PJM, Sauer K (2015) Prevalence and Risk Factors of Sexual Dysfunction in Postpartum Australian Women. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine* 12:1415–1426.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12901>

Figures

Figure 1

Flow chart of the included participants

Figure 2

Participants with a bladder score > 1.75 at T1 attended more than 50% of the appointments, participants with a therapy adherence $\leq 50\%$ had a bladder score (with one exception) ≤ 1.75 at T1. Besides, significant correlation ($r = 0.231$) between an initially higher bladder score after 6 month and therapy adherence in % ($p = 0.025$)

Figure 3

Improvement of the FSFI and the „Pelvic floor questionnaire for pregnant women and women after childbirth“ total scores over the time (blue: T1/6 months; red: T2/12 months)