Comprehensive Analysis of the Immune and Prognostic Implication of TRIM8 in Breast Cancer

Cheng Yan

Xinxiang University

Qingling Liu

Xinxiang University

Mingkun Nie

Xinxiang University

Wei Hu

Xinyang sericulture test station

Ruoling Jia (✉ jia.ruolinggg@163.com)

Xinxiang University

Research Article

Keywords: TRIM8, breast cancer, prognosis, immunomicroenvironment

Posted Date: December 14th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1152767/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Frontiers in Genetics on March 17th, 2022.
See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.835540>.

Abstract

Background: Breast cancer remains one of most lethal illnesses for female and the most common malignancies among women, making it important to discover novel biomarkers and therapeutic targets for breast cancer. Immunotherapy has become a promising therapeutic tool for breast cancer. The role of TRIM8 in breast cancer has rarely been reported.

Method: Here we identified TRIM8 expression and its potential functions on survival in patients with breast cancer using TCGA (The cancer genome atlas), GEO (Gene expression omnibus) database and METABRIC (Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium). Then, TIMER and TISIDB databases were used to investigate the correlations between TRIM8 mRNA levels and immune characteristics. Using stepwise cox regression, we established an immune prognostic signature based on five differentially expression immune-related genes (DE-IRGs). Finally, a nomogram, accompanied by a calibration curve was proposed to predict 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival for breast cancer patients.

Results: We found that TRIM8 expression was dramatically lower in breast cancer tissues in comparison with normal tissues. Lower TRIM8 expression was related with worse prognosis in breast cancer. TIMER and TISIDB analysis showed that there were strong correlations between TRIM8 expression and immune characteristics. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve confirmed the good performance in survival prediction, showing good accuracy of the immune prognostic signature. We demonstrated the model usefulness of predictions by nomogram and calibration curves. Our findings indicated that TRIM8 might be a potential link between progression and prognosis survival of breast cancer.

Conclusion: This is a comprehensive study to reveal that TRIM8 may serve as a potential prognostic biomarker associating with immune characteristics and provide a novel therapeutic target for the treatment of breast cancer.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy among women, which accounts for about one-third of female cancers[1, 2]. With the evolution of novel treatment strategy including neoadjuvant treatment, advances in surgical resection, chemotherapy, radiotherapy and targeted therapy, the survival rates for women with invasive breast cancer are 90% at 5 years, and 84% at 10 years, respectively[3, 4]. Although survival has improved over time, large inequalities remain. The survival rate at 5 years of breast cancer patients is 90.2% in the USA, 89.5% in Australia, and 83.2% in China, but only 66.2% in India and 65% in Malaysia [5]. In addition, the incidence of breast cancer rates continue to increase by approximately 0.5% per year[6]. Previous studies showed that approximately 20-30% of early breast stage cancer would develop into metastatic disease, and about 6–10% of female breast cancer patients had stage IV disease at diagnosis. The 5-year survival rate of those patients with distant metastases dropped to 27% [7, 8]. Thus, breast cancer is still a global problem of reduction in breast cancer mortality, particularly for

patients with advanced breast cancer[9]. Age, stage, tumor grade, tumor type and lymphovascular status as prognostic factors have been widely used in the routine diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer[10]. However, these prognostic accuracy represents to be limited for predicting overall survival and progression-free survival. Novel biomarkers and molecular signature are urgently needed.

Recently, accumulating evidence shows that the immune system plays an active role in carcinogenesis and progression of cancer[11]. Immunotherapy has become a promising therapeutic tool for various cancers, such as breast cancer, lung cancer and malignant melanoma [12]. Several studies have shown that tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in cancer tissue demonstrates to be correlated with favorable prognosis in various malignancies, especially breast cancer [12-14]. Moreover, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) dramatically improves the survival of patients with advanced malignancies in several solid tumors[15]. These findings has successfully ignited interest in immune-based strategies for breast cancer treatment and prevention.

TRIM8 (Tripartite motif 8) belongs to the tripartite motif protein family consisting of 551 residues, which ubiquitinates various substrates as an E3 ubiquitin ligase[16]. Contrasting data highlight its role either as an oncogene or as a tumor suppressor gene, acting as a “double-edged sword”[17-19]. [Mechanisms](#) underlying TRIM8 regulating cell growth is linked to at least three pivotal cellular signaling pathways: the p53 tumor suppressor, NF- κ B and JAK-STAT pathways. Moreover, recent studies on TRIM8 strongly indicate for the critical role of TRIM8 in immunity and inflammation[20, 21]. However, it is still unknown the relationship between TRIM8 and immune characteristics in breast cancer.

In this study, we uncovered the immune implication and prognostic impact of TRIM8 in breast cancer. First, we downloaded data from TCGA (The cancer genome atlas), GEO (Gene expression omnibus) database and METABRIC (Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium) to evaluate the association between TRIM8 expression and the survival of breast cancer. Then, the correlation of TRIM8 mRNA levels with immune characteristics was systematically investigated. Furthermore, we constructed an immune prognostic signature using differentially expression immune-related genes (DE-IRGs), and validated in METABRIC database. Finally, a nomogram integrating age, TNM stage and risk score was constructed to effectively predict individualized survival. Our findings uncovered the potential role of TRIM8 in breast cancer and would move us closer to understand the underlying mechanisms between TRIM8 and tumor-immune.

Methods And Materials

Analysis of TRIM8 Expression

Oncomine database (<https://www.oncomine.org/resource/login.html>), which is an online tumor microarray database, was utilized to analyze the transcription levels of TRIM8 in human cancers[22]. The expression fold change of TRIM8 in different cancers was obtained as the threshold of p-value<1E-4, fold change>2 and gene ranking in the top 10%.

Information of TRIM8 expression and survival data in different cancers was obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA, <http://www.cancergenome.nih.gov>). Using Perl strawberry, we merged the gene expression data of TRIM8 and survival data, and extracted the expression amount of TRIM8 across 33 cancer types. The TCGA pan-cancer analysis was performed using R language with a filter threshold that normal sample sizes should be greater than five. Then, we performed expression analysis of TRIM8 in breast cancer between normal tissues (n=113) and tumor tissues (n = 1104) using R language. Finally, the expression of TRIM8 in breast cancer was observed by Immunohistochemistry (IHC) images using the Human Protein Atlas (THPA) (<https://www.proteinatlas.org/>).

Survival analysis

TCGA breast cancer dataset was obtained from UCSC Xena browser (<http://xena.ucsc.edu/>) which included 1104 cancer samples and 113 non-cancerous samples. Microarray dataset GSE7390 containing 198 breast cancer samples and corresponding survival data were obtained from GEO database. METABRIC (Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium) was obtained from cbiportal, which contained 2509 primary breast cancer tissue with 548 matched normals. Patients in each of these three sets were separated into high-expression and low-expression groups using the minimum p-value approach. Next, we employed Kaplan-Meier plots to perform overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS) probabilities and relapse free survival (RFS) using R “survival” package and R “survminer” package. At last, the R “Meta” package was used to meta-analyze on these three datasets.

Identification of Differentially Expression Genes and Enrichment Analysis

Differentially expression genes (DEGs) between high-expression (n=790) and low-expression (n=292) groups based on TCGA cohort was carried out by the Mann Whitney Wilcoxon Test. The screening standard of differential genes were as follows: $FDR < 0.05$ and $|\log_2 FC| > 1$. Then, Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) biological process enrichment of differential genes was performed by R software including packages of “clusterProfiler”, “org.Hs.eg.db”, “enrichplot”, “ggplot2”, and “GOplot”. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Associations Between TRIM8 and Immune Characteristics

Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER) (<https://cistrome.dfci.harvard.edu/TIMER/>) is web tool that provides a comprehensive resource for analysis of molecular characterization of tumor-immune interactions in diverse cancer types. By taking advantage of this web tool, we studied the relationship between copy number variations of TRIM8 and six immune infiltrates (B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells) in breast cancer.

TISIDB (<http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB/index.php>) is a web portal for investigation of tumor-immune interactions, which collects multiple types cancer datasets from the TCGA database. It was used to

analyze TRIM8 expression in different immune Characteristics, including tumor-infiltrating immune cells and immune immunomodulators.

Identification of Immune Related Genes

A total of 2483 immune genes were obtained from immport (<https://www.immport.org/home>). Then, the intersection of these immune genes and 192 DEGs was selected as differentially expression immune-related genes (DE-IRGs).

Identification of Prognostic Genes Signatures

We used the samples from TCGA cohort as a training set. Univariate Cox regression analysis was employed to determine the DE-IRGs with prognostic significance using R “survival” package. Genes with $p < 0.05$ were screened for further analysis. Later, we further performed multivariate Cox regression to obtain the key DE-IRGs related to the prognosis of breast cancer patients.

Construction and Validation of the Prognostic Model

After the key DE-IRGs were chosen, the risk score equation was generated as follow:

$$\text{Risk score} = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_i x_i.$$

In this formula, x_i was the expression value of the gene, while β_i was the risk coefficient of the genes obtained from multivariate Cox regression. The patients were divided into the high-risk group and low-risk group according to the median risk score. The R “survival” package was used to perform the overall survival analysis and obtain Kaplan-Meier survival plots. To evaluate the predictive accuracy of the prognostic model, we conducted receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC) analysis by R “timeROC” package. Simultaneously, we used samples from METABRIC database as the validation set. The formula obtained from the training set was also used to assess breast cancer patients in validation cohort. Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to assess the predictive ability of DE-IRGs.

The Construction of Nomogram and Calibration Curves

A nomogram and calibration plots was built by the “rms” package in R software. The nomograms was generated to predictive 1-, 3-, 5-year survival rates in breast cancer patients. Calibration curves were established to visualize the discriminations between actual 1-, 3-, 5-year survival rates and predicted overall survival

Results

The expression level of TRIM8 in human cancers

The overall workflow of this study was shown in Figure 1. To evaluate TRIM8 expression in human cancer, we used Oncomine databases to analyze the differential expression of the mRNA levels in cancer

and normal tissues. Specifically, this analysis indicated that TRIM8 expression was higher in leukemia and prostate cancer, but was lower in breast cancer, esophageal cancer, lung cancer, melanoma and sarcoma (Figure 2.A). Moreover, to further investigate the expression of TRIM8 in human cancers, we performed a detailed analysis of RNA-Seq data from TCGA. Compared with normal sample, the mRNA expression of TRIM8 was significantly up-regulated in cholangio carcinoma (CHOL), colon adenocarcinoma (COAD), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC), and thyroid carcinoma (THCA), but strongly down-regulated in breast invasive carcinoma (BRCA), kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC), kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma (KIRP) and lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) (Figure 2.B). We then mapped a scatter plot using TCGA dataset which indicated that TRIM8 was significantly overexpressed in breast cancer as compared with normal tissues (Figure 2.C). We further evaluated the expression of TRIM8 in different molecular subtypes patients with breast cancer in TCGA cohort. Statistically significant differences were observed in HER2+ and triple negative breast cancers compared with normal breast tissues (Figure 2.D). In addition, we also evaluated TRIM8 expression in 224 paired breast cancer tissues in TCGA dataset. TRIM8 expression was dramatically lower in breast cancer tissues in comparison with normal tissues (Figure 2.E). Finally, Based on the Human Protein Atlas database, the protein expression levels of TRIM8 were evaluated by HPA023561 antibody. Among 12 breast cancer tissues examined, all of the cases were negative by immunohistochemistry for TRIM8. Representative IHC image showed that TRIM8 staining was higher in breast cancer than in normal tissues (Figure 2.F,G). Taken together, these findings suggested differential expression TRIM8 between normal and tumor tissues.

Prognostic value of TRIM8 in breast cancer

To explore the correlation between TRIM8 expression and prognosis of breast cancer patients, we performed survival analysis using the TCGA database. Based on expression level, patients were divided into high-expression (n=790) and low-expression (n=292) groups using the minimum p-value approach (Figure 3.A), and patients with lower expression were more likely to have death (Figure 3.C). A heatmap was used to describe the expression of TRIM8 in different groups (Figure 3.D). Survival curves were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier analysis which suggested that patients with high TRIM8 expression significantly correlated to longer OS in breast cancer patients ($p \leq 0.05$) (Figure 3.B). Next, we used the Kaplan-Meier analysis to evaluate the correlation between TRIM8 expression levels and progression free survival (Figure 3.E). The result indicated that patients with high TRIM8 expression had a high probability to live ($p \leq 0.05$). The prognostic value of TRIM8 was then validated with GEO and METABRIC dataset (Figure S.1,2). The results was consistent with the results of the TCGA. Lastly, we performed a meta-analysis of the three combined datasets to evaluate the prognostic value of TRIM8. The results showed that high TRIM8 expression levels were strongly correlated with longer OS in breast cancer population. (pooled HR=0.87, 95% CI, 0.78–0.97) (Figure 3.F).

Identification of differentially expressed genes

To better understand the difference between high-expression and low-expression groups, we performed a differentially expression analysis based on TCGA cohort. A total of 192 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were obtained with the cut off criteria of $FDR < 0.05$ and $|\log_2 FC| > 1$. The volcano map (Supplementary Figure 3. A) showed that 146 DEGs were high-expression, while 46 DEGs were low-expression between high-expression and low-expression groups. Meanwhile, KEGG and GO enrichment analyses were conducted to identify the biological function of 192 DEGs. We found that these 192 DEGs were highly related to Coronavirus disease-COVID-19, Complement and coagulation cascades and IL-17 signaling pathway (Supplementary Figure 3.B). Moreover, the results of GO analysis showed the DEGs were mainly concentrated in mammary gland epithelium development, gland morphogenesis and prostate gland morphogenesis (Supplementary Figure 3.C)

Relationship between TRIM8 expression and immune cells

We studied the influence of TRIM8 expression upon the immune system. Initially, we evaluated the correlation between the expression levels of TRIM8 and immune cell infiltration. There were strong correlations between TRIM8 copy numbers and immune cell infiltration, such as macrophage in breast cancer, CD4+ T Cell and Macrophage in luminal-type breast cancer (Supplementary Figure 4). Subsequently, we examined the relationship between TRIM8 expression and TILs using TISIDB database. A close relationship between TRIM8 expression and abundance of 28 TILs in different types of cancer was showed (Figure 4.A). Moreover, there was a significant association between TRIM8 expression and abundance of 20 TILs in breast cancer (Figures 4.B-U).

Correlations between TRIM8 expression and immunomodulators

To further investigate the relationship of TRIM8 expression with immunomodulators, we first analyzed the association between the expression of TRIM8 and immunoinhibitors across human cancers. The result showed that the expression levels of TRIM8 was significantly associated with immunoinhibitors in a variety of cancers (Supplementary Figure 5.A). While, significant association was found between TRIM8 expression and immunoinhibitors in breast cancer (Supplementary Figure 5.B-S). Next, we performed correlation analysis of TRIM8 expression with immunostimulators and MHC molecule. The results demonstrated that TRIM8 expression correlated well with parts of immunostimulators and MHC molecule in breast cancer (Supplementary Figure 6, Supplementary Figure 67).

Construction of a Prognostic Signature of Breast Cancer in the Train Set

Previous research has demonstrated that TRIM8 expression level is closely related with immune in breast cancer. Therefore, we sought to determine whether DEGs was related to immune genes in breast cancer. To begin with, we collected 2483 human immune genes from Immport. Finally, there were 23 DE-IRGs within all the immune genes when intersecting with 192 DEGs. In order to confirm the prognostic significance of these immune-related genes in breast cancer, univariate Cox regression analysis was carried out. We screened out 21 immune-related genes which included nineteen potential protective genes

and two potential risky genes (Figure 5.A). We then pursued multivariate Cox regression analysis. 5 immune-related genes were obtained (Figure 5.B). Finally, a immune prognostic signature was constructed based on FABP7, CLIC6I, cXCL14, SEMA3B and ELOVL2. Next, we calculated risk score of each patient using the following formulate: $\text{risk score} = (-0.31212341 \times \text{FABP7}) + (-0.071409412 \times \text{CLIC6}) + (-0.093656818 \times \text{CXCL14}) + (-0.291305101 \times \text{SEMA3B}) + (-0.110268438 \times \text{ELOVL2})$. Patients were stratified into high-risk (n=534) and low-risk groups (n=535) based on the median values (Figure 5.C). Patients with higher risk scores were more likely than those with lower scores to have death (Figure 5.E). The heatmap was used to visualize the differential expression of these five immune-related genes between two groups (Figure 5.F). Survival analysis indicated that patients in high-risk group had a poorer prognosis than those in low-risk group ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 5.D). As was depicted in Figure 5E, the AUC of ROC for 1-year, 3-years and 5-years OS were 0.656, 0.693 and 0.680, respectively. Moreover, we investigated relationships between the expression level of these five immune-related genes and overall survival (OS) in breast cancer. The results showed that the higher expression level of these five immune-related genes had a better prognosis than those in the low expression level (Supplementary Figure 8.A,C,E,G,I). Together, the above results demonstrated the credibility and effectiveness of the model in predicting the prognosis of patients with breast cancer.

Validation of the Risk Score of METABRIC dataset in Test Set

To further validate the prognostic value of the risk score, we calculated risk scores for patients in the METABRIC dataset using the same formula of the train set. According to the median value of risk score, the patients were divided into high-risk (n=1044) and low-risk groups (n=859) (Figure 6.A). Those with higher risk scores were more likely to have a poorer prognosis (Figure 6.C). A heatmap was presented to visualize the difference expression levels of the five immune-related between test groups (Figure 6.D). The results in the test set were similar in the train set. Survival analysis indicated that patients in high-risk group had a poorer prognosis than those in low-risk group ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 6.B). Time-dependent ROC analysis showed that the prognostic accuracy of the immune-related genes signature was 0.574 at 1 year, 0.629 at 3 years and 0.610 at 5 years (Figure 6.E). Furthermore, high expression level of these five immune-related genes might indicate better prognosis ($p < 0.05$) (Supplementary Figure 8.B,D,F,H,J).

Construction of the Nomogram and Performance Validation

To provide the clinician with a better quantitative method to predict prognosis of breast cancer patient, we established a nomogram with multiple factors including Age, M, N, T, stage and risk score (Figure 7.A). The nomogram was used to evaluate the survival probability of 1-, 3-, and 5-year. Nomograms showed a good performance with a high C-index of 0.768, suggesting that it could be served as an effective tool for prognostic evaluation of patients with breast cancer. In addition, we constructed calibration curves which showed that the predicted and actual survival rates were highly in agreement with 1, 3, and 5 years (Figure 7.B-D). These findings revealed that the nomogram with our risk scores can improve predicting OS.

Discussion

Breast cancer, one of the most common malignant tumors among women, seriously threatens female health. Although some advances in early detection, diagnosis and treatment in recent years, patients with breast cancer in advanced and/or metastatic stage still have a poor prognosis. Previous studies reveals that the immune system is critical in the development of breast cancer. These sobering data highlight the urgent requirement to identify novel biomarkers and provide promising immune-related therapeutic targets for breast cancer.

In this study, we first determined the expression and prognostic value of TRIM8 in patients with breast cancer. The expression level of TRIM8 was lower in breast cancer tissues than in normal tissues, and high TRIM8 expression levels were strongly correlated with better OS, PFS and RFS in breast cancer population. Altogether, these findings suggested TRIM8 may serve as a tumor suppressor gene and a putative prognostic biomarker for breast cancer.

With the constant recognition of the importance of tumor immune microenvironment on the disease course of cancers, there is a great potential to predict and guide immunotherapeutic responsiveness [23]. Our results revealed that there were the close linkage between TRIM8 and the immunity of breast cancer. First, we found that TRIM8 gene copy numbers were inversely associated with the infiltration levels in breast cancer, including B cell, CD4+Tcell and macrophage. Then, TISIDB analysis was conducted to study the correlation between TRIM8 expression and immune cells, we found that there were a negative correlations between TRIM8 expression and abundances of CD4+T cell, B cell, T follicular helper cell, Gamma delta T cell, Th1 cell, Th2 cell, and natural killer T cell in breast cancer, especially CD4+ T cell. Altogether, these evidence indicated a potential role of TRIM8 in immune microenvironment. Early studies showed that immune microenvironment played a vital role in the progression and prognosis of breast cancer[24]. It was reported that a high expression of CD4+ T cells might imply progression of breast cancer, and patients with higher expression of CD4+ T cell had worse cancer specific overall survival in breast cancer [25, 26]. In addition, Studies found that high of level Th2 cells were positively correlated with aggressiveness of breast cancer [27, 28]. While, higher Th2 was associated with a worse prognosis in breast cancer [29-31]. In this study, high expression of TRIM8 indicated a good prognosis in breast cancer. The positive role of TRIM8 expression prognosis of patients with breast cancer was accordance with different potential functional roles of immune cell types. These findings further indicated that TRIM8 might be a potential link between progression and prognosis survival of breast cancer, which might serve as a biomarker for breast cancer therapies.

At present, immune checkpoint blockade plays a major role in the treatment for cancer therapy, especially for advanced cancers. To better understand the underlying biological function of TRIM8 gene, we conducted TISIDB analysis to determine the correlation between TRIM8 and immunomodulator in breast cancer. The results showed that TRIM8 was significantly positively correlated with PVRL2 but negatively correlated with PD-L1 and the key immunoregulator CTLA-4. PVRL2 was a potential target for cancer immunotherapy which was extensively investigated. Previous studies reported that PVRL2 expression

was different in different cancer types. It was highly expressed in acute myeloid leukemia, multiple myeloma, and epithelial cancers. PVRL2 expression was linked to aggressiveness and adverse prognosis in gallbladder cancer. However, other studies demonstrated an opposite role of PVRL2 in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), suggesting that patients with high PVRL2 expression on their tumors do better. This view was inconsistent with our studies. According to our results, TRIM8 might positively regulate the expression of PVRL2 in breast cancer. Notably, our findings might provide a novel molecular target for the treatment of breast cancer.

Immune-related prognostic models have been widely acquired and applied to predict prognosis of breast cancer patients. Ascierto et al. found that the expression level of immune genes in breast cancer was significantly associated with tumor relapse based on gene expression analysis of breast cancer tissues by microarray, and developed a prognostic gene signature to discriminate the patient subgroup at a significantly increased risk of relapse[32]. Shiyuan Wang et al. established an immune-related prognostic score (IRPS) with combining the normalized enrichment score (NES) values and 54 immune cells, which was significantly correlated with prolonged survival time and several immunogenomic features of breast cancer[33]. Silei Sui et al. constructed an immune cell infiltration-based immune score model based on resting CD4⁺ T cells, regulatory T cells, gamma-delta T cells, activated NK cells, monocytes and M0 macrophages to predict the prognosis of BC patients and the effect of chemotherapy [34]. In this study, we identified 192 DEGs by comparing the two groups based on TRIM8 expression and intersecting with immune genes. Through further Cox regression analysis, a risk score model was established to predict the overall survival of breast cancer based on five immune-related genes. The predictive accuracy of the model was high in both training set and validation set. Then, a nomogram integrating age, TNM stage and risk score was constructed to effectively predict individualized survival with a C-index of 0.768.

In summary, our findings might offer accurate prognosis prediction for patients with breast cancer and provide clinicians with a new reference for breast cancer treatment.

However, this study needs to be expanded in following aspects. Firstly, our study was conducted only based on online databases. To overcome this limitation, we robustly integrated bioinformatic analyses, such as meta-analysis. Second, the underlying mechanisms between TRIM8 expression and tumor immunity in breast cancer should be investigated in the future. Finally, the detail mechanisms underlying the prognostic markers remains to be elucidated.

In conclusion, our results suggested that TRIM8 was expressed at low levels in breast cancer tissues, and high expression of TRIM8 in breast cancer patients predicted better prognosis. TRIM8 expression was associated with immune characteristics, such as infiltrating immune cells and immunomodulators. These findings together suggested that TRIM8 might play a crucial role in the immune microenvironment of breast cancer. Finally, the immune-related prognostic signature, derived from five DE-IRGs, could serve as an independent prognostic biomarker for overall survival prediction in breast cancer. Therefore, TRIM8 was predicted to be a probable candidate as both a prognostic biomarker and new immunotherapeutic strategies for breast cancer treatment.

Conclusion

Our result indicate that TRIM8 may serve as a potential prognostic biomarker associating with immune characteristics and provide a novel therapeutic target for the treatment of breast cancer.

Declarations

Ethics approval

This article is not involved in any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Consent for publication

All authors consent to the publication of this study.

Consent to participate

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the Key Scientific and Technological Project of Henan Province (Grant No.202102310416) and the Key Scientific Research Projects of Henan Colleges and Universities (Grant No. 21A310008).

Author contribution

Ruoling Jia and Cheng Yan designed the research and wrote the paper. Cheng Yan and Qingling Liu downloaded and analyzed the data. Mingkun Nie and Wei Hu critically read the manuscript. All authors have agreed to the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank participants and staff of Xinxiang University for their contributions.

Availability of data and materials

All data and R script in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Bray F, McCarron P, Parkin DM: **The changing global patterns of female breast cancer incidence and mortality.** *Breast Cancer Res* 2004, **6**(6):229-239.
2. Ginsburg O, Bray F, Coleman MP, Vanderpuye V, Eniu A, Kotha SR, Sarker M, Huong TT, Allemani C, Dvaladze A *et al*: **The global burden of women's cancers: a grand challenge in global health.** *Lancet* 2017, **389**(10071):847-860.
3. Soerjomataram I, Louwman MW, Ribot JG, Roukema JA, Coebergh JW: **An overview of prognostic factors for long-term survivors of breast cancer.** *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008, **107**(3):309-330.
4. Silva AS, Kam Y, Khin ZP, Minton SE, Gillies RJ, Gatenby RA: **Evolutionary approaches to prolong progression-free survival in breast cancer.** *Cancer Res* 2012, **72**(24):6362-6370.
5. Allemani C, Matsuda T, Di Carlo V, Harewood R, Matz M, Niksic M, Bonaventure A, Valkov M, Johnson CJ, Esteve J *et al*: **Global surveillance of trends in cancer survival 2000-14 (CONCORD-3): analysis of individual records for 37 513 025 patients diagnosed with one of 18 cancers from 322 population-based registries in 71 countries.** *Lancet* 2018, **391**(10125):1023-1075.
6. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F: **Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries.** *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021, **71**(3):209-249.
7. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A: **Cancer statistics, 2020.** *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020, **70**(1):7-30.
8. Wang R, Zhu Y, Liu X, Liao X, He J, Niu L: **The Clinicopathological features and survival outcomes of patients with different metastatic sites in stage IV breast cancer.** *BMC Cancer* 2019, **19**(1):1091.
9. Autier P, Boniol M: **Mammography screening: A major issue in medicine.** *Eur J Cancer* 2018, **90**:34-62.
10. Gajdos C, Tartter PI, Bleiweiss IJ: **Lymphatic invasion, tumor size, and age are independent predictors of axillary lymph node metastases in women with T1 breast cancers.** *Ann Surg* 1999, **230**(5):692-696.
11. Gonzalez H, Hagerling C, Werb Z: **Roles of the immune system in cancer: from tumor initiation to metastatic progression.** *Genes Dev* 2018, **32**(19-20):1267-1284.
12. Botticelli A, Cirillo A, Scagnoli S, Cerbelli B, Strigari L, Cortellini A, Pizzuti L, Vici P, De Galitiis F, Di Pietro FR *et al*: **The Agnostic Role of Site of Metastasis in Predicting Outcomes in Cancer Patients Treated with Immunotherapy.** *Vaccines (Basel)* 2020, **8**(2).
13. Loi S, Giobbie-Hurder A, Gombos A, Bachelot T, Hui R, Curigliano G, Campone M, Biganzoli L, Bonnefoi H, Jerusalem G *et al*: **Pembrolizumab plus trastuzumab in trastuzumab-resistant, advanced, HER2-positive breast cancer (PANACEA): a single-arm, multicentre, phase 1b-2 trial.** *Lancet Oncol* 2019, **20**(3):371-382.
14. Nanda R, Chow LQ, Dees EC, Berger R, Gupta S, Geva R, Pusztai L, Pathiraja K, Aktan G, Cheng JD *et al*: **Pembrolizumab in Patients With Advanced Triple-Negative Breast Cancer: Phase Ib KEYNOTE-012 Study.** *J Clin Oncol* 2016, **34**(21):2460-2467.

15. Darvin P, Toor SM, Sasidharan Nair V, Elkord E: **Immune checkpoint inhibitors: recent progress and potential biomarkers.** *Exp Mol Med* 2018, **50**(12):1-11.
16. Marzano F, Guerrini L, Pesole G, Sbisa E, Tullo A: **Emerging Roles of TRIM8 in Health and Disease.** *Cells* 2021, **10**(3).
17. Venuto S, Castellana S, Monti M, Appolloni I, Fusilli C, Fusco C, Pucci P, Malatesta P, Mazza T, Merla G *et al*: **TRIM8-driven transcriptomic profile of neural stem cells identified glioma-related nodal genes and pathways.** *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* 2019, **1863**(2):491-501.
18. Roy M, Tomar D, Singh K, Lakshmi S, Prajapati P, Bhatelia K, Gohel D, Singh R: **TRIM8 regulated autophagy modulates the level of cleaved Caspase-3 subunit to inhibit genotoxic stress induced cell death.** *Cell Signal* 2018, **48**:1-12.
19. Liu Y, Zhang B, Shi T, Qin H: **miR-182 promotes tumor growth and increases chemoresistance of human anaplastic thyroid cancer by targeting tripartite motif 8.** *Onco Targets Ther* 2017, **10**:1115-1122.
20. Maarifi G, Smith N, Maillet S, Moncorge O, Chamontin C, Edouard J, Sohm F, Blanchet FP, Herbeuval JP, Lutfalla G *et al*: **TRIM8 is required for virus-induced IFN response in human plasmacytoid dendritic cells.** *Sci Adv* 2019, **5**(11):eaax3511.
21. Bai X, Zhang YL, Liu LN: **Inhibition of TRIM8 restrains ischaemia-reperfusion-mediated cerebral injury by regulation of NF-kappaB activation associated inflammation and apoptosis.** *Exp Cell Res* 2020, **388**(2):111818.
22. Rhodes DR, Kalyana-Sundaram S, Mahavisno V, Varambally R, Yu J, Briggs BB, Barrette TR, Anstet MJ, Kincead-Beal C, Kulkarni P *et al*: **Oncomine 3.0: genes, pathways, and networks in a collection of 18,000 cancer gene expression profiles.** *Neoplasia* 2007, **9**(2):166-180.
23. Binnewies M, Roberts EW, Kersten K, Chan V, Fearon DF, Merad M, Coussens LM, Gabrilovich DI, Ostrand-Rosenberg S, Hedrick CC *et al*: **Understanding the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) for effective therapy.** *Nat Med* 2018, **24**(5):541-550.
24. Lu S, Yu Z, Xiao Z, Zhang Y: **Gene Signatures and Prognostic Values of m(6)A Genes in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma.** *Front Oncol* 2020, **10**:875.
25. Matkowski R, Gisterek I, Halon A, Lacko A, Szewczyk K, Staszek U, Pudelko M, Szynglarewicz B, Szelachowska J, Zolnierok A *et al*: **The prognostic role of tumor-infiltrating CD4 and CD8 T lymphocytes in breast cancer.** *Anticancer Res* 2009, **29**(7):2445-2451.
26. Chin Y, Janseens J, Vandepitte J, Vandenbrande J, Opdebeek L, Raus J: **Phenotypic analysis of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes from human breast cancer.** *Anticancer Res* 1992, **12**(5):1463-1466.
27. Zhang Q, Qin J, Zhong L, Gong L, Zhang B, Zhang Y, Gao WQ: **CCL5-Mediated Th2 Immune Polarization Promotes Metastasis in Luminal Breast Cancer.** *Cancer Res* 2015, **75**(20):4312-4321.
28. Le L, Tokumaru Y, Oshi M, Asaoka M, Yan L, Endo I, Ishikawa T, Futamura M, Yoshida K, Takabe K: **Th2 cell infiltrations predict neoadjuvant chemotherapy response of estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer.** *Gland Surg* 2021, **10**(1):154-165.

29. Varn FS, Mullins DW, Arias-Pulido H, Fiering S, Cheng C: **Adaptive immunity programmes in breast cancer.** *Immunology* 2017, **150**(1):25-34.

30. Teschendorff AE, Gomez S, Arenas A, El-Ashry D, Schmidt M, Gehrmann M, Caldas C: **Improved prognostic classification of breast cancer defined by antagonistic activation patterns of immune response pathway modules.** *BMC Cancer* 2010, **10**:604.

31. Faucheux L, Grandclaudon M, Perrot-Dockes M, Sirven P, Berger F, Hamy AS, Fourchotte V, Vincent-Salomon A, Mechta-Grigoriou F, Reyat F *et al*: **A multivariate Th17 metagene for prognostic stratification in T cell non-inflamed triple negative breast cancer.** *Oncoimmunology* 2019, **8**(9):e1624130.

32. Ascierto ML, Kmiecik M, Idowu MO, Manjili R, Zhao Y, Grimes M, Dumur C, Wang E, Ramakrishnan V, Wang XY *et al*: **A signature of immune function genes associated with recurrence-free survival in breast cancer patients.** *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012, **131**(3):871-880.

33. Wang S, Zhang Q, Yu C, Cao Y, Zuo Y, Yang L: **Immune cell infiltration-based signature for prognosis and immunogenomic analysis in breast cancer.** *Brief Bioinform* 2021, **22**(2):2020-2031.

34. Sui S, An X, Xu C, Li Z, Hua Y, Huang G, Sui S, Long Q, Sui Y, Xiong Y *et al*: **An immune cell infiltration-based immune score model predicts prognosis and chemotherapy effects in breast cancer.** *Theranostics* 2020, **10**(26):11938-11949.

Figures

Figure 1

Flowchart of the major steps in this study.

Figure 2

TRIM8 expression levels in different types of human cancers. (A) The expression level of TRIM8 in different cancer tissues compared with normal tissues from the Oncomine database. Numbers in red and blue represented dataset numbers in which levels of TRIM8 were statistically increased or decreased. (B) TRIM8 expression levels in different cancer tissues compared with normal tissues from breast cancer and normal tissues from TCGA database. Red dots represented tumor and blue represented normal. (D) Boxplots showed TRIM8 expression levels in different molecular subtypes patients with breast cancer. (E) Relative expressions of TRIM8 mRNA in 224 pairs of breast cancer tissues and adjacent noncancerous

tissues from TCGA database. (F-G) The images obtained from The Human Protein Atlas database (<https://www.proteinatlas.org/pathology>). Representative IHC images showed TRIM8 expression in normal tissues (F) and breast cancer tissues (G).

Figure 3

The prognostic value of TRIM8 expression level in breast cancer based on TCGA database. (A) Patients with breast cancer stratified into high-expression (low-risk; green line) and low-expression groups (high-risk; red line) using the minimum p-value approach. (B) Kaplan–Meier survival curves showed the over survival probability between the high- risk groups and low-risk groups. (C) Survival time and survival status of patients with breast cancer compared between high- risk groups and low-risk groups. Green plots for alive, red plots for dead. (D) Heatmap showed the expression of TRIM8 in different groups. Blue color represented high-risk group, while pink color represented low-risk group. (E) Kaplan–Meier survival curves displayed progression-free survival (PFS) between the high- risk groups and low-risk groups. (F)

Forest plot of high TRIM8 expression with better over survival in breast cancer patients from three databases.

Figure 4

Correlation between the expression of TRIM8 and immune cell subsets in patients with breast cancer based on the TISIDB database. (A) Heatmap showed the relations between expression of TRIM8 and 28 types of TILs in breast cancer. The red color in the heatmap indicated a positive correlation, the blue color

indicated a negative correlation, and the white color indicated a non-significant correlation. (B-U) The significantly correlation between the expression of TRIM8 and immune cell infiltrations.

Figure 5

Identification of DE-IRGs with prognostic value in breast cancer. (A) Univariate Cox regression analysis of DE-ARGs and immune genes. (B) Multivariate Cox regression of DE-IRGs based on univariate Cox regression analysis. (C) The risk score stratified the breast cancer patients into high- risk groups (“High” red line) and low-risk groups (“Low” green line) in training set. (D) Kaplan-Meier survival curves compared survival probability between the high- risk groups and low- risk group in training set. (E) Survival time and survival status of patients in breast cancer compared between high- risk groups and low-risk groups in training set. Green plots for alive, red plots for dead. (F) Heatmap indicated expression of the five DE-IRGs

in breast cancer. Blue color represents high group, while pink color represents low group. (G) Time-dependent ROC curves for DE-IRGs model to predict patient survival in training set.

Figure 6

Risk analysis and overall survival of DE-IRGs in the test set. (A) The risk score stratified the breast cancer patients into high- risk groups (“High” red line) and low-risk groups (“Low” green line). (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves compared survival probability between the high- risk groups and low- risk group. (C) Survival time and survival status of patients in breast cancer compared between high- risk groups and low-risk groups. Green plots for alive, red plots for dead. (D) Heatmap indicated expression of the five DE-IRGs in breast cancer. Blue color represents high group, while pink color represents low group. (E) Time-dependent ROC curves for DE-IRGs model to predict patient survival.

Figure 7

Establishment of the prognostic nomogram to predict overall survival of breast cancer patients based on TCGA cohort. (A) The nomogram for predicting survival proportion of patients in 1-, 3-, and 5-year. (B-D) The calibration plots for predicting patient survival at 1-, 3- and 5-year.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplementfigure1.jpg](#)
- [supplementfigure2.jpg](#)
- [supplementfigure3.jpg](#)
- [supplementfigure4.jpg](#)
- [supplementfigure5.jpg](#)
- [supplementfigure6.jpg](#)
- [supplementfigure7.jpg](#)
- [supplementfigure8.jpg](#)