Inequalities In Caries Among Pre-School Italian Children With Different Background

Guglielmo Campus (✉ guglielmo.campus@zml.unibe.ch)

University of Bern

Fabio Cocco

University of Sassari

Laura Strohmenger

University of Milan

Thomas Gerhard Wolf

University of Bern

Araxi Balian

University of Milan

Antonella Arghittu

University of Sassari

Maria Grazia Cagetti

University of Milan

Research Article

Keywords: Caries, Health care Disparities, Inequalities, Social determinants, Children, Pediatric Dentistry

Posted Date: December 2nd, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1117983/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: The study was aimed to describe caries prevalence and severity and health inequalities among Italian preschool children with European and non-European background and to explore the potential presence of a social gradient.

Methods: The ICDAS (International Caries Detection and Assessment System) was recorded at school on 6,825 children (52.76% females). Caries frequency and severity was expressed as a proportion, recording the most severe ICDAS score observed. Socioeconomic status (SES) and behavioral habits of children/parents/caregivers were estimated by mean a standardized self-submitted questionnaire filled-in by parents. The Slope Index of Inequality (SII) based on regression of the mid-point value of caries experiences score for each SES group was calculated and a social gradient was generated as the weighted sum of the worst circumstances deriving from social explanatory variables. Children were stratified into four social gradient levels based on the number of worst options. Multivariate regression models (Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial logistic regression in children with European background and a logistic regression in children with non-European background) were used to elucidate the associations between all explanatory variables and health outcome (namely the caries prevalence). Mantel Haenszel trend of odds adjusted by immigrant background and area of living were calculated to study the existence, dimensions and direction of a social gradient in oral health.

Results: Overall, 54.37% (95%CI 46.71–58.28%) of the children were caries-free; caries prevalence was statistically significant higher in children with non-European background compared to European children (72.59% vs 41.62% $p<0.01$). A statistically significant trend was observed for ICDAS 5/6 score and the worst social/behavioral level ($Z=5.24$, $p<0.01$).

Conclusions: Data show how caries in preschool children is an unsolved public health problem especially in those with a non-European background. The proposed gradient was clearly able to identify children with the worst dental conditions.

Introduction

The WHO resolution on oral health adopted on 27th May 2021 [1] underlines the need to improve oral health worldwide. In many developed and developing countries oral health care is not covered in primary health care, where high out-of-pocket expenditures for oral health services and care particularly affect disadvantaged populations.

Ending caries and ensuring good oral health is vital for general health at the very early years of children and the quality of life both of children and parents [2]. However, strengthening health-promoting environments in key settings will require multisector action and Health in All Policy approaches [1]. An unequal skewed distribution of caries figures is reported in several countries [3], where differences in disease figure might be explained with the different access to public Oral Health Services combined to different behaviors such as sugar intake, fluoride use and oral hygiene habits.

Children from low-income households have shown a higher caries prevalence than those from high-income households, as well as a greater occurrence of untreated dental caries [4]. Socio-Economic status (SES) is measured by a series of indicators. Considering the socioeconomic status of children, the income of the family, the occupational status and the educational level of parents are among the most important SES indicators.

Measures of socioeconomic inequality in health are a useful tool to assess the scale of inequalities between population groups and within populations [5, 6]. The indices are sensitive to the ordering of social groups and their health gradients, meaning that a negative score indicates an increment of the disease outcome as socioeconomic disadvantage increases [5]. These gradients in health runs from the top to the bottom of the socioeconomic range suggesting the existence of a social gradient [7].

Also, ethnicity and immigrant status, have been found to be significantly related to the presence of caries in the primary dentition [8, 9] without differences among countries from which the parents had immigrated from [10]. Furthermore, children whose families were in the lowest household income quintile, regardless of immigrant group, had the highest caries experience. Parents of children with immigrant background often report low frequency of daily toothbrushing, high consumption of sugar containing foods and dentist check-ups [11, 12].

Migration is a growing occurrence around the world as well as in Italy. In 2017, the immigration flow amounted to over 343 thousand people with a significative increase over the previous year (+14%) [13].

In Italy, the Public Health System has suffered over the last two decades a continuous reduction of the fraction of Gross National Product spent on healthcare; oral healthcare is almost completely provided by private practitioners and mainly financed by patients' direct payment. In this situation, the spending possibility of the family plays an essential role on the access to dental care.

In 2016, an epidemiological survey called "National pathfinder on children's oral health in Italy" was promoted by the WHO Collaboration Centre for Epidemiology and Community Dentistry of Milan. It was the second National Survey conducted in Italy on children's oral health. The project aimed to examine three groups of children at the age of 4, 6 and 12 years old; the examinations started in November 2016 and were completed in June 2017.

The present study is aimed to:

- i) describe caries prevalence and severity and socioeconomic inequalities among Italian preschool children with European and non-European background;
- ii) compare these inequalities using both absolute and relative measures of socioeconomic inequality in health and to explore the potential presence of a social gradient in dental health.

Materials & Methods

Study design and study population

The study was designed as a cross sectional survey conform to the STROBE guidelines; data were obtained from the second Italian Pathfinder on oral health, a population-based cross-sectional survey of Italian children

aged from 4 to 12 years [4]. The survey protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the University of Sassari (Italy) (AOUNIS: 29/16). In 2017 the Italian population was of 60,589,445 (29,445,741 males and 31,143,704 females); 1.62% were of 3-4 years old.

Sample procedure and data collection were previously described [4]. Briefly, the children were recruited, following a multistage cluster sampling procedure, at kindergartens using a systematic cluster sampling: every class was identified as a cluster, inserted in a list and the first cluster was randomly selected, while the other classes were systematically chosen at intervals of three. The sample size was calculated using an area-based method, considering the North-Western, North-Eastern, Central, Southern and Insular Italy [13]. Subjects were examined at school by calibrated examiners [14], using a plain mirror (Hahnenkratt, Königsbach, Germany) and the WHO ballpoint probe (Asa-Dental, Milan, Italy) under artificial light. Caries data was recorded using the two-digit codes related to ICDAS for each tooth surface.

Clinical Outcomes

Two units of analysis were selected: subject and tooth. The frequency of caries was the outcome variable analyzed by subject, while the most severe ICDAS score observed was the variable used at tooth level. Each tooth was recorded as caries-free (ICDAS 0), enamel lesion (ICDAS 1/2), pre-cavitated lesion (ICDAS 3/4), cavitated lesion (ICDAS 5/6), filled teeth due to caries (Ft) and missing teeth due to caries (Mt). At subject level, the sum of teeth caries affected, filled or extracted due to caries was calculated as Caries Experience (CariesEx).

Socioeconomic status/Explanatory variables

European children were defined as children whose both parents were born in one of the European countries, while non-European children were coded as those whose at least one parents born outside Europe. Children were stratified based on their Socioeconomic status and behavioral habits of children/parents/caregivers were estimated by mean a standardized self-submitted questionnaire [15, 16] before the clinical assessment.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed separately for European children and those with an immigrant background. Caries experience was first analyzed through cross-tabulations and adjusted for age and sex through regression analysis. Both the questionnaire and oral examination forms were manually checked for the completion of the required information.

An algorithm about the household income was developed derived by the modified Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) equivalence scale (<https://www.oecd.org>) [17]. The household was assembled via allocating scores to each person in a household and then summing up the equivalence points of all household members [13]. The factor was then categorized in 4 levels, with quartile 1 being the lowest and quartile 4 being the highest. The five Italian areas were ordered following the mean Gross National Product (GNP) *per capita* starting from the highest (North-West, North-East, Central, South, Islands). As proxy measurements of family's socio-economic status, the occupational profile and the educational level of both parents and the number of offspring were recorded. The parents' occupational profile was categorized into 3 levels: un-employment/unskilled jobs as the lowest, skilled jobs/qualified jobs and white-collar jobs as the

highest. The educational level was categorized in Low (no education/primary education/lower secondary education), Intermediate (upper secondary) and High (degree, master or doctorate) [18]. Oral health habits (frequency of toothbrushing and fluoride supplements beyond toothpaste), diet (duration of breast-feeding, use of sweetened pacifier at night) and life-style behaviors (parents/guardians smoking habits) were also collected. For each explanatory variable, the group with the most favorable situation was chosen as a reference point from which to measure disparities. The Slope Index of Inequality (SII) [19], based on regression of the mid-point value of CariesEx score for each household group, was calculated. As a proxy for health inequality, a social gradient was generated as the weighted sum of the worst circumstances deriving from social explanatory variables. Children were stratified into four social gradient levels based on their number of worst options: “best,” with up to two; “good,” with three; “bad,” with four; and “worst,” with five or more. Multivariate regression models were used to elucidate the associations between all explanatory variables and health outcome (namely the caries prevalence). Taking into consideration the different caries prevalence in the two populations, the Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial logistic regression was used in children with European background, assuming an overdispersion and excess zeros; while, a logistic regression was used in children with non-European background, assuming a high caries prevalence. Different additional analyses were performed and Mantel Haenszel trends of odds adjusted by immigrant background and area of living were calculated to study the existence, dimensions and direction of the social gradients in oral health.

Stata/SE 16.1 for Mac (Intel 64-bit) and SPSS 27 were used to account for the complex data structure.

Results

Overall, 6,825 children (52.76% females) were examined, of which 882 (12.92%) were of non-European background. The distribution of caries figures for both European and non-European children across the five different Italian areas (Figure 1) showed that caries prevalence was statistically significant higher in children with non-European background compared to European children (72.59% vs 41.62% respectively $\chi^2= 297.29$ $p<0.01$).

A not equal distribution by sex across both European and non-European groups was recorded; a higher caries prevalence in females in the non-European group (80.79%) was found, while in European background sample a higher prevalence in males (61.12%) was observed (*data not in table*).

Descriptive and bivariate analysis (by European and non-European background) is displayed in Table 1.

Table 1. Caries experience in European and Non-European children across Geographical areas, Household, Parents’ occupation and educational level.

		European			Non-European background		
		Caries-free	Caries-Ex	OR (95%CI)	Caries-free	Caries-Ex	OR (95%CI)
		n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	n (%)	
Geographical areas*	North-West	1037 (65.18)	554 (34.82)	reference	88 (28.85)	217 (71.15)	reference
	North-East	662 (55.26)	536 (44.74)	1.52 (1.29-1.77)	4 (4.35)	88 (95.65)	8.92 (3.07-25.90)
	Central	614 (53.67)	530 (46.33)	1.62 (1.38-1.89)	42 (28.97)	103 (71.03)	0.99 (0.64-1.54)
	South	685 (50.15)	681 (49.85)	1.86 (1.60-2.16)	79 (34.96)	147 (65.04)	0.75 (0.52-1.09)
	Islands	471 (73.25)	172 (26.75)	0.68 (0.55-0.84)	29 (25.22)	86 (74.78)	1.20 (0.74-1.96)
Mantel Haenszel trend of odds $\chi^2=3.94$ $p=0.03$				Mantel Haenszel trend of odds $\chi^2=1.55$ $p=0.22$			
Household	1 st quartiles	511 (42.13)	702 (57.87)	8.68 (6.66-11-31)	106 (24.65)	324 (75.35)	22.41 (8.50-59.14)
	2 nd quartiles	646 (36.85)	1107 (63.15)	10.83 (8.35-14.03)	92 (22.83)	311 (77.17)	24.79 (9.22-66.63)
	3 rd quartiles	1674 (74.83)	563 (25.17)	2.12 (1.68-2.68)	44 (88.00)	6 (12.00)	reference
	4 th quartiles	638 (86.33)	101 (13.67)	reference	–	–	–
Mantel Haenszel trend of odds $\chi^2=735.80$ $p<0.01$				Mantel Haenszel trend of odds $\chi^2=28.18$ $p<0.01$			
Parents' occupation	Un-employment/unskilled	559 (48.31)	598 (51.69)	3.28 (2.83-3.79)	235 (29.71)	556 (70.29)	0.16 (0.04-0.67)
	Skilled jobs/qualified	429 (39.94)	645 (60.06)	4.60 (3.94-	5 (8.33)	55 (91.67)	0.73 (0.13-

				5.37)			4.05)
	White-collar	2319 (75.37)	758 (24.63)	reference	2 (6.25)	30 (93.75)	reference
		<i>Mantel Haenszel trend of odds $\chi^2=382.30$ $p<0.01$</i>			<i>Mantel Haenszel trend of odds $\chi^2=18.37$ $p<0.01$</i>		
Parents' education	Low	187 (41.93)	259 (58.07)	2.12 (1.73-2.59)	209 (28.32)	529 (71.68)	1.04 (0.64-1.67)
	Intermediate	1181 (58.41)	841 (41.59)	1.09 (0.97-1.22)	6 (11.54)	46 (88.46)	3.13 (1.17-8.40)
	High	2101 (60.48)	841 (41.59)	reference	27 (29.03)	66 (70.97)	reference
		<i>Mantel Haenszel trend of odds $\chi^2=37.01$ $p<0.01$</i>			<i>Mantel Haenszel trend of odds $\chi^2=0.38$ $p=0.54$</i>		

Odds Ratio (OR) and 95%Confidence Interval (95%CI). The Mantel Haenszel trend of odds was calculated.

**Geographical areas were ordered following the mean Gross National Product (GNP) per capita for each Italian area.*

The caries prevalence was statistically associated (Mantel Haenszel trend of odds $\chi^2=3.94$ $p=0.03$) to the area of living ordered by GNP among European children: the caries prevalence increases as the GNP decreases. In children with a non-European background, caries prevalence was not associated with the area of living. The caries prevalence (CariesEx) was statistically significant different across the different household income quartiles, the parents' occupation both in children with European and non-European background ($p<0.01$). Caries figure was also statistically significant associated ($p<0.01$) to behavioral factors: Breastfeeding, Pacifier at night, Brushing frequency, Cariogenic diet, Smoking habit of parents [see Additional file 1].

The social levels, by equalized household income, for caries experience and cavitated lesions prevalence for both European and non-European children are clearly demonstrated in Figure 2: children in the highest household income group had lower levels of caries (either caries experience and cavitated lesions prevalence) than their counterparts in the lowest income group.

The coefficients from the multivariate analysis with negative values indicate that the social disparity in caries favors the more socially advantaged children (Table 2).

Table 2. Multivariate regression coefficients of caries prevalence.

European background

Number of observations = 5,942

Caries observations (Non-zero observations)=2,473

Caries-free observations (Zero observations)=3,469

Log likelihood = -3602.97 Likelihood Ratio $\chi^2_{(5)}=311.48$ $p<0.01$

Covariate	IRR±Std.Err. (p-value)	95%CI
Parents' occupation level (<i>White-collar</i>)	0.80±0.03 (<0.01)	0.74 / 0.86
Parents' educational level (<i>High</i>)	1.08±0.05 (0.06)	1.01 / 1.17
Number of kids in the family (<i>One child</i>)	0.95±0.11(0.03)	0.72 / 0.98
Area of living (<i>North-West</i>)	0.86±0.01 (<0.01)	0.84 / 0.90
Household income (<i>4th quartile</i>)	0.73±0.15 (<0.01)	0.69 / 0.78
constant	0.22±0.12 (0.07)	-0.02 / 0.46
<i>Inflate</i>		
<i>Gender</i>	23.05	
<i>Gender (Females) Constant</i>	-23.35±0.11 (<0.01)	-23.57 / -23.13
<i>Natural log of alpha</i>	-147.17±112.96 (0.21)	-362.57 / 80.21

IRR=Coefficients of the Zero-inflated negative binomial logistic model transformed to incidence-rate ratios

constant= The zero negative binomial regression estimate;

alpha= The estimate of the dispersion parameter.

Non-European background

Number of observations = 883

Log likelihood = -468.65 Likelihood Ratio $\chi^2_{(5)}=99.80$ $p<0.01$

Covariate	Odds Ratio $\pm$ Std.Dev (p-value)	95%CI
Parents' occupation level (<i>White-collar</i>)	1.59 $\pm$ 1.43 (<0.01)	1.08 / 2.33
Parents' educational level (<i>High</i>)	1.32 $\pm$ 0.18 (0.04)	1.02 / 1.73
Number of kids in the family (<i>One child</i>)	1.49 $\pm$ 0.15 (<0.01)	1.22 / 1.81
Area of living (<i>North-West</i>)	0.85 $\pm$ 0.04 (<0.01)	0.74 / 0.94
Household income (<i>3rd quartile</i>)	0.35 $\pm$ 0.06 (<0.01)	0.26 / 0.48
Gender (<i>Female</i>)	2.19 $\pm$ 0.40 (<0.01)	1.54 / 3.13
constant	1.27 $\pm$ 0.66 (0.651)	0.45 / 3.49

Taking into consideration of the different caries prevalence in the two population (42.61 % in European children and 72.59% in children with non-European background), it was decided to run a Zero-inflated negative binomial logistic model with European background children and a logistic model in non-European children.

In European children, the estimated coefficients (Parents' occupation level, Number of kids in the family, Area of living and Household income) of the Zero-inflated negative binomial logistic model transformed to rate ratios, were statistically significant associated ($p < 0.01$) to caries prevalence. White-collar parents, family living in North-West and highest household income (4th quartile) played a protective effect on caries prevalence. For the non-European children, all the social background factors were statistically significant associated to caries prevalence ($p < 0.01$, except for Parents' educational level, $p = 0.04$) with an increment of risk in children with white-collar parents and parents with a high education level, while a protective effect was observed for highest quartile of household (3rd quartile) and highest GDP-related area (North-West area). All behavioral and life-style habits considered in the survey were statically significant associated ($p < 0.01$) to caries prevalence in children with European background, while in non-European children the use of pacifier at night was not statistically significant associated to caries prevalence [see Additional file 2].

Overall the social gradient is clearly able to demonstrate how the worst social factors expose children to a greater risk for each caries level (except cavitated) and the overall caries prevalence (*data not in table*). The social gradient was statistically significant associated ($p < 0.01$) to the different caries levels and experience in children with European background (Table 3).

Table 3. Association between Social Gradient (from best to worst) and Caries levels (Enamel lesions, Pre-cavitated lesions, Cavitated lesions) and total Caries prevalence adjusted by areas of living.

European background

Social Gradient	Enamel Lesions	Pre-cavitated Lesions	Cavitated Lesions	Overall Caries prevalence
	<i>OR (95%CI)</i>	<i>OR (95%CI)</i>	<i>OR (95%CI)</i>	<i>OR (95%CI)</i>
Best	<i>reference</i>	<i>reference</i>	<i>reference</i>	<i>reference</i>
Good	1.34 (1.17-1.54)	3.22 (2.35-4.40)	4.30 (3.61-5.13)	3.24 (2.88-3.66)
Bad	1.31 (1.04-1.64)	5.81 (3.74-9.03)	2.87 (2.27-3.64)	2.78 (2.31-3.34)
Worst	3.97 (1.14-13.83)	6.61 (0.90-48.60)	6.42 (1.99-20.75)	16.77 (3.56-83.74)

Mantel Haenszel trend of odds

$\chi^2=19.02$ $p<0.01$

$\chi^2=60.28$ $p<0.01$

$\chi^2=203.23$ $p<0.01$

$\chi^2=325.97$ $p<0.01$

Non-European background

Social Gradient	Enamel Lesions	Pre-cavitated Lesions	Cavitated Lesions	Overall Caries prevalence
	<i>OR (95%CI)</i>	<i>OR (95%CI)</i>	<i>OR (95%CI)</i>	<i>OR (95%CI)</i>
Best	<i>reference</i>	<i>reference</i>	<i>reference</i>	<i>reference</i>
Good	–	–	3.62 (0.10-124.40)	19.87 (0.04->1000)
Bad	–	–	0.23 (0.01-7.43)	–
Worst	–	–	0.52 (0.11-2.35)	7.53 (0.33-170.47)

Mantel Haenszel trend of odds

–

–

$\chi^2=0.37$ $p=0.54$

$\chi^2=23.32$ $p<0.01$

Odds Ratio (OR) and 95% Confidence Interval (95%CI) and the Mantel Haenszel trend of odds were calculated.

For enamel lesions and pre-cavitated lesions very few observations were present so the association between Social Gradient was not run.

Subjects with the worst gradient had usually the highest Odds-ratios for caries prevalence. As caries experience was so high in children with non-European background, no social gradient was detected.

Discussion

Caries, especially in disadvantaged groups, remains a huge public health problem around the world with an increasing burden of untreated caries [20]. In European Union, an overall increment in caries prevalence was reported in young children with lower socioeconomic status and/or an immigrant background as they are the most vulnerable group within countries [21]. The present survey confirmed it, describing a high caries prevalence in young children living in Italy, especially in those with a non-European background. The association between socioeconomic inequality and caries disease is more pronounced in children with a European background than in children with a non-European background due to the different caries figures in the two groups.

The main finding of this survey suggests that socioeconomic inequality is reflected in a higher caries prevalence and experience. Caries severity fluctuates in a statistically significant way in the different geographical areas. Children with an immigrant background showed a higher prevalence of cavitated lesions, especially in the Insular area of Italy where a low GNP is present.

In this survey, a social gradient in caries distribution was evident among Italian children with a European background, highlighting how income and social status are important determinants in caries figures. The social gradient was less evident in children with a non-European background probably due to the very high caries prevalence in this group and to the low variation of some of the explanatory variables; an effect of behavioral factors acting as confounders might be also postulated.

In Italy, the fraction of Gross National Product spent on healthcare has been significantly reduced during the last two decades as described above, and oral health is lagging behind. Oral care is mainly almost exclusively provided by private practitioners and it is mainly financed by direct payment of the patient or, to a lesser extent, through private insurance schemes. It is consequent that family income is a determining factor in the access of care. Data of the present survey show how caries distribution is associated with mean GNP of the living area of the family.

Among the social variables considered, the highest parents' educational level plays an ambivalent role in the two population groups: it plays as a protective factor for European background children, while it increases the caries risk for non-European ones. The increased risk of caries found in non-European children could be related to a higher income that, if not associated to adequate knowledge and behavior, might expose children to a greater risk of disease. However, it is necessary to underline that the number of parents in this group with the highest level of employment was rather small.

Even if caries is not considered a sex-related disease, many caries risk factors provide a sex bias, placing females at a higher risk, as well as differences in access to dental care justify a higher rate of untreated caries. [22, 23]. In the present survey, these different caries figures between females and males were only found in children with a non-European background.

In the previous pathfinder on pre-school children [24], subjects with a non-European background were not considered since the percentage of immigrants in Italy was low at that time. Despite a different epidemiologic index used (dmfs), a non-homogeneous geographical distribution of caries was already evident, which has not changed to date.

At the best of authors' knowledge, the present survey is the first National pathfinder carried-out in primary dentition using ICDAS as epidemiological caries index. Another strength of the present survey is the high number of subjects screened, which makes the data representative of the preschool population of each geographic area of the Country. A weakness is the lack of individual income data, since only macroeconomic data of the geographical area of living were available and used for the analyses.

Conclusions

The data derived from the present survey show:

- In Italy, caries disease in preschool children is an unsolved public health problem, especially in areas where income is low and among the most socially and economically unprivileged groups.
- The current caries figure requires a political effort and investments in caries promotion and prevention programs in the very early stages of children's life, especially addressed to family with a non-European background and those living in areas with the lowest economic status.
- The generated social gradient showed a high association with caries disease in children with a European background, while a less extent of it was noted in children with non-European background.

Declarations

Ethics approval

As written in "Material and methods", the survey protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the University of Sassari (Italy) (AOUNIS: 29/16).

Consent to participate and to publish

An information leaflet describing the aim of the project was distributed to parents/guardians of the children, requesting their child's participation in the survey. Only children with the leaflet signed by parents/guardians were enrolled. All methods were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (<https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/>).

All the authors provided full consent to publish to International Journal for Equity in Health (BMC part of Springer Nature).

Availability of data and materials

The dataset generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to data holder restrictions. Further enquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Competing interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The work was supported by the Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Science, University of Milan, Italy and by the Department of Surgery, Microsurgery and Medicine Science—School of Dentistry, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy. The funding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the manuscript.

Author's Contributions

G.C. Contributed to conception, design, formal analysis, methodology, patient qualification for the study, data and statistical analysis, drafted and critically revised the manuscript. F.C. Contributed to conception, review the literature, design, data acquisition, statistical analysis and interpretation, critically revised the manuscript. TGW and AB. Contributed to conception, review the literature drafted and critically revised & editing the manuscript. LS and AA. Contributed to conception, design, drafted and critically revised & editing the manuscript. M.G.C. Contributed to conception, design, drafted and critically revised the manuscript study design, manuscript writing, review final revision of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the willingness of school authorities and all the participants that provide consent for the participation into the survey. This work was carried out as part of a collaborative study by Italian Study group on Oral Health in children. These are the names of participants: Giuliana Bontà, Maria Grazia Cagetti, Laura Strohmer (Milano); Stefania Zampogna (Catanzaro); Giuseppe Pizzo, Giovanna Giuliana (Palermo); Livia Ottolenghi, Denise Corridone (Roma), Maria Antonietta Arrica, Guglielmo Campus, Fabio Cocco, Antonella Arghittu, Cynthia Lara-Capi, (Sassari); Roberto Di Lenarda, Milena Cadenaro (Trieste). The study was coordinated by WHO Collaborating Center for Epidemiology and Community Dentistry, University of Milan, Italy. We hereby acknowledge the contributions of the schools involved in the survey.

Reference

1. World Health Organization. Oral resolution EB148.R1. In: WHO Executive Board 148th Resolutions and Annexes. 2021. https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB148/B148_R1-en.pdf. Accessed on 21 Jun 2021.
2. Singh N, Dubey N, Rathore M, Pandey P. Impact of early childhood caries on quality of life: Child and parent perspectives. J Oral Biol Craniofac Res. 2020;10(2):83–6, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobcr.2020.02.006>.
3. Schwendicke F, Dörfer CE, Schlattmann P, Foster Page L, Thomson WM, Paris S. Socioeconomic inequality and caries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Dent Res. 2015;94(1):10–8, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034514557546>.
4. Campus G, Cocco F, Strohmer L, Cagetti MG. Caries severity and socioeconomic inequalities in a nationwide setting: data from the Italian National pathfinder in 12-years children. Scientific Rep. 2020;10(1):15622, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72403-x>.

5. Harper S, Lynch J, Meersman SC, Breen N, Davis WW, Reichman MCI. An overview of methods for monitoring social disparities in cancer with an example using trends in lung cancer incidence by area-socioeconomic position and race- ethnicity, 1992–2004. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;167(8):889–899, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwn016>.
6. Keppel K, Pamuk E, Lynch J, Carter-Pokras O, Kim I, Mays V, et al. Methodological issues in measuring health disparities. *Vital Health Stat.* 2005;2:(141):1–16.
7. Arrica M, Carta G, Cocco F, Cagetti MG, Campus G, Ierardo G, et al. Does a social/behavioural gradient in dental health exist among adults? A cross-sectional study. *J Int Med Res.* 2017;45(2):451–61, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060516675682>.
8. Stecksén-Blicks C, Hasslöf P, Kieri C, Widman K. Caries and background factors in Swedish 4-year-old children with special reference to immigrant status. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2014;72(8):852–58, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3109/00016357.2014.914569>.
9. Arora A, Schwarz E, Blinkhorn AS. Risk factors for early childhood caries in disadvantaged populations. *J Investig Clin Dent.* 2011;2(4):223–28, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-1626.2011.00070.x>.
10. Julihn A, Cunha Soares F, Hjern A, Dahllöf G. Development level of the country of parental origin on dental caries in children of immigrant parents in Sweden. *Acta Paediatr.* 2021;(8):2405–2414, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.15882>.
11. Vega-López S, Armenta K, Eckert G, Maupomé G. Cross-Sectional Association between Behaviors Related to Sugar-Containing Foods and Dental Outcomes among Hispanic Immigrants. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17(14):5095, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17145095>.
12. Salami B, Fernandez-Sanchez H, Fouche C, Evans C, Sibeko L, Tulli M, Bulaong A, Kwankye SO, Ani-Amponsah M, Okeke-Ihejirika P, Gommaa H, Agbemenu K, Ndikom CM, Richter SA. Scoping Review of the Health of African Immigrant and Refugee Children. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021;18(7):3514, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073514>.
13. Italian National Statistical Institute (ISTAT). <https://www.istat.it/en> (2021). Accessed 21 Jun 2021.
14. Campus, G, Cocco F, Ottolenghi L, Cagetti, MG. Comparison of ICDAS, CAST, Nyvad's Criteria, and WHO-DMFT for caries detection in a sample of Italian schoolchildren. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019; (21):4120, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16214120>.
15. Campus G, Cagetti MG, Senna A, Blasi G, Mascolo A, Demarchi P, et al. Does smoking increase risk for caries? a cross-sectional study in an Italian military academy. *Caries Res.* 2011;45(1):40–6, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1159/000322852>.
16. Majorana A, Cagetti MG, Bardellini E, Amadori F, Conti G, Strohmenger L, et al. Feeding and smoking habits as cumulative risk factors for early childhood caries in toddlers, after adjustment for several behavioral determinants: a retrospective study. *BMC Pediatr.* 2014;14:45, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-14-45>.
17. Haag D, Schuch H, Ha D, Do L, Jamieson L. Oral Health Inequalities among Indigenous and Non-Indigenous Children. *JDR Clin Trans Res.* 2021;6(3):317–23, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/2380084420939040>.
18. Principi A, Tibaldi M, Quattrocioni L, Checcucci P. Criteria specific analysis of the Active Ageing Index in Italy. In: *Active Ageing Index: Analytical Report.* UNECE/European Commission. 2019.

https://unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/pau/age/Active_Ageing_Index/ACTIVE_AGEING_INDEX_TRENDS_2008-2016_web_with_cover.pdf. Accessed 12 Jun 2021.

19. Blair YI, McMahon AD, Macpherson LMD. Comparison and Relative Utility of Inequality Measurements: As Applied to Scotland's Child Dental Health. PLoS One 2013;8(3):e58593, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058593>.
20. Kassebaum NJ, Bernabé E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJ, Marcenes W. Global burden of untreated caries: a systematic review and metaregression. J Dent Res. 2015;94(5):650–658, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034515573272>.
21. Bencze Z, Mahrouseh N, Andrade CAS, Kovács N, Varga O. The Burden of Early Childhood Caries in Children under 5 Years Old in the European Union and Associated Risk Factors: An Ecological Study. Nutrients. 2021;13(2):455, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13020455>.
22. Martinez-Mier EA, Zandona AF. The impact of gender on caries prevalence and risk assessment. Dent Clin North Am. 2013;57(2):301–15, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cden.2013.01.001>.
23. Cagetti MG, Cocco F, Calzavara E, Augello D, Zangpoo P, Campus G. Life-conditions and anthropometric variables as risk factors for oral health in children in Ladakh, a cross-sectional survey. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21(1):51, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01407-4>.
24. Campus G, Solinas G, Strohmenger L, Cagetti MG, Senna A, Minelli L, et al. National pathfinder survey on children's oral health in Italy: pattern and severity of caries disease in 4-year-olds. Caries Res. 2009;43(2):155–62, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1159/000211719>.

Figures

Figure 1

Caries severity, expressed as caries free, enamel, pre-cavitated and cavitated lesions across the different Italian areas by European and non-European immigrant.

Figure 2

Outcomes by quartiles of income regarding caries experience and cavitated lesions among children with European and non-European background.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Table1S.docx](#)
- [Table2S.docx](#)