How Sensitive Global Observables are to the Event Topology in Heavy-ion Collisions at the Large Hadron Collider: A Case Study

Suraj Prasad

Indian Institute of Technology Indore

Neelkamal Mallick

Indian Institute of Technology Indore

Debadatta Behera

Indian Institute of Technology Indore

Raghunath Sahoo (✉ Raghunath.Sahoo@cern.ch)

CERN

Sushanta Tripathy

INFN - sezione di Bologna

Research Article

Keywords: Event Topology, Heavy-ion Collisions, Large Hadron Collider

Posted Date: December 28th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1151812/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

How sensitive global observables are to the event topology in heavy-ion collisions at the Large Hadron Collider: A case study

Suraj Prasad¹, Neelkamal Mallick¹, Debadatta Behera¹, Raghunath Sahoo^{1,2}, and Sushanta Tripathy³

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Simrol, Indore 453552, India

²CERN, CH 1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland

³INFN - sezione di Bologna, via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna BO, Italy

*Corresponding Author Email: Raghunath.Sahoo@cern.ch

ABSTRACT

Particle production and event topology are very strongly correlated in high-energy hadronic and nuclear collisions. Event topology is decided by the underlying particle production dynamics and medium effects. Transverse sphericity is an event shape observable, which has been used in pp and heavy-ion collisions to separate the events based on their geometrical shapes. It has the unique capability to distinguish between jetty and isotropic events. In this work, we have implemented transverse sphericity in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV using A Multi-Phase Transport Model (AMPT). While awaiting for experimental explorations, we perform a feasibility study of dependence of transverse sphericity on some of the global observables in heavy-ion collisions at the Large Hadron Collider energies. These global observables include the Bjorken energy density (ϵ_{Bj}), speed of sound (c_s^2) in the medium and the kinetic freeze-out properties for different collision centralities. The present study reveals about the usefulness of event topology dependent measurements in heavy-ion collisions in contrast to proton-proton collisions.

Introduction

Heavy-ion collisions at the ultra-relativistic energies aim to produce a deconfined state of quarks and gluons, the primordial matter believed to have formed at the infancy of the Universe. The matter created in such collisions at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC) at the Brookhaven National Laboratory, USA and at the European Center for Nuclear Research (CERN), Switzerland gives an opportunity to study its properties at the extreme conditions of temperature and energy densities. Global properties of the created matter such as total charged particle multiplicity in the final state, initial energy density, temperature of the system play a pivotal role to understand the form of the created matter, while addressing many fundamental questions in basic science. The expansion of the created fireball because of huge concentration of initial energy density and high temperature is probed through the equation of state and hence the speed of sound in the medium. The final state particle abundances are governed by the initial state of the matter – if the collision creates a partonic deconfined colored phase of matter or a confined, color neutral hadronic state. The event topology is governed by the underlying particle production mechanism. For example, a back-to-back momentum conserving shower of particles, called jets is an event topology, whose underlying mechanism is governed by hard perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD) high transverse momentum processes, whereas an isotropic geometry in the event topology is mostly rich in soft QCD non-perturbative interactions.

In elementary and hadronic collisions at GeV and TeV energies, although event topology dependent studies have got some level of importance, because of a dense medium formation in heavy-ion collisions, these techniques like sphericity, transverse sphericity, R_T etc. are not applied to heavy-ion collisions. Event topology dependent characterisation of the systems produced in heavy-ion collisions through the global properties are not a well explored area. This is a first attempt to explore the sensitiveness of the global observables in heavy-ion collisions, to the event topology and hence the underlying particle production dynamics. In our recent study¹, we found that the anisotropic flow strongly depends on transverse sphericity in heavy-ion collision systems. Thus, it would be interesting to see how the global properties, often studied in heavy-ion collisions, vary as a function of transverse sphericity. It is worth to note that global properties like Bjorken energy density and speed of sound give insights to the initial state of the produced system while the kinetic freezeout parameters provide insights to the evolution of produced particles in the medium. The use of transverse sphericity along with collision centrality also provides an opportunity to study such observables in a multi-differential way. In the present work, we use transverse sphericity as the

Figure 1. (Color Online) Schematic picture showing jetty and isotropic events in the transverse plane, assuming the z -axis is the beam axis or the longitudinal axis.

event shape observable and study the global properties such as Bjorken energy density, speed of sound and kinetic freeze-out parameters for different centrality classes in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV using A Multi-Phase Transport Model (AMPT).

The paper is organised as follows. We begin with a brief introduction about the usefulness of event topology studies in heavy-ion collisions. In section , the event generation methodology in AMPT and the definition of transverse sphericity is given. We report and discuss the results in section . The results are summarised in section .

Event Generation and Analysis Methodology

In this section, we begin with a brief introduction on AMPT model. Then, we proceed to define the transverse sphericity as an event shape analysis tool.

A Multi-Phase Transport (AMPT) Model

A Multi-Phase Transport Model contains four components namely^{2–11},

- Initialisation of collisions using HIJING model: the cross-section of the produced mini-jets in pp collisions is calculated and then converted to heavy-ion collisions via inbuilt Glauber model
- Parton transport after initialisation: transportation of produced partons is performed via Zhang's parton cascade model
- Hadronisation mechanism: in string melting version, the transported partons are hadronised using spatial coalescence mechanism; in the default AMPT version, fragmentation mechanism using Lund fragmentation parameters are used for hadronising the transported partons
- Hadron transport: the hadrons undergo evolution in relativistic transport mechanism via meson-baryon, meson-meson and baryon-baryon interactions

As, the particle flow and spectra at the mid- p_T regions are well explained by quark coalescence mechanism for hadronisation^{9–11}, we have used string melting mode for all of our calculations. We have used the AMPT version 2.26t7 (released: 28/10/2016) in our current work. The AMPT settings in the current work, are the same as reported in Ref.^{1,12}. For the input of impact parameter values for different centralities in Pb-Pb collisions, we have used Ref.¹³. One should note here that, high centrality collisions correspond to low impact parameter values and higher final state charged-particle multiplicity ($\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$). Although the concept of centrality is widely used in heavy-ion collisions, in view of a final state multiplicity scaling across collisions species, that is observed at the LHC energies, we may use centrality and $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ variably in this work.

Transverse Sphericity

Figure 2. (Color Online) Top (bottom) panel: transverse sphericity distribution for different centrality (multiplicity) classes in Pb-Pb (pp) collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV ($\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV) using AMPT (PYTHIA8) model.

Transverse sphericity is defined for a unit vector $\hat{n}(n_T, 0)$ that minimizes the ratio^{14,15}:

$$S_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left(\frac{\sum_i |\vec{p}_{T_i} \times \hat{n}|}{\sum_i p_{T_i}} \right)^2. \quad (1)$$

By construction, transverse sphericity is infrared and collinear safe¹⁶ and the extreme limits are related to specific configurations of events in transverse plane. Transverse sphericity becoming 0 are the events with pencil-like (back-to-back) structure and called as jetty events while 1 would mean the events are isotropic. A schematic picture illustrating the event topology is shown in Fig. 1. For the sake of simplicity, here onwards, the transverse sphericity is referred as sphericity. The sphericity distributions are selected in the pseudorapidity range of $|\eta| < 0.8$ with a minimum constraint of 5 charged particles with $p_T > 0.15$ GeV/c to recreate the similar conditions as in ALICE experiment at the LHC. The jetty events are those events having sphericity values in the lowest 20 percent and the isotropic events are those occupying the highest 20 percent in the sphericity distribution of the all events.

Top panel of Fig. 2 shows the sphericity distributions for different centrality classes in Pb-Pb collisions, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV at mid-rapidity ($|\eta| < 0.8$) using AMPT model and similarly, the bottom panel is the sphericity distribution for different charged particle multiplicity classes in pp collisions, $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV at mid-rapidity ($|\eta| < 0.8$) using PYTHIA8¹⁷. For pp collisions, the charged particle multiplicities are chosen in the acceptance of V0 detector in ALICE at the LHC with pseudorapidity coverage of V0A ($2.8 < \eta < 5.1$) and V0C ($-3.7 < \eta < -1.7$). One should note here that the particle production mechanisms in AMPT and PYTHIA8 models are completely different but we have chosen the tunes of the models where the models describe many of the experimental observables. At a first glance, the sphericity distributions in Pb-Pb collisions look shifted more towards the isotropic limit when compared to the pp collisions, where the distributions are shifted towards the jetty limit. This behavior is understood based on the fact that the system size in Pb-Pb collisions are significantly higher when compared with pp collisions and the medium effect in terms of rescattering helps the system taking towards isotropisation. In comparison to pp collisions, where we observe a distribution of sphericity or in other words, there is an equal probability production of both jetty and isotropic events, medium effects in heavy-ion collisions in principle destroy the jetteness event topology, which is seen from

Fig. 2. A skewed distribution of sphericity towards isotropic limit is an indication of the formation of a QCD medium in heavy-ion collisions. When studied as a function of centrality (multiplicity) classes for Pb-Pb (pp) collisions, it is observed that the sphericity distributions are shifted towards the isotropic limit for central (high-multiplicity) collisions compared to peripheral (low-multiplicity) collisions.

Now, we proceed to discuss the global properties and their dependence on sphericity classes in the next section. For the sake of simplicity, here onwards we refer $\pi^+ + \pi^-$, $K^+ + K^-$, and $p + \bar{p}$ as pions, kaons, and protons, respectively.

Results and Discussions

Bjorken Energy density (ϵ_{Bj})

In heavy-ion collisions, the transverse energy (E_T) is one of the significant global observables that is used to study the possible formation of a medium of quarks and gluons under extreme temperature and energy density. Before the collisions, all the energy is carried by the beam particles in longitudinal phase space. But after the collisions, the final state particle production in the transverse plane carry finite transverse energy (E_T), which is an event-by-event observable and it is closely related to the collision geometry. Bjorken boost-invariant hydrodynamics model¹⁸ for relativistic heavy-ion collisions, E_T at mid-rapidity gives the quantitative estimation of the initial energy density produced in an interaction. Under boost invariance, the Bjorken energy density (ϵ_{Bj}) in the nuclear collision zone, can be estimated as,

$$\epsilon_{Bj} = \frac{1}{\tau S_T} \frac{dE_T}{dy}. \quad (2)$$

where, τ is the formation time and usually taken to be 1 fm/c. E_T is the total transverse energy and $S_T = \pi R^2$ is the transverse overlap area of the colliding nuclei. As $R = R_0 A^{1/3}$, one replaces $A = N_{part}/2$. That makes the expression for transverse overlap area,

$$S_T = \pi R_0^2 \left(\frac{N_{part}}{2} \right)^{2/3}. \quad (3)$$

Transverse energy (E_T) at mid-rapidity region can be approximated as¹⁹⁻²¹,

$$\frac{dE_T}{dy} \approx \frac{3}{2} \times \left(\langle m_T \rangle \frac{dN}{dy} \right)_{\pi^\pm} + 2 \times \left(\langle m_T \rangle \frac{dN}{dy} \right)_{K^\pm, p, \bar{p}}. \quad (4)$$

The multiplicative factors 3/2 and 2 account for neutral particles. $\langle m_T \rangle = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m^2}$, is the mean transverse momentum and dN/dy is the integrated yield for $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$ and $p + \bar{p}$ at mid-rapidity region *i.e.* $|y| < 0.5$.

Figure 3 shows the mean transverse mass ($\langle m_T \rangle$) as a function of different centrality classes in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV at mid-rapidity for $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$ and $p + \bar{p}$ using AMPT model. Except pions, where resonance decay contributions are expected, for all other charged particles $\langle m_T \rangle$ shows a decrease towards peripheral collisions. The lower panels of the figure show the effect of event topology on $\langle m_T \rangle$, where one observes higher $\langle m_T \rangle$ for low- S_0 events (jetty). Figure 4 shows the integrated yield (dN/dy) as a function of different centrality classes in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV at mid-rapidity for different identified particles using AMPT model. As expected, the integrated yield is higher for more central collisions and gradually decreases as we move towards mid-central and peripheral collisions. It can be accounted due to the decrease in the participating partonic matter from central to peripheral collisions. For pions, the integrated yield is higher than kaon and proton, which follows a thermalised Boltzmannian production of particles in a multiparticle production process. The integrated yield as a function of sphericity shows that high- S_0 events have higher yield than low- S_0 events. It is also clear that the integrated yield is highly dependent on the sphericity classes for most central heavy-ion collisions and the dependence decreases while going towards peripheral collisions.

Figure 5 shows the Bjorken energy density (ϵ_{Bj}) vs. centrality (%) for high- S_0 , S_0 -integrated and low- S_0 events in Pb-Pb collisions. We observe a strong dependence of Bjorken energy density on the centrality classes. The values of the initial energy density is observed to be higher than the lattice QCD estimation of 1 GeV/fm³ energy density for a deconfinement transition²². However, we found that the Bjorken energy density is independent of the sphericity selection and irrespective of the event topology, the Bjorken energy density is similar for both high- S_0 and low- S_0 events for all collision centralities. It is noteworthy that the Bjorken energy density for a given collision centrality has effects from the number of particles produced and their mean transverse mass. Because of the opposite trends of these two observables with event sphericity, the overall effect cancels out leaving Bjorken energy density to be independent of event topology.

Figure 3. (Color Online) $\langle m_T \rangle$ vs. collision centrality(%) for pions (top), kaons (middle) and protons (bottom) in Pb-Pb collisions with high- S_0 , S_0 -integrated and low- S_0 events, respectively

Speed of sound (c_s^2) and pseudorapidity distribution

Figure 6 shows pseudorapidity distributions of charged particles in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV at mid rapidity for (0-10)% and (60-70)% centrality classes in different sphericity classes. Figure 6 complements the observation seen in Fig. 4,

Figure 4. (Color Online) Integrated yield (dN/dy) vs. collision centrality (%) for pions (top), kaons (middle) and protons (bottom) at mid-rapidity ($|\eta| < 0.8$) in Pb-Pb collisions.

Figure 5. (Color Online) Top plot: Bjorken energy density (ϵ_{Bj}) vs. centrality (%) with high- S_0 , S_0 -integrated and low- S_0 events in Pb-Pb collisions. Bottom plot: ratio of Bjorken energy density (ϵ_{Bj}) for high- S_0 and low- S_0 events to the S_0 -integrated events. The dotted line shows lattice QCD predicted value of critical energy density for a deconfinement transition.

where the charged particle multiplicity is found to be higher for high- S_0 events compared to low- S_0 events.

In Landau hydrodynamical model²³, the width of the rapidity distribution is related to the speed of sound (c_s) via the following expression.

$$\sigma_y^2 = \frac{8}{3} \frac{c_s^2}{1 - c_s^2} \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}{2m_p} \right). \quad (5)$$

Here, m_p is the mass of proton and σ_y is the width of the rapidity distribution and $c_s^2 = 1/3$ for ideal gas. Due to presence of a dip, it is difficult to fit a single Gaussian function to the pseudorapidity distribution. Generally in experiments²⁴ a double Gaussian function is used to fit the pseudorapidity distribution, which is given by,

$$A_1 e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} - A_2 e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}. \quad (6)$$

Here, A_1 and A_2 are normalisation parameters and σ_1 and σ_2 are width of the Gaussian distribution. After fitting we have obtained the values of σ_1 and σ_2 . The values of σ_1 and σ_2 are given in Table 1 of the appendix section. The fitting has been performed using χ^2 minimisation method and corresponding χ^2/ndf values for the fittings for each sphericity classes across

Figure 6. (Color Online) Charged particles pseudorapidity distribution ($dN_{ch}/d\eta$) for high- S_0 , S_0 -integrated and low- S_0 events for (0-10)% (top) and (60-70)% (bottom) centrality class in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV.

Figure 7. (Color Online) Top plot: Speed of sound (c_s^2) vs. Centrality(%) for high- S_0 , S_0 -integrated and low- S_0 events in Pb-Pb collisions. Bottom plot: Ratio of speed of sound (c_s^2) for high- S_0 and low- S_0 events to the S_0 -integrated events.

all centralities are shown in Table 2 of the appendix section. Thus, σ_1 has been used as the default value and the maximum deviation of σ_1 and σ_2 is used for the uncertainty calculation of c_s^2 . Figure 7 shows the squared speed of sound as a function of centrality in Pb-Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV for different sphericity classes. The c_s^2 value as a function of centrality shows that for the central collision system, it is higher and gradually decreases toward peripheral collisions. It indicates that central collisions are denser compared to the peripheral collisions. However, c_s^2 is found to be similar for all sphericity classes within uncertainty.

Kinetic Freeze-out Properties

Figure 8. (Color Online) Simultaneous fitting of identified charged particles' p_T spectra with Boltzmann-Gibbs blastwave function for high- S_0 (left), S_0 -integrated (middle) and low- S_0 (right) events in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. The fitting is shown for (0-10)% (top) and (60-70)% (bottom) centrality classes.

The hot and dense medium formed in relativistic heavy-ion collisions cools down as the system expands until the kinetic freeze-out is achieved. At kinetic freeze-out, the transverse momentum spectra of the particles are frozen, which carries information about the phase-space distribution of the final state of the fireball produced during relativistic heavy-ion collisions. The Boltzmann-Gibbs blastwave (BGBW) distribution²⁵ can be used to describe the transverse momentum spectra of identified charged particles formed in heavy-ion collisions and one can obtain the transverse radial flow velocity (β_T) and kinetic freeze-out temperature (T_{kin}) of the system. The invariant yield in the BGBW framework can be expressed as:

$$E \frac{d^3N}{dp^3} = C \int d^3\sigma_\mu p^\mu \exp\left(-\frac{p^\mu u_\mu}{T_{kin}}\right). \quad (7)$$

Here, C is the normalisation constant and p^μ , the particle four momentum is given by,

$$p^\mu = (m_T \cosh \eta, p_T \cos \phi, p_T \sin \phi, m_T \sinh \eta). \quad (8)$$

The particle four-velocity is given by,

$$u^\mu = \cosh \rho (\cosh \eta, \tanh \rho \cos \phi_r, \tanh \rho \sin \phi_r, \sinh \eta), \quad (9)$$

and, the freeze-out surface is parametrised as,

$$d^3\sigma_\mu = (\cosh \eta, 0, 0, -\sinh \eta) \tau r dr d\eta d\phi_r. \quad (10)$$

Here, η is the space-time rapidity. Now, Eq. 7 can be written as,

$$\left. \frac{d^2N}{dp_T dy} \right|_{y=0} = C \int_0^{R_0} r dr K_1 \left(\frac{m_T \cosh \rho}{T_{\text{kin}}} \right) I_0 \left(\frac{p_T \sinh \rho}{T_{\text{kin}}} \right). \quad (11)$$

Here, g is the degeneracy factor, $K_1 \left(\frac{m_T \cosh \rho}{T_{\text{kin}}} \right)$ and $I_0 \left(\frac{p_T \sinh \rho}{T_{\text{kin}}} \right)$ are modified Bessel's functions, which are given by,

$$K_1 \left(\frac{m_T \cosh \rho}{T_{\text{kin}}} \right) = \int_0^\infty \cosh y \exp \left(- \frac{m_T \cosh y \cosh \rho}{T_{\text{kin}}} \right) dy,$$

$$I_0 \left(\frac{p_T \sinh \rho}{T_{\text{kin}}} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left(\frac{p_T \sinh \rho \cos \phi}{T_{\text{kin}}} \right) d\phi,$$

where $\rho = \tanh^{-1} \beta_T$ and $\beta_T = \beta_s \xi^{n25-28}$. β_T is called radial flow, $\xi = (r/R_0)$, β_s is the maximum surface velocity, r is the radial distance and R_0 is the maximum radius of the source at freeze-out. In this model, the particles closer to the center of the fireball are assumed to move slower than the ones at the edges. The mean transverse velocity is given by²⁹,

$$\langle \beta_T \rangle = \frac{\int \beta_s \xi^n \xi d\xi}{\int \xi d\xi} = \left(\frac{2}{2+n} \right) \beta_s. \quad (12)$$

Figure 8 shows the simultaneous BGBW fitting to the identified charged particles' p_T spectra in (0-10)% and (60-70)% centrality classes. The fitting ranges for pions, kaons and protons are (0.5 - 1) GeV/c, (0.4 - 1.5) GeV/c and (0.3 - 3) GeV/c, respectively. The fitting has been performed using χ^2 minimisation method keeping T_{kin} , β_T and n as free parameters. The corresponding χ^2/ndf values for the fittings for each spherocity classes across all centralities are shown in Table 3 of the appendix section.

Figure 9. (Color Online) Kinetic freeze-out temperature versus transverse radial flow obtained from simultaneous fit of identified particles' p_T -spectra with BGBW distribution function for high- S_0 (blue circle) and low- S_0 (red triangle) with in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. Linear fits for high- S_0 and low- S_0 are shown in dotted blue and red lines, respectively. The shaded area shows the uncertainties from the simultaneous BGBW fits.

Figure 9 shows the variation of kinetic freeze-out temperature (T_{kin}) versus mean transverse radial flow velocity (β_T) for different spherocity and centrality classes obtained from simultaneous fit of identified charged particles' p_T spectra with BGBW function for Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. The shaded area shows the uncertainties from the simultaneous BGBW fits. As we move from central to peripheral collisions, T_{kin} increases and β_T decreases for all the spherocity classes. This behavior is naively expected due to the fact that central collisions are expected to have higher multiplicity in the final state, which would require more time to reach the freeze-out than the peripheral collisions. Conversely, with the increase in multiplicity one

would expect higher transverse radial flow, which we observe in Fig. 9. The sphericity dependent values of β_T and T_{kin} for all centralities for Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV are enlisted in Table 4.

Contrary to the observables studied so far in the previous sections, we see a clear dependence of the kinetic freeze-out parameters on S_0 . An isotropic (high- S_0) event is expected to be dominated by large number of soft particles, which would require more time to reach the freeze-out. Thus, the isotropic events are found to have lower T_{kin} compared to low- S_0 events. To understand the dependence of the kinetic freeze-out parameters, we have fitted the parameters with a first order polynomial function separately for each sphericity classes. As evident from Fig. 9, the role of sphericity plays a bigger role in peripheral events and it diminishes when one goes towards central collisions. This could be an indication that sphericity is crucial while studying the final state effects.

Summary and Conclusion

We have implemented transverse sphericity in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV using AMPT model and study the dependence of transverse sphericity on different global observables in heavy-ion collisions at the Large Hadron Collider energies. In summary, the sphericity distributions in Pb-Pb collisions found to be shifted more towards the isotropic limit when compared to the pp collisions, where the distributions are shifted towards the jetty limit. This behavior is understood based on the fact that the system size in Pb-Pb collisions are significantly higher when compared with pp collisions and because of the medium effects through the process of isotropisation, the jettiness of the events gets suppressed to larger extent. The Bjorken energy density and speed of sound are found to be independent of the sphericity selection in heavy-ion collisions. However, we found that kinetic freeze-out parameters depend on sphericity. The role of sphericity plays a bigger role in peripheral events and it diminishes when one goes towards central collisions. The sensitivity of event topology however, depends on the observable under study because of some of the counter-balancing effects in view of medium effects in heavy-ion collisions or high-multiplicity environments.

References

1. Mallick, N., Sahoo, R., Tripathy, S. & Ortiz, A. Study of Transverse Sphericity and Azimuthal Anisotropy in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV using A Multi-Phase Transport Model. *J. Phys. G* **48**, 045104, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6471/abeb59> (2021).
2. Lin, Z.-W., Ko, C. M., Li, B.-A., Zhang, B. & Pal, S. A Multi-phase transport model for relativistic heavy ion collisions. *Phys. Rev. C* **72**, 064901, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.064901> (2005).
3. Wang, X.-N. & Gyulassy, M. HIJING: A Monte Carlo model for multiple jet production in p p, p A and A A collisions. *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 3501, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.3501> (1991).
4. Zhang, B. ZPC 1.0.1: A Parton cascade for ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **109**, 193, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655\(98\)00010-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(98)00010-1) (1998).
5. Greco, V., Ko, C. M. & Levai, P. Parton coalescence and anti-proton / pion anomaly at RHIC. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 202302, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.202302> (2003).
6. Greco, V., Ko, C. M. & Levai, P. Parton coalescence at RHIC. *Phys. Rev. C* **68**, 034904, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.034904> (2003).
7. Li, B., Sustich, A. T., Zhang, B. & Ko, C. M. Studies of superdense hadronic matter in a relativistic transport model. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **10**, 267, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218301301000575> (2001).
8. Li, B.-A. & Ko, C. M. Formation of superdense hadronic matter in high-energy heavy ion collisions. *Phys. Rev. C* **52**, 2037, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.52.2037> (1995).
9. Greco, V., Ko, C. M. & Levai, P. Parton coalescence at RHIC. *Phys. Rev. C* **68**, 034904, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.034904> (2003).
10. Fries, R. J., Müller, B., Nonaka, C. & Bass, S. A. Hadronization in heavy-ion collisions: Recombination and fragmentation of partons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 202303, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.202303> (2003).
11. Fries, R. J., Muller, B., Nonaka, C. & Bass, S. A. Hadron production in heavy ion collisions: Fragmentation and recombination from a dense parton phase. *Phys. Rev. C* **68**, 044902, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.044902> (2003).
12. Tripathy, S., De, S., Younus, M. & Sahoo, R. Predictions for azimuthal anisotropy in Xe+Xe collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.44$ TeV using a multiphase transport model. *Phys. Rev. C* **98**, 064904, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.98.064904> (2018).

13. Loizides, C., Kamin, J. & d'Enterria, D. Improved Monte Carlo Glauber predictions at present and future nuclear colliders. *Phys. Rev. C* **97**, 054910, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.054910> (2018). [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.C* 99, 019901 (2019)].
14. Cuautle, E. *et al.* Disentangling the soft and hard components of the pp collisions using the sphero(i)city approach (2014). arXiv:1404.2372 [hep-ph], <https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.2372>.
15. Ortiz, A., Paić, G. & Cuautle, E. Mid-rapidity charged hadron transverse sphericity in pp collisions simulated with Pythia. *Nucl. Phys. A* **941**, 78, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2015.05.010> (2015).
16. Salam, G. P. Towards Jetography. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **67**, 637, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1314-6> (2010).
17. Sjöstrand, T. *et al.* An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191**, 159, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024> (2015).
18. Bjorken, J. D. Highly relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions: The central rapidity region. *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 140, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.27.140> (1983).
19. Adam, J. *et al.* Measurement of transverse energy at midrapidity in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. *Phys. Rev. C* **94**, 034903, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.94.034903> (2016).
20. Sahoo, R., Mishra, A. N., Behera, N. K. & Nandi, B. K. Charged Particle, Photon Multiplicity, and Transverse Energy Production in High-Energy Heavy-Ion Collisions. *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2015**, 612390, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/612390> (2015). [Erratum: *Adv.High Energy Phys.* 2017, 4517153 (2017)].
21. Abelev, B. I. *et al.* Systematic Measurements of Identified Particle Spectra in pp, d^+ Au and Au+Au Collisions from STAR. *Phys. Rev. C* **79**, 034909, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.034909> (2009).
22. Karsch, F. Lattice results on QCD thermodynamics. *Nucl. Phys. A* **698**, 199, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474\(01\)01365-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(01)01365-3) (2002).
23. Landau, L. D. On the multiparticle production in high-energy collisions. *Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz.* **17**, 51 (1953).
24. Abbas, E. *et al.* Centrality dependence of the pseudorapidity density distribution for charged particles in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. *Phys. Lett. B* **726**, 610, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.09.022> (2013).
25. Schnedermann, E., Sollfrank, J. & Heinz, U. W. Thermal phenomenology of hadrons from 200-A/GeV S+S collisions. *Phys. Rev. C* **48**, 2462, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.48.2462> (1993).
26. Huovinen, P., Kolb, P. F., Heinz, U. W., Ruuskanen, P. V. & Voloshin, S. A. Radial and elliptic flow at RHIC: Further predictions. *Phys. Lett. B* **503**, 58, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693\(01\)00219-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00219-2) (2001).
27. Braun-Munzinger, P., Stachel, J., Wessels, J. P. & Xu, N. Thermal equilibration and expansion in nucleus-nucleus collisions at the AGS. *Phys. Lett. B* **344**, 43, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(94\)01534-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)01534-J) (1995).
28. Tang, Z. *et al.* Statistical Origin of Constituent-Quark Scaling in the QGP hadronization. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **30**, 031201, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1088/0256-307X/30/3/031201> (2013).
29. Adcox, K. *et al.* Single identified hadron spectra from $s(NN)^{1/2} = 130$ -GeV Au+Au collisions. *Phys. Rev. C* **69**, 024904, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.024904> (2004).

Acknowledgements

RS acknowledges the financial supports under the CERN Scientific Associateship and the financial grants under DAE-BRNS Project No. 58/14/29/2019-BRNS of Government of India. DB acknowledges the financial supports from CSIR, Government of India. SP acknowledges the financial supports from UGC, Government of India. ST acknowledges the supports under the INFN postdoctoral fellowship. The authors would like to acknowledge the usage of resources of the LHC grid computing facility at VECC, Kolkata and usage of resources of the LHC grid Tier-3 computing facility at IIT Indore.

Author contributions statement

All authors contributed equally to the conceptualization of the problem, event generation, data analysis, interpretation of the results, and manuscript preparation and reviewing.

Appendix

Centrality(%)	High- S_0		S_0 Integrated		Low- S_0	
	σ_1	σ_2	σ_1	σ_2	σ_1	σ_2
0–10	2.11 ± 0.23	1.76 ± 0.22	2.17 ± 0.22	1.79 ± 0.21	2.10 ± 0.21	1.77 ± 0.19
10–20	2.05 ± 0.16	1.82 ± 0.15	2.05 ± 0.16	1.83 ± 0.15	2.01 ± 0.14	1.80 ± 0.14
20–30	1.95 ± 0.10	1.79 ± 0.10	1.95 ± 0.10	1.81 ± 0.10	1.91 ± 0.09	1.77 ± 0.09
30–40	1.85 ± 0.06	1.75 ± 0.06	1.85 ± 0.06	1.76 ± 0.06	1.83 ± 0.06	1.74 ± 0.06
40–50	1.81 ± 0.04	1.75 ± 0.04	1.80 ± 0.04	1.74 ± 0.04	1.79 ± 0.03	1.73 ± 0.03
50–60	1.75 ± 0.01	1.740 ± 0.008	1.750 ± 0.007	1.730 ± 0.007	1.730 ± 0.007	1.720 ± 0.007
60–70	1.790 ± 0.004	1.730 ± 0.004	1.740 ± 0.004	1.740 ± 0.004	1.730 ± 0.004	1.720 ± 0.004
70–100	1.700 ± 0.001	1.700 ± 0.001	1.700 ± 0.001	1.690 ± 0.001	1.670 ± 0.001	1.670 ± 0.001

Table 1. Double Gaussian width parameters from fitting the pseudorapidity distributions in the range $|\eta| < 0.8$ using Eq. 6.

Centrality(%)	χ^2/ndf		
	High- S_0	S_0 Integrated	Low- S_0
0-10	0.002	0.001	0.001
10-20	0.008	0.005	0.004
20-30	0.011	0.010	0.014
30-40	0.013	0.013	0.011
40-50	0.012	0.012	0.010
50-60	0.007	0.009	0.010
60-70	0.007	0.007	0.010
70-100	0.015	0.013	0.026

Table 2. χ^2/ndf values for the fitting of $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ to a double Gaussian distribution.

Centrality(%)	χ^2/ndf		
	High- S_0	S_0 Integrated	Low- S_0
0-10	2.7	2.8	3.2
10-20	2.5	2.8	2.8
20-30	2.9	2.8	2.7
30-40	2.8	2.6	2.6
40-50	2.4	2.2	2.1
50-60	2.1	2.1	2.0
60-70	2.4	2.2	1.6
70-100	2.2	2.1	2.4

Table 3. χ^2/ndf values for the simultaneous fitting of identified charged particles' p_T spectra to the BGBW distribution.

Centrality(%)	High- S_0		S_0 Integrated		Low- S_0	
	β_T	T_{kin} [GeV]	β_T	T_{kin} [GeV]	β_T	T_{kin} [GeV]
0–10	0.539 ± 0.007	0.124 ± 0.002	0.543 ± 0.006	0.123 ± 0.002	0.545 ± 0.007	0.124 ± 0.002
10–20	0.550 ± 0.007	0.123 ± 0.002	0.549 ± 0.006	0.123 ± 0.002	0.540 ± 0.008	0.129 ± 0.003
20–30	0.549 ± 0.006	0.122 ± 0.002	0.553 ± 0.006	0.122 ± 0.002	0.549 ± 0.007	0.128 ± 0.003
30–40	0.548 ± 0.007	0.120 ± 0.002	0.546 ± 0.006	0.123 ± 0.002	0.542 ± 0.007	0.128 ± 0.003
40–50	0.538 ± 0.006	0.121 ± 0.002	0.535 ± 0.006	0.125 ± 0.002	0.532 ± 0.007	0.130 ± 0.002
50–60	0.519 ± 0.007	0.125 ± 0.002	0.515 ± 0.007	0.129 ± 0.002	0.514 ± 0.008	0.134 ± 0.003
60–70	0.492 ± 0.008	0.129 ± 0.003	0.484 ± 0.008	0.134 ± 0.003	0.483 ± 0.009	0.139 ± 0.003
70–100	0.437 ± 0.010	0.136 ± 0.003	0.407 ± 0.015	0.145 ± 0.007	0.307 ± 0.021	0.163 ± 0.008

Table 4. Kinetic freeze-out temperature (T_{kin}) and mean transverse radial flow velocity (β_T) obtained from simultaneous fit of identified charged particles' p_T -spectra with Boltzmann-Gibbs blastwave function.