Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

Sirt1 Protects Against Hippocampal Atrophy and its Induced Cognitive Impairment in Middle-aged Mice

Zuhao Sun

Department of Radiology and Tianjin Key Laboratory of Functional Imaging, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, China Shuang Zhao

Department of Radiology and Tianjin Key Laboratory of Functional Imaging, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, China Xinjun Suo

Department of Radiology and Tianjin Key Laboratory of Functional Imaging, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, China Yan Dou (douyan@tmu.edu.cn)

Department of Radiology and Tianjin Key Laboratory of Functional Imaging, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, China

Research Article

Keywords: Sirt1, Aging, Hippocampal atrophy, Brain structural MRI, Learning and memory

Posted Date: December 28th, 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1126398/v1

License: @ ① This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Abstract

Background: Sirtuin 1 (Sirt1) is a recognized longevity gene and has been shown to be associated with aging and its related diseases. Hippocampal volume is considered to be the most sensitive brain imaging phenotype for cognition, but the effect of Sirt1 on hippocampal morphology during aging has not been reported.

Results: Herein, we investigated the effect of conditional Sirt1 knockdown on hippocampal volume in middle-aged mice, as well as its cognitive function and the underlying molecular mechanisms. Brain structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed that adeno-associated virus (AAV) mediated hippocampal Sirt1 knockdown caused hippocampal atrophy in 8-month-old mice. Open field test (OFT) and Morris Water Maze (MWM) test revealed that hippocampal Sirt1 knockdown significantly weakened spatial learning and memory of mice without effect on anxiety and exploratory behavior. Western blotting analysis showed that p-tau levels were significantly increased while PSD95 levels were obviously reduced, indicating that hippocampal Sirt1 knockdown could activate tau pathology and synaptic damage.

Conclusions: This work revealed that *Sirt1* is an important protective gene against hippocampal atrophy and its induced cognitive impairment during aging, providing potential therapeutic targets for the prevention and intervention of aging-related neuropsychic diseases.

1. Background

Aging is an important social problem facing all countries in the world today. One of the neurodegenerative diseases highly related to aging is Alzheimer's disease (AD), whose incidence increases with age [1, 2]. The main clinical manifestations of AD are cognitive and memory impairment, accompanied by atrophy in hippocampus and other related brain areas [3-6] Its recognized pathological features mainly include β -amyloid plaques, neurofibrillary tangles and neuronal death [4]. The 2020 world AD report suggested that brain volume atrophy occur much earlier than clinically observed symptoms of cognitive dysfunction [7]. Moreover, synaptic loss has been confirmed to be closely associated with the progression of cognitive impairment, often preceding neurodegenerative changes in above-described pathological features [8-10]. Therefore, it is of great significance to find effective neuroprotective intervention targets for delaying hippocampal atrophy and synaptic damage during aging and preventing AD.

Epigenetic regulation, such as histone post-translational modification and DNA methylation, has recently been revealed to play an important role in maintaining normal brain function, which can stabilize gene expression patterns in the brain and be crucial for long-term memory storage of information [11–13]. Histone deacetylation is a common type of histone post-translational modification [14]. One of the key factors affecting histone deacetylation is histone deacetyltransferase (HDACs), which consists of four classes (Class I, II, III and IV), and Class III HDAC is the sirtuin family [15]. The sirtuin family is a highly conserved class of HDACs that plays multiple functions in aging, chromatin integrity, metabolic regulation and longevity [16]. Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), the most widely studied gene, is mainly expressed in neurons and has been reported to play a key role in regulating nerve progenitor cell fate, axonal dendritic differentiation and synaptic plasticity [17].

Changes in *Sirt1* expression have been proved to be closely related to the progression of cognitive impairment and AD pathology. Studies have shown that *Sirt1* overexpression in the hippocampus can induce cognitive enhancement in both 3xTg-AD model mice and healthy non-transgenic mice [18]. Furthermore, *Sirt1* overexpression in Tauopathy mouse models can enhance the activation of ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) and effective cleared phosphorylated tau protein (p-tau) [19, 20]. In *Sirt1* knockout mice, the brain morphology and dendritic spine structure were similar to those of healthy mice, but the complexity of synaptic network was reduced and synaptic plasticity was weakened [21, 22]. In conclusion, *Sirt1* gene has an obvious neuroprotective effect, and exploring its protective effect on cognitive function of healthy aged mice is of great significance for the prevention of cognitive disorders such as AD.

Herein, we studied the neuroprotective effect of *Sirt1* gene on hippocampal volume and cognitive function in middle-aged mice. First, *Sirt1* interference plasmid was constructed and packaged with lentivirus to verify its knockdown efficiency in mouse glioma cells. Then, the plasmid with the best knockdown efficiency was packaged as adeno-associated virus (AAV) and injected into the dorsal hippocampal CA1 region of 8-month-old C57/BL mice, compared with no-load AAV injection. After three weeks, 3T brain structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was used to detect the hippocampal volume, and then open field test (OFT) and Morris water maze (MWM) test were performed to assess the learning and memory ability of mice. Finally, hippocampal tissues were taken out for western blotting to evaluate the changes of AD pathological marker p-tau as well as synaptic marker PSD95 and synaptophysin. This work revealed that *Sirt1* is an important protective gene in maintaining hippocampal volume and cognitive function during aging, providing potential therapeutic targets for the prevention and intervention of aging-related diseases such as AD.

2. Results

3.1 Sirt1 shRNA knockdown efficiency in vitro and in vivo

In order to select the shRNA against Sirt1 with significant knockdown efficiency, two Sirt1-interfering plasmids (sh-Sirt1A, sh-Sirt1B) were constructed and packaged by lentivirus. Then GL261 cells were transfected with these Sirt1-interfering lentiviruses, and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was performed to detect knockdown efficiency of sh-Sirt1A and sh-Sirt1B. The results showed that Sirt1 mRNA expression levels of both sh-Sirt1A (t_2 = 10.29, p = 0.0005) and sh-Sirt1B (t_2 = 4.234, p = 0.0133) were much lower than those of the sh-SCR group, and the sh-Sirt1A group had the lowest expression level (Figure 1A). Therefore, the Sirt1-interfering plasmid with sh-Sirt1A sequence was used in subsequent experiments. For Invivo transfection, the sh-Sirt1A plasmid containing the EGFP reporter gene was used for AAV packaging, and AAV packaging the plasmid only expressing EGFP was used for sham operation (Figure 1B).

In this study, 8-month-old C57/BL mice were randomly divided into three groups (control, sham, shSirt1) [23]. Hippocampal *Sirt1* knockdown in the shSirt1 group was conducted by stereotactic injection of AAV-CMV-shSirt1-EGFP into the dorsal hippocampal CA1 region (Figure 1C), a brain region closely related to cognition [24]. Mice in the sham group were injected with AAV-CMV-EGFP and the mice in the control group suffered none treatment. Three weeks after injection, fluorescence imaging based on frozen sections of the whole brain showed the obvious green fluorescence of EGFP along the CA1 region (Figure 1D), indicating the successful AAV transfection.

Hippocampus plays a vital role in cognition and many factors can cause its morphological changes and functional impairment, such as AD and aging [25]. So, we would like to explore the impact of *Sirt1* knockdown on hippocampal volume. Therefore, we designed to measure the hippocampal volume of mice by 3T MR brain structural imaging. Then, we evaluated spatial learning and memory of mice by 0FT and MWM test. Finally, western blotting was used to detect changes in protein levels of AD pathological marker p-tau as well as synaptic marker PSD95 and synaptophysin in the hippocampus after *Sirt1* knockdown (Figure 1E).

3.2 Sirt1 knockdown reduced hippocampal volume in middle-aged mice

3T brain structural MRI was performed on mice in three groups to detect hippocampal volume. According to TMBTA, the mice brains were segmented to measure the total intracranial volume (TIV), the volume of hippocampal formation, the total hippocampal volume, and the volumes of hippocampal subregions including dentate gyrus (DG) region, CA1 region, CA2 region and CA3 region (Figure 2A). Results showed that there was no statistical difference in the TIV among the three groups (Figure 2B). In the case of regression of the TIV, we performed two-sample t-test on above volumes of mice between groups. The volume of hippocampal formation in the shSirt1 group was slightly smaller compared with the control group and the sham group without statistical significance (Figure 2C). Surprisingly, the total hippocampal volume in the shSirt1 group was significantly lower ($t_2 = 2.578$, p = 0.0172) than that in the control group (Figure 2D).

Further analysis of the various structures of the hippocampus revealed that the most obvious region of atrophy caused by hippocampal Sirt1 knockdown was the DG region compared to the control group ($t_2 = 3.312$, p = 0.0032) (Figure 2E), followed by CA1 region ($t_2 = 2.192$, p = 0.0392) (Figure 2F), and there was no statistical difference in the volume of other regions (Figure 2G,H). Compared with the control group, the total hippocampal volume and each subregion volume in the sham group showed a decreasing trend, but there was no statistical difference, which might be a slight effect caused by stereotactic injection. The reduction of hippocampal volume, also defined as hippocampal atrophy, is a well-established and validated biomarker for cognitive impairment [26, 27]. Based on our MRI results, we reasonably speculate that AAV-mediated hippocampal knockdown of Sirt1 would cause the burden to the cognitive functions such as learning and memory in middle-aged mice.

2.3 Sirt1 knockdown caused cognitive impairment in middle-aged mice

To verify the adverse effects of hippocampal *Sirt1* knockdown on cognitive function, we implemented proper behavioral paradigms including OFT and MWM test. First, OFT was conducted as one of the most popular behavioral tests to assess the loco-motor activity and exploratory behavior in rodents [28]. Mice were allowed to freely explore in the experimental chamber for 15 min without any visual, auditory and olfactory disturbance, and their movements were analyzed. It was found that mice behaved similarly among three groups and preferred to spend little time (14% - 17%) exploring the center zone (Figure 3A). The trajectory maps showed that mice in three groups moved mainly in the peripheral zone and occasionally moved into the center zone (Figure 3B). Our OFT results consisted with previously reported study [23], indicating that hippocampal *Sirt1* knockdown had no effect on anxiety and exploratory behavior in middle-aged mice.

Then, MWM test was performed to assess spatial learning and memory of mice in three groups [29]. It was found that there was no statistical difference on swimming speed among three groups, indicating that all mice had normal vision and locomotor ability (Figure 3C). During the 5-day learning phase, the escape latency of mice in the shSirt1 group was gradually prolonged, and the difference was significant from the 4th day, compared with the control group (day4: t_2 = 3.228, p = 0.0032; day5: t_2 = 3.504, p = 0.0016) and the sham group (day4: t_2 = 2.096, p = 0.0468; day5: t_2 = 2.313, p = 0.0296) (Figure 3E). After removing the platform on the sixth day, mice in the shSirt1 group showed more chaotic swimming paths, while mice in the other two groups were more concentrated in the target zone where the platform was located (Figure 3D). Mice in the shSirt1 group exhibited shorter time in target zones, compared to the control group (t_2 = 2.614, p = 0.0142) and the sham group (t_2 = 2.171, p = 0.0396) (Figure 3F). Less number of crossings over the platform region was also found in the shSirt1 group than that in the control group (t_2 = 2.697, p = 0.0121) and the sham group (t_2 = 2.238, p = 0.0352) (Figure 3G). These results revealed that conditional *Sirt1* downregulation in the hippocampus causes impairment to spatial learning and memory of middle-aged mice without effect on exploratory behavior.

2.4 Sirt1 knockdown can activate tau pathology and induce synaptic damage

endocytosis of synaptic vesicles [34, 35], showed a very slight downregulation and no significant difference was found among the three groups (Figure 4D). These results revealed that hippocampal *Sirt1* knockdown can activate tau pathology and induce synaptic damage in the hippocampus of middle-aged mice.

3. Discussion

Sirt1 is the first identified nicotinamide-adenine dinucleotide (NAD+)-dependent HDAC [36], and it regulates various biological processes such as cellular senescence [37], AD [38], cancer [39] and neuroinflammation [40]. Studies have shown that Sirt1 knockout (Sirt1-KO) would cause cognitive impairment and defects in synaptic plasticity, but the brains of Sirt1-KO mice exhibited normal morphology [22]. Since the hippocampus is the main brain area for cognition and its volume is an important neuroimaging phenotype for clinical evaluation of AD [25, 41], assessing hippocampal volume is more convincing for cognition evaluation than assessing changes in whole brain structure. Furthermore, the protective effect of Sirt1 on hippocampal volume in aged mice has not been reported. Therefore, we performed 3T brain structural MRI to investigate the volume changes of hippocampus and its subregions caused by AAV-mediated hippocampal Sirt1 knockdown in middle-aged mice. Combined with OFT, MWM test and western blotting, we found that Sirt1 knockdown induced hippocampal atrophy was also accompanied by cognitive impairment, activation of hippocampal tau pathology and synaptic damage.

shRNA is a widely used tool for gene knockdown with high specificity and selectivity [42]. Firstly, two *Sirt1*-shRNA plasmids were designed and packaged as lentiviruses respectively to transfect G1261 cells. RT-qPCR based on the extracted RNA was used to detect the knockdown efficiency and the *Sirt1*-shRNA plasmid with relatively highest knockdown efficiency was selected for subsequent AAV packaging. For *in vivo* studies, 8-month-old mice were used because they are widely considered to represent a healthy middle-aged mouse model. AAV expressing *Sirt1*-shRNA was injected into bilateral CA1 of dorsal hippocampus by stereotactic injection, and 3T brain structural MRI was performed on the mice three weeks later. The results showed that in the case of regression of the TIV, the volumes of total hippocampus, DG and CA1 regions were significantly reduced in the shSirt1 group compared to the control group, while the volumes of hippocampal formation (hippocampus and parahippocampal area [43]), CA2 and CA3 regions were not significantly changed. The volumes of hippocampus and its subregions were slightly decreased in the sham group compared to the control group, which might be caused by stereotactic injection itself. These MRI results showed that *Sirt1* knockdown resulted in a significant decrease in hippocampal volume.

To assess hippocampus-mediated cognitive function, the classical behavioral paradigms, OFT and MWM test, were used to evaluate anxiety, exploratory activity and spatial learning and memory of mice. In 15-minute OFT, there were no statistical difference in trajectory map and time spent in the center zone among the three groups. Besides, time mice spent in the center zone in our study consisted with previously reported studies [23, 44]. OFT results indicated that *Sirt1* knockdown in the hippocampus had no effect on anxiety and exploratory activity in middle-aged mice. However, in the MWM test, the control group and the sham group showed similar purposeful swimming, while the shSirt1 group performed very poorly. MWM results indicated that *Sirt1* knockdown in the hippocampus prolonged escape latency, reduced time spent in target zone and number of platform crossing, and seriously impaired the spatial learning and memory ability in middle-aged mice.

Finally, hippocampal tissues of the three groups were isolated for western blotting to examine the potential mechanisms of *Sirt1* knockdown in regulating hippocampal atrophy and cognitive impairment. The significant decrease of *Sirt1* levels confirmed the successful *Sirt1* knockdown in mouse hippocampus. The significant increase of p-tau levels suggested that *Sirt1* knockdown could activate tau pathology in mouse hippocampus. The obvious downregulation of PSD95 levels with the slight reduced levels of synaptophysin in the shSirt1 group showed the vital role of *Sirt1* in maintaining synaptic integrity and function.

4. Conclusions

Taken together, hippocampal *Sirt1* knockdown could lead to hippocampal atrophy and its induced cognitive impairment in middle-aged mice, along with activation of tau pathology and synaptic damage. This work revealed the key role of *Sirt1* in maintaining hippocampal volume to prevent cognitive impairment during aging, and provides important targets for the prevention and therapy of AD.

5. Materials And Methods

5.1 Construction and packaging of Sirt1 interference plasmid

According to the design principles of shRNA and the nucleotide sequence of *Sirt1* gene in GenBank (NM_019812.3), two *Sirt1* shRNA sequences were designed. Forwardoligo and reverseoligo of sh-Sirt1A as follows:

CCGGCGCGGATAGGTCCATATACTTCTCGAGAAGTATATGGACCTATCCGCGTTTTTG;AATTCAAAAACGCGGATAGGTCCATATACTTCTCGAGAAGTATATGGACCTAT Forwardoligo and reverseoligo of sh-Sirt1B as follows:

CCGGGCCATGAAGTATGACAAAGATCTCGAGATCTTTGTCATACTTCATGGCTTTTTG;AATTCAAAAAGCCATGAAGTATGACAAAGATCTCGAGATCTTTGTCATACTTC
The synthesized single-stranded oligonucleotides were annealed to form double-stranded DNA, and then ligated with plko.1 by restriction enzyme
BshT\[
\[
\]/EcoR\[
\]. Then the competent bacterium DH5a was transformed and a single colony was selected and sequenced. The colonies with correct sequencing
results were amplified to extract the target plasmids.

We transfected the target plasmids, together with lentivirus vectors PAX8 and VSVG, into HEK293T cells for lentivirus packaging. The knockdown efficiency of obtained *Sirt1* interference lentivirus were verified by Quantitative PCR in Mouse glioma cells Gl261. Briefly, we first transfected G1261 cells with lentivirus for 1 week. Then, total RNA was extracted from cells with a TRIzol reagent (Gibco, 15596018) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA with an RT-PCR kit (Accurate Biology, AG11705). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) was carried out on a Mx3005p real-time polymerase chain-reaction system (Agilent Technologies, USA) using ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Q311-02) and the temperature was set as follows: initial denaturation for 1 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 20 s at 58°C, and 45 s at 72°C. The PCR primers were designed as

follows: forward, 5-GTGGCAGTAACAGTGACAGTGG-3; reverse, 5-TCCAGATCCTCCAGCACATTCG-3. The Sirt1 mRNA expression was normalized comparing to rpo. For transfection *in vivo*, the target plasmids were packaged with AAV by Lianyungang ChuangRui Biological Product Trading Company Ltd. (Jiangsu, China). The final titer of *Sirt1* knockdown AAV (AAV-CMV-shSirt1-EGFP) and the no-load control AAV (AAV-CMV-EGFP) was 7.1×10¹² vp/mL and 3.5×10¹² vp/mL, respectively.

5.2 Animals

C57BL/6J mice (8 months old, male, 35-40 g) were purchased from Beijing HFK Bioscience Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China). The mice were used for experiments at least 14 days after acclimatization to laboratory conditions. The mice were placed in polycarbonate cages with 3-5 mice per cage at a controlled temperature (22 ± 1°C) for 12-h light-dark cycle and *ad libitum* access to food and water. All animal experiments were performed in accordance to *Animal Research: Reporting of In Vivo Experiments* (ARRIVE guidelines)[45] and the guidelines of Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Tianjin Medical University (IACUC number E2015093) and following reported protocols[46, 47].

5.3 Hippocampal Sirt1 knockdown in aged mice

The mice were randomly divided into three groups, each consisting of 14-16 mice: the untreated mice (control), the mice injected with AAV-CMV-EGFP (sham), and the mice injected with AAV-CMV-shSirt1-EGFP (shSirt1). The mice were anesthetized with inhalation of 2% isoflurane throughout the process by a small animal anesthesia machine (R510-22, RWD Life Science Co., Ltd., China). Then the mice were fixed on a stereotactic apparatus (G1124701, RWD Life Science Co., Ltd., China). Both AAV-CMV-EGFP and AAV-shSirt1-EGFP were diluted to 3.5×10^{12} vp/mL. Bilateral injection with 1 μ L of above AAV was performed into the dorsal hippocampal CA1 region, and stereotaxic coordinates were shown as follows: AP -2.00mm, \pm ML 1.5mm, DV -1.0mm from bregma. The injection rate was controlled at 100 nL/min. The needle syringe was left in place for about 10 min before being withdrawn. The scalp was sutured, disinfected with iodophor, and the mice were kept warm. After awakening from anesthesia, they were put back into the cage. After three weeks, the construction of *Sirt1* knockdown in mouse hippocampus was considered successful [48].

5.4 Fluorescence staining of frozen mouse brain sections

Mice were anesthetized with 3% isoflurane and executed by cervical dislocation. Then, mice were perfused with 20 mL 4% paraformaldehyde (Biosharp, China). Next, the whole brains were isolated properly and fixed in paraformaldehyde overnight. After dehydration in 10% sucrose solution (10% m/v sucrose in PBS) for 2 days, the brains were embedded into optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT) (Sakura, Japan) and frozen in -80°C for 1 day. The brains were sectioned into 20 µm slices at -22°C. The slices were collected on adhesion microscope slides (CITOTEST Scientific, China) and stained with DAPI. Finally, processed slices were observed and filmed by an Olympus IX73 inverted microscope (Japan).

5.5 3T brain structural MRI

The mice were anesthetized 15 min MRI scanning by intraperitoneal injection with 4% chloral hydrate at 0.2 mL/10g. Then the mice were fixed on a semi-circular small animal scanning frame, their limbs were fixed with medical tape, and their heads were fixed by hanging a thin wire through the incisors. MRI was performed on a 3T MRI scanner (DISCOVERY MR750, General Electric, USA) with a mouse brain coil. The parameters for 3D T_1 -weighted fast acquisition of the whole mouse brain were as follows: repetition time (TR) = 12.6 ms, echo time (TE) = 6.0 ms, field of view (FOV) = 3.0×1.0 mm, slice thickness = 0.3 mm, number of slices = 1746, frequency = 180, phase = 150, prep time = 500 ms, flip angle = 12°, bandwidth = 15.63, locs per slab = 128, number of excitations = 4, and scan time = 20 min 9 s. For voxel-based morphometry (VBM) analysis, obtained MR DICOM files were subjected to conversion to NIFTI files using dcm2niix, augmentation of the voxel size 14 times using DPABI [49], automatic segmentation of hippocampus based on Turone Mouse Brain Atlas and Template (TMBTA) using SPM12 software [50]. In the case of regression of the total intracranial volume, the two-sample t-test was used to analyze the difference in gray matter volume between the three groups within the hippocampus.

5.6 Open field test

Open field test (OFT) is a common animal behavior experiment to detect the loco-motor activity and exploratory behavior of mice. The open field apparatus (RWD Life Science Co., Ltd., China) consisted of a square arena $(50 \times 50 \text{ cm})$ with walls 45 cm high. The arena was divided into the center area $(30 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm})$ square) and the peripheral area. The mice arrived at the test site 24 h in advance to ensure that they were acclimated to the environment, and the mice were stroked for 1-2 min to reduce non-specific stress stimulation. Each mouse was gently and quickly placed in the central area with their backs to the experimenter, and the experimenter immediately left. The SMART3.0 digital tracking system (Panlab, USA) automatically recorded the movements of mice in the arena. The exploring time of each mouse was 15 min, and the proportion of time spent in the central area was measured.

5.7 Morris water maze test

The Morris Water Maze (MWM) test is a classical behavioral task to test hippocampal-dependent learning and memory of mice, consisting of 5 days of learning phase and 1 day of probe phase. Room and water temperature were maintained at 22°C. A circular tank (120 cm diameter, 50 cm height) was divided into four quadrants with distinctive landmarks as visual cues, and equipped with a hidden platform (8 cm diameter, -1cm below the water surface). Before the test, the platform was lifted 1cm above the water surface, and the mouse was released to swim freely at the furthest site from the platform. The swimming speeds were recorded by the equipped SMART 3.0 Video Tracking System (Panlab, USA). Every day during learning phase, the mouse was released from each quadrant and swam for 60 s. Once the mouse found the platform within 60 s and stayed on it for 3 s, the system automatically recorded this period as escape latency. If the mouse did not find the platform within the 60 s, the system recorded escape latency as 60 s. The experimenter guided the mouse to the platform and allowed it to stay there for 10 s. On the sixth day, the platform was removed, the mouse was released at the furthest site from the platform and allowed to freely explore for 60 s. During probe phase, the swimming paths, the time spent in target quadrant, and the numbers of mice crossing the platform location were also recorded.

5.8 Western blotting

Mouse hippocampal tissues were harvested, cut with ophthalmic scissors, and lysed with RIPA lysis buffer and Phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF) (Solarbio LIFE SCIENCES, P0100). The proteins were separated by 10-12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Merck, Ireland). Then, the membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies included SIRT1 Polyclonal antibody (Proteintech, 13161-1-AP), Phospho-Tau (Ser396) Recombinant Polyclonal Antibody (5HCLC) (Invitrogen, 710298), PSD95-Specific, DLG4 Polyclonal Antibody (Proteintech, 20665) and Recombinant Anti-Synaptophysin antibody (Abcam, ab32127). After washing three times with PBST, the membranes were incubated with Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG H&L (HRP) (Abcam, ab205718) for 1 h at room temperature. β-Tubulin and GAPDH were used as internal controls. After washing three times with PBST, immunoreactive bands were visualized using enhanced chemi-luminescence (ECL) (NCM Biotech, P10300) detection regent, and the film was taken by a ChemiDoc XRS+ System (Biorad, USA). The densitometric analysis of band intensities was carried out using the Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

5.9 Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed with SPSS R23.0.0.0 software. Data were expressed as mean \pm standard error (SEM). Statistical comparisons between experimental group and control group or sham group were performed by using two-tailed unpaired Student's test. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Tianjin Medical University (Number IACUC E2015093).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFC1314300), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (82030053, 81971599, and 81771818).

Authors' contributions

Z.H.S., S.Z., X.J.S., and Y.D. designed research; Z.H.S., S.Z., and X.J.S. performed research; Z.H.S. and S.Z. analyzed data; Z.H.S., S.Z., and Y.D. wrote the paper.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

References

- 1. Trevisan K, Cristina-Pereira R, Silva-Amaral D, Aversi-Ferreira TA: **Theories of Aging and the Prevalence of Alzheimer's Disease**. *Biomed Res Int* 2019, **2019**:9171424.
- 2. Jiang L, Wang J, Wang Z, Huang W, Yang Y, Cai Z, Li K: Role of the Glyoxalase System in Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2018, 66(3):887–899.
- 3. Scheltens P, Blennow K, Breteler MM, de Strooper B, Frisoni GB, Salloway S, Van der Flier WM: Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 2016, 388(10043):505-517.
- 4. Tiwari S, Atluri V, Kaushik A, Yndart A, Nair M: Alzheimer's disease: pathogenesis, diagnostics, and therapeutics. Int J Nanomedicine 2019, 14:5541-5554.
- 5. Prasad K: AGE-RAGE stress: a changing landscape in pathology and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Mol Cell Biochem 2019, 459(1-2):95-112.
- 6. Pini L, Pievani M, Bocchetta M, Altomare D, Bosco P, Cavedo E, Galluzzi S, Marizzoni M, Frisoni GB: **Brain atrophy in Alzheimer's Disease and aging.** *Ageing Res Rev* 2016, **30**:25–48.
- 7. 2020 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement 2020.

- 8. Dejanovic B, Huntley MA, De Maziere A, Meilandt WJ, Wu T, Srinivasan K, Jiang Z, Gandham V, Friedman BA, Ngu H *et al*: **Changes in the Synaptic Proteome in Tauopathy and Rescue of Tau-Induced Synapse Loss by C1q Antibodies**. *Neuron* 2018, **100**(6):1322-1336 e1327.
- 9. Rabin LA, Smart CM, Amariglio RE: Subjective Cognitive Decline in Preclinical Alzheimer's Disease. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 2017, 13:369-396.
- 10. Anderson ND: State of the science on mild cognitive impairment (MCI). CNS Spectr 2019, 24(1):78-87.
- 11. Berson A, Nativio R, Berger SL, Bonini NM: Epigenetic Regulation in Neurodegenerative Diseases. Trends Neurosci 2018, 41(9):587-598.
- 12. Berger SL, Kouzarides T, Shiekhattar R, Shilatifard A: An operational definition of epigenetics. Genes Dev 2009, 23(7):781-783.
- 13. Hwang JY, Aromolaran KA, Zukin RS: The emerging field of epigenetics in neurodegeneration and neuroprotection. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2017, **18**(6):347–361.
- 14. Watts BR, Wittmann S, Wery M, Gautier C, Kus K, Birot A, Heo DH, Kilchert C, Morillon A, Vasiljeva L: **Histone deacetylation promotes transcriptional** silencing at facultative heterochromatin. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2018, **46**(11):5426–5440.
- 15. Wang J, Yu JT, Tan MS, Jiang T, Tan L: **Epigenetic mechanisms in Alzheimer's disease: implications for pathogenesis and therapy**. *Ageing Res Rev* 2013, **12**(4):1024–1041.
- 16. Lee J, Kim Y, Liu T, Hwang YJ, Hyeon SJ, Im H, Lee K, Alvarez VE, McKee AC, Um SJ *et al*: SIRT3 deregulation is linked to mitochondrial dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. *Aging Cell* 2018, 17(1).
- 17. Yan Y, Yang H, Xie Y, Ding Y, Kong D, Yu H: Research Progress on Alzheimer's Disease and Resveratrol. Neurochem Res 2020, 45(5):989-1006.
- 18. Corpas R, Revilla S, Ursulet S, Castro-Freire M, Kaliman P, Petegnief V, Gimenez-Llort L, Sarkis C, Pallas M, Sanfeliu C: **SIRT1 Overexpression in Mouse Hippocampus Induces Cognitive Enhancement Through Proteostatic and Neurotrophic Mechanisms**. *Mol Neurobiol* 2017, **54**(7):5604–5619.
- 19. Bao J, Zheng L, Zhang Q, Li X, Zhang X, Li Z, Bai X, Zhang Z, Huo W, Zhao X *et al*: **Deacetylation of TFEB promotes fibrillar Abeta degradation by upregulating lysosomal biogenesis in microglia**. *Protein Cell* 2016, **7**(6):417–433.
- 20. Min SW, Cho SH, Zhou Y, Schroeder S, Haroutunian V, Seeley WW, Huang EJ, Shen Y, Masliah E, Mukherjee C *et al*: **Acetylation of tau inhibits its degradation and contributes to tauopathy**. *Neuron* 2010, **67**(6):953–966.
- 21. Min SW, Sohn PD, Li Y, Devidze N, Johnson JR, Krogan NJ, Masliah E, Mok SA, Gestwicki JE, Gan L: SIRT1 Deacetylates Tau and Reduces Pathogenic Tau Spread in a Mouse Model of Tauopathy. *J Neurosci* 2018, 38(15):3680–3688.
- 22. Michan S, Li Y, Chou MM, Parrella E, Ge H, Long JM, Allard JS, Lewis K, Miller M, Xu W et al. SIRT1 is essential for normal cognitive function and synaptic plasticity. *J Neurosci* 2010, **30**(29):9695–9707.
- 23. Shoji H, Takao K, Hattori S, Miyakawa T: Age-related changes in behavior in C57BL/6J mice from young adulthood to middle age. *Molecular brain* 2016, 9:11.
- 24. Eichenbaum H: On the Integration of Space, Time, and Memory. Neuron 2017, 95(5):1007-1018.
- 25. Pini L, Pievani M, Bocchetta M, Altomare D, Bosco P, Cavedo E, Galluzzi S, Marizzoni M, Frisoni GB: **Brain atrophy in Alzheimer's Disease and aging**. *Ageing research reviews* 2016, **30**:25–48.
- 26. Jack CR, Barkhof F, Bernstein MA, Cantillon M, Cole PE, Decarli C, Dubois B, Duchesne S, Fox NC, Frisoni GB *et al*: **Steps to standardization and validation of hippocampal volumetry as a biomarker in clinical trials and diagnostic criterion for Alzheimer's disease**. *Alzheimer's & dementia: the journal of the Alzheimer's Association* 2011, **7**(4).
- 27. Byers AL, Yaffe K: Depression and risk of developing dementia. Nature reviews Neurology 2011, 7(6):323-331.
- 28. Fonio E, Golani I, Benjamini Y: Measuring behavior of animal models: faults and remedies. Nat Methods 2012, 9(12):1167-1170.
- 29. Morris RG, Garrud P, Rawlins JN, O'Keefe J: Place navigation impaired in rats with hippocampal lesions. Nature 1982, 297 (5868):681-683.
- 30. Tang BL, Chua CEL: SIRT1 and neuronal diseases. Mol Aspects Med 2008, 29(3):187-200.
- 31. Molinuevo JL, Ayton S, Batrla R, Bednar MM, Bittner T, Cummings J, Fagan AM, Hampel H, Mielke MM, Mikulskis A *et al*: **Current state of Alzheimer's fluid biomarkers**. *Acta neuropathologica* 2018, **136**(6):821–853.
- 32. El-Husseini AE, Schnell E, Chetkovich DM, Nicoll RA, Bredt DS: **PSD-95 involvement in maturation of excitatory synapses**. *Science* 2000, **290**(5495):1364–1368.
- 33. Bustos FJ, Ampuero E, Jury N, Aguilar R, Falahi F, Toledo J, Ahumada J, Lata J, Cubillos P, Henriquez B *et al*: **Epigenetic editing of the Dlg4/PSD95 gene** improves cognition in aged and Alzheimer's disease mice. *Brain* 2017, **140**(12):3252–3268.
- 34. Thiel G: Synapsin I, synapsin II, and synaptophysin: marker proteins of synaptic vesicles. Brain Pathol 1993, 3(1):87-95.
- 35. Valtorta F, Pennuto M, Bonanomi D, Benfenati F: **Synaptophysin: leading actor or walk-on role in synaptic vesicle exocytosis?** *Bioessays* 2004, **26**(4):445–453.
- 36. Kaeberlein M, McVey M, Guarente L: The SIR2/3/4 complex and SIR2 alone promote longevity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by two different mechanisms. *Genes Dev* 1999, **13**(19):2570–2580.
- 37. Chen C, Zhou M, Ge Y, Wang X: SIRT1 and aging related signaling pathways. Mech Ageing Dev 2020, 187:111215.
- 38. Bonda DJ, Lee H-G, Camins A, Pallàs M, Casadesus G, Smith MA, Zhu X: **The sirtuin pathway in ageing and Alzheimer disease: mechanistic and therapeutic considerations**. *The Lancet Neurology* 2011, **10**(3):275–279.
- 39. Alves-Fernandes DK, Jasiulionis MG: The Role of SIRT1 on DNA Damage Response and Epigenetic Alterations in Cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2019, 20(13).
- 40. Jiao F, Gong Z: The Beneficial Roles of SIRT1 in Neuroinflammation-Related Diseases. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2020, 2020:6782872.
- 41. Lisman J, Buzsáki G, Eichenbaum H, Nadel L, Ranganath C, Redish AD: Viewpoints: how the hippocampus contributes to memory, navigation and cognition. *Nat Neurosci* 2017, **20**(11):1434–1447.

- 42. Rao DD, Vorhies JS, Senzer N, Nemunaitis J: siRNA vs. shRNA: similarities and differences. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2009, 61(9):746-759.
- 43. Amaral DG, Witter MP: The three-dimensional organization of the hippocampal formation: a review of anatomical data. *Neuroscience* 1989, **31**(3):571–591.
- 44. Yanai S, Endo S: Functional Aging in Male C57BL/6J Mice Across the Life-Span: A Systematic Behavioral Analysis of Motor, Emotional, and Memory Function to Define an Aging Phenotype. Front Aging Neurosci 2021, 13:697621.
- 45. Percie du Sert N, Ahluwalia A, Alam S, Avey MT, Baker M, Browne WJ, Clark A, Cuthill IC, Dirnagl U, Emerson M *et al*: **Reporting animal research**: **Explanation and elaboration for the ARRIVE guidelines 2.0**. *PLoS Biol* 2020, **18**(7):e3000411.
- 46. Vorhees CV, Williams MT: Morris water maze: procedures for assessing spatial and related forms of learning and memory. *Nat Protoc* 2006, 1(2):848–858.
- 47. Barbash S, Hanin G, Soreq H: Stereotactic injection of microRNA-expressing lentiviruses to the mouse hippocampus ca1 region and assessment of the behavioral outcome. *J Vis Exp* 2013(76):e50170.
- 48. Liu M, Pi H, Xi Y, Wang L, Tian L, Chen M, Xie J, Deng P, Zhang T, Zhou C *et al*: KIF5A-dependent axonal transport deficiency disrupts autophagic flux in trimethyltin chloride-induced neurotoxicity. *Autophagy* 2021, **17**(4):903–924.
- 49. Yan CG, Wang XD, Zuo XN, Zang YF: DPABI: Data Processing & Analysis for (Resting-State) Brain Imaging. Neuroinformatics 2016, 14(3):339-351.
- 50. Barriere DA, Ella A, Szeremeta F, Adriaensen H, Meme W, Chaillou E, Migaud M, Meme S, Levy F, Keller M: **Brain orchestration of pregnancy and maternal behavior in mice: A longitudinal morphometric study**. *Neuroimage* 2021, **230**:117776.

Figures

Figure 1

Design of shRNA targeting *Sirt1* for AAV packaging and hippocampal *Sirt1* knockdown by stereotactic injection. (A) Validation of knockdown efficiency of *Sirt1*-shRNAs in G1261 cells by RT-qPCR. Abbreviations: sh-SCR (scrambled shRNA), sh-*Sirt1*A (the first shRNA sequence targeting *Sirt1*), sh-*Sirt1*B (the second shRNA sequence targeting *Sirt1*). n = 3. *** p < 0.001 represents comparison between the sh-SCR and sh-*Sirt1*A. **p < 0.05 represents comparison between the sh-SCR and sh-*Sirt1*B. (B) Illustration of plasmid construction for AAV packaging. Abbreviations: inverted terminal repeats (ITR), cytomegalovirus promoter (CMV), *Sirt1* shRNA (shSirt1), and enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) reporter gene. (C) Graphical illustration of stereotactic injection of AAV into bilateral CA1 regions of hippocampus. (D) Representative fluorescence image of frozen brain section. (E) Work flow of *in vivo* experiments performed on the control group, the sham group and the shSirt1 group. Abbreviations: Open Field test (OFT), Morris Water Maze (MWM), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and western blotting (WB).

Figure 2

Volume analysis of hippocampus and its subregions based on 3T MR brain structural imaging. (A) Illustrative schemes for hippocampal segmentation based on Turone Mouse Brain Atlas and Template (TMBTA). (B-G) Statistical analysis of (B) total intracranial volume (TIV), (C) the volume of hippocampal formation region, (D) total hippocampal volume, and the volumes of hippocampal subregions including (E) dentate gyrus region, (F) CA1 region, (G) CA2 region, and (H) CA3 region. n = 9. *p < 0.05, and **p < 0.05, and **p < 0.01 represent comparison between the control group and the shSirt1 group.

Figure 3

Hippocampal Sirt1 knockdown caused cognitive impairment in middle-aged mice. (A) Percentage of time spent by mice in the center zone during the OFT. (B) Representative autonomous trajectory maps of mice in OFT. Green color indicates the defined center zone and the rest is defined peripheral zone. (C) The swimming speed of mice in MWM with visual platform before the learning phase. (D) Representative swimming paths of mice in the probe phase. (E) Escape latency of mice during the learning phase. (F) Time spent in target zone and (G) the number of platform crossings of mice in the probe phase. n = 12. p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 represent comparison between the control group and the shSirt1 group. p < 0.05 represents comparison between the sham group and the shSirt1 group.

Figure 4

Western blotting analysis of related protein levels after hippocampal *Sirt1* knockdown. (A) Representative immunoblots and qualified density value of *Sirt1*. (B) Representative immunoblots and qualified density value of p-tau. (C) Representative immunoblots and qualified density value of PSD95. (D) Representative immunoblots and qualified density value of synaptophysin. n = 3. p < 0.05 and p < 0.001 represent comparisons between the control group and the shSirt1 group. Full-length blots are presented in Supplementary information (Figure S1).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- FigureS1.tif
- SupplementaryInformation.docx