In-Plane Current Induced Nonlinear Magnetoelectric Effects In Single Crystal Films of Barium Hexaferrite

Maksym Popov

Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Igor Zavislyak

Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Hongwei Qu

Oakland University

A. M. Balbashov

National Research University MPEI (Moscow Power Engineering Institute)

M. R. Page

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base

Gopalan Srinivasan (✉ srinivas@oakland.edu)

Oakland University

Research Article

Keywords: current, magnetoelectric, resonance, coefficients

Posted Date: November 24th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1093239/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on March 30th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09363-x>.

Abstract

This report is on the observation and analysis of nonlinear magnetoelectric effects (NLME) for in-plane currents perpendicularly to the hexagonal axis in single crystals and liquid phase epitaxy grown thin films of barium hexaferrite. Measurements involved tuning of ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) at 56-58 GHz in the multidomain and single domain states in the ferrite by applying a current. Data on the shift in the resonance frequency with input electric power was utilized to estimate the variations in the magnetic parameter that showed a linear dependence on the input electric power. The NLME tensor coefficients were determined from the estimated changes in the magnetization and uniaxial anisotropy field. The estimated NLME coefficients for in-plane currents are shown to be much higher than for currents flowing along the hexagonal axis. Although the frequency shift of FMR was higher for the single domain resonance, the multi-domain configuration is preferable for device applications since it eliminates the need for a large bias magnetic field. Thus, multidomain resonance with current in the basal plane is favorable for use in electrically tunable miniature, ferrite microwave signal processing devices requiring low operating power.

1. Introduction

Various signal-processing devices such as filters, phase shifters, and isolators are widely used in radars and communication systems at microwave and millimeter-wave bands [1]. Such devices allow control over microwave signal properties (magnitude, phase, polarization, frequency spectrum etc). Microwave components with reconfigurable characteristics, in particular, are highly sought after since such property can greatly increase the functionality of the devices [2–4]. In this regard ferrite devices have desirable properties such as low losses, but traditional tuning of the devices is achieved with an external bias magnetic field [5, 6]. The drawback of this approach is the need for a source of variable bias magnetic field in the form of electromagnets or solenoids which increases device dimensions, weight and electric power requirements. In their search for alternative ways to tune high frequency magnetic devices researchers have focused their attention on purely electrical tuning [7–12]. Tuning of magnetic parameters by applying an electric field was demonstrated in magnetic semiconductors [7], in magnetic materials by spin polarized electric current (spin-transfer-torque effect) [8], and via spin-orbit torque [9]. The most promising technique so far has been electric field tuning by piezoelectric strain induced in a composite of ferrite and ferroelectric layers [10–12].

We reported recently on a novel current-induced nonlinear magnetoelectric (NLME) effect in hexagonal ferrites that has the potential for a new family of electric field/current tunable ferrite devices [13–16]. This effect was first observed in M-type Sr and Ba hexagonal ferrite thick films under a current along the hexagonal c-axis [13–15]. The effect manifests itself as modification of the saturation magnetization and magnetocrystalline anisotropy field. The origin of NLME is likely weakening of the super exchange interaction due to exclusion of the electrons carrying the current from contributing to magnetic interactions [13]. Ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) measurements were done as a function of the input electric power P to obtain data on shift in FMR and was used to estimate the changes in magnetization

and anisotropy field that were found to be directly proportional to P (or square of the electric field E). The NLME, however, has been investigated so far only in the specific experimental configuration when the current flows along the symmetry axis (c -axis) of the highly anisotropic M-type hexagonal ferrites (barium and strontium hexaferrites) [13–15] or along the easy plane in the case of Y-type ferrites [16].

Here we report on the observation of a strong NLME interaction in M-type hexagonal ferrite for currents in the basal plane, perpendicular to the c -axis. Such an investigation is of fundamental and technological importance, in particular, for dual electric and magnetic field tunable high frequency devices. Theoretical predictions on NLME due to basal plane currents suggest that this effect should be strong [14, 17]. A thick film of $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$ (BaM) obtained from a single crystal platelet and thin films grown by liquid phase epitaxy (LPE) were used in this study. Ferromagnetic resonance measurements were done in the frequency range 55-58 GHz in the multidomain and single domain states of the film with a current in the c -plane. The shift in FMR frequency was found to increase linearly with input electric power and was larger in the single domain state than for the multidomain resonance. The variations in the magnetic parameters and NLME tensor coefficients have been estimated from these data and compared with the previously reported case of the current along the hexagonal c -axis. Results of the study indicate strong NLME interactions for the in-plane currents and the potential for electric field tunable miniature M-type hexaferrite planar devices in the millimeter wave band..

2. Experimental Set-up And Measurements

Two types of barium ferrite samples were used in this study. A single crystal platelet of barium hexagonal ferrite, $\text{Ba Fe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$, grown by floating zone techniques (provided by Professor Balbashov, Moscow Power Engineering Institute, growth details in Ref.18) and a thin-film sample grown by liquid phase epitaxy (LPE) on a non-magnetic substrate were used. The single crystal platelet was cut into a 2.5 mm x 2.0 mm rectangle with c -axis perpendicular to the plane and polished down to 150 μm in thickness. The LPE grown film, 4 μm in thickness was cut to lateral dimensions 1.0 mm $\times$ 2.1 mm. Two platinum electrodes separated by ~ 1 mm were deposited on the sample plane to provide the electrical contacts for passing a current as shown on the Fig. 1. The measured small-signal electrical resistance was $R_b=12.7$ kOhm for bulk sample and $R_f=360$ kOhm for the LPE film.

The samples with the electrodes were bonded to the supporting fixture made from low-loss microwave dielectric (see Fig. 1) and positioned inside a U-band rectangular waveguide. Then the transmission characteristics of the sample were studied using a vector network analyzer (Agilent Tech., E8361A) and measuring the scattering matrix parameter S_{21} vs. frequency f as function of the external bias magnetic field. Measurements were made with and without applied electric current. For the case of BaM thin film the absorption in the transmission mode was too small for reliable measurements. Therefore, the sample was positioned at the open flange at the cross-section of the waveguide. Then the reflection coefficient S_{11} vs. f was recorded. The magnetic field in all the cases was applied along the hexagonal axis (i.e. perpendicularly to the basal plane).

3. Results

The FMR resonance frequency f_r was determined from the minimum of transmission/reflection characteristics as a function of the bias field H_0 . Data on the dependence of f_r on H_0 is shown in Fig. 2 for the LPE thin-film sample (similar data for the thick film of BaM are shown in Figure S1 in the supplement). One can see two different regions on this plot: (i) multi-domain state with rather weak dependence of f_r on H_0 and (ii) saturated state with linear relation between the resonance frequency and bias magnetic field. The magnetic field H_{sat} at which the transition to saturated state takes place was defined as a point of divergence between the f_r vs. H_0 curves measured for increasing and decreasing bias fields. These data were then used to extract initial magnetic parameters of the sample. The expressions for the FMR frequency in the unsaturated (multi-domain) and saturated (single-domain) states, respectively, are given by [13]:

$$f_r(H_0=0) = \gamma H_a, \quad (1)$$

$$f_r(H_0) = \gamma(H_0 + H_a - N_{zz}4\pi M_S) \quad (2)$$

In the expressions above, γ is the gyromagnetic ratio, H_a is the uniaxial anisotropy field, M_S is the saturation magnetization, and N_{zz} is an equivalent demagnetizing factor of the sample. The estimated N_{zz} values for the thin film sample was $N_{zz} \approx 1$ and for bulk thick film $N_{zz} \approx 0.85$ [19].

Equation (2) is approximate since it describes spatially uniform magnetization oscillations which may not exist in a rectangular sample. For a flat ferrite sample with thickness much less than the lateral dimensions, it may be regarded as a good approximation.

The magnetic parameters for the samples were evaluated from FMR data on resonance frequency using the following procedure. First, the resonance frequency for the single domain state f_r was measured as a function of H_0 and the data was fitted to Eq. (2) to obtain the gyromagnetic ratio γ and $(H_a - N_{zz}4\pi M_S)$. Then, from the zero-field mode frequency and Eq. (1) the uniaxial anisotropy field H_a was determined. Finally, with known H_a and N_{zz} the saturation magnetization $4\pi M_S$ was calculated. The values of these parameters for the single crystal thick film and the LPE thin films are listed in Table 1.

For studies on the NLME effects FMR measurements under single domain and multidomain states were carried out as a function of DC current through the sample for a constant bias magnetic field. Representative transmission/reflection characteristics for FMR under single domain state for fixed H_0 and a series of DC current in the basal plane are shown in Fig. 3.

The DC current was supplied in short pulses (≈ 1 s) in order to avoid Joule heating. The data in Fig. 3 indicate that the tuning was achieved for a relatively small DC current, <2 mA for the LPE film and for <10 mA for the thick film and for applied voltages of 0-160 V for the LPE film and 0-40 V for the thick platelet.

Figure S2 in the supplement shows the transmission coefficient vs. f data for FMR in BaFe₁₂O₁₉ single crystal thin film and reflection coefficient vs. f data for thick film of BaM for multi-domain resonance for a series of pulsed DC electric currents. From the single domain FMR profiles (as in Fig. 3 and in Figures S3 and S4 in the supplement) and multidomain FMR measurements (Figure S2 in the supplement) we obtained data on the dependencies of the shift in the FMR frequencies as a function of the input electric power $P=UI$ that are shown in Fig. 4. The data are for the thick single crystal film and thin LPE film for the multi-domain case (in the absence of the external bias) and in the magnetically saturated or single-domain state. The data show a shift in the FMR frequency that is linearly proportional to the applied power, a similar behavior as for the current along the hexagonal c-axis [11, 13] and in agreement with the theoretical predictions [13, 14].

However, the frequency shift and the slope of frequency vs. power differ for the two films and in the single domain and multidomain states. For the same input power, the frequency shift for the thick film is a factor of two higher than for the thin film. The rate of increase in the frequency shift with the input power in the saturated state is 2 to 3 times higher than for multi-domain state. Also, in the saturated state the frequency shift shows only a weak dependence on the bias magnetic field.

Finally, instead of pulsed DC currents the samples were subjected to continuous DC currents in order to determine the contribution from the Joule heating to the observed frequency shifts in Fig. 4. Indeed, the rise of sample's temperature should lead to a decrease in the saturation magnetization and an increase in the FMR frequency, thus mimicking the consequences of the NLME effect. Figure 5 shows the influence of Joule heating on the measured frequency shift for the thick film of BaM. A current of 7 mA corresponding to input power of 295 mW was passed through the sample for 10 minutes and then switched off. The frequency shift was measured for single domain resonance as a function of time with the current on and then when it was turned off.

Results in Fig. 5 show that for the thick films the continuous application of current for 10 min duration led to a change in f_r that amounted to 85 MHz for the applied DC power of 294 mW.

This frequency shift is an order of magnitude smaller than the 860 MHz shift due to the NLME effects. Yet one must stress that results presented here were for pulsed currents for a short duration of 1 second and, therefore, the actual effect of sample heating is well below the value for continuous currents. Nevertheless, this result is in agreement with our previous evaluations of Joule heating by various techniques, including direct measurements [11–13] which showed that Joule heating accounts for no more than 10% of the observed FMR frequency variation. For the LPE film the influence of heating was more pronounced due to much smaller volume of the ferrite material. Thus, even for the 150 mW of applied DC electric power the frequency shift after 10 min duration was 105 MHz. These data demonstrate that thin films have a large heating related effects in comparison to thick films.

4. Analysis And Discussion

The results in Fig. 4 were used to estimate the variation in the magnetic parameters and the NLME coefficients as described in next. The NLME induced variations in the anisotropy field ΔH_a and the magnetization ΔM were calculated from the shift in the FMR frequency in the multidomain and single domain states. The procedure used was the same as the one used for estimation of the magnetic parameters from the FMR data in Fig. 2. The change in the anisotropy field was estimated from data on shift in the multidomain resonance frequency as a function of current and then the change in the magnetization from variation in effective magnetization calculated from single domain resonance frequencies. Figure 6 shows estimated ΔH_a and ΔM as a function of input electric power for both the thick and thin films of BaM. A linear increase in ΔH_a and a decrease in ΔM are observed for both films. The results in Fig. 6 were used to estimate the NLME coefficients based on the phenomenological theory developed in Refs. [13 and 14]. More precisely, the theory predicts that the application of electrical current in the basal plane of M-type hexaferrite leads to the following changes in saturation magnetization M_s and uniaxial anisotropy energy constant K_u

$$\Delta M_S(p) = p \gamma_{311} \rho_{\perp}$$

3

$$\Delta K_u(p) \approx p \left(\gamma_{3311} - \frac{\delta_{1111} + \delta_{1122}}{2} \right) \rho_{\perp}$$

4

where $p=P/V$ is the electric power volume density, $\rho_{\perp}$ is the resistivity of the sample in the direction perpendicular to hexagonal axis, and γ_{ijk} and δ_{ijkl} are the third- and fourth-order NLME tensor coefficients which are determined by crystalline and magnetic symmetry of the ferrimagnet [20–22]. In Eq. (3) the first index in γ_{ijk} refers to the direction of the magnetization vector (which is z or 3) whereas the second and third indices denote the bilinear combination of applied electric field components $E_j E_k$. Since in our model the basal plane is isotropic, we may, without losing generality, attribute index 1 to the direction of the in-plane electric field. This leaves only one non-zero term, namely $E_1 E_1 = E^2$, and, the term γ_{311} in Eq. (3). In the case of δ_{ijkl} the first pair of indices denote the bilinear combination of magnetization components $M_i M_j$ and the second pair denote the electric fields.

The uniaxial anisotropy field H_a in Eqs. (1) and (2) is given by $H_a = 2K_u/M_s$ and for small variations in the magnetic parameters, $\Delta K_u \ll K_u$ and $\Delta M_s \ll M_s$, ΔH_a is also a linear function of the power density p and is given by

$$\Delta H_a(p) \approx H_a(p = 0) \cdot \left(\frac{\gamma_{3311} - \frac{\delta_{1111} + \delta_{1122}}{2}}{K_u} - \frac{\gamma_{311}}{M_s} \right) \rho_{\perp} p \quad (5)$$

Equations (1) and (2) together with Eqs. (3) and (5) account for the measured variations in the magnetic parameters. Indeed, from Eqs. (1) and (5) it follows that due to NLME the zero-field FMR mode should experience a frequency shift $\Delta f_r(H_0=0) = \gamma \Delta H_a$ that is linearly proportional to the power density. On the other hand, in the saturated state for fixed bias fields the shift is defined by changes in both H_a and M_s : $\Delta f_r(H_0) \approx \gamma(\Delta H_a - N_{zz} 4\pi \Delta M_s)$ and, therefore, for the situation when $\Delta M_s(p) < 0$ (i.e., $\gamma_{311} < 0$) the frequency change will always be larger than in the case of $H_0=0$.

The average FMR frequency tuning efficiency measured for the thick film sample $\partial f_r / \partial P = 2.99 \pm 0.20$ MHz/mW for the single domain and (1.29 ± 0.01) MHz/mW for the multidomain resonances, respectively. The corresponding tuning efficiencies for the thin film sample are $\partial f_r / \partial P = 2.17 \pm 0.05$ MHz/mW and 0.81 ± 0.07 MHz/mW. These tuning efficiency values are of an order of magnitude higher than for currents along the hexagonal c-axis in SrM and SrAlM [13, 14], although for the thick film sample the tuning efficiency is somewhat larger. Thus, the use of saturated state for frequency agile ferrite microwave devices is preferable since it provides higher tuning efficiency. However, it should be noted that a source of external bias magnetic field is required to saturate the sample. Therefore, the devices using this state will be bulkier and heavier. On the other hand, device utilizing multi-domain state does not need any external magnetic system and thus may be potentially miniature in size, lightweight and planar (with the use of stripline or coplanar line instead of waveguide). In such cases the frequency tuning required for tunable device operation will be provided by purely electrical means.

Parameters for electric field control of the magnetic parameters due to NLME were extracted from the data on $\Delta f_r(P)$ dependence on input DC power in Fig. 4 and using Eqs. (1) and (2) and their values are given in Table 1. The application of electric field results in decrease of both the saturation magnetization and uniaxial anisotropy energy constant. However, since the magnetization M_s decreases at a faster rate than K_u , the anisotropy field $H_a = 2K_u / M_s$ increases with the input power. According to Eq. (3) $\partial M_s(P) / \partial P = \gamma_{311} \rho_{\perp} / V$ and thus the corresponding third order

Table 1
Magnetic and magnetoelectric parameters of single crystal thick film and LPE thin film of M-type barium hexaferrites.

Magnetic or magnetoelectric parameter	BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ (film)	BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ (bulk)
γ , MHz/Oe	2.67	2.75
$4\pi M_S$, G	4900	4950
H_a , kOe	16.9	17.0
K_u , erg/cm ³	$3.29 \cdot 10^6$	$3.35 \cdot 10^6$
Specific resistance $\rho_{\perp}$, Ohm·mm	1600	2800
$\partial f_r / \partial P$, MHz/mW	0.81 ($H_0=0$) 2.17 ($H_0 > H_{sat}$)	1.29 ($H_0=0$) 2.99 ($H_0 > H_{sat}$)
$\partial H_a / \partial P$, Oe/mW	0.30	0.47
$\partial M_S / \partial P$, G/mW	-0.04	-0.06
$\partial K_u / \partial P$, erg/(cm ³ ·mW)	-285	-401
$ \gamma_{311} $, G·mm ² /(W·Ohm)	$90 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$8600 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$\left \delta_{3311} - \frac{\delta_{1111} + \delta_{1122}}{2} \right $, erg/(W·Ohm·mm)	$0.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$58 \cdot 10^{-3}$

NLME coefficient may be determined from its value in Table 1. Similarly, a linear combination of fourth order NLME coefficients was estimated from Eq. (4). The experimental technique utilized here did not allow one to extract the values of each one of the coefficients δ_{1111} , δ_{3311} , and δ_{1122} .

From the estimated values of γ_{311} it is clear that the largest value of this ME coefficient is measured for the single crystal platelet and is equal to $8600 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ G} \cdot \text{mm}^2 / (\text{W} \cdot \text{Ohm})$, whereas it is much smaller for the LPE film. We can compare these values with the earlier published results on materials with the same or similar chemical composition for the current flowing along the c -axis. In that case only the E_3 component of electric field is non-zero and thus the only relevant NLME tensor coefficient is γ_{333} . Specifically, the $|\gamma_{333}|$ value for pure barium hexaferrite evaluated from data presented in Ref. [15] was $45 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ G} \cdot \text{mm}^2 / (\text{W} \cdot \text{Ohm})$ and the best value for the A -substituted strontium hexaferrites ($\text{SrAl}_x\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{O}_{19}$, $x=0,0.4,0.8$) was $|\gamma_{333}|=317 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ G} \cdot \text{mm}^2 / (\text{W} \cdot \text{Ohm})$ [13].

Thus, the NLME coefficients for the current in basal plane in thick film of BaM is at least an order-of-magnitude higher than for the current along the c -axis for M- type ferrites. In the case of LPE film of BaM

γ_{311} , although smaller, is still higher than previously reported γ_{333} values for fields along the c-axis for BaM. Finally we compare the tuning efficiency for the films studied here with results for single crystal platelets of Zn_2Y which is a hexagonal ferrite with easy plane anisotropy. An NLME induced frequency shift of 1200 MHz to 1500 MHz was measured in Zn_2Y for input power of 90 mW for this case and is a factor of 3 to 5 higher than for the BaM films studied here [16].

One may compare the tuning efficiency measured for in-plane currents in BaM with tuning of FMR in ferromagnetic-piezoelectric composites in which the tuning results from mechanical strain in the piezoelectric phase due to an applied DC voltage [12]. In the case of composites, the input DC power is negligible due to very low current and although the tuning mechanisms are different for the ME effects in the composites and NLME effects in the hexaferrites, a comparison could be made in terms of rate of change in f_r with voltage V . Studies on voltage tuning of FMR were reported on several ferrimagnet/ferromagnet-ferroelectric composites [23–32]. Early works on yttrium iron garnet (YIG)-PZT reported $\Delta f_r/\Delta V = 0.04$ MHz/V and the low tuning rate is due to low magnetostriction for YIG [23, 25]. A similar low tuning rate was reported for composites of SrM-PZT [26]. In composites with nickel ferrite (NFO) films on ferroelectrics, FMR could be tuned by 0.25 MHz/V [24]. For Fe_3O_4 films on ferroelectrics $\Delta f_r/\Delta V$ amounted to 0.75 MHz/V and a much higher rate of 70 MHz/V was measured in a coaxial nanofiber of NFO and PZT [30]. Ferromagnetic alloys with PZT also showed a large tunability of FMR with the application of a DC voltage across the ferroelectric layer [29]. In this study we measured maximum value of $\Delta f_r/\Delta V = 3$ MHz/V for thin films and 24 MHz/V for the thick film.

5. Conclusions

We reported here on electric field/current-induced NLME effect in barium hexaferrite. Single crystal platelets and thin films made by LPE were used for studies on NLME under the current in the crystallographic basal plane. The M-type hexaferrites is of interest for the use in millimeter-wave band signal-processing devices due to the presence of a very large internal anisotropy field. Another key advantage for use of the ferrites in the high frequency devices is the presence of a zero-field multidomain resonance mode. For both thick and thin films it was shown that the in-plane current resulted in up shift of the FMR frequency which was linearly proportional to the applied DC electric power, in agreement with previously developed theoretical models [12–14]. Measurements on NLME were carried out for two different types of FMR modes: (i) multi-domain resonance under which the frequency tuning due to NLME is relatively low but is achieved in the absence of external bias magnetic field (for $H_0=0$) making this configuration preferable for the practical devices since the need for an external bias magnetic field is eliminated; and (ii) resonance under single domain state under which a much larger frequency shift due to NLME was measured (which is also nearly independent of the bias magnetic field).

The NLME coefficients were determined from the shift in the resonance frequency and the estimated variations in the magnetic parameters. The third order NLME tensor coefficient $\gamma_{311\text{value}}$ was evaluated for the single crystal platelet and was found to be much higher than the γ_{333} value that corresponds to the current flow along the hexagonal axis for M-type hexaferrites. The γ_{311} value for the LPE thin film was

smaller than for the thick film, but still higher than γ_{333} for the single crystal barium hexaferrite. The influence of Joule heating was found negligible for the short DC pulses used in these investigations of NLME effects.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The research at Oakland University was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (DMR-1808892, ECCS-1923732) and the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) Award No. FA9550-20-1-0114. The research at AFRL was partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) Award No. FA9550-20RXCOR074, and a Summer Faculty Fellowship for G.S.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed to this work. Maksym Popov, Hongwei Qu, M. R. Page and G. Srinivasan were involved in the high frequency measurements part of this work. M. Popov and Igor Zavislyak were involved in the model for non-linear ME effects. A. M. Balbashov provided the single crystal platelets of hexaferrites. All the authors participated in the manuscript preparation.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Glass, H. L. Ferrite films for microwave and millimeter-wave devices. *Proceedings of the IEEE* **76** (1988): 151-158
2. Adhikari, S. & Ke, W. Developing one-dimensional electronically tunable microwave and millimeter-wave components and devices towards two-dimensional electromagnetically reconfigurable platform. *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, **143**, 821–848 (2013).
3. Polat, E. *et al.* Holger Maune. Reconfigurable millimeter-wave components based on liquid crystal technology for smart applications. *Crystals*, **10**, 346 (2020).
4. Fan, C., Wu, B. & Hu, Y. Tao Su. Millimeter-wave pattern reconfigurable Vivaldi antenna using tunable resistor based on graphene. *IEEE Transactions on antennas and propagation*, **68**, 4939–4943 (2019).
5. Adam, J. D., Krishnaswamy, S. V. & Talisa, S. H. Yoo. Thin-film ferrites for microwave and millimeter-wave applications. *Journal of magnetism and magnetic materials*, **83**, 419–424 (1990).
6. Schloemann, E. Advances in ferrite microwave materials and devices. *Journal of magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, **209**, 15–20 (2000).
7. Ohno, H. *et al.* Electric-field control of ferromagnetism. *Nature* **408** (2000)944–946
8. Ralph, D. C. & Stiles, M. D. Spin transfer torques. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **320** (2008) 1190–1216.

9. Atsufumi Hirohata, K., Yamada, Y., Nakatani, I. L., Prejbeanu, B. & Dieny Philipp Pirro, & Burkard Hillebrands. Review on spintronics: Principles and device applications. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, **509**, 166711 (2020).
10. Srinivasan, G., Priya, S. & Sun, N. *Composite Magnetoelectrics: Materials, Structures, and Applications* (Elsevier, 2015).
11. Das, J., Kalinikos, B. A. & Carl, E. Arkajit Roy Barman, & Patton. Multifunctional dual-tunable low loss ferrite-ferroelectric heterostructures for microwave devices. *Applied Physics Letters* 91 (2007): 172516
12. Sun, N. X. Gopalan Srinivasan. Voltage control of magnetism in multiferroic heterostructures and devices. *Spin*, **2**, 1240004 (2012).
13. Zavislyak, I. V., Popov, M. A. & Srinivasan, G. Current-induced nonlinear magnetoelectric effects in strontium hexaferrite. *Phys. Rev. B*, **94** (224419 (2016).)
14. Liu, Y. *et al.* Nonlinear magnetoelectric effects in Al-substituted strontium hexaferrite. *Sci. Rep*, **11** (8733 (2021).)
15. Popov, M. A. & Zavislyak, I. V. & G. Srinivasan. Current tunable barium hexaferrite millimeter wave resonator. *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters* 60 (2018) 458–462
16. Popov, M. *et al.* Nonlinear magnetoelectric effects in Y-type hexaferrite microwave resonators. *Journal of Applied Physics*, **128**, 113905 (2020).
17. Popov, M. A., Zavislyak, I. V., Movchan, N. N. & Gudim, I. A. Srinivasan Mode Splitting in 37–42 GHz Barium Hexaferrite Resonator: Theory and Device Applications. *IEEE Trans. Mag*, **50**, 4004507 (2014).
18. Pavlova, S. G., Balbashov, A. M. & Rybina, L. N. Single crystal growth from the melt and magnetic properties of hexaferrites–aluminates. *Journal of crystal growth*, **351** (no. 1), 161–164 (2012).
19. Beleggia, M., De Graef, M. & Millev, Y. T. The equivalent ellipsoid of a magnetized body. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 39 (2006) 891–899.
20. Newnham, R. E. *Properties of Materials: Anisotropy, Symmetry, Structure* (Oxford University Press, 2005).
21. Aubert, G. A novel approach of the magnetoelectric effects in ferrimagnets. *J. Appl. Phys*, **53**, 8125–8129 (1982).
22. Schmid, H. Some symmetry aspects of ferroics and single phase multiferroics. *J. Phys.: Cond. Matter*, **20** (434201 (2008).)
23. Shastri, S., Srinivasan, G., Bichurin, M. I., Petrov, V. M. & Tatarenko, A. S. Microwave magnetoelectric effects in single crystal bilayers of yttrium iron garnet and lead magnesium niobate-lead titanate. *Phys. Rev. B*, **70**, 064416 (2004).
24. Li, N. *et al.* Electrostatic tuning of ferromagnetic resonance and magnetoelectric interactions in ferrite-piezoelectric heterostructures grown by chemical vapor deposition. *Appl. Phys. Lett*, **99**, 192502 (2011).

25. Fetisov, Y. K. & Srinivasan, G. Electric field tuning characteristics of a ferrite-piezoelectric microwave resonator. *Appl. Phys. Lett*, **88**, 143503 (2006).
26. Srinivasan, G., Zavislyak, I. V. & Tatarenko, A. S. Millimeter-wave magnetoelectric effects in bilayers of barium hexaferrite and lead zirconate titanate. *Appl. Phys. Lett*, **89**, 152508 (2006).
27. Su, H., Tang, X., Zhang, H. & Sun, N. X. Voltage-impulse-induced nonvolatile tunable magnetoelectric inductor based on multiferroic bilayer structure. *Appl. Phys. Express*, **9**, 077301 (2016).
28. Zhou, P. *et al.* Magnetoelectric interactions in composites of ferrite films on lattice-matched substrates and ferroelectrics. *Phys. Rev. Appl*, **11**, 054045 (2019).
29. Hu, Z. *et al.* Voltage control of magnetism in FeGaB/PIN-PMN-PT multiferroic heterostructures for high-power and high-temperature applications. *Appl. Phys. Lett*, **106**, 022901 (2015).
30. Liu, Ying, G. *et al.* Converse magneto-electric effects in a core-shell multiferroic nanofiber by electric field tuning of ferromagnetic resonance. *Sci. Rep*, **10**, 20170 (2020).
31. Li, M. *et al.* Sun. Novel NiZnAl-ferrites and strong magnetoelectric coupling in NiZnAl-ferrite/PZT multiferroic heterostructures. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, **46** (no. 27), 275001 (2013).
32. Yang, X. *et al.* Sun. Recent advances in multiferroic oxide heterostructures and devices. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, **4** (no. 2), 234–243 (2016).

Figures

Figure 1

Schematic diagram showing the experimental setup for the investigation of the nonlinear magnetoelectric (NLME) effects in barium hexaferrite for the in-plane currents.

Figure 2

The frequency vs. field dependence for the FMR in LPE thin-film barium hexaferrite sample.

Figure 3

(a) Transmission coefficient vs. f data showing FMR in BaFe₁₂O₁₉ single crystal platelet and (b) reflection coefficient vs. f data for LPE film of BaM. The data are for single domain resonance for a series of pulsed DC electric currents.

Figure 4

Frequency shift for FMR in the multi-domain and single-domain states as a function of applied DC power for (a) thick film and (b) thin-film of barium hexaferrite for the current flowing in the basal plane.

Figure 5

FMR frequency shift as a function of time when a DC current was passed through the thick film of BaM. The frequency shift was measured when the current was on for 10 minutes and then when it was turned off.

Figure 6

Variations in the saturation magnetization and the uniaxial anisotropy field due to NLME in (a) thick film and (b) thin film of barium ferrite.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementalfigures.pdf](#)