Correlation Between Quality and Geographical Origins of Leonuri Herba Revealed By the Qualitative Fingerprint Profiling and Quantitative Determination of Chemical Components

Kelly Yinchong Lam

Hong Kong Baptist University School of Chinese Medicine

Yinghao Wang

Hong Kong Baptist University School of Chinese Medicine

Tszking Lam

Hong Kong Baptist University School of Chinese Medicine

Chuenfai Ku

Hong Kong Baptist University School of Chinese Medicine

Wingping Yeung

Hong Kong Baptist University School of Chinese Medicine

Zhongzhen Zhao (✉ zzzhao@hkbu.edu.hk)

Hong Kong Baptist University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6533-9668>

Research Article

Keywords: Geographical Origins, Leonuri Herba, fingerprint, Chemical components

Posted Date: December 7th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1102170/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Chinese Medicine on April 12th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13020-022-00592-w>.

Abstract

Background

Leonuri Herba (Yimucao) is a very commonly Chinese herbs for treating menstrual and maternal diseases for thousands of years in China. However, the herb collected in different origins was easily found in the markets which induce the unstable quality for clinic use. In this study, a comprehensive strategy of using multiple chromatographic analysis and chemometric analysis was firstly investigated for chemical discrimination of Leonuri Herba from different geographical origins.

Methods

UHPLC-QTOF-MS/MS was applied to identify the peaks of Leonuri Herba and chemical fingerprints were established in 30 batches from different geographical origins. Meanwhile, dissimilarities of chemical compositions among different origins were further investigated by principal component analysis and cluster analysis.

Results

A total of 49 chromatographic peaks of Leonuri Herba were unequivocally or tentatively identified by UHPLC-QTOF-MS/MS. Leonuri Herba were classified into four categories, and eight major compounds detected could be used as chemical markers for discrimination. Also, the eight components, including leonurine, 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone, rutin, hyperoside, apigenin, quercetin, kaempferol and salicylic acid, were simultaneously quantified using the extracting ion mode of UHPLC-QTOF-MS/MS.

Conclusion

This systematic information could ensure Leonuri Herba with well-controlled quality and safe use in clinic. This study could also provide a research model for further study of other Chinese Materia Medica.

Background

Leonuri Herba (Yimucao) is the aerial part of *Leonurus japonicus* Houtt., which is a very commonly used herb for treating menstrual and maternal diseases. It has been used for thousands of years in Chinese Medicine, and it is recognized to be a non-toxic herb [1]. *Leonurus japonicus* Houtt. grows on wastelands of mountains and plains, rand, grasslands and streamsides. It distributes throughout China. The medicinal materials are mainly produced in Henan (Songxian and Luanzhou), Anhui (Liuan and Bengbu), Sichuan (Wenjiang), Jiangsu (Nanjing), Zhejiang (Fenghua)[2]. Besides, it is also native to other countries such as Europe, North America, Japan, Malaysia, etc. [3]. According to Chinese Pharmacopoeia[4], the dried herb is collected in summer before flowering and dried under the sun to obtain Herba Leonuri. However, the herb collected in different stages with the varied proportion of leaves and stems was easily found in the markets which induce the unstable quality for clinic use. Thus, the quality of this traditional Chinese Materia Medica has already attracted public concern. Leonuri Herba has plenty of pharmacological effects for treating human diseases [5], especially in gynecopathy [6], as well as other functions with its low toxic property[7]. Thus, it has been using for a long time as TCM. Besides, quite a lot of studies have been conducted by extracting its active components and investigate on their mechanisms [5].

Leonuri Herba is produced all over the country and it is not a geo-authentic herbs (daodi yaochai). It is difficult to determine the quality of Leonuri Herba in different geographical origins. Furthermore, different harvesting period of Leonuri Herba is also one of the major factors affecting the quality. Nowadays, Hong Kong usually purchases Leonuri Herba at seedling stage while mainland China usually purchases the mature Leonuri Herba. These situations show the necessity and importance to clarify whether the seedling or the mature one is better and the preference of geographical origins that should be chosen. There are around 140 compounds discovered in Leonuri Herba, alkaloids, diterpenes and flavones are its main chemicals [1]. Apart from leonurine and stachydrine, the studies of other components such as diterpenes and flavones that monitoring the quality of Leonuri Herba is still deficient [3]. This leads to the significance of analyzing the quality of Leonuri Herba by other chemical components.

This study aims to use chromatographic analysis and chemometric analysis focus on investigating for chemical discrimination of Leonuri Herba from different geographical origins, so as to provide evidence for evaluating the quality of Leonuri Herba. The symbolic components in Leonuri Herba are the targets for enhancing the analysis methods of quality control. Combining principal component analysis and cluster analysis with UHPLC-QTOF-MS/MS is employed to assay the level of content in different growing stages and origins.

Materials And Methods

Herbal materials

Thirty batches of leonuri herba were acquired for this study. Of these, ten batches were obtained from the Hong Kong medicine market, other batches were purchased directly from certified production regions in China, as specified in **Table 1**. Thirty batches of leonuri herba were all authenticated by Prof. Zhongzhen Zhao from the School of Chinese Medicine (SCM), Hong Kong Baptist University (HKBU). Samples were dried, ground, then sifted through a 60-mesh sieve. Voucher specimens were deposited in SCM of HKBU (**Additional file 1**).

Instrument, Chemicals and reagents

Chemical markers of leonurine, rutin, 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone, hyperoside, salicylic acid, kaempferol were obtained from Shanghai Tauto Biotech Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Chemical marker of apigenin was purchased from Chengdu Mansite Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. (Sichuan, China). Chemical marker of quercetin was obtained from the National Institute of Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (Beijing, China). The purity of each chemical marker was above 95%. Methanol and acetonitrile for UHPLC-QTOF-MS/MS analysis were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Ultrapure water was prepared by a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

The analyses were performed on UHPLC (Agilent Technologies Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA), Agilent 6540 ultra-high definition accurate mass quadrupole time-of-flight spectrometer (Agilent Technologies Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA).

Sample Extraction

The accurately weighed ground samples (0.5 g) was extracted with 5 mL of methanol for 60 min at 60°C in an ultrasonic water bath (300 W), and then cooled to room temperature. After compensating the lost weight of methanol, the extracted solution was filtered through a 0.22 µm PTFE syringe filter UHPLC fingerprint and identification analysis by UHPLC-PDA-QTOF-MS/MS [8].

UHPLC-QTOF-MS/MS Identification

According to the research reports on the chemical components of Leonuri Herba, 121 chemical compounds are collected from Leonuri Herba. Agilent's "Mass Hunter PCDL Manager" software is used to calculate the relatively molecular mass accurately and establish a database of known chemical components of Leonuri Herba.

Chromatographic conditions:

Waters ACQUITY UPLC® BEH-C18 analytical column (2.1 mm x 100 mm, I.D. 1.7 µm); VanGuard™ BEH-C18 guard column (2.1 mm x 5 mm, I.D. 1.7 µm); Mobile phase 0.1% FA (A) and acetonitrile (B); Linear gradient elution program 0-8 min, 25% B; 8-16 min, 25-75% B; 16-18 min, 75-100% B; 18-21 min, 100% B; 21 min, 2% B; 21-24 min, 2% B; Flow rate 0.35 mL/min; Column temperature 40°C; Injection volume 2 µL.

Mass spectrometry conditions:

Mode positive and negative (scanning from 100-900 m/z); Dry gas temperature 300°C; Dry nitrogen gas flow rate 8 L/min; Nebulizer pressure 40 psi; Vcap 3500; Nozzle voltage 500 V; Fragmentor voltage 120 V. The mass spectrum was calibrated by Tune mix every time (positive mode, 118-1521 m/z; negative mode, 112-1634 m/z).

Fingerprint similarity evaluation

According to the UHPLC-MS/MS spectra of 30 samples, the common chromatographic fingerprint peaks and internal reference peaks contained in each batch of samples were confirmed. The Similarity evaluation system for chromatographic fingerprint of TCM was used to establish the fingerprint of 30 batches of Leonuri Herba. The similarity was calculated by the angle cosine method.

Hierarchical clustering analysis and principal component analysis

For HCA, the common fingerprint peak area of Leonuri Herba from different origins and batches are used as the source data of hierarchical clustering analysis. The hierarchical clustering algorithm in microarray analysis software (MeV 4.7.4) is used. For PCA, the

common fingerprint peak area of Leonuri Herba fingerprints from different origins and batches are used as the source data of PCA by using factor analysis in SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Quantitative analysis

The accurately weighed ground samples of 29 batches (0.5 g) were respectively extracted with methanol (2.5 mL) for 60 min, and then cooled to 25 °C. After centrifugation at 3,500 g for 10 min, the supernatant was transferred to a 10 mL volumetric flask. This process was repeated twice and then the volume was adjusted to the calibration mark with methanol. The extracted solution was filtered through a 0.22 µm PTFE syringe filter before analysis. The accurately weighed markers: 2.0 mg of leonurine and rutin; 1.5 mg of hyperoside and apigenin; 1.0 mg of 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone, salicylic acid, kaempferol and quercetin. Each of them placed in a 5 mL volumetric flask and then the volume was adjusted to the calibration mark with methanol. Then the markers with 5.0 mL of leonurine, 6.25 mL of rutin, 3.75 mL of hyperoside, 2.0 mL of salicylic acid, 3.0 mL of quercetin, 1.25 mL of apigenin, 1.25 mL of kaempferol and 2.5 mL of 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone were accurately weighed and put them into a 25 mL measuring cylinder. The mixed marker solution could be obtained (leonurine: 0.084 mg/mL; rutin: 0.10 mg/mL, hyperoside: 0.048 mg/mL, salicylic acid: 0.016 mg/mL, quercetin: 0.024 mg/mL, Apigenin: 0.01 mg/mL, kaempferol: 0.01 mg/mL and 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone: 0.02 mg/mL).

Statistical analysis

Peaks above the S/N ratio of the chromatogram were labeled and manually integrated using version 7.2 of the Chromeleon Chromatography Data System software (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to distinguish between the different batches. Summary data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) for $n = 3-7$.

Results And Discussion

Components authentication

Figure 1A shows the Base peak chromatogram (BPC) of Leonuri Herba in negative mode. According to TR, Mass, (-)-ESI-MS/MS Fragment Ions and compared with the reference and standards, 49 components were identified or preliminarily identified. There were 2 alkaloids, 18 flavonoids, 7 Terpenoids, 8 aromatic acids and 14 other classes (**Table 2**).

Fingerprint analysis

According to the UHPLC-MS/MS spectrum of 30 batches of samples, the chromatographic peaks could be showed within 24 minutes. Thirty-three of them were the common peaks to each batch of samples (**Table 3**). The total peak area of each batch of samples accounted for more than 85% of the total peak area and the reproducibility was good, which fulfilled the requirements of fingerprints. Thus, they were determined as a common fingerprint peak. The common fingerprint peak could be determined by the standard retention time. When the retention time was 6.53 min, it was leonurine (**Figure 1B**). Since the peak area of leonurine was relatively big, the peak time was moderate and the shape was good, it was selected as the reference peak. Using Similarity Evaluation System for Chromatographic Fingerprint of Traditional Chinese Medicine (2004A edition). Thirty batches of Leonuri Herba from different origins were introduced (**Figure 2**) and the time width was 0.1 min, gaining the control fingerprint by median generation method. The similarity of 30 batches of samples was calculated by the angle cosine method. The result showed the similarity between each fingerprint and the control fingerprint was less than 0.90, indicating there was a big difference in each origin including Hubei, Guangdong, Henan, Anhui, Yunnan, Zhejiang and Sichuan. Thus, principal component and hierarchical cluster analysis should be further carried out to clarify the relationship between origins and quality.

Results of hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA)

The analysis showed the samples could be roughly clustered into Henan and Anhui as a big group; Yunnan and Sichuan as a big group; Guangdong and Hubei (at seedling stage) as a big group; Hubei (at mature stage) as a small group. This proved the components were similar if the origins are close. However, many factors such as harvest time, proportion of medical parts, soil, environment, and water were different, resulting in significant differences in chemical components of Leonuri Herba in different counties but same provinces. Thus, it is necessary to find quality markers in different origins to clarify the relationship between habitat and quality. From the chemical composition clustering analysis, as shown in **Figure 3**, the first component was leonurine. The second and third classes were quercetin-3-O-robinoside, rutin and 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone. The fourth class was quercetin-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside, tiliroside and hyperoside. The fifth class was lavandulifolioside, salicylic acid, syringic acid and 2"-syringylrutin. The sixth to eighth classes were

daidzein, phytol, and apigenin. Other classes also included kaempferol, quercetin, tryptophan, 7a(H)-eudesmane-4,11(12)-diene-3-one-2 β -hydroxy-13- β -D- glucopyranoside, etc. These different classes of components could be used to distinguish the quality of Leonuri Herba and as a basis for quantitative analysis.

Leonurine(C1), 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone(C3), rutin (C4), hyperoside(C11), apigenin(C15), quercetin(C16), kaempferol (C17) and salicylic acid (C30) were selected for further quantitative analysis of the indicator components.

Results of principal component analysis[PCA]

The common fingerprint peak area of Leonuri Herba fingerprints from different origins and batches were used as the source data of PCA by using factor analysis in SPSS 20.0. The results of SPSS analysis indicated that the first principal component should integrate the information of C1, C2, C3, C18, C21, C28, C29, C30 compounds. Thus, the chemical composition differences of different origins and batches of Leonuri Herba were mainly reflected to these chemical components. The results showed that the content of C1 (Leonurine), C3 (4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone) and C30 (Salicylic acid) in Henan samples is higher, which was consistent with the cluster analysis results. The matrix coefficient of main components 1-8 in Leonuri Herba are shown in **Figure 4**. In **Figure 4A**, PCA divided Leonuri Herba from different origins into four parts: Henan, Anhui as a large category; Yunnan, Sichuan as a large category; Guangdong, Hubei (at seedling stage) as a large category; Hubei (at mature stage) as a large category, which were consistent with the results of cluster analysis, verifying the reliability of cluster analysis. Interestingly, these four groups were highly consistent with the collection locations of these samples (**Figure 4B**), which demonstrated that the chemical components of different samples are heavily influenced by growing area.

Comprehensive evaluation analysis

The relationship formula between the principal component load matrix U, the factor load matrix A and the eigenvalue λ was $U_i=A/\sqrt{\lambda_i}$. By calculating the variables, eight eigenvectors U1-8 were obtained, and the expressions of the 8 principal components could be obtained, as follows.

$$Y_1=0.226X_1+0.239X_2+0.229X_3-0.045X_4+0.213X_5+0.161X_6+0.215X_7+0.145X_8+0.189X_9+0.147X_{10}+0.219X_{11}+0.182X_{12}-0.055X_{13}+0.223X_{14}-0.053X_{15}+0.058X_{16}+0.040X_{17}+0.252X_{18}+0.192X_{19}+0.183X_{20}+0.246X_{21}+0.087X_{22}+0.213X_{23}+0.152X_{24}+0.022X_{25}+0.004X_{26}-0.061X_{27}+0.243X_{28}+0.234X_{29}+0.276X_{30}+0.190X_{31}$$

$$Y_2=0.023X_1-0.109X_2+0.122X_3+0.315X_4+0.157X_5+0.311X_6+0.117X_7+0.182X_8+0.200X_9+0.293X_{10}+0.198X_{11}+0.205X_{12}-0.100X_{13}-0.140X_{14}-0.106X_{15}+0.289X_{16}+0.200X_{17}-0.123X_{18}-0.151X_{19}-0.158X_{20}-0.171X_{21}+0.245X_{22}-0.011X_{23}-0.221X_{24}-0.085X_{25}+0.153X_{26}+0.053X_{27}-0.217X_{28}-0.167X_{29}-0.139X_{30}-0.069X_{31}$$

$$Y_3=0.046X_1-0.014X_2+0.195X_3-0.065X_4-0.161X_5+0.101X_6-0.277X_7-0.090X_8-0.145X_9-0.212X_{10}-0.241X_{11}-0.275X_{12}+0.102X_{13}+0.111X_{14}-0.158X_{15}+0.274X_{16}+0.311X_{17}-0.021X_{18}-0.007X_{19}+0.225X_{20}+0.078X_{21}+0.275X_{22}+0.150X_{23}-0.170X_{24}-0.147X_{25}+0.335X_{26}+0.167X_{27}+0.051X_{28}-0.049X_{29}+0.088X_{30}+0.236X_{31}$$

$$Y_4=-0.126X_1-0.166X_2+0.242X_3-0.090X_4-0.152X_5+0.157X_6+0.139X_7+0.375X_8-0.155X_9+0.037X_{10}-0.055X_{11}-0.170X_{12}+0.367X_{13}-0.007X_{14}-0.099X_{15}+0.012X_{16}+0.117X_{17}+0.083X_{18}+0.226X_{19}-0.160X_{20}+0.014X_{21}+0.070X_{22}-0.192X_{23}+0.039X_{24}+0.550X_{25}+0.000X_{26}-0.024X_{27}+0.007X_{28}+0.069X_{29}+0.012X_{30}-0.180X_{31}$$

$$Y_5=0.172X_1-0.188X_2+0.038X_3-0.100X_4+0.208X_5+0.017X_6-0.017X_7-0.204X_8+0.068X_9+0.010X_{10}-0.020X_{11}+0.137X_{12}+0.433X_{13}+0.210X_{14}+0.313X_{15}+0.044X_{16}+0.110X_{17}+0.174X_{18}-0.114X_{19}-0.168X_{20}-0.253X_{21}-0.097X_{22}-0.221X_{23}+0.259X_{24}-0.210X_{25}+0.318X_{26}+0.138X_{27}-0.006X_{28}+0.168X_{29}+0.055X_{30}-0.120X_{31}$$

$$Y_6=0.181X_1+0.190X_2+0.098X_3+0.087X_4-0.138X_5-0.016X_6-0.003X_7-0.135X_8-0.066X_9-0.029X_{10}-0.070X_{11}+0.003X_{12}-0.062X_{13}-0.229X_{14}+0.043X_{15}+0.165X_{16}-0.115X_{17}-0.165X_{18}+0.010X_{19}-0.213X_{20}-0.080X_{21}+0.243X_{22}+0.271X_{23}+0.309X_{24}+0.073X_{25}-0.204X_{26}+0.516X_{27}+0.096X_{28}+0.133X_{29}+0.121X_{30}-0.322X_{31}$$

$$Y_7=-0.146X_1-0.062X_2-0.083X_3-0.053X_4+0.137X_5+0.004X_6-0.163X_7+0.207X_8+0.134X_9+0.166X_{10}-0.082X_{11}-0.006X_{12}+0.139X_{13}-0.286X_{14}+0.644X_{15}+0.139X_{16}-0.095X_{17}-0.201X_{18}+0.316X_{19}+0.208X_{20}+0.213X_{21}-0.002X_{22}+0.149X_{23}-$$

$$0.049X_{24}+0.017X_{25}+0.096X_{26}+0.084X_{27}-0.021X_{28}-0.052X_{29}-0.030X_{30}+0.081X_{31}$$

$$Y_8=0.187X_1+0.358X_2+0.062X_3+0.043X_4-0.072X_5-0.157X_6+0.155X_7-0.061X_8-0.020X_9-0.043X_{10}-0.036X_{11}-0.040X_{12}+0.182X_{13}+0.041X_{14}+0.216X_{15}-0.034X_{16}+0.296X_{17}-0.174X_{18}-$$

$$0.186X_{19}+0.015X_{20}+0.012X_{21}+0.065X_{22}+0.321X_{23}+0.158X_{24}+0.138X_{25}+0.101X_{26}-0.502X_{27}-0.143X_{28}-0.222X_{29}-0.106X_{30}-0.201X_{31}$$

Normalizing the original variables and using SPSS to compute variables and calculate the principal components $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5, Y_6, Y_7$ and Y_8 . Taking the variance contribution rate corresponding to each principal component as the weight, the principal component scores and the corresponding weights were linearly weighted to construct a comprehensive evaluation function of different habitats and batches of Leonuri Herba :

$$Y=0.301 Y_1+0.192Y_2+0.131Y_3+0.078Y_4+0.052Y_5+0.043Y_6+0.038Y_7+0.034Y_8$$

The comprehensive evaluation scores of Leonuri Herba from different origins and batches were calculated from the above formula are shown in **Table 4**. The higher of the score, the better of the sample quality of origins and batch. The comprehensive score was greater than 0. The results showed that batch S8 (from Hubei at seedling stage) had the highest comprehensive score, followed by batch S4 (from Guangdong at seedling stage), S15 (Henan) S10 (from Hubei at seedling stage) and S13 (Henan). The above results showed that the quality of three batches at seedling stage was better than the others. The quality of Leonuri Herba produced in Henan is relatively good when compare to other origins.

Result of Quantitative Analysis

The LOD was calculated according to the signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1; the LOQ was calculated according to the signal-to-noise ratio of 10:1, and the results were shown in (**Additional file 2**Table S1). The precision test results showed that the precision of the instrument was good; the stability test results showed that the test solution had a good stability within 24 hours after preparation; the repeatability test results showed that the method had a good repeatability; the results of the sample recovery rate showed that the accuracy was good (**Additional file 3**Table S2).. The results of 30 batches of Leonuri Herba from different origins are shown in **Table 5**. The detailed content trends of eight analytes in the 30 samples from different origins are exhibited in **Figure 5**. In **Figure 5A**, the results showed that YMC from Henan had the highest content in Leonurine (C1), 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone(C3), rutin (C4) and hyperoside(C11). However, the content of rutin(C4) in Zhejiang was the highest. For apigenin(C15), quercetin(C16), kaempferol (C17) and salicylic acid (C30), Hubei, Henan and Sichuan had similar result (**Figure 5B**). By adding all the eight analytes together, the total content of Henan is the highest (**Figure 5C**).

In summary, the quality of Leonuri Herba at seedling stage is better than the mature ones. Apart from the quality of medicinal part, Leonuri Herba from different origins are mainly clustered into four categories: Henan and Anhui are grouped together; Yunnan and Sichuan are grouped together; Guangdong and Hubei (at seedlings stage) are grouped together; Hubei (at mature stage) is grouped as one type. The comprehensive evaluation analysis showed that the quality of Leonuri Herba at seedling stage was good. And the quality of Leonuri Herba in Henan was relatively good when compare to other origins. However, the quality difference between stems and leaves from different origins and different growing stage is not very clear. Further studies should be conducted to address this issue. Laser microdissection combined with chromatographic analysis could be one of the powerful tool to investigate the chemical composition distribution and change in different growing stages of Leonuri Herba .This may also help to unify the standard of Leonuri Herba so as to decrease the confusion in markets.

Conclusion

Based on the above trials, the fingerprints of 30 batches of Leonuri Herba in different origins were established, 33 chromatographic fingerprint peaks were confirmed. In this study, we developed an accurate, fast, stable, and reproducible content determination method for these eight components: leonurine, 4', 5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone, rutin, hyperoside, apigenin, quercetin, kaempferol and salicylic acid by UHPLC-QTOF-MS/MS. The content determination has realized the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the Leonuri Herba under the same chromatographic conditions and provided a simple and rapid analysis method for the quality control of Leonuri Herba.

Abbreviations

BPC: Base Peak Chromatogram; LOD: Limit of Detection; LOQ: Limit of Quantification; MF: Molecular Formula; MS: Mass Spectrometry; PCA: Principal Component Analysis; TCM: Traditional Chinese medicine; UHPLC: Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent to publish

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The data used to support the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the Research Grants Council (Project No. 12102217 and 12103919).

Authors' contributions

Zhongzhen Zhao and Kelly Yincheng Lam were in charge of idea and concept of the paper; Kelly Yincheng Lam, Yinghao Wang and Tszking Lam performed the experiment; Kelly Yincheng Lam, Yinghao Wang processed the data and wrote the paper. Chuenfai Ku and Wingping Yeung were in charge of sample collection; Zhongzhen Zhao acquired funding for the research. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Alan Ho from the School of Chinese Medicine, Hong Kong Baptist University, for his technical assistance.

References

1. Shang, X.; Pan, H.; Wang, X.; He, H., & Li, M. Leonurus japonicus Houtt.: ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry and pharmacology of an important traditional Chinese medicine. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* **2014**, *152*(1), 14-32.
2. Dong, S.; He, J.; Hou, H.; Shuai, Y.; Wang, Q.; Yang, W. & Liu, R. Quality assessment of Herba Leonuri based on the analysis of multiple components using normal-and reversed-phase chromatographic methods. *Journal of separation science* **2017**, *40*(23), 4482-4494.
3. Zhu, Y. Z.; Wu, W.; Zhu, Q. & Liu, X. Discovery of Leonuri and therapeutical applications: from bench to bedside. *Pharmacology & therapeutics* **2018**, *188*, 26-35.
4. Chinese Pharmacopoeia Commission. The Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China, 2020 ed.; China Medical Science Press: Beijing, China, 2020.
5. Wang, C.; Lv, X.; Liu, W.; Liu, S. & Sun, Z. Uncovering the pharmacological mechanism of motherwort (Leonurus japonicus Houtt.) for treating menstrual disorders: A systems pharmacology approach. *Computational Biology and Chemistry* **2020**, 107384.
6. Liu, J.; Peng, C.; Zhou, Q. M.; Guo, L.; Liu, Z. H. & Xiong, L. Alkaloids and flavonoid glycosides from the aerial parts of Leonurus japonicus and their opposite effects on uterine smooth muscle. *Phytochemistry* **2018**, *145*, 128-136.
7. He, Y. L.; Shi, J. Y.; Peng, C.; Hu, L. J.; Liu, J.; Zhou, Q. M. & Xiong, L. Angiogenic effect of motherwort (Leonurus japonicus) alkaloids and toxicity of motherwort essential oil on zebrafish embryos. *Fitoterapia* **2018**, *128*, 36-42.
8. Zhu, L. X.; Xu, J.; Wang, R. J.; Li, H. X.; Tan, Y. Z.; Chen, H. B. & Zhao, Z. Z. Correlation between quality and geographical origins of Poria cocos revealed by qualitative fingerprint profiling and quantitative determination of triterpenoid acids. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*(9),

9. Liu, J.; Peng, C.; Zhou, Q. M.; Guo, L.; Liu, Z. H. & Xiong, L. Alkaloids and flavonoid glycosides from the aerial parts of *Leonurus japonicus* and their opposite effects on uterine smooth muscle. *Phytochemistry* **2018**, *145*, 128-136.
10. Wojtyniak, K.; Szymański, M. & Matławska, I. *Leonurus cardiaca* L.(motherwort): a review of its phytochemistry and pharmacology. *Phytotherapy Research* **2013**, *27*(8), 1115-1120.
11. Xiong, L.; Peng, C.; Zhou, Q. M.; Wan, F.; Xie, X. F.; Guo, L. & Dai, O. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oils from different parts of *Leonurus japonicus* Houtt. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*(1), 963-973.
12. Kuchta, K.; Ortwein, J.; Savtschenko, A.; Briel, D.; Volk, R. B. & Rauwald, H. W. *Leonurus cardiaca*, L. *Japonicus*, *Leonotis leonurus*: Quantitative HPLC and instrumental HPTLC determination of fourteen phenolics. *Planta Medica* **2012**, *78*(11), PJ50.
13. Li, Y.; Chen, Z.; Feng, Z.; Yang, Y.; Jiang, J. & Zhang, P. Hepatoprotective glycosides from *Leonurus japonicus* Houtt. *Carbohydrate research* **2012**, *348*, 42-46.
14. Tan, Y. J.; Zhou, G. S.; Guo, S.; Yan, H.; Zhang, J.; Zhu, Z. H. & Duan, J. A. Simultaneous optimization of ultrasonic-assisted extraction of antioxidant and anticoagulation activities of compounds from *Leonurus japonicus* Houtt. by response surface methodology. *RSC advances* **2018**, *8*(71), 40748-40759.
15. Wagner, H.; Bauer, R.; Melchart, D.; Xiao, P. G. & Staudinger, A. *Herba Leonuri—Yimucao*. In *Chromatographic Fingerprint Analysis of Herbal Medicines* **2011**(pp. 707-717). Springer, Vienna.
16. Chen, Z.; Wu, J. B.; Liao, X. J.; Yang, W. & Song, K. Development and validation of an UPLC-DAD-MS method for the determination of leonurine in Chinese motherwort (*Leonurus japonicus*). *Journal of chromatographic science* **2010**, *48*(10), 802-806.
17. Deng, S.; Wang, T.; Wu, C. H.; Qu, L.; Han, L. F. & Zhang, Y. Isolation and identification of constituents from *Leonurus japonicus*. *Chin. J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *23*, 209-212.
18. Xiong, L.; Zhou, Q. M.; Peng, C.; Xie, X. F.; Guo, L.; Li, X. H. & Dai, O. Sesquiterpenoids from the herb of *Leonurus japonicus*. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*(5), 5051-5058.
19. Seo, H. K.; Kim, J. S. & Kang, S. S. Labdane diterpenes and flavonoids from *Leonurus japonicus*. *Helvetica Chimica Acta* **2010**, *93*(10), 2045-2051.
20. Chang, J. M.; Shen, C. C.; Huang, Y. L.; Shieh, B. J. & Chen, C. C. Two new glycosides from *Leonurus japonicus*. *Journal of Asian natural products research* **2010**, *12*(9), 740-744.
21. Garran, T. A.; Ji, R.; Chen, J. L.; Xie, D.; Guo, L.; & Huang, L. Q. Elucidation of metabolite isomers of *Leonurus japonicus* and *Leonurus cardiaca* using discriminating metabolite isomerism strategy based on ultra-high performance liquid chromatography tandem quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A* **2019**, *1598*, 141-153.
22. Kuchta, K.; Ortwein, J.; Savtschenko, A.; Briel, D.; Volk, R. B. & Rauwald, H. W. *Leonurus cardiaca*, L. *Japonicus*, *Leonotis leonurus*: Quantitative HPLC and instrumental HPTLC determination of fourteen phenolics. *Planta Medica* **2012**, *78*(11), PJ50.
23. Miao, L. L.; Zhou, Q. M.; Peng, C.; Liu, Z. H. & Xiong, L. *Leonurus japonicus* (Chinese motherwort), an excellent traditional medicine for obstetrical and gynecological diseases: A comprehensive overview. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* **2019**, *117*, 109060.

Tables

Table 1
The batch number and geographic habitats of 30 samples of Leonuri Herba

Batch no.	Sample code	Name	Habitats	Stem/%	Leaf/%
S1	HB1	Leonuri Herba (mature)	Hubei, China	67.90	32.10
S2	HB2	Leonuri Herba	Hubei, China	20.25	79.75
S3	HB3	Leonuri Herba (mature)	Hubei, China	69.48	30.52
S4	GD1	Leonuri Herba (seedling)	Guangdong, China	30.05	69.95
S5	HB4	Leonuri Herba	Hubei, China	23.08	76.92
S6	GD2	Leonuri Herba	Guangdong, China	18.44	81.56
S7	GD3	Leonuri Herba	Guangdong, China	29.68	70.32
S8	HB5	Leonuri Herba (seedling)	Hubei, China	34.24	65.76
S9	HB6	Leonuri Herba	Hubei, China	31.06	68.94
S10	HB7	Leonuri Herba (seedling)	Hubei, China	25.54	74.46
S11	HN1	Leonuri Herba	Henan, China	62.26	37.74
S12	HN2	Leonuri Herba	Henan, China	61.68	38.32
S13	HN3	Leonuri Herba	Henan, China	52.12	47.88
S14	HN4	Leonuri Herba	Henan, China	66.87	33.13
S15	HN5	Leonuri Herba	Henan, China	63.37	36.63
S16	AH1	Leonuri Herba	Anhui, China	63.29	36.71
S17	AH2	Leonuri Herba	Anhui, China	53.69	46.31
S18	AH3	Leonuri Herba	Anhui, China	66.13	33.87
S19	AH4	Leonuri Herba	Anhui, China	38.12	61.88
S20	YN1	Leonuri Herba	Yunnan, China	58.84	41.16
S21	YN2	Leonuri Herba	Yunnan, China	47.32	52.68
S22	YN3	Leonuri Herba	Yunnan, China	53.26	46.74
S23	YN4	Leonuri Herba	Yunnan, China	56.73	43.27
S24	ZJ1	Leonuri Herba	Zhejiang, China	40.64	59.36
S25	ZJ2	Leonuri Herba	Zhejiang, China	56.63	43.37
S26	SC1	Leonuri Herba	Sichuan, China	48.23	51.77
S27	SC2	Leonuri Herba	Sichuan, China	52.92	47.08
S28	SC3	Leonuri Herba	Sichuan, China	72.61	27.39
S29	SC4	Leonuri Herba	Sichuan, China	57.52	42.48
S30	ZJ3	Leonuri Herba	Zhejiang, China	40.45	59.55

Table 2
Structural information of compounds characterized in the Leonuri Herba by negative-UHPLC-QTOF-MS/MS

	$t_R(\text{min})$	MF	Product ion	Mass	Mass(Tgt)	Diff(ppm)	(-)-ESI-MS/MS Fragment Ions (m/z)	Identification [Reference]
1	2.630	C ₉ H ₁₁ NO ₂	[M-H] ⁻	165.0797	165.0790	4.50	57.0355	phenylalanine[9]
2	3.838	C ₁₁ H ₁₂ N ₂ O ₂	[M+COOH] ⁻	204.0908	204.0899	4.43		tryptophan [9]
3	4.14	C ₇ H ₆ O ₃	[M-H] ⁻	138.0324	138.0317	5.32		salicylic acid[10]
4	4.548	C ₉ H ₁₀ O ₃	[M+COOH] ⁻	166.0640	166.0630	5.97	150.0330, 72.9938	ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate [11]
5	4.764	C ₁₄ H ₂₀ O ₉	[M-H] ⁻	332.1118	332.1107	3.25	316.0867, 197.0473, 61.9892	2,6-dimethoxy-4-hydroxyphenol-1-O-β-D-glucopyranoside [12]
6	4.989	C ₂₇ H ₄₂ O ₁₇	[M-H] ⁻	638.2439	638.2422	2.60	578.2197, 461.2364, 255.2342, 89.025	leonoside F [13]
7	5.223	C ₇ H ₆ O ₂	[M-H] ⁻	122.0376	122.0368	6.49	167.0335, 108.0221	benzoic acid [143]
8	5.340	C ₈ H ₈ O ₃	[M+COOH] ⁻	152.0483	152.0473	6.41	123.0096	vanillin [15]
9	5.341	C ₉ H ₁₀ O ₅	[M-H] ⁻	198.0538	198.0528	5.02	123.0096	syringic acid [14]
10	5.660	C ₁₁ H ₁₄ O ₅	[M+COOH] ⁻	226.0859	226.0841	7.82	256.0593, 123.0099, 59.0140	3-hydroxyl-1-(4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-propanone [1]
11	5.865	C ₁₉ H ₃₂ O ₈	[M+COOH] ⁻	388.2109	388.2097	3.10	401.1477, 197.0454, 61.9893	staphylionoside E [13]
12	6.533	C ₈ H ₈ O ₄	[M-H] ⁻	168.0430	168.0423	4.45	108.0221	vanillic acid [15]
13	6.542	C ₇ H ₆ O ₂	[M-H] ⁻	122.0376	122.0368	6.51	167.0335, 108.0221	4-hydroxybenzaldehyde [12]
14	6.563	C ₁₉ H ₃₀ O ₈	[M-H] ⁻	386.1948	386.1941	1.91	189.1284, 85.0309	citroside A [13]
15	6.617	C ₁₄ H ₂₁ N ₃ O ₅	[M-H] ⁻	311.1497	311.1481	4.96	280.0956, 95.0148	leonurine [16]
16	6.646	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₆	[M-H] ⁻	610.1556	610.1534	3.69	447.0039, 300.0293, 151.0054	quercetin-3-O-robinoside [17]
17	6.746	C ₁₃ H ₂₀ O ₃	[M+COOH] ⁻	224.1426	224.1412	5.96		(2S,5S)-2-hydroxy-2,6,10,10-tetramethyl-1-oxaspiro-[4.5]dex-6-en-8-one [1]
18	6.884	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₁	[M+COOH] ⁻	448.1027	448.1006	4.73	284.0341, 61.9894	kaempferol-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside [176]
19	6.968	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₆	[M-H] ⁻	610.1558	610.1534	3.94	447.1139, 300.0294, 151.0055	rutin [14]
20	6.997	C ₁₀ H ₁₀ O ₄	[M-H] ⁻	194.0591	194.0579	5.97	134.0387, 58.0307	trans-ferulic acid [12]
21	7.173	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₂	[M-H] ⁻	464.0978	464.0955	4.93	300.0293, 61.9891	hyperoside [17]

	$t_R(\text{min})$	MF	Product ion	Mass	Mass(Tgt)	Diff(ppm)	(-)-ESI-MS/MS Fragment Ions (m/z)	Identification [Reference]
22	7.306	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₂	[M-H] ⁻	464.0976	464.0955	4.67	300.0293, 151.0048, 61.9891	quercetin-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside [17]
23	7.335	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₅	[M-H] ⁻	594.1607	594.1585	3.76	463.0907, 284.0344, 61.9891	kaempferol-3-neohesperidoside [17]
24	7.494	C ₃₄ H ₄₄ O ₁₉	[M-H] ⁻	756.2495	756.2477	2.37	593.2095, 461.1679, 357.1262, 223.0608, 161.0254	lavandulifolioside [13]
25	7.507	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₅	[M-H] ⁻	594.1607	594.1585	3.79	387.1094, 284.0344, 61.9891	kaempferol-3-O-β-robinobioside [14]
26	7.832	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₅	[M-H] ⁻	594.1606	594.1585	3.56	387.1094, 284.0344, 61.9891	kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside [12]
27	8.083	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₁	[M-H] ⁻	448.1026	448.1006	4.66	284.0341, 61.9894	kaempferol-3-O-β-D-galactopyranoside [17]
28	8.493	C ₁₅ H ₂₀ O ₃	[M-H] ⁻	248.1427	248.1412	6.00		arteannuin B [18]
29	8.903	C ₃₆ H ₃₈ O ₂₀	[M-H] ⁻	790.1983	790.1956	3.42	609.1483, 473.1289, 379.1785, 127.0408	2''-syringylrutin [19]
30	9.635	C ₁₂ H ₂₀ O ₅	[M-H] ⁻	244.1327	244.1311	6.47	207.1044, 71.0506	(E)-4-hydroxy-dodec-2-enedioic acid [1]
31	9.693	C ₂₁ H ₃₂ O ₈	[M+COOH] ⁻	412.2116	412.2097	4.67	327.1466, 61.9893	7α(H)-eudesmane-4,11(12)-diene-3-one-2β-hydroxy-13-β-D-glucopyranoside [13]
32	10.16	C ₁₇ H ₃₀ O ₃	[M+COOH] ⁻	282.2207	282.2195	4.37		(-)-(1S*,2S*,3R*)-3-ethoxycupar-5-ene-1,2-diol [1]
33	10.191	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₇	[M-H] ⁻	302.0441	302.0427	4.93	151.0046	quercetin [20]
34	10.308	C ₃₀ H ₂₆ O ₁₃	[M-H] ⁻	594.1395	594.1373	3.66	447.0934, 285.0418, 145.0305	tiliroside [17]
35	10.542	C ₃₀ H ₂₆ O ₁₃	[M-H] ⁻	594.1396	594.1373	3.84	447.0630, 285.0417, 145.0304	kaempferol-3-O-(6''-O-cis-p-coumaroyl) -β-D-glucopyranoside [12, 19]
36	11.216	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₆	[M-H] ⁻	286.0493	286.0477	5.49	65.0044	Kaempferol [21]
37	11.333	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O ₂	[M+COOH] ⁻	170.1319	170.1307	6.98	153.1285, 68.9956	2,6-dimethyl-2E,7-octadiene-1,6-diol [17]
38	11.45	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₅	[M+COOCH ₃] ⁻	270.0545	270.0528	6.24		apigenin [22]
39	12.036	C ₁₃ H ₁₆ O	[M+COOCH ₃] ⁻	188.1192	188.1201	-5.09	185.9999, 75.0097	2-(1-oxopentyl)-benzoic acid methyl ester [17]
40	12.241	C ₁₅ H ₃₀ O ₂	[M+COOH] ⁻	242.2261	242.2246	6.09		methyl tetradecanoate [17]
41	12.563	C ₁₆ H ₁₂ O ₅	[M-H] ⁻	284.0698	284.0685	4.58	268.0395, 117.0356	wogonin [21]

	t_R (min)	MF	Product ion	Mass	Mass(Tgt)	Diff(ppm)	(-)-ESI-MS/MS Fragment ions (m/z)	Identification [Reference]
42	13.244	C ₁₆ H ₁₂ O ₅	[M-H] ⁻	284.0700	284.0685	5.51	268.0395, 117.0356	4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone [19]
43	13.444	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₄	[M+COOH] ⁻	254.0593	254.0579	5.61	284.0342, 133.0305	daidzein [19]
44	13.978	C ₂₁ H ₃₂ O ₆	[M+COOH] ⁻	380.2201	380.2199	0.50	263.1668, 61.9892	(-)-(5S,7R,8R,9R,10S,13S,15R)-7-hydroxy-15-methoxy-9,13;15,16-diepoxyabdan-6,16-dione [1]
45	15.502	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O ₄	[M-H] ⁻	268.1687	268.1675	4.72		7α(H),10α-eudesm-4-en-3-one-2β,11,12-triol [15]
46	18.29	C ₂₀ H ₂₈ O ₄	[M+COOCH ₃] ⁻	332.1981	332.1988	-2.01		(-)-3α-acetoxy-6β-hydroxy-15,16-dinorlabd-8(9)-ene-13-yne-7-one [19]
47	19.769	C ₃₀ H ₄₈ O ₄	[M-H] ⁻	472.3571	472.3553	3.80	409.3488, 171.1035, 61.9893	messagenic acid [23]
48	19.881	C ₂₉ H ₄₆ O ₂	[M+COOH] ⁻	426.3516	426.3498	4.25	409.3488, 171.1035, 61.9893	(24S)-stigmast-4,28-diene-24-ol-3-one [23]
49	20.276	C ₂₀ H ₄₀ O	[M+COOCH ₃] ⁻	296.3097	296.3079	6.14		phytol [23]

Table 3
Common fingerprint peaks of the fingerprint of Leonuri Herba in different origins

Peak No.	Chemical type	Compounds	MF
C1	Alkaloid	leonurine	C ₁₄ H ₂₁ N ₃ O ₅
C2	Alkaloid	tryptophan	C ₁₁ H ₁₂ N ₂ O ₂
C3	Flavonoid	4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone	C ₁₆ H ₁₂ O ₅
C4	Flavonoid	rutin	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₆
C5	Flavonoid	quercetin-3-O-robinoside	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₆
C6	Flavonoid	tiliroside	C ₃₀ H ₂₆ O ₁₃
C7	Flavonoid	kaempferol-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₁
C8	Flavonoid	kaempferol-3-O-β-D-galactopyranoside	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₁
C9	Flavonoid	kaempferol-3-neohesperidoside	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₅
C10	Flavonoid	kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₅
C11	Flavonoid	hyperoside	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₂
C12	Flavonoid	quercetin-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₂
C13	Flavonoid	daidzein	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₄
C14	Flavonoid	2'''-syringylrutin	C ₃₆ H ₃₈ O ₂₀
C15	Flavonoid	apigenin	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₅
C16	Flavonoid	quercetin	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₇
C17	Flavonoid	kaempferol	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₆
C18	Terpenoids	staphylionoside E	C ₁₉ H ₃₂ O ₈
C19	Terpenoids	citroside A	C ₁₉ H ₃₀ O ₈
C20	Terpenoids	7α(H)-eudesmane-4,11(12)-diene-3-one-2β-hydroxy-13-β-D-glucopyranoside	C ₂₁ H ₃₂ O ₈
C21	Terpenoids	(-)-(5S,7R,8R,9R,10S,13S,15R)-7-hydroxy-15-methoxy-9,13;15,16-diepoxyabdan-6,16-dione	C ₂₁ H ₃₂ O ₆
C22	Aromatic acids	(E)-4-hydroxy-dodec-2-enedioic acid	C ₁₂ H ₂₀ O ₅
C23	Other types	phenylalanine	C ₉ H ₁₁ NO ₂
C24	Phenylethanoid glycosides	lavandulifolioside	C ₃₄ H ₄₄ O ₁₉
C25	Other types	(-)-(1S*,2S*,3R*)-3-ethoxycupar-5-ene-1,2-diol	C ₁₇ H ₃₀ O ₃
C26	Other types	messagenic acid	C ₃₀ H ₄₈ O ₄
C27	Other types	phytol	C ₂₀ H ₄₀ O
C28	Aromatic acids	syringic acid	C ₉ H ₁₀ O ₅
C29	Other types	2,6-dimethoxy-4-hydroxyphenol-1-O-β-D-glucopyranoside	C ₁₄ H ₂₀ O ₉
C30	Aromatic acids	salicylic acid	C ₇ H ₆ O ₃
C31	Other types	ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate	C ₉ H ₁₀ O ₃
C32	Flavonoid	wogonin	C ₁₆ H ₁₂ O ₅
C33	Terpenoids	(-)-3α-acetoxy-6β-hydroxy-15,16-dinorlabd-8(9)-ene-13-yne-7-one	C ₂₀ H ₂₈ O ₄

Table 4
Principal component scores, comprehensive evaluation, and fine sorting of Leonuri Herba

Batch no.	Code	Region	Main components								Comprehensive score	Order
			Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	Y7	Y8		
S8	HB5	Hubei	0.25	1.42	2.31	-0.79	-0.57	0.17	-0.63	1.32	1.89	1
S4	GD1	Guangdong	0.10	3.00	1.18	-0.83	-0.22	0.36	0.30	0.96	1.71	2
S15	HN5	Henan	7.64	-2.62	2.86	-1.67	-1.85	-1.33	1.18	-0.44	1.53	3
S10	HB7	Hubei	-0.17	1.28	2.75	0.92	2.17	-1.80	-0.35	0.73	1.42	4
S13	HN3	Henan	0.19	0.02	-2.69	-1.62	-1.08	0.46	0.44	1.73	1.33	5
S14	HN4	Henan	6.42	-2.66	-1.50	1.77	1.43	1.37	-0.32	-0.40	1.12	6
S23	YN4	Yunnan	0.12	-0.32	-0.12	0.30	-0.96	-0.15	-0.13	0.98	0.93	7
S7	GD3	Guangdong	-0.62	1.96	1.03	-0.30	-0.32	-0.31	-0.73	0.45	0.73	8
S5	HB4	Hubei	-0.89	0.50	0.37	5.90	-2.15	-0.29	1.05	0.33	0.61	9
S19	AH4	Anhui	-0.81	0.22	-0.55	-0.15	-0.75	1.74	-0.57	0.52	0.29	10
S9	HB6	Hubei	0.88	4.57	2.03	-0.35	-0.59	2.34	0.76	-1.36	0.16	11
S27	SC2	Sichuang	-0.86	1.65	-2.85	-0.49	2.15	-1.50	1.88	0.22	0.02	12

Table 5

The Contents ($\mu\text{g/g}$) of the eight marker compounds in 30 samples of Leonuri Herba

Batch no.	Region	C1	C3	C4	C11	C15	C16	C17	C30
S1	Hubei	100.41 $\pm$ 12.14	68.06 $\pm$ 5.73	213.93 $\pm$ 18.96	42.41 $\pm$ 4.20	53.39 $\pm$ 3.17	3.25 $\pm$ 1.22	-	1.34 $\pm$ 0.57
S2	Hubei	583.07 $\pm$ 39.32	98.82 $\pm$ 0.48	316.51 $\pm$ 23.34	211.54 $\pm$ 15.53	6.65 $\pm$ 0.67	18.33 $\pm$ 4.05	4.82 $\pm$ 0.71	7.47 $\pm$ 1.61
S3	Hubei	434.12 $\pm$ 27.91	52.34 $\pm$ 6.79	93.15 $\pm$ 19.07	103.12 $\pm$ 18.30	2.71 $\pm$ 0.47	4.47 $\pm$ 1.92	0.094 $\pm$ 0.024	10.68 $\pm$ 1.70
S4	Guangdong	410.38 $\pm$ 9.73	113.29 $\pm$ 4.73	422.06 $\pm$ 15.45	279.04 $\pm$ 12.10	3.68 $\pm$ 0.15	22.57 $\pm$ 3.17	4.16 $\pm$ 0.36	32.90 $\pm$ 0.80
S5	Hubei	524.77 $\pm$ 13.19	118.37 $\pm$ 8.96	199.12 $\pm$ 12.22	153.81 $\pm$ 9.93	7.11 $\pm$ 0.12	10.41 $\pm$ 2.34	2.20 $\pm$ 0.39	5.58 $\pm$ 0.36
S6	Guangdong	484.28 $\pm$ 26.86	111.96 $\pm$ 2.07	265.15 $\pm$ 32.64	159.25 $\pm$ 22.00	3.55 $\pm$ 0.26	15.90 $\pm$ 3.29	3.59 $\pm$ 0.57	6.74 $\pm$ 1.09
S7	Guangdong	308.20 $\pm$ 34.73	105.76 $\pm$ 8.42	453.84 $\pm$ 14.86	267.73 $\pm$ 36.07	7.02 $\pm$ 0.95	15.42 $\pm$ 1.78	2.41 $\pm$ 0.23	18.14 $\pm$ 0.53
S8	Hubei	633.78 $\pm$ 26.83	134.32 $\pm$ 10.86	223.65 $\pm$ 14.78	220.24 $\pm$ 15.85	4.10 $\pm$ 0.20	25.23 $\pm$ 3.32	5.74 $\pm$ 0.16	58.33 $\pm$ 1.36
S9	Hubei	445.87 $\pm$ 12.38	138.33 $\pm$ 4.80	595.55 $\pm$ 29.85	281.67 $\pm$ 9.66	6.58 $\pm$ 0.11	56.06 $\pm$ 4.91	8.03 $\pm$ 0.31	41.50 $\pm$ 2.01
S10	Hubei	481.32 $\pm$ 4.22	108.57 $\pm$ 2.93	295.44 $\pm$ 8.95	204.89 $\pm$ 9.31	8.85 $\pm$ 0.29	16.27 $\pm$ 2.56	3.44 $\pm$ 0.43	4.24 $\pm$ 0.50
S11	Henan	712.63 $\pm$ 23.46	82.27 $\pm$ 3.93	543.24 $\pm$ 13.73	476.30 $\pm$ 15.05	-	4.19 $\pm$ 0.14	0.95 $\pm$ 0.17	14.79 $\pm$ 0.74
S12	Henan	774.25 $\pm$ 42.18	90.91 $\pm$ 8.31	563.89 $\pm$ 32.00	504.91 $\pm$ 31.63	-	2.64 $\pm$ 0.73	1.06 $\pm$ 0.71	17.40 $\pm$ 2.65
S13	Henan	584.71 $\pm$ 29.45	92.79 $\pm$ 10.20	412.14 $\pm$ 10.42	429.45 $\pm$ 6.88	28.09 $\pm$ 1.59	5.38 $\pm$ 1.46	0.021 $\pm$ 0.0019	57.28 $\pm$ 3.87
S14	Henan	590.93 $\pm$ 36.27	87.64 $\pm$ 3.55	216.92 $\pm$ 16.25	277.67 $\pm$ 18.09	11.98 $\pm$ 0.84	1.46 $\pm$ 0.13	-	22.80 $\pm$ 1.52
S15	Henan	584.71 $\pm$ 6.50	89.43 $\pm$ 4.07	197.06 $\pm$ 11.02	208.28 $\pm$ 15.38	1.36 $\pm$ 0.33	7.04 $\pm$ 1.86	1.18 $\pm$ 0.0059	25.01 $\pm$ 1.12
S16	Anhui	903.50 $\pm$ 67.89	47.57 $\pm$ 6.09	78.95 $\pm$ 11.99	62.36 $\pm$ 9.92	14.23 $\pm$ 1.21	-	-	12.73 $\pm$ 2.62
S17	Anhui	626.83 $\pm$ 27.44	90.99 $\pm$ 2.82	266.77 $\pm$ 12.35	253.48 $\pm$ 12.00	36.89 $\pm$ 1.61	2.58 $\pm$ 0.18	0.46 $\pm$ 0.051	23.55 $\pm$ 1.67
S18	Anhui	1021.49 $\pm$ 42.94	38.42 $\pm$ 2.83	146.53 $\pm$ 11.99	63.34 $\pm$ 5.38	29.56 $\pm$ 0.64	-	-	7.73 $\pm$ 0.71
S19	Anhui	584.86 $\pm$ 27.83	133.85 $\pm$ 2.95	292.31 $\pm$ 16.28	315.71 $\pm$ 12.43	3.73 $\pm$ 0.60	10.18 $\pm$ 1.57	2.08 $\pm$ 0.31	38.27 $\pm$ 2.71
S20	Yunnan	519.11 $\pm$ 16.82	84.36 $\pm$ 0.64	282.24 $\pm$ 19.08	312.05 $\pm$ 17.05	1.25 $\pm$ 0.27	4.69 $\pm$ 0.32	0.36 $\pm$ 0.035	19.76 $\pm$ 1.63
S21	Yunnan	834.18 $\pm$ 12.17	64.28 $\pm$ 1.48	699.53 $\pm$ 23.25	16.80 $\pm$ 2.46	2.77 $\pm$ 0.32	-	-	30.90 $\pm$ 3.47
S22	Yunnan	436.10 $\pm$ 29.68	67.37 $\pm$ 8.79	240.06 $\pm$ 25.27	271.45 $\pm$ 18.89	0.76 $\pm$ 0.049	3.53 $\pm$ 0.44	0.11 $\pm$ 0.021	14.03 $\pm$ 1.63
S23	Yunnan	684.29 $\pm$ 5.69	131.20 $\pm$ 8.22	291.34 $\pm$ 27.70	284.58 $\pm$ 21.66	0.75 $\pm$ 0.040	6.19 $\pm$ 0.13	1.79 $\pm$ 0.41	37.25 $\pm$ 3.25
S24	Zhejiang	317.46 $\pm$ 10.69	50.38 $\pm$ 5.70	275.95 $\pm$ 19.22	110.20 $\pm$ 9.36	45.01 $\pm$ 1.89	-	-	5.49 $\pm$ 0.37
S25	Zhejiang	171.68 $\pm$ 1.67	183.50 $\pm$ 4.56	1268.47 $\pm$ 11.15	46.09 $\pm$ 3.61	22.72 $\pm$ 0.42	12.15 $\pm$ 3.67	2.98 $\pm$ 0.34	15.81 $\pm$ 0.20
S26	Sichuang	163.08 $\pm$ 10.02	101.56 $\pm$ 3.67	408.43 $\pm$ 8.39	16.25 $\pm$ 0.82	23.29 $\pm$ 0.34	-	-	-
S27	Sichuang	177.20 $\pm$ 9.54	150.39 $\pm$ 5.78	782.02 $\pm$ 11.48	394.56 $\pm$ 16.30	64.31 $\pm$ 2.45	18.49 $\pm$ 2.03	4.18 $\pm$ 0.20	-
S28	Sichuang	802.61 $\pm$ 30.49	26.53 $\pm$ 1.39	102.46 $\pm$ 21.16	57.76 $\pm$ 10.27	16.92 $\pm$ 1.83	-	-	-
S29	Sichuang	274.72 $\pm$ 19.67	56.79 $\pm$ 8.34	64.12 $\pm$ 1.62	64.22 $\pm$ 2.08	5.80 $\pm$ 0.10	0.08 $\pm$ 0.05	-	6.89 $\pm$ 1.44
S30	Zhejiang	422.63 $\pm$ 6.19	147.58 $\pm$ 3.13	159.56 $\pm$ 9.73	78.62 $\pm$ 6.17	50.18 $\pm$ 9.94	36.54 $\pm$ 5.12	10.12 $\pm$ 0.86	25.37 $\pm$ 1.47

Remarks: Values represent means $\pm$ SD, n = 3; "-", below the detection limit

C1: leonurine, C3: 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone, C4: rutin, C11: hyperoside, C15: apigenin, C16: quercetin, C17: kaempferol,

C30: salicylic acid, same below.

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 5

The contents of 8 components in the 30 samples from different regions (A): the content of Leonurine(C1), 4',5-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone(C3), rutin (C4) and hyperoside(C11). (B): the content of apigenin(C15), quercetin(C16), kaempferol (C17) and salicylic acid (C30). (C): the content of combination of 8 components in 30 samples.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionfile1.jpg](#)
- [Additionalfile23Table.docx](#)