

Estimation and Prediction of Riverbank Erosion Susceptibility and Shifting Rate Using DSAS, BEHI, and REBVI Models: Evidence from the Lower Ganga River in India

Md. Hasanuzzaman

Raja Narendra Lal Khan Women's College

Biswajit Bera

Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University

Aznarul Islam

Aliah University

Pravat Kumar Shit (✉ pravatgeo2007@gmail.com)

Raja N.L.Khan Women's College under Vidyasagar University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5834-0495>

Research Article

Keywords: Erosion-accretion, DSAS model, BEHI, REBVI, Alluvial channel, remote sensing

Posted Date: December 15th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1097959/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

1 **Estimation and prediction of riverbank erosion susceptibility and shifting rate using DSAS,**
2 **BEHI, and REBVI models: Evidence from the lower Ganga River in India**

3 Md. Hasanuzzaman¹; Biswajit Bera² Aznarul Islam³ and Pravat Kumar Shit^{1*}
4

5 ¹PG Department of Geography, Raja N. L. Khan Women's College (Autonomous), Gope Palace,
6 Midnapore-721102, West Bengal, India
7

8 ²Department of Geography, Sidho Kanho Birsha University, Puruliya, West Bengal, India

9 ³Department of Geography, Aliah University, Kolkata, India
10
11
12

13 Md. Hasanuzzaman

14 Email: hasan20geo@gmail.com

15 Biswajit Bera

16 Email: biswajitbera007@gmail.com

17 Aznarul Islam

18 Email: aznarul.geog@aliah.ac.in
19

20 Pravat Kumar Shit

21 Email: pravatgeo2007@gmail.com
22

23 **Corresponding author: email: pravatgeo2007@gmail.com (Pravat Kumar Shit)*
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Abstract**

31 The process of riverbank erosion (RE) is often accelerated by natural events and anthropogenic activities
32 leading to the transformation of this natural process to natural hazard. The present study aims to estimate
33 bank erosion rate and prediction of the lower Ganga River in India using digital shoreline analysis system
34 (DSAS) model. The prediction of RE susceptibility mapping has been generated using three ensemble
35 models such as DSAS, bank erosion hazard index (BEHI), and river embankment breaching vulnerability
36 index (REBVI). For the study satellite images and field data (bank materials, geotechnical parameters,
37 embankment structure, hydraulic pressure etc.) have been used to recognize the river bank position and
38 BEHI and REBVI scores. During 1973-2020, the average bank erosion and accretion rate was found
39 0.059 km/y and 0.022 km/y at the left bank while 0.026 km/y and 0.046 at the right bank respectively.
40 The prediction results illustrated that the very high vulnerable condition of 06 villages and 21 villages for
41 high vulnerable due to left bank erosion. BEHI and REBVI scores have been the significant performance
42 of understanding and identification of RE vulnerable areas. The long-term (2020-2045) average erosion
43 and deposition rate was predicted at 0.135 km/y and 0.024 km/y at the left bank and 0.043 km/y and
44 0.045 km/y at the right bank respectively. The prediction accuracy and validation of models were
45 measures by statistical techniques such as student's t-test, RMSE, and R² values. This study would be
46 help planners and decision makers the spatial guidelines to understanding future trends of bank erosion
47 and shifting rate for land-use planning and management strategies to protect riverbank.

48
49

50 **Keywords:** Erosion-accretion; DSAS model; BEHI; REBVI; Alluvial channel; remote sensing

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

61 **1. Introduction**

62 The Ganga River is the second largest river in terms of sediment transport in the world (Chakrapani et al.,
63 1995), which is covering 1.09 million km² basin areas (Dewan et al., 2017). This river has flowed through
64 different types of landforms and when the passes through the deltaic region the river adjusts itself very
65 dynamically by the erosion- accretion (Mondal & Satpati, 2012). The Farakka barrage has been
66 constructed in 1975 across the Ganga River in between the Malda and Murshidabad districts of West
67 Bengal in India. The main object of the construction of the Farakka barrage has to divert water to the
68 Bhagirathi-Hooghly River for the rejuvenation of Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee Port (erstwhile Kolkata
69 port) and to provide saline free water to the urban areas of Kolkata (Islam and Guchhait, 2017). It made
70 important changes in the hydrological, morphological, patterns and sedimentological characteristics of the
71 Ganga River (Rudra, 2010; Bera et al, 2019). However, due to this construction, few major problems
72 such as bank erosion, riverbank collapse, the formation of bars, and subsequent bank failure have been
73 evidenced and lateral shifting or avulsion are being frequently occurred within this region (Guchhait et al,
74 2016). The river derived high discharge and huge sediment during monsoon season, and after construction
75 of the Farakka barrage, backwater overtops of the banks and inundates floodplain especially in monsoon
76 season (Rudra 2010). Thousands of people are being affected by this natural disaster almost every year
77 (Thakur et al., 2011).

78 Therefore, many researchers have been work in this region by using various method and techniques
79 (Table 1). However, most of the previous studies on the Ganga River have carried out the traditional or
80 manual overlapping method for the determination of erosion-accretion (EA) calculation. Therefore, the
81 present study statistical-based the digital shoreline analysis system (DSAS) automated model used the
82 estimation and prediction of riverbank erosion-accretion and bankline shifting (BS).

Table 1 Previous literatures of the study area

83 At present, earth observatory techniques RS and GIS have performed a significant role for change
84 detection and mapping in river systems including buffer zone dynamics at a different strategic scale
85 (Wang and Xu, 2018). The RS and GIS platforms with field verification can accurately done and quickly
86 investigate the river morphological changes (Langat et al., 2018). GIS-based spatial analysis, both the
87 linear (here river bank) and areal attributes (here floodplain area) of the study area have been considered
88 for assessing the temporal trends. The demarcation and comparison of river bankline from toposheet,
89 aerial photographs, and satellite imageries are a very common process. But, the mathematical, scientific,
90 automated, and software-based models are not found for calculation and prediction of river bankline
91 erosion-accretion using historical trend data. Several models are present in the field of shoreline shifting
92 such as digital shoreline analysis system (DSAS).Therefore, DSAS is a highly acceptable method which
93 was developed by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and capable to accurately measure the

94 rate and predication of different river bank line positions (right and left bank separately) (Thieler et al.
95 2009; Ashraf and Shakir, 2018; Jana, 2019). Many researcher have been successfully applied the DSAS
96 model in their field such as Hapke et al. (2009) in USA, Hai-Hoa et al. (2013) in Vietnam, Esteves et al.
97 (2009) in UK, Kuleli et al. (2011) in Turkey, Alberico et al. (2012) in Italy, Ellison and Zouh (2012) in
98 Cameroon, Appeaning Addo et al. (2008) in Ghana, Natesan et al. (2013), Mukhopadhyay et al. (2012) in
99 India, Rahman et al. (2011) in India and Bangladesh etc. Generally, the DSAS model is used in the
100 context particularly for the sea shoreline migration. However, in this study, the right and the left bank can
101 be separately mapped with a higher degree of accuracy. Especially, efforts are made to measure mouza
102 (village) wise EA within the left bank buffer zone of River Ganga.
103 Therefore, this work has dealt with a novel GIS technique in the field of river bankline migration to
104 measure the EA rate and it also provides a predicted database of lateral migration trends. In the previous
105 researches, different methods had been applied to present erosion and accretion, but the computation of
106 eroded and accreted areas are not clearly quantified as the specified administrative unit and future
107 prediction. It is a big research gap in the study area. Therefore, the EA rate has been calculated and future
108 predicted in a systematic manner for corresponding administrative areas (Mouza) and both bankline in the
109 present study area. Also, this work has been calculated the bank erosion hazard index (BEHI) and river
110 embankment breaching vulnerability index (REBVI) for a clear understanding of the study area EA
111 nature.

112 **2. Study area**

113 The Ganga River is one of the largest rivers in India. This study, we attempted the lower Gangetic flood
114 region. The Ganga River after enters West Bengal suddenly which gets confined on both sides because
115 the left bank (Malda) is surrounded through the Diara region and the right bank is surrounded by the
116 outliers of the Rajmahal hills. The Diara region is an alluvial deposition area between the upland and the
117 marshy Tal track. According to the Geological Survey of India, along the left bank, there is the Malda–
118 Kishanganj Fault while along the right bank the Rajmahal Fault exists. Those faults have forced the
119 Ganga River of the study area to flow in a relatively narrow valley (Sinha and Ghosh, 2012). During the
120 monsoon, the Ganga River water level is cross the danger or the extreme danger level. According to the
121 Central Water Commission of India, the average annual sediment load is 200.53 (Mt) at the Farakka
122 (Khan et al., 2018).

123 Geographical extended between 24°39'38" N to 25°13'16" N and 87°46' 25" E to 88° 00' 16" E with
124 covering the distance of 8.7 km (Fig. 1). This portion of the river is very dynamic channel behavior.
125 People are facing every year with natural hazards due to shifting of the river channel, seasonal
126 submergence of *char land* (channel bar) and flooding, etc. The Planning Commission (1996) reported that
127 around 4.5 lakh of people had lost their homes in 40 village panchayats (the village administration system

128 in India) of Malda district, India (Dutta, 2011). In this study, transect-wise EA and prediction have been
129 estimated along both the banks, however, mouza (village) wise EA and prediction have been calculated
130 for only the left bank or the most affected mouzas of the Malda district. The present study has identified
131 100 mouzas (smallest administrative unit for revenue collection) along the left bank of the Ganga River in
132 Malda district (Fig. 1). These 100 villages are distributed in 4 blocks i.e., Manikchak block (39 village),
133 English Bazar (11 village), Kaliachak-I (23 village), and Kaliachak-III (27 village) (Table S1).

Fig. 1. Location map of the study area (A) India, (B) West Bengal, (C) The Ganga River floodplain with river buffer Mouza.

134 3. Data used

135 In the research MSS, TM, ETM+ and OLI datasets used in the year of 1973, 1987, 1997, 2007 and 2020
136 to demarcate the channel banklines (Table 2). All the satellite images were projected in the UTM
137 projection with zone 45 north and WGS84 datum and resample in the ArcGIS environment. To maintain
138 the data quality, all the images have been co-registered using the first-order polynomial model with the
139 accuracy of root mean square error (RMSE) of less than 0.5 pixels with a minimum number of ground
140 control points (GCPs). We have measured 29 sampling sites of bank height, bank full discharge height,
141 vegetation root depth, root density, surface protection, bank angle, soil texture, base width, bank slope,
142 and water height during the field survey (2019-2020) for BEHI and REBVI models. The work has been
143 carried out as per the following methodology (Fig. 2).

Table 2 Data type used for different purposes and respective sources.

Fig. 2. Conceptual framework of the methods used.

146 3.1 Bank lines extraction

147 We have used the normalized difference water index (NDWI) and modified normalized difference water
148 index (MNDWI) for bank line extraction based on Eq. 1 and 2.

$$149 \quad NDWI = \frac{Green - NIR}{Green + NIR} \quad (Eq. 1)$$

150 To estimate the MNDWI, the MIR band of Landsat 7, SWIR band of Landsat 5 and 8 along the green
151 band are also used. The technique for calculating the MNDWI has given by Xu (2006) as:

$$152 \quad MNDWI = \frac{Green - MIR}{Green + MIR} \quad (Eq. 2)$$

$$153 \quad MNDWI = \frac{Green - SWIR}{Green + SWIR} \quad (Eq. 3)$$

154 The NDWI and MNDWI final images are used for digitizing the bankline position (right and left
155 banklines separately) by ArcGIS software (de Bethune et al., 1998, Jana, 2019).

156 157 3.2 Estimation of erosion-accretion (EA) rate and its prediction

158 In the present work, the DSAS extension tool of ArcGIS has been used to assess the rate of EA of the
159 bank lines. Subsequently, their predictions have also estimated by using the reference extracted baselines
160 and auto-generated transects. For the DSAS based statistical output, two further models have been
161 employed like, End Point Rate (EPR) model for computing present EA of the bank lines or shifting rate
162 and Linear Regression (LRR) model to estimate the shifting of future bank lines.

163 **3.2.1 EPR model for calculating the bankline erosion-accretion rate**

164 The rate of change in the position of banklines is frequently applied to summarize the historical bankline
165 shifting and their future prediction. The model is based on the assumption that the observed periodical
166 rate of change of bankline position is the best estimate for prediction of the future bankline (Fenster et al.,
167 1993) and no prior knowledge regarding the flow discharge or sediment transport is required because the
168 cumulative effect of all the underlined processes is assumed to be captured in the position history (Li et
169 al., 2001).

170 In the EPR model, based on the availability of data, studied time period is divided into four temporal
171 datasets i.e., 1973 to 1987, 1987 to 1997, 1997 to 2007, and 2007 to 2020 (Fig. 3). For each dataset,
172 superimposed technique has been portrayed to demarcate bank line positions and achieved a final line of
173 overlapping visualization and this line is find out as a superimpose line. Afterward, a buffer of 100 m
174 distance from the superimpose line is used to drawn towards the right for the right bank and left for the
175 left bank to demarcate the baselines. Therefore, transects have been placed at a 50 m gap on the baseline.
176 These transects are created at the acute angle to the baseline up to 15 km distance away from both banks.
177 These transects are auto-generated with ± 0.5 m uncertainties depending on the orientation of the
178 baselines. Moreover, around 1347 transects on the left banks and 1456 on the right bank are placed along
179 the baseline with 50 m spacing to cover the entire selected tracts (about 8.7 km) (Fig. 3).

$$180 \quad EPR = \frac{\text{Distance of bankline movement}}{\text{Time between earlier and recent}} \text{ (Eq. 4)}$$

181 In EPR model, previous and recent data of two banklines are needed for this calculation and do not
182 require any earlier knowledge regarding the hydraulic interference or sediment transport. Moreover, the
183 model uses data of two years at a single time. For example, the model calculates the EA between 1973 to
184 1987 based on the change, detected between the period 1973 to 1987. Thereafter, 1987 to 1997 then 1997
185 to 2007 and finally 2007 to 2020 to calculate the riverbank EA rates, which depict the shifting trend over
186 periods.

Fig. 3. Different banklines (1973– 2020) are positioned along the baseline. All transects are oriented at angle with the corresponding baselines. (A) Right bankline and (B) Lift bankline.

188 The result of EPR is applied to calculate the rate of bank line migration and understand the EA nature
 189 (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2012; Jana, 2019). Therefore, we have used the ‘Y’ for positions of the earlier
 190 (Y_{eb}) and the recent (Y_{rb}) bank line. In this attempt, it is used as ‘Y’ to denote the projected bank line
 191 position which is estimated by following Eq.

$$192 \quad Y = \alpha_{EPR} + \beta_{EPR} X \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

193 where, X is the time interval ($X_{eb} - X_{rb}$) between earlier bankline (X_{eb}) and recent bankline (X_{rb}),

194 α_{EPR} is model intercept, β_{EPR} denotes the rate of riverbank shifting (slope or regression coefficient).
 195

196 On the other hand, EPR intercept is calculated by Eq. 6.

$$197 \quad \alpha_{EPR} = Y_{eb} - \left\{ \frac{Y_{eb} - Y_{rb}}{X_{rb} - X_{eb}} \right\} X_{eb} = Y_{rb} - \left\{ \frac{Y_{eb} - Y_{rb}}{X_{rb} - X_{eb}} \right\} X_{rb} \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

198 The rate of bankline migration for a given set of transects, the β_{EPR} is calculated by the Eq.7

$$199 \quad \beta_{EPR} = \left\{ \frac{Y_{eb} - Y_{rb}}{X_{rb} - X_{eb}} \right\} \quad (\text{Eq. 7})$$

200

201 **3.2.2 LRR model for predicting the bankline erosion-accretion/shifting rate**

202 LRR model uses statistics of model generated baseline, which is demarcated by temporal period of bank
 203 line migration. It has shown bank position of the subsequent year of the selected time span. Therefore, the
 204 channel side position of the data set 2020 is considered as a common baseline to all sets. The result of this
 205 attempt has been scrutinized by the least-square method (fitting a regression line) to predict the channel
 206 shifting and bank line position (Thieler et al., 2009). For this a regression line is placed to all linear series,
 207 points along a user particular transect. Afterward the river bankline migration rate is estimated by fitting
 208 the least-square regression lines. It is process used to all river bankline for a particular transect.
 209 Therefore, this method is used for predicting the position. The short-term (2025), intermediate-term
 210 (2035) and long-term (2045) basis with a period of 5 years, 15 years and 25 years, respectively are used
 211 for prediction in this study. Moreover, position of bank line of 2020 is predicted for accuracy assessment.
 212 Then the value of EPR is used to predict the future riverbank positions (Y_{pb}). This is because of the
 213 predicted riverbank position (X_{pb}) can extend beyond the recent riverbank (either at left or right). Hence,
 214 the Eq. 5 is modified and formulated through LRR by the following Eq. 8.

$$215 \quad Y_{pb} = \left\{ \beta_{EPR} (X_{eb} - X_{rb}) \right\} + Y_{rb} \quad (\text{Eq. 8})$$

216

217 **3.3 Determination for village wise erosion-accretion area**

218 In this study, we have also compared the bank line position of the river concerning selected different data
219 sets. After that, efforts have been made to measure erosion-accretion separately for each period at the left
220 side of the channel. Riverbank lines of different periods have represented different mouza boundaries.
221 Therefore, Village/Mouza wise EA has been manually calculated with the help of ArcGIS software.

222 **3.4 BEHI measurement**

223 BEHI is an important fluvial geomorphic tool for the analysis of the susceptibility of riverbank erosion
224 (Rosgen 2006). The BEHI methodology evaluates the function of some erodibility variables including
225 bank height, bankfull height, bank protection, bank combination, vegetation root depth, root density, and
226 bank material stratification. As per the guidelines of Rosgen (2001 and 2006) (Table 3), BEHI score has
227 been calculated. All collected primary data of 29 samples of the left bank (facing downstream) by the
228 field survey have been used for BEHI score calculation (Simpson et al. 2014 and Ghosh et al. 2016) (SM
229 1).

Table 3: Guidelines for measuring complete BEHI (After, Rosgen, 2001).

230

231 **3.5 Calculation of REBVI**

232 We have measured 29 samples of riverbank properties for calculated of REBVI score (Mondal et al.
233 2012). Bank materials and geotechnical attributes (soil texture, bulk density, plasticity index, compressive
234 strength, and safety factor), the geometry of embankment (bank top height, base width, and bank slope),
235 and hydraulic pressure (water height) detailed observations of breach parameters have been investigated.
236 The EB has been calculated to a multi-criteria approach for all of the input variables. We have utilized
237 weighting systems based on the values from 0 – 4, where, ‘4’ means very highly vulnerable, ‘3’ highly
238 vulnerable, ‘2’ moderately vulnerable, ‘1’ less vulnerable, and ‘0’ very less vulnerable. Therefore, based
239 on their importance and stability of materials to the potential of embankments breaching each set of
240 continuous data have ranks from 1 – 5. The ranks assigned to different features of the individual themes
241 are presented (Table S2). After deriving the normal weights and ranks, all individual parameters have
242 been integrated in a linear model to determine REBVI using Eq. 10.

243 $REBVI = (R_{ST} \times W_{ST}) + (R_{BD} \times W_{BD}) + (R_{SF} \times W_{SF}) + (R_{TH} \times W_{TH}) + (R_{BS} \times W_{BS})(R_{WH} \times W_{WH}) \dots \dots \dots (Eq.10)$

244 Where, R= rank value, W= weight value, ST= Soil Texture, BD= Bulk Density, SF= Safety Factor,
245 TH= Top Height, BW = Base Width of Embankment, BS= Bank Slope, WH= Water Height (SM2).

246 **3.6 Model validation methods**

247 DSAS model has been used for estimating the future riverbank EA, shifting and future bankline position.
248 But before the future prediction, the model has to be validated with the current circumstances
249 (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2012; Jana, 2019). Therefore, the LRR method is employed to predict future bank

250 line position based on EPR (slope), interval, and intercept value. Based on this, the estimated bank line
 251 position of 2020 is calculated and the predicted bank line is verified with the actual bank line 2020. It is
 252 demarcated from the satellite image of 2020. The positional error estimated using RMSE. It is carried out
 253 using the Eq. 11.

$$254 \quad RMSE = \left[n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^n (X_{mb} - X_{ab})^2 + (Y_{mb} - Y_{ab})^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (\text{Eq. 11})$$

255 where, X_{mb} and Y_{mb} are the model estimated bankline, and X_{ab} and Y_{ab} are the actual bankline in X
 256 (time) and Y (position) coordinates the sample points.

257 Potential errors associated with satellite maps (Datum changes, different surveying standards, projection
 258 errors, distortions from uneven shrinkage etc. (Anders and Byrnes, 1991). In the work, four type errors
 259 are identified for measuring the rate change and it may be of both positional and calculation related errors.

260 Calculation Uncertainties are related to the skill and approach such as; pixel error E_p , digitizing error
 261 E_d , and rectification error E_r and positional uncertainties are related to the features and phenomena that
 262 reduce the precision and accuracy of defining a bankline (both) position from a given data set such as;
 263 seasonal error E_s (Kankara et al. 2015). Finally, total uncertainty value was estimated for each banklines
 264 by accounting both positional and measurement uncertainties as:

$$265 \quad Et = \pm \sqrt{E_p + E_d + E_r + E_s} \quad (\text{Eq. 11})$$

266 The bankline positional error is also verified with 100 GCPs collected from the field survey during 2019-
 267 2020. Out of these, GCPs and 29 GCPs have been used for BEHI and REBVI calculation. The RMSE and
 268 t-test are adopted for the model validation of estimated banklines (left and right) which gives an accurate
 269 portrayal between actual and predicted banklines.

270 4. Results

271 4.1 DSAS based riverbank erosion-accretion and future prediction assessment

272 The DSAS model-based transect (T) wise riverbank erosion-accretion or shifting trend is illustrated in
 273 table 4 and figure 4. The result from 1973 to 1987 depicts that the mean rate erosion rate is 0.129 km/y at
 274 the left bank and 0.61 km/y at the right bank. Among the transect 661 at the left bank and 548 at the right
 275 bank are erosion dominant transects. The EA rate and the number of the affected transect were indicated
 276 that the channel was very active through the erosion process of both sides of the banks. Therefore, the
 277 correspondence of high erosion at both banks was indicating channel widening.

278 **Table 4** DSAS model based mean and stander deviation of erosion-accretion of both bank

Fig. 4. DSAS model-based prediction of riverbank erosion and deposition rate along transects during the different study periods, at the bothbanks. (a) Right bank and (b) Lift bank of 1973-1987; (c) Right bank

and (d) Lift bank of 1987-1997; (e) Right bank and (f) Lift bank of 1997-2007; (g) Right bank and (h) Lift bank of 2007-2020; (i) Right bank and (j) Lift bank of 2000-2025; (k) Right bank and (l) Lift bank of 2025-2035; (m) Right bank and (n) Lift bank of 2035-2045.

279

280 During 2007-2020, the mean erosion of the left bank is 0.168 km/y, and erosion of the right bank is 0.079
281 km/y. Among the transect 455 at the left bank and 296 at the right bank are erosion dominant transects
282 (Fig. 5). In this observation, the left bank experiences extensive erosion and disproportionate sediment
283 accretion along the right bank. This result indicates a widening river course triggered by persistent
284 erosion on both banks, as an overall result, the river channel shifts towards the left bank with a large
285 extent of sedimentation at the right bank. Also, we observed that from 1973 to the present time bank
286 erosion of left bank is concentrated pocket places from all over bankline. In recent times erosion of the
287 left bank has consented lower part of the study area.

Fig. 5. DSAS model-based prediction of riverbank accretion-erosion rate during the study periods at the both banks. (a) Right bank and (b) Lift bank of 1973-1987; (c) Right bank and (d) Lift bank of 1987-1997; (e) Right bank and (f) Lift bank of 1997-2007; (g) Right bank and (h) Lift bank of 2007-2020; (i) Right bank and (j) Lift bank of 1973-2020; (k) Right bank and (l) Lift bank of 2020-2025; (m) Right bank and (n) Lift bank of 2025-2035; (o) Right bank and (p) Lift bank of 2035-2045.

288

289 The short-term prediction (2020 to 2025) of bankline mean erosion and accretion rate are estimated 0.089
290 km/y and 0.032 km/y at the left bank and 0.045 km/y and 0.060 km/y at the right bank respectively (Fig.
291 5). The medium-term prediction (2020 to 2035) of the average erosion and accretion rate are predicted
292 0.151 km/y and 0.019 km/y at the left bank and 0.043 km/y and 0.026 km/y at the right bank respectively.
293 The long-term (2020 to 2045) bankline mean erosion and deposition rate are estimated at 0.164 km/y and
294 0.021 km/y at the left bank and 0.031 km/y and 0.045 km/y at the right bank respectively. This result
295 reveals that the erosion process is dominant to the left bank of BS through EA in the future (Fig. 6).

Fig. 6. Spatial pattern of bankline migration after prediction in the year 2020, 2025, 2035, and 2045.

296

297 **4.2 Village wise spatial distributions of erosion-accretion and future prediction analysis**

298 Spatial distributions of erosion and accretion of 100 villages at buffer zone of the Ganga River (adjacent
299 village areas of Malda district) during the period 1973-2045 (Fig. 7) have been minutely portrayed in this
300 study. Overall results depict that the thirteen villages have experienced regular erosion but continues
301 accretion have been experienced in the three villages during the entire study periods. From 1973 to the
302 present time, the Palgachhi, Jagannathpur, Gadai, Narayanpur, Manikchak, and Gopalpur mouza become
303 the most eroded or vulnerable villages. During the predicted period from 2020 to 2045, twenty-one
304 villages will show active erosion in this vulnerable mouza in the future.

Fig. 7. Mouza wise spatial distributions of erosion and deposition area during the period (a) 1973-1987, (b) 1987-1997, (c) 1997-2007, (d) 2007-2020, (e) 2020-2025, (f) 2025-2035, and (g) 2035-2-45.

305

306 **4.3 Model validation**

307 The Linear Regression Rate (LRR) is 2.4 m/y (left bank) and 2.2 m/y (right bank) for all transects. The
308 band of confidence around the reported rate of change is -2.6 ± 0.78 . Therefore, it can be 88% confident
309 that the true rate of change is between 3.21 to 1.79 m/yr. Also, R^2 (0.82 for the left bank and 0.79 for the
310 right bank) value justifies the acceptance of the work. The RMSE at each transect point are placed by
311 error vectors and the BS varies from 0.124 to 0.921 with an overall mean error of 0.363 (Table 5). The t-
312 test results reveal that the model has a good prediction capacity ($p < 0.05$). Therefore, this result is
313 accurately matched with the predicted bankline position corresponding with the actual bankline position
314 (Fig. 6).

Table 5 DSAS model-based results of RMSE and student's t-test

315

316 **4.3 BEHI assessment**

317 Based on the ratings of the above selected all variables of 29 samples considered for BEHI scores an
318 Erosion Hazard value Map of the left bank has been prepared (Fig. 8). The results show that out of 29,
319 fourteen sample segments are very high to extremely vulnerable to bank erosion hazard due to toe erosion
320 caused due to helical flow. Thus, these mouzas have appeared as very dynamic erosional mouza in our
321 study. On the other hand, 5 segments are low to very vulnerable to bank erosion hazard due to low slope,
322 sedimentation, presence of riparian vegetation and engineering construction such as embankments, spur,
323 etc. In this analysis, we have observed that in the upper part of the Farakka barrage, BEHI values are high
324 to the extreme but at the lower stretch of the Farakka barrage, BEHI values are low to very low (Table
325 S3). The values of bankfull height are very high in most of the bank segments of the upper part of the
326 Farakka barrage because the water levels rise significantly during peak monsoon season.

Fig. 8. Sample Segment (location) specific mean BEHI ratings along the left bank of the Ganga River, (a)
low BEHI score area (7.25) at Deonapur, (b) low BEHI score area (22.75) at Jagannathpur, (c) high BEHI
score area (42.45) at Jot Bhabani, (d) high BEHI score area (43.95) at Gopalpur.

327

328 **4.4 REBVI assessment**

329 The REBVI outcome of above selected variable of 29 samples depicted that highest score is less potential
330 for EB or riverbank erosion (Table 8). The distribution of the REBVI score is five-category such as Very
331 High (<40 is High potential to prevent EB and potential for slope stability), High (41-50) Medium (51-
332 60), Low (61-70), and very low (>70 is very high probability of EB due to bank failure, piping and

333 overtopping). According to the REBVI score, Suzapur Mandai (S24) and Par Paranpara (S27) are the
334 potential to prevent EB and potential for slope stability. Gopalpur (S13) is a very high probability of EB
335 due to bank failure, piping, and overtopping (Fig. 9). Also, nine sample segments are a high probability of
336 EB (Table S4).

Fig. 9. Sample Segment (location) specific mean REBVI ratings along the left bank of the Ganga River, (a) during the bank erosion time at Palgachhi Mouza, (b) during the bank erosion time at Dharampur, (c) during the bank erosion time at Manikchak, (d) during the bank erosion time at Gopalpur, (e) during the bank erosion time at Sukhesna, (f) Above 90° angle slope at Panchanandapur, (g) Soil different layer at Bagdukra, (h) Temporary embankment at Nayagram, (i) broken embankment at Jot Ananta.

337

338 5. Discussion and critical analysis

339 In the study stretch of the Ganga River has been facing bank channel shifting and high bank EA almost
340 every year and continuously changed its buffer areas with time. The present analysis shows that the study
341 part of the Ganga River is very active for the erosion process at the left bank and is very active for the
342 accretion process at the right bank. According to DSAS based prediction, the same results are being
343 continued in the future. The Ganga River does not follow any particular pattern or trend of EA at both
344 banks. A general observation from this whole research reflects that the most dynamic channel as
345 expressed through frequent migration is located in the upper part of the Farakka barrage. The lower part
346 of the barrage is relatively stable and sequential at the left bank. In the last 47-year, the Ganga River
347 course displays a high dynamic adjustment for their need and this changed channel behaviour in a very
348 dramatic and dangerous way. Therefore, this change should be assessed but the understanding process is
349 very complex. However, this work has been done to provide a dataset of the village wise EA of the river
350 Ganga for Malda district. In the study time, six villages are very vulnerable villages for severe erosion.
351 Besides, twenty-one villages will be noted as highly vulnerable mouzas in the future. This study shows
352 that channel shifting or migration through the erosion has dominated in the left bank over historical and
353 future time periods. We observed from historical, present, and future database erosion has consented to
354 the different pockets of both bankline. Also, BEHI and REBVI values provide the understanding of the
355 nature of embankment and bank material an important role for developed erosion pocket.

Fig. 10. River Flow Characteristics during the Monsoon at Farakka 1985-2010 (Flood Forecasting Cell, Irrigation Division, Malda, 2010)

356

357 The River Ganga changes its bank morphology and land utilization practices along river bank adjacent
358 area during the monsoon period (from June to September). According to Singh et al. (2007), after the
359 Farakka barrage construction (1971) flood frequency is rapidly increased. In 1998, extreme floods

360 occurred that has caused the highest water level ever for the study area which was recorded at 25.40 m
361 (District Human Development Report: Malda, West Bengal, 2007). For the study part of the Ganga River,
362 it is observed that erosivity of water is affected by the amount of discharge and fluctuation in the river
363 regime of the Ganga River which in turn induces bank erosion by various mechanisms especially by
364 piping action (Rudra 2010; Thakur et al., 2011). Thus, in the Ganga and the Fulahar River, the danger
365 level is 24.69 m to 27.43 m, and the extreme danger level is 25.30 m to 28.35 m (Flood Forecasting Cell,
366 Irrigation Division, Malda, 2010) (Fig. 10). At this time, the high discharge of water forcefully hits the
367 river banks and the bank of the river to collapse due to the imbalanced pressure. This part of the Ganga
368 River has possibly been changed due to the construction of the Farakka barrage that asserts exclusively to
369 the continuous aggradations and sand and gravel bar formation. Sediment supply and its deposition under
370 fluctuating discharge disturb the morphology of a river (Bandyopadhyay, 2014) and lead to entropy
371 maximization that induces instability. Moreover, we have calculated *charland* (deposited inland bar) area
372 of the study area which depicts that *charland* area has gradually increased during the study time period.
373 During the last 47 years (1973-2020), the total *charland* accretion is 362.06 km² and the annual charland
374 accretion rate is 7.70 km²/y (Fig. 11). This huge sediment load in the monsoon period has a significant
375 impact on channel instability in this study area. In case of the Ganga River, channel management through
376 the construction of the Farakka barrage across the river played a significant role in a river channel change.
377 Farakka barrage across the river affects morphology and the channel flow dynamics. Besides, it has
378 invited unsolved and forced natural problems for surrounding people. So, we have tried to depict future
379 vulnerable areas with river embankment conditions. This work will definitely assist to make policy
380 framing purposes for future sustainable management.

Fig. 11. Charland area extension of the study area, (a) 1973, (b) 1987, (c) 1997, (d) 2007. (e) 2020.

381

382 **6. Conclusion**

383 This work has demonstrated the application and capability of earth observatory technology. It's generated
384 a complete evaluation of spatial changes in river channel dynamics. During the last 47 years, the average
385 erosion and accretion rate is 0.059 km/y and 0.022 km/y at the left bank and 0.026 km/y and 0.046 at the
386 right bank respectively. The multi-temporal data analysis reveals that the Ganga River has continuously
387 changed its bankline positions from extensive erosion and accretion processes and modified its adjacent
388 village area significantly especially towards the left bank. Uncontrolled erosion and accretion process in
389 the vicinity of Farakka barrage has resulted in development of meanders and its migration towards Malda
390 district, West Bengal (India) resulting in flooding, loss of life, agricultural lands and other properties on
391 both the banks. The prediction result reveals that the very high vulnerable condition of 06 villages and
392 21villages for high vulnerable due to left bank erosion. Current work depicted that the high eroded area

393 BEHI score also very high. Moreover, REBVI scores have been significantly helping in understanding
394 and identification vulnerable areas of embankment beaching. In this study, the DSAS based automated
395 approach result and BEHI result both are same direction. This is validated that the DSAS employed as an
396 alternative way that successfully and accurately measures and predicts geomorphic processes (future
397 bankline positions and erosion-accretion) at an appropriate spatio-temporal scale. Among the various
398 important factors, physiographic setting, tectonic activities, discharge fluctuation, sediment load, floods
399 and massive human intervention in the form of the Farakka barrage across the Ganga River are the most
400 significant factors for this dynamicity of the river. The level of accuracy is validated by the actual
401 bankline positions (2020) with predicted bankline (2020) empirically. Besides, RMSE and statistics test
402 are found useful to validate this work. Furthermore, it is evident that riverbank migration and land
403 utilization will support to improve the river adjacent villages for restoration and management as well as
404 uplift the socio-economic condition of the riparian peoples in the future. Therefore, the outcome of the
405 predictions results could serve not only as spatial guidelines for monitoring future trends of channel
406 migration but also address threats and deterioration.

407

408 **References**

- 409 Ashwini, K., Pathan, S., & Singh, A. 2020. Understanding planform dynamics of the Ganga River in
410 eastern part of India. *Spatial Information Research*, 29(4), 507-518. doi: 10.1007/s41324-020-
411 00373-3
- 412 Ashraf, Muhammad, and Abdul Sattar Shakir. 2018. "Prediction of River Bank Erosion and Protection
413 Works in A Reach of Chenab River, Pakistan". *Arabian Journal of Geosciences* 11
414 (7).doi:10.1007/s12517-018-3493-7.
- 415 Alberico, I., Amato, V., Aucelli, P. P. C., D'Argenio, B., Paola, G. Di, and Pappone, G. 2012. Historical
416 shoreline change of the Sele Plain (Southern Italy): The 1870–2009 time window, *J. Coastal Res.*,
417 28, 1638–1647.
- 418 Appeaning Addo, K., Walkden, M., and Mills, J. P. 2008. Detection, measurement and prediction of
419 shoreline recession in Accra, Ghana, *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.*, 63, 543–558.
- 420 Anders, F.J., Byrnes, M.R., 1991. Accuracy of shoreline change rates as determined from maps and aerial
421 photographs. *Shore and Beach*, 59, 17-26.
- 422 Bera, B., S. Bhattacharjee, and C. Roy. 2019. "Estimating Stream Piracy in The Lower Ganga Plain of a
423 Quaternary Geological Site in West Bengal, India Applying Sedimentological Bank Facies, Log
424 and Geospatial Techniques". *Current Science* 117 (4): 662. doi:10.18520/cs/v117/i4/662-671.

425 Bandyopadhyay, Shreya, Kapil Ghosh, and Sunil Kumar De. 2014. "A Proposed Method of Bank Erosion
426 Vulnerability Zonation and Its Application on the River Haora, Tripura,
427 India". *Geomorphology* 224: 111-121. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.07.018.

428 Chakrapani, G. J., V. Subramanian, R. J. Gibbs, and P. K. Jha. 1995. "Size Characteristics and
429 Mineralogy of Suspended Sediments of The Ganges River, India". *Environmental Geology* 25 (3):
430 192-196. doi:10.1007/bf00768548.

431 Das, B. 2011. Stakeholders' perception in identification of river bank erosion hazard: a case
432 study. *Natural Hazards*, 58(3), 905-928. doi: 10.1007/s11069-010-9698-z

433 Dewan, Ashraf, Robert Corner, AshtySaleem, Md Masudur Rahman, Md Rafiqul Haider, Md Mostafizur
434 Rahman, and Maminul H. Sarker. 2017. "Assessing Channel Changes of The Ganges-Padma River
435 System in Bangladesh Using Landsat And Hydrological Data". *Geomorphology* 276: 257-279.
436 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.10.017.

437 Dutta, P., 2011. Migration as Source of Risk-aversion Among the Environmental Eefugees: The Case of
438 Women Displaced by Erosion of the River Ganga in the Malda District of West Bengal, India,
439 Bielefeld: COMCAD.

440 de Bethune S, Muller F, &Donnay J.P, 1998. Fusion of multispectral and panchromatic images by local
441 mean and variance matching filtering techniques. *Fusion of Earth Data*, 28-30.

442 Esteves, L. S., Williams, J. J., Nock, A., and Lymbery, G.2009. Quantifying shoreline changes along the
443 Sefton coast (UK) and the implications for research-informed coastal management, *J. Coastal Res.*,
444 56, 602–606.

445 Ellison, J. C. and Zouh, I.2012. Vulnerability to climate change of mangroves: Assessment from
446 Cameroon, Central Africa, *Biology*, 1, 617–638.

447 Fenster M., Dolan R., Elder J. 1993. - *A new method for predicting shoreline positions from historical*
448 *data*. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 9: 147-171.

449 Guchhait, Sanat Kumar, Aznarul Islam, Sandipan Ghosh, Balai Chandra Das, and Nishith Kumar Maji.
450 2016. "Role of Hydrological Regime and Floodplain Sediments in Channel Instability of The
451 Bhagirathi River, Ganga-Brahmaputra Delta, India". *Physical Geography* 37 (6): 476-510.
452 doi:10.1080/02723646.2016.1230986.

453 Ghosh, Krishna Gopal, Swades Pal, and Sutapa Mukhopadhyay. 2016. "Validation of BANCS Model for
454 Assessing Stream Bank Erosion Hazard Potential (SBEHP) in Bakreshwar River of Rarh Region,
455 Eastern India". *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment* 2 (2).doi:10.1007/s40808-016-0172-0.

456 Hapke, C. J., Reid, D., and Richmond, B.2009. Rates and trends of coastal change in California and the
457 regional behavior of the beach and cliff system, *J. Coastal Res.*, 25, 603–615.

458 Hai-Hoa, N., McAlpine, C., Pullar, D., Johansen, K., and Duke, N. C. 2013. The relationship of spatial–
459 temporal changes in fringe mangrove extent and adjacent land-use: Case study of Kien Giang coast,
460 Vietnam, *Ocean Coast. Manage.*, 76, 12–22, 2013.

461 Islam, A., Guchhait, S.K. 2017. “Analysing the influence of Farakka Barrage Project on channel
462 dynamics and meander geometry of Bhagirathi river of West Bengal, India”. *Arab J*
463 *Geosci* 10, 245. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-017-3004-2>

464 Jana, Subrata. 2019. "An Automated Approach Estimation and Prediction of Riverbank Shifting for
465 Flood-Prone Middle-Lower Course of The Subarnarekha River, India". *International Journal of*
466 *River Basin Management*, 1-19. doi:10.1080/15715124.2019.1695259.

467 Kuleli, T., Guneroglu, A., Karsli, F., and Dihkan, M. 2011. Automatic detection of shoreline change on
468 coastal Ramsar wetlands of Turkey, *Ocean Eng.*, 38, 1141–1149.

469 Khan, Sana, Rajiv Sinha, Paul Whitehead, Sananda Sarkar, Li Jin, and Martyn N. Futter. 2018. "Flows
470 and Sediment Dynamics in The Ganga River Under Present and Future Climate
471 Scenarios". *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 63 (5): 763-782. doi:10.1080/02626667.2018.1447113.

472 Kankara, R.S., S. Chenthamil Selvan, Vipin J. Markose, B. Rajan, and S. Arockiaraj. 2015. "Estimation
473 of Long- and Short-Term Shoreline Changes Along Andhra Pradesh Coast Using Remote Sensing
474 and GIS Techniques". *Procedia Engineering* 116: 855-862. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2015.08.374.

475 Langat, Philip Kibet, Lalit Kumar, and Richard Koech. 2018. "Understanding Water and Land Use
476 Within Tanaand Athi River Basins in Kenya: Opportunities for Improvement". *Sustainable Water*
477 *Resources Management* 5 (3): 977-987. doi:10.1007/s40899-018-0274-0.

478 Li R., Liu J., Felus Y. 2001. *Spatial modelling and analysis for shoreline change and coastal erosion*
479 *monitoring*. *Marine Geodesy* 24: 1-12. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01490410121502>.

480 Mondal, M., & Satpati, L. N. 2012. Morphodynamic setting and nature of bank erosion of the ichamati
481 river in swarupnagar and baduria blocks , 24 Parganasz (N), West Bengal. *Indian Journal of Spatial*
482 *Science*, 3(2), 35e43.

483 Mondal, J., & Mandal, S. 2018. Monitoring changing course of the river Ganga and land-use dynamicity
484 in Manikchak Diara of Malda district, West Bengal, India, using geospatial tools. *Spatial*
485 *Information Research*, 26(6), 691-704. doi: 10.1007/s41324-018-0210-2

486 Majumdar, S., & Mandal, S. 2020. Assessment of relationship of braiding intensities with stream power
487 and bank erosion rate through Plan Form Index (PFI) method: a study on selected reaches of the
488 upstream of Ganga river near Malda district, West Bengal, India. *Sustainable Water Resources*
489 *Management*, 6(6). doi: 10.1007/s40899-020-00462-z

490 Mukherjee, K., & Pal, S. 2017. Channel migration zone mapping of the River Ganga in the Diara
491 surrounding region of Eastern India. *Environment, Development And Sustainability*, 20(5), 2181-
492 2203. doi: 10.1007/s10668-017-9984-y

493 Mukhopadhyay, Anirban, Sandip Mukherjee, Samadrita Mukherjee, Subhajit Ghosh, Sugata Hazra, and
494 Debasish Mitra. 2012. "Automatic Shoreline Detection and Future Prediction: A Case Study on
495 Puri Coast, Bay of Bengal, India". *European Journal of Remote Sensing* 45 (1): 201-213.
496 doi:10.5721/eujrs20124519.

497 Mondal, M. Gouri, S. B and Pravart K.S., 2012. "Vulnerability Analysis of Embankment Breaching",
498 *International Journal of Geology, Earth and Environmental Sciences* ISSN: 2277- 2081.

499 Natesan, U., Thulasiraman, N., Deepthi, K., and Kathiravan, K. 2013. Shoreline change analysis of
500 Vedaranyam coast, Tamil Nadu, India, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 185, 5099–5109.

501 Rahman, A. F., Dragoni, D., and El-Masri, B. 2011. Response of the Sundarbans coastline to sea level
502 rise and decreased sediment flow: A remote sensing assessment, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 115,
503 3121–3128.

504 Pal, R., & Pani, P. 2019. Remote sensing and GIS-based analysis of evolving planform morphology of the
505 middle-lower part of the Ganga River, India. *The Egyptian Journal Of Remote Sensing And Space*
506 *Science*, 22(1), 1-10. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrs.2018.01.007

507 Raj, C., & Singh, V. 2020. Assessment of planform changes of the Ganga River from Bhagalpur to
508 Farakka during 1973–2019 using satellite imagery. *ISH Journal Of Hydraulic Engineering*, 1-11.
509 doi: 10.1080/09715010.2020.1812123

510 Rudra, Kalyan. 2010. "Dynamics of The Ganga in West Bengal, India (1764–2007): Implications for
511 Science–Policy Interaction". *Quaternary International* 227 (2): 161-169.
512 doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2009.10.043.

513 Rosgen, D.L., 2001 A stream channel stability assessment methodology, 7th Federal Interagency
514 Sediment Conference, March 25-29, Reno, Nevada.

515 Rosgen, D. L., 2006. A watershed assessment for river stability and sediment supply (WARSSS).
516 Wildland Hydrology Books. Fort Collins. Colorado.

517 Sarif, M., Siddiqui, L., Islam, M., Parveen, N., & Saha, M. 2021. Evolution of river course and
518 morphometric features of the River Ganga: A case study of up and downstream of Farakka
519 Barrage. *International Soil And Water Conservation Research*, 9(4), 578-590. doi:
520 10.1016/j.iswcr.2021.01.006

521 Sinha, Rajiv, and Santosh Ghosh. 2012. "Understanding Dynamics of Large Rivers Aided by Satellite
522 Remote Sensing: A Case Study from Lower Ganga Plains, India". *Geocarto International* 27 (3):
523 207-219. doi:10.1080/10106049.2011.620180.

524 Singh, Munendra, IndraBir Singh, and German Müller. 2007. "Sediment Characteristics and
525 Transportation Dynamics of The Ganga River". *Geomorphology* 86 (1-2): 144-175.
526 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.08.011.

527 Simpson, Aiden, Ian Turner, Eve Brantley, and Brian Helms. 2014. "Bank Erosion Hazard Index as An
528 Indicator of Near-Bank Aquatic Habitat and Community Structure in A Southeastern Piedmont
529 Stream". *Ecological Indicators* 43: 19-28. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.02.002.

530 Thakur, P., Laha, C., & Aggarwal, S. 2011. River bank erosion hazard study of river Ganga, upstream of
531 Farakka barrage using remote sensing and GIS. *Natural Hazards*, 61(3), 967-987. doi:
532 10.1007/s11069-011-9944-z

533 Thieler, E. R., & Himmelstoss, E.A., Zichichi, J.L., and Ergul, Ayhan. 2009. Digital Shoreline Analysis
534 System (DSAS) version 4.0 — An ArcGIS extension for calculating shoreline change: U.S.
535 Geological Survey Open-File Report 2008-1278.

536 Wang, Bo, and Y. Jun Xu. 2018. "Dynamics of 30 Large Channel Bars in The Lower Mississippi River in
537 Response to River Engineering From 1985 To 2015". *Geomorphology* 300: 31-44.
538 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.09.041.

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

Figures

Figure 1

Location map of the study area (A) India, (B) West Bengal, (C) The Ganga River floodplain with river buffer Mouza.

Figure 2

Conceptual framework of the methods used.

Figure 3

Different banklines (1973– 2020) are positioned along the baseline. All transects are oriented at angle with the corresponding baselines. (A) Right bankline and (B) Lift bankline.

Figure 4

DSAS model-based prediction of riverbank erosion and deposition rate along transects during the different study periods, at the both banks. (a) Right bank and (b) Lift bank of 1973-1987; (c) Right bank and (d) Lift bank of 1987-1997; (e) Right bank and (f) Lift bank of 1997-2007; (g) Right bank and (h) Lift bank of 2007-2020; (i) Right bank and (j) Lift bank of 2000-2025; (k) Right bank and (l) Lift bank of 2025-2035; (m) Right bank and (n) Lift bank of 2035-2045.

Figure 5

DSAS model-based prediction of riverbank accretion-erosion rate during the study periods at the both banks. (a) Right bank and (b) Lift bank of 1973-1987; (c) Right bank and (d) Lift bank of 1987-1997; (e) Right bank and (f) Lift bank of 1997-2007; (g) Right bank and (h) Lift bank of 2007-2020; (i) Right bank and (j) Lift bank of 1973-2020; (k) Right bank and (l) Lift bank of 2020-2025; (m) Right bank and (n) Lift bank of 2025-2035; (o) Right bank and (p) Lift bank of 2035-2045.

Figure 6

Spatial pattern of bankline migration after prediction in the year 2020, 2025, 2035, and 2045.

Figure 7

Spatial distributions of erosion and deposition area during the period (a) 1973-1987, (b) 1987-1997, (c) 1997-2007, (d) 2007-2020, (e) 2020-2025, (f) 2025-2035, and (g) 2035-2-45.

Figure 8

Sample Segment (location) specific mean BEHI ratings along the left bank of the Ganga River, (a) low BEHI score area (7.25) at Deonapur, (b) low BEHI score area (22.75) at Jagannathpur, (c) high BEHI score area (42.45) at Jot Bhabani, (d) high BEHI score area (43.95) at Gopalpur.

Figure 9

Sample Segment (location) specific mean REBVI ratings along the left bank of the Ganga River, (a) during the bank erosion time at PalgachhiMouza, (b) during the bank erosion time at Dharampur, (c) during the bank erosion time at Manikchak, (d) during the bank erosion time at Gupalpur, (e) during the bank erosion time at Sukhesna, (f) Above 90° angel slope at Panchanandapur, (g) Soil different layer at Bagdukra, (h) Temporary embankment at Nayagram, (i) broken embankment at Jot Ananta.

Figure 10

River Flow Characteristics during the Monsoon at Farakka 1985-2010 (Flood Forecasting Cell, Irrigation Division, Malda, 2010)

Figure 11

Charland area extension of the study area, (a) 1973, (b) 1987, (c) 1997, (d) 2007. (e) 2020.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementarymaterial.doc](#)