Spatiotemporal evolution analysis of agricultural non-point source pollution risks in Chongqing, China, based on the ITO3dE model and GIS

Kang-wen ZHU

Southwest University

Zhi-min YANG

Southwest University

Lei HUANG

Southwest University

Yu-cheng CHEN (✉ cyc_sw_edu@163.com)

Southwest University

Sheng ZHANG

Chongqing Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences

Hai-ling XIONG

Southwest University

Sheng WU

Southwest University

Bo LEI

Chongqing Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences

Research Article

Keywords: Agricultural non-point source pollution (AGNPS), ITO3dE model, Transition matrix, Kernel density, GIS

Posted Date: December 9th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-115722/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on February 25th, 2021.
See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84075-2>.

1 Spatiotemporal evolution analysis of agricultural non-point source pollution risks in
2 Chongqing, China, based on the ITO3dE model and GIS

3 Kang-wen ZHU^a, Zhi-min YANG^a, Lei HUANG^a, Yu-cheng CHEN^{a*}, Sheng ZHANG^{b*}, Hai-ling
4 XIONG^c, Sheng WU^c, Bo LEI^b

5 ^aCollege of Resources and Environment, Southwest University, 400716, China

6 ^bChongqing Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Chongqing, 401147, China

7 ^cCollege of computer & information science, Southwest University, 400716, China

8 **Abstract**

9 To determine the risk state distribution, risk level, and risk evolution situation of agricultural non-point source
10 pollution (AGNPS), we built an ‘Input-Translate-Output’ three-dimensional evaluation (ITO3dE) model that
11 involved 12 factors under the support of GIS and analyzed the spatiotemporal evolution characteristics of AGNPS
12 risks from 2005 to 2015 in Chongqing by using GIS space matrix, kernel density analysis, and Getis-Ord Gi* analysis.
13 Land use changes during the 10 years had a certain influence on the AGNPS risk. The risk values in 2005, 2010, and
14 2015 were in the ranges of 0.40-2.28, 0.41-2.57, and 0.41-2.28, respectively, with the main distribution regions being
15 the western regions of Chongqing (Dazu, Jiangjin, etc.) and other counties such as Dianjiang, Liangping, Kaizhou,
16 Wanzhou, and Zhongxian. The spatiotemporal transition matrix could well exhibit the risk transition situation, and
17 the risks generally showed no changes over time. The proportions of ‘no-risk no-change’, ‘low-risk no-change’, and
18 ‘medium-risk no-change’ were 10.86%, 33.42%, and 17.25%, respectively, accounting for 61.53% of the coverage
19 area of Chongqing. The proportions of risk increase, risk decline, and risk fluctuation were 13.45%, 17.66%, and

*Corresponding author.

Yu-cheng CHEN

Street Address: No.2 Tiansheng Road, Beibei District, Chongqing, 400716, China

E-mail address: chenychengr@swu.edu.cn, shengzsts@126.com

Sheng ZHANG

Street Address: No. 252, Qi Shan Road, Yubei District, Chongqing, 401147, China

E-mail address: shengzsts@126.com

7.36%, respectively. Kernel density analysis was suitable to explore high-risk gathering areas. The peak values of kernel density in the three periods were around 1,110, suggesting that the maximum gathering degree of medium-risk pattern spots basically showed no changes, but the spatial positions of high-risk gathering areas somehow changed. Getis-Ord Gi* analysis was suitable to explore the relationships between hot and cold spots. Counties with high pollution risks were Yongchuan, Shapingba, Dianjiang, Liangping, northwestern Fengdu, and Zhongxian, while counties with low risks were Chengkou, Wuxi, Wushan, Pengshui, and Rongchang. High-value hot spot zones gradually dominated in the northeast of Chongqing, while low-value cold spot zones gradually dominated in the Midwest. Our results provide a scientific base for the development of strategies to prevent and control AGNPS in Chongqing.

Keywords: Agricultural non-point source pollution (AGNPS), ITO3dE model, Transition matrix, Kernel density, GIS

1. Introduction

Agricultural non-point source pollution (AGNPS) refers to water pollution caused by nitrogen and phosphorus, pesticides, and other contaminants through farmland water runoff and percolation (Smith and Siciliano, 2015). In China, in the prevention and control of water pollution, point sources have mainly been taken into consideration, while non-point sources are largely being ignored (Liu *et al.*, 2005). However, along with the effective control of point source pollution, AGNPS has gradually attracted more and more attention. Currently, the premise of effectively solving the problem of AGNPS lies in the accurate evaluation of the risk state distribution, the risk level, and the risk evolution situation of AGNPS. In particular, the integration of numerous technologies and methods, such as models for the calculation of AGNPS (Hou *et al.*, 2014), the universal soil loss equation(USLE) (Shen *et al.*, 2009), and GIS technology (Basnyat *et al.*, 2000), has greatly promoted the research on AGNPS in China (Wang *et al.*, 2019).

According to the comparison of the results of the two pollution source surveys released by China in 2020, the total amount of water pollutant emissions decreased significantly in the past ten years (2007-2017). The proportion of agricultural sources in chemical oxygen demand, nitrogen pollutants and total phosphorus emissions increased from 43.71%, 41.88%, 67.27% to 49.77%, 40.73%, 67.22% respectively. It shows that the contribution of AGNPS to water pollution is very high. The city of Chongqing is characterized by a hilly and mountainous landform, a shattered topography, a high proportion of rural areas in the urban and rural dual structure, a hot and rainy season, and a high and concentrated precipitation, which result in a high potential threat, a wide coverage area, and a high driving energy of AGNPS in Chongqing (Zhou *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2018). The application amount of chemical fertilizer, pesticide and agricultural film in Chongqing is increasing constantly. In 2014, the application level of chemical fertilizer, pesticide and agricultural film reached 411 kg ha, 9.5 kg ha and 81.9 kg ha respectively, which was far higher than the international standard upper limit. In addition, due to the influence of topography and climate on soil erosion, the total amount of soil erosion is 146 million tons per year. In addition, there are other problems such as high multiple cropping index of agricultural land in Chongqing (Hu, 2017). Chongqing is located in the center of the Three Gorges Reservoir area and represents the connection point of the "Belt and Road" and the Yangtze River economic belt. Hence, Chongqing has an important status in the national regional development pattern and is an important ecological barrier of the upstream of the Yangtze River; in this sense, strict water management strategies are indispensable. To ensure the ecological security of the Yangtze River basin, the issue of AGNPS needs to be resolved. In view of this, it is of great importance to understand the spatiotemporal evolution situation of AGNPS risks in districts and counties of Chongqing. To sum up, there are

several problems to be solved in research fields and risk assessment of AGNPS. (1) Research on the spatiotemporal evolution of AGNPS in Chongqing or other large regions are scarce. (2) In terms of risk evaluation, on an international level, the most commonly used technologies and methods include the export coefficient approach (Cai *et al.*, 2018), the pollution index method (phosphorus index method)(Ouyang *et al.*, 2012), the multi-factor index evaluation method (Mao *et al.*, 2002), and the model evaluation method of non-point source pollution (NPS) (Strehmel *et al.*, 2016), and there are few studies on the integration of agronomy and geography. (3) There are many studies on input and output dimensions in AGNPS risk assessment (Xu *et al.*, 2018), but few studies consider translate dimension.

This research adopts the method of combining multi factor index and GIS spatial analysis, which was more advanced in AGNPS risk analysis at present, and could also enrich the research results in this field. And Wu built N and P load calculation models in the Three Gorges Reservoir area by combined with RUSLE equation and GIS technology, and also confirmed the advantages of the combination of the two methods (Wu *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, in our study, based on the comprehensive analysis of previous studies and regional characteristics of Chongqing (Wang *et al.*, 2019; Zhou *et al.*, 2019), and combining the advantages of GIS technology and the multi factor index comprehensive evaluation method. An ‘Input-Translate-Output’ three-dimensions evaluation (ITO3dE) model was built to evaluate the risks of AGNPS. There were 12 factors involved in the ITO3dE model, including input dimension (fertilizer use intensity, pesticide use intensity, livestock intensity), translate dimension (erosion caused by rainfall, slope length and gradient, soil erodibility, sloping field, distance from water area), and output dimension (water quality, water capacity, water network density, degree of paddy field retention), selected by expert consultation and system

analytic hierarchy processes. On this basis, the weights of these factors were determined through multiple assignment by combination with the Delphi method (Strand *et al.*, 2017).

The spatial overlay analysis function of GIS was adopted to conduct a comprehensive evaluation and combined with the results of related research on safe reference values of various factors. The spatiotemporal variation of the AGNPS risks in Chongqing from 2005 to 2015 was analyzed by using space matrix analysis, kernel density analysis, and Getis-Ord G_i^* . To reflect the characteristics of each factor in the large-scale spatialization process, it was assumed that the factors fertilizer use intensity and pesticide use intensity were evenly dispersed in the farmland area, that the livestock intensity factor was evenly dispersed in the suitable breeding area, and that the paddy field retention factor was evenly dispersed in the paddy field area.

Therefore, the spatiotemporal changes of the AGNPS risk on a large scale are studied in this research. AGNPS was mainly caused by the application of chemical fertilizer, and pesticides and by livestock farming. The research method takes into account the three dimensions of input, translate, and output. The research results have the advantages of a high visibility of risk results, identifiable risk levels, and analyzable risk changes. In addition, the study combines regional land use change and links some factors with a certain type of land use. Compared with other studies, it can better reflect the spatial differences and could therefore solve the problems of a wide coverage area of AGNPS and of the difficulty of accurately identifying high-risk areas (Rabotyagov *et al.*, 2012; Karandish and Simunek, 2017).

2. Research methods

2.1 Data sources

Data types were mainly divided into panel data, remote sensing data, and statistical data, at a

resolution of 30 m. The remote sensing data included land use data for 2005, 2010 and 2015, soil type data, digital elevation model (DEM) terrain data, slope data, river data and zones suitable for breeding. The land use data were derived from China's Eco-environmental Remote Sensing Assessment Project with a resolution of 30 m (Ouyang *et al.*, 2014). The DEM data were obtained from the resource and environment data cloud platform with a resolution of 30 m (<http://www.resdc.cn/>). The slope data were calculated by DEM data in GIS software, while the river data were extracted from high-resolution remote sensing images. Data on zones suitable for breeding were obtained from the delimited projects about the three livestock and poultry breeding zones (i.e., non-breeding zones, breeding-restricted zones, and zones suitable for breeding), issued by the Chongqing Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee.

The statistical data included fertilizer use, pesticide use, crop planting area, livestock and poultry breeding data, COD, TN, and TP data, and water generation modulus data. Data on fertilizer use, pesticide use, and crop planting area were derived from the Chongqing data system (<http://www.cqdata.gov.cn/>). Livestock and poultry breeding data were obtained from the Chongqing Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee (<http://nyncw.cq.gov.cn/>), while data on the levels of COD, TN, and TP were provided by the Chongqing Bureau of Ecology and Environment (<http://sthjj.cq.gov.cn/>). Water generation modulus data were obtained from the Chongqing Water Resource Environment Bulletin (<http://slj.cq.gov.cn/>).

2.2 Study area

Chongqing is located in southwestern China and is one of China's four municipalities directly under the central government. The current fertilizer application level in Chongqing is 411 kg ha, while the internationally recognized safe upper limit is 225 kg ha. More seriously, the fertilizer use

rate is only about 35%. Pesticide use intensity is 9.5 kg ha, with a use rate of only 30%. Livestock and poultry stocks are currently increasing significantly. In addition, the hilly and mountainous areas in Chongqing account for 94% of the total area, while the cultivated land area with a slope greater than 25 degrees accounts for 16% of the total cultivated land area, which is 11 percentage points higher than the national average level. Rainfall in Chongqing is large and concentrated, and the area subjected to soil erosion accounts for 48.6% of the total area (Hu, 2017). Based on the schematic illustration of the land use types in Chongqing from 2005 to 2015, the artificial surface area displays significant external diffusion in the main urban area of Chongqing, the western region of Chongqing, Changshou County, Kaizhou County, and Wanzhou County. In contrast, the area of paddy fields shows a significantly decreasing trend, while the area of dry land is relatively stable; farmland is mainly distributed in the western region as well as in Wanzhou County, Kaizhou County, Liangping County, and Dianjiang County in the northeastern region. According to the data analysis results, the water area accounts for 1.59-1.96% of the coverage area of Chongqing. The area of paddy fields decreased from 14.8% in 2005 and 2010 to 7.64% in 2015, while the area of dry land is relatively stable at 25%. The artificial surface area has increased from 1.42% to 3.18%, while the proportion of forested land showed a steady increase (Fig. 1). Overall, the farmland area of Chongqing showed a significantly decreasing trend, with a reduction from 39.35% to 33.03%. Farmland is the main source of AGNPS. To sum up, the actual regional conditions of land use types, topography, climate, fertilizer and pesticide application lead to the aggravation of AGNPS in Chongqing.

<Please insert Fig. 1 about here>

Figure 1 Land use map of Chongqing in 2005, 2010, and 2015

2.3 Research methods

The risk assessment of AGNPS in Chongqing was carried out by constructing the ITO3dE model, and the specific content was analyzed by using GIS technology. The overall framework of the study was as follows (Fig. 2).

<Please insert Fig. 2 about here>

Figure 2 The research technology frame

2.3.1 ITO3dE model building

The ITO3dE model was built from three dimensions of “Input-Translate-Output” (Table 1). Here, the I dimension (A_1) includes fertilizer use intensity (I_1) (Liu, 2014), pesticide use intensity (I_2) (Liu *et al.*, 2014), and livestock intensity (I_3) (Cai *et al.*, 2012), the T dimension (A_2) includes erosion caused by rainfall (I_4), slope length and gradient (I_5), soil erodibility (I_6), sloping field (I_7), and distance from water area (I_8), and the O dimension (A_3) includes water quality (I_9) (Lai *et al.*, 2011), water capacity (I_{10}) (Chen *et al.*, 2010), water network density (I_{11}) (Qiu *et al.*, 2010), and degree of paddy field retention (I_{12}).

Table 1 Calculation methods and reference values of the indices in the ITO3dE model.

<Please insert Table 1 about here>

The computational formula of positive indices is as follows:

$$I_i = C_i / E_i \quad (1)$$

The computational formula of negative indices is as follows:

$$I_i = E_i / C_i \quad (2)$$

In the above equations, I_i denotes the calculation result of a certain index of i , C_i is the true value of the i index, and E_i is the reference value. The calculation results for each index can be classified into

five grades of no risk, low risk, medium risk, high risk, and extremely high risk, corresponding to the values of ≤ 0.7 , $0.7-1.0$, $1.0-3.0$, $3.0-5.0$, and ≥ 5.0 . This grading standard refers to the relevant technical planning of China's agricultural sector (Chen and Zhang, 2010), and the grading standard of this study was written based on Chongqing local standard "Specification for evaluation risk of AGNPS in Chongqing".

2.3.2 Delphi method

The weights of the evaluation indices of AGNPS risks were determined by the Delphi method (Strand *et al.*, 2017). We distributed 120 questionnaires to the leaders of related departments engaged in agricultural and rural work, environmental work, and water conservancy programs, as well as to experts at colleges, universities, and research institutes, and to technical personnel at the grass-roots level; in total, we received 115 valid questionnaires. After the first round of weights assignment, we returned the calculation results to the experts for adjusting the index weights, representing the second round of weights assignment. According to the evaluation opinions of the experts, we again revised the evaluation indices and conducted the third round of weights assignment to obtain the final weights results. Based on these, we could obtain the multifactor comprehensive evaluation relational expressions as follows:

$$A = 0.430A_1 + 0.231A_2 + 0.339A_3 \quad (3)$$

$$A_1 = 0.547I_1 + 0.339I_2 + 0.114I_3 \quad (4)$$

$$A_2 = 0.290I_4 + 0.098I_5 + 0.182I_6 + 0.267I_7 + 0.163I_8 \quad (5)$$

$$A_3 = 0.347I_9 + 0.293I_{10} + 0.153I_{11} + 0.207I_{12} \quad (6)$$

In the above equations, the letter A represents the comprehensive risk result of AGNPS, while the other letters have the same meanings as in 2.3.1, and indicating the calculation results of each

index.

2.3.3 Spatiotemporal transition matrix of risk index

Transition matrices are widely used in analyzing the spatiotemporal variations and can clearly exhibit the variations of risk indices at different periods (Zhu *et al.*, 2015). In this study, we assigned the five risk grades to the values of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively, and subsequently employed the following formula to analyze the risk status:

$$B_M = B_{2005} \times 100 + B_{2010} \times 10 + B_{2015} \quad (7)$$

where B_{2005} , B_{2010} , B_{2015} is the operation layer and B_M is the result layer. In the calculation results, "123" represents the risk transition process in a certain region from no risk in 2005 to low risk in 2010 and to medium risk in 2015; the remaining results can be interpreted in the same manner.

2.3.4 Kernel density analysis of risk index

Kernel density is mainly used to analyze the spatial concentration of an event and is widely used in the distribution of buildings, schools, and criminal activities (Okabe *et al.*, 2009). The kernel density can reflect the concentration degree and agglomeration location of AGNPS above a high risk level. Kernel density estimation is a spatial analysis method based on nonparametric testing (Okabe *et al.*, 2009; Kristan and Leonardis, 2017). The basic idea of kernel density estimation for certain elements is to assume that there always exists an element intensity at an arbitrarily position within a particular region. The density intensity of geographical elements in a specific location can then be estimated by measuring the number of elements per unit area. By determining the element density at different locations and the spatial differences, the relative concentration degree of the spatial distribution of elements can be depicted, and the hotspot distribution regions can be identified. Subsequently, using the kernel density analysis tool in the ArcGIS software, we can analyze the

217 grids at risk grades of high risk and extremely high risk and explore the extreme point position of
218 AGNPS in our study area, Chongqing.

219 **2.3.5 Getis-Ord Gi* analysis**

220 The Getis-Ord Gi* analysis is widely used in crime analysis, epidemiology and economic
221 geography, and is used to identify spatial gathering of high values (hot spots) and low values (cold
222 spots) with statistical significance (Gómez-Barroso *et al.*, 2017). In Getis-Ord Gi* analysis, the z
223 score, p values, and confidence intervals (Gi_Bin) are employed to create a new output class for
224 each element in the input element class. Here, the z score and p values can help to judge whether
225 the null hypothesis can be rejected, while the Gi_Bin field is used to identify statistically significant
226 hot and cold spots. The elements in the confidence interval of [+3, -3] have a statistical significance
227 with a confidence level of 99%, while those in the confidence interval of [+2, -2] have a statistical
228 significance with a confidence level of 95%, and those in the confidence interval of [+1, -1] have a
229 statistical significance with a confidence level of 90%; when the element gathering of Gi_Bin field
230 is 0, there is no statistical significance.

231 **2.4 Statement**

232 We confirm that all experimental protocols were approved by College of Resources and
233 Environment of Southwest University, all methods were carried out in accordance with relevant
234 guidelines and regulations of questionnaires, and confirming that informed consent was obtained
235 from all subjects or, if subjects are under 18, from a parent and/or legal guardian.

236 **3 Results**

237 **3.1 Results of risk assessment by the ITO3dE model**

238 The results in the I dimension show that, overall, the distribution was high in the west and low

in the northeast and the southeast in all three periods (Fig. 3, I, II, III; Table 2), and this tallies with the topography of Chongqing. The northwestern and central regions of Chongqing are mainly hilly and slightly mountainous, while the southeastern and northeastern regions represent the Dabashan Mountain system and the Daloushan Mountain system, respectively. Thus, farmland in Chongqing is mainly distributed in the western regions as well as in regions with extensive flat areas, such as Dianjiang and Liangping. Some regions in Dianjiang, Yongchuan, Dazu, Shapingba, Wansheng, and Jiangbei show relatively high risks, but the risk level is still medium. Hence, it can be concluded that the risk level in the I dimension during 2005-2015 is, overall, not high. Considering there are too many single-factor graphs, we omitted these graphs, but provide the following description: Among the three single factors, I_1 has the highest value, and I_1 and I_2 both present a first increasing and then decreasing trend (the maximum values of I_1 in 2005, 2010, and 2015 were 3.38, 4.08, and 2.78, respectively, and those of I_2 in 2005, 2010, and 2015 were 2.71, 3.37, and 2.48, respectively). For the I_1 results, the risk levels of the regions with higher levels in 2005, such as Yongchuan, Fuling, and Liangping, showed a certain decrease in 2015, but the risk levels of some regions such as Pengshui, Qianjiang, and Xiushan showed an increasing trend. The risk grade of I_2 was relatively lower than that of I_1 , but overall, the spatiotemporal variation trend was consistent with that of I_1 , except for the increasing trend of the risk level of Qianjiang. Basically, the risk grade of I_3 was zero; only the risk level of Bishan was in the medium risk status, while those of Hechuan and Fengdu were low.

Spatially, the results in the T dimension presented, overall, an opposite distribution pattern when compared to the I dimension, that is, with low levels in the western regions and high levels in the northeastern and southeastern regions (Fig. 3, IV, V, VI; Table 2). The annual differences in the

T dimension data are mainly determined by the variations in the factors I_4 and I_7 , which showed relatively higher risk levels in all three periods. The values of I_4 in the years 2005, 2010, and 2015 were 1.42-5.78, 0.84-6.12, and 0.14-6.93, respectively, while those of I_7 were 0, 0-5.38, and 0-5.06, respectively. Because Chongqing is a typical mountainous city with purple soil (Wang *et al.*, 2016), high-risk and extremely high-risk regions, I_5 and I_6 , are widely distributed across the city. In addition, due to the introduction of the factor I_8 , the water areas had a higher risk level, which is consistent with the actual situation of AGNPS.

The results in the O dimension showed a smaller interannual variation, with a low overall risk level (Fig. 3, VII, VIII, IX; Table 2). The O dimension levels were mainly affected by the spatial changes in the paddy field area. As mentioned above, during the 10 years, the area of paddy fields in Chongqing was nearly reduced by half, which led to the decrease in the spatial distribution of I_{12} and an increased risk in counties such as Kaizhou, Fengjie, Liangping, and Changshou. Spatially, Yongchuan, Shapingba, Bishan, Dianjiang, Changshou, and Kaizhou showed higher risk levels, and the risk levels of Kaizhou, Fengjie, Wanzhou, Liangping, and Changshou showed a significantly increasing trend. The high risk values of I_9 were mainly distributed in Yongchuan, Shapingba, Jiangbei, Changshou, Dianjiang, and Liangping, with Shapingba showing the highest value of 3.75, while Chengkou, Wushan, Fengjie, Shizhu, and Xiushan had lower values. The high risk values of I_{10} were mainly distributed in the western regions and were below the medium risk levels. The risk values in 2010 were higher than those in 2005 or 2015, but did not surpass 3.0, and the high values were mainly distributed in the western regions as well as in Dianjiang, Wanzhou, and Liangping. The risk values of I_{11} were all below 3.0, and the highest value of 2.78 was found for Fengjie; higher values were mainly distributed in the northeastern and southeastern counties. The high risk values

of I_{12} were mainly distributed in the northeastern and southeastern counties, which mostly have only small areas of paddy fields.

<Please insert Fig. 3 about here>

Figure 3 Result distribution map of I, T, and O dimensions of Chongqing in 2005, 2010, 2015

Table 2 Statistical results of I, T, and O dimensions in 2005-2015

<Please insert Table 2 about here>

Figure 4 shows the data on AGNPS risks during 2005-2015 in Chongqing. The risk distribution trends in 2005, 2010, and 2015 were basically consistent and in the ranges of 0.40-2.28, 0.41-2.57, and 0.41-2.28, respectively. The maximum risk values were all below 3.0 for the three periods. Regions with medium levels were mostly distributed in the western regions of Chongqing (Dazu, Jiangjin, etc.) as well as in the counties Dianjiang, Liangping, Kaizhou, Wanzhou, and Zhongxian. Larger spatial differences were observed among different counties or different parts of a certain county; for example, the middle flatland part and the mountain systems at the two sides in Liangping or the northwestern and southeastern parts in Shizhu.

<Please insert Fig. 4 about here>

Figure 4 Spatiotemporal distribution graph of the evaluation results of agricultural NPSP risks in Chongqing during 2005-2015: (a) 2005; (b) 2010; (c) 2015.

3.2 Spatiotemporal change results of risk by transition matrix analysis

By assigning no risk, low risk, and medium risk levels with 1, 2, and 3 respectively, in GIS, we can obtain the spatiotemporal transition matrix according to the formula of the transition matrix. Figure 5 shows the spatiotemporal transition situation of the AGNPS risk evaluation in Chongqing. Basically, high levels show no changes, and the proportions of ‘no-risk no-change’, ‘low-risk no-

change', and 'medium-risk no-change' situations were 10.86%, 33.42%, and 17.25%, respectively, accounting for 61.53% of the total area of Chongqing. Among these, the 'no-risk no-change' situation was mainly distributed in Rongchang, the east of Nanchuan, Shizhu, Pengshui, and Qianjiang; the 'low-risk no-change' situation was widely distributed in Wulong, the southeast of Fengdu, the south of Nanchuan, and the northeastern counties of Chongqing, while the 'medium-risk no-change' situation was mainly distributed in Shapingba, Yongchuan, Dianjiang, the north of Nanchuan, and Kaizhou.

During 2005-2015, the proportions of risk increase, risk decline, and risk fluctuation were 13.45%, 17.66%, and 7.36%, respectively. Risk increases mainly occurred in central Jiangjin, central Fengdu, Pengshui, Qianjiang, the midwest of Yunyang, central Liangping, Wuxi, Wushan, and Chengkou, while risk declines were mainly observed for the main urban area of Chongqing, northern Tongliang, Dazu, Youyang, and Xiushan. Risk fluctuation was concentrated in Jiangjin, Bishan, Fuling, and Youyang.

<Please insert Fig. 5 about here>

Figure 5 Spatiotemporal transition situation of agricultural NPSP risks in Chongqing during 2005-2015

3.3 Results of risk concentration degree by Kernel density analysis

Figure 6 shows the kernel density analysis results of the medium-risk regions. As seen in the figures, the peak values of the kernel density at these three periods were all around 1,110, suggesting that the maximum gathering degree of medium-risk pattern spots basically showed no changes. The spatial distribution of kernel density at these three periods showed a consistent trend, but the distribution differences at different periods were significant. In 2005, medium-risk regions were

mainly concentrated in Shapingba, southern Dazu, central Yongchuan, eastern Beibei, Dianjiang, central Kaizhou, northwestern Shizhu, northern Nanchuan, central Wanzhou, southwestern Zhongxian, and southeastern Xiushan, while in 2010, such regions mainly occurred in Shapingba, eastern Jiangjin, southeastern Beibei, northern Nanchuan, northeastern Changshou, Dianjiang, northern Fuling, northern Fengdu, northeastern Shizhu, northeastern Liangping, central Kaizhou, Wanzhou, northeastern Pengshui, and eastern Xiushan. In 2015, medium-risk regions were mainly concentrated in Shapingba, Yongchuan, central Jiangjin, northwestern Nanchuan, northeastern Beibei, Dianjiang, Liangping, the junction of Fuling and Fengdu, central Kaizhou, northern Yunyang, eastern Pengshui, southeastern Qianjiang, and central Xiushan.

<Please insert Fig. 6 about here>

Figure 6 Kernel density graphs of medium-risk areas in Chongqing during 2005-2015: (a) 2005; (b) 2010; (c) 2015.

To further explore the distribution of regions with the high-risk gathering zones (Table. 3), we conducted a separate analysis on the regions with kernel density values higher than 1,000 (the kernel density values of these regions were divided into 10 grades with equal intervals, and the 10th grade had values from 1,000 to 1,110).

Table 3 Distribution of regions with high-risk gathering zones

<Please insert Table 3 about here>

3.4 Results of hot and cold spots by Getis-Ord Gi* analysis

Applying Getis-Ord Gi* analysis is helpful to clearly identify high-value hot spots (Hot Spot-99% Confidence) and low-value cold spots (Cold Spot-99% Confidence). Figure 7 shows the Getis-Ord Gi* analysis results; the overall variation trends of high-value hot spots and low-value cold

spots were consistent in all periods, with significant distribution differences. The regions located in the high-value hot spot zones in all three periods were Yongchuan, Shapingba, Dianjiang, Liangping, northwestern Fengdu, and Zhongxian, while those located in the low-value cold spot zones were Chengkou, Wuxi, Wushan, Pengshui, and Rongchang. Throughout the 10 years, the high-value hot spot zones showed significant diffusion in Fengjie, Yunyang, Kaizhou, central Qianjiang, and northern Nanchuan, while the low-value cold spot zones showed significant diffusion in some parts of the midwestern counties such as central Fuling and southern Yubei. These high-value hot spots or low-value cold spots were mainly distributed in the above-mentioned regions and their surrounding areas and showed significant “gathering trends”. The spatiotemporal variation trend of the distribution of these high-value hot spots or low-value cold spots can reflect the variation tendencies of hot spots or cold spots in different regions. Over time, the high-value hot spot zones gradually migrated towards the northeastern counties of Chongqing, while the low-value cold spot zones in the midwestern counties presented an obvious diffusion trend. The low-value cold spot zones in the northeastern regions gradually decreased, while those in the southeastern regions tended to become more fragmented. These results indicate that the high-value hot spot zones gradually dominated the northeastern regions, while the low-value cold spot zones gradually dominated the midwestern regions.

<Please insert Fig. 7 about here>

Figure 7 Getis-Ord Gi analysis results in Chongqing during 2005-2015

4 Discussion

4.1 The I, T, and O dimensions could better comprehensively analyze the risk situation of AGNPS.

There were many achievements in AGNPS risk research using different methods. For instance,

Huang has analyzed the risk of NPS in Taihu Lake from multiple perspectives, and the method was only applicable to small-scale studies (Huang *et al.*, 2013). In addition, it focused on the distribution of pollution sources, and on waste disposal, but did not consider the process from pollution sources to water bodies. Blankenberg has analyzed the relationship between wetland and pesticide concentration in streams from the perspectives of pesticide spraying and changes in pesticide concentration in water bodies (Blankenberg *et al.*, 2006). The research focused on the relationship between wetland and pesticide concentration in small watersheds, while the land use types, topographic conditions, rainfall, and other factors that affect concentration changes were not considered. This research therefore comprehensively considers input factors, translate factors and output factors.

The chemical fertilizers, pesticides, livestock and poultry factors selected in the I dimension of this study were recognized as the main sources of AGNPS (Parfitt *et al.*, 2010; Nopmongcol *et al.*, 2011; Xia *et al.*, 2017). In addition, the factors of the T dimension such as rainfall, slope length and gradient, soil erodibility, sloping field, and distance from water area have gradually increased in recent years. For instance, Zhang has analyzed the effects of rainfall intensity and slope on the loss of suspended solids and phosphorus in runoff (Zhang *et al.*, 2018), which showed that the slope difference of sloping farmland was also an important factor affecting pollutant migration (He *et al.*, 2007), and the distance from the water reflected the difficulty degree of pollutants entering the water body (Birge *et al.*, 2017). The influence factors of the O dimension increased the water network density and the degree of paddy field retention factor compared with other studies. Most of the studies mainly focused on risk the analysis of AGNPS from water quality and water capacity (Ahmed *et al.*, 2014; Heiderscheidt *et al.*, 2015). In fact, high water network density and paddy field

ratio could effectively reduce the pollution. In this study, the I, T, and O dimensions were considered comprehensively, and factors affecting AGNPS risk were added to the large-scale framework.

4.2 Geographical methods were well applied in the field of agriculture

The use of geographic methods in this study makes the results of AGNPS risk analysis more intuitive and reflects the temporal and spatial changes of research results from different perspectives. The spatiotemporal transition matrix quantifies the variation in the different risk levels and can accurately identify the regions with increasing, decreasing, or unchanged risk levels. The spatiotemporal transition matrix analysis was one of the most widely used methods in geographic research and often used to study the change of the same spatial event over time. For instance, Shawul and Chakma have used this method to analysis the conversion of land use types in different periods (Shawul and Chakma, 2019). The kernel density analysis could effectively identify the spatial agglomeration area of an event and was widely used in geographic, medical event analysis and case analysis (Chrisinger *et al.*, 2016). The advantage of the Getis-Ord Gi* analysis is to judge the hot spots of high and low values at the same time and to analyze the evolution trend of hot spots of high and low values. This method was usually applied in studies that require the analysis of high-value and low-value changes simultaneously (Stopka *et al.*, 2017). In our research, we need to consider both high-risk and low-risk changes in AGNPS.

4.3 Land variations influence AGNPS risks

The analysis of land use changes indicates that the land area in Chongqing has changed greatly during the 10-year-period from 2005 to 2015. In particular, the paddy field area was reduced by nearly 50%. Our observations are in agreement with previous findings (Liu *et al.*, 2017). The regions with declining farmland areas were mainly located in the urban areas of Chongqing and in the

surroundings of the town, which goes hand in hand with the economic development and the urban expansion of Chongqing in recent years, reflecting the direct influences of urban development on AGNPS. This tallies with the decrease in chemical fertilizer use intensity and pesticide use intensity, as farmland reduction inevitably lead to a decrease in the application of these substances (Zaragoz *et al.*, 2012), complying with the "one regulatory, two reduction, three basic" policy promoted by the Chinese government. A previous study has analyzed the relationship between the riparian forest buffer zone and pollution mitigation in Chesapeake bay watershed and found that the wide buffer zone could effectively reduce water pollution (Carlington *et al.*, 2018). Other authors have analyzed the relationship between climate, land use change, and water quality in the Pike river watershed and found that land use changes had an impact on water quality (Mehdi *et al.*, 2015). The water quality factors in this study also reflected that the water quality in the southeast and northeast areas with high forest coverage was better, indicating that land use change had a great impact on water quality, especially on the cultivated land, which was closely related to the use of fertilizers and pesticides.

In this study, we analyzed the spatiotemporal variation in AGNPS in three dimensions of "Input-Transition-Output", with the conclusion that the risk levels in the Input and Output dimensions were lower, while that in the Translate dimension was higher. Our conclusion accords with the characteristics of Chongqing as a mountainous city; the widely distributed purple soil, the larger differences in slope gradient and slope length, and the widely distributed sloping fields are indeed conducive to the generation of AGNPS (Yang *et al.*, 2012). From 2005 to 2015, the counties with higher risk levels in all three periods were basically those national basic farmland demonstration counties (Jiangjin, Dazhu, Tongnan, Tongliang, Liangping, Dianjiang), with higher risk levels in the Input and Output dimensions. These areas therefore require planting activities

under the conditions of ensuring soil safety, water quality safety, and food security.

4.4 Recommendations

4.4.1 Focus on risk increase area in the analysis of spatiotemporal transfer matrix

In 62% of our study area, the risk levels remained unchanged, indicating that the influencing factors of AGNPS in most regions of Chongqing are relatively stable. For about 14% of the study area, the risk levels showed an increasing trend, and these regions, especially food security zones such as Jiangjin and Liangping as well as important ecological shelter zones of the Three Gorges Reservoir areas such as Fengdu, Yunyang, Wuxi, and Wushan, need to be considered in management programs. AGNPS in these areas might seriously threaten the ecological security of the Yangtze River economic belt. Regional managers should control the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides and keep them within the international limits (Kesavan and Malarvannan, 2010). As Kesavan stated in their research, the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides results in enhanced productivity over short periods, but leads to the degradation of soil health, freshwater, and biodiversity in the long term. In addition, the layout of farms in prohibited and restricted aquaculture areas should be strictly controlled, and strict total quantity control should be implemented in suitable areas. The prohibited and restricted livestock breeding areas in all districts and counties have been revised by Chongqing Environmental Protection Bureau in 2019, aiming to better manage the layout of livestock farms and reduce the risk of water environment pollution.

4.4.2 Focus on high-risk agglomeration area in nuclear density results

The high values of kernel density changed only slightly over time, indicating that the maximum scope of gathering zones showed no significant changes. The spatial position variation of kernel density at different periods is closely related to the changes of the factors in the three dimensions.

The main gathering zones of medium risk levels showed some spatiotemporal differences in the three periods, but there are high-risk gathering zones in Shapingba, Yongchuan, Nanchuan, Dianjiang, Liangping and Kaizhou, and Xiushan. These phenomena are closely related with the agricultural development degree of these counties. The analysis results of such zones after further grading more clearly display the distribution of high-risk gathering zones, which, to a certain extent, reflects the distribution of medium-risk pattern spots in the vicinity of the high-risk gathering zones. These regions, such as Youting town in Dazu, Baijia town and Chengxi town in Dianjiang, the junction of Gaofeng town and Gangjia town, and Linjiang town in Kaizhou, require significant attention from the respective prevention and control departments. The cultivation system in high-risk agglomeration areas should be rationally adjusted. The crop rotation system could be adopted to restore soil fertility while controlling the amounts of chemical fertilizers and pesticides. In addition, increasing the vegetation coverage of ridges could be considered, and previous studies have proved that these measures could effectively reduce AGNPS (Braskerud, 2002). Chongqing government has constructed river regulation works and ecological corridors in several districts and counties of the Yangtze River Basin, with the purpose of reducing soil erosion near water bodies, increasing the interception capacity of vegetation near water bodies to AGNPS, and improving the prevention and control ability of AGNPS.

4.4.3 Focus on high-value hot spots area in Getis-Ord Gi* analysis

The results of the Getis-Ord Gi* analysis clearly reflect the spatiotemporal evolution situation of high-value hot spot zones and low-value cold spot zones in the three periods in Chongqing. Over time, the dominance of high-value hot spot zones in the Midwest gradually became lower than that of the low-value cold spot zones, with a concentrated distribution in Dianjiang, Zhongxian, Fengdu,

Wanzhou, Liangping, Kaizhou, Yunyang, and Fengjie. In the Midwest, the coverage areas of high-value hot spot zones and low-value cold spot zones were generally neck and neck; by contrast, in the southeast, low-value cold spot zones dominated. This trend indicates that zones with high AGNPS in northeastern Chongqing have the tendency of further gathering and diffusing, and the high-risk areas migrate toward northeastern Chongqing. Against this background, these regions deserve special attention by decision makers. Due to the considerable amount of sloping farmlands in these areas, the cultivation of such farmland should be strictly controlled (Zhao *et al.*, 2013). In addition, soil and water conservation measures should be strengthened in these areas. In recent years, Chongqing Planning and Natural Resources Bureau has changed a large number of slope farmland into non cultivated land in order to reduce the carrying capacity of soil and water loss to pollutants, and reduce pollution risk from the source and transmission process of AGNPS.

AGNPS risk assessment is different from pollution load measurement. The accuracy of the risk results does not depend on the experimental or measured data, and may not be positively correlated with the measured data. This is similar to the multi index system assessment of NPS carried out by Huang for Taihu Lake. And according to the risk values calculated from multi-angle indicators, the risk differences in various regions are analyzed and countermeasures are proposed (Huang *et al.*, 2013). For example, if the I dimension value of an area is high, but the T dimension is low, that is, the measured data value is high, this does not automatically mean a high risk. The results of risk assessment are based on the reliability of the data source, factor weight and grading reference value of risk factors. It is based on the historical data to judge the trend of regional pollution risk, so as to prevent and control the risk, and this is the advantage of risk assessment in pollution prevention. Therefore, in the future, we should increase investment in data monitoring and acquisition of I, T

and O dimensions and scientifically analyze the differences in the reference values of each factor in different regions.

5 Conclusions

We built an ITO3dE model, analyzed the land use change during 2005-2015 in Chongqing by the combination of various spatial analysis functions including the spatiotemporal transition matrix in GIS, kernel density analysis, and Getis-Ord Gi* analysis, and clarified the influences of farmland change on AGNPS risks. The spatiotemporal variation of the pollution risk indicates that the T dimension had the highest risk level in different regions of Chongqing. This phenomenon was closely related with the widely distributed purple soil, the large differences in slope gradient and slope length, and the widely distributed sloping fields. The risk evaluation results obtained via spatiotemporal transition matrix, kernel density analysis, and Getis-Ord Gi* analysis specifically quantified the regions that require close attention in the prevention and control of AGNPS from the perspectives of risk level variation, the distribution of risk highly-concentrated zones, and the shift of high-value hot spot zones and low-value cold spot zones; we therefore provide data to support land use planning strategies as well as measures to prevent and control AGNPS.

Taken together, there would be significant influences on the Input and Output dimensions of AGNPS that promote the “one regulation, two reductions, and three basics” policies promulgated by the Chinese government, indicating areas suitable for livestock breeding in Chongqing and implementing regulatory requirements in 2018. The implementation of related policies would effectively reduce the risk levels of these two dimensions. In this study, the results suggest that there is a higher risk level in the Translate dimension. Among its influencing factors, field slope is

important and can be improved by land use planning or artificial handling. Hence, related departments should focus on the farmland protection in the high-risk gathering regions, avoid farmland reclamation as far as possible, and reduce the proportion of sloping fields. At the same time, the migration of pollutants into water bodies is mainly affected by the degree of soil erodibility, so there would a positive impact that strengthening the control measures of regional soil erosion on reducing AGNPS.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by Chongqing Science and Technology Commission (cstc2018jszx-zdyfxmX0021, cstc2018jxjl20012 and cstc2019jscx-gksbX0103). We are thankful to all experts involved in the Delphi survey. Thanks are expressed to the Chongqing Agricultural and Rural Committee for providing information on fertilizers, pesticides, and livestock and to the Chongqing Eco-environment Bureau for providing information on water-related issues. We also thank the and Ecological Restoration Engineering Technology Center of the Water Level Fluctuation Zone in the Three Gorges Reservoir Area in Chongqing for providing respective information.

References

- Ahmed, I.U., Shou, W., Gang, D.D., 2014. Nonpoint Source Pollution. *Water Environment Research* 82, 1875-1894. <https://doi.org/10.2175/106143010x12756668802012>.
- Basnyat, P., Teeter, L.D., Lockaby, B.G., Flynn, K.M., 2000. The use of remote sensing and GIS in watershed level analyses of non-point source pollution problems. *Forest Ecology & Management* 128, 65-73. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(99\)00273-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00273-X).
- Birge, T., Toivone, M., Kaljonen, M., Herzona, I., 2017. Probing the grounds: Developing a payment-by-results agri-environment scheme in Finland. *Land Use Policy* 61, 302-315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.11.028>.
- Blankenberg, A.G.B., Braskerud, B., Haarstad, K., 2006. Pesticide retention in two small constructed wetlands: treating non-point source pollution from agriculture runoff. *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 3-4, 225-231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03067310500247470>.
- Braskerud, B.C., 2002. Factors Affecting Phosphorus Retention in Small Constructed Wetlands Treating

553 Agricultural Non-Point Source Pollution. *Ecological Engineering* 19, 41-61.
554 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574\(02\)00014-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574(02)00014-9).

555 Cai, J.Z., Fan, X.P., Huang, M., Liu, D.B., Gan, X.Z., Wang, L.N., 2012. Sources Analysis of Agricultural
556 Non-point Source Pollution in the Three Gorges Reservoir Area of Hubei Province, China. *Journal*
557 *of Agro-Environment Science* 7, 1421-1430.

558 Cai, Y.P., Rong, Q.Q., Yang, Z.F., Yue, W.C., Tan, Q., 2018. An export coefficient based inexact fuzzy
559 bi-level multi-objective programming model for the management of agricultural nonpoint source
560 pollution under uncertainty. *Journal of Hydrology* 557, 713-725.
561 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.12.067>.

562 Carlington, W., Gregory, M.C., Sangchul, L., Robert, P.B., 2018. Evaluating Concentrated Flowpaths in
563 Riparian Forest Buffer Contributing Areas Using LiDAR Imagery and Topographic Metrics.
564 *Remote Sensing Information* 10, 614. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10040614>.

565 Chen, D.J., Lu, J., Jin, P.J., Shen, Y.N., Shi, Y.M., Gong, D.Q., 2010. Uncertainty analysis of water
566 environmental capacity in the nonpoint source polluted river. *Environmental Science* 31, 1215-1219.
567 <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.A1000244>.

568 Chen, Y., Zhang, D., 2010. Historical Evidence for Population Dynamics of Tibetan Migratory Locust
569 and the Forecast of its Outbreak*. *Insect Science* 2, 135-145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7917.1999.tb00160.x>.

571 Chrisinger, B.W., Grossestreuer, A.V., Laguna, M.C., Griffiths, H.M., Branas, C.C., Wiebe, D.J., Merchant,
572 R.M., 2016. Characteristics of automated external defibrillator coverage in Philadelphia, PA, based
573 on land use and estimated risk. *Resuscitation* 109, 9-15.
574 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.09.021>.

575 Gómez-Barroso, D., García-Carrasco, E., Herrador, Z., Ncogo, P., Romay-Barja, M., O., M.M.E., Nseng,
576 G., Riloha, M., Santana, M.A., Valladares, B., Aparicio, P., Benito, A., 2017. Spatial clustering and
577 risk factors of malaria infections in Bata district, Equatorial Guinea. *Malaria Journal* 1, 146.
578 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-017-1794-z>.

579 He, X., Xu, Y., Zhang, X., 2007. Traditional farming system for soil conservation on slope farmland in
580 southwestern China. *Soil & Tillage Research* 1, 193-200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2006.07.017>.

581 Heiderscheidt, E., Leiviskä, T., Kløve, B., 2015. Chemical treatment response to variations in non-point
582 pollution water quality: Results of a factorial design experiment. *Journal of Environmental*
583 *Management* 150C, 164-172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.10.021>.

584 Hou, X.Y., Ying, L.L., Chang, Y.Y., Qian, S.S., 2014. Modeling of non-point source nitrogen pollution
585 from 1979 to 2008 in Jiadong Peninsula, China. *Hydrological Processes* 8, 3264-3275.
586 <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9886>.

587 Hu, J.F., 2017. Measurement And Spatial Feature Analysis Of Agricultural Non-Point Source Pollution
588 In Chongqing. *Chinese Journal of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning* 38, 135-144.
589 <https://doi.org/10.7621/cjarrp.1005-9121.20170121>.

590 Huang, L., Ban, J., Han, Y.T., Yang, J., Bi, J., 2013. Multi-angle Indicators System of Non-point Pollution
591 Source Assessment in Rural Areas: A Case Study Near Taihu Lake. *Environmental Management* 4,
592 939-950. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-013-0024-x>.

593 Karandish, F., Simunek, J.J., 2017. Two-dimensional modeling of nitrogen and water dynamics for
594 various N-managed water-saving irrigation strategies using HYDRUS. 193 *Agricultural Water*
595 *Management*, 174-190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2017.07.023>.

596 Kesavan, P.C., Malarvannan, S., 2010. Green to evergreen revolution; ecological and evolutionary

597 perspectives in pest management. *Current Science* 99, 908-914.
 598 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013219>.
 599 Kristan, M., Leonardis, A., 2017. Online Discriminative Kernel Density Estimator With Gaussian
 600 Kernels. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics* 3, 355-365.
 601 <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2013.2255983>.
 602 Lai, Y.C., Yang, C.P., Hsieh, C.Y., Wu, C.Y., Kao, C.M., 2011. Evaluation of non-point source pollution
 603 and river water quality using a multimedia two-model system. *Journal of Hydrology* 3, 583-595.
 604 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.08.040>.
 605 Li, J., Zhai, C., Yu, J., Liu, R., Li, Y., Zeng, L., Xie, S., 2018. Spatiotemporal variations of ambient
 606 volatile organic compounds and their sources in Chongqing, a mountainous megacity in China.
 607 *Science of the Total Environment* 627, 1442-1452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.010>.
 608 Liu, C.Y., Zhu, K.W., Liu, J.P., 2017. Evolution and prediction of land cover and biodiversity function in
 609 Chongqing section of Three Gorges Reservoir Area. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of*
 610 *Agricultural Engineering* 33, 258-267. <https://doi.org/10.11975/j.issn.1002-6819.2017.19.034>.
 611 Liu, G.D., Wu, W.L., Zhang, J., 2005. Regional differentiation of non-point source pollution of
 612 agriculture-derived nitrate nitrogen in groundwater in northern China. *Agriculture Ecosystems &*
 613 *Environment* 2, 211-220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2004.11.010>.
 614 Liu, Q.P., 2014. Distribution of Fertilizer Application and Its Environmental Risk in Different Provinces
 615 of China. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica* 47, Scientia Agricultura Sinica.
 616 <https://doi.org/10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2014.18.008>.
 617 Liu, S.C., Wang, L.W., Li, X.X., Chen, Y.C., Fu, M.M., 2014. Potential risk assessment of heavy metal
 618 in biogas slurry irrigation. *Journal of Plant Nutrition & Fertilizer*, 1517-1524.
 619 <https://doi.org/10.11674/zwyf.2014.0622>.
 620 Lv, C., Zhang, H.Y., Qi, L., 2014. Critical Source Area Identification and Risk Assessment of Agricultural
 621 Non-Point Source Pollution of the Source Areas of Liaohe River Watershed. *Acta Agriculturae*
 622 *Universitatis Jiangxiensis*, 670-677. <https://doi.org/10.13836/j.jjau.2014110>.
 623 Mao, Z.P., Yin, C.Q., Shan, B.Q., Li, G.B., 2002. Multi-factor model for analyzing the regulation effect
 624 of wetland area on storm runoff. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 7, 57-63.
 625 <https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:0559-9350.2002.07.010>.
 626 Mehdi, B., Lehner, B., Gombault, C., Michaud, A., Beaudin, I., Sottile, M.F., Blondlot, A., 2015.
 627 Simulated impacts of climate change and agricultural land use change on surface water quality with
 628 and without adaptation management strategies. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 213, 47-
 629 60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.07.019>.
 630 Nopmongkol, U., Griffin, W.M., Yarwood, G., Dunker, A.M., MacLean, H.L., Mansell, G., Grant, J.,
 631 2011. Impact of dedicated E85 vehicle use on ozone and particulate matter in the US. *Atmospheric*
 632 *Environment* 39, 7330-7340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.057>.
 633 Okabe, A., Satoh, T., Sugihara, K., 2009. A kernel density estimation method for networks, its
 634 computational method and a GIS-based tool.[J]. *International Journal of Geographical Information*
 635 *Science*. 193, 7-32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13658810802475491>.
 636 Ouyang, W., Huang, H.B., Hao, F.H., Shan, Y.H., Guo, B.B., 2012. Evaluating spatial interaction of soil
 637 property with non-point source pollution at watershed scale: the phosphorus indicator in Northeast
 638 China. *Science of the Total Environment* 16, 412-421.
 639 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.017>.
 640 Ouyang, Z.Y., Wang, Q., Zheng, H., Zhang, F., Hou, P., 2014. National Ecosystem Survey and

- Assessment of China (2000-2010). *Bulletin of Chinese Academy of Sciences* 29, 462-466.
<https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-3045.2014.04.009>.
- Parfitt, R.L., Yeates, G.W., Ross, D.J., 2010. Effect of fertilizer, herbicide and grazing management of pastures on plant and soil communities. *Applied Soil Ecology* 3, 175-186.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2010.03.010>.
- Qiu, Z., Geng, Q.W., Zang, G.M., 2010. Statistics and countermeasures of non-point source pollution in plain river network of Taihu Basin. *Engineering Sciences* 12, 113-116.
<https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1088.2010.00432>.
- Rabotyagov, S.S., Valcu, A.M., Kling, C.L., 2012. Reversing Property Rights: Practice-Based Approaches for Controlling Agricultural Nonpoint-source Water Pollution When Emissions Aggregate Nonlinearly. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 2, 397-419.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aat094>.
- Shawul, A.A., Chakma, S., 2019. Spatiotemporal detection of land use/land cover change in the large basin using integrated approaches of remote sensing and GIS in the Upper Awash basin, Ethiopia. *Environmental Earth Sciences* 5, 141. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-019-8154-y>.
- Shen, Z.Y., Gong, Y.W., Li, Y.H., Hong, Q., Xu, L., Liu, R.M., 2009. A comparison of WEPP and SWAT for modeling soil erosion of the Zhangjiachong Watershed in the Three Gorges Reservoir Area. *Agricultural Water Management* 10, 1435-1442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2009.04.017>.
- Smith, L.E.D., Siciliano, G., 2015. A comprehensive review of constraints to improved management of fertilizers in China and mitigation of diffuse water pollution from agriculture. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 209, 15-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.02.016>.
- Stopka, T.J., A., G.M., Meyers, D.J., Hutcheson, M., Barton, K., Onofrey, S., Church, D., Donahue, A., Chui, K.K.H., 2017. Identifying and characterizing hepatitis C virus hotspots in Massachusetts: a spatial epidemiological approach. *Bmc Infectious Diseases* 1, 294. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2400-2>.
- Strand, J., Carson, R.T., Navrud, S., Ortiz-Bobea, A., Vincent, J.R., 2017. Using the Delphi method to value protection of the Amazon rainforest. *Ecological Economics* 131, 475-484.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.09.028>.
- Strehmel, A., Schmalz, B., Fohrer, N., 2016. Evaluation of Land Use, Land Management and Soil Conservation Strategies to Reduce Non-Point Source Pollution Loads in the Three Gorges Region, China. *Environmental Management* 5, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-016-0758-3>.
- Wang, G., Li, J., Sun, W., Xue, B., A, Y., Liu, T., 2019. Non-point source pollution risks in a drinking water protection zone based on remote sensing data embedded within a nutrient budget model. *Water research* 157, 238-246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.03.070>.
- Wang, J., Huang, J., Wu, P., Zhao, X.N., 2016. Application of neural network and grey relational analysis in ranking the factors affecting runoff and sediment yield under simulated rainfall. *Soil Research* 3, 291. <https://doi.org/10.1071/sr15068>.
- Wu, C.G., Lin, D.S., Xiao, W.F., Wang, P.C., Ma, H., Zhou, Z.X., 2011. Spatiotemporal distribution characteristics of rainfall erosivity in Three Gorges Reservoir Area. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* 22, 151-158.
- Wu, L., Long, T.Y., Liu, X., Ma, X.Y., 2013. Modeling impacts of sediment delivery ratio and land management on adsorbed non-point source nitrogen and phosphorus load in a mountainous basin of the Three Gorges reservoir area, China. *Environmental Earth Sciences* 70, 1405-1422.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-013-2227-0>.

- Xia, L., Lam, S.K., Yan, X., Chen, D., 2017. How does recycling of livestock manure in agroecosystems affect crop productivity, reactive nitrogen losses and soil carbon balance? *Environmental Science & Technology* 13, 7450-7457. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06470>.
- Xu, Z.A., Li, T., Bi, J., Wang, C., 2018. Spatiotemporal heterogeneity of antibiotic pollution and ecological risk assessment in Taihu Lake Basin, China. *Science of the Total Environment* 643, 12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.175>.
- Yang, M.C., Wang, P., Liu, X.M., Gan, F.M., Wang, J., Tang, Z.Y., 2012. Non-point Pollution of Three Gorges Reservoir Region in Chongqing: Assessment and Affecting Factors. *Environmental Science & Technology* 35, 179-183. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1003-6504.2012.05.039>.
- Yao, R., Wang, S.X., Zhou, Y., Liu, R., Han, X.D., 2012. The Application of Ecological Environment Index Model on the National Evaluation of Ecological Environment Quality. *Remote Sensing Information* 27, 93-98. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-3177.2012.03.016>.
- Zaragozí, B., Rabasa, A., Rodríguez-Sala, J.J., Navarro, J.T., Belda, A., Ramón, A., 2012. Modelling farmland abandonment: A study combining GIS and data mining techniques. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 155, 124-132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.03.019>.
- Zhang, R.R., Li, M., Yuan, X., Pan, Z.C., 2018. Influence of rainfall intensity and slope on suspended solids and phosphorus losses in runoff. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 1, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2999-6>.
- Zhao, P., Tang, X.Y., Zhao, P., Wang, C., Tang, J.L., 2013. Tracing water flow from sloping farmland to streams using oxygen-18 isotope to study a small agricultural catchment in southwest China. *Soil & Tillage Research* 134, 180-194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2013.08.005>.
- Zhou, F.J., Huang, Y.H., 1995. The R value of rainfall erosion force index in Fujian province. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 13-18.
- Zhou, J., Wu, Y.H., Wang, X.X., Bing, H.J., Chen, Y., Sun, H.Y., Zhong, Z.L., 2019. Divergent patterns of soil phosphorus discharge from water-level fluctuation zone after full impoundment of Three Gorges Reservoir, China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 3, 2559-2568. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3805-1>.
- Zhu, K.W., Li, Y.C., Zhou, M.T., 2015. Land use Scenario Simulation of the Main City of Chongqing Based on the CLUE-S Model. *Resources and Environment in the Yangtze Basin* 5, 789-797. <https://doi.org/10.11870/cjlyzyyhj201505011>.

Figures

Figure 1

Land use map of Chongqing in 2005, 2010, and 2015 Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 2

The research technology frame

Figure 3

Result distribution map of I, T, and O dimensions of Chongqing in 2005, 2010, 2015 Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 4

Spatiotemporal distribution graph of the evaluation results of agricultural NPSP risks in Chongqing during 2005-2015: (a) 2005; (b) 2010; (c) 2015. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 5

Spatiotemporal transition situation of agricultural NPSP risks in Chongqing during 2005-2015 Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 6

Kernel density graphs of medium-risk areas in Chongqing during 2005-2015: (a) 2005; (b) 2010; (c) 2015. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 7

Getis-Ord Gi analysis results in Chongqing during 2005-2015 Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [GraphicalAbstract.pdf](#)
- [Highlights.pdf](#)