Prediction key genes and the potential molecular mechanism for early recurrent pregnancy loss by Multi-Omics analysis

Le Huang

Guangxi Medical University First Affiliated Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1450-2090>

Changqiang Wei

Guangxi Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Zhao Zhang

Guangxi Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Jiao Cen

Guangxi Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Zhiwei Zhu

Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine

Lingjie Deng

Guangxi Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Lihong Pang (✉ 2814785347@qq.com)

Guangxi Medical University First Affiliated Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5179-8396>

Research

Keywords: Early recurrent pregnancy loss, Multi-omics analysis, Methylated differentially expressed genes, Transcription factors

Posted Date: January 13th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.20745/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

The potential mechanism of early recurrent pregnancy loss (ERPL) has not been fully elucidated, a multi-omics analysis can help us find key genes.

Results

We download data from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), 90 hypermethylation-down regulated genes and 49 hypomethylation-up regulated genes were identified by intersection. Compared with the normal early pregnancy group, the expression of ERCC2 and MLH1 was lower in ERPL group, but the expression of PLEK and FOS was higher, and the expression of MLH1 was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Compared with the anembryonic (empty sac) miscarriage group, the expression of MLH1, PLEK, FOS decreased, and that of ERCC2 increased in the embryonic miscarriage group. TF-MDEGs networks predicted SP1, POLR2A, YY1, CREM and CREB1 were involved in methylation regulation of DNA promoter with MDEGs. Among them, YY1, FOXP3 and p53 may be related to the mechanism of MLH1 in ERPL.

Conclusions

Our study identified possible aberrant MDEGs, and TF- MDEGs regulatory networks may be related to its mechanism. MLH1 may play an important role in early embryonic development.

1 Introduction

Early recurrent pregnancy loss (ERPL) concerning approximately 1% of couples aiming at childbirth^[1], is generally defined as two or more consecutive clinical pregnancy losses before 12 weeks of gestation. Majority of sporadic cases of ERPL (50-60%) are due to an accidental non-inherited nondisjunction events causing chromosome aneuploidies^[2]. Another current known causes of ERPL include age, uterine abnormalities, endocrine disorders, immune disorders and thrombosis^[3]. However, there are still 25 to 50% of normal karyotype RPL remain unexplained after evaluation^[4], and the risk of premature birth, stillbirth, pre-eclampsia increases after normal pregnancy^[5]. ERPL continues to be a clinically frustrating challenge for pregnant women. Thus, discovering a new mechanism is urgent.

DNA methylation, as one of the major epigenetic modifications, contributes to gene expression regulation and chromosomal stable modification^[6]. Animal studies show that genome-wide changes in methylation reprogramming result in embryonic arrest and embryo loss prior to implantation^[7]. During pregnancy, placenta plays a crucial role in the normal growth and development of the fetus. Scholars observed abnormal DNA methylation in human chorionic villus, and the loci of the imprinted genes can result in ERPL with normal karyotype^[8, 9]. A genome-wide DNA methylation analysis reveals that CREB5 hypomethylation can recruit TFs, such as P53 and SP1, which alter trophoblast cell function, leading to RPL^[10]. The promoter or enhancer region is often accompanied by the binding of TFs, suggesting that it

may be involved in the regulation of DNA methylation^[11-13]. Abnormal DNA methylation of the placental gene is closely related to the normal growth and development of the fetus, leading to normal human pathological conditions, especially abortion^[14]. Insufficient DNA methylation in villus tissue is likely to be an independent predisposing factor for ERPL.

However, due to the small size of most studies, which is limited to the existing etiological hypothesis of ERPL to measure a small set of genes, this phenomenon may be explained in a biased manner. Multiple cellular processes and biological key genes and pathways cannot be involved. As a result, the relationship between TF and DNA methylation is inconclusive with RPL and needs to be further studied.

To date, no research has been performed to jointly analyze of both gene and methylation expression profiling microarray in ERPL. We performed a multi-omics analysis on existing microarray data, combined with gene expression profiling microarray (GSE22490, GSE76862) and gene methylation profiling microarray (GSE43256, GSE74738), and identified aberrant MDEGs and pathways in ERPL. Key genes were revealed through PPI network. TFs associated with DNA promoter methylation were also predicted to explore possible mechanisms of TF-DNA methylation interactions. In this way, we expect to find novel key genes and reveal the potential molecular mechanism in ERPL.

2 Materials And Methods

2.1 screening of MDEGs

2.1.1 Microarray data processing

Gene expression profiling data (GSE22490, GSE76862) and gene methylation profiling data (GSE43256, GSE74738) were collected through the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) of The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). All of these were collected from early karyotype normal placental villi.

The microarray data were analyzed by GEO2R, and identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and differentially methylated genes (DMGs), Cut-off criteria for DEGs and DMGs were defined as $p < 0.05$ and $|\log_2| > 2$. By overlapping DEGs and DMGs, MDEGs were obtained. Functional analysis and enrichment analysis were performed on MDEGs at least three or three intersections.

2.1.2 Integration of Protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks and functional enrichment analysis

The STRING database was used to construct PPI network with DMGs (cut-off criteria score 0.4). PPI network was visualized by Analyzer module in Cytoscape software, and the key genes were determined by node degree. To clearly understand the biological mechanism of DMGs, Gene ontology (GO) analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis were performed using the Omicshare tools (<http://www.omicshare.com/tools>) and the R software cluster Profiler package. $P < 0.05$ was regarded as statistically significant.

2.2 qRT-PCR and functional prediction of key genes

2.2.1 Study population and sample collection

The villus tissues were obtained from selected 22 patients with ERPL and 22 normal early pregnancy patients who underwent induced abortion in the first affiliated hospital of Guangxi Medical University from September 2018 to October 2019. The chorionic villi were preserved in RNA preservation solution at 4 °C for 24 h and stored at -80 °C for subsequent study. ERPL was determined to have inevitable abortion, and at least one early spontaneous abortion occurred in the past. Normal early pregnant women have no history of spontaneous abortion in the past and have at least one normal pregnancy history. The embryonic development of this pregnancy is normal. The gestational age of the two groups was less than 12 weeks, and no significant difference was observed in age and gestational age (ultrasound) between the two groups. At the same time, the clinical data, such as age, previous pregnancy history, and gestational age were collected (Table 1). The following specific ultrasound information was included: gestational sac size (GDS), crown-rump-length (CRL), embryo or germ and cardiac tube beat. According to ultrasound, the ERPL group was divided into two subgroups: the anembryonic (empty sac) miscarriage group (n = 6) and embryonic miscarriage group (n = 16). Anembryonic (empty sac) miscarriage is defined as the loss of intrauterine pregnancy with no yolk sac or embryo but with pregnancy sac during ultrasonography, and embryonic miscarriage is defined as the loss of intrauterine pregnancy in which ultrasound shows that the fetus does not have a pulsatile tube^[15]. All subjects in this study were provided with a verbal explanation and had signed an informed consent. The procedures were approved by the medical ethics committee of the first affiliated hospital of Guangxi Medical University.

2.2.2 qRT-PCR of key genes

Four key genes of ERCC2, PLEK, FOS, MLH1 were selected and verified by qRT-PCR. Villus tissue of 100 mg was taken and evenly ground. The total RNA was extracted using TRIZOL (Thermo). Total RNA of 1 µg was reverse-transcribed according to generate cDNA by using the reverse transcription kit (QIAGEN). The reverse-transcribed cDNA was diluted 10 times and used as a template for qRT-PCR detection. The reaction conditions of PCR were as follows: 95 °C 2min, 95 °C 5s, 60 °C 30s, 40 cycles, along with GAPDH was used as endogenous control. The relative expression was calculated by $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. All primer information of PCR was shown in Table S1.

2.2.3 Statistical analysis

Data were presented as Mean $\pm$ SD. The significant difference between two groups was determined by student's t-test using SPSS 22.0, visualized with GraphPad PrismVersion 8 (San Diego, CA, USA). $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

2.2.4 TF- MDEGs networks

GeneHancer (<https://www.genecards.org/>) predicted the TFs of the key genes' promoter interaction and the highest part of the TFs of the Gene Association Score. PROMO (http://alggen.lsi.upc.es/cgi-bin/promo_v3/promo/promoinit.cgi?dirDB=TF_8.3) and JASPAR (<http://jaspar.genereg.net/>) databases were used to verify whether binding sites between TFs and key genes. At the same time, from the Pubmed retrieval of TFs and RPL of the published literature, combined with the TFs, the possible mechanism of key genes was further explored.

3 Results

3.1 Identification of aberrantly MDEGs in ERPL

According to analyze by GEO2R, GSE22490 was screened 707 up-regulated genes and 692 down-regulated genes, 644 up-regulated genes and 1326 down-regulated genes were screened by GSE76862. A total of 2800 hypermethylated genes and 993 hypomethylated genes were found in GSE43256, and 9509 hypermethylated genes and 8985 hypomethylated genes were identified by GSE74738. As a result, 90 hypermethylated-down expression genes and 49 hypomethylated-up expression genes were obtained by overlapping DEGs and DMGs (Fig. 1, Table 2). The heatmap of top 50 up-regulated and down-regulated genes in GSE22490 and GSE43256 were shown in Fig. 2.

3.2 PPI networks and functional enrichment

Constructed by STRING database, the first 10 key genes in PPI networks were PLEK, FOS, PLCG2, ERBB2, EXO1, MLH1, ERCC2, FCGR1A, PLD1 and FANCD2 (Fig 3c). GO functional enrichment analysis showed hypermethylated-down expression genes enriched in biological processes (BP), such as macromolecule metabolic process, cellular macromolecule metabolic process, nitrogen compound metabolic process, cellular nitrogen compound metabolic process, and nucleic acid metabolic process, suggesting that hypomethylated-up expression genes may play a key role in embryonic growth and organogenesis. For hypomethylated-up expression genes, enriched BPs included immune system process, localization, vesicle-mediated transport, establishment of localization, transport. Hypermethylated-down expression genes might affect ERPL in terms of immunity. The top 10 significant terms of GO-BP enrichment of MDEGs were shown in Fig. 3 (a, b). KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of MDEGs revealed that the hypermethylated-down expression genes were significantly enriched in mismatch repair. The hypomethylated-up expression genes were enriched in the pathways of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection, osteoclast differentiation, platelet activation, oxytocin signaling pathway, B cell receptor signaling pathway (Table 3).

3.3 qRT-PCR expression of key gene ERCC2, MLH1, PLEK, FOS

ERCC2, MLH1, PLEK, FOS was randomly selected from hub key for verification. Compared with normal early pregnancy group, the expression of ERCC2 and MLH1 in EPRL group decreased and the expression of PLEK, FOS in ERPL group increased. This result was consistent with the trend of screening microarray. The expression level of MLH1 in ERPL (1.72 ± 2.52) was significantly lower than that in normal early

pregnancy group (3.39 ± 1.46). The difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), but no significant difference was observed in the expression level of ERCC2, PLEK, FOS. In ERPL, compared with the anembryonic (empty sac) miscarriage, the expression of MLH1, PLEK, FOS decreased and the expression of ERCC2 increased in the embryonic miscarriage group. The difference was not statistically significant (Fig 4, Table S2, S3).

3.4 TF- MDEGs networks and predicted MLH1 function

The hypermethylated-down expression genes had the highest correlation with SP1, POLR2A, YY1, DRF2 and PKNX1 (Fig. 5a). The hypomethylated-up expression genes were closely related to SP1, POLR2A, CREM, CREB1 and ZEB2 (Fig. 5b). Thirty-three TFs were related to ERPL from Pubmed and through PROMO (cut-off criteria 0%). Twenty-two TFs associated with MLH1 were predicted. By JASPAR database (cut-off criteria score 450), 21 TFs with binding sites to MLH1 were predicted. Combined with the reported TFs related to ERPL, FOXP3, YY1 and P53 may be related to the mechanism of MLH1 in ERPL (Fig 5c, d).

4 Discussion

Abnormal methylation, especially abnormal promoter CpG island methylation, can be used as a marker to monitor the dynamic changes of many diseases^[16, 17], and plays an important role in embryonic development, X chromosome inactivation, genetic imprinting, and chromatin stability^[14]. Therefore, abnormal DNA methylation in early placental villi is also considered to be an important mechanism leading to developmental failure^[7]. The analysis of abnormal DNA methylation genes helps discover the mechanism of placental resistance to adverse environmental conditions and allows us to take protective measures.

In our study, we found PLEK, FOS, PLCG2, ERBB2, EXO1, MLH1 and ERCC2 are in the core position in the regulatory network of ERPL occurrence and development. Early abortion less than 12 weeks of gestation is generally calculated according to the last menstruation of a woman, but delayed ovulation or miscarriage may be observed, thus, estimating the embryo size on the basis of ultrasound may be reliable^[18]. In our study, ultrasound was used to estimate the gestational age of embryos, and the normal groups with no statistical difference were selected to respond well to the factors related to early embryonic development.

Early pregnancy loss can be divided into anembryonic (empty sac) miscarriage, yolk sac miscarriage and embryonic miscarriage, usually due to chorionic vascular hypoplasia, resulting in abnormal embryonic development^[19]. However, Reus et al suggested that although a decrease in villus angiogenesis could be observed in the abortion tissues of women with recurrent pregnancy loss, there was no significant difference in the degree of vascularization between empty sac miscarriage and embryonic miscarriage^[20]. Previous studies have shown that the size of yolk sac is related to the results of specific types of ultrasound^[21]. However, research on the relationship between ultrasound and normal karyotype abortion is lacking. Whether other mechanisms affect the development of embryos is worth considering.

In our study, we specifically divided the ERPL group into anembryonic (empty sac) miscarriage and embryo miscarriage according to ultrasound in order to explore whether the genes related to DNA repair and immunity are related to the early embryonic development. We preliminarily found that the expression of MLH1, PLEK, FOS was lower, and that of ERCC2 was higher in the empty sac miscarriage group than in the embryo miscarriage group. We speculated that these genes may be involved in the development of early embryos. We could not obtain statistically significant results though due to the limitation of sample size. Yolk sac miscarriage was also excluded in the scope of the study. Accurately judging whether these genes influence the development of yolk sac is impossible. Expanding the sample size and further investigation are necessary.

The mechanism of DNA repair is crucial for maintaining the fidelity of DNA replication during mitosis, meiosis and post-meiotic development of male and female germ cells. Common approaches include nucleotide excision repair (NER), base excision repair (BER) and DNA mismatch repair (MMR)^[22]. Human MMR genes mainly include HMLH1, HMSH1, HMSH6. The defects of MMR gene lead to increase of base mismatch and instability of microsatellites during DNA replication, resulting in cell growth and apoptosis out of control^[23]. MMR protein can promote cell cycle arrest, P53 protein phosphorylation and initiate apoptosis, whereas loss of MMR function can inhibit apoptosis involving exogenous DNA damage due to changes in the external environment, thus losing the inhibition of tumours^[24]. To date, MMR plays an important role in embryonic development, but the detailed mechanism is unclear. Mismatch repair proteins involved in meiosis are identified, including MLH1, MLH3 and MSH4^[25]. Gene knockout mice reveal that MLH1 greatly influence infertility in female mice^[26]. Ernest et al found that in men with sperm disorders, the ability of spermatocytes to express MLH1 decreases, resulting in meiosis and spermatogenesis^[27]. In other studies, MLH1 gene is highly expressed in patients with azoospermia, combining with hMuts to form a large number of temporary complexes, initiating mismatch repair, resulting in excessive repair of DNA during meiosis and may likely cause meiosis to fail^[28]. Although the results of spermatogenesis in MLH1 are inconsistent, MLH1 may play an important role in early embryonic development. To further explore the role of MLH1 in embryonic development is necessary. In the normal villi of early pregnancy, cytotrophoblast cells are highly proliferative, DNA replication is active and high expression of mismatch repair genes, such as MLH1, can maintain the stability of genetic material^[29]. By contrast, the expression of syncytiotrophoblast is negative or weakened. Therefore, we speculate that the MLH1 expression helps maintain the normal function of trophoblast cells and meet the needs of embryonic development. Our qRT-PCR results also confirmed that the MLH1 expression in the villi of the normal early pregnancy group was significantly higher than that of ERPL. This result was consistent with the function of MLH1 in trophoblast cells.

The function of MLH1 gene seems abnormally related to the corresponding regulatory sequence of the promoter^[30]. Some TFs are preferred to combine with these areas, which can regulate the establishment and maintenance of cells and local DNA methylation levels in a sequence-specific manner^[31]. A semi-estrogen response element (hemi-ERE) is also present in the promoter region of the MLH1 gene. Under the action of other TFs, its estrogen can bind to the estrogen receptor and interact with the corresponding

regulatory sequence of the MLH1 gene promoter and regulation of the transcription of downstream genes^[32]. We predicted by GeneHancer that TF, SP1 and YY1, which may bind to MLH1. SP1 is the first identified member of the zinc finger transcription factor family. Binding to DNA is essential for the embryonic development of normal mammals. Abnormal DNA binding domain can lead to a variety of heterogeneous phenotypic abnormalities in mice and intrauterine death^[33]. As a member of the zinc finger protein GLI-Krüppel family, YY1 is involved in the inhibition and activation of a variety of promoters^[34] and regulation of embryogenesis, proliferation and tumour and other cellular processes. The YY1 deletion leads to serious neurological defects, resulting in embryo death during implantation^[35-37]. Tian et al found that there is a binding site between YY1 and MMP2 promoter, affecting the migration and invasion of trophoblast cells by regulating the expression of MMP2D^[38]. We further predicted the binding sites of MLH1 promoter and TFs by UCSC and PROMO, needing to be further verified by experiments.

5 Conclusion

In this study, we predicted key genes in ERPL by a multi-omics analysis. The results showed that MLH1 in villus tissue of ERPL patients was significantly lower than that of normal early pregnancy, we speculate that DNA mismatch repair gene MLH1 may play an important role in the occurrence and development of ERPL. Further verification of how MLH1 plays an important role in the pathogenesis of ERPL will be the focus of our next research.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All subjects in this study were provided with a verbal explanation and had signed an informed consent. The procedures were approved by the medical ethics committee of the first affiliated hospital of Guangxi Medical University.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81960281, Nos. 81660256).

Authors' contributions

CQW and ZZ collected and analyzed the data from GEO, ZWZ collected the patient data. JC and LJD carried out the qRT-PCR. LH participated in the design of the study and wrote the manuscript. LHP conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- [1]R. Rai,L. Regan Recurrent miscarriage[J].Lancet,2006,368 (9535):601-11
- [2]E. Tolmacheva, N. Skryabin, A. Kashevarova, et al. Identification of X-linked CNVs in women with extremely skewed X chromosome inactivation and miscarriage[J].Human Reproduction,2018,33 i36-i37
- [3]J. Kaiser,D. W. Branch Recurrent Pregnancy Loss: Generally Accepted Causes and Their Management[J].Clin Obstet Gynecol,2016,59 (3):464-73
- [4]M. Lund, M. Kamper-Jorgensen, H. S. Nielsen, et al. Prognosis for live birth in women with recurrent miscarriage: what is the best measure of success?[J].Obstet Gynecol,2012,119 (1):37-43
- [5]R. H. van Oppenraaij, E. Jauniaux, O. B. Christiansen, et al. Predicting adverse obstetric outcome after early pregnancy events and complications: a review[J].Hum Reprod Update,2009,15 (4):409-21
- [6]M. T. Maurano, H. Wang, S. John, et al. Role of DNA Methylation in Modulating Transcription Factor Occupancy[J].Cell Reports,2015,12 (7):1184-95
- [7]W. Shi,T. Haaf Aberrant methylation patterns at the two-cell stage as an indicator of early developmental failure[J].Molecular Reproduction and Development,2002,63 (3):329-34
- [8]H. Y. Zheng, Y. Tang, J. Niu, et al. Aberrant DNA methylation of imprinted loci in human spontaneous abortions after assisted reproduction techniques and natural conception[J].Human Reproduction,2013,28 (1):265-73
- [9]C. W. Hanna, D. E. McFadden,W. P. Robinson DNA methylation profiling of placental villi from karyotypically normal miscarriage and recurrent miscarriage[J].Am J Pathol,2013,182 (6):2276-84

- [10]M. Yu, G. Du, Q. Xu, et al. Integrated analysis of DNA methylome and transcriptome identified CREB5 as a novel risk gene contributing to recurrent pregnancy loss[J].EBioMedicine,2018,35 334-44
- [11]M. Oda, D. Oxley, W. Dean, et al. Regulation of Lineage Specific DNA Hypomethylation in Mouse Trophectoderm[J].Plos One,2013,8
- [12]S. Domcke, A. s. F. Bardet, P. Adrian Ginno, et al. Competition between DNA methylation and transcription factors determines binding of NRF1[J].Nature,2015,
- [13]S. E. Ozanne,M. Constanica Mechanisms of Disease: the developmental origins of disease and the role of the epigenotype[J].Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab,2007,3 (7):539-46
- [14]U. Zechner, G. Pliushch, E. Schneider, et al. Quantitative methylation analysis of developmentally important genes in human pregnancy losses after ART and spontaneous conception[J].Molecular Human Reproduction,2010,16 (9):704-13
- [15]A. M. Kolte, L. A. Bernardi, O. B. Christiansen, et al. Terminology for pregnancy loss prior to viability: a consensus statement from the ESHRE early pregnancy special interest group[J].Hum Reprod,2015,30 (3):495-8
- [16]C. Francastel,F. Magdinier DNA methylation in satellite repeats disorders[J].Essays Biochem,2019,
- [17]P. W. Laird The power and the promise of DNA methylation markers[J].Nat Rev Cancer,2003,3 (4):253-66
- [18]N. Ozawa, K. Ogawa, A. Sasaki, et al. Maternal age, history of miscarriage, and embryonic/fetal size are associated with cytogenetic results of spontaneous early miscarriages[J].Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics,2019,
- [19]I. M. A. van Gruting, M. A. Muller, K. van Groningen, et al. Macroscopic and microscopic morphology of first trimester miscarriage and subsequent pregnancy outcome - An exploratory study[J].Placenta,2017,53 16-22
- [20]A. D. Reus, M. D. Stephenson, F. M. van Dunne, et al. Chorionic villous vascularization related to phenotype and genotype in first trimester miscarriages in a recurrent pregnancy loss cohort[J].Hum Reprod,2013,28 (4):916-23
- [21]X. Li, Y. Ouyang, Y. Yi, et al. Correlation analysis between ultrasound findings and abnormal karyotypes in the embryos from early pregnancy loss after in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer[J].Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics,2017,
- [22]S. a. Mukherjee, A. D. b. Ridgeway,D. J. a. b. Lamb DNA mismatch repair and infertility. [Miscellaneous Article][J].Current Opinion in Urology November 2010;20(6):525-532,2010,

- [23]C. R. Boland, M. Koi, D. K. Chang, et al. The biochemical basis of microsatellite instability and abnormal immunohistochemistry and clinical behavior in Lynch syndrome: from bench to bedside[J].Fam Cancer,2008,7 (1):41-52
- [24]L. C. Young, K. J. Thulien, M. R. Campbell, et al. DNA mismatch repair proteins promote apoptosis and suppress tumorigenesis in response to UVB irradiation: an in vivo study[J].Carcinogenesis,2004,25 (10):1821-7
- [25]X. Zhao, C. Mu, J. Ma, et al. The association of four SNPs in DNA mismatch repair genes with idiopathic male infertility in northwest China[J].Int J Immunogenet,2019,46 (6):451-58
- [26]A. Svetlanov,P. E. Cohen Mismatch repair proteins, meiosis, and mice: understanding the complexities of mammalian meiosis[J].Exp Cell Res,2004,296 (1):71-9
- [27]E. Terribas, S. Bonache, M. Garcia-Arevalo, et al. Changes in the expression profile of the meiosis-involved mismatch repair genes in impaired human spermatogenesis[J].J Androl,2010,31 (4):346-57
- [28]W. Y. Song, H. Meng, X. G. Wang, et al. Reduced microRNA-188-3p expression contributes to apoptosis of spermatogenic cells in patients with azoospermia[J].Cell Prolif,2017,50 (1):
- [29]R. Fishel,T. Wilson MutS homologs in mammalian cells[J].1997,7 (1):105-13
- [30]R. A. Irizarry, C. Ladd-Acosta, B. Wen, et al. The human colon cancer methylome shows similar hypo- and hypermethylation at conserved tissue-specific CpG island shores[J].2009,41 (2):178-86
- [31]J. Wan, V. F. Oliver, G. Wang, et al. Characterization of tissue-specific differential DNA methylation suggests distinct modes of positive and negative gene expression regulation[J].Bmc Genomics,2015,16 (1):49
- [32]N. Engel, J. L. Thorvaldsen,M. S. Bartolomei CTCF binding sites promote transcription initiation and prevent DNA methylation on the maternal allele at the imprinted H19/Igf2 locus[J].Hum Mol Genet,2006,15 (19):2945-54
- [33]L. M. Christian At the forefront of psychoneuroimmunology in pregnancy: Implications for racial disparities in birth outcomes PART 1: Behavioral risks factors[J].Neurosci Biobehav Rev,2019,
- [34]Y. Shi, E. Seto, L.-S. Chang, et al. Transcriptional repression by YY1, a human GLI-Krüppel-related protein, and relief of repression by adenovirus E1A protein[J].Cell,1991,67 (2):377-88
- [35]K. Calame,M. Atchison YY1 helps to bring loose ends together[J].Genes Dev,2007,21 (10):1145-52
- [36]S. Gordon, G. Akopyan, H. Garban, et al. Transcription factor YY1: structure, function, and therapeutic implications in cancer biology[J].Oncogene,2005,25 (8):1125-42

[37]M. E. Donohoe, X. Zhang, L. McGinnis, et al. Targeted Disruption of Mouse Yin Yang 1 Transcription Factor Results in Peri-Implantation Lethality[J].Molecular & Cellular Biology,1999,19 (10):7237-44

[38]F. J. Tian, Y. X. Cheng, X. C. Li, et al. The YY1/MMP2 axis promotes trophoblast invasion at the maternal-fetal interface[J].J Pathol,2016,239 (1):36-47

Tables

Table 1 Basic clinical characteristic of subjects

	ERPL(N=22)	Normal (N=22)	P value
Maternal age(years)	32.73 ± 5.41	31.32 ± 5.22	0.384
GA (days)	62.64 ± 13.46	52.82 ± 9.54	0.007*
GA on ulttrasound (days)	50.64 ± 9.86	53.64 ± 8.52	0.286
Pregnancy	2.73 ± 1.49	2.77 ± 1.72	0.926
Parturition	0.59±0.59	1.68±1.17	0.0011*

ERPL, early recurrent pregnancy loss; GA, gestational age; CRL, crown-rump-length; GDS, the gestational diameter of the sac; GA on ultrasound: <7 weeks’ size, GA (days)=GDS (mm) +30; 7-12 weeks’ size, GA (days)= CRL (mm)+42Data are presented as Mean ± SD, and dichotomous variables as n (%); *Two sample (ERPL/normal) t-test was used.

Table 2 Methylated-differentially expressed genes obtained by Microarray data

Microarray data	Number	Gene
Hypermethylation and low expression		
GSE22490, GSE43256,	1	ERBB2
GSE74738, GSE76862, GSE22490, GSE43256,	2	HIST1H2AM, MYL4
GSE74738, GSE22490, GSE74738, GSE76862,	4	FBXO22, TRIM10, XKR4, WWC1
GSE22490, GSE43256, GSE76862	29	RGS4, INPP5B, MCPH1, ZNF230, C12orf60, ZNF71, HEXIM2, OXT, RASSF4, ROPN1, NPHS2, MYOZ3, SPP2, TM4SF1, SCARA5, SGCA, SSH1, CCND2, EYA4, ZNRD1, RGS20, CLK3, RAB4A, RNGTT, SLC1A7, MRPS10, TP53I11, HOXB3, CTNNA3
GSE43256, GSE74738, GSE76862	54	IMMP1L, ELAC2, MRPL22, LRP8, CCNB1IP1, TIMM23, ACP1, EXO1, FANCD2, LNPEP, PSMB6, CWF19L1, ITM2C, PRR11, LSM5, CATSPER2, VPS52, SERPINB12, IDH3B, UCHL3, RBM34, KMO, MAP2K6, FURIN, FDPS, ZSWIM1, ACSM3, ST8SIA4, ADAMTS6, PPARD, CMAS, CBX3, MLH1, HIST1H4A, COMMD2, NEIL3, NNAT, DPPA3, EHD3, MYCBP, SSBP1, CDH5, TRAP1, S100A9, ENOSF1, RAD54B, DHRS7B, COPG2, SLC25A11, ACAT2, IARS2, PSMD7, NUP43, ERCC2
Hypomethylation and high expression		
GSE22490, GSE43256,	1	TACC1
GSE74738, GSE76862, GSE22490, GSE43256,	23	CASP1, KHK, PTGES, LST1, PLEK, CSNK1D, GPR4, XYLB, SLC17A8, MSRB2, TNFRSF21, PREX1, DIRC2, TNS4, CTSZ, PIK3R5, FYB, POU2F2, FCGR1A, IQCH, CCDC27, TPD52, H6PD
GSE74738, GSE22490, GSE43256, GSE76862,	3	MAF, MS4A6A, HOOK3
GSE22490, GSE74738, GSE76862,	15	TFEC, CD84, PLCG2, FOS, NFATC2, PHTF2, ZC3H6, ATP8B4, LPCAT2, C5AR1, GPRC5A, ITPR2, PLD1, NCKAP1L, KIAA2026
GSE43256, GSE74738, GSE76862	7	NFIX, PLCE1, SLC43A3, TGFB1, ALCAM, P2RY12, SMPDL3A

Table 3 KEGG pathway analysis of aberrantly methylated- DEGs in ERPL

Pathway ID	Pathway name	FDR	count	Genes
Hypomethylation and high expression				
hsa03430	Mismatch repair	0.0242	3	EXO1, MLH1, SSBP1
Hypermethylation and low expression				
hsa05167	Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection	0.00096	6	FOS, ITPR2, NFATC2, PIK3R5, PLCG2, PREX1
hsa04380	Osteoclast differentiation	0.0011	5	FCGR1A, FOS, NFATC2, PLCG2, TGFBR1
hsa04611	Platelet activation	0.0124	4	ITPR2, P2RY12, PIK3R5, PLCG2
hsa04921	Oxytocin signaling pathway	0.0188	4	FOS, ITPR2, NFATC2, PIK3R5
hsa04662	B cell receptor signaling pathway	0.022	3	FOS, NFATC2, PLCG2

Figures

Figure 2

Identification of aberrantly MDEGs in gene expression profiling data (GSE22490, GSE76862) and gene methylation profiling data (GSE43256, GSE74738). (a) Hypermethylation and down-regulated genes. (b) Hypomethylation and up-regulated genes.

Figure 4

Heatmap showing TOP100 significant DEGs and DMGs (50 for up-regulated and 50 for down-regulated) of ERPL patients and normal. (a) GSE22490; (b) GSE43256

Figure 5

Abnormal MDEGs' PPI networks and functional enrichment analysis. (a) The top 10 GO terms in GO-BP enrichment of hypermethylation and down-regulated genes. (b) The top 10 GO terms in GO-BP enrichment of hypomethylation and up-regulated genes. (c) MDEGs' PPI networks and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis.

Figure 8

The relative expression of MLH1, ERCC2, PLEK and FOS in villus, quantified by qRT-PCR. (a) Expression of MLH1, ERCC2, PLEK and FOS mRNA from ERPL and normal. (b) Expression of MLH1, ERCC2, PLEK and FOS mRNA from anembryonic (empty sac) miscarriage and embryonic miscarriage.