Dynamic Response and Chaotic Behavior of a Controllable Flexible Robot

Caixia Ban

Guangxi University

Ganwei Cai (✉ caiganwei@163.com)

Guangxi University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1936-5048>

Wei Wei

Guangxi University

Sixu Peng

Guangxi University

Research Article

Keywords: Controllable mechanism, Robots, Chaos

Posted Date: December 21st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1116482/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Dynamic response and chaotic behavior of a controllable flexible robot

Caixia Ban · Ganwei Cai · Wei Wei · Sixu Peng

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Flexible robots with controllable mechanisms have advantages over common tandem robots in vibration magnitude, residual vibration time, working speed, and efficiency. However, abnormal vibration can sometimes occur during their use, affecting their normal operation. In order to better understand the causes of this abnormal vibration, our work takes a controllable flexible robot as a research object, and uses a combination of Lagrangian and finite element methods to establish its nonlinear elastic dynamics. The effectiveness of the model is verified by comparing the frequency of the numerical calculation and the test. The time-domain diagram, phase diagram, Poincaré map, and maximum Lyapunov exponent of the elastic motion of the robot wrist are studied, and the chaotic phenomena in the system are identified through the phase diagram, Poincaré map, and the maximum Lyapunov exponent. The relationship between the parameters of the robot motion and the maximum Lyapunov exponent is discussed, including trajectory angular speed and radius. The results show that chaotic behavior exists in the controllable flexible robot, and that trajectory angular speed and radius all have an influence on the chaotic motion, which provides a theoretical basis for further research on the control and optimal design of the mechanism.

Keywords Controllable mechanism · Robots · Chaos

G.Cai (✉) · W.Wei · S.Peng
College of Mechanical Engineering,
Guangxi University,
Nanning 530004, China
E-mail: caiganwei@163.com

C. Ban
College of Civil Engineering and Architecture,
Guangxi University,
Nanning 530004, China
E-mail: ban_caixia@163.com

1 Introduction

Controllable mechanisms include adjustable mechanisms, hybrid drive mechanisms, variable input parameter mechanisms, and flexible robots. Controllable flexible robots have the following advantages over traditional robots: higher intelligence, greater flexibility of output motion, and higher accuracy of motion. They are a class of highly coupled nonlinear systems with complex nonlinear dynamic behaviors. Chaos is one of the most important dynamic characteristics of a nonlinear dynamic system. It is a bounded irregular motion form distinct from equilibrium, periodic motion, and quasi-periodic motion. It can well describe the behavior of nonlinear systems. Geometric nonlinearity caused by the elastic deformation of mechanism rods can cause the mechanism to behave chaotically. At present, the methods of analyzing chaotic motion of a system include the time history method, phase diagram method, Poincaré mapping method, and maximum Lyapunov exponent method [1–4]. It is therefore necessary to study the dynamic response and the chaotic behavior of controllable flexible robots, and establish the dynamic performance design theory of this type of robot, which will help to obtain further characteristics of the nonlinear dynamic behavior of this system and reveal its abnormalities [5–8].

In the past few decades, many researchers have worked on the dynamic response of robots. Tang et al. [9] based on Hamilton's principle, established a set of nonlinear equations of motion of a double-cable single-link flexible manipulator with two boundary constraints, and studied the natural frequency and terminal response of the system. The effectiveness of the cable in suppressing the vibration of the system was verified by both simulation and experiment. Li et al. [10]

studied the kinematic accuracy and dynamic performance of spatial deployable mechanisms taking into account the uncertainties of geometric and physical parameters, including the uncertainty of joint clearance. The process of solving the dynamic equation based on the Monte Carlo method was given. My et al. [11] proposed a general two-link flexible robot dynamic modeling method, carried out inverse dynamic response analysis, and verified the effectiveness and robustness of the method through numerical calculation. Sun et al. [12] established a simplified dynamic model of a flexible manipulator with interval gaps and random material characteristics. Numerical analysis results showed that joint clearance, component flexibility, and uncertainty all have a great influence on the kinematic accuracy and dynamic behavior of the flexible manipulator. Meng et al. [13] established a dynamic model of a planar single-link flexible manipulator by using the hypothetical mode method, and proposed a fast and stable control strategy based on the system energy to achieve suppression of the flexible rod's vibration. He et al. [14–17] used the hypothetical mode method, concentrated spring-mass model method, and other methods, including neural network control methods, for flexible link manipulators, to achieve trajectory tracking and vibration suppression. Zhou et al. [18] used space-vector theory and graph theory to study the dynamic coupling effects of multi-arm space robots, focusing on the effects of dynamic parameters, link lengths, and joint variables on coupling. Cuvillon et al. [19] used the theory of modal analysis to derive a dynamic model of the modal space, which is useful for adjusting the damping modal controller; this analysis was verified by experiments on an eight-cable suspension robot prototype.

Researchers have also done considerable work on the chaotic analysis of mechanisms considering the flexibility link, joint clearance, and time-varying stiffness. Chen et al. [20–22] established an ideal non-linear relative dynamics model of the 4-UPS-UPU flexible parallel mechanism and the two-degrees-of-freedom 9-bar mechanism, and studied the dynamic response, phase diagram, and Poincaré map of the mechanisms. The mapping and the largest Lyapunov exponent verified the existence of chaos in the complex mechanical system. Cao et al. [23] introduced the fractional-order model of a magnetically-coupled broadband energy harvester under low-frequency excitation, using phase trajectories, power spectra, Poincaré maps, and bifurcation diagrams to analyze fractional-order damping, excitation amplitude, and frequency pairs. Lampart et al. [24] discussed the dynamic characteristics of a non-autonomous double pendulum model under soft-stop

dual-harmonic excitation, and performed simulations for a specific range of excitation frequencies, confirming that many bifurcations change the characteristics of induced motion into regular, quasi-periodic, and chaotic motions. Jiang et al. [25] studied the cracked gear system in a coal cutter considering the environmental multiple-frequency excitation force, and analyzed the amplitude-frequency relationship of the model's main resonance using the multi-scale method, and also calculated the dynamic response of the model by the incremental harmonic balance method. Qi et al. [26] proposed a spherical chaotic system based on appropriately combining a celestial conservative system with a dissipative chaotic system, and studied the rich dynamics of four types of spherical system: having spherical four-wing chaotic attractors, spherical ring-like chaotic attractors, spherical periodic orbits, and spherical sink orbits. Based on previous theoretical studies, Moysis et al. [27] proposed an improved HyperJerk system, with a hyperbolic sine function as the only nonlinear term, and studied the path to chaos, antimonotonicity, crisis and coexistence attractors, etc. Miranda-Colorado et al. [28] proposed a hybrid speed controller for flexible joint robots, which can induce chaotic motion on the manipulators of flexible joint robots with multiple degrees of freedom. Based on Lyapunov theory, the asymptotic stability of the tracking error signal is verified when the controller is used.

This paper analyzes the dynamic response and chaotic behavior of a controllable flexible robot. Using the finite element method and Lagrangian equations, an elastodynamic model of the robot is proposed. We establish the law of motion of the robot's end trajectory, compare the natural frequency of the system by using numerical method and the hammer test method to verify the reliability of the nonlinear dynamic model. The nonlinear dynamic response of the system is analyzed, including the time-domain diagram, the phase diagram, the Poincaré map, and the maximum Lyapunov exponent. The chaotic state of the system is identified. Finally, the relationship between the motion parameters of the system and the maximum Lyapunov exponent is analyzed, including the radius and angular velocity of the circular trajectory. This lays a foundation for further analysis of the nonlinear characteristics of the system.

2 Kinematic analysis of the controllable flexible robot

The vibration of an industrial robot will reduce its work efficiency, shorten its working life, and reduce its accuracy, so it is of great importance to suppress the robot's

Fig. 1 Three-dimensional model of a controllable flexible robot.

Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of a controllable flexible robot.

vibration. The controllable robot applies the "multi-degrees-of-freedom controllable mechanism" theory to the field of robot mechanics. This approach installs the drive motor and reducer on the frame as much as possible and increases the local closed-chain mechanism, thereby reducing the joint's large moment of inertia. The prototype structure consists mainly of a waist, link 1, link 2, rotating arm, rear arm, link 3, link 4, forearm, and wrist. The design of the prototype can improve the load capacity and working accuracy while satisfying the requirements of light weight, fast movement, high reliability, and high stability. Fig. 1 shows a three-dimensional model of a controllable flexible robot, and Fig. 2 shows a schematic diagram of such a robot.

In kinematics, in order to analyze the movement of the wrist, one can ignore the two parallelogram-shaped rods, and simplify the movement into a classic tandem mechanism, considering only the waist, rear arms, rotating arms, forearms, and wrists. The corresponding joints are all revolving joints, and the angular displacement is used as the relative displacement to meet the requirement that the operation adapts to a complex trajectory. The kinematic relationship between adjacent

rods of the manipulator can be determined by the following transformation matrix.

$$A_i^{i-1} = Rot(z, \theta_i) Tran(0, 0, d_i) Tran(a_i, 0, 0) Rot(x, \alpha_i)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_i) & -\cos(\alpha_i)\sin(\theta_i) & \sin(\alpha_i)\sin(\theta_i) & a_i\cos(\theta_i) \\ \sin(\theta_i) & \cos(\alpha_i)\cos(\theta_i) & -\sin(\alpha_i)\cos(\theta_i) & a_i\sin(\theta_i) \\ 0 & \sin(\alpha_i) & \cos(\alpha_i) & d_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where $\theta_i \in R^n (i = 1, 2, \dots, 6)$ is the generalized coordinate of the joint of the manipulator; d_i is the offset of the connecting rod; a_i is the length of the connecting rod; and α_i is the torsion angle of the connecting rod.

The total transformation from the robot wrist coordinate system to the base coordinate system is

$${}^0T_6 = A_1^0 A_2^1 A_3^2 A_4^3 A_5^4 A_6^5 \quad (2)$$

Given the angle of the joint θ_i , the position and posture of the wrist can be determined by using Eq.(2). The first 3×3 elements of the matrix 0T_6 are the posture of the wrist, and the fourth column represents the position of the wrist. It can be written in the following unified form:

$$p = f(\theta) \quad (3)$$

where p is the position of the wrist.

Fig. 3 Beam element and generalized coordinates of element

By differentiating Eq.(3), the relationship between the moving velocity $\dot{p}$ of the wrist and the angular velocity $d\theta/dt$ of the joint can be calculated.

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = J \frac{d\theta}{dt} \quad (4)$$

where

$$J = \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta^T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \theta_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \theta_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial \theta_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial \theta_n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

For a definite motion $\varphi(p)$, in order to meet the requirements of high-precision control of robot motion, a closed-loop synchronous control scheme is introduced, which includes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta} &= J^{-1} \dot{p} \\ \ddot{\theta} &= J^{-1} (\ddot{p} - \dot{J} \dot{\theta}_s + k_v e_2 + k_p e_1) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where, k_v and k_p are negative definite matrices; e_1 and e_2 are the rigid displacement error and velocity error of the wrist, respectively; and $\dot{\theta}_s$ is the initial joint angular velocity.

3 Elastodynamic model of the controllable flexible robot

Given that modern machinery is developing rapidly in the direction of high speed, precision, and light weight, the influence of component deformation on the stability and operating accuracy of the robot cannot be ignored. In order to make the controllable flexible robot achieve better dynamic performance, it is necessary to carry out an elastic dynamic analysis. Based on the Lagrangian equation and finite element method, an elastodynamic model of the controllable flexible robot can be established.

3.1 Model of beam element

Fig.3 shows a typical beam-element structure diagram. The element coordinate system $A\bar{x}\bar{y}$, which is fixed to the beam element, is established in the diagram. The transverse displacement and longitudinal displacement of the beam element are functions not only of the element coordinate $\bar{x}$, but also of time t . It is assumed that the transverse displacement and longitudinal displacement of any point are expressed by $w(\bar{x}, t)$ and $u(\bar{x}, t)$ respectively. The transverse displacement function uses a fifth-order polynomial, and the longitudinal displacement uses a first-order polynomial:

$$\begin{cases} u(\bar{x}, t) = N_{iA}^T \delta_i \\ w(\bar{x}, t) = N_{iB}^T \delta_i \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In these formulas, δ_i is the unit generalized coordinate, and the generalized coordinate array is $\delta_i = [\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_8]^T$. N_{iA} , N_{iB} are the displacement functions, which dependent on the unit coordinate $\bar{x}$.

$$\begin{aligned} N_{iA} &= [1 - e \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ e \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \\ N_{iB} &= [0 \ u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3 \ 0 \ u_4 \ u_5 \ u_6]^T \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= 1 - 10e^3 + 15e^4 - 6e^5 \\ u_2 &= l_i(e - 6e^3 + 8e^4 - 3e^5) \\ u_3 &= \frac{1}{2}l_i^2(e^2 - 3e^3 + 3e^4 - e^5) \\ u_4 &= 10e^3 - 15e^4 + 6e^5 \\ u_5 &= l_i(-4e^3 + 7e^4 - 3e^5) \\ u_6 &= \frac{1}{2}l_i^2(e^3 - 2e^4 + e^5) \end{aligned}$$

Here $e = \bar{x}/l_i$ is called the relative coordinate of the beam element, where l_i is the length of the element.

3.2 Dynamic model of beam element

3.2.1 Kinetic energy of beam element

Assuming that the cross section of the beam element is a uniform rectangle and that the mass of the section is concentrated on the axis, and ignoring the rotational kinetic energy of the section, the kinetic energy T of the beam element can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_i} \rho A \left(\frac{du_a(\bar{x}, t)}{dt} \right)^2 d\bar{x} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_i} \rho A \left(\frac{dw_a(\bar{x}, t)}{dt} \right)^2 d\bar{x} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where A is the cross-sectional area of the beam element and ρ is the beam element's density.

According to kinematic assumptions, the actual motion of the mechanism can be approximated as the superposition of rigid-body motion and elastic motion, and can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} u_a(\bar{x}, t) = u_r(\bar{x}, t) + u(\bar{x}, t) \\ w_a(\bar{x}, t) = w_r(\bar{x}, t) + w(\bar{x}, t) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $u_r(\bar{x}, t)$ and $w_r(\bar{x}, t)$ are the rigid-body displacements of points along the x and y axes, respectively.

Bringing the real movement of the mechanism into Eq. (9), the kinetic energy of the beam element can be expressed as

$$T = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\delta}_r + \dot{\delta})^T m_e (\dot{\delta}_r + \dot{\delta}) \quad (11)$$

where m_e is the element quality matrix,

$$m_e = \rho A \int_0^L N N^T d\bar{x} \quad (12)$$

3.2.2 Deformation energy of beam element

When deriving the deformation energy, only the bending deformation of the beam under the action of the bending moment and the tensile and compressive deformation caused by the action of the axial force are taken into account. Other kinds of deformation, such as shear, are ignored. According to the mechanics of materials, the deformation energy can be written

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_i} EA \left(\frac{\partial u(\bar{x}, t)}{\partial \bar{x}} \right)^2 d\bar{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_i} EI \left(\frac{\partial^2 w(\bar{x}, t)}{\partial \bar{x}^2} \right)^2 d\bar{x} \quad (13)$$

where E is the elastic modulus of the beam element and I is the moment of inertia of the cross section of the beam element.

By substituting and simplifying Eq.(13), we get

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \delta^T k_e \delta \quad (14)$$

where k_e is the element stiffness matrix.

$$k_e = EA \int_0^L \dot{N}_A \dot{N}_A^T d\bar{x} + EI \int_0^L \ddot{N}_B \ddot{N}_B^T d\bar{x} \quad (15)$$

3.2.3 Differential equation of beam element

Incorporating Eqs. (11) and (14) above into the second type of Lagrangian equation, one gets

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{\delta}} \right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial \delta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \delta} = \bar{f} \quad (16)$$

The dynamic equation of the beam element in a rotating coordinate system is

$$\bar{m} \ddot{\delta}_i + \bar{k} \delta_i = f_i + p_i - \bar{m} \ddot{\delta}_{ir} \quad (17)$$

where $\bar{f}$ is the element generalized force array, including the external force array f_i and the force array between the units p_i , which will cancel each other in the process of mechanism assembly. $\ddot{\delta}_r$ is the element rigid-body acceleration array.

In order to facilitate the assembly of the equation of motion of the elements into the equation of motion of the system, a new generalized coordinate array of elements is introduced.

$$\delta_{ie} = [\delta_{1e} \quad \delta_{2e} \quad \cdots \quad \delta_{8e}]^T \quad (18)$$

The relationship between δ_i and δ_{ie} is

$$\delta_i = R_i \delta_{ie} \quad (19)$$

where R_i is the coordinate transformation matrix.

The differential equation of motion of the beam element in an absolute coordinate system is

$$m \ddot{\delta}_{ie} + k \delta_{ie} = f - m \ddot{\delta}_{ire} \quad (20)$$

where $m = R^T \bar{m} R$, $k = R^T \bar{k} R$, and $f = R^T \bar{f} R$ are all 8×8 symmetric matrices.

3.3 Differential equation of the controllable flexible robot

The driving rods L_1 , L_6 , and L_8 are cantilever beams; the links L_2 and L_4 are each divided into two units; the other members are all single units. A total of 11 units are set up in the controllable flexible robot system. The generalized coordinate array of the system is defined as

$$U = [U_1 \quad U_2 \quad \cdots \quad U_{N_u}]^T \quad (21)$$

where $N_u = 42$ is the total number of generalized coordinates.

The relationship between δ_{ie} and U is written

$$\delta_{ie} = B_i U \quad (22)$$

where B_i is the coordinate harmony matrix.

Table 1 Structural parameters of controllable mechanism robot

#	θ	d	a	α	Joint	Range
1	θ_1	0.1	-0.15	$\pi/2$	Rotation	(-160,160)
2	θ_2	0	0.6	0	Rotation	(20,120)
3	θ_3	0	0.1725	$\pi/2$	Rotation	(-70,70)
4	θ_4	0.366	0	$-\pi/2$	Rotation	(-170,170)
5	θ_5	0	0	$\pi/2$	Rotation	(-90,90)
6	θ_6	0.257	0	0	Rotation	(-180,180)

Table 2 Material characteristic parameters and moment of inertia of controllable mechanism robot

Component	Link 1	Link 2	Rotating arm		Rear arm	Link 3	Link 4	Forearm	Wrist
Length(m)	L_1	L_2	L_{31}	L_{32}	L_4	L_5	L_6	L_7	L_8
	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.1725	0.6	0.26	0.3	0.366	0.257
	L_{s1}	L_{s2}	-	-	L_{s4}	L_{s5}	L_{s6}	-	-
	0.1	0.3	-	-	0.3	0.13	0.15	-	-
Mass(kg)	1.677	4.222	4.274	2	12.68	1.994	2.440	3.699	3.145
Moment of inertia ($kg.m^2$)	8.40×10^{-3}	1.474×10^{-1}	3.026×10^{-2}	3.026×10^{-2}	4.443×10^{-1}	1.681×10^{-2}	2.314×10^{-2}	7.633×10^{-3}	2.816×10^{-2}

In the process of studying the actual work of the controllable mechanism robot, the influence of damping cannot be ignored. In this work, the elastodynamic equation is included in the form of a Rayleigh damping matrix [29–32]. All of the unit dynamics equations are assembled to obtain the differential equations of motion of the system:

$$M\ddot{U} + C\dot{U} + KU = F - M\ddot{U}_r \quad (23)$$

where $\ddot{U}_r$ is the system rigid-body acceleration array. $M = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} M_{ie}$, $C = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} C_{ie}$, $K = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} K_{ie}$ and $F = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} F_{ie}$ are the system mass matrix, damping matrix, stiffness matrix, and generalized force array, respectively, and N_e is the total number of elements.

4 Dynamic response analysis of the controllable mechanism robot

The structure parameters, material characteristic parameters, and moment of inertia of the controllable mechanism robot are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. The initial position of the robot wrist is defined as:

$$p = (x_0, y_0, z_0)^T = (0.5532, 0, 0.329 + R)^T$$

where R is the radius of the circular trajectory.

The controllable mechanism robot moves according to the circular trajectory described by the following formulas:

$$\begin{cases} X = x_0 - R\sin(\omega t) \\ Y = y_0 \\ Z = z_0 - R\cos(\omega t) \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Here ω is the angular velocity of the circular trajectory.

The fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm and the direct integration implicit Newmark method are used to find the dynamic response of the mechanism, to analyze the characteristics of the natural frequency of the system, and to obtain the time-history diagrams of the robot wrist elastic displacement, velocity, and accelerations in the x direction, the y direction, and around the z direction.

4.1 Analysis of frequency characteristics of the controllable flexible robot

The natural frequency of the mechanism is an inherent attribute, related to the inherent characteristics of the mechanism (such as mass, shape, and material), and to changes in the position and attitude of the mechanism. By studying the natural frequency of the mechanism, we can grasp the vibration of the system as a

Fig. 4 Experimental measurement system of the natural frequency of the controllable flexible robot.

Fig. 5 The dependence of first-order natural frequency on (a) the radius of the circular trajectory and (b) the angular velocity of the circular trajectory.

whole, avoid a resonance phenomenon of the system, and benefit by the stability of the mechanism system. The average value of the natural frequency can express the overall change trend of the mechanism frequency in the entire motion cycle, and is an important indicator for evaluating the dynamic characteristics of the mechanism. Among them, the first-order natural frequency (fundamental frequency) plays an important role in the dynamic response of the system, which is the focus in both engineering applications and theoretical research.

By using the classical multi-point excitation single-point pick-up modal test method in the force measurement modal test, 14 tested points are selected and marked on the rod mechanism of the controllable flexible robot, and a three-way accelerometer is installed at the end of the robot manipulator, serving as the pick-up point in the modal test. We tap each measur-

ing point in turn, collect the force signal and acceleration signal after each measuring point, and calculate the fundamental frequency of the system. The experimental measurement system for the natural frequency of the controllable flexible robot is shown in Fig. 4, where the robot is stopped in the upright position for testing through the operating handle of the control system. The joint angular position is $\theta = [0 \ \pi/2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$. The modal frequency of the system obtained by experiment is 6.836 Hz. The natural frequency, calculated by the numerical simulation method, is 6.84 Hz. The difference between the experimental value and the numerical calculation value is 0.11%, which shows that the elastodynamic model of the controllable flexible robot has high reliability.

Based on the circular motion trajectory given by the mechanism, MATLAB is used to program the numerical

Fig. 6 Time-frequency characteristics in the x direction. (a) Elastic displacement. (b) Amplitude spectrum. (c) Elastic velocity. (d) Elastic acceleration.

Fig. 7 Time-frequency characteristics in the y direction. (a) Elastic displacement. (b) Amplitude spectrum. (c) Elastic velocity. (d) Elastic acceleration.

Fig. 8 Time-frequency characteristics around the z direction (a) Elastic displacement. (b) Amplitude spectrum. (c) Elastic velocity. (d) Elastic acceleration.

simulation. When $\omega = 0.5\pi$, the relationship between the radii of different circular trajectories and the first-order natural frequency of the system is analyzed, and when $R = 0.05m$, the relationship between the velocity of different circular trajectories and the first-order natural frequency of the system is analyzed.

It can be seen from Fig. 5 that the first-order natural frequency of the system decreases as the radius of the circular track increases. With an increase of the angular velocity of the trajectory, the value of the first-order natural frequency also shows a downward trend, but the local curve fluctuates slightly.

4.2 Vibration response analysis of the controllable flexible robot

According to the law of motion of the circular trajectory of the mechanism system, the circular trajectory radius R of the controllable flexible robot is set to $0.05m$, the trajectory angular velocity ω is 0.05π rad/s, and the system material is ordinary carbon steel. The Newmark method is used to solve the nonlinear equations of the system, and the elastic displacement, velocity, acceleration, amplitude spectrum of the robot wrist are recorded.

Figs. 6–8 show the elastic displacement, velocity, acceleration, and amplitude spectrum curves of the controllable flexible robot.

As the mechanism follows a circular trajectory, the elastic member of the system will be elastically deformed, causing errors in the vibration of the end manipulator arm, which in turn results in a decrease in the accuracy of the motion of the controllable mechanism type flexible robot. It can be seen from Figs. 6–8 that the elastic movement displacement, speed and acceleration of the mechanism in the x , y directions and around the z direction all have slight oscillations, with no obvious regularity. The frequency domain curves in all directions show the fundamental frequency of the system, which further illustrates the reliability of the elastodynamic modeling of the system.

5 Chaotic motion analysis of the controllable flexible robot

Although the chaotic motion sequence has long-term unpredictability, it does have unique mathematical characteristics that are very important for understanding regular aspects of chaos. In a phase plane diagram with velocity on the ordinate and displacement on the abscissa, one can make a trajectory that changes with

Fig. 9 Phase diagram of mechanism. (a) Relative displacement and velocity in the x direction. (b) Relative displacement and velocity in the y direction. (c) Relative rotation angle and velocity around the z axis. (d) Relative displacement and velocity in the co-direction.

Fig. 10 Poincaré cross-sectional view of mechanism. (a) Relative displacement and velocity in the x direction. (b) Relative displacement and velocity in the y direction. (c) Relative rotation angle and velocity around the z axis. (d) Relative displacement and velocity in the co-direction.

Fig. 11 Lyapunov exponent graph of all directions. (a) Lyapunov exponent in the x direction. (b) Lyapunov exponent in the y direction. (c) Lyapunov exponent around the z axis. (d) Lyapunov exponent in the co-direction.

time. If a closed trajectory appears in the phase plane, the system has a periodic solution; otherwise, the system may produce chaotic motion. The phase diagram of the controllable flexible robot is shown in Fig. 9.

This figure depicts the phase trajectory diagram in the direction of x , y , z , and co-direction. It can be seen from the diagram that the phase trajectory is chaotic and disordered, with the trajectory remaining in the same region.

Through continuous mapping of the system, different forms of phase points or phase trajectories can be observed in the Poincaré map. According to its topological properties, it can be judged whether a system has period 1 motion, period k motion, quasi-periodic motion, or chaotic motion. The isolated points, finite (k) isolated points, closed curves, and uncountable point sets distributed in a certain area appearing on the Poincaré section can respectively represent the period 1 motion, period k motion, quasi-periodic motion, and chaotic motion. The Poincaré section of the controllable flexible robot is shown in Fig. 10.

It can be seen from Fig. 10 that the Poincaré maps of the robot in the x , y , and around z directions all consist of scattered and disorderly dense points, throughout the graphic area. Figs. 9 and 10 both show that the controllable flexible robot system is in a state of chaos.

The Lyapunov exponent is a quantity that describes the degree of divergence of adjacent orbits in the phase space. When the Lyapunov exponent is negative, the mapping finally converges to a certain point; when it is zero, the mapping moves periodically; when it is positive, the mapping is in a chaotic state. The Lyapunov exponent of the controllable flexible robot in the directions of x , y , z and in combination is shown in Fig. 11.

As shown in this figure, the largest Lyapunov exponents are 0.4036 in the x direction, 0.1910 in the y direction, 0.1755 around the z direction, and 0.3058 in the combined direction. The fact that the maximum Lyapunov exponent of the system is greater than 0 in all directions confirms that the controllable flexible robot is in a chaotic state. In summary, there is indeed chaos in the elastodynamic response of the controllable flexible robot.

6 The influence of main motion parameters on the chaotic characteristics of the system

6.1 The influence of the radius of the circular trajectory on the chaotic motion of the system

In order to study the influence of the circular trajectory radius R on the chaotic motion of the system, the

Fig. 12 The relationship between the maximum Lyapunov exponent and the radius of the circular trajectory (a) in the x direction, (b) in the y direction, (c) around the z axis, and (d) in the co-direction.

Fig. 13 The relationship between the maximum Lyapunov exponent and the velocity of the circular trajectory, (a) in the x direction, (b) in the y direction, (c) around the z axis, and (d) in the co-direction.

relationship between this radius and the maximum Lyapunov exponent of movement in each direction is established, as shown in Fig. 12.

As can be seen from this figure, with an increase of the radius R of the circular trajectory, the maximum Lyapunov exponents in the y direction and around the z direction show a downward trend, the maximum Lyapunov exponent in the x direction oscillates around 0.4, while the maximum Lyapunov exponent in the codirection increases at first and then decreases.

6.2 The influence of the angular velocity of the circular trajectory on the chaotic motion of the system

In order to study the influence of the angular velocity of the circular trajectory on the chaotic motion of the system, the relationship between the angular velocity of the circular trajectory and the maximum Lyapunov exponent of the movement in each direction was established, as shown in Fig. 13.

It can be seen in this figure that with an increase of the angular velocity ω of the circular trajectory, the maximum Lyapunov exponents in the x and y directions show an overall upward trend. When the angular velocity ω of the circular trajectory is 1 rad/s, the maximum Lyapunov exponent in the combined direction is the largest, 0.6323.

7 Conclusion

In our research, the dynamic behavior and chaotic characteristics of a controllable flexible robot system have been studied. The kinematics of the robot mechanism was analyzed, and a closed-loop synchronous control scheme was introduced to calculate the motion response of each member of the mechanism. An elastodynamic model of the system was established, based on the Lagrange equation and the finite element method. The natural frequency of the robot was tested by a hammering test, and the validity of the nonlinear dynamic model was verified by comparing it with the numerical simulation results. The elastic displacement, velocity, and acceleration of the wrist in accordance with the circular trajectory were analyzed; the results show that the motion of the system has no obvious regularity. By analyzing the system phase diagram, Poincaré map, and Lyapunov exponent, it was further verified that there is chaos in the motion of the controllable flexible robot. On this basis, the relationship between the changes in the main motion parameters and the maximum Lyapunov exponent were analyzed comprehensively and systematically. It was revealed that the

radius of the trajectory and its angular velocity both have some influence on the nonlinear motion of the controllable flexible robot system.

Acknowledgements We would like to express our sincere thanks to the editor and anonymous reviewers for their useful comments and suggestions which helped substantially to improve the manuscript. The research work presented in this paper was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 5176050072), Science and Technology Major Project of Guangxi (No. GuikeAA19254021).

Data Availability The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Chegini, M., Sadati, H., Salarieh, H.: Chaos analysis in attitude dynamics of a flexible satellite. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **93**(3), 1421–1438 (2018)
2. Chegini, M., Sadati, H.: Chaos analysis in attitude dynamics of a satellite with two flexible panels. *Int. J. Non-Linear Mech.* **103**, 55–67 (2018)
3. Toz, M.: Chaos-based Vortex Search algorithm for solving inverse kinematics problem of serial robot manipulators with offset wrist. *Appl. Soft. Comput.* **89** (2020)
4. Singh, J.P., Koley, J., Lochan, K., Roy, B.K.: Presence of Megastability and Infinitely Many Equilibria in a Periodically and Quasi-Periodically Excited Single-Link Manipulator. *Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos* **31**(2) (2021)
5. Lochan, K., Roy, B.K., Subudhi, B.: A review on two-link flexible manipulators. *Annu. Rev. Control* **42**, 346–367 (2016)
6. Kvrđić, V., Vidaković, J.: Efficient method for robot forward dynamics computation. *Mech. Mach. Theory* **145** (2020)
7. Zhang, S., Wang, S., Jing, F., Tan, M.: Parameter estimation survey for multi-joint robot dynamic calibration case study. *Sci. China-Inf. Sci.* **62**(10) (2019)
8. Wei, J., Cao, D., Liu, L., Huang, W.: Global mode method for dynamic modeling of a flexible-link flexible-joint manipulator with tip mass. *Appl. Math. Model.* **48**, 787–805 (2017)
9. Tang, L., Gouttefarde, M., Sun, H., Yin, L., Zhou, C.: Dynamic modelling and vibration suppression of a single-link flexible manipulator with two cables. *Mech. Mach. Theory* **162** (2021)
10. Li, J., Huang, H., Yan, S., Yang, Y.: Kinematic accuracy and dynamic performance of a simple planar space deployable mechanism with joint clearance considering parameter uncertainty. *Acta Astronaut.* (2017)
11. My, C.A., Bien, D.X.: New development of the dynamic modeling and the inverse dynamic analysis for flexible robot. *Int. J. Adv. Robot. Syst.* **17**(4) (2020)
12. Sun, D., Zhang, B., Liang, X., Shi, Y., Suo, B.: Dynamic analysis of a simplified flexible manipulator with interval

- joint clearances and random material properties. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **98**(2), 1049–1063 (2019)
13. Meng, Q.X., Lai, X.Z., Wang, Y.W., Wu, M.: A fast stable control strategy based on system energy for a planar single-link flexible manipulator. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **94**(1), 615–626 (2018)
 14. He, W., David, A.O., Yin, Z., Sun, C.: Neural Network Control of a Robotic Manipulator With Input Deadzone and Output Constraint. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. -Syst.* **46**(6), 759–770 (2016)
 15. Sun, C., He, W., Hong, J.: Neural Network Control of a Flexible Robotic Manipulator Using the Lumped Spring-Mass Model. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. -Syst.* **47**(8), 1863–1874 (2017)
 16. Gao, H., He, W., Zhou, C., Sun, C.: Neural Network Control of a Two-Link Flexible Robotic Manipulator Using Assumed Mode Method. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.* **15**(2), 755–765 (2019)
 17. He, W., Ouyang, Y., Hong, J.: Vibration Control of a Flexible Robotic Manipulator in the Presence of Input Deadzone. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.* **13**(1), 48–59 (2017)
 18. Zhou, Y., Luo, J., Wang, M.: Dynamic coupling analysis of multi-arm space robot. *Acta Astronaut.* **160**, 583–593 (2019)
 19. Cuvillon, L., Weber, X., Gangloff, J.: Modal Control for Active Vibration Damping of Cable-Driven Parallel Robots. *J. Mech. Robot.* **12**(5) (2020)
 20. Chen, X., Li, Y., Deng, Y., Li, W., Wu, H.: Kinetoelastodynamics Modeling and Analysis of Spatial Parallel Mechanism. *Shock Vib.* **2015** (2015)
 21. Chen, X., Wu, L., Deng, Y., Wang, Q.: Dynamic response analysis and chaos identification of 4-UPS-UPU flexible spatial parallel mechanism. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **87**(4), 2311–2324 (2017)
 22. Chen, X., Jiang, S., Deng, Y., Wang, Q.: Dynamics analysis of 2-DOF complex planar mechanical system with joint clearance and flexible links. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **93**(3), 1009–1034 (2018)
 23. Cao, J., Zhou, S., Inman, D.J., Chen, Y.: Chaos in the fractionally damped broadband piezoelectric energy generator. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **80**(4, SI), 1705–1719 (2015)
 24. Lampart, M., Zapomel, J.: Dynamics of a non-autonomous double pendulum model forced by biharmonic excitation with soft stops. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **99**(3), 1909–1921 (2020)
 25. Jiang, Y., Zhu, H., Li, Z., Peng, Z.: The nonlinear dynamics response of cracked gear system in a coal cutter taking environmental multi-frequency excitation forces into consideration. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **84**(1, SI), 203–222 (2016)
 26. Qi, G., Chen, G.: A spherical chaotic system. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **81**(3), 1381–1392 (2015)
 27. Moysis, L., Petavratzis, E., Marwan, M., Volos, C., Nistazakis, H., Ahmad, S.: Analysis, Synchronization, and Robotic Application of a Modified Hyperjerk Chaotic System. *Complexity* **2020** (2020)
 28. Miranda-Colorado, R., Aguilar, L.T., Moreno-Valenzuela, J.: A model-based velocity controller for chaotization of flexible joint robot manipulators: Synthesis, analysis, and experimental evaluations. *Int. J. Adv. Robot. Syst.* **15**(5) (2018)
 29. Danguang, P., Genda, C., Zuocai, W.: Suboptimal Rayleigh damping coefficients in seismic analysis of viscously-damped structures. *Earthq. Eng. Eng. Vib.* **13**(4), 653–670 (2014)
 30. Cruz, C., Miranda, E.: Evaluation of the Rayleigh damping model for buildings. *Eng. Struct.* **138**, 324–336 (2017)
 31. Onitsuka, S., Ushio, Y., Ojima, N., Iijima, T.: Modeling method of element Rayleigh damping for the seismic analysis of a 3D FEM model with multiple damping properties. *J. Vib. Control* **24**(17), 4065–4077 (2018)
 32. Salehi, M., Sideris, P.: Enhanced Rayleigh Damping Model for Dynamic Analysis of Inelastic Structures. *J. Struct. Eng.* **146**(10) (2020)