

Contribution of Soil Physical Properties in The Assessment of Flood Risks in Tropical Areas. Case of The Mbo Plain

Sylvie Noelle DJUKEM FENGUIA

University of Dschang, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Earth Sciences

David Guimolaire Nkouathio (✉ nkouathio@yahoo.fr)

University of Dschang Faculty of Sciences: Universite de Dschang Faculte des Sciences

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6231-7546>

Research Article

Keywords: soils, physico-chemical characteristics, flooding, Mbo plain, infiltration rate

Posted Date: December 15th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1104215/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

1 **Contribution of Soil Physical Properties in The Assessment of Flood Risks in Tropical**
2 **Areas. Case of The Mbo Plain**

3 **Sylvie Noelle DJUKEM FENGUIA¹, David Guimolaire NKOUATHIO^{1*}**

4 1 - Department of Earth Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, BP 67, University of Dschang,
5 Cameroon.

6 *nkouathio@yahoo.fr

7

8 **Abstract**

9 Flooding occurs when water is in excess and can no longer be evacuated normally. The
10 nature of the soil has been identified as one of the major causes of flooding, hence this study
11 aimed is to show the influence of the physico-chemical properties of the soil on the recurrence
12 of flooding in the Mbo plain. Four soil profiles were carried out on the alluviums according to
13 the altitudes. These profiles were described and undisturbed soil samples were taken. Then,
14 measurements of the infiltration rate of water in the soil by the Porchet method were carried out
15 in sixteen sites. Finally, soil samples taken by auger and core sampling were studied in the
16 laboratory. Physico-chemical parameters such as grain size, porosity, moisture, pH,
17 compactness and organic matter were determined. Infiltration tests carried out in situ using the
18 Porchet method revealed a hydraulic conductivity between 10^{-5} and 10^{-7} m/s, characteristic of
19 a semi-permeable soil. This low value of permeability results from the morpho-structural
20 arrangement and the chemical composition of the soils of the plain. These soils are
21 hydromorphic, which means that they are constantly flooded and temporarily waterlogged.
22 They are more or less sandy-clay on the surface, and very clayey at depth, generally from 25
23 cm. The very clayey soils at the base considerably slow down infiltration and act as a real barrier
24 layer that prevents water from infiltrating, resulting to intense runoff. These soils are very
25 porous and compact with a fairly high water content of up to 71 %. This work allows us to
26 conclude on the role of intrinsic soil properties on the genesis of floods in lowland areas. As in
27 many plains in Africa and in the world, the nature of the soil in the Mbo plain is a natural
28 predisposing factor to flood risks. The methods used can be applied in areas with the same
29 characteristics as the Mbo Plain.

30 **Key words:** soils; physico-chemical characteristics; flooding; Mbo plain; infiltration rate

31 **Declarations**

32 **Funding** No funding was received to assist with the preparation of this manuscript

33 **Conflict of interest** The authors declare no conflicts of interest

34 **Availability of data and materials** The data used to support the findings of this study are
35 available from the corresponding author upon request.

36 **Code availability** Not applicable

37 **Author contribution** Sylvie Noelle Djukem Fenguia carried out the research plan, collected
38 and analysed data, methodology and investigation review and editing, formal analysis and
39 writing original draft; visualisation and supervision by David Guimolaire Nkouthio

40 **1. Introduction**

41 Soil is the central element that defines the capacity of water to circulate either by infiltration or
42 by runoff. This parameter depends on its structural, textural and chemical composition. Several
43 works have focused on the physico-chemical analysis of soils related to water circulation,
44 notably Leumbé Leumbé et al. (2015) who define porosity as the central element that conditions
45 the vertical infiltration of water from the upper parts to the lower parts. Delville (1996) believes
46 that in addition to porosity, the permeability of a soil ensures the circulation and infiltration of
47 water. These two parameters are closely related to the granular metric composition of the soil
48 solids and the way they are arranged with the organic matter (Montoroi 2012). Indeed, unlike
49 sands which are inert grains with low water retention, clay-textured soils are not very permeable
50 (Garba Mallam 2000). Organic matter improves soil structure by promoting the formation of
51 aggregates and thus increases infiltration (Tisdall et al. 1982; Stengel et al. 2009; Wiesmeier et
52 al. 2012; Temgoua et al. 2014). Other authors such as Casenave et al. (1989) support the thesis
53 that the decrease infiltrability of a soil is related to its surface condition and internal
54 morphology. Wotling (2000) believes that the degree of soil moisture also influences the
55 infiltration rate. In either case, the infiltration rate of water into the soil depends on its intrinsic
56 characteristics. When the infiltration rate is considerably reduced, the risk of surface runoff
57 increases, which can lead to flooding. Thus, for flooding to occur, the soil must be partially or
58 fully saturated with water. The genesis of floods has been the subject of several studies in Africa
59 and in the world in general. According to some authors, flooding result from the combination
60 of several elements. These are soil, relief, hydrography with rain as a trigger (Wolting 2000;
61 Leumbé Leumbé et al. 2015; Zogning et al. 2015; Lamachere 1988). For others, flooding is due
62 to land-use change and human activity (Tchotsua 1996; Tchotsua 2007; Mendonca et al. 2015;
63 Mwazvita et al. 2018; Sighomnou et al. 2012; Zehra et al. 2019; Ansar et al. 2021). These works
64 corroborate those of Montoroi (2012) who believes that the nature of the soil and its spatial

65 distribution play a dominant role on the genesis of floods. This study was carried out with a
66 view to further investigation in the involvement of soil in the aggravation of flood risks in a
67 plain in a tropical zone. The Mbo plain in West Cameroon was chosen as a test site. Indeed,
68 flooding is the most recurrent risk in this plain. These almost annual floods occur mainly
69 between the months of August and September, with damaging effects on both the socio-
70 economic and environmental levels.

71 **2. Study area**

72 The Mbo plain is located in the west of Cameroon, between 5°05' and 5°25' North latitude
73 and 9°50' and 10°10' East longitude, with a surface area of 1,394.78 km² (Fig.1). The region
74 has a Guinean equatorial climate with an average annual rainfall and temperatures of 2413mm
75 and 23.4°C respectively. The dry season runs from December to February and the rainy season
76 from March to November. Geomorphologically, the Mbo plain is one of the numerous collapse
77 basins which interrupt in place the continuity of the Cameroonian ridge in places (Tchoua 1984;
78 Bandji 1994). It is a vast alluvial basin of tectonic origin situated at 710 m altitude (Bourgeon
79 1979) occupied by quaternary alluvium. It is surrounded to the SSW by the Manengouba
80 massif, to the NNE by the Bambouto Mountains and to the East by the escarpment of the
81 Western Highlands at Bafang, which rise between 1200 and 2800 m (Bourgeon 1979; Wonanke
82 2002). At Mélong, a rocky sill locks the plain, serving as the base level for its dendritic and
83 locally meandering hydrographic network (Nkam and Ménoua) (Bourgeon 1979) (Nguiffo
84 2013; Djukem Fenguia 2017) (Fig.1). Soil types include gneiss soils, cliff bottom scree soils,
85 granite soils, basalt soils and trachytes (Bandji 1994). These different types of soils are grouped
86 into three levels of altitude, namely hydromorphic soils in the low altitude zone, humus soils in
87 the medium altitude zone and ferralitic soils in the high altitude zone (Wonanke 2002).

88 **3. Data and methods**

89 **3.1. Hydraulic conductivity**

90 It was determined at a depth of between 50 and 70 cm by the Porchet method (Porchet et
91 al. 1935; De Beaucorps et al. 1987). The principle consists of following the infiltration of a
92 quantity of water poured into the hole as a function of time. Using an auger of radius R (in
93 metres), we dig a hole of a certain depth h₀ in the soil, fill it with water until it is saturated
94 (about 20 minutes depending on the soil properties) and follow the infiltration of the water into
95 the soil as a function of time t. A graduated ruler has been introduced into the hole in order to
96 measure the decrease in the water level (Fig. 2).

97 According to Darcy's law, we can write $Q = K_{sat} \times S \times I$ (1)

98 Where K_{sat} is the permeability coefficient; S is the wetted surface of the soil (water infiltration
 99 surface) and I is the driving slope or load gradient.

100 The water infiltration surface is equal to the sum of the infiltration surface by the walls of the
 101 hole of expression $2\pi.R.z$ and the infiltration surface by the bottom of expression $\pi.R^2$. In this
 102 case, we can derive the following relationship:

$$103 \quad S = \pi.R^2 + 2\pi.R.z \quad (2)$$

104 **Simplifying assumption:**

105 It will be assumed that the walls of the holes are not smoothed and that the driving slope or
 106 gradient of the charges is equal to the unit (I=1).

107 The flow rate of water in the hole of radius R is therefore reduced to the relation:

$$108 \quad Q = K_{sat} \cdot (\pi.R^2 + 2\pi.R.z) = 2\pi.R.K_{sat} \cdot \left(z + \frac{R}{2} \right) \quad (3)$$

109 Assuming that the height of water in the hole is h and time t, let us denote by $d\tau$, the small
 110 variation in time during which the water level drops in the hole by dz, dz being the height
 111 element corresponding to the small variations in time $d\tau$.

$$112 \quad \text{The flow rate of the water in the hole becomes: } Q = -\pi.R^2 \frac{dz}{d\tau} \quad (4)$$

113 The "-" sign of this expression is explained by the fact that Q is essentially positive, while the
 114 change in height h is negative.

$$115 \quad \text{So } 2\pi.R.K_{sat} \cdot \left(z + \frac{R}{2} \right) = -\pi.R^2 \frac{dz}{d\tau} \Leftrightarrow \frac{2K_{sat}}{R} d\tau = -\frac{dz}{z + \frac{R}{2}} \quad (5)$$

$$116 \quad \text{In this case, } \int_0^t \frac{2K_{sat}}{R} d\tau = -\int_{h_0}^h \frac{dz}{z + \frac{R}{2}} \quad (6)$$

$$117 \quad \text{Thus } \frac{2K_{sat}}{R} t = -\ln\left(h + \frac{R}{2}\right) + \ln\left(h_0 + \frac{R}{2}\right) \quad (7)$$

$$118 \quad \text{Finally, } \log\left(h + \frac{R}{2}\right) = -\frac{2K_{sat}}{R \ln 10} t + \log\left(h_0 + \frac{R}{2}\right) \approx -\frac{2K_{sat}}{2,3.R} t + \log\left(h_0 + \frac{R}{2}\right) \quad (8)$$

119 Expression (8) shows that the characteristic resulting from this method is a straight line of

120 global form $Y = AX + B$ with $Y = \log\left(h + \frac{R}{2}\right)$; $A = -\frac{2K_{sat}}{2,3.R}$; $X = t$ and $B = \log\left(h_0 + \frac{R}{2}\right)$

121 which is shown in Figure 3.

122 This figure 3 represents the log (h + R/2) versus time curve on a semi-logarithmic scale. From
123 the figure, we can establish the equality of the graphical and analytical slopes by the relation:

$$124 \quad -\frac{2K_{\text{sat}}}{2,3.R} = \tan \alpha \text{ that is to say } K_{\text{sat}} = -\frac{2,3.R. \tan \alpha}{2} \quad (9)$$

125 In this study, the test was conducted at 16 representative sites (P1 to P16). All holes were sized
126 at 3.5cm diameter.

127 **3.2. Soil sampling and analysis**

128 A landscape analysis and soil survey of pits (1.5 m long × 1 m wide) from 100 cm to 300
129 cm and more in depth taking into account lithology and morphology was carried out. These pits
130 were described in detail according to the FAO soil profile description guide (FAO 2006).
131 Subsequently, soil samples were taken from the walls of the pits, taking into account the
132 different horizons and phases, and other samples were taken using the auger. A total of 44 soil
133 samples were analysed in the laboratory for physico-chemical parameters. The following
134 parameters were determined: Grain size, Porosity (n), Compactness (c), water content, pH water
135 and organic matter.

136 **4. Results**

137 **4.1. Macroscopic description of pedological wells**

138 In the plain, two (02) typical soil profiles were made according to the altitudes (Fig.4).

139 **4.1.1. Type 1 profile**

140 This typical profile is found in the lowlands at the heart of the plain between altitudes 706
141 m and 711 m. These lowlands are occupied by a temporally flooded pseudo-steppe. This profile
142 is differentiated from top to bottom by three horizons:

143 **Horizon A:** 0 - 23 cm, fine soil that varies from black (10YR 2/1) to very dark grey (10YR
144 3/1). This horizon is very thick, not very compact, fine polyhedral with a clayey-silty texture
145 and clayey-sandy in places. It has a very dense root system with significant biological activity;
146 few green and blue patches at the base of these horizons (reduced or iron-depleted areas) and a
147 distinct and regular lower boundary.

148 **Horizon BCg:** 23 - 85 cm, a variegated pseudo-gley level with two non distinct phases of a
149 dominant grey color (10YR 5/1), made up of very few red, ochre spots (oxidised or iron-
150 enriched zones) and numerous green, blue spots (reduced or iron-depleted zones), not very
151 compact and of a massive structure with a clay texture, with strong claying characterised by

152 intense reduction phenomena due to temporary waterlogging linked to the rise in the water
153 table. It is a Pseudo-gley horizon.

154 **Horizon G:** 85 - 100 cm 75 - 100 cm, alluvial level with a variegated appearance, with red,
155 ochre (oxidised or iron-enriched zones) and green, blue (reduced or iron-depleted zones) spots.
156 This horizon is characterised by intense reduction phenomena due to temporary waterlogging.
157 Claying gives this soil profile the name Clayey.

158 *In short, the soils of this profile type 1 are very thin, not very evolved and not very differentiated*
159 *with an organic-mineral level that rests directly on the clay level, with intense claying. We also*
160 *note the presence of a water table at the base of the profile. It therefore defines a type A/Cg*
161 *profile.*

162 **4.1.2. Type 2 profile**

163 This profile is characterised with an altitudes between 720 m and 730 m. It is differentiated
164 by two horizons from top to bottom:

165 **Horizon A:** 0 - 61cm, varying in colour from greyish brown (2.5YR 5/2) to dark reddish grey
166 (5Y3/1). It is a fine revamped soil with a medium to coarse lumpy, sandy-clay texture with a
167 coarse polyhedral structure and low compaction in places. This horizon is very thick, with very
168 few healthy roots and few coarse elements (millimetre to centimetre gravels), not very porous,
169 with a diffuse and regular lower limit.

170 **Horizon BCg:** 61-200cm, mottled alluvial deposit with pseudo-clay consisting of two non
171 distinct phases. Dark yellowish brown (10YR 3/6), clayey with massive structure, not very
172 compact. These horizons are made up of numerous fine-sized concretions and contain a large
173 number of red and ochre spots (oxidised or iron-enriched zones) and few green and blue spots
174 (reduced or iron-depleted zones), with weak claying characterised by intense oxidation
175 phenomena due to temporary waterlogging linked to seasonal fluctuations in the water table.
176 This is a pseudo-clay horizon.

177 *In total, the soils along this type 2 profile are thick, poorly developed and poorly differentiated,*
178 *with an A horizon lying directly on the BCg horizon. They therefore define a type A/Cg profile.*

179 **4.2. Soil permeability**

180 The hydraulic conductivity in the plain decreases considerably with time. After a certain
181 period of time, the hydraulic conductivity does not change, which shows that the soil has
182 reached a saturation point, making it impermeable (Fig. 5).

183 The calculation of the different hydraulic conductivity values based on equation (9) leads to the
184 results shown in Table 1. According to this table, the hydraulic conductivity values are between

185 10-5 and 10-7 m/s. Such a value between 10-5 and 10-7 m/s is indicative of a very low
186 permeability and allows to classify these soils as semi-permeable.

187 **4.3. Study of physico-chemical parameters**

188 Laboratory analyses of the soil samples provided physico-chemical parameters and particle
189 size (Table 2).

190 Across the plain, bulk density varies from 0.42 to 1.22 g/cm³ (Table 2). This value results
191 in a fairly high total porosity (over 60%). There is a slight increase in porosity with depth.
192 Compactness values are high throughout the profile (16 to 58.91%) and generally higher in the
193 surface horizons.

194 Clay (9.5 to 71.5%) and sandy (13 to 72%) fractions are abundant in these soils, followed
195 by silts (5 to 51.5%). The sandy fractions are more abundant at the surface, while the clayey
196 ones are more abundant at depth. The silty fraction is more abundant at depth except in a few
197 profiles.

198 The textural triangle (Fig. 6) indicates that the soils in the plain are classified as clayey
199 (A), sandy-clay (AS), silt-clay (AL), sandy-clay (SA) and silty-sand-clay (LSA).

200 The acidity of the plain soils increases from surface to depth (Table 2). The water content of
201 the soils is quite high throughout the plain. These soils are also very rich in organic matter
202 (>2%) except in a few horizons.

203 **5. Discussions**

204 **5.1. Soil classification**

205 Studies conducted on the soils of the Mbo plain show that these soils are essentially
206 hydromorphic. Indeed, hydromorphic soils are soils whose genesis and evolution occur either
207 under water, or in an environment where water is in excess, so that hydrological factors have
208 played a predominant role in paedogenesis and have induced a morphology that prevails over
209 all other classification characteristics (Bourgeon 1979; Mamadou Khouma 2000; Bassirou
210 Keita 2000; Soklou Kodjo 2000; Charreau et al. 1965). These soils are generally very saturated
211 with water and therefore can't intercept rainfall and thus favour runoff to the detriment of
212 infiltration. This type of hydric soil resembles that of many swampy alluvial plains (Leumbé
213 Leumbé et al. 2015; Garba Mallam 2000; Bassirou Keita 2000; Youssouf et al. 2000; Mamadou
214 Khouma 2000; Soklou Kodjo 2000; Barbery et al 1980; Vizier 1980; Charreau et al. 1965;
215 Dasylyva et al. 2019). Hydromorphic can direct the evolution of organic matter and under certain
216 types of vegetation, cause its accumulation (Bassirou 2000). Compared to studies conducted in
217 Mali (Bassirou 2000) and Togo (Soklou Kodjo 2000), the hydromorphic soils of the Mbo plain

218 are mineral or low-humus hydromorphic soils (less than 8% organic matter). The dark colour
219 found in most of the profiles indicates that these soils are rich in organic matter.

220 **5.2. Physico-chemical parameters**

221 In the Mbo plain, hydromorphic soils are located in the low-lying areas and are formed on
222 clay-sand-loam alluvium. They have a texture marked by sandy minerals (about 60%) on the
223 surface and a clay fraction that reaches 41% at depth, generally from 25cm to 30cm. This high
224 clay content in the deeper horizons combined with a high silt content considerably reduces the
225 infiltration of surface water resulting to flooding. Compared to the studies of Bachelier (1952),
226 the alluvial soils of the Mbo plain form the banks of the Nkam and Menoua rivers and are
227 generally sandy-silt with a clay horizon located at depths of 30 cm and above. These results are
228 similar to those obtained on the soils of the Maga plains in Cameroon (Leumbé Leumbé et al,
229 2015), Niger (Garba Mallam 2000), Mali (Bassirou 2000), Benin (Youssof et al. 2000),
230 Senegal (Mamadou Khouma 2000; Charreau et al. 1965; Dasylyva et al 2019), Togo (Soklou
231 Kodjo 2000) and Burkina Faso (Barbery et al. 1980). Unlike the soils of Tahiti (Wotling 2000)
232 which have a clay to clay-silt texture.

233 The soils of the plain are very acidic (pH = 3.6 to 6) at depth, like those of the
234 hydromorphic soils of Burkina-Faso (Barbery et al. 1980) and of the agricultural valley of the
235 Commune of Ziguinchor in Senegal (Dasylyva et al. 2019). They are poor in organic matter.
236 Organic matter favours aggregation and thus increases infiltration. The low content of this
237 element in the soil reduces the capacity of the plain soils to infiltrate rainwater, which leads to
238 flooding. Compared to the studies conducted by (Youssof et al. 2000), the hydromorphic
239 mineral soils of Benin have a weakly acidic to basic pH (5.5 and 7) with a low organic matter
240 content (1 to 6%). Also, in the Maga plain in the Far North of Cameroon (Leumbé Leumbé et
241 al. 2015), the soils have an organic matter levels below 1%, which makes the soil more
242 impermeable. As in the soils of the Maga plain (Leumbé Leumbé et al. 2015) where the
243 aggregates are very stable and do not favour water infiltration, the soils of the Mbo plain are
244 very porous and compact. Water is therefore concentrated on the surface because the deeper
245 horizons are compact. The humidity of these soils is very high (over 40% in several horizons),
246 which means constant flooding. This high moisture content results in a poor permeability
247 between 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁷ m/s because they are generally very waterlogged and constantly flooded.
248 Compared to the studies of Wonanke (2002), the soils of the Mbo plain are made up of sandy-
249 clay alluvium with low permeability (10⁻⁴ to 10⁻⁶ m/s). They are not very permeable due to
250 their clayey nature which does not favour the infiltration of rainwater. Consequently, the soils

251 of the plain become saturated very quickly after the first rains. This result is similar to that
252 obtained by Murhula et al. (2019) on the soils of the city of Bukavu in DR Congo (2.96 .10-5
253 m/s). Furthermore, this permeability is in contrast to that of the ferralitic soils of Tahiti
254 (Wotling 2000) where the permeability is higher than 20mm/h. This is due to the fact that unlike
255 Tahiti, the soils of the Mbo plain are very rich in clays with a fairly high water content.
256 Ultimately, the lack of water absorption by the soils due to low infiltration capacity, previous
257 saturation and low thickness of the surface horizons is one of the main causes of flooding in the
258 Mbo plain.

259 **6. Conclusion**

260 The main objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of the physical and chemical
261 properties of the soil on the recurrence of floods. The results show that the soil has a direct
262 impact on the formation of floods. Indeed, the morpho-structural description of the soil profiles
263 that were carried out in the Mbo plain showed that these soils are of hydromorphic type due to
264 waterlogging. The arrangement of the soil horizons shows that the textures are sandy-clay at
265 the surface with a proportion of clay that becomes very high as the depth increases. A laboratory
266 analysis of the physico-chemical properties of these soils shows a fairly high water content and
267 compactness. Also, these soils are poor in organic matter and very acidic at depth. All these
268 different elements are in one way or another responsible for the aggravation of the risk of
269 flooding because they make the soil more impermeable.

270 The infiltration speed of the soil also plays an important role as it is very low and therefore
271 prevents water from infiltrating. In sum, plain flooding is due to a previous saturation of the
272 soil which prevents the water arriving in the plain from infiltrating, and to a low infiltration
273 capacity of the soil due to its physico-chemical properties which are favourable to flooding.
274 This phenomenon is similar to those of many alluvial plains.

275 **References**

- 276 **Ansar A, Naima A (2021)** Mapping of Flood Zones in Urban Areas through a Hydro-climatic
277 Approach: the Case of the City of Abha Earth Science Research Vol. 10, No.1;
278 <http://esr.ccsenet.org>
- 279 **Bachelier G (1952)** Rapport sur la plaine des Mbo. ORSTOM n°903, Paris VII, 27p.
- 280 **Bandji D (1994)** Contribution à l'étude géologique et hydrologique de la plaine des Mbo.
281 Région de l'ouest-Cameroun. Thèse de doct. Univ Ydé I. 230p.
- 282 **Barbery J, Gavaud M (1980)** Notice explicative de la Carte Pédologique du Cameroun Feuille
283 Bogo-Pouss à 11100 000. ORSTOM-IRAF-ONAREST, Paris n° 88, 58 p.

284 **Barraud S (2006)** Guide technique : Recommandations pour la faisabilité, la conception et la
285 gestion des ouvrages d'infiltration des eaux pluviales en milieu urbain. Programme MGD
286 infiltration du RCGU, Lyon, France.

287 **Bassirou K (2000)** Les sols dominants du Mali. Quatorzième Réunion du Sous-Comité Ouest
288 et Centre Africain de Corrélation des Sols – INRAN, 95-103p.

289 **Bourgeon G (1979)** Aménagement de la plaine des mbo : étude morpho-pédologique de détail.
290 Rapport, -Éd. LATRILLE, 54p.

291 **Casenave A, Valentin C (1989)** Les états de surface de la zone sahélienne : influence sur
292 l'infiltration. Didactiques, Orstom, Paris, 229 p.

293 **Charreau CI, Fauck R (1965)** Les sols du senegal. Extrait de ÉTUDES SÉNÉGALAISES n°9
294 Fascicule 3, C.R.D.S Saint-Louis du Sénégal, ORSTOM fonds documentaire n°29248, cote B,
295 45p.

296 **Dasylya M, Ngor Ndour, Diédhiou Ader M A, Sambou B (2019)** Caractérisation Physico-
297 Chimique des Sols des Vallées Agricoles de la Commune de Ziguinchor au Sénégal.
298 [URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/esj.2019.v15n15p165](http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/esj.2019.v15n15p165), 26p.

299 **Delville L P (1996)** Gérer la fertilité des terres dans les pays du sahel. Masson, Paris, 397p.

300 **De Beaucorps M M, De Chevron - Vilette L, Pujos, Roederer (1987)** perméabilité des sols
301 (méthode Porchet) e-s 19

302 **Djukem Fenguia S N (2017)** Cartographie des zones à risque d'inondation dans les plaines
303 alluviales : cas de Fombap. Mémoire de master en géologie, Univ. Dschang, 86p

304 **FAO (Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'alimentation et l'agriculture) (2006)** Charte
305 mondiale des sols

306 **Garba Mallam A (2000)** Les grands types de sols du Niger. Quatorzième Réunion du Sous-
307 Comité Ouest et Centre Africain de Corrélation des Sols – INRAN, p.151-167

308 **Lamachere J M (1988)** Les risques d'inondations dans la plaine agricole de Niena-Dionkélé.
309 Compte rendu des journées techniques, CIEH, réunion du Conseil des Ministres, 14,
310 Ouagadougou, p. 75-94.

311 **Lefèvre C, Schneider J L (2002)** Les risques naturels majeurs. Editions scientifiques G B
312 Collection, Geosciences, 285p.

313 **Leumbe Leumbe O, Bitom D, Mamdem L, Tiki D, Ibrahim A (2015)** Cartographie des zones
314 à risque d'inondation en zone soudano-sahélienne : cas de Maga et ses environs dans la région
315 de l'Extrême-Nord Cameroun. Afrique Science 11(3) p.45-61. ISSN 1813-548X,
316 <http://www.afriquescience.info>

317 **Mamadou K (2000)** Les grands types de sols du Sénégal. Quatorzième Réunion du Sous-
318 Comité Ouest et Centre Africain de Corrélation des Sols – INRAN, p.77-94

319 **Mendonça F A, Buffon E A M, Goudard G (2015)** L'adaptation urbaine au changement
320 climatique global: les inondations à Curitiba et Pinhais (brésil) XXVIIIe Colloque de
321 l'Association Internationale de Climatologie, Liège

322 **Montoroi J P (2012)** Rôle des sols sur la genèse des inondations. Symposium Européen,
323 problèmes actuels de la protection contre les inondations Paris – Orléans 28-29-30.03.2012,
324 12p.

325 **Murhula E M, Kutangila S M, Birhenjira E M, Muyisa S K (2019)** Hydrogeochemistry
326 and susceptibility to groundwater contamination in the Panzi sector, Bukavu City, DR Congo
327 *Geo-Eco-Trop.*, 43, 1 : p.197-209 <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333114736>

328 **Musy A, Soutter M (1991)** Physique du sol. Lausanne : Presses Polytechniques et
329 Universitaires Romandes, 335 p.

330 **Mwazvita T B D, Shackleton C M, Tatenda D (2018)** Influence of land cover, proximity to
331 streams and household topographical location on flooding impact in informal settlements in the
332 Eastern Cape, South Africa, *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 28 481–490
333 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2017.12.009>

334 **Nguiffo G (2013)** Etude géologique et géomorphologique de la plaine des Mbô (Régions de
335 l'Ouest et du Littoral Cameroun) : Impacts sur les risques d'inondations. Univ. Dschang.
336 Mémoire de master en géologie.

337 **Porchet M, Laferre H (1935)** Etude du débit des canaux à fond horizontal ou très légèrement
338 incliné. *Ann. Ministère Agric., Dir. Eaux Génie Rural* 64:111–188.

339 **Sighomnou D, Tanimoun B, Alio A, Zomodo L, Ilia A, Olomoda I, Coulibaly B, Koné S,**
340 **Zinsou D, Dessouassi R (2012)** crue exceptionnelle et inondations au cours des mois d'août et
341 septembre 2012 dans le Niger moyen et inférieur

342 **Soklou Kodjo W (2000)** Sols dominants du Togo – corrélation avec la Base de référence
343 mondiale. Quatorzième Réunion du Sous-Comité Ouest et Centre Africain de Corrélation des
344 Sols – INRAN p.105-119

345 **Stengel P, Bruckler L, Balesdent J (2009)** Le Sol, Dossier INRA, Editions Quae, Paris, 183
346 p.

347 **Tchotsoua M (1996)** Urbanisation et érosion accélérée dans la ville de Yaoundé : comment
348 améliorer la conservation de l'environnement urbain en milieu tropical humide. Union pour
349 l'étude de la population africaine. UEPA, Nouvelles imprimeries du Sénégal, 131 p

350 **Tchotsoua M, Fotsing J M, Moussa A (2007)** Evaluation des risques d'inondation dans la
351 vallée de la Bénoué en aval du barrage de Lagdo (Cameroun). Actes des JSIRAUAF, Hanoi
352 **Tchoua F M (1984)** Les coulées boueuses de Dschang (Aout 1978). Rev. Géogr. Cameroun
353 2, p.25-33.

354 **Temgoua E, Djoukouo J, Likeng D H J, Tematio P (2014)** Structural stability of Andisols
355 and Andic Ferralsols from Mount Bambouto, West Cameroon highlands, Cameroon Forum for
356 Biological Sciences Vol. 10 N° 01, 26-34. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/cajeb.v10i1.4>

357 **Tisdall J M, Oades J M (1982)** Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils. J. Soil
358 Sci., 33, p.141-163

359 **Vizier J F (1984)** Les phénomènes d'hydromorphie en régions tropicales à saisons contrastées :
360 Application à une meilleure caractérisation des concepts de gley et de pseudogley. Bulletin n°3
361 de l'AFES, 14p.

362 **Wiesmeier M, Steffens M, Mueller CW, Kölbl A, Reszkowska A, Peth S, Horn R,**
363 **KögelKnabner I (2012)** Aggregate stability and physical protection of soil organic carbon in
364 semi-arid steppe soils. Eur. J. Soil Sci., 63, p.22–31.

365 **Wonanke (2002)** Contribution à l'étude des inondations dans la plaine des Mbo. Memoire de
366 master.Univ de Dschang, 52p.


367 **Wotling G (2000)** Caractérisation et modélisation de l'aléa hydrologique à Tahiti. These,
368 Université de Montpellier

369 **Youssef Lawani M (2000)** Les sols béninois : classification dans la Base de référence
370 mondiale. Quatorzième Réunion du Sous-Comité Ouest et Centre Africain de Corrélation des
371 Sols – INRAN p. 29-50

372 **Zehra D, Mbatha S, Camposa C L., Queface A, Beleza A, Cavoli C, Achuthan K, Parikh**
373 **P (2019)** Rapid flood risk assessment of informal urban settlements in Maputo, Mozambique:
374 The case of Maxaquene A, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction 40 101270
375 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101270>

376 **Zogning Moffo M O, Tonye E, Ambara G, Maurice T (2010)** Cartography of Flood Prone
377 Areas and Assessment of Flooding Housing in Douala (Cameroon),
378 <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273321869>, 15 p.

379
380




381

382

Figure 1: Location map and topographic background of the Mbo plain

383



384

385

Figure 2: Experimentation of Porchet method

386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402




Figure 3: $\log(h + R / 2)$ versus time curve on a semi-logarithmic scale

Table 1: Evaluation of soil permeability (source: Musy et al. 1991 cited in Barraud 2006)

Well	K value in m/s	Soil type	Degree of permeability	Type of training
Well 1	5.0313×10^{-7}	Very fine sand, coarse loam to clay loam	Medium to low	Semipermeable
Well 10	2.5875×10^{-7}			
Well 11	1.8113×10^{-6}			
Well 15	1.8113×10^{-5}			
Well 18	2.5875×10^{-6}			
Well 19	5.175×10^{-6}			
Well 21	1.2938×10^{-5}			
Well 22	1.5525×10^{-6}			
Well 23	7.7625×10^{-6}			
Well 25	2.07×10^{-5}			
Well 28	1.2938×10^{-6}			
Well 30	7.7625×10^{-6}			
Well 34	1.035×10^{-5}			
Well 35	5.175×10^{-6}			
Well 36	5.175×10^{-6}			
Well 38	2.5875×10^{-6}			

	samples	Horizon (cm)	Physical parameters								Chemical parameters	
			S(%)	Si(%)	C(%)	Da (g/cm ³)	Dr	H (%)	Porosity	compactness (%)	pHwater	OM(%)
P1	P1-1	0-25	57.0	16.0	27.0	0.95	2.55	22.94	62.76	37.24	4.8	4.94
	P1-2	25-60	27.0	32.0	41.0	0.96	2.63	25.77	63.44	36.56	5.3	1.21
P10	P10-1	0-25	40.0	23.0	37.0	1.08	2.56	24.06	47.70	52.30	4.9	4.60
	P10-2	25-50	25.0	22.0	53.0	1.02	2.57	32.27	51.98	48.02	5.3	3.76
P11	P11-1	0-20	27.0	20.0	53.0	0.99	2.47	34.4	45.41	54.59	4.9	8.92
	P11-2	20-53	31.0	15.0	54.0	0.95	2.50	38.88	54.49	45.51	4.9	7.66
P15	P15	0-35	33.5	27.5	39.0	1.20	2.56	15.30	54.66	45.34	5.1	4.60
P18	P18-1	0-20	52.5	19.0	28.5	1.14	2.57	15.90	56.99	43.01	5.0	4.20
	P18-2	20-38	51.5	16.5	32.0	1.21	2.61	12.87	54.48	45.52	4.9	1.84
P19	P19-1	0-30	41.0	27.0	32.0	0.98	2.52	20.48	53.17	46.83	4.7	6.53
	P19-2	30-50	27.0	20.0	53.0	1.04	2.57	26.9	55.33	44.67	4.8	3.76
P21	P21-1	0-25	37.5	40.0	22.5	1.09	2.58	16.35	58.94	41.06	5.4	3.55
	P21-2	25-42	44.0	18.5	37.5	1.04	2.60	24.40	60.92	39.08	5.6	2.36
P22	P22-1	0-45	33.0	51.5	15.5	0.82	2.52	36.86	69.18	30.82	6.6	6.30
	P22-2	45-70	26.5	34.0	39.5	0.90	2.57	36.92	66.19	33.81	5.4	4.20
P23	P23	0-45	40.0	39.0	21.0	0.95	2.57	41.64	56.22	43.78	5.2	3.76
P25	P25-1	0-45	69.0	14.5	16.5	1.01	2.61	34.92	61.97	38.03	5.3	1.97
	P25-2	45-60	64.5	5.0	30.5	0.98	2.62	42.93	62.86	37.14	5.7	1.58
P28	P28-1	0-25	29.0	18.0	53.0	0.95	2.47	25	52.39	47.61	5.1	8.92
	P28-2	25-40	44.0	31.0	26.0	1.13	2.56	21.35	38.04	61.96	5.3	4.60
P30	P30-1	0-30	69.0	19.5	11.5	1.25	2.58	8.32	52.96	47.04	5.3	3.42
	P30-2	30-60	64.0	24.0	12.0	1.07	2.57	18.91	59.52	40.48	4.7	3.86
	P30-3	60-90	76.0	15.0	9.0	1.16	2.60	19.25	56.19	43.81	5.5	2.43
P34	P34-1	0-40	56.5	31.0	12.5	1.30	2.56	9.10	50.91	49.09	4.4	4.41
	P34-2	40-50	43.0	28.0	29.0	1.17	2.59	16.93	55.85	44.15	4.6	2.87
P35	P35-1	0-25	35.0	39.0	26.0	1.18	2.58	12.79	55.57	44.43	4.9	3.31
	P35-2	25-40	52.0	25.0	23.0	1.20	2.63	20.86	54.88	45.12	5.1	0.88
	P35-3	40-55	62.5	19.5	18.0	1.05	2.59	25.00	60.55	39.45	4.8	3.20
P36	P36-1	0-35	47.5	36.0	16.5	1.16	2.58	20.11	56.42	43.58	5.2	3.64
	P36-2	35-65	6.5	37.0	56.5	0.92	2.55	36.50	65.41	34.59	4.3	5.08
P38	P38-1	0-20	52.5	41.0	6.5	0.97	2.59	33.94	63.57	36.43	5.4	2.76
	P38-2	20-40	47.5	47.5	5.0	0.86	2.55	55.13	67.70	32.30	4.4	4.97
	P38-3	40-60	23.5	72.5	4.0	0.92	2.57	46.71	65.36	34.64	4.9	4.19
Profile 1	HA	0-30	32.5	34.0	33.5	1.04	2.54	19.98	60.69	39.31	5.6	5.65
	HB1	30-65	28.5	21.0	50.5	0.98	2.59	29.03	63.14	36.86	5.5	2.89
	HB2	65-300	24.0	24.0	52.0	0.84	2.61	45.77	68.36	31.64	5.4	1.97
	HA	0-55	35.0	34.5	30.5	1.03	2.53	3.84	61.01	38.99	6.0	5.78
	HB1	55-195	34.0	19.5	46.5	1.11	2.58	6.69	58.25	41.75	6.4	3.42
	HB2	195-395	52.5	19.0	28.5	1.09	2.60	10.68	59.02	40.98	6.5	2.63

Profile 2	HBC	395-500	72.0	15.5	12.5	1.22	2.61	6.84	54.05	45.95	6.3	1.84
Profile 3	HA	0-23	53.0	37.5	9.5	0.42	2.41	77.74	84.00	16.00	4.4	11.82
	HB	23-70	14.0	32.0	54.0	1.00	2.60	40.55	62.15	37.85	5.2	2.63
Profile 4	HA	0-50	71.0	18.0	11.0	0.88	2.54	27.61	66.78	33.22	4.8	5.52
	HB	50-190	41.0	27.5	31.5	0.98	2.57	25.73	63,18	36,82	5.4	3.94

405

406 S = sand, Si= silt, C = clay, OM = organic matter, Da = apparent density, Dr = real density, H

407 = water content

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418