

Evaluation of Chest CT scan as a screening and diagnostic tool in trauma patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a cross-sectional study in southern Iran

Hossein Abdolrahimzadeh Fard

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Salahaddin Mahmudi-Azer

University of Alberta, Edmonton

Sepideh Sefidbakht

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Pooya Iranpour

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Shahram Bolandparvaz

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Hamid Reza Abbasi

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Shahram Paydar

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Golnar Sabetian

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Mohamad Mahdi Mahmoudi

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Masoume Zare

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Leila Shayan

Shiraz University of Medical Science

Maryam Salimi (✉ salimimaryam7496@gmail.com)

Shiraz University of Medical Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9771-7048>

Original research

Keywords: COVID-19, Radiology, Trauma

Posted Date: November 18th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-110402/v1>

Abstract

Background: The lack of enough medical evidence about COVID-19 regarding optimal prevention, diagnosis, and treatment contributes negatively to the rapid increase in the number of cases globally. A chest computerized tomography (CT) scan has been introduced as the most sensitive diagnostic method. Therefore, this research aimed to examine and evaluate the chest CT scan as a screening measure of COVID-19 in trauma patients.

Method: This cross-sectional study was conducted in Rajaei Hospital in Shiraz from February to May 2020. All patients underwent unenhanced CT with a 16-slice CT scanner. The CT-scans were evaluated in a blinded manner and main CT scan features were described and classified into four groups according to RSNA recommendation. Subsequently, the first two RSNA categories with the highest probability of COVID pneumonia (i.e. typical and indeterminate) were merged into the “positive CT scan group” and those with radiologic features with the least probability of COVID pneumonia into “negative CT scan group”.

Results: Chest CT scan had a sensitivity (68%), specificity (56%), positive predictive value (34.8%), negative predictive value (83.7%), and accuracy (59.3%) in detecting COVID-19 among trauma patients. Also, for the diagnosis of COVID-19 by CT scan in asymptomatic individuals a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 66.7% and a negative predictive value of 100% was obtained.

Conclusion: Findings of the study indicated that the CT scan's sensitivity and specificity is less effective in diagnosing trauma patients with COVID-19 in comparison to non-traumatic people.

Background

In March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) has declared a pandemic on COVID-19. Although nine months into the novel coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, the high number of daily cases still remains problematic [1, 2]. By September 16, 2020, almost 30 million cases of COVID-19 had been confirmed by laboratory tests, including about 1 million deaths [3, 4]. Mortality rates were reported 8% in the south of Iran, the country that is considered one of the most major focal points of the world's disease [5–7]. Although its worldwide mortality rate is less than 4% today, the lack of vaccine and definitive treatment [8], makes the diagnosis of infected people to break the disease chain as the primary method of dealing with the disease. The foregoing issue necessitates a faster diagnostic method of suspected individuals with definitive diagnostic tests.

A large number of asymptomatic carriers has led to greater efforts to identify asymptomatic patients. Concerning the low sensitivity and time-consuming of the current serological tests, efforts have been focused on using faster and more sensitive diagnostic tests [9]. The use of a chest CT scan has been found as a promising screening method in asymptomatic patients [10, 11]. Also, by improving the definitive diagnostic methods and comparing the findings of chest CT scans in the definitely infected patients, it became clear that chest CT scans can be used with high sensitivity in diagnosing the patients [9, 12]. Even though the Radiology Society of North America (RSNA) has standardized chest CT scan reports, its low negative predictive value has overshadowed the use of CT scans as a convenient screening method [13].

Trauma centers, due to the nature of the patients referred to them, time constraints due to life-threatening injuries treatment priority, the need to perform the treatments that cause close contact with the patient, are challenging in dealing with potential COVID-19 patients. Given the extensive use of CT scan as the gold standard diagnostic method in most trauma centers and its availability and a short time to perform, this retrospective study evaluates

the sensitivity and specificity of chest CT scan in the diagnosis of COVID-19 in trauma patients. This study investigated the effect of trauma on the clinical findings of CT scans in COVID-19 based on RSNA classification.

Material And Method

Patients and data source of rt-PCR results

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Rajaei Hospital in Shiraz, the only dedicated trauma center in Iran with an annual referral rate of 15,000 to 20,000 patients, from February to May 2020. Trauma patients who needed to undertake a chest CT scan included in the study. These patients have been checked for COVID-19 at the time of hospitalization, based on the Quality Improvement Committee's approved protocol. Data regarding the vital signs, submitted tests, and COVID-19 related history have been completed. Patients who did not have a CT scan and rt-PCR test at the time of their arrival or the interval between them was more than 24 hours were excluded from the study. Clinical symptoms and epidemiological risk factors that were associated with COVID-19 and the underlying diseases were obtained.

This study used a correlational design to examine the relationship between Chest CT scan finding and rt-PCR result as the golden standard for definite COVID-19 diagnosis. Patients with a negative rt-PCR test have been rechecked using the lower respiratory tract after four days.

Chest CT protocol

All CT scans were obtained with one CT system. All patients underwent unenhanced CT with a 16-slice CT scanner (CT emotion 16, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). Given the probability of COVID-19, all necessary protective measures have been taken according to the Iranian Ministry of Health guidelines.

Image analysis

Two radiologists with Iranian Board Certification (SS and PI), who were blinded to rt-PCR result and COVID-19 related symptoms, reported all CT scans by consensus. They described main CT scan features (Ground Glass Opacity (GGO), consolidation, bronchial distortion, reticular line, crazy paving sign, atoll sign, cavity, rib fracture, pneumothorax, hemothorax, diaphragm injury, shape and pattern of the lesions) and classified the patients into four groups according to RSNA recommendation [14]. (Table 1) A Chest CT scan is considered to be the most available diagnostic modality in the setting of chest trauma. Therefore, we hypothesized the possibility of utilizing it as an initial screening test; for possible identification of the concomitant COVID pneumonia in the trauma cases and also for the proper allocation of the patients to covid and non-covid wards before the rt-PCR tests were processed. Therefore, we merged the first two RSNA categories with the highest probability of COVID pneumonia (i.e. typical and indeterminate) into the "positive CT scan group" and those with radiologic features with the least probability of COVID pneumonia into the "negative CT scan group".

Table 1
RSNA criteria for COVID-19 pneumonia (adopted from reference (14))

COVID-19 RSNA classification	Rationale	Chest CT scan findings
Typical appearance	Commonly reported imaging feature of greater specificity for COVID-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peripheral, Bilateral GGO with/without Consolidation • Multifocal GGO with/without crazy paving sign • Reverse halo sign
Indeterminate feature	Nonspecific image for COVID-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Absence of Typical feature • Presence of multifocal, diffuse, pre hilar or unilateral GGO with/without Non-round, non-peripheral consolidation • Few very small GGO, Non-Round and Non-peripheral distribution
Atypical appearance	Uncommonly or not reported feature of COVID-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Absence of Typical and Atypical features • Presence of single lobar or segmental consolidation, discrete small nodules, lung cavitation, and smooth interlobular septal thickening with pleural effusion
Normal for pneumonia	No features of pneumonia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No CT features to suggest the pneumonia
CT: computed tomography; COVID-19: Coronavirus 2019; GGO: Ground-glass opacity		

Statistical analysis

The means and standard deviations were calculated for quantitative variables, and count and percentage were calculated for qualitative variables. A comparison between the two groups was performed using the Chi-square test or the Fisher exact test. Also, sensitivity and specificity have been calculated to determine the correct diagnosis of different protocols. SPSS version 16 (IBM, United States) was used for statistical analysis. The significance level was considered at 0.05.

Result

Out of total 842 PCR tests performed; 132 cases were screened on admission according to the hospital guidelines with 30 positive results. According to the following chart, 86 patients (male:82.6%, female:17.4%, mean age 40.5+/- 20.4) who underwent a chest CT scan according to the trauma mechanism, and the surgical guidelines were included in the study. (Fig. 1)

Typical and indeterminate reports were classified as positive CT scans for COVID-19, while atypical and normal CT scans were reported as a negative group. According to the outcomes of CT scan, 44 patients (male:85.7%, female:14.3%) had typical and indeterminate findings for COVID-19 and 42 patients (male:79.5%, female:20.5%) had atypical or normal CT finding. The analysis revealed no significant statistical difference between the two groups on age, sex, and the history of underlying diseases. (Table 2) Comparison of race, clinical symptoms, and epidemiological risk factors associated with COVID-19 between positive and negative groups showed that the Iranian race (97.7%,81%, PV:0.014), the incidence of acute respiratory symptoms (63.9%,36.1%, PV: 0.037) and the

history of dyspnoea (38.6%,16.7%, PV:0.02) had significant differences in the existence between the two types of CT scan groups. In correlation with the rt-PCR result, 31.8% definite patients had a negative chest CT scan whereas 100% of them were likely to be infected by COVID-19 and 28.3% were highly suspicious for COVID-19. In the patients with the negative rt-PCR result, 43.7% had positive chest CT scan findings that 75% of them could be infected by COVID-19.

Table 2
Comparison of COVID-19 related criteria between positive and negative CT scan groups

Variables	Chest CT SCAN + ve (%) n = 44	Chest CT SCAN -ve (%) n = 42	P. V
Nationality			
Iranian	97.7	81	0.014
Non-Iranian	2.3	19	
Positive COVID-19 related history			
Contact	6.8	14.3	0.3
Fever	27.1	38.1	0.2
Cough	13.6	11.9	0.53
Respiratory distress	38.6	16.7	0.02
Neurological Symptoms	15.9	4.8	0.08
Dermatological Symptoms	0	0	-
Abdominal pain	2.3	2.4	1
Anorexia	6.8	2.4	0.61
Positive past medical history			
Cancer	0	2.4	0.48
Diabetes mellitus	9.1	7.1	1
Chronic anemia	0	2.4	0.48
Cardiac disease	9.1	11.9	0.73
Chronic renal failure	2.3	0	1
Asthma	2.3	0	1
COPD	2.3	2.4	1
HTN	9.1	4.8	0.67
Hospital course			
Intubation need	52.3	35.7	0.09
ICU admission	77.3	61.9	0.09
ARDS incidence	39	41.7	0.75
Mortality	25	9.5	0.53
Patients classification			
Suspicious (WHO)	2.3	4.8	0.61
Possible (ECDC)	79.5	66.7	0.13
Asymptomatic	20.5	33.3	0.2

Variables	Chest CT SCAN + ve (%) n = 44	Chest CT SCAN -ve (%) n = 42	P. V
CT: computed tomography; COVID-19: Coronavirus 2019; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; HTN: Hypertension; ICU: intensive care unit; WHO: The World Health Organization; ECDC: The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control			

The patients were divided into four groups according to different RSNA categories. According to RSNA classification, the chest CT scans were reported as typical (26.7%), indeterminate (24.4%), atypical (29.1%), normal (19.8%). In correlation with rt-PCR result in definite COVID-19 group incidence of RSNA type(T/I/A/N) were (31.8%,36.4%,27.3%,4.5%). In a typical group, 69.6% had negative rt-PCR and 30.4% positive rt-PCR results. In the patients with a report of normal CT scan 5.9% had a positive rt-PCR result.

There was a significant difference between the four groups of RSNA (T, I, A, N) in the percentage of age (48.7,36.8,43.6,30.3. PV:0.023) and Iranian generation (95.7,100,86.2,77.8, PV:0.023) and incidence of acute respiratory symptoms (52.2,45,87,44.4, PV:0.012). None of the respiratory symptoms were significantly different between the four groups.

Based on the rt-PCR test results, patients were divided into two groups of definite and non-infected patients, which based on the diagnostic and non-diagnostic type of CT scan and RSNA recommendation classes, different features, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy of them were assessed for a diagnosis of definite patients. Incidence of different common COVID-19 features in chest CT scan investigated in these two groups. (Table 3)

Table 3

Incidence of different RSNA classification features indefinite and non-infected patients

Finding	rt-PCR** positive (%) n = 22	rt-PCR negative (%) n = 64
GGO*		
Presence	15(68.1)	30 (46.8)
Multiple Bilateral	10(45.4)	22 (34.3)
Multiple Unilateral	2(9)	2 (3.1)
Single Unilateral	3(13.6)	6 (9.3)
Peripheral	11(50)	13 (20.3)
Central	2(9)	4 (6.2)
Peripheral/Central	2(9)	13 (20.3)
Irregular	9 (40.3)	25 (39)
Round	3 (13.6)	2 (3.1)
Round/Irregular	3 (13.6)	3 (4.6)
Consolidation		
Presence	11 (50)	22 (34.3)
Multiple Bilateral	8 (36.3)	13 (20.3)
Multiple Unilateral	-	-
Single Unilateral	3 (13.6)	9 (14)
Peripheral	8 (36.3)	17 (26.5)
Central	2 (9)	1 (1.5)
Peripheral/Central	1 (4.5)	4 (6.2)
Irregular	9 (40.9)	18 (28.1)
Round	-	-
Round/Irregular	2 (9)	4 (6.2)
Rib Fracture		
Presence	3 (13.6)	14 (21.8)
With Pneumothorax	3 (13.6)	6 (9.3)
With Pleural Effusion	2 (9)	8 (12.5)
Pneumothorax		
Presence	7 (31.8)	10 (15.6)
Without Rib Fracture	4 (18.1)	4 (6.2)
Hemothorax		

Finding	rt-PCR** positive (%) n = 22	rt-PCR negative (%) n = 64
Presence	11 (50)	15 (23.4)
Without Rib Fracture	9 (40.9)	7 (10.9)
* GGO: Ground-Glass Opacity; ** Rt-PCR: Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction		

The sensitivity and specificity of the positive CT group, typical RSNA type and different features of the CT scan report were calculated and see in Table 4.

Table 4
Diagnostic values of computed tomography findings in COVID-19 trauma patients

Finding	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive Predictive Value (%)	Negative Predictive Value (%)	Accuracy (%)	p-value (%)
GGO*						
PRESENCE	68	53	33	82	56	0.18
MULTIPLE BILATERAL	45	65	31	77	60	0.55
MULTIPLE UNILATERAL	9	96	50	75	74	0.83
SINGLE UNILATERAL	13	90	33	75	70	0.79
PERIPHERAL	50	79	45	82	72	0.13
CENTRAL	9	93	33	75	72	0.9
PERIPHERAL/CENTRAL	9	79	13	71	61	0.71
IRREGULAR	40	60	26	75	55	0.92
ROUND	13	96	60	76	75	0.72
IRREGULAR/ROUND	13	95	50	76	74	0.73
CONSOLIDATION						
PRESENCE	50	65	33	79	61	0.39
MULTIPLE BILATERAL	36	79	38	78	68	0.43
SINGLE UNILATERAL	13	85	25	74	67	0.98
PERIPHERAL	36	73	32	77	63	0.62
CENTRAL	9	98	66	75	75	0.83
PERIPHERAL/CENTRAL	4	93	20	74	70	0.95
IRREGULAR	40	71	33	77	63	0.51
IRREGULAR/ROUND	9	93	33	75	72	0.9
RIB FRACTURE						
PRESENCE	13	78	17	72	61	0.76
WITH PNUMOTHORAX	13	90	33	75	70	0.85
WITH PLURAL EFFUSION	9	87	20	73	67	0.89
PNUMOTHORAX						
PRESENCE	31	84	41	78	70	0.44
WITHOUT RIB FRACTURE	18	93	50	76	74	0.63

Finding	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive Predictive Value (%)	Negative Predictive Value (%)	Accuracy (%)	p-value (%)
HEMOTHORAX						
PRESENCE	50	76	42	81	69	0.16
WITHOUT RIB FRACTURE	40	89	56	81	76	0.19
* GGO: Ground-glass opacity						

Positive chest CT scans for COVID-19 were compared between two groups according to rt-PCR results. Fifteen patients with positive rt-PCR test and 28 patients with negative rt-PCR results had positive chest CT scans features for COVID-19. So, a chest CT scan had a sensitivity (68%), specificity (56%), positive predictive value (34.8%), negative predictive value (83.7%), and accuracy (59.3%) in detecting COVID-19 among trauma patients.

Evaluation of 23 asymptomatic patients (2 with positive rt-PCR and 21 negative rt-PCR results) showed that all definitively infected patients have a positive CT scan for the disease and only 7 non-infected patients have a positive CT scan. Statistical analysis showed a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 66.7% and a negative predictive value of 100% for the diagnosis of COVID-19 by CT scan in asymptomatic individuals.

Discussion

The early diagnosis of COVID19 plays an important role in monitoring the disease effectively According to the initial definition provided by the World Health Organization, patients with suspected clinical symptoms [15]. need to be evaluated for definitive COVID19, which is conducted by evaluating the presence of the virus in different ways. The results of these methods are related to different factors that the time of exposure to the virus and the onset of symptoms are effective in positive serological tests [9, 16]. The diagnosis of asymptomatic patients and patients with mild symptoms, which includes a high percentage of patients [17], is also an important goal of health care organizations. Taken into consideration the low sensitivity of definitive diagnostic tests [9, 18], other diagnostic methods are used to accompany them [19–21]. Typical chest CT scan findings in people with definite COVID-19 pneumonia (Table 1) is one of the most commonly used methods.

This study investigated the effectiveness of RSNA classification and its features as a diagnostic tool for COVID-19 in trauma patients. Due to the presence of similar manifestations of lung contusion in chest CT scan and also the possibility of simultaneous occurrence of these two pathologies, their interactions on CT scan findings, must be evaluated in trauma patients [22]. Evaluation of chest CT scan findings based on RSNA classification showed the lower sensitivity and specificity among the trauma patients. This outcome can be related to the prevalence rate of the disease, low sensitivity of the rt-PCR diagnostic test, as well as the weakness of the chest CT scan findings in non-traumatic patients for traumatic individuals.

Given the high prevalence of the disease in the area where the study was conducted and the acceptable sensitivity of the diagnostic tests, the findings of the chest CT scan for COVID-19 as a diagnostic tool might differ among trauma patients.

Patients were divided into positive and negative groups based on their chest CT scan findings, regardless of rt-PCR serological test results. Evaluation of clinical symptoms related to COVID-19, Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) index and Lymphocyte to Neutrophil Ratio (NLR) as a marker of systemic inflammatory responses, factors related to patients' trauma including primary vital signs as well as Injury Severity Score (ISS) and Abbreviated Injury Score (AIS), patient's hospital course and prognosis of patients between the two groups were performed. The evaluation showed that patients were not significantly different in terms of age, COVID-19 related symptoms (except for respiratory distress), and epidemiological and underlying disease history. The evaluation of inflammatory and immune system stimulation factors as well as criteria related to the severity of trauma did not show a significant difference between the two groups.

With the exception of race and respiratory distress, the factors related to COVID-19 and inflammatory system, and trauma severity do not affect the probability of positive chest CT scan according to the criteria provided by RSNA.

The outcomes of this research are not in line with studies investigating non-traumatic individuals. Trauma and COVID-19 both stimulate the immune system. However, the degree of stimulation of the inflammatory system dependent on the degree of trauma severity and also the inflammatory stage of COVID-19. It is hypothesized that if the criteria set by RSNA were appropriate for the evaluation of COVID-19 traumatic individuals, a significant difference should be observed between the two groups.

Therefore, to evaluate the appropriate findings of COVID-19 in chest CT scan for trauma patients, patients were divided into definite and non-infected groups based on rt-PCR test results. Incidence, sensitivity and specificity, protective value, accuracy, and P. Value of each finding were evaluated in these two groups. Evaluation of common radiological features based on RSNA classification between the two groups of definitive and non-infected patients showed that the presence of peripheral, bilateral, round, and diffuse GGO as the most specific radiological features in non-traumatic individuals [23, 24], are not similar in traumatic patients. Although multiple bilateral GGO was the most sensitive in lesions (45%) according to the location in trauma patients, the specificity of multiple unilateral lesions was higher (96%). Also, the peripheral lesions were more sensitive and the central lesions had the highest specificity (93%). In terms of lesion shape, the highest sensitivity was related to irregular lesions (40%) and the highest specificity was related to round lesions (96%). Therefore, multiple unilateral or central GGO in trauma patients was the most specific type of GGO in trauma patients who had definite COVID-19. Regarding consolidation, peripheral round lesions had the most diagnostic features in non-traumatic patients, but central lesions (98%) with mixed round and irregular shapes had the highest diagnostic features in traumatic patients with COVID-19.

Despite the above data, due to the possibility of co-occurrence of COVID-19 and pulmonary contusion in both groups, the findings of this research should be treated with caution and future studies should collect information about trauma patients in the pre-pandemic period of COVID-19 to determine specific lung contusion lesions.

Therefore, it seems that for the detection of COVID-19 in trauma patients, it is necessary to provide another classification for a chest CT scan. This requires further studies with a larger population and also the use of more sensitive definitive diagnostic tests.

In the evaluation of 17 patients with rib fractures in both definite and non-infected patients, it was found that rib fracture in 100% of definite patients and 71% non-infected patients, leads to damage to the pulmonary parenchyma and pleura. Also, patients with less (ISS) in a definite group develop more symptoms of regional injury in the thoracic cavity (AIS). This indicates that the presence of underlying pathology due to COVID-19 in traumatic individuals, causes the lung parenchyma to be prone to injury and rupture. Also, it seems that the ISS / Thoracic

cavity AIS ratio may contribute to the possibility of underlying pathology in traumatized individuals. However, due to the small number of participants, it was not possible to statistically evaluate this finding further.

Conclusion

The results show that RSNA criteria for COVID-19 were not efficient in trauma patients. Therefore, due to the high use of CT scans in trauma patients, it is recommended to create appropriate CT scan criteria for trauma patients. This method could diagnose the disease timely and contribute positively to the termination of the transmission chain. It would also reduce the incidence of treatment and effective resource management.

List Of Abbreviations

CT: Computed tomography; ICU: intensive care unit; COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019

RSNA: The Radiological Society of North America; WHO: World Health Organization

rt-PCR: Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; GGO: Ground Glass Opacity; SIRS: Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome index; NLR: Lymphocyte to Neutrophil Ratio;

ISS: Injury Severity Score; AIS: Abbreviated Injury Score

Declarations

Ethical approval of the study

Written informed consent was obtained from the patients in our study. The purpose of this research was completely explained to the patient and was assured that their information will be kept confidential by the researcher. This research was approved by the ethical committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from the patients regarding the publication of this study.

Availability of data and materials

SPSS data of the participant can be requested from the authors. Please write to the corresponding author if you are interested in such data.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

No financial support was received for this study.

Authors Contributions

HAF conceived idea for manuscript and in cooperation with SB and SP interpreted the patient data and carried out the treatment. SS and PI reported the chest CT scans. MM collected the data and drafted the manuscript. MZ and

GS contributed in datacollection. HRA and LS analysed the data. MS and SMA revised the manuscript and acts as guarantor of manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

None to declare

Authors' information

1. Hossein Abdollahzadeh Fard, MD: Assistant professor of general surgery trauma fellowship, Shiraz University of Medical Science, Shiraz Trauma Center
2. Salahaddin Mahmudi-Azer MD/PhD, FRCPC, Omid Charity Hospital, Urmia, Iran.
3. Sepideh Sefidbakht, MD : Associated professor of radiology, Shiraz University of Medical Science, Shiraz, Iran.
4. Golnar Sabetian, MD: Associate professor of anesthesia and critical care.

References

1. Chen L, Xiong J, Bao L, Shi Y. Convalescent plasma as a potential therapy for COVID-19. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020; 20(4): 398–400.
2. Meshkat S, Salimi A, Joshaghanian A, Sedighi S, Sedighi S, Aghamollai V. Chronic neurological diseases and COVID-19: Associations and considerations. *Transl. Neurosci.* 2020;11(1):294-301.
3. Anadolu Agency. Confusion raises Iranian fears from coronavirus 2020, Feb 26
<https://www.aa.com.tr/en/health/confusion-raises-iranian-fears-from-coronavirus/1745093>. Accessed 2 Nov 2020
4. BNO News. WORLDTracking coronavirus: Map, data and timeline 2020, Feb 26
<https://bnonews.com/index.php/2020/02/the-latest-coronavirus-cases/>. Accessed 2 Nov 2020
5. Erfani A, Shahriarirad R, Ranjbar K, Mirahmadizadeh A, Moghadami M. Knowledge, attitude and practice toward the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak: A population-based survey in Iran. *Bull World Health Organ, E-pub.* 2020; doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-22435/v2
6. Sabetian G, Moghadami M, Haghighi LHF, Fallahi MJ, Shahriarirad R, Asmarian N, et al. COVID-19 infection among healthcare workers: a cross-sectional study in southwest Iran. 2020; doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-47641/v1
7. Shahriarirad R, Khodamoradi Z, Erfani A, Hosseinpour H, Ranjbar K, Emami Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in the South of Iran. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2020;20(1):1-12.
8. Shahriarirad R, Erfani A, Ranjbar K, Bazrafshan A, Mirahmadizadeh A. The Mental Impact of COVID-19 Outbreak: A Population-Based Survey in Iran. 2020; doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-23425/v2
9. Shahriarirad R, Sarkari B. COVID-19: clinical or laboratory diagnosis? A matter of debate. *Tropical Doctor.* 2020; doi: 10.1177/0049475520945446
10. Inui S, Fujikawa A, Jitsu M, Kunishima N, Watanabe S, Suzuki Y, et al. Chest CT findings in cases from the cruise ship "Diamond Princess" with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Radiology: Radiol Cardiothorac Imaging.* 2020; doi: 10.1148/ryct.2020204002
11. Sadeghi M, Saberian P, Hasani-Sharamin P, Dadashi F, Babaniamansour S, Aliniagerdroudbari E. The Role of Possible Factors Affecting the Risk of Getting Infected by COVID-19 in Emergency Medical Technicians: A Case-Control Study. 2020; doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-39251/v1

12. Lotfi M, Sefidbakht S, Moghadami M, Iranpour P, Emami Y, Jafari SH, et al. Introduction of a Radiologic Severity Index for the 2019 Novel Corona Virus (COVID-19). 2020; doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-23633/v1
13. Kim H, Hong H, Yoon SH. Diagnostic performance of CT and reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction for coronavirus disease 2019: a meta-analysis. *Radiology*. 2020; doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020201343
14. Simpson S, Kay FU, Abbara S, Bhalla S, Chung JH, Chung M, Henry TS, Kanne JP, Kligerman S, Ko JP, Litt H. Radiological Society of North America Expert Consensus Statement on Reporting Chest CT Findings Related to COVID-19. Endorsed by the Society of Thoracic Radiology, the American College of Radiology, and RSNA - Secondary Publication. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2020 Jul;35(4):219-227. doi: 10.1097/RTI.0000000000000524.
15. World Health Organization. Clinical management of COVID-19: interim guidance, 27 May 2020. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/332196> Accessed 2 Nov 2020.
16. Kucirka LM, Lauer SA, Laeyendecker O, Boon D, Lessler J. Variation in false-negative rate of reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction–based SARS-CoV-2 tests by time since exposure. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020; doi: 10.7326/M20-1495
17. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): situation report, 46 2020 <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/331443> Accessed 2 Nov 2020.
18. Yang Y, Yang M, Shen C, Wang F, Yuan J, Li J, et al. Laboratory diagnosis and monitoring the viral shedding of 2019-nCoV infections. *MedRxiv*. 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.02.11.20021493
19. Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H, Zhan C, Chen C, Lv W, et al. Correlation of chest CT and RT-PCR testing in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: a report of 1014 cases. *Radiology*. 2020; doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020200642
20. Li B, Li X, Wang Y, Han Y, Wang Y, Wang C, et al. Diagnostic value and key features of computed tomography in Coronavirus Disease 2019. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2020;9(1):787-93.
21. Li K, Wu J, Wu F, Guo D, Chen L, Fang Z, et al. The Clinical and Chest CT Features Associated with Severe and Critical COVID-19 Pneumonia. *Invest Radiol*. 2020; doi: 10.1097/RLI.0000000000000672
22. Sefidbakht S, Jafari S, Zarei F, Abdolrahimzadeh H, Sabetian G, Iranpour P, et al. Chest CT scan in patients with contusion and COVID-19, a comparative study with pure lung contusion. 2020; doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-29806/v1
23. Fang Y, Zhang H, Xie J, Lin M, Ying L, Pang P, et al. Sensitivity of chest CT for COVID-19: comparison to RT-PCR. *Radiology*. 2020; doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020200432
24. Ng M-Y, Lee EY, Yang J, Yang F, Li X, Wang H, et al. Imaging profile of the COVID-19 infection. *Radiol Cardiothorac Imaging*. 2020; doi: 10.1148/ryct.2020200034

Figures




Figure 1

Pathway design of the study