Temporal Trends in the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome among Middle-aged and Elderly adults from 2011 to 2015 in China: The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS)

Bo Liu

Beijing Xiaotangshan Hospital

Guanqun Chen

Capital Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8133-834X>

Ruijie Zhao

Peking Union Medical College Hospital

Dan Huang

Beijing Xiaotangshan Hospital

Lixin Tao (✉ taolixin@ccmu.edu.cn)

Capital Medical University, Beijing, China <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2135-046X>

Research article

Keywords: Metabolic syndrome, Prevalence, Trends, Epidemiology, China

Posted Date: November 19th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-109017/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a major risk factor for cardiovascular diseases. The objective of the study was to evaluate the updated prevalence of MetS and provide a comprehensive illustration of the possible temporal changes in MetS prevalence in China from 2011 to 2015.

Methods: The data for this study are from the 2011 and 2015 waves of the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). CHARLS is a nationally representative survey targeting populations aged 45 and above from 28 provinces in mainland China. A total of 11847 and 13013 participants were eligible for data analysis at the two time points.

Results: The estimated prevalence of MetS in 2015 was 20.41% (95% CI: 19.02%-21.8%) by the ATP III criteria, 34.77% (95% CI: 33.12%-36.42%) by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) criteria, 39.68% (95% CI: 37.88%-41.47%) by the revised ATP III criteria, and 25.55% (95% CI: 24.19%-26.91%) by the Chinese Diabetes Society (CDS) criteria. The prevalence was higher among women and elderly adults and in urban and northern populations. Furthermore, the trends in the prevalence decreased significantly between 2011 and 2015 by the ATP III, revised ATP III and CDS criteria. However, trends increased significantly from 2011 to 2015 by the IDF criteria.

Conclusions: In China, elderly women living in northern urban areas should receive more attention. Notably, temporal changes in the prevalence of MetS varied somewhat according to different criteria.

Background

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a constellation of metabolic disturbances, including abdominal obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and elevated plasma glucose [1, 2]. Studies have confirmed that the syndrome is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and other diseases [3–6]. In light of the substantial burden of cardiovascular disease in China [7], changes in the prevalence of MetS over time play an important reference and guidance role in decisions and implementation of public health measures regarding the control of cardiovascular disease.

Several nationally representative studies have provided evidence for the prevalence of MetS in the Chinese population. According to data from the International Collaborative Study of Cardiovascular Disease in ASIA (InterASIA), a cross-sectional study enrolled 15540 Chinese adults aged 35–74 years in 2000, and the prevalence of MetS was 13.7% [8]. The China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS) conducted in 2009, which was a cross sectional study including a total of 7488 Chinese adults (age ≥ 18 years), declared that the overall age-standardized prevalence of MetS was 21.3% [9]. The 2010 China Noncommunicable Disease Surveillance (CNCDS) included 98658 Chinese adults (aged ≥ 18 years) in 2010 and reported that the prevalence of MetS was 33.9% [10]. Moreover, the China National Stroke Prevention Project (CSPP) survey in 2014–2015 estimated that the prevalence of MetS was 18.4% based on data from 109551 participants [11]. The results of these national studies showed a high prevalence of MetS, which has become an important public health problem in China. However, it may be inappropriate to directly compare the results of these studies to reflect the possible temporal changes in MetS prevalence due to cross-sectional design, different sampling methods, inconsistent measurement of indicators, age range of participants and the definitions of MetS adopted.

Here, we used data from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) survey to describe the latest prevalence of MetS based on four different diagnostic criteria and then provide a comprehensive illustration of the possible temporal changes in MetS prevalence in China from 2011 to 2015.

Methods

Study design and population

Data for this study were obtained from the two waves of the CHARLS survey conducted by the National School of Development at Peking University in 2011 and 2015. Briefly, CHARLS is a nationally representative survey targeting populations aged 45 and above from 450 villages or communities in 150 counties or districts in 28 provinces of mainland China that provides a wide range of demographic, socioeconomic status and health condition variables. CHARLS participants were followed every 2 years using a face-to-face computer-assisted personal interview (CAPI). The baseline wave for the study was conducted between June 2011 and March 2012 and involved 17708 respondents with a response rate of 80.5%. The research team collected blood samples from 11847 individuals. The second wave of the study was conducted in 2015 and involved 20284 respondents with a response rate of 87%. A total of 13013 respondents provided venous blood. The details of the sampling design of this survey were previously described in an earlier publication [12].

The medical ethics committee approved the CHARLS study, and all interviewees were required to sign informed consent. Ethics approval for the data collection in CHARLS was obtained from the Biomedical Ethics Review Committee of Peking University (IRB00001052–11015). Ethics approval for the use of CHARLS data was obtained from the University of Newcastle Human Research Ethics Committee (H-2015-0290).

Data collection

At each county or district unit, trained staff collected data according to a standard protocol in respondents' homes and local health stations or the local office of the China Center for Disease Prevention and Control (CDC). Anthropometric measurements were performed by the interviewers who carried equipment into respondents' households. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured three times in the left arm with an automated electronic device (OMRON Model HEM-7112, Omron Company), with a 45 second interval between each measurement. The mean of the three measurements was used for analysis. Waist circumference (WC) was measured in a standing position at the level of the umbilicus using a soft tape measure. The participants were instructed to not smoke, eat, drink, chew gum or brush their teeth when measuring.

After completing the questionnaires and measurements, 8 ml fasting blood specimens were collected by trained nurses at township hospitals or the local office of the CDC. All blood samples were shipped back to Beijing at -20°C and stored at -70°C at the China CDC for analysis. Triglyceride (TG) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels were examined using an enzymatic colorimetric test. Blood glucose levels were assessed using the glucose oxidase method.

Quality control

Quality control measures were implemented during the fieldwork based on CAPI. Data verification was carried out by CHARLS headquarters. In addition, the first two visits of all interviewers were recorded to verify that the procedures executed were correct. If the recording could not be carried out due to technical problems or other reasons, staff from CHARLS headquarters would call these households for a phone check.

Definition and diagnostic criteria

MetS was defined according to four different definitions, including National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults criteria (ATP III criteria) [13], criteria from the International Diabetes Federation (IDF criteria) [14], modified ATP III criteria for Asian populations [15], and Chinese Diabetes Society (CDS) criteria [16]. The details are shown in Table 1.

Table 1
diagnosis criteria of metabolic syndrome used in the current study

	ATP III criteria	IDF criteria	Modified ATP III criteria	CDS criteria
To be identified as MetS	Any three or more of the following five components	Central obesity plus any two other factors	Any three or more of the following five components	Any three or more of the following five components
Central obesity (waist circumference)				
Men	≥102 cm	≥90 cm for Chinese men	≥90 cm for Asian men	≥90 cm
Women	≥88 cm	≥80 cm for Chinese women	≥80 cm for Asian women	≥85 cm
Elevated TG	≥1.70 mmol/L (150 mg/dL)	≥1.70 mmol/L (150 mg/dL) or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality	≥1.70 mmol/L (150 mg/dL) or drug treatment for elevated TG	≥1.70 mmol/L (150 mg/dL)
Low HDL-C				
Men	≥1.04 mmol/L (40 mg/dL)	≥1.04 mmol/L (40 mg/dL) in males or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality	≥1.04 mmol/L (40 mg/dL) in men or drug treatment for reduced HDL-C	≥1.04 mmol/L (40 mg/dL)
Women	≥1.29 mmol/L (50 mg/dL)	≥1.29 mmol/L (50 mg/dL) in females or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality	≥1.29 mmol/L (50 mg/dL) in women or drug treatment for reduced HDL-C	≥1.04 mmol/L (40 mg/dL)
Elevated BP	≥130/85 mm Hg	SBP ≥130 or DBP ≥85 mm Hg, or treatment of previously diagnosed hypertension	≥130 mm Hg SBP or ≥85 mm Hg DBP or on antihypertensive drug treatment in a patient with a history of hypertension	SBP ≥130 or DBP ≥85 mm Hg, previously diagnosed hypertension
Elevated FPG	≥6.1 mmol/L (110 mg/dL)	≥5.6 mmol/L (100 mg/dL), or previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes	≥5.6 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) or drug treatment for elevated glucose	≥6.1 mmol/L, 2 h plasma glucose ≥7.8 mmol/L, or previously diagnosed diabetes
Abbreviations: MetS, metabolic syndrome; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipid cholesterol; BP: blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG: fasting plasma glucose.				

Statistical analysis

Initially, we calculated the prevalence of MetS for the overall population and different subgroups by diagnostic criteria, sex, location (rural or urban areas), marital status, six age groups (45-50, 51-55, 56-60, 61-65, 66-70 and 71 years old), six regions (Southwest, South-Central, East, Northwest, North, and Northeast China), and components (central obesity, elevated TG, reduced HDL-C, elevated fasting plasma glucose and high blood pressure) in 2011 and 2015, respectively. A sample weight for the blood data was used, calculated from a logistic regression. Further, the prevalence was compared between 2011 and 2015 to reflect temporal trends.

The *Chi-square* test was used for statistically testing categorical variables such as sex, location and marital status. The *P* trend was displayed in the variables of age group and region. Logistic regression was performed to compare the prevalence of MetS in 2011 and 2015, adjusting for location and age level.

All analyses were completed in SAS statistical software Version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA), where all statistical significance was recorded at the 0.05 level and *P* values were two-sided.

Results

Characteristics of the study sample in 2011 and 2015

A total of 11847 and 13013 subjects were ultimately included in 2011 and 2015, respectively. There was no significant difference in the distribution of sex and marital status. However, significant differences were found for the distribution of age group, location and region. The frequency distributions of elderly subjects in urban areas and in the east in 2015 were significantly higher than those in 2011. Table 2 shows the details of the sample demographics.

Table 2
Demographic characteristics between two waves in 2011 and 2015

	Baseline wave in 2011(11847)	Third wave in 2015(13 013)	<i>P</i> value
Female, n (%)	6336(53.52%)	7019(53.95%)	0.4989
Age group, n (%)			< 0.0001
45–50	2472(20.87%)	2143(16.47%)	
51–55	1914(16.16%)	2092(16.08%)	
56–60	2418(20.41%)	2071(15.91%)	
61–65	1886(15.92%)	2357(18.11%)	
66–70	1288(10.87%)	1741(13.38%)	
≥ 71	1868(15.77%)	2609(20.05%)	
Marital Status, n (%)			0.909
Married ^a	9787(82.62%)	10744(82.56%)	
Abnormal Status ^b	2059(17.38%)	2269(17.44%)	
Location, n (%)			0.0128
Rural	7529(63.56%)	8007(62.03%)	
Urban	4317(36.44%)	4902(37.97%)	
Region, n (%)			< 0.0001
North-east	847(7.15%)	938(7.27%)	
North	1675(14.14%)	1676(12.98%)	
North-west	955(8.06%)	1022(7.92%)	
East	3634(30.68%)	4359(33.76%)	
South-central	2661(22.46%)	2840(21.99%)	
South-west	2074(17.51%)	2077(16.08%)	
Note: ^a married with spouse present; ^b married but not living with spouse temporarily for reasons such as work, separated, divorced, widowed and never married.			

Prevalence of MetS in 2011 and 2015

The top half of Table 3 displays the total-, sex-, marital status- and location-specific prevalence of MetS based on different definitions among Chinese middle-aged and elderly adults in 2011. Overall, the prevalence of MetS was 21.18% (95% CI: 19.48%-22.88%), 29.57% (95% CI: 27.52%-31.61%), 41.44% (95% CI: 39.24%-43.65%) and 26.66% (95% CI: 25.09%-28.22%) based on definitions of ATP III, IDF, modified ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. The prevalence in women was significantly higher than that in men, and the prevalence in the urban population was significantly higher than that in the rural population regardless of the definitions used. There was no difference in prevalence between marital status except that the prevalence in married individuals was significantly higher than that in abnormal status using CDS criteria. Notably, the prevalence increased with age (Fig. 1). The top half of Additional file 1: Table S1 provides specific data. In addition, the prevalence was

remarkably higher in the north population than in the south population regardless of the definitions used (Fig. 2, Panels a, b, c, and d). For exact data, see the top half of Additional file 1: Table S2.

The total-, sex-, marital status- and location-specific prevalence of MetS based on different definitions among Chinese middle-aged and elderly adults in 2015 were summarized in the bottom half of Table 3. Overall, the prevalence of MetS was 20.41% (95% CI: 19.02%-21.8%), 34.77% (95% CI: 33.12%-36.42%), 39.68% (95% CI: 37.88%-41.47%) and 25.55% (95% CI: 24.19%-26.91%) based on definitions of ATP III, IDF, revised ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. The prevalence in women was significantly higher than that in men, except the prevalence was similar using CDS criteria. In addition, the prevalence in urban areas was significantly higher than that in rural areas regardless of the criteria applied. Interestingly, there was no difference in prevalence between marital status. Similar to the description in 2011, the prevalence increased with age except for the prevalence based on IDF criteria (Figure 1, the numeric data in the bottom half of Additional file 1: Table S1). The prevalence was higher in the northern population than in the southern population regardless of the definitions used (Fig. 2, Panels e, f, g, and h). Data are shown in the bottom half of Additional file 1: Table S2.

Table 3

Total, sex-, marital status- and location-specific prevalence of metabolic syndrome based on different definitions in 2011 and 2015

	n	ATP III criteria	IDF criteria	Modified ATP III criteria	CDS criteria
2011					
Total	11846	21.18(19.48-22.88)	29.57(27.52-31.61)	41.44(39.24-43.65)	26.66(25.09-28.22)
Gender					
Male	5502	14.12(12.55-15.7)	18.4(16.59-20.22)	32.54(30.4-34.68)	24.62(22.68-26.57)
Female	6336	27.72(25.45-29.98)	39.9(37.11-42.68)	49.69(46.24-53.14)	28.56(26.65-30.48)
<i>P</i> value		<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0007
Marital Status					
Married	9787	20.89(19.54-22.24)	30.04(28.16-31.92)	42.06(39.76-44.36)	27.45(25.79-29.1)
Abnormal status	2059	22.52(19.51-25.53)	27.61(24.37-30.85)	38.87(34.87-42.86)	23.32(20.29-26.35)
<i>P</i> value		0.3197	0.2049	0.1684	0.0203
Location					
Rural	7529	19.26(17.95-20.57)	26.14(24.45-27.83)	35.64(33.8-37.48)	22.06(20.62-23.5)
Urban	4317	23.22(20.47-25.97)	33.2(30.16-36.24)	47.61(44.38-50.84)	31.54(28.56-34.52)
<i>P</i> value		0.0099	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
2015					
Total	11013	20.41(19.02-21.8)	34.77(33.12-36.42)	39.68(37.88-41.47)	25.55(24.19-26.91)
Gender					
Male	5991	12.12(10.6-13.64)	25.57(23.83-27.32)	31.96(29.91-34.01)	25.94(23.95-27.93)
Female	7019	28.01(26.46-29.56)	43.2(41.14-45.25)	46.74(44.72-48.76)	25.2(23.78-26.62)
<i>P</i> value		<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.5052
Marital Status					
Married ^a	10744	20.1(18.76-21.44)	34.6(33.02-36.18)	39.42(37.73-41.11)	25.81(24.44-27.18)
Abnormal status ^b	2269	21.97(19.79-24.15)	35.63(33.19-38.07)	40.96(38.41-43.51)	24.3(22.09-26.52)
<i>P</i> value		0.1407	0.4807	0.3175	0.2583
Location					
Rural	8008	17.3(16.15-18.44)	28.39(26.98-29.81)	32.84(31.39-34.29)	20.42(19.17-21.68)
Urban	4904	23.59(21.23-25.96)	41.27(38.6-43.94)	46.65(43.59-49.7)	30.78(28.55-33.01)
<i>P</i> value		<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Note: ^a married with spouse present; ^b married but not living with spouse temporarily for reasons such as work, separated, divorced, widowed and never married.					

Prevalence of MetS components in 2011 and 2015

The prevalence of MetS components in the total study population in 2011 and 2015 is shown in Figure 3. Although the prevalence rate of components varies widely by the different diagnostic criteria, the components are very common in China. For example, the prevalence of elevated blood pressure in 2011 was 38.93% (95% CI: 37.08%-40.77%), 50.58% (95% CI: 48.74%-52.43%) and 50.58% (95% CI: 48.74%-52.43%) based on definitions of ATP III, IDF/revised ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. See Additional file 1: Table S3 for details.

Changes in the prevalence of MetS over time from 2011 to 2015

Table 4 shows the temporal changes in the total-, sex-, location-, marital status-, age- and region-specific prevalence of MetS based on four different definitions over time from 2011 to 2015. Overall, the changes in the prevalence depend on the selected definition. For example, the trends in the total-, rural-, married-, and south-central- specific prevalence decreased significantly between 2011 and 2015 based on definitions of ATP III, revised ATP III and CDS criteria. The male- specific prevalence decreased significantly between 2011 and 2015 based on definitions of ATP III and revised ATP III criteria. In contrast, the trends prevalence in the total group and many subgroups increased significantly between 2011 and 2015 based on definitions of IDF criteria. See Table 4 for a detailed list of results.

Table 4

Changes in the total-, sex-, location-, marital status-, age- and region-specific prevalence of metabolic syndrome based on different definitions over time from 2011 to 2015

2015 vs 2011	ATP III criteria		IDF criteria		Modified ATP III criteria		CDS criteria	
	OR (95% CI)	Pvalue	OR (95% CI)	Pvalue	OR (95% CI)	Pvalue	OR (95% CI)	P value
Total	0.904 (0.851,0.961)	0.0011	1.152 (1.092,1.215)	<0.0001	0.892 (0.848,0.938)	<0.0001	0.931 (0.879,0.986)	0.0146
Gender								
Male	0.767 (0.687,0.856)	<0.0001	1.282 (1.171,1.404)	<0.0001	0.895 (0.826,0.969)	0.0064	1.001 (0.918,1.092)	0.9764
Female	0.964 (0.894,1.039)	0.3364	1.087 (1.015,1.165)	0.0177	0.878 (0.82,0.94)	0.0002	0.877 (0.812,0.947)	0.0008
Location								
Rural	0.879 (0.811,0.953)	0.0017	1.096 (1.022,1.175)	0.0102	0.88 (0.824,0.94)	0.0001	0.908 (0.842,0.981)	0.014
Urban	0.92 (0.838,1.011)	0.0831	1.225 (1.126,1.334)	<0.0001	0.889 (0.819,0.965)	0.005	0.939 (0.859,1.026)	0.165
Marital status								
Married ^a	0.904 (0.846,0.967)	0.0032	1.126 (1.062,1.195)	<0.0001	0.875 (0.827,0.925)	<0.0001	0.927 (0.87,0.987)	0.0181
Abnormal Status ^b	0.903 (0.781,1.043)	0.166	1.281 (1.127,1.456)	0.0002	0.977 (0.865,1.103)	0.704	0.951 (0.827,1.095)	0.4881
Age group								
45-50	0.887 (0.762,1.032)	0.1218	1.15 (1.012,1.308)	0.0321	0.897 (0.794,1.013)	0.0794	0.922 (0.8,1.063)	0.2625
51-55	0.901 (0.775 ,1.047)	0.1733	1.201 (1.051,1.372)	0.0072	0.876 (0.771,0.995)	0.041	0.919 (0.795,1.062)	0.2499
56-60	0.864 (0.749,0.996)	0.0438	1.155 (1.019,1.309)	0.0246	0.886 (0.786,0.999)	0.0488	0.908 (0.793,1.039)	0.1609
61-65	1.001 (0.867 ,1.157)	0.984	1.102 (0.97,1.252)	0.1374	0.859 (0.76,0.97)	0.0147	0.916 (0.8,1.048)	0.2023
66-70	0.798 (0.673,0.946)	0.0092	1.076 (0.924,1.253)	0.3438	0.868 (0.75,1.004)	0.0572	0.94 (0.8,1.104)	0.448
≥71	0.887 (0.768,1.024)	0.102	1.17 (1.027,1.332)	0.0182	0.891 (0.789,1.006)	0.0627	0.908 (0.791,1.042)	0.1691
Region								
North-East	0.988 (0.798,1.223)	0.9092	1.245 (1.025,1.513)	0.0273	0.89 (0.738,1.073)	0.2218	1.111 (0.904,1.365)	0.3174
North	0.984 (0.845,1.146)	0.8374	1.339 (1.165,1.539)	<0.0001	0.91 (0.795,1.042)	0.1725	0.98 (0.847,1.133)	0.7861
North-West	0.817 (0.652,1.023)	0.0782	1.331 (1.097,1.616)	0.0038	0.977 (0.815,1.172)	0.8016	0.989 (0.8,1.222)	0.9162

East	0.907 (0.815,1.01)	0.0755	1.135 (1.033,1.247)	0.0082	0.901 (0.824,0.986)	0.0238	0.914 (0.826,1.012)	0.0836
South-Central	0.817 (0.718,0.928)	0.002	0.961 (0.858,1.076)	0.4898	0.793 (0.712,0.884)	<0.0001	0.814 (0.72,0.921)	0.001
South-West	0.935 (0.79,1.106)	0.4314	1.188 (1.027,1.375)	0.0203	0.945 (0.827,1.079)	0.403	0.964 (0.825,1.128)	0.6496
Note: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ^a married with spouse present; ^b married but not living with spouse temporarily for reasons such as work, separated, divorced, widowed and never married.								

Changes in the prevalence of MetS components over time from 2011 to 2015

Table 5 indicates the changes in the prevalence of central obesity, elevated triglyceride (TG), reduced HDL cholesterol (HDL-C), high fasting glucose and high blood pressure between 2011 and 2015. The prevalence of central obesity and elevated triglycerides increased, and the prevalence of reduced HDL-C and high fasting glucose decreased regardless of the definitions used. However, the prevalence of high blood pressure increased based on definitions of the ATP III criteria, but did not change according to IDF, revised ATP III or CDS criteria.

Table 5

Changes in the prevalence of components of metabolic syndrome based on different definitions over time from 2011 to 2015

2015 vs 2011	ATP III criteria		IDF criteria /Modified ATP III criteria		CDS criteria	
	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Central obesity	1.394(1.313,1.48)	<0.0001	1.609(1.53,1.691)	<0.0001	1.556(1.479,1.638)	<0.0001
Elevated TG	1.307(1.237,1.381)	<0.0001	1.208(1.147,1.274)	<0.0001	1.307(1.237,1.381)	<0.0001
Reduced HDL-C	0.741(0.704,0.78)	<0.0001	0.759(0.722,0.799)	<0.0001	0.512(0.481,0.545)	<0.0001
Elevated fasting plasma glucose	0.412(0.386,0.44)	<0.0001	0.372(0.353,0.392)	<0.0001	0.502(0.473,0.534)	<0.0001
High blood pressure	1.162(1.105,1.223)	<0.0001	1.048(0.997,1.101)	0.0675	1.048(0.997,1.101)	0.0675
Abbreviations: TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipid cholesterol; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.						

Discussion

Our study based on the CHARLS survey provided an updated estimate of the national prevalence of MetS and its temporal changes among adults aged 45 years and older in China. The estimated prevalence of MetS among adults aged ≥ 45 years in

2015 in China was 20.41% (95% CI: 19.02%-21.8%) by ATP III criteria. This prevalence was considerably higher when using IDF, revised ATP III and CDS criteria with 34.77% (95% CI: 33.12%-36.42%), 39.68% (95% CI: 37.88%-41.47%) and 25.55% (95% CI: 24.19%-26.91%), respectively. The prevalence decreased significantly from 2011 to 2015 based on ATP III, revised ATP III and CDS criteria. However, the prevalence increased significantly from 2011 to 2015 according to the IDF criteria.

Currently, there are no uniform diagnostic criteria for MetS. Although definitions agree on the essential components including central obesity, high TG, low HDL-C, elevated blood pressure and fasting glucose, the criteria of each component varied somewhat except for the IDF and CDS criteria (Table 1). The five components are given the same weight in the ATP III, revised ATP III and CDS criteria, but the IDF criteria emphasizes that the presence of abdominal obesity was necessary for diagnosis. Additionally relatively strict criteria were used for definitions of central obesity in ATP III criteria, low HDL-C in CDS criteria, and elevated fasting glucose in IDF and revised ATP III criteria. In this study, we found that the prevalence of MetS in 2015 was lowest based on the ATP III criteria (20.41%), followed by the CDS definition (25.55%) and IDF criteria (34.77%), and highest when defined with the revised ATP III definition (39.68%). The difference in the prevalence was due to differences in the diagnostic criteria for MetS. Similarly, CSPP survey including 109551 participants aged ≥ 40 years from 30 provinces in China in 2014–2015 estimated that the latest prevalence of MetS was 18.4% by the ATP III criteria, 26.9% following by the IDF criteria, and highest by the revised ATP III criteria with 34.0% [11]. The discrepancy in the prevalence of MetS based on the same diagnostic criteria between these two studies is presumably due to differences in sampling methods, participant age range, and methods of data collection. In addition, subgroup analysis suggested that the prevalence of MetS in women, older age groups, urban areas and the north was higher than that in men, younger age groups, rural areas and the south according to the different diagnostic criteria. These findings are consistent with previous studies [8, 9, 17]. Menopause, unhealthy lifestyles, such as a sedentary lifestyle, decrease in physical activity, and an unhealthy diet may explain this difference in MetS prevalence.

With rapid urbanization and an aging population, many studies have found that the prevalence of MetS increased from 2000 to 2012 in China [8, 10, 18]. However, findings from the CSPP study compared with several previous nationally representative studies using the same definition (the ATP III criteria) revealed that the prevalence of MetS in 2014–2015 was higher than that in 2000–2001 but lower than the estimated prevalence in 2009–2010 [11]. Therefore, the decreasing trend in the prevalence of MetS from 2009 to 2015 in that study is consistent with our findings based on ATP III, revised ATP III and CDS criteria between 2011 and 2015. However, the only exception was that the prevalence of MetS increased significantly from 2011 to 2015 based on the IDF criteria. Changes in the prevalence of five components of MetS from 2011 to 2015 might be responsible for this contrasting report on the temporal trends in the prevalence of MetS between different diagnostic criteria. Our findings suggested that the prevalence of central obesity, elevated TG and blood pressure significantly increased from 2011 to 2015 and are consistent with previous studies [19–23]. This could explain the finding that there is an increasing trend in the prevalence of MetS between 2011 and 2015 based on definitions of IDF criteria that required abdominal obesity as an essential criterion. Moreover, our findings showed that the prevalence of reduced HDL-C and high fasting glucose decreased from 2011 to 2015 and were also supported by previous studies [21, 24]. A possible explanation is that prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases became a national health strategy and that Chinese people had easier access to clinical resources following healthcare reforms in 2013. This could be an explanation for the finding that the trends in the prevalence of MetS decreased significantly between 2011 and 2015 based on the ATP III, revised ATP III and CDS criteria.

Our study has several strengths. First, the CHARLS study is a nationally representative longitudinal survey of middle-aged and elderly individuals in China, which enabled us to estimate the prevalence of MetS. Second, strict quality control assured a high quality of data collection and reliability of the findings. Third, we comprehensively described the possible temporal changes in the prevalence of MetS based on four definitions by comparing results from the same study at different time points. This study also has several limitations. First, there was a nonresponse rate of 19.5% in 2011 and 12% in 2015, which could potentially undermine the representativeness of the study samples. Second, the current follow-up time might not be long enough to reflect the changes in the prevalence of MetS. Third, this research was an ecological study, using the group as the unit of observation and analysis. We report the subgroup prevalence and performed multivariate logistic regression to control for possible confounding factors.

Conclusions

Regardless of the definitions, MetS is common in the general adult population in mainland China, particularly among women and elderly adults and in urban areas and the north. Notably, temporal changes in the prevalence of MetS may be opposite according to different criteria.

Abbreviations

ATP III criteria: National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults criteria; CAPI: computer-assisted personal interview; CDC: the China Center for Disease Prevention and Control; CDS: Chinese Diabetes Society; CDS: the Chinese Diabetes Society; CHARLS: the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study; CHNS: the China Health and Nutrition Survey; CNCDS: the 2010 China Noncommunicable Disease Surveillance; CSPP: the China National Stroke Prevention Project; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; IDF criteria: the International Diabetes Federation; InterASIA: the International Collaborative Study of Cardiovascular Disease in ASIA; MetS: Metabolic syndrome; TG: Triglyceride; WC: waist circumference.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Biomedical Ethics Review Committee of Peking University (IRB00001052–11015). Ethics approval for the use of CHARLS data was obtained from the University of Newcastle Human Research Ethics Committee (H-2015-0290). And all the participants gave their written informed consent before any study procedures began.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This study were funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81872708, 82073668) and Beijing Municipal Key Laboratory of Clinical Epidemiology. These funds cover the costs to publish the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

BL analyzed the data. BL and GC interpreted the data and drafted this manuscript. RZ and DH revised this manuscript. LT conceived the idea and revised this manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) team for providing data.

References

1. Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ (2005) The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet* 365(9468): 1415-1428. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)66378-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66378-7)
2. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, Gordon DJ, Krauss RM, Savage PJ, Smith SC, Spertus JA, Costa F (2005) Diagnosis and Management of the Metabolic Syndrome. *Circulation* 112(17): 2735-2752. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.169404>
3. Park S, Lee S, Kim Y, Lee Y, Kang MW, Han K, Han SS, Lee H, Lee JP, Joo KW, Lim CS, Kim YS, Kim DK (2019) Altered Risk for Cardiovascular Events With Changes in the Metabolic Syndrome Status: A Nationwide Population-Based Study of Approximately 10 Million Persons. *Ann Intern Med* 171(12): 875-884. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M19-0563>
4. Mottillo S, Filion KB, Genest J, Joseph L, Pilote L, Poirier P, Rinfret S, Schiffrin EL, Eisenberg MJ (2010) The metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 56(14): 1113-1132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.05.034>
5. Raffaitin C, Gin H, Empana JP, Helmer C, Berr C, Tzourio C, Portet F, Dartigues JF, Alperovitch A, Barberger-Gateau P (2008) Metabolic Syndrome and Risk for Incident Alzheimer's Disease or Vascular Dementia: The Three-City Study. *Diabetes Care* 32(1): 169-174. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc08-0272>
6. Frisardi V, Solfrizzi V, Seripa D, Capurso C, Santamato A, Sancarolo D, Vendemiale G, Pilotto A, Panza F (2010) Metabolic-cognitive syndrome: A cross-talk between metabolic syndrome and Alzheimer's disease. *Ageing Res Rev* 9(4): 399-417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2010.04.007>
7. Liu S, Li Y, Zeng X, Wang H, Yin P, Wang L, Liu Y, Liu J, Qi J, Ran S, Yang S, Zhou M (2019) Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases in China, 1990-2016. *JAMA Cardiol* 4(4): 342. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2019.0295>
8. Gu D, Reynolds K, Wu X, Chen J, Duan X, Reynolds RF, Whelton PK, He J, For TIGC, InterASIA CG (2005) Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and overweight among adults in China. *Lancet* 365(9468): 1398-1405. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)66375-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66375-1)
9. Xi B, He D, Hu Y, Zhou D (2013) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and its influencing factors among the Chinese adults: The China Health and Nutrition Survey in 2009. *Prev Med* 57(6): 867-871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.09.023>
10. Lu J, Wang L, Li M, Xu Y, Jiang Y, Wang W, Li J, Mi S, Zhang M, Li Y, Wang T, Xu M, Zhao Z, Dai M, Lai S, Zhao W, Wang L, Bi Y, Ning G (2017) Metabolic Syndrome Among Adults in China: The 2010 China Noncommunicable Disease Surveillance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 102(2): 507-515. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2016-2477>
11. Li W, Song F, Wang X, Wang L, Wang D, Yin X, Cao S, Gong Y, Yue W, Yan F, Zhang H, Sheng Z, Wang Z, Lu Z (2018) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among middle-aged and elderly adults in China: current status and temporal trends. *Ann Med* 50(4): 345-353. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2018.1464202>
12. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G (2014) Cohort Profile: The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol* 43(1): 61-68. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys203>
13. Expert Panel On Detection EATO, Expert Panel On Detection EATO (2001) Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA* 285(19): 2486-2497. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.285.19.2486>
14. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J (2006) Metabolic syndrome—a new world-wide definition. A Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. *Diabet Med* 23(5): 469-480. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.01858.x>
15. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, Fruchart JC, James WP, Loria CM, Smith SJ (2009) Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on

Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. *Circulation* 120(16): 1640-1645. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192644>

16. Chinese guidelines on prevention and treatment of dyslipidemia in adults (revised in 2016). *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 44(10): 833-853.
17. Li R, Li W, Lun Z, Zhang H, Sun Z, Kanu JS, Qiu S, Cheng Y, Liu Y (2016) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in mainland china: a meta-analysis of published studies. *BMC Public Health* 16(1): 210-296. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-2870-y>
18. He Y, Li Y, Bai G, Zhang J, Fang Y, Zhao L, Zhao W, Yang X, Ding G (2019) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and individual metabolic abnormalities in China, 2002-2012. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 28(3): 621-633. [https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.201909_28\(3\).0023](https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.201909_28(3).0023)
19. Mi Y, Zhang B, Wang H, Yan J, Han W, Zhao J, Liu D, Tian Q (2015) Prevalence and Secular Trends in Obesity Among Chinese Adults, 1991–2011. *Am J Prev Med* 49(5): 661-669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.05.005>
20. Chen Y, Peng Q, Yang Y, Zheng S, Wang Y, Lu W (2019) The prevalence and increasing trends of overweight, general obesity, and abdominal obesity among Chinese adults: a repeated cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 19(1): <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7633-0>
21. Song PK, Man QQ, Li H, Pang SJ, Jia SS, Li YQ, He L, Zhao WH, Zhang J (2019) Trends in Lipids Level and Dyslipidemia among Chinese Adults, 2002-2015. *Biomed Environ Sci* 32(8): 559-570. <https://doi.org/10.3967/bes2019.074>
22. Guo J, Zhu Y, Chen Y, Hu Y, Tang X, Zhang B (2015) The dynamics of hypertension prevalence, awareness, treatment, control and associated factors in Chinese adults. *J Hypertens* 33(8): 1688-1696. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000000594>
23. Lu J, Lu Y, Wang X, Li X, Linderman GC, Wu C, Cheng X, Mu L, Zhang H, Liu J, Su M, Zhao H, Spatz ES, Spertus JA, Masoudi FA, Krumholz HM, Jiang L (2017) Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China: data from 1·7 million adults in a population-based screening study (China PEACE Million Persons Project). *Lancet* 390(10112): 2549-2558. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(17\)32478-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(17)32478-9)
24. Li Y, Teng D, Shi X, Qin G, Qin Y, Quan H, Shi B, Sun H, Ba J, Chen B, Du J, He L, Lai X, Li Y, Chi H, Liao E, Liu C, Liu L, Tang X, Tong N, Wang G, Zhang J, Wang Y, Xue Y, Yan L, Yang J, Yang L, Yao Y, Ye Z, Zhang Q, Zhang L, Zhu J, Zhu M, Ning G, Mu Y, Zhao J, Teng W, Shan Z (2020) Prevalence of diabetes recorded in mainland China using 2018 diagnostic criteria from the American Diabetes Association: national cross sectional study. *BMJ*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m997>

Figures

Figure 1

Age-specific prevalence of metabolic syndrome based on different definitions in 2011 and 2015. Figure a, b, c, and d shows the prevalence of metabolic syndrome between different age groups based on ATP III, IDF, revised ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. Dotted line represents the baseline wave and a solid line represents the third wave.

Figure 1

Age-specific prevalence of metabolic syndrome based on different definitions in 2011 and 2015. Figure a, b, c, and d shows the prevalence of metabolic syndrome between different age groups based on ATP III, IDF, revised ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. Dotted line represents the baseline wave and a solid line represents the third wave.

Figure 2

Region-specific prevalence of metabolic syndrome based on different definitions in 2011 and 2015. Figure a, b, c, and d indicate the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in different regions in 2011 based on ATP III, IDF, revised ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. Figure e, f, g, and h indicates the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in different regions in 2015 based on ATP III, IDF, revised ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. Different colors represent different regions of China. Individuals living in Hainan, Ningxia, Taiwan, and Tibet were not included in the surveys. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 2

Region-specific prevalence of metabolic syndrome based on different definitions in 2011 and 2015. Figure a, b, c, and d indicate the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in different regions in 2011 based on ATP III, IDF, revised ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. Figure e, f, g, and h indicates the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in different regions in 2015 based on ATP III, IDF, revised ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. Different colors represent different regions of China. Individuals living in Hainan, Ningxia, Taiwan, and Tibet were not included in the surveys. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 3

Prevalence of components of metabolic syndrome based on different definitions in 2011 and 2015. Figure a, b, and c shows prevalence of components of metabolic syndrome in 2011 and 2015 based on ATP III, IDF/revised ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. Dark bar graph represents the baseline wave and gray bar graph represents the third wave.

Figure 3

Prevalence of components of metabolic syndrome based on different definitions in 2011 and 2015. Figure a, b, and c shows prevalence of components of metabolic syndrome in 2011 and 2015 based on ATP III, IDF/modified ATP III and CDS criteria, respectively. Dark bar graph represents the baseline wave and gray bar graph represents the third wave.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionalfile1.docx](#)
- [Additionalfile1.docx](#)