Extreme wind speed prediction in mountainous area with mixed wind climates

Teng Ma

Tongji University

Wei Cui (✉ cuiwei@tongji.edu.cn)

Tongji University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7489-923X>

Lin Zhao

Tongji University

Yejun Ding

Tongji University

Genshen Fang

Tongji University

Yaojun Ge

Tongji University

Research Article

Keywords: Extreme wind speed, Mountain wind system, Mixture climate, Data augmentation

Posted Date: January 4th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1178203/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Extreme wind speed prediction in mountainous area with mixed wind climates

Teng Ma¹, Wei Cui^{1,2*}, Lin Zhao^{1,2}, Yejun Ding^{1,2}, Genshen Fang^{1,2} and Yaojun Ge^{1,2}

¹State Key Lab of Disaster Reduction in Civil Engineering,
Tongji University, 1239 Siping Road, Shanghai, 200092, China.

²Key Laboratory of Transport Industry of Wind Resistant
Technology for Bridge Structures, Tongji University.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): cuiwei@tongji.edu.cn;
Contributing authors: tengma@tongji.edu.cn;
zhaolin@tongji.edu.cn; dyj@tongji.edu.cn;
2222tjfgs@tongji.edu.cn; yaojunge@tongji.edu.cn;

Abstract

In addition to common synoptic wind system, the mountainous terrain forms a local thermally driven wind system, which makes the mountain wind system have strong terrain dependence. Therefore, in order to estimate the reliable design wind speeds for structural safety, the samples for extreme wind speeds for certain return periods at mountainous areas can only come from field measurements at construction site. However, wind speeds measuring duration is usually short in real practice. This work proposes a novel method for calculating extreme wind speeds in mountainous areas by using short-term field measurement data and long-term nearby meteorological observatory data. Extreme wind speeds in mountainous area are affected by mixed climates composed by local-scale wind and large scale synoptic wind. The local winds can be recorded at construction site with short observatory time, while the extreme wind speeds samples from synoptic wind climate from nearby meteorological station with long observatory time is extracted for data augmentation. The bridge construction site at Hengduan Mountains in southwestern China is taken as an example in this study. A 10-month dataset of field measurement wind speeds is recorded at this location. This study firstly

provides a new method to extract wind speed time series of windstorms. Based on the different windstorm features, the local and synoptic winds are separated. Next, the synoptic wind speeds from nearby meteorological stations are converted and combined with local winds to derive the extreme wind speeds probability distribution function. The calculation results shows that the extreme wind speed in the short return period is controlled by the local wind system, and the long-period extreme wind speed is determined by the synoptic wind system in the mountain area.

Keywords: Extreme wind speed, Mountain wind system, Mixture climate, Data augmentation

1 Introduction

Accurately estimating the extreme wind speeds with different recurrence periods is the first step for structure wind-resistant design, especially for flexible structures, such as long-span bridge and super-tall building. For example, the long-span bridge must sustain the aerodynamic stability (Simiu and Yeo, 2019) in strong winds, and the tall building should provide sufficient habitability through mitigating wind-induced vibration (Kwok et al, 2009; Cui and Caracoglia, 2020). In engineering practice, the structure must be designed to ensure the structural resistance larger than the wind loads, which is determined by local wind climate and normally prescribed in design code(ASCE, 2016).

For the past decades, there are three the most widely used extreme wind speeds estimation methods based on extreme theory including annual maxima extreme method(Gumbel, 2004), the peak-over-threshold(Simiu and Heckert, 1996), and the method of independent storm(Cook, 1982; Harris, 1999). A lot of researches have gradually improved the extreme wind speed prediction of extreme theory, which will be reviewed in details following. At present, these methods are widely used at various places to provide extreme wind speed prediction with historical meteorological records(Palutikof et al, 1999).

19 Ideally, the extreme wind speeds should be calculated for the location at
 20 construction site. However, normally there is almost no long-term weather
 21 observation data at the exact construction site. In engineering practice, the
 22 regional extreme wind speed is calculated by the nearest weather observation
 23 station or refereed coded value in structural design standards ([ASCE, 2016](#))
 24 to serve as extreme wind speeds for the constructed structure. The above
 25 approach implies an assumption: The wind environment will remain constantly
 26 on the large spatial scale. This assumption is almost satisfied in flatland and
 27 plateau, because the strong wind events occur on the above two plain areas
 28 are generally macro-scale or meso-scale, such as typhoons and monsoons.

29 However, this assumption in mountainous areas is no longer valid, because
 30 the deep valley area separated by high mounts, and the wind climate in one
 31 valley cannot represent others. From the viewpoint of meteorology, the moun-
 32 tain wind system basically includes local mountain wind systems and synoptic
 33 wind systems([Li et al, 2014](#); [Wagner, 1938](#)).

34 Local mountainous wind systems form over valley terrain([Zardi and White-](#)
 35 [man, 2013](#)). Synoptic wind systems are normally referred as macro-scale wind
 36 phenomena([Jackson et al, 2013](#)). The mountain topography only affects a local
 37 region. In addition, existing researches of mountainous wind system including
 38 field measurement([Whiteman, 1990](#); [Mingjin et al, 2019](#); [Zhang et al, 2020](#)),
 39 numerical simulation([Maurizi et al, 1998](#); [Kim et al, 2000](#); [Bitsuamlak et al,](#)
 40 [2004](#); [Cao et al, 2012](#)) and wind tunnel test([Li et al, 2017, 2010](#)) also proves
 41 that the speed of local wind systems vary from place to place, depending on
 42 many factors including terrain characteristics, ground cover, soil moisture, alti-
 43 tude and etc. Therefore, in mountainous areas, it is not accurate to use the
 44 extreme wind speed from the nearby weather station on the proposed structure
 45 in the other valley.

On the other hand, the large scale synoptic wind system simultaneously affect the whole mountain area. In order to establish the relationship between weather station and construction site, several approaches has been developed, including wind tunnel methods (Li et al, 2010), numerical simulation (Bitsuamlak et al, 2004; Kim et al, 2000) and long-term synchronized field measurement (Huang et al, 2019; Beine et al, 2001). However, to calculate the extreme wind speed at a specific construction site in mountainous area, the local wind system information must be considered, but above mentioned methods cannot provide sufficiently accurate extreme wind speed estimation. Boundary layer wind tunnel test is unable to reproduce a thermally driven wind system. Numerical simulation, which consumes a lot of computing power, is impractical to perform many times to generate sufficient large sample required by extreme value analysis. Field measurement is a feasible solution, but sufficient long-term synchronized observation between proposed structure site and weather station will delay the construction schedule, which is economically unacceptable. Therefore, it is necessary to develop economical and feasible method to estimate the extreme method based on synchronized short-term (≤ 1 year) in mountainous area.

To improve the accuracy of statistical predictions based on short time wind observation, several researches use the information provided by another highly correlated data with a much longer observation time(Wang, 2001; Gaidai et al, 2019). Due to the special features of the mountain wind system, the records of different observatories are only highly correlated for synoptic wind systems. The local mountain wind systems are normally confined in associate small region enclosed by nearby terrain formation, thus, the records of local mountain wind from different places are irrelevant.

In summery, the extreme wind events in mountainous areas should be considered as mixture climate including large scale synoptic winds and micro-scale local mountain wind systems(Gomes and Vickery, 1978; Cook et al, 2003; Cook, 2004). In order to identify different wind systems from unlabeled wind speed data, several methods have been proposed including feature-based(De Gaetano et al, 2014), history-based(Lombardo et al, 2009) and data-based(Cui et al, 2021; Chen and Lombardo, 2020). However, those methods are seldom applied in mountainous area. In this study, feature-based method is used to classify local mountain wind systems and synoptic wind systems. For the wind observation records, a short-term field measurement from self-installed equipment has been acquired to provide the information of local mountain wind systems and long-term observation data from nearby public weather station is employed to provide synoptic wind systems data.

Fig. 1: Flow chart of extreme wind speed prediction in mountainous area

An improved method of wind storms identification in mountainous areas is proposed in this paper, which can applied for both short-term measurement with high recording frequency and long-term measurement with low recording frequency. Then, different extreme value distribution models are employed to fit the identified two wind systems. Finally, the extreme wind speed is calculated for different recurrence periods by extreme value theory with mixture wind climate. A flow chart of research work is shown in Figure 1.

2 Theoretical background

For structural design, safety considerations must be balanced against the additional cost of ‘over-design’. Accurate estimation of the occurrence of extreme wind speeds is an important factor in achieving the correct balance. Typically, for most users of wind data, estimates of the 50-year return period extreme wind speed are required, based on 10–20 (and often fewer) available years of observations. For this situation, the data are generally fitted to a theoretical distribution in order to calculate the quartiles. In this section, several extreme wind speed statistic theory are described, including annual extreme method, method of independent storm and upcrossing method.

2.1 Gumbel with annual extremes

[Fisher and Tippett \(1928\)](#) showed that if a sample of n cases is chosen from a parent distribution, and the maximum of each sample is selected, then the distribution of the maximum approaches one of three limiting forms as the size of the samples increases. [Gumbel \(2004\)](#) argued that, in the case of floods, each year of record constitutes a sample with 365 cases and that the annual extreme flood is the maximum value of the sample. Thus, the Fisher–Tippett distributions could be fitted to the set of annual maxima. This is the basis of all classical extreme value theory. The aim is to define the form of the limiting distribution and estimate the parameters, so that quartile value X_T can be calculated.

Fish-Tippett Type I distribution (also known as Gumbel distribution) has the cumulative distribution function:

$$P(u \leq U) = \exp \left[- \left(\exp \left(- \frac{U - \beta}{\alpha} \right) \right) \right] \quad (1)$$

where β is the location parameter and α is the scale parameter.

Gumbel distribution is most widely used in wind-resistance code around world (ASCE, 2016). Eq. (1) calculates the probability that the wind speed does not exceed U in one year. Extreme wind speed of a certain return period R means annual exceed probability of this wind speed equals to $\frac{1}{R+1}$.

The great advantage of using the GEV distribution with annual maximum is that few decisions are required during the calculation of the distribution parameters and quartiles. However, it requires a sufficient long wind observation time to provide enough extreme value samples.

2.2 Method of independent storm

The principal drawback to the classical Gumbel method is that only one value is selected per epoch (e.g. one year). This greatly reduces the extreme data samples available for analysis, such that the underlying datasets must be long. This method is not suggested to be employed with fewer than 10 years. To increase the number of cases for analysis, method of independent storms(MIS) had been developed(Cook, 1982; Harris, 1999).

MIS increases the number of extreme available for analysis, whilst ensuring their independence by separating the parent time series of wind speeds into independent storms and then selecting the highest value from each storm. The storms is separated by a lull (period of wind speeds below a selected low threshold). Cook (1982) found a typical storm frequency rate to be around 100 events/year. After pre-processing, the extreme wind speed sample is fitted to the Gumbel distribution. Harris (1999) introduced two improvements to the MIS. This method modified the plotting position used by Cook (1982) to avoid systematic errors and fitted the distribution is given. The extreme wind speed exceed probability could be calculated by Poisson process (Eq. (2))

$$P_T(u \leq U) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{P(u \leq U)^i [rT]^i \exp(-rT)}{i!} = \exp\{-rT[(1 - P(u \leq U))]\} \quad (2)$$

where i is the number of occurrences, r is the rate of independent events per unit time, T is the epoch and $P(u \leq U)$ is the Cumulative Distribution Function of gumbel distribution fitted by independent storms wind speed sample. When T equals to 1, Eq. (2) is used to calculated annual extreme wind speed exceed probability.

2.3 Upcrossing method

When the available wind speed data is further reduced, only a few years or less, the data utilization of MIS is also not enough. In this case, [Gomes and Vickery \(1977\)](#) suggested a method to estimate extreme wind speeds from the parameters of the parent distribution. This method is based on continue wind speed data from the site of interest. The average number of upcrossings of u per unit time (crossings with positive slope) can be obtained using Rice's formula([Rice, 1944](#)).

$$U^+(u) = \int_0^{\infty} \dot{u} f_{u,\dot{u}}(u, \dot{u}) d\dot{u} \quad (3)$$

in which $U^+(u)$ is the crossing rate and $f_{u,\dot{u}}(u, \dot{u})$ is the joint probability distribution of the mean wind speed and the rate of change of the wind speed. Assuming that u and $\dot{u}$ are independent and uncorrelated, and further assuming that $\dot{u}$ is a stationary Gaussian process with zero mean, Eq. (3) could be rewritten as:

$$U^+(u) = \frac{\sigma_{\dot{u}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f_v(v) \quad (4)$$

Eq. (4) yields an expression for the expected number of crossing per unit time for a threshold. Assuming these crossings to be rare and independent events, the probability of exceed can be modeled using a Poisson approximation:

$$P_T(u \leq U) = 1 - e^{U^+(u)T} \quad (5)$$

2.4 Mixed climate theory

The technique for mixed wind climate extreme wind speed calculation required a separate extreme-value analysis of the values stemming from each significant wind-producing mechanism, followed by synthesis of the individual mechanisms into a composite extreme-value distribution. [Gomes and Vickery \(1978\)](#) carried out the different causative mechanisms extreme wind speed analysis for Australia and America.

Consider two wind mechanisms A and B , giving sets of wind speeds U_A and U_B , respectively, from each. The cumulative probability $P_T(u < U)$ of the maximum value u from either mechanism in an epoch T is less than some value U could be calculated by

$$P_T(u < U) = P_T(u_B < U) \times P_T(u_A < U) \quad (6)$$

where u_A, u_B is the wind speed from mechanism A or B .

Eq. (6) could only be used if T is long enough that each mechanism events are sufficiently frequent to occur in every epoch.

3 The measurement equipment and recorded data

The construction site of Murong Bridge in China is employed as the research object. This section describes the topography and location of Murong Bridge, the installation of the wind recording system and the general description of wind speed data from field measurement and weather observation station.

3.1 Description of the bridge site

The bridge site located at the Hengduan Mountains of southwestern China is a typical narrowed V-shaped deep-cut canyon and the depth of the canyon is about 500-1500 meters. Altitude around the bridge site is 3900-4800 meters. Moreover, the lower reaches of the river are meandering and topography near the bridge varies dramatically. The terrain at the bridge site is shown as Figure 2.

Fig. 2: Terrain at the bridge site (Captured from Google Earth, 25 km around the bridge site)

3.2 Installation of the wind measuring system on Murong Bridge

The site observation station had been placed on the top of the construction tower crane. It was 20 meters higher than the main beam, so wind speed accuracy is less affected by the structure. As shown in Figure 3, the wind measuring system includes one 3 Axis Ultrasonic anemometer (WindMaster, 32Hz sampling rate, measurement range 0-50 m/s) and one propeller anemometer (RM Young 05103, measurement range 0-60 m/s). The propeller anemometers is a mechanical anemometer composed by a propeller to collect wind speed data. The ultrasonic anemometer measures 3D wind speed based on the transit time of ultrasonic acoustic signals. The data recorded contain instantaneous wind speed in longitudinal (North-South direction), lateral (East-West direction) and vertical directions. The short-term monitored wind data, which were collected from this system, used for this study covered a 10 month period from December 2019 to September 2020.

3.3 observation of Meteorological station

Besides the on-site short-term wind speeds measurement, long-term regional wind speed data with large sample of synoptic strong wind events is also needed for extreme wind speed calculation in mountainous area. The nearest meteorological observatory is DAWU station, which is located in the same canyon (Xianshui River Canyon) with Murong Bridge. The spatial relationship between Murong Bridge and DAWU station and region terrine are shown in Figure 4. The direct distance between Murong and DAWU is about 70 km.

When one synoptic wind system approaches this area, both Murong and DAWU will be affected simultaneously with short temporal delay. So the wind speed record from DAWU can be used to predict the extreme speeds

Fig. 3: The onsite meteorological observation station location (left), the close-up view of anemometers(upper right) and the anemometers

from synoptic winds in Murong. Data source of DAWU station is downloaded from Global Integrated Surface Dataset from National Climatic Data Center (NCDC)(Young et al, 2018). The station ID is 561670. It has recorded meteorological data since 1979-07-01. Data type covers wind-observation, hourly liquid-precipitation, sky condition, air temperature, sea level pressure. Total 30 years wind-observation history from 1990 to 2020 are used in this study since data before 1990 was recorded manually with less credibility.

4 Wind system extraction and classification

In order to calculate the extreme wind speed in mountainous area, it is necessary to classify and then extract the local wind events and synoptic wind events from original continuous wind speed records. The strong wind events extraction algorithm based on feature points is described firstly in this section.

Fig. 4: Location relationship between DAWU station and Murong and surrounding terrain

Wind event characteristics of different wind systems are analyzed later. Based on these characteristics, the wind event type classification method is proposed.

4.1 Wind events identification and extraction algorithm

There are two purposes of wind events extraction. One is creating the basic data set of wind speed extremes. The other is classifying different wind event types from original wind speed data. The wind events extraction algorithm needs to find each event sequence with the maximum wind speed above a predefined threshold from a long continuous wind speed data. Each wind event sequence must contain the whole process of wind event from the beginning to the end, in order to identify the type of each wind event. This is different

from the method of independent storm, which only ensure the independence between storms but not require the whole process of storm. The whole process of wind events contains necessary information for category recognition. So a new storm extraction algorithm based on feature points is proposed.

The feature point is the demarcation point in the time series where can the changes of sequence trending occurs(Deng et al, 2013; Pavan et al, 2017). It has been applied widely in the research field of the trend of the time series(Fulcher and Jones, 2014; Simo-Serra et al, 2015). In a discrete wind speed time series sequence $U(t) = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$, all the wind speed points i that meet $(U_i - U_{i-1})(U_{i+1} - U_i) < 0$ are reorganized into a new sequence $U' = (U'_1, U'_2, \dots, U'_m)$. U' is the maximum point set of original wind speed $U(t)$. In new sequence U' , each points that meet $(U'_i - U'_{i-1} - \varepsilon)(U'_i - U'_{i+1}) > 0$ or $(U'_i - U'_{i+1} - \varepsilon)(U'_i - U'_{i-1}) > 0$ are feature points (ε is a positive hyper-parameter). Feature points set is second-order difference maximum point of original sequence. The purpose of second-order difference is to avoid local non-trend maximum point interference wind event extraction algorithm.

Extraction feature points process could be described as Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Calculate $y = x^n$

Require: $T = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$, ε :float

```

1:  $FPs \leftarrow []$ 
2:  $dts \leftarrow diff(T)$ 
3:  $index \leftarrow find(dts(1:end-1)*dts(2:end)<0)+1$ 
4: for  $i \leftarrow 2:(size(index)-1)$  do
5:    $Judge_1^l = T(index(i)) > T(index(i-1)+\varepsilon)$ 
6:    $Judge_1^r = T(index(i)) > T(index(i+1))$ 
7:    $Judge_2^l = T(index(i)) > T(index(i+1)+\varepsilon)$ 
8:    $Judge_2^r = T(index(i)) > T(index(i-1))$ 
9: end for
10: if  $(Judge_1^l \text{ and } Judge_1^r)$  or  $(Judge_2^l \text{ and } Judge_2^r)$  then
11:    $FPs \leftarrow add(index(i))$ 
12: end if
13: return  $FPs$ :FeaturePoints
```

In the wind speed time series, each strong wind event starts with a low wind speed, and then develops to high wind speed, and finally reaches to the end with low wind speed. The above algorithm could find the wind speed peak index of each wind event, regardless of the sampling rate of the wind speed sequence. The start point and the end point of event could be iteratively searched out on both sides of feature points. The condition for stopping iterative search is wind speed is lower than the static wind speed V_s for a period of time Δt . Considering the characteristics of wind speed sequence in Murong area, these hyper-parameters are token: $\varepsilon = 1$ m/s; $V_s = 7$ m/s; $\Delta t = 1$ hour. In order to verify the effectiveness and robustness of the wind event extraction algorithm, Figures 5 and 6 show the result of algorithm on datasets with different sampling rate. The wind event extraction algorithm based on feature points and iterative search has good effects on wind speed sequences of different sampling rates.

Fig. 5: Wind event extraction algorithm processing high-frequency wind speed sequence (Data source: Murong Bridge field measurement; Sampling period: 10 minutes)

4.2 Characteristics of two typical mountainous Wind events

According to features of mountainous wind system, strong wind events are divided into local mountain wind systems and synoptic wind systems. In

Fig. 6: Wind event extraction algorithm processing low-frequency wind speed sequence (Data source: DAWU Station; Sampling period: 3 hours)

order to classify, this section will analyze the characteristics of these two wind systems.

A power-spectrum analysis of wind speed in Murong is plotted in Figure 7. There appear to be two major energy peaks in the spectrum; blue peak (local wind system) occurs at a period of about 24 hours, and red peak (synoptic wind system) occurs at a period of 7-15 days, which is similar as van der Hoven's spectrum(van der Hoven, 1957). The reason of the different peaks is that the mountainous wind systems is a different meteorological process with plain terrain. Mountainous terrain generates local temperature gradients everyday, leading to local wind system. In non-mountainous cities, the modified van der Hoven spectrum also has a one-day peak, but the cause of this peak is the urban heat island effect.

Based on the definition of mountainous wind system and the analysis of the field measured data, the characteristics of the mountainous wind system are summarized following. Local mountainous wind system occurs everyday. Since it is driven by diurnal variation of local temperature, the duration of local mountainous wind system are normally much shorter than synoptic wind events. In addition, because of complex terrain in mountainous area shown in Figure 4, the local wind system at different locations are almost irrelevant. In contrast, the "power" driving synoptic wind system is much stronger than local

Fig. 7: Power spectrum of Murong wind speed and comparison with van Der Hoven's curve

mountainous wind system. So it will affect large region with longer duration and higher wind speed rather than being confined in a specific area. When synoptic wind system occur, the wind event could be recorded by all station nearby concurrently.

4.3 Storm type classification method

Fig. 8: An example of time overlap

The most important differences between local mountain wind and synoptic wind are the occurring frequency and duration of the wind storm. Frequency is how often a certain type wind event occurs. The frequency information is not available before wind event identification. So, the other characteristics are used to establish a wind event type classification algorithm. For each wind speed sub-sequence extracted by wind event identification algorithm, sequence length which represents duration could be used as a classification metric. In addition, the wind speed metric needs to indicate the proportion of low wind speeds in sub-sequence. Wind direction is a key feature for wind storm classification in general, especially in the difference between typhoon and non-typhoon(Cui et al, 2021). However, mountainous deep canyon is a specific case for wind system. Local and synoptic wind systems are restricted in a specific direction by canyon topography. The wind speed frequency diagram of Murong are shown in Fig. 9, which has only two main directions at 22.5° and 210°. The main wind directions are same as the trend of canyon, whatever the type of wind storm is. So the wind direction could not be used to identify local and synoptic wind.

Wind event duration and low-speed ratio are used to identify synoptic wind and local wind events. An assumption is applied that all mountain wind events include only two types: synoptic wind and local wind. Therefore, synoptic wind events are only defined and remaining wind events are local. Normally, synoptic wind events have greater energy source and a wider range of influence. It can be inferred that synoptic winds last longer. In Murong area, synoptic wind system is defined as a wind event with more than 24 hours duration and low-speed time (wind speed is lower than 4 m/s) accounts for less than 50%.

The classification method is verified though the comparison between field measurement data at Murong and meteorological data at DAWU. The verified metric is the time overlap ratio between synoptic wind system in Murong and

Fig. 9: The wind speed frequency diagram of Murong

DAWU. As shown in Figure 8, time overlap is the time period during when two wind events recorded by different observatory are overlapped. Because one synoptic wind system will affect Murong site and DAWU meteorological observatory simultaneously during in a certain period. From December 2019 to September 2020, 184 wind event sub-sequences are extracted from original sequence recorded by Murong construction site, including 6 synoptic wind system. In DAWU station, wind event extraction algorithm finds 1334 wind event since 1990. The maximum wind speed and duration distribution of wind events are shown in Figures 10 and 11. The distribution of duration shows that long-duration wind events account for a small part of all wind event, which conforms to the definition and frequency feature of synoptic wind events. According to classification metrics, synoptic wind events information and visualization from

(a) Maximum wind speed

(b) Duration

Fig. 10: Histogram of wind event features extracted from Murong observatory from December 2019 to September 2020 (184 wind events)

two stations recorded from December 2019 to September 2020 is shown in Table 1 and Figure 13. The records of each synoptic wind event at different stations have a high time overlap ratio.

Field measurement always could not last for a long time, especially in real construction practice. Wind speed at Murong in October and November are not recorded in this work because of some objective reasons in construction. Wind speed measurement for less than one year might influence the results of

(a) Maximum wind speed

(b) Duration

Fig. 11: Histogram of wind event features extracted from DAWU station from January 1990 to September 2020 (1334 wind events)

extreme wind speed analysis. And this influence must be discussed. Local and synoptic wind system occurring frequency from 30 years DAWU meteorological station records are shown in Figure 12. It could be clearly seen that winter wind system is infrequent compared with other seasons.

Fig. 12: Wind system occurring frequency from DAWU meteorological station records

Table 1: Synoptic wind events information and overlap test

ID	1	2	3	4	5	6
Murong Start	2/9 12:10	2/27 12:20	4/1 11:00	4/17 9:10	4/21 13:10	5/8 6:50
DAWU Start	2/9 3:00	2/27 2:00	4/1 1:00	4/17 1:00	4/22 1:00	5/8 18:00
Murong End	2/10 4:30	2/28 9:40	4/3 23:50	4/18 11:40	4/23 5:40	5/9 4:40
DAWU End	2/10 0:00	2/28 2:00	4/2 20:00	4/17 21:00	4/23 23:00	5/9 17:00
Murong wind speed	16.3	11.9	14.7	12.9	18.6	17.2
DAWU wind speed	10.0	7.0	9.0	10.4	16.0	12.0
Overlap	70.83%	64.07%	54.25%	44.65%	70.78%	47.07%

Fig. 13: Synoptic wind events information and overlap visualization

5 Mixture climate extreme wind speed calculation

Mountainous wind system is a mixture climate system composed of local mountainous wind system and synoptic wind system. These two wind system should be analyzed separately for extreme wind speed calculation. Rely on the wind speed records from Murong field measurement and DAWU observatory, two calculation case studies are carried out to discuss the extreme wind speed in mixture mountainous wind system. The primary case focus on DAWU station, which has long-term wind speed records. The advanced case is for Murong Bridge, which is a real construction site with short-term field measurement records.

5.1 First case: Calculation extreme wind speed for DAWU meteorological station

We begin by considering the mountainous extreme wind speed problem of meteorological station. In this case, the wind speed record is long enough to calculate different wind system including synoptic and local. So this case will only focus on the characteristics of mixture wind climate in mountainous areas and discuss whether this method is useful for mountainous area.

DAWU is an example for this case, which has more than 30 years of wind speed records. The records from 1990 to 2020 is used to calculate the extreme wind speed. Totally, 1334 wind events are extracted by 30-year wind speeds records by using wind events identification and extraction algorithm in Section 4. These wind events can be divided into 202 synoptic wind events and 1132 local wind events. The maximum wind speed value of each wind event is extracted to form an extreme value sample of "Method of independent storm".

Gumbel distribution(Eq. (1)) is used to fit two extreme samples. The results are shown in Figure 14.

Fig. 14: Wind speed observation at DAWU and gumbel distribution fitting result

According to the fitted Gumbel distribution, extreme wind speed could be calculated by Quartile. Quartile is the inverse of Cumulative distribution function (CDF), which means the extreme wind speed with specific probability. The annual probability that the maximum wind speed u of the synoptic wind system exceeds U can be expressed by Eq. (2).

The mixed wind system consists of local mountainous wind system and synoptic wind system. These two wind system events are independent. So within a year, the maximum wind speed does not exceed U is equivalent to that the maximum wind speed of local mountainous wind system and synoptic wind system does not exceed U , as Eq. (6).

Fig. 15: Extreme wind speed of different return period at DAWU

Figure 15 shows the extreme wind speed calculation results by the above method. The slope of local (blue dash line) wind speed is lower than synoptic (red dotted-dash line), which is consistent with their shape parameters (Figure 14). That means the extreme wind speeds in different return periods are controlled by different types of wind events. Synoptic wind events play an important role in high return period. In this case, demarcation point is on around 300 years. The green solid line is mixed extreme wind speed curve, which is the envelope of local and synoptic. Comparing the mixed climate method and annual extreme method (thick black solid line), it can be seen that the annual extreme method is not applicable in calculating extreme wind speed in mountainous areas. This is because the annual extreme sample is mixed and could be divided into 76% Local wind and 24% Synoptic wind.

Orange Points are from Chinese Code Wind-resistant Design Specification for Highway Bridges ([Tongji-University, 2018](#)). The trend of Code is similar to mixed line, but the Chinese code are conservative with generalized extreme distribution fitting.

5.2 Second case: Calculation extreme wind speed for Murong bridge site

In previous case, extreme wind speed in a mountainous area with long-term wind speed records could be calculated. In this part, we will calculate the extreme wind speed of an actual mountainous construction site only with a short-term wind speed field measurement records. But this amount of field measurement data is much smaller than the requirement of extreme wind speed statistical model fitting.

For the local mountains wind system, it must be analyzed with field measurement data extracted from anemometer installed on the constructed bridge. Because of only 10 months recording time, the wind speed parent population is directly used based on uncrossing method([Gomes and Vickery, 1977](#)), which is based on Rice's formula([Rice, 1944](#)) for the uncrossing rate $U^+(u)$ of a stationary random function of time $U(t)$. On the other hand, for synoptic wind system, only 6 samples recorded within 10 month field measurement shown in Table 1 is insufficient to calculate the extreme wind speed of 50-year or longer return period. However, because of its large scale, very synoptic wind event will be recorded by the nearby meteorological observatory. If the synoptic wind event records of the meteorological observatory could be mapped to bridge construction site, there will be sufficient synoptic wind event data available.

The parent population of local wind event is all wind speed records in Murong except six synoptic wind events. Figure 16 illustrates the empirical

histogram of the parent population of wind speed from December 2019 to
 September 2020 and corresponding PDF obtained from the Weibull model.
 Good correspondence between the raw data and the model confirms that the
 Weibull model is adequate to model the wind speed parent distribution. The
 correlation between wind speed U and the first derivative of wind speed $\dot{U}$
 is -0.05, which proves that $U, \dot{U}$ are approximately independent. So Eq.(4)
 could be used to calculate $U^+(u)$. The annual exceed probability of local wind
 $P_D(u \leq U)$ is calculated by Eq.(5) by $T = 1$.

Fig. 16: Distribution of wind speed parent population at Murong, empirical histogram and PDF model (Weibull)

Compared with local wind system, synoptic wind events is an less frequent
 phenomenon in mountainous wind climate. The extreme wind speed of syn-
 optic wind events will be calculated by the method of Independent Storm
 too. The extreme value samples are divided into two parts, including Murong
 measurement data and DAWU data with wind speed mapping. Wind speed
 mapping is a converting formula of synoptic wind event from nearby mete-
 orological observatory to the target site. In this study, each synoptic wind
 event are recorded at DAWU meteorological observatory and Murong field

Fig. 17: Maximum wind speed of synoptic wind event mapping relationship between Murong and DAWU

measurement. The topography and height of these two observations are different. In order to simplify the mapping process, this research only establishes the quadratic function relationship of the maximum wind speed in each wind event between DAWU station and Murong bridge deck.

The fitted line are shown in Figure 17. By fitting the data in Table 1, Murong to DAWU converting function is a quadratic function with zero intercept. This fitting model shows that the maximum wind speed in synoptic wind event of two stations approximately starts from zero and increases at different rates. In addition, Murong station is about 200 meters height from the ground and DAWU is 10 meters. Therefore, the maximum wind speed recorded by Murong should be higher than DAWU under the influence of the same wind event.

After wind speed mapping, synoptic wind events extreme sample in Murong is ready to fit Gumbel distribution. Murong synoptic wind distribution shape is very similar with Figure 14. The annual exceed probability of synoptic wind $P_S(u \leq U)$ is calculated by Eq.(2) by $T = 1$.

Extreme wind speed of different type wind events and mixture climate could be calculated by Eq.(6). The calculation result is shown in Figure 18. The mixed line is the envelope of the extreme wind speed of the local wind system and synoptic wind system.

Fig. 18: Extreme wind speed of different return period at Murong

In mountainous areas, the extreme wind speeds of long and short return periods are controlled by different wind systems. When return period is short, local wind system is more important. On the contrary, it is the synoptic wind system that plays a dominating role. This rule is also correct in this case. While local wind system at Murong control much longer return period than DAWU.

6 Conclusion

This paper presents a novel method for mountainous extreme wind speed calculation with a focus on mountainous mixture wind system composed of local wind system and synoptic wind system. This method can be separated into three parts. First, each wind event sub-sequence are extracted by feature points and iterative searching from the wind speed raw data and classified into local wind and synoptic wind. Second, the mapping relationship on maximum

wind speed of synoptic wind system between meteorological observatory and target site is established. And it is used to increase the sample size of synoptic wind events. Third, the annual wind speed exceeding probability of the local wind system and synoptic wind system are calculated by uncrossing rate and method of independent storm respectively. At last, different return period extreme wind speed could be calculated. The difficulty in calculating extreme wind speed in mountainous areas is the limited amount effective field measurement wind speed data. This method extracts synoptic wind event which is the effective information for target site extreme wind speed from surrounding meteorological observatory based on the different characteristics of mountain wind system. Therefore, the calculation of extreme wind speed in mountainous areas could be cheap and accurate.

Murong bridge site is an application in this research which is located in a canyon on western China. In order to calculate the extreme wind speed in Murong, this work uses 10 months of field-measured data and 30 years of wind speed data from the DAWU weather station which is 70 km away from Murong. The calculation results prove that the extreme wind speed in the short return period is controlled by the local wind system, and synoptic wind system plays a decisive role on long return period extreme wind speed.

Declarations

Funding: The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of National Natural Science Foundation of China (52078383, 52008314) and Independent subject of State Key Lab of Disaster Reduction in Civil Engineering (SLDRCE19-B-11).

Authors' contributions: Conceptualization: Wei Cui, Teng Ma; Methodology: Teng Ma; Formal analysis and investigation: Teng Ma, Wei Cui; Data curation: Wei Cui, Yejun Ding; Writing - origin draft preparation: Teng Ma;

Writing - review and editing: Wei Cui, Lin Zhao; Funding acquisition: Wei Cui, Lin Zhao; Supervision: Genshen Fang, Lin Zhao, Yaojun Ge.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interest: All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Compliance with Ethical Standards/Data, material and code availability: Not applicable.

References

- ASCE (2016) Minimum design loads for building and other structures (ASCE 7-16)
- Beine H, Argentini S, Maurizi A, et al (2001) The local wind field at ny-ålesund and the zeppelin mountain at svalbard. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics* 78(1):107–113
- Bitsuamlak G, Stathopoulos T, Bedard C (2004) Numerical evaluation of wind flow over complex terrain. *Journal of Aerospace Engineering* 17(4):135–145
- Cao S, Wang T, Ge Y, et al (2012) Numerical study on turbulent boundary layers over two-dimensional hills—effects of surface roughness and slope. *Journal of wind engineering and industrial aerodynamics* 104:342–349
- Chen G, Lombardo FT (2020) An automated classification method of thunderstorm and non-thunderstorm wind data based on a convolutional neural network. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 207:104,407

519 Cook N (1982) Towards better estimation of extreme winds. *Journal of Wind*
520 *Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 9(3):295–323

521 Cook NJ (2004) Confidence limits for extreme wind speeds in mixed climates.
522 *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 92(1):41–51

523 Cook NJ, Harris RI, Whiting R (2003) Extreme wind speeds in mixed cli-
524 *mates revisited. Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*
525 91(3):403–422

526 Cui W, Caracoglia L (2020) Performance-based wind engineering of tall build-
527 *ings examining life-cycle downtime and multisource wind damage. Journal*
528 *of Structural Engineering* 146(1):04019,179

529 Cui W, Ma T, Zhao L, et al (2021) Data-based windstorm type identifica-
530 *tion algorithm and extreme wind speed prediction. Journal of Structural*
531 *Engineering* 147(5):04021,053

532 De Gaetano P, Repetto MP, Repetto T, et al (2014) Separation and classifi-
533 *cation of extreme wind events from anemometric records. Journal of Wind*
534 *Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 126:132–143

535 Deng H, Runger G, Tuv E, et al (2013) A time series forest for classification
536 *and feature extraction. Information Sciences* 239:142–153

537 Fisher RA, Tippett LHC (1928) Limiting forms of the frequency distribution of
538 *the largest or smallest member of a sample. In: Mathematical proceedings of*
539 *the Cambridge philosophical society, Cambridge University Press, pp 180–*
540 *190*

Fulcher BD, Jones NS (2014) Highly comparative feature-based time-series classification. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 26(12):3026–3037

Gaidai O, Naess A, Xu X, et al (2019) Improving extreme wind speed prediction based on a short data sample, using a highly correlated long data sample. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 188:102–109

Gomes L, Vickery B (1977) On the prediction of extreme wind speeds from the parent distribution. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 2(1):21–36

Gomes L, Vickery B (1978) Extreme wind speeds in mixed wind climates. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 2(4):331–344

Gumbel EJ (2004) *Statistics of extremes*. Courier Corporation

Harris R (1999) Improvements to the method of independent storms'. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 80(1-2):1–30

van der Hoven I (1957) Power spectrum of horizontal wind speed in the frequency range from 0.0007 to 900 cycles per hour. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences* 14(2):160–164

Huang G, Jiang Y, Peng L, et al (2019) Characteristics of intense winds in mountain area based on field measurement: Focusing on thunderstorm winds. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 190:166–182

Jackson PL, Mayr G, Vosper S (2013) Dynamically-driven winds. In: Mountain weather research and forecasting. Springer, p 121–218

Kim HG, Patel V, Lee CM (2000) Numerical simulation of wind flow over hilly terrain. *Journal of wind engineering and industrial aerodynamics* 87(1):45–60

Kwok KC, Hitchcock PA, Burton MD (2009) Perception of vibration and occupant comfort in wind-excited tall buildings. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 97(7-8):368–380

Li C, Chen Z, Zhang Z, et al (2010) Wind tunnel modeling of flow over mountainous valley terrain. *Wind and Structures* 13(3):275

Li Y, Zhang M, Xu X, et al (2014) Causes of daily strong wind on bridge site in deep gorge with high altitude and high temperature difference. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University* 49(6):935–941

Li Y, Xu X, Zhang M, et al (2017) Wind tunnel test and numerical simulation of wind characteristics at a bridge site in mountainous terrain. *Advances in Structural Engineering* 20(8):1223–1231

Lombardo FT, Main JA, Simiu E (2009) Automated extraction and classification of thunderstorm and non-thunderstorm wind data for extreme-value analysis. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 97(3-4):120–131

Maurizi A, Palma J, Castro F (1998) Numerical simulation of the atmospheric flow in a mountainous region of the north of portugal. *Journal of wind engineering and industrial aerodynamics* 74:219–228

Mingjin Z, Jisheng Y, Jingyu Z, et al (2019) Study on the wind-field characteristics over a bridge site due to the shielding effects of mountains in a deep gorge via numerical simulation. *Advances in Structural Engineering* 22(14):3055–3065

Palutikof J, Brabson B, Lister D, et al (1999) A review of methods to calculate extreme wind speeds. *Meteorological Applications: A journal of forecasting, practical applications, training techniques and modelling* 6(2):119–132

Pavan M, Mizzaro S, Scagnetto I (2017) Mining movement data to extract personal points of interest: A feature based approach. In: *Information Filtering and Retrieval*. Springer, p 35–61

Rice SO (1944) Mathematical analysis of random noise. *The Bell System Technical Journal* 23(3):282–332

Simiu E, Heckert N (1996) Extreme wind distribution tails: a “peaks over threshold” approach. *Journal of structural engineering* 122(5):539–547

Simiu E, Yeo D (2019) *Wind effects on structures: Modern structural design for wind*. John Wiley & Sons

Simo-Serra E, Trulls E, Ferraz L, et al (2015) Discriminative learning of deep convolutional feature point descriptors. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp 118–126

Tongji-University (2018) *Wind-resistant design specification for highway bridges (JTG/T 3360-01-2018)*

Wagner A (1938) *Theorie und beobachtung der periodischen gebirgswinde (theory and observation of periodic mountain winds)*. Gerlands Beitr

609 Geophys 52:408–449

610 Wang Q (2001) A bayesian joint probability approach for flood record
611 augmentation. *Water Resources Research* 37(6):1707–1712

612 Whiteman CD (1990) Observations of thermally developed wind systems
613 in mountainous terrain. In: *Atmospheric processes over complex terrain*.
614 Springer, p 5–42

615 Young AH, Knapp KR, Inamdar A, et al (2018) The international satel-
616 lite cloud climatology project h-series climate data record product. *Earth*
617 *System Science Data* 10(1):583–593

618 Zardi D, Whiteman CD (2013) Diurnal mountain wind systems. *Mountain*
619 *weather research and forecasting* pp 35–119

620 Zhang J, Zhang M, Li Y, et al (2020) Comparison of wind characteristics at
621 different heights of deep-cut canyon based on field measurement. *Advances*
622 *in Structural Engineering* 23(2):219–233