Local-Scale Thermal History Influences Metabolic Response of Marine Invertebrates To Warming

Racine E. Rangel (✉ raciner@uci.edu)

University of California Irvine <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2833-0832>

Cascade J.B. Sorte

University of California Irvine

Research Article

Keywords: Climate change, Intertidal ecology, Marine invertebrates, Metabolic rate, Thermal history, Thermal sensitivity

Posted Date: December 17th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1168290/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

As climate change continues, anticipating species' responses to rising temperatures, requires an understanding of the relationship between metabolic rate and thermal sensitivity, which itself may vary over space and time. We measured metabolic rates of three representative marine invertebrate species (hermit crabs *Pagurus hirsutiusculus*, periwinkle snails *Littorina sitkana*, and mussels *Mytilus trossulus*) and evaluated the relationship between thermal sensitivity (Q_{10}) and thermal history. We tested the hypothesis that thermal history drives thermal sensitivity and quantified how this relationship differs over time (short-term to seasonal time scales) and between species. Organisms were collected from tide pools in Sitka, Alaska where we also recorded temperatures to characterize thermal history prior to metabolic rate assays. Using respirometry, we estimated mass-specific oxygen consumption (MO_2) at ambient and increased temperatures for one individual per species per tide pool across three seasons. We evaluated relationships between thermal sensitivity and pool temperatures for time periods ranging from 1 day to 3 months prior to collection. For all species, thermal sensitivity was related to thermal history for the shorter time periods (1 day to 1 week). However, the direction of the relationships and most important thermal parameters (i.e., maximum, mean, or range) differed between species and seasons. We found that on average, *P. hirsutiusculus* and *L. sitkana* were more thermally sensitive than *M. trossulus*. These findings show that variability in thermal history over small spatial scales influences individuals' metabolic response to warming and may be indicative of these species' ability to acclimate to future climate change.

Introduction

In this time of accelerating climate change, there is a crucial need to understand the processes that underly species vulnerability to warming. Environmental temperatures can greatly influence the rates of biological processes ("thermal sensitivity"), and the local conditions that organisms experience vary widely, creating a mosaic of physiological responses (Pörtner et al. 2001; Hochachka and Somero 2002; Angilletta Jr 2009; Huey et al. 2012; Pandori and Sorte 2021). Furthermore, organismal responses to climate warming can depend on previous thermal exposure ("thermal history") due to differences in allocation of energy to processes such as thermal tolerance, growth, and metabolism (Calosi et al. 2008; Deutsch et al. 2015; van Denderen et al. 2020). Altered metabolic rates, themselves, can lead to changes in demographic rates (e.g., growth, reproduction), population sizes, and community structure and interactions (Angilletta and Sears 2000; Bartheld et al. 2015; Nelson et al. 2017). Therefore, it is essential to understand the spatial and temporal scales at which thermal history influences the sensitivity of physiological processes to warming.

The degree to which metabolic rates change with temperature (thermal sensitivity), is known to vary among life stages, individuals, populations, and species (Angilletta 2001; Vorhees et al. 2013; Careau et al. 2014; Pettersen et al. 2018; Pandori and Sorte 2019), and it is increasingly understood that thermal sensitivity can depend on thermal history. The effects of temperature exposure on thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates have been particularly well studied across large spatial scales (Steffensen et al. 1994;

Irlich et al. 2009). For example, for Woolly Sculpins (*Clinocottus analis*), southern populations on the California coast experience warmer conditions and have greater individual variation in metabolic rates compared to more northern populations exposed to colder temperatures (Rangel and Johnson 2019). However, links between thermal history and thermal sensitivity are not universal: there were no differences in sensitivity between northern and southern populations of gypsy moth larvae (*Lymantria dispar* L.) (May et al. 2018). Importantly, less is known about the importance of small-scale variation in thermal history for thermal sensitivity; however, there is some evidence that this can lead to significant variation in thermal sensitivity between individuals within a single population. For example, high-shore intertidal limpets (*Lottia* spp.) that experience warmer and more thermally stressful conditions were estimated to have 5 – 14% higher respiration rates when compared to their low-shore counterparts inhabiting cooler habitats (Miller et al. 2015). To anticipate impacts of warming across populations as well as the ability of individuals to acclimate to changing temperatures, we need to understand the degree to which thermal sensitivity is driven by thermal history.

Thermal conditions can exhibit vast differences across time (e.g., seasonally) and space, including over small spatial scales (i.e., scales that encompass a proportion of an entire population) (Braswell et al. 1997; Abraham et al. 2013), leading to large potential variation in individual thermal history. Across large spatial scales (i.e., encompassing entire populations), populations can experience different thermal conditions based on their latitude or elevation and seasonal shifts in temperature. For example, in freshwater streams from the USA to Ecuador, organisms in temperate streams are exposed to greater seasonal thermal variation than those in tropical streams (Shah et al. 2017). Thermal conditions can also vary greatly due to microhabitat variation within a single location in addition to over time. In freshwater ponds inhabited by the moor frog (*Rana arvalis*), the annual temperature range of ponds varied by 11°C due to differences in canopy cover (Richter-Boix et al. 2015). The thermal conditions an individual experiences can, therefore, differ over space and time, and how this variation influences thermal sensitivity could be an indicator of responses to future climate warming.

This relationship between thermal history and thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates could also be influenced by traits of the species themselves, especially those such as mobility that affect ability to avoid stressful conditions (Halsey et al. 2015; Bennett et al. 2019). Sessile (non-moving) species have limited ability to redistribute themselves in response to thermal stress and, therefore, physiological acclimation may be particularly important to their survival. For example, individuals of a tropical oyster (*Isognomon nucleus*) exposed to warmer air temperatures (40°C) were better able to maintain metabolic rates past a critical threshold (39°C) when compared to oysters exposed to cooler temperatures (26°C) (Giomi et al. 2016). However, there are also cases where sessile species – including habitat-forming species such as corals and mussels – are unable to maintain metabolic processes, such as respiration rates, after critical temperatures are reached and exhibit decreased respiration as well as increased mortality (Castillo and Helmuth 2005; Hoegh-Guldberg and Bruno 2010; Gazeau et al. 2014). In contrast, mobile species are better able to redistribute themselves to locations with more favorable thermal conditions, and, consequently, to alter their thermal conditions and avoid extreme temperatures (Hayford et al. 2018). Generally, individual body size and locomotion, as well as availability of local microhabitats,

is likely to determine an individual's ability to alter their thermal environment (reviewed in Kearney et al. 2009; Crickenberger et al. 2020). Understanding whether the relationship between small-scale thermal variation and thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates differs between species will be useful in identifying traits that modify responses to warming.

Intertidal habitats are an ideal study system for evaluating the relationship between thermal history and thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates because small-scale thermal variation occurs across tide-height elevation gradients due to daily tidal emersion (Menge and Sutherland 1987; Helmuth et al. 2006). Each tide pool in rocky intertidal habitats possesses a unique thermal environment that can be monitored over daily and seasonal time scales. Further, many species living in rocky intertidal habitats are exposed to thermal conditions that are close to their thermal tolerance limits, meaning individuals may be particularly vulnerable to changing temperatures (Somero 2002, 2010; Marshall et al. 2015). Intertidal communities are composed of species with differing abilities to behaviorally avoid thermal extremes, from sessile species (e.g., mussels, barnacles, sea anemones) to mobile species (e.g., hermit crabs, periwinkle snails, limpets) (Seed and Suchanek 1992; Crickenberger et al. 2020).

In this study, we evaluated the importance of scale in the relationship between thermal history and thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates for three different intertidal invertebrate species that vary in their mobility: the sessile Pacific blue mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*) and two mobile species, the Sitka periwinkle snail (*Littorina sitkana*) and hairy hermit crab (*Pagurus hirsutiusculus*). This study addressed three questions: (1) Does thermal history influence thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates at small spatial scales? (2) Does the relationship between thermal history and thermal sensitivity depend on time scale? (3) Does thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates vary by species that differ in mobility? Our approach integrates fine-scale temperature data across space and time with measurement of species-specific metabolic rates to quantify the relationship between thermal history and thermal sensitivity. Climate warming will likely modify thermal history and, subsequently, metabolic responses; therefore, understanding the link between these factors has implications for predicting the ability of organisms to acclimate to climate warming.

Materials And Methods

Study region and collections

Our study site was located on a rocky shoreline in Sitka, Alaska, USA, on the historic territory of the Tlingit people. The adjacent Gulf of Alaska experiences large seasonal temperature variation, with increased warming during the summer months and intense cooling during the fall and winter (Xiong and Royer 1984; Royer 2005). A total of 31 tidepools at John Brown's Beach, located on Japonski Island (57.06°N, 135.37°W) were used in this study, all located between the mid and high intertidal zone (Fig S1, Table S1). In these tide pools, *Mytilus trossulus* is the most common sessile invertebrate (similar to abundance to barnacles), and *Littorina* and *Pagurus* spp. are two of the three most numerous mobile invertebrates (along with limpets) (Sorte and Bracken 2015).

We collected individuals of *M. trossulus*, *P. hirsutiusculus*, *L. sitkana* at 3 seasonal time points (1) March 2019, (2) July 2019 and (3) September 2019, during which individuals of each species were collected across two to four days. While we attempted to collect 1 individual per species per pool in each season, not all species were present in each of the 31 pools in each season (Table S1). Thus, for each species, the number of individuals collected was, respectively, as follows: *M. trossulus* (03/2019: $n = 16$; 07/2019: $n = 13$; 09/2019: $n = 13$), *P. hirsutiusculus* ($n = 12, 11$, and 13) and *L. sitkana* ($n = 13, 10$, and 9). On each day of the experiment, we visited eight different tide pools, collecting one individual of a single species (i.e., all metabolism assays were conducted with a single species at one time). Specimens were placed in individual containers containing a small amount of seawater from their respective pools and immediately transferred to the Sitka Sound Science Center where metabolic assays took place within 3 h of collection.

Thermal history quantification

Thermal history of the organisms was calculated based on temperatures recorded at the pool level using HOBO TidbiT temperature loggers (Onset, Bourne MA, USA; ± 0.2 accuracy) that recorded temperature consecutively every 5 min from December 2018 to September 2019. Pool temperatures were summarized for the 3-month, 2-month, 1-month, 1-week, and 1-day periods preceding each collection. For each tidepool, we calculated the following thermal parameters: variance, minimum, mean daily minimum, 10th percentile, range, mean daily range, average, maximum, mean daily maximum, 90th percentile, mean daily 90th percentile, 95th percentile, and mean daily 95th percentile temperatures (Table S2). To account for collinearity among thermal parameters, we used a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using the FactoMineR R package (Lê et al. 2008) to reduce the dimensionality of the thermal history data set.

Metabolic rate trials

To measure metabolic rates of field-collected individuals, we recorded oxygen consumption using the PreSens PSt3 dissolved oxygen sensor spots and system (PreSens Precision Sensing, Germany) and standard techniques (e.g., Paganini et al. 2014; da Silva et al. 2019). Prior to the start of every oxygen consumption trial, sensor spots were calibrated using a two-point calibration method, first in an oxygen-free solution and second in an air-saturated water solution. Individuals were randomly placed in acrylic chambers (11.6 mL for *M. trossulus* and *P. hirsutiusculus* and 6.3 mL for *L. sitkana*) with a stir bar to ensure water flow within each chamber. Chambers were submerged within a 16,000 mL water bath sitting on top of stir plates. Metabolic rate was measured at three temperatures (10°C, 18°C, and 26°C), tested in order starting at 10°C. Individuals were acclimated to the chambers and the water bath for 40-60 min while temperature was lowered by 1°C every 20 min from ambient seawater temperature until the first experimental temperature of 10°C was reached (40-60 min). Oxygen concentration (mg L^{-1}) was recorded every 3 s until oxygen levels reached 80% saturation, then each chamber was flushed with fresh oxygenated seawater. Temperature in the water bath was then elevated 2°C per h until the next target temperature was reached, and the next round of oxygen consumption trials began. This rate of warming mimics the natural change in temperature over time within the tidepools at our field site (Fig. 1).

Once metabolic trials were run at all experimental temperatures, individuals were sacrificed in an ice bath and blotted dry to obtain mass (wet weight, g) and volume (mL). Volume of individuals was calculated by filling a graduated cylinder and measuring the displaced water following the Archimedes principle. All individuals' wet tissues were removed from the shells, and wet tissue and shells were dried for 72 h at 60°C and reweighed to obtain dry mass. Prior to drying, *L. sitkana* were inspected for parasite infection (Ayala-Díaz et al. 2017); however, no parasitized individuals were found throughout this study.

A linear regression of oxygen concentration over time was used to determine the rate at which each individual consumed oxygen in each temperature treatment. The oxygen consumption rate (VO_2) was calculated from the slope of the regression line (all slopes used in the analysis had an $R^2 > 0.90$) and then converted into an hourly rate ($\text{mgO}_2 \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-2}$). During each trial, one to two blanks (chambers containing only seawater) were also run to account for microbial oxygen consumption, and the average blank VO_2 for each run was subtracted from each individual's VO_2 . Mass specific oxygen consumption rate (MO_2) was calculated for each individual using the following equation:

$$MO_2 = \frac{R \times (V_{total} - V_{ind}) - (Bl \times V_{total})}{m}$$

where R is the average rate of oxygen consumption for each individual ($\text{mgO}_2 \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$), Bl is the rate of oxygen consumption measured for the seawater blank for the corresponding run ($\text{mgO}_2 \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$), V_{total} is the volume of water in the chamber and associated tubing in liters, V_{ind} is the volume of water (L) displaced by each individual, and m is each individual's dry mass (g) resulting in a final calculated MO_2 rate as $\text{mgO}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$.

Statistical analysis

We used the thermal coefficient Q_{10} as a metric for determining thermal sensitivity of oxygen consumption rates (MO_2) to temperature change under experimental temperatures using the following equation:

$$Q_{10} = \left(\frac{MO_{2T_2}}{MO_{2T_1}} \right)^{\frac{10}{T_2 - T_1}}$$

This equation uses two different temperatures T_1 and T_2 ; however, because we measured MO_2 at three different temperatures, we used the *respirometry* package in R (Birk 2020) to calculate, for each individual, Q_{10} across our experimental temperatures using a non-linear function. This allowed us to determine the increase in rate of mass-specific oxygen consumption over a 10°C increase in temperature.

As described above, we used a PCA to summarize our thermal metrics, and we found that PC1 accounted for 73.5% of variation and was driven by mean daily maximum and mean daily 95th percentile temperatures. PC2 accounted for 21.4% of the variation and was driven by temperature range and

variation (Fig. 2). In our analyses, we used PC1 and PC2 as our main metrics of thermal history, and we also ran analyses using the individual metrics most closely associated with each of the PC axes: the mean daily maximum and daily 95th percentile temperatures for (PC1) and range of tide pool temperatures for (PC2).

We analyzed the relationship between thermal sensitivity and three factors – the time interval of tide pool thermal history (3-month, 2-month, 1-month, 1-week, and 1-day), species (*M. trossulus*, *L. sitkana*, and *P. hirsutiusculus*), and collection seasons (March, July, and September 2019). To do this, we used linear mixed effect models (*lmer* package in *R*; Bates et al. 2014). To account for non-independence across repeated samplings within the same tide pools, we included pool in our models as a random effect. The full model included all factors and their interactions (Q_{10} , PC1, PC2, Season, and Species along with [1|Pool]). The significance of the fixed effects was determined using Type 3 *F*-tests with Satterthwaite's degrees-of-freedom. A stepwise elimination approach was used to determine the least complex model with the best fit, based on Akaike information criterion (AIC). After the simplest model was identified, fit was assessed by visually inspecting the residuals using the *qqplot* function in the *R car* and *stats* packages, and normality was statistically inspected using the *ad.test* in the *R nortest* package. The significance of fixed factors given in the text are for the reduced model and all other models are summarized in Table 1 and Table S4. *Post hoc* tests were used to determine which groups were statistically different using the *R emmeans* package. All statistical analyses were carried out in the *R* environment (version 3. 6. 3) (Team and others 2013).

Table 1

Results from type 3 analysis of variance table calculated with Satterthwaite's method for the linear mixed effect models of the relationship between thermal sensitivity and thermal conditions (reduced using a PCA analysis; Figure 2) across the 1-week time interval

1-Week Time Interval				
Fixed Effects	Num DF	Den DF	F-value	p value
Initial linear mixed effect model (df=21, AIC=140.6)				
PC1	1	67.2	0.608	0.438
PC2	1	72.1	0.377	0.541
Season	2	88.8	1.240	0.294
Species	2	83.8	0.171	0.843
Season: PC1	2	90.9	2.876	0.061
Season: PC2	2	90.6	3.379	0.038
Species: PC1	2	84.8	0.844	0.434
Species: PC2	2	84.1	0.876	0.420
Species: Season	4	83.5	0.388	0.817
Random effects	St. Dev			
Pool	0.071			
Final linear mixed effect model (df=13, AIC=120.1)				
PC1	1	95.7	0.001	0.972
PC2	1	95.5	0.123	0.727
Season	2	96.4	0.351	0.705
Species	2	91.1	3.355	0.039
Season: PC1	2	97.0	3.233	0.044
Season: PC2	2	98.0	3.775	0.026
Random effects	St. Dev			
Pool	0.034			

Results

Pool temperatures varied appreciably across seasons for all thermal metrics and time intervals (1 day to 3 months): water temperature was lowest in March, intermediate in July, and highest in September (Fig. 1). Mean (SD) tide pool temperatures for March, July, and September, for the three-month time interval was: 5.1 (0.5), 13.2 (0.7), and 15.9 (0.7) °C (for other time intervals and metrics, see Table S2).

Metabolic rates of our study species, as indicated by mass-specific oxygen consumption (MO_2), generally increased across the experimental temperatures (10°C, 18°C, and 26°C) and tended to vary among species (Table S3). For *P. hirsutiusculus* and *L. sitkana*, MO_2 increased across the experimental temperatures in all seasons, whereas for *M. trossulus*, MO_2 tended to increase from 10°C to 18°C with a decrease from 18°C to 26°C in all seasons.

Thermal sensitivity (Q_{10}) was related to thermal history for the short-term time intervals (1-week and 1-day: $p < 0.046$) but not for the long-term time intervals of 1, 2, and 3 months ($p \geq 0.064$) and the direction of this relationship varied seasonally (Table 1, Table S4). Thermal sensitivity (Q_{10} values) was highest during September and lowest during March (Fig. S3). Specifically, PC1, an indicator of daily maximum and 95% pool temperatures, interacted with season (Fig. S2) to influence thermal sensitivity, both when calculated for 1-week prior to collection ($F_{2,97} = 3.23$, $p = 0.044$; Table 1) and for 1-day preceding metabolism assays ($F_{2,100} = 3.17$, $p = 0.046$; Table S4, Fig. 3). Additionally, the range of tide pool temperatures (PC2 axis) influenced thermal sensitivity, and the direction of this relationship also differed by season (1-week: $F_{2,98} = 3.78$, $p = 0.026$; Table 1; Fig. 4). Typically, sensitivity was higher for individuals that experienced higher and more variable temperatures in the cooler months, but this relationship shifted to negative in the warmer months (Figs. 3, 4, and S2). When using single thermal metrics in our models rather than the PC axes, we also found support for a short-term effect of thermal history on thermal sensitivity. Specifically, the interaction of thermal history and season was significantly related to thermal sensitivity for the 1-day time interval for maximum temperature ($p = 0.017$), 95% temperature ($p = 0.012$), and temperature range ($p = 0.008$); however, there was no relationship for the 1-week time interval (Tables S6, S7, and S8).

The relationship between thermal history and thermal sensitivity also varied among species, beyond the seasonal patterns described above, for all time intervals both when looking at the models incorporating all of the thermal metrics ($p < 0.032$; Table S5, Fig. 5). And those incorporating single thermal metrics (Table S9). *Post hoc* tests revealed greater thermal sensitivity (i.e., higher Q_{10} values) in *P. hirsutiusculus* when compared to *M. trossulus* (e.g., from PC analysis: $t = 2.558$, $p = 0.032$; Fig. 5).

Discussion

This study reveals that small-scale thermal history influences the thermal sensitivity of metabolic responses in three representative species of intertidal invertebrates. Specifically, we found (1) thermal sensitivity was related to thermal history for the short-term time intervals (1-week and 1-day), and (2) this

relationship differed seasonally and (3) between species with differing traits, including ability to behaviorally avoid thermal extremes.

Our study found the relationship between thermal history and thermal sensitivity varied across time scales. Average Q_{10} values (without accounting for species differences) were lowest in March, with higher thermal sensitivity in July and September. Similar seasonal cycles of lowered metabolic rates and decreased thermal sensitivity during colder months have been reported for other ectothermic species (Angilletta 2001; Toledo et al. 2008). Although Q_{10} values were low on average during March (<1.41), all species exhibited a trend of greater thermal sensitivity with increasing range of tide pool temperatures. This relationship was reversed for *P. hirsutiusculus* during September, when pools with higher maximum and range of temperatures were associated with decreases in individual Q_{10} values (Figs. 3, Fig. 4, S2). Thus, the relationship between thermal sensitivity and thermal history differed between seasons, which could be a result of seasonal changes in oxygen consumption rates. In March, when thermal variability in the tide pools is low, *P. hirsutiusculus* exhibited a direct relationship between thermal sensitivity and pool temperatures; however, when thermal variability is highest (i.e., in September), this relationship is reversed. This seasonal reversal may be due to acclimation, as similar seasonal patterns in oxygen demanding processes like metabolism have been observed in intertidal fish such as gobies and sculpin (Healy and Schulte 2012; da Silva et al. 2019). When long-term (seasonal) variation is large but predictable, this can lead to individuals having a larger breadth for thermal performance and decreased thermal sensitivity (Dillon et al. 2016; da Silva et al. 2019; Pack et al. 2021). Changes in oxygen consumption could also be affected by seasonal alterations in food availability and life-history processes (e.g., growth rates, reproductive events) that are dependent on water temperatures (Clarke 1993). Our findings indicate that seasonal changes can influence the direction of the relationship between thermal history and thermal sensitivity.

In addition to larger seasonal time scales influencing thermal sensitivity, our results also indicate that short-term time intervals (1-day and, in some analyses, 1-week) were more influential than the 1 to 3 month timescales on thermal sensitivity (Table 1, Table S4). Similarly, previous studies with marine invertebrates within the lab showed stronger effects of short-term than long-term acclimation on physiological sensitivity to temperature increase (Osovitz and Hofmann 2005; Juárez et al. 2018). These observations of stronger relationships to more recent than longer-term climatic conditions could be because species in our study are regularly exposed to relatively high variation in thermal conditions over the tidal cycle. Thus, a strong and recent departure in conditions might be required to elicit a measurable change in sensitivity and suggests that these species may be able to acclimate rapidly to changing thermal conditions (Todgham et al. 2006; Giomi et al. 2016; da Silva et al. 2019; Rangel and Johnson 2019). As climate warming continues to increase variability in temperatures (Pershing et al. 2019), there is potential for short-term time intervals to more often reach levels eliciting acute stress responses and requiring acclimatory responses to avoid mortality. Thus, thermal variation over short-term time intervals (<1 month) appears to be a predictor of thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates in these intertidal species.

After accounting for seasonal and short-term thermal variability, we found that thermal sensitivity varied by species (Table 1; Table S5). *Pagurus hirsutiusculus* exhibited higher thermal sensitivity than *M. trossulus*, with thermal sensitivity of *L. sitkana* falling between that of *P. hirsutiusculus* and *M. trossulus* in March and July, but not September (Fig. 3, 4; Tables S5). This result could be explained by differences in mobility: *P. hirsutiusculus* are highly active and mobile compared to *M. trossulus*, which could lead to overall higher resting metabolic rates for *P. hirsutiusculus* (Halsey et al. 2015). An alternative explanation for this difference in thermal sensitivity could be related to how mobility affects the species' abilities to alter thermal exposure (Crickenberger et al. 2020). For example, intertidal shore crabs have been shown to exhibit shuttling behavior between air and water to better regulate their body temperatures (McGaw 2003). In this study, we made the assumption that mobile individuals resided within the tide pools they were collected from during the time intervals considered (i.e., 3-months to 1-day), due to the difficulty of tracking individual *L. sitkana* and *P. hirsutiusculus* (who regularly change shells). We did conduct a small tagging study (92 snails tagged) and observed that tagged *L. sitkana* tended to have high tide pool fidelity (61% of snails were recovered from the tide pool of release after 7 days; R. Rangel unpubl. data). Littorine snails, such as *L. sitkana* have also been observed regulating body temperature by withdrawing their foot from the substratum and into their shell to lower their body temperature by 3-5°C (Miller and Denny 2011). Given that thermal sensitivity was strongly related to thermal history over the shorter time intervals examined, this might suggest that the fidelity of individuals to the tide pool of collection was greater the closer in time to the date of collection and metabolic assays.

We found that thermal sensitivities for one sessile species, the *M. trossulus*, and two mobile species, the *L. sitkana* and *P. hirsutiusculus*, ranged between Q_{10} values of 0.63 to 2.56. Under non-stressful conditions, many physiological reactions are characterized by Q_{10} values ranging from 1.0 to 3.0, with lower Q_{10} values (~ 1.0) indicating temperature independence (Zippay and Helmuth 2012). Our findings suggest that the measured metabolic rates in this study are relatively temperature-independent and show increases in temperature-dependence and thermal sensitivity among species and during specific seasons. Highest Q_{10} values were measured for *L. sitkana* (1.72) and *P. hirsutiusculus* (1.67) during September (Table S3). As climate models predict overall increases in average temperatures of 1.5°C within the next 30 years (Allen et al. 2019), with the occurrence of more variable seasonal and recurring climate patterns (i.e., El Niño events, marine heat waves such as the 2014-16 “Blob”; (Bond et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2019), species' metabolic rates may be increasingly impacted by warming due to altered thermal history.

Mytilus trossulus had the lowest thermal sensitivity (particularly during July and September) and showed decreases in oxygen consumption at the highest experimental temperature during all time points (Table S3). This decrease in oxygen consumption may be a sign of metabolic depression which has been observed in mollusks experiencing thermal stress (Guppy and Withers 1999; Anestis et al. 2007; Connor and Gracey 2012; Lesser 2016). Under climate warming, temperatures may eventually pass critical thresholds for mussel metabolism leading to mass mortalities (Gazeau et al. 2014). We also observed that when metabolic rates were measured at higher temperatures (34°C, higher than the maximum of 26°C used in this study), all mussels died (R. Rangel unpubl. data). Thus, a temperature threshold

between 26°C and 34°C may represent an upper thermal limit for physiological activities of *M. trossulus*. In comparison, immersed temperature tolerances have been reported to be ~34°C for *L. sitkana* (Iwabuchi and Gosselin 2020), and 33°C for *P. hirsutiusculus* (Taylor 1982). Under continued warming, mussels may be more susceptible to mortality than hermit crabs and snails.

When habitats encompass high variability in thermal regimes, whether on small or large spatial scales, this variability can lead to a diversity of metabolic responses to climate warming across the population, even within the range of local thermal conditions, due to acclimation or genetic adaptation (Stillman 2003; Sanford and Kelly 2011; Sorte et al. 2011; Gaitán-Espitia et al. 2017). We interpret the importance of season in thermal sensitivity as an indicator that acclimation is possible and is occurring in these species. Although we did not assess adaptation per se, it is interesting to note that local adaptation may be inhibited by gene flow in these species. Both *P. hirsutiusculus* and *M. trossulus* have planktonic larvae and therefore can have high rates of gene flow from populations with differing thermal environments (Fitch and Lindgren 1979; Yaroslavl'tseva and Sergeeva 2006; Dyachuk and Odintsova 2009). Conversely, *L. sitkana* is a species in which juveniles hatch from benthic egg cases; thus, this species might be more likely to become locally adapted to thermal conditions (Yamada 1976). Further research is needed to determine if thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates varies between these species due to local adaptation.

We found that thermal history over small spatial scales influenced the thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates and that this relationship varied over time (i.e., seasonal and shorter timescales) and by species, possibly due to traits such as activity levels or ability to behaviorally avoid thermal stress. Our results from this study indicate that these common intertidal invertebrates in this dynamic ecosystem have relatively low thermal sensitivities (i.e., Q_{10} values) and high acclimation capacity suggesting that they have some scope to cope with temperature increases. As ocean warming continues, greater variability in thermal history may lead to larger differences in thermal sensitivity among individuals within a single population. High variability in thermal responses among individuals within a population may allow for a natural buffer to temperature increases and potentially a larger scope for adaptation in response to climate change.

Declarations

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Funding

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation [OCE-1756173] and support to R.R from the International Women's Fishing Association Scholarship and the National Academy of Sciences Ford Foundation.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interests.

Author contributions

Racine Rangel designed the study, performed the experiments, analyzed the data and created all figures, and drafted the manuscript. Cascade Sorte advised on experimental design, collected the temperature data, assisted in data interpretation, and revised the manuscript. All authors edited and revised the manuscript and gave final approval for publication.

Data availability

The data and R scripts that support this study are available during peer review on the Dryad Digital Repository: <https://datadryad.org/stash/share/jwPvQUfa9zqlikQv7nXU4nuFehaRsg9fk9HSlvN7pNg> and github: https://github.com/racine-rangel/RangelandSorte_ThermalHistory

Upon acceptance the data will be made public.

Ethical approval

All applicable national and institutional guidelines for sampling, care, and experimental use of organisms for the study have been followed. This work was approved and conducted under Alaska Department of Fish and Game Aquatic Resource Permits for Scientific/Collection purposes (ID numbers CF-18-071 and CF-20-050).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Sarah Mastroni and Julieta Gomez for their help with collection of specimens, Matt Bracken and Grant Gallaher for assistance with fieldwork, Lauren Pandori, Piper Wallingford, Connor White, Luke Miller, and Celia Symons for data analysis assistance, and Umi Hoshijima and Genevieve Bernatchez for equipment assistance. We would also like to thank the Sitka Sound Science Center and University of Alaska Southeast Sitka Campus for granting us access to use their facilities. We are also grateful to Sorte Lab members, Matt Bracken and Donovan German for their incredibly helpful comments on earlier versions of the manuscript.

References

1. Abraham JP, Baringer M, Bindoff N, Boyer T, Cheng L, Church J, Conroy J, Domingues C, Fasullo J, Gilson J (2013) others A review of global ocean temperature observations: Implications for ocean heat content estimates and climate change. *Reviews of Geophysics* 51:450–483
2. Allen M, Antwi-Agyei P, Aragon-Durand F, Babiker M, Bertoldi P, Bind M, Brown S, Buckeridge M, Camilloni I, Cartwright A (2019) others Technical Summary: Global warming of 1.5° C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5° C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty

3. Anestis A, Lazou A, Pörtner HO, Michaelidis B (2007) Behavioral, metabolic, and molecular stress responses of marine bivalve *Mytilus galloprovincialis* during long-term acclimation at increasing ambient temperature
4. Angilletta MJ Jr (2009) Thermal adaptation: a theoretical and empirical synthesis
5. Angilletta M, Sears M (2000) The metabolic cost of reproduction in an oviparous lizard. *Funct Ecol* 14:39–45
6. Angilletta MJ Jr (2001) Variation in metabolic rate between populations of a geographically widespread lizard. *Physiol Biochem Zool* 74:11–21
7. Ayala-Díaz M, Richardson JML, Anholt BR (2017) Local site differences in survival and parasitism of periwinkles (*Littorina sitkana* Philippi, 1846). *Ecol Evol* 7:1021–1029. doi: 10.1002/ece3.2708
8. Bartheld JL, Gaitán-Espitia JD, Artacho P, Salgado-Luarte C, Gianoli E, Nespolo RF (2015) Energy expenditure and body size are targets of natural selection across a wide geographic range, in a terrestrial invertebrate. *Funct Ecol* 29:1463–1474
9. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2014) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4
10. Bennett S, Duarte CM, Marbà N, Wernberg T (2019) Integrating within-species variation in thermal physiology into climate change ecology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 374:20180550
11. Birk M (2020) respirometry: Tools for conducting and analyzing respirometry experiments, R package, version 0.7.0
12. Bond NA, Cronin MF, Freeland H, Mantua N (2015) Causes and impacts of the 2014 warm anomaly in the NE Pacific. *Geophys Res Lett* 42:3414–3420
13. Braswell B, Schimel DS, Linder E, Moore B (1997) The response of global terrestrial ecosystems to interannual temperature variability. *Science* 278:870–873
14. Calosi P, Bilton DT, Spicer JI (2008) Thermal tolerance, acclimatory capacity and vulnerability to global climate change. *Biol Lett* 4:99–102
15. Careau V, Gifford ME, Biro PA (2014) Individual (co) variation in thermal reaction norms of standard and maximal metabolic rates in wild-caught slimy salamanders. *Funct Ecol* 28:1175–1186
16. Castillo K, Helmuth B (2005) Influence of thermal history on the response of *Montastraea annularis* to short-term temperature exposure. *Mar Biol* 148:261–270
17. Clarke A (1993) Seasonal acclimatization and latitudinal compensation in metabolism: do they exist? *Funct Ecol* 7:139–149
18. Connor KM, Gracey AY (2012) High-resolution analysis of metabolic cycles in the intertidal mussel *Mytilus californianus*. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 302:R103–R111
19. Crickenberger S, Hui T, Yuan FL, Bonebrake T, Williams G (2020) Preferred temperature of intertidal ectotherms: broad patterns and methodological approaches. *J Therm Biol* 87:102468

20. da Silva CRB, Riginos C, Wilson RS (2019) An intertidal fish shows thermal acclimation despite living in a rapidly fluctuating environment. *J Comp Physiol B* 189:385–398
21. Deutsch C, Ferrel A, Seibel B, Pörtner H-O, Huey RB (2015) Climate change tightens a metabolic constraint on marine habitats. *Science* 348:1132–1135
22. Dillon ME, Woods HA, Wang G, Fey SB, Vasseur DA, Telemeco RS, Marshall K, Pincebourde S (2016) Life in the frequency domain: the biological impacts of changes in climate variability at multiple time scales. *Integr Comp Biol* 56:14–30
23. Dyachuk V, Odintsova N (2009) Development of the larval muscle system in the mussel *Mytilus trossulus* (*Mollusca, Bivalvia*). *Development, growth & differentiation* 51:69–79
24. Fitch BM, Lindgren EW (1979) Larval development of *Pagurus hirsutiusculus* (Dana) reared in the laboratory. *Biol Bull* 156:76–92
25. Gaitán-Espitia JD, Bacigalupe LD, Opitz T, Lagos NA, Osorio S, Lardies MA (2017) Exploring physiological plasticity and local thermal adaptation in an intertidal crab along a latitudinal cline. *J Therm Biol* 68:14–20
26. Gazeau F, Alliouane S, Bock C, Bramanti L, López Correa M, Gentile M, Hirse T, Pörtner H-O, Ziveri P (2014) Impact of ocean acidification and warming on the Mediterranean mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*). *Frontiers in Marine Science* 1:62
27. Giomi F, Mandaglio C, Ganmanee M, Han G-D, Dong Y-W, Williams GA, Sarà G (2016) The importance of thermal history: costs and benefits of heat exposure in a tropical, rocky shore oyster. *J Exp Biol* 219:686–694
28. Guppy M, Withers P (1999) Metabolic depression in animals: physiological perspectives and biochemical generalizations. *Biol Rev* 74:1–40
29. Halsey LG, Matthews P, Rezende E, Chauvaud L, Robson AA (2015) The interactions between temperature and activity levels in driving metabolic rate: theory, with empirical validation from contrasting ectotherms. *Oecologia* 177:1117–1129
30. Hayford HA, O'Donnell MJ, Carrington E (2018) Radio tracking detects behavioral thermoregulation at a snail's pace. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 499:17–25
31. Healy TM, Schulte PM (2012) Thermal acclimation is not necessary to maintain a wide thermal breadth of aerobic scope in the common killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*). *Physiol Biochem Zool* 85:107–119
32. Helmuth B, Broitman BR, Blanchette CA, Gilman S, Halpin P, Harley CD, O'Donnell MJ, Hofmann GE, Menge B, Strickland D (2006) Mosaic patterns of thermal stress in the rocky intertidal zone: implications for climate change. *Ecol Monogr* 76:461–479
33. Hochachka PW, Somero GN (2002) Biochemical adaptation: mechanism and process in physiological evolution. Oxford university press
34. Hoegh-Guldberg O, Bruno JF (2010) The Impact of Climate Change on the World's Marine Ecosystems. *Science* 328:1523–1528. doi: 10.1126/science.1189930

35. Huey RB, Kearney MR, Krockenberger A, Holtum JA, Jess M, Williams SE (2012) Predicting organismal vulnerability to climate warming: roles of behaviour, physiology and adaptation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 367:1665–1679
36. Irlich UM, Terblanche JS, Blackburn TM, Chown SL (2009) Insect rate-temperature relationships: environmental variation and the metabolic theory of ecology. *Am Nat* 174:819–835
37. Iwabuchi BL, Gosselin LA (2020) Implications of acute temperature and salinity tolerance thresholds for the persistence of intertidal invertebrate populations experiencing climate change. *Ecology and evolution* 10:7739–7754
38. Juárez OE, Lafarga-De la Cruz F, Leyva-Valencia I, López-Landavery E, García-Esquivel Z, Díaz F, Re-Araujo D, Vadopalas B, Galindo-Sánchez CE (2018) Transcriptomic and metabolic response to chronic and acute thermal exposure of juvenile geoduck clams *Panopea globosa*. *Mar Genom* 42:1–13
39. Kearney M, Shine R, Porter WP (2009) The potential for behavioral thermoregulation to buffer “cold-blooded” animals against climate warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106:3835–3840
40. Lê S, Josse J, Husson F (2008) others FactoMineR: an R package for multivariate analysis. *Journal of statistical software* 25:1–18
41. Lesser MP (2016) Climate change stressors cause metabolic depression in the blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis*, from the Gulf of Maine. *Limnol Oceanogr* 61:1705–1717
42. Marshall DJ, Rezende EL, Baharuddin N, Choi F, Helmuth B (2015) Thermal tolerance and climate warming sensitivity in tropical snails. *Ecology and evolution* 5:5905–5919
43. May C, Hillerbrand N, Thompson LM, Faske TM, Martinez E, Parry D, Agosta SJ, Grayson KL (2018) Geographic variation in larval metabolic rate between northern and southern populations of the invasive gypsy moth. *Journal of Insect Science* 18:5
44. McGaw I (2003) Behavioral thermoregulation in *Hemigrapsus nudus*, the amphibious purple shore crab. *Biol Bull* 204:38–49
45. Menge BA, Sutherland JP (1987) Community regulation: variation in disturbance, competition, and predation in relation to environmental stress and recruitment. *Am Nat* 130:730–757
46. Miller LP, Denny MW (2011) Importance of behavior and morphological traits for controlling body temperature in littorinid snails. *Biol Bull* 220:209–223
47. Miller LP, Allen BJ, King FA, Chilin DR, Reynoso VM, Denny MW (2015) Warm microhabitats drive both increased respiration and growth rates of intertidal consumers. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 522:127–143
48. Nelson D, Benstead JP, Huryn AD, Cross WF, Hood JM, Johnson PW, Junker JR, Gíslason GM, Ólafsson JS (2017) Experimental whole-stream warming alters community size structure. *Glob Change Biol* 23:2618–2628
49. Osovitz CJ, Hofmann GE (2005) Thermal history-dependent expression of the hsp70 gene in purple sea urchins: biogeographic patterns and the effect of temperature acclimation. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 327:134–143

50. Pack KE, Rius M, Mieszkowska N (2021) Long-term environmental tolerance of the non-indigenous Pacific oyster to expected contemporary climate change conditions. *Mar Environ Res* 164:105226
51. Paganini AW, Miller NA, Stillman JH (2014) Temperature and acidification variability reduce physiological performance in the intertidal zone porcelain crab *Petrolisthes cinctipes*. *J Exp Biol* 217:3974–3980
52. Pandori LL, Sorte CJ (2019) The weakest link: sensitivity to climate extremes across life stages of marine invertebrates. *Oikos* 128:621–629
53. Pandori LL, Sorte CJ (2021) Spatial and temporal scales of exposure and sensitivity drive mortality risk patterns across life stages. *Ecosphere* 12:e03552
54. Pershing AJ, Record NR, Franklin BS, Kennedy BT, McClenachan L, Mills KE, Scott JD, Thomas AC, Wolff NH (2019) Challenges to natural and human communities from surprising ocean temperatures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116:18378–18383
55. Pettersen AK, Marshall DJ, White CR (2018) Understanding variation in metabolic rate. *J Exp Biol* 221:jeb166876
56. Pörtner HO, Berdal B, Blust R, Brix O, Colosimo A, De Wachter B, Giuliani A, Johansen T, Fischer T, Knust R, Lannig G, Naevdal G, Nedenes A, Nyhammer G, Sartoris FJ, Serendero I, Sirabella P, Thorkildsen S, Zakhartsev M (2001) Climate induced temperature effects on growth performance, fecundity and recruitment in marine fish: developing a hypothesis for cause and effect relationships in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and common eelpout (*Zoarces viviparus*). *Cont Shelf Res* 21:1975–1997. doi: 10.1016/S0278-4343(01)00038-3
57. Rangel RE, Johnson DW (2019) Variation in metabolic rate and a test of differential sensitivity to temperature in populations of woolly sculpin (*Clinocottus analis*). *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 511:68–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2018.11.007
58. Richter-Boix A, Katzenberger M, Duarte H, Quintela M, Tejedo M, Laurila A (2015) Local divergence of thermal reaction norms among amphibian populations is affected by pond temperature variation. *Evolution* 69:2210–2226
59. Royer TC (2005) Hydrographic responses at a coastal site in the northern Gulf of Alaska to seasonal and interannual forcing. *Deep Sea Res Part II* 52:267–288
60. Sanford E, Kelly MW (2011) Local adaptation in marine invertebrates. *Annual review of marine science* 3:509–535
61. Seed R, Suchanek TH (1992) Population and community ecology of *Mytilus*. The mussel *Mytilus*: ecology, physiology, genetics and culture 25:87–170
62. Shah AA, Gill BA, Encalada AC, Flecker AS, Funk WC, Guayasamin JM, Kondratieff BC, Poff NL, Thomas SA, Zamudio KR (2017) others Climate variability predicts thermal limits of aquatic insects across elevation and latitude. *Functional Ecology* 31:2118–2127
63. Somero G (2010) The physiology of climate change: how potentials for acclimatization and genetic adaptation will determine ‘winners’ and ‘losers’. *J Exp Biol* 213:912–920

64. Somero GN (2002) Thermal physiology and vertical zonation of intertidal animals: optima, limits, and costs of living. *Integr Comp Biol* 42:780–789
65. Sorte CJ, Bracken ME (2015) Warming and elevated CO₂ interact to drive rapid shifts in marine community production. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0145191
66. Sorte CJ, Jones SJ, Miller LP (2011) Geographic variation in temperature tolerance as an indicator of potential population responses to climate change. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 400:209–217
67. Steffensen J, Bushnell P, Schurmann H (1994) Oxygen consumption in four species of teleosts from Greenland: no evidence of metabolic cold adaptation. *Polar Biol* 14:49–54
68. Stillman JH (2003) Acclimation capacity underlies susceptibility to climate change. *Science* 301:65–65
69. Taylor PR (1982) Environmental resistance and the ecology of coexisting hermit crabs: Thermal tolerance. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 57:229–236
70. Team RC (2013) others R: A language and environment for statistical computing
71. Todgham AE, Iwama GK, Schulte PM (2006) Effects of the natural tidal cycle and artificial temperature cycling on Hsp levels in the tidepool sculpin *Oligocottus maculosus*. *Physiol Biochem Zool* 79:1033–1045
72. Toledo LF, Brito SP, Milsom WK, Abe AS, Andrade DV (2008) Effects of season, temperature, and body mass on the standard metabolic rate of tegu lizards (*Tupinambis merianae*). *Physiol Biochem Zool* 81:158–164
73. van Denderen D, Gislason H, van den Heuvel J, Andersen KH (2020) Global analysis of fish growth rates shows weaker responses to temperature than metabolic predictions. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 29:2203–2213
74. Vorhees AS, Gray EM, Bradley TJ (2013) Thermal resistance and performance correlate with climate in populations of a widespread mosquito. *Physiol Biochem Zool* 86:73–81
75. Xiong Q, Royer TC (1984) Coastal temperature and salinity in the northern Gulf of Alaska, 1970–1983. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 89:8061–8068
76. Yamada SB (1976) Geographic range limitation of the intertidal gastropods *Littorina sitkana* and *L. planaxis*. *Mar Biol* 39:61–65
77. Yang Q, Cokelet ED, Stabeno PJ, Li L, Hollowed AB, Palsson WA, Bond NA, Barbeaux SJ (2019) How “The Blob” affected groundfish distributions in the Gulf of Alaska. *Fish Oceanogr* 28:434–453
78. Yaroslavtseva L, Sergeeva E (2006) Adaptivity of the bivalve *Mytilus trossulus* larvae to short-and long-term changes in water temperature and salinity. *Russ J Mar Biol* 32:82–87
79. Zippay ML, Helmuth B (2012) Effects of temperature change on mussel. *Mytilus Integrative Zoology* 7:312–327

Figures

Figure 1

Temperatures recorded in tide pools (N=31) in Sitka, Alaska from December 2018 to September 2019. Data were recorded every 5 min by Tidbit dataloggers. Shaded grey regions indicate collection season at which metabolic assays were conducted for hermit crabs, snails, and mussels

Figure 2

Principal components analysis used to reduce the temperature metrics and account for collinearity. Values shown represent temperatures measured in N = 31 tide pools during 3 seasons (shown in Figure 1) over the 5 time intervals tested (1 day, 1 week, and 1, 2, and 3 months prior to metabolic assays). The PC1 axis was driven by the maximum and 95% of tide pool temperatures and explained 73.5% of the variation in the data. The PC2 axis was driven by the range of tide pool temperatures and explained 21.4% of the variation in the data

Figure 3

Relationship between thermal sensitivity (Q_{10}) and the daily maximum of pool temperatures experienced the one day prior to collection. Each point represents a single individual of *Pagurus hirsutiusculus* (circle), *Littorina sitkana* (triangles), and *Mytilus trossulus* (squares). Colored lines are individual species trendlines across seasons, *P. hirsutiusculus* (yellow dashed), *L. sitkana* (green dotted), and *M. trossulus* (purple solid)

Figure 4

Relationship between thermal sensitivity (Q_{10}) and the range of tide pool temperatures experienced for the 1-week prior to collection. Each point represents a single individual of *Pagurus hirsutiusculus* (yellow circles), *Littorina sitkana* (green triangles), and *Mytilus trossulus* (purple squares). Lines are individual species trendlines across seasons for *P. hirsutiusculus* (yellow dashed), *L. sitkana* (green dotted), and *M. trossulus* (purple solid)

Figure 5

Thermal Sensitivity (Q_{10}) values of hermit crabs, snails, and mussels. Values were averaged across 3 seasons that all species were sampled. Tukey *post hoc* comparisons were used to test for Q_{10} differences between species, and letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$). The boxes include data within the 25th to the 75th percentile, with the middle solid line representing the median, and the whiskers show both the highest and lowest values within 1.5-fold of the 25th and 75th percentile range. Dots represent data points outside of this range.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [RangelandSorteTHSupplementaryMarineBioSubmit.docx](#)