

Intersecting Linear Light Strands: A Particle Explanation for the Fresnel Central Spot

Srin Dutt (✉ lightstrands@outlook.com)

EYECARE CENTERS OF FLORIDA <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2717-2606>

Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: December 9th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1153247/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Technovation on January 30th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.54392/irjmt2213>.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

INTERSECTING LINEAR LIGHT STRANDS:
A PARTICLE EXPLANATION FOR THE FRESNEL CENTRAL SPOT

Srin Dutt
Brooksville, FL
lightstrands@outlook.com

23 ABSTRACT

24

25 The Fresnel central spot in a shadow, theorized to be generated from wave interference, has given strong
26 support for wave models of light. Using extended exposure macro imaging in various media, the shadow is shown
27 to be more complex with multiple lines of light intersecting in the center. Using the particle concept of discrete light
28 strands perpendicularly reflecting from a diffraction source, these observations can be more accurately explained.
29 Another quantized aspect of light is shown where linear light strands become rings when an energy threshold is
30 reached.

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 INTRODUCTION

41

42 Descriptions of light behavior have alternated between particle and wave theory [1, 2]. Strong
43 experimental support of both models has led to a dual particle-wave theory. The Fresnel spot, otherwise referred to
44 as the Poisson's spot or Arago's spot, has been utilized to strongly support wave theory [3, 4, 5]. It is created by
45 projecting light onto a solid disc which then creates a black shadow behind it where light transmission is blocked.
46 However, a faint dot of light is visible in the center of the shadow (Figure 1). This is predicted from wave theory




Figure 1. Classic representation of the Fresnel spot as a faint light in the center of a shadow

47 wherein new wave fronts, thought to be created at the rim of the disc, interfere with each other as they emanate
48 forward. Constructive interference at the equidistant center of the shadow is thought to create the central spot.

49 An alternative particle concept to the wave theory of light has been recently described [6]. Light
50 interference fringe patterns have been shown to be pseudo- interference patterns in certain situations, created by
51 discrete light strands reflecting off a diffraction source. As a substitute to wave interference explanations, the fringe
52 patterns can be explained by these discrete light strands with clear intervening spaces that radiate outward
53 perpendicularly when light hits an edge. Could this alternative explanation apply to the Fresnel central spot? The
54 central spot, its surrounding shadow, and its preceding pathway in free space was analyzed to determine the validity
55 of this conjecture.

56

57

58

METHODS

59

60 A configuration was assembled to project light onto a solid object creating a shadow (Figure 2). A 532 nm,

61 5mw spot laser was used as a coherent light source. The light beam was transmitted through a diverging 20D lens.

62 The expanded beam was centered over a solid disc which was held with an alligator clamp. The resultant image was

63 isolated using an adjustable iris diaphragm to block higher intensity peripheral light outside of the shadow and allow

64 subtle low light capture. The resulting image was projected onto a black cardstock screen. In another configuration,




Figure 2. Light projecting onto a solid object creating a shadow and faint central spot

65 a solid square object was also utilized to create a shadow. Rings and hollow tubes placed in free space were also

66 used to test the screen image. Images were captured using a Lumix ZS100 digital camera with 20.1 megapixel 1

67 inch sensor and Leica DC Vario-Elmarit Lens F2.8-5.9 using slower shutter speeds for low light extended exposure

68 and macro imaging. Additionally a block of transparent gelatin was utilized to view light propagating through free

69 space prior to contacting the screen surface. Water vapor was also used as a cloud chamber to view light

70 propagation in free space.

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

RESULTS

78

79

The macro extended exposure images revealed new detail about light behavior within the shadow.

80

Multiple individual lines of light are viewed radiating towards the center from the rim of the disc shadow (Figure 3).

81

They can be seen intersecting at a central point as they continue past each other. As all lines pass through this

82

central point, it is viewed as the brightest area and appears as a spot from a distance but is irregular when magnified.




Figure 3. Multiple lines of light extending from the rim and intersecting at a central point. Some of the lines show a fringe pattern.

83

If a ring is placed in front of the lines of light, a sharp corresponding shadow is created that doesn't affect

84

adjacent areas (Figure 4). The central opening of the ring continues to show light transmission.




Figure 4. Ring object allowing light radiation through its central opening while sharply blocking light with its solid component

85 Subsequently, a small hollow tube was placed in front of the lines of light, perpendicular to the screen.
86 This created a solid circular shadow with no light passing through its center (Figure 5). Light can be seen reflecting
87 off the outer aspect of the tube.



Figure 5. Hollow tube not allowing light transmission

88 The alligator clamps, which were holding the disc, blocked light with its two jaws at the rim which is the
89 point of origin of diffraction (Figure 6). The resulting blockage was distinct with sharp edges that carried to the




Figure 6. Clamp jaws blocking light transmission at source of diffraction, creating sharply demarcated clear areas

90 center of the shadow and conformed to the size and shape of the jaws. If the jaws were moved, the blocked areas
91 moved correspondingly.

92 If light was shown on only a portion of the disc rim, then the lines would only occur in that section (Figure
93 7). If most of the disc rim was left dimmed, except for a small locus, single lines with a fringe pattern would appear.




Figure 7. Partial illumination of rim creating distinct projected lines localized to that sector

94

95 The periphery of the shadow is not uniform (Figure 1, 3-7). Outside of the shadow circumference, rings
96 appear. Internal to the shadow rim is a scalloped border which appears to be a failed ring formation. Internal to this,
97 individual lines radiate to the center.

98 In the gelatin block, fine individual strands of light can be viewed radiating from the rim of the disc as
99 striations (Figure 8). The brightest strands correspond to light radiating linearly from the rim of the disc to the rim




Figure 8. Discrete individual light strands created during diffraction viewed as striations while traversing gelatin media

100 of the shadow. Within the central shadow, finer, low intensity strands are seen radiating towards the center. These
101 strands intersect in the middle of the shadow creating the pseudo-spot.

102 In the cloud chamber of water vapor, the cylindrical outline of the light radiation is noted (Figure 9). It is
103 striated as it consists of individual light strands. The sharp border of two clear areas are visible traversing with the




Figure 9. Cylindrical rim diffraction viewed externally and internally in a water vapor cloud chamber

104 light strands and corresponding to the two jaws of the alligator clamp that blocked diffraction at the rim. Another
105 view inside the projected light cylinder also shows the striated light strands around the periphery with distinct
106 demarcation of the areas where the light is blocked by the clamp jaws. Faint strands are noted crossing towards the
107 center creating the central spot.

108 Different shapes cause different reflection patterns. Square objects create lines that radiate perpendicularly
109 from each edge (Figure 10). As there is no curvature, the lines never intersect at a central spot, but instead cross in a
110 hash pattern.




Figure 10. Square object reflecting light strands at right angles creating a hash pattern

111 Objects with central openings like rings and pinholes create light rings instead of lines (Figure 11). The
112 rings correspond to the intensity of the light. The greater the intensity, the greater the visibility of rings. The




Figure 11. Solid ring object creating distinct concentric light rings from reflections away from rim edges of the shadow. In contrast, reflections inward to rim edges of the shadow are irregular not smooth showing partial linear and ring formations.

113 smaller the aperture, at the same intensity of light, the more visible rings are internal to the rim edge as light
114 reflections are more concentrated. The inward facing rims of the ring shadow are irregular, not smooth. These areas
115 show partial wave and line formations.

116

117

DISCUSSION

118 Consistent with our observed findings, the Fresnel central spot can be explained by linear strands of light
119 that radiate from the rim of the solid disc and intersect at the center of its shadow. Diffraction at one locus on the
120 disc rim, perpendicularly reflects multiple strands with intervening clear spaces in a linear fashion, creating an




Figure 12. Light strands radiating from one locus of disc rim and forming a line pattern on the shadow.

121 image of a line on the screen (Figure 12). Diffraction at multiple loci on the rim for 360 degrees creates multiple
122 lines. At the central point of intersecting lines, the illusion of a central spot is created (Figure 13). Wave concepts




Figure 13. Multiple projected lines of light intersecting in center of shadow creating a bright spot. Peripheral higher intensity strands that are adjacent merge to form rings.

123 are not consistent with the observed distinct, linear images [7, 8, 9]. Wave interference, instead, predicts a central
124 energy peak that drops off sharply in the periphery of the shadow, unlike what is noted here. Particle theory with
125 reflecting light strands better explains the sharply demarcated lines throughout the shadow. The central spot ensues
126 as these multiple low intensity strands intersect in the center of the shadow.

127 Blockage at the rim of the disc creates a distinct blank demarcation in the lines crossing the shadow. This




Figure 14. Blocking light at the point of diffraction (Blockage A) removes the entire line of radiating strands creating a finely demarcated clear space

128 is consistent with light strands being finely blocked creating sharp demarcation in its radiations (Figure 14).

129 Blockage of wave interference would not cause these sharp demarcations when obstructed and would only lessen
130 central spot intensity.

131 The persistence of the light lines in the center of a ring obstruction, again argues in favor of particle theory
132 (Figure 15, Blockage B). Particle theory can explain this as linear strands traversing through the center of the ring
133 before striking the screen. Wave interference in this scenario would not show sharply delineated lines in the center




Figure 15. Light blockage with ring (Blockage B) showing transmission of central light strands and tube (Blockage C) showing obstruction of central light strands

134 of the ring shadow. It may even suggest the creation of a new central spot from new emanating waves from the
135 edges of the ring, unlike what was observed.

136 A hollow tube placed perpendicularly to the screen, should allow light to pass through if light waves or
137 particles were radiating perpendicularly from the disc. This was not observed, as the shadow was solid when a tube
138 was placed in front of the screen. This observation is more consistent with angled radiating strands being blocked
139 by the perpendicular tube as they try to cross through the center of the shadow (Figure 15, Blockage C).

140 Illuminating only a locus on the disc rim only creates lines from that spot. This is consistent with light
141 strands only emanating from that point. These lines also show a fringe pattern. This is consistent with prior
142 observations of fringe patterns when light reflects off edges [6]. This supports the conjecture of the central spot as
143 the confluence of reflections off multiple loci on a circular disc. The reflecting strands intersect in the center of the
144 shadow creating the pseudo-spot.

145 The reflections consist of an alternating sequence of bright and clear spaces (Figure 12). The bright space
146 corresponds to the strand width (M) and the clear space to the intervening clear space width (I) between strands.
147 Each pair width (P) of strand and clear space at a set intensity can be described as:

148
$$M + I = P$$

149 From previous analysis [6], using a 5mw 532nm laser, we can determine the size of each component at a distance
150 (x) from the diffraction source.

151
$$.0016x + .00027x = P$$

152 The radius (r) of a shadow at distance x, allows calculation of the number of pairs to reach the center.

153
154
$$r / P = n_{\text{pairs}}$$

155
156 Observations with the solid square object further support the reflecting strands concept. Lines radiating
157 perpendicular to the edge in a linear path create discrete lines in a hash pattern (Figure 16), unlike wave interference
158 expected results.




Figure 16. Light strands diffracting perpendicularly off a square object and creating linear hash marks on the screen

160 The periphery of the shadow shows a tendency to form rings (Figure 13). It appears to be dependent upon
161 the amount of photon energy available. Reflections external to the shadow rim are of high intensity and form sharp
162 rings. Reflections internal to the rim are of low intensity and form lines with fringe patterns. But at the internal

163 edge of the rim where the relative light intensity is intermediate, irregular patterns are formed. Observing rings and
164 pinholes with smaller central apertures, where more light energy reflects inward from the inner rim, complete rings
165 are noted (Figure 11). Here also along the inward shadow borders, where there are fewer reflecting light strands, an
166 irregular border appears where partial lines alternate with partial rings. An explanation to satisfy these findings is
167 failed ring formations due to insufficient energy. A photon energy threshold (E_{\min}) appears to determine whether
168 strands stay discrete and form lines with fringes (E_1) or fuse with adjacent strands to form intermittent rings (E_2).
169 This quantized behavior can be described with equations of energy levels (h - Planck's constant, c speed of light, λ -
170 wavelength):

171

172

$$E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$$

173

$$E_1 + E_{\min} = E_2$$

174

$$E_{\min} = n_{\text{photons/strand}} \times n_{\text{strands}} \times hc / \lambda$$

175

176 To reach the higher energy state, either the photon density or the strand density must increase. At this higher
177 energy level, strands appear to merge with adjacent strands to form a ring and clear intervals of its fringe pattern
178 remain to separate the confluent rings. Our previous equation of strand and clear interval pairs ($r/P = n_{\text{pairs}}$) can
179 therefore also represent the number of potential rings within a shadow of radius r .

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

CONCLUSION

188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199

The Fresnel central spot can be explained by particle theory. Linear light strands, not wave interference, reflecting perpendicularly off the edge of the circular disc and intersecting as they cross the center of the shadow create the appearance of a pseudo-spot. The central spot has traditionally been viewed as strongly supporting wave concepts of light, but this may be erroneous. Further evaluation may be helpful to determine if linear light strands explain observations of light behavior better than wave theory in other situations. Adjacent light strands also appear to merge forming rings contingent upon a photonic energy threshold. This appears to be another quantized behavior of light.

200 REFERENCES

201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225

[1] Kuhn KF, Noschese, F., Light. Wave or Particle? In: *Basic Physics*. Ed 3, Wiley, New Jersey, 2020, 227-236.

[2] Carroll, G., *Quantum Physics for Beginners*, Carroll; 2020, 46-112.

[3] Walker J, Halliday, D, Resnick, R., Diffraction. In: *Fundamentals of Physics*. Ed 10, Wiley, New Jersey, 2014, 1082-1084.

[4] Fresnel A, *Théorie de la lumière*, Oeuvres Completes, Imprimerie impériale, Paris, 1866

[5] Arago D, “Report made by Mr. Arago to the Academy of Sciences in the name of the commission which had been charged with examining the memoirs submitted to the competition for the diffraction prize”, *Annales de Chimie et de Physique*, 2nd series (in French), 11: 5–30, 1819.

[6] Dutt S, Dutt N, Dutt A, “Light Strands: Visualization of Free Space in Double Slit Diffraction”, *International Journal of Physics*, 9(4), 197-205, 2021.

[7] Reisinger T, Leufke P, Gleiter H, Hahn H, “On the relative intensity of Poisson's spot”, *New Journal of Physics*, 19 (3), 2017.

[8] Born, M, Wolf, E., *Principles of Optics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[9] Nave, CR., *Hyperphysics*, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, 2017, Available: <http://www.hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu>