

Outcome of Neurocritical Disorders, A Multicenter Study from Sudan

Khalid Mohamed Ali

Gadarif University, Faculty of Medicine

Mahmoud Hussien Salih

University of Gezira, Faculty of Medicine

Hiba Hassan AbuGabal

Fajr College for Science and Technology

Mohammed Eltahier Abdalla Omer

Gadarif University Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

Ammar EITahir Ahmed

University of Khartoum, Faculty of Medicine

Khabab Abbasher Hussien Mohamed Ahmed (✉ Khabab9722@gmail.com)

University of Khartoum, Faculty of Medicine <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4608-5321>

Research Article

Keywords: Neurocritical care, ICU, Neurological mortality, Outcome, Neurology, Sudan

Posted Date: December 1st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1129582/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background:

Patients with neurocritical disorders that require admission to intensive care units (ICUs) constitute about 10–15% of critical care cases.

Objectives:

To study the outcome of neurocritical disorders in intensive care units.

Methodology:

This is a prospective observational study which was conducted in neurocritical patients who were admitted in four intensive care units of major hospitals in Khartoum state during the period from November 2020 to March 2021.

Results:

72 neurocritical patients were included in this study, 40(55.6%) were males and 32(44.4%) were females. 21 (29.2%) patients fully recovered, 35 (48.6%) partially recovered and 16 (22.2%) died. The mortality of the common neurocritical diseases were as follows: Stroke 30.4%, Encephalitis (8.3%), Status epilepticus (11.1%), Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) (16.7%) and Myasthenia gravis (MG) (25%).

Conclusion:

This study identified that near two third of the patients required mechanical ventilation. Delayed admission was observed due to causes distributed between the medical side and patient side. The majority of patients were discharged from ICU with partial recovery.

Introduction

Neurocritical care (or neurointensive care) is a medical field that concerns with the management of life-threatening neurological disorders as well as identifying, preventing and treating secondary brain injury. Patients with neurocritical disorders that require admission to ICU constitute about 10–15% of critical care cases [1]. In addition, many critically ill patients with sepsis or respiratory failure develop neurological complications, such as delirium, non-convulsive status epilepticus, or neuromuscular weakness, which may in turn contribute to morbidity and an increased risk of mortality [2].

Critical care was an ancient field developed over time. Intensive care began with centers to treat the poliomyelitis outbreak during the mid-twentieth century. Initially these early respiratory care units utilized a negative and positive pressure unit called the "Iron Lung" to aid patients in respiration and greatly decreased the mortality rate of Poliomyelitis [3]. Dr. Bjørn Aage Ibsen, a physician in Denmark, "birthed the

intensive care unit", when he used tracheostomy and positive pressure manual ventilation to keep polio patients alive in the setting of an influx of patients and limited resources (only one iron Lung); [4].

Neurocritical care focuses on the care of critically ill patients with an acute neurological disorders and has developed remarkably in the past few years. However, there is a lack of data that describe the scope of this practice and epidemiological data on the types of patients and treatments used in neurocritical care units worldwide [5].

ICU neurological cases are of two types, primary neurological cases admitted from the start by neurologist/internist and consultation for neurological manifestations of already admitted patients in ICU under care of internist or intensivist [5].

There is limited information regarding epidemiological data, disease characteristics, and variability of clinical care and in-hospital mortality of neurocritically ill patients worldwide.

OP Adudu et al from Nigeria studied the outcome in NICU .They found that the overall mortality rate was 52.4% with 86 (87.8%) of the 98 deaths occurring within the first week of ICU admission. Mortality rates were significant for all cases with the exceptions of status epilepticus, spinal cord injuries and Guillain-Barre syndrome. Mortality was directly related to severity of illness as the most critically ill patients that needed the most intervention. Neurological disorders accounted for between 65% and 71.6% of the morbidities in intensive care units [6].

A Study was done by Ibrahim et al: "Improved Outcomes following the Establishment of a Neurocritical Care Unit in Saudi Arabia", a retrospective before and after cohort study comparing the outcomes of neurologically injured patients. Group1 met criteria for NICU admission but were admitted to the general ICU as the NICU was not yet operational. Group 2 were subsequently admitted thereafter to the NICU once it had opened. The following results were obtained: admission to NICU was a significant predictor of increased hospital discharge with an odds ratio of 2.3 (95% CI: 1.3–4.1;). Group 2 (n = 208 patients) compared to Group 1 (n = 364 patients) had a significantly lower ICU LOS (15 versus 21.4 days). Group 2 also had lower ICU and hospital mortality rates (5.3% versus 10.2% and 9.1% versus 19.5%, respectively; all). Group 2 patients had higher discharge glasgow coma scale (GCS) and underwent fewer tracheostomies but more interventional procedures (all). They concluded that admission to NICU, within a polyvalent Middle Eastern ICU, was associated improvement in the mortality and morbidity [7].

Ines etal in their retrospective study (Predictors for good functional outcome after neurocritical care) in Germany investigated 796 consecutive patients admitted to a non-surgical neurologic intensive care unit over a period of two years (2006 and 2007). They came with the following results: About 60% of all patients suffered from stroke (ischemic stroke: 31% and ICH: 26%). Patients were diagnosed with subarachnoid hemorrhage in 5%, epileptic seizures in 12%, meningoencephalitis in 6%, Guillain-Barré Syndrome and myasthenia gravis in 3%, neurodegenerative diseases and encephalopathy in 3%, cerebral neoplasm in 3%, and intoxications in 3%. The remaining 63 patients were patients outsourced from general ICUs due to space limitations as well as patients temporarily monitored after neuroradiological

procedures. Overall in-hospital mortality amounted to 22.5% of all patients, and a good long-term functional outcome was achieved in 28.4%. The parameters age, length of ventilation (LOV), admission diagnosis of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), GBS/MG, and inoperable cerebral neoplasm as well as Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System (TISS)-28 on Day 1 were independently associated with functional outcome after one year [8].

Objectives

General objective

To study the outcome of neurocritical disorders in intensive care units.

Specific objectives

1. To study the mortality of neurological disorders admitted in ICUs
2. To identify the associated risk with mortality of neurological ICU patients .

Material And Methods

Study Design

Prospective cross-sectional study.

Study area

1. ICU in Omdurman teaching hospital (OTH).
2. ICU in Bshair University hospital(BUH).
3. ICU in Ibrahim malik teaching hospital(IMTH).
4. ICU in Soba University hospital.

Omdurman teaching hospital is one of the oldest hospitals in Sudan. It is located in Omdurman city. It is the largest hospital in the city that receives patients from different states of Sudan with full day services. The intensive care unit of the hospital has a capacity of 10 beds.

Bashir University hospital a full day University hospital. It is located in the Southern part of Khartoum city, the capital of Sudan. The intensive care unit of the hospital has a capacity of 6 beds.

Ibrahim Malik teaching hospital is located in middle of Khartoum city .The intensive care unit of the hospital has a capacity of 6 beds.

Soba University hospital in Khartoum city. The intensive care of the hospital has 6 beds capacity.

Study duration

The study was conducted in the period from November 2020 to March 2021.

Study population

All neurocritical disorders admitted to the ICU in addition to medical ICU patients who required neurologist consultations during their ICU stay during study duration.

Sampling Technique and Sample size

Non – probability sampling (Total coverage of all cases during the study period).

Sample size was 72.

Data Collection Tools and Methods

The data was collected by the principle investigator (the researcher).

Data Analysis

Data was processed by using the computerized program; Statistical package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23.

Ethical consideration

Sudan Medical Sepecializatin Board ethical committee approval was obtained.

Results

Gender distribution

72 neurocritical patients were included in this study, 40(55.6%) were males and 32(44.4%) were females.

Age distribution

33 (45.8%) aged (18 to 45), 22(30.6%) aged(46 to 65)and 17(23.6%) patients were more than 65 years old.

Pattern of diagnosis

The pattern of diagnosis as follow: 23 stroke (31.9%), 12 (16.7%), with encephalitis, 9 (12.5%) patients with status epilepticus, 6 (8.3%) with GBS, 4(5.6%) with MG. 2 (2.8%) with multiple sclerosis (MS) and neurological consultation was needed for 16 patients (22.2%). See **Table 1**

Distribution according to the need of MV

Mechanical ventilation (MV) was needed for 46 (62.5%) patients. See **Figure 1**

Delayed ICU admission

Delayed ICU admission was assumed in 13 patients (18.1%) which was considered to be due to medical side in 6 patients and patient side in 7 .

Distribution according to duration of ICU stay

The duration of ICU stay was as follow: less than 48 hours for 6 (8.3%) patients, 3 to 6 days for 23 (31.9%), 1 to 8 weeks for 28 (38.9%) and 14 (19.4%) stayed more than 8 weeks. See **Table 2**

Distribution according to duration on MV

Duration on MV was as follow: less than 48 hours for 7 patients, 3 to 6 days for 13 patients, 1 to 8 weeks for 23 patients and 3 patients needed more than 8 weeks MV. See **Table 3**

Outcome of ICU management

Regarding the outcome ,21 (29.2%) patients fully recovered ,35 (48.6%) partially recovered and 16 (22.2%) died. See **Figure 2**

Mortality of the common diseases in ICU

The mortality of the common neurocritical diseases were as follows: stroke 30.4%. encephalitis (8.3%), status epilepticus (11.1%) GBS (16.7%) and MG (25%).

Some predictors of outcome

There was significant relation between the need for mechanical ventilation and the outcome as well as the delayed ICU admission and the outcome. See **Table 4**

Discussion

The outcome of neurocritical disorders are with no doubt affected by the worldwide variability of the critical care services. So discrepancy is expected due to where such condition were being managed, whether in general ICU or NICU.

Stroke, encephalitis, status epilepticus, GBS and myasthenic crisis were the commonest neurocritical disorders encountered [9].

The need of MV was the main indication for ICU admission which was compatible with literature review and the GCS 8 or less was the main neurological indications for mechanical ventilation as usual in similar studies [10]. The delayed admission from patient side was due to two reasons either coming from outside Khartoum or due to wondering between private and governmental sectors. The duration in ICU was to some extent is similar to literature review as ,this is obvious when looking to what was found by Andreas et al, in their study : Declining mortality in neurocritical care patients, in which longer ICU length of stay may be due to delaying decisions about withdrawing life-sustaining interventions [11]. The

outcome of neurocritical care management showed some differences than what was found in previous studies in the countries with well-established system of specialized intensive care, but some similarity with neighbouring countries was noticed particularly if we consider the mortality of each neurocritical disease. The outcome of management was compatible with some studies and not with others, this was obvious when compared with what was identified by Max-etal in the study : ICNARC Study of Mortality in Neurocritical Patient on ICU ,and on the other hand when compared with study that was conducted in NICU the overall mortality was higher (22% in our study versus 5.3% in study by Ibrahim et al [7].

When considering the mortality of the common diseases separately, stroke mortality was to some extent compatible with the literature review(30.4% versus 34.1%) respectively. Mortality of encephalitis was not compatible with literature review(8.2% versus 37.3%) respectively [12], but I think this is may be due to the limitations in the work up of suspected cases of encephalitis may had an impact on the diagnosis. Regarding the mortality of status epilepticus, it was compatible with range found in previous studies(11.1% versus the range of 10 to 30%) respectively [13]. The mortality of myasthenic crisis was higher when compared to what was found in NICU studies(25% versus 18.6%) respectively, on the other hand it was not so far from other studies in the countries with similar facilities in which MC mortality reached 30% [13]. GBS mortality was higher than which was found in very recent literature review (16.7% versus 6.8%) respectively.

Conclusion

This study identified that near two third of the patients required mechanical ventilation. Delayed admission was observed due to causes distributed between the medical side and patient side. The majority of patients were discharged from ICU with partial recovery.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Constructing NICU and comprehensive stroke units or at least stroke high dependency units is an urgent requirement for facing such very common problem.

We need increase our knowledge about neurocritical care as specialty required a joined effort between intensivists and neurologists or why not neurointensivist in the future by encouraging workshops in this field.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest:

All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding:

There are no funds.

Informed consents:

Both written and verbal consents were taken from each patient.

Ethical approval;

Ethical approval was obtained from Sudan State Ministry of Health. Both privacy and protection of the participants' files and information were of the highest priority. Written and verbal consents were taken from the participants.

Acknowledgments:

Not applicable.

Authors' contribution:

All authors contributed equally in the study.

Ethical Publication Statement:

All authors gave their consent for publication.

References

1. Pelosi P, Ferguson ND, Frutos-Vivar F, et al. Management and outcome of mechanically ventilated neurologic patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2011;39:1482–92
2. Oddo M, Carrera E, Claassen J, et al. Continuous electroencephalography in the medical intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med*. 2009;37:2051–6.
3. Korbakis G, Bleck T The evolution of neurocritical care".." *Crit Care Clin*. October 2014 30 (4): 657–71.
4. Wijdicks EF. "The history of neurocritical care". *HandbClin Neurol*. 2017. 140: 3–14.
5. Jose I. Suarez Renee H. Martin, Colleen Bauza, AlexandrosGeorgiadis, Chethan P. VenkatasubbaRao, EusebiaCalvilloJ. Claude Hemphill III, Gene Sung, Mauro Oddo, Fabio Silvio Tacconeand Peter D. LeRouxWorldwide Organization of Neurocritical Care: Results from the PRINCE Study Part 1*Neurocrit Care* (2020) 32:172–179 [https:// doi.org/ 10. 1007/s12028-019-00750-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-019-00750-3)
6. Adudu O P, Ogunrin O A, Adudu O G. Morbidity and mortality patterns among neurological patients in the intensive care unit of a tertiary health facility. *Ann Afr Med* 2007;6:174–9
7. Ibrahim Soliman, WaleedTharwatAletreby, FahadFaqihi, NasirNasimMahmood, Omar E. Ramadan, Ahmad FouadMady, Babar Kahlon, AbdulrahmanAlharthy, Peter Brindley, DimitriosKarakitsos, "Improved Outcomes following the Establishment of a Neurocritical Care Unit in Saudi Arabia", *Critical Care Research and Practice*, vol. 2018, Article ID 2764907, 6 pages, 2018. [https://doi.org/ 10.1155/ 2018/2764907](https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2764907).

8. Ines C Kiphuth, Peter D Schellinger, Martin Köhrmann, Jürgen Bardutzky, Hannes Lücking,2 Stephan Kloska, Stefan Schwab, and Hagen B Huttner. Predictors for good functional outcome after neurocritical care Crit Care. 2010; 14(4): R136.
9. Andreas H. Kramer and David A. Zygun in their study in Canada" Declining mortality in neurocritical care patients: a cohort study in Southern Alberta over eleven years".Can J Anesth/J Can Anesth (2013) 60:966–975. DOI 10.1007/s12630-013-0001-0.
10. Roland Backhaus, Franz Aigner, Felix Schlachetzki, Dagmar Steffling, Wolfgang Jakob, Andreas Steinbrecher, Bernhard Kaiser, Peter Hau, Sandra Boy, Kornelius Fuchs, Ulrich Bogdahn, Markus Ritzka, "Inventory of a Neurological Intensive Care Unit: Who Is Treated and How Long?", Neurology Research International, 2015, Article ID 696038, 7 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/696038>
11. Sudhir Sharma, Vivek Lal, Sudesh Prabhakar, and Ritesh Agarwal. Clinical profile and outcome of myasthenic crisis in a tertiary care hospital: A prospective study. Ann Indian Acad Neurol. 2013 Apr-Jun; 16(2): 203–207.
12. Mohamed S, El-Tamawy, Hanan Amer, Nirmeen A. Kishk, Amani M. Nawito, Mye A. Basheer, Nelly Alieldin, Rehab Magdy, Alshimaa S. Othman. External validation of the status epilepticus severity score and the epidemiology-based mortality score in status epilepticus as outcome prediction scores in an Egyptian cohort with status epilepticus. Epilepsy & Behavior, Volume 102, January 2020, 106686.
13. Robin Howard, Dimitri Kullman. Admission to neurology ICU: who, when and why. J of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry October 2003 74 supp 3 : iii 2-9.

Tables

Table 1: Shows the pattern of diagnosis of neurocritical cases

	Frequency	Percent
Stroke	23	31.9
Encephalitis	12	16.7
SE	9	12.5
GBS	6	8.3
MG	4	5.6
Consultation	16	22.2
MS	2	2.8
Total	72	100.0

Table 2: Shows the distribution of the study population with regard to the duration in ICU.

Duration	Frequency	Percent
≤ 48 hrs	6	8.3
3-6 days	23	31.9
1-8 wks	28	38.9
≥ 8 wks	15	20.8
Total	72	100.0

Table 3: Shows the distribution of the distribution of mechanically ventilated patients with regard to the duration on MV.

Duration	Frequency
≤ 48 hrs	7
3-6 days	13
1-8 wks	23
≥ 8 wks	3
Total	46

Table 4: The outcome of common neurocritical diseases

	What was the outcome?			Mortality	Total
	Full recovery	Partial recovery	Death	%	
Stroke	3	13	7	30.4	23
Encephalitis	3	8	1	8.3	12
SE	5	3	1	11.1	9
GBS	0	5	1	16.7	6
MG	3	0	1	25	4

Figures




Figure 1

Illustrates the need for mechanical ventilation among the study population




Figure 2

Illustrates the outcome of neurocritical patients.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Outcomesupplementary.docx](#)