Diversity of culturable bacteria endowed with antifungal metabolites biosynthetic characteristics associated with Tea rhizosphere soil of Assam, India

Jintu Dutta

Institute of Advanced Study in Science and Technology

Debajit Thakur (✉ debajitthakur@iasst.gov.in)

Institute of Advanced Study in Science and Technology

Research Article

Keywords: Rhizosphere soil, antagonist activity, chitinase gene, ARDRA, BOX-PCR

Posted Date: December 9th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-118509/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Microbiology on July 18th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-021-02278-z>.

Abstract

Background

Rhizosphere soil is a crucial niche for the diverse beneficial microbial communities for plant-microbe interactions. This study explores the antagonistic potential and diversity of the rhizosphere soil bacteria from commercial tea estates of Assam, India which comes under Indo-Burma mega-biodiversity hotspot. Rhizosphere soil samples were collected from six different tea estates to isolate the bacteria. The bacterial isolates were subjected to evaluate for the antagonistic activity against fungal pathogens. The potential isolates were investigated for chitinase production and presence of chitinase gene. The bacterial genetic diversity was studied by ARDRA and BOX-PCR fingerprinting.

Results

A total of 217 rhizobacteria were isolated from tea rhizosphere soil and of which 50 isolates exhibited the potential antagonistic activity against fungal pathogens. Among them, 12 isolates showed extracellular chitinase activity and the presence of chitinase genes. The sequencing and analysis of the chitinase gene using PDB protein databank at the amino acid level showed the presence of ChiA and ChiA74 gene in the isolates which involved in the hydrolysis of chitin. The analysis showed that 6 most potential isolates exhibited antagonistic activity against all tested fungal pathogens and presence of chitinase genes within their genome. The diversity of 50 antagonistic bacterial isolates were analysed through ARDRA and BOX-PCR fingerprinting. Diversity analysis and molecular identification of the rhizosphere isolates revealed that these antagonistic isolates predominantly belonged to the genus *Bacillus* followed by *Enterobacter*, *Serratia*, *Lysinibacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Burkholderia*.

Conclusion

The present study establishes that rhizobacteria isolated from the poorly explored tea rhizosphere soil could be a rich reservoir for the investigation of potential antagonistic bacterial candidates for sustainable agricultural and industrial applications.

Background

The factors controlling the distribution and abundance of soil microorganisms are still poorly understood despite soil microbes being the dominant engines of biogeochemical cycles and a major pool of living biomass in terrestrial ecosystems (Fierer et al. 2012). A recent study conducted using a variety of molecular or biochemical approaches has started to explore the distributional patterns exhibited by soil microbial communities and biotic or abiotic factors driving these patterns (Rousk et al. 2010). Among different soil types, rhizosphere soil is considered as one of the most active regions in soil governed by intense interactions between plant and root associated microbes. The microbial communities residing in

the rhizosphere play a pivotal role in the plant growth promotion and protection. The rhizosphere soil bacteria thrive on root exudates efficiently and therefore rhizosphere may be contained up to 100-fold higher microbes than in bulk soil (Van Loon 2007). Moreover, it was observed that the soil microbial and enzymatic properties respond relatively quickly to small changes occurred in soil conditions and thus microbial properties and enzymes are considered to be early indicators of future soil changes (Zhang et al. 2011). Among the different edaphic factors, the pH of the soil is also considered as a significant factor that effects the composition and diversity of soil bacterial communities (Fierer and Jackson 2006; Jenkins et al. 2009; Rousk et al. 2010; Wu et al. 2017). Moreover, the molecular studies on bacterial diversity have revealed a large richness of species, which promote plant growth and yield, fight pathogens, help to solubilize phosphate, or contribute assimilable nitrogen to plants (Rosenblueth and Martínez-Romero 2006). This technological advance has now become so pervasive that it is being regularly applied to explore soils and plants of agricultural interest.

The microbial interaction with tea plant is one of the less explored areas of research which have a tremendous scope in future. Tea plants are massively cultivated in Assam of Northeast India. The climatic condition and geographic location of the region is very much favourable for tea cultivation which makes the entire India as a leading tea production country in the world. However, this climatic condition of Northeast India offers congenial environment for enormous numbers of fungal pathogens and pest invasion which leads to the considerable amount of crop lost annually. This warm and humid climatic condition of the region favours the growth of tea plants and peculiar cultural condition of these plants makes them to more disease prone (Baby 2002). The extensive use of pesticides, fungicides and other agrochemicals in order to control the diseases and pests, is also a burden to planters as well as to the environment that can also developed resistance or execute other economically important insects (Hazarika et al. 2009). Therefore, one has to be very cautious and judicial about the use of such perilous chemicals in any crop fields. The demand for sustainable chemicals free production of tea also leading to a movement toward organic tea cultivation. Therefore, the present time demand the development in novel sustainable strategies for crop protection and enhancement that do not rely on harmful chemicals. Besides, Northeast of India is also a part of Indo-Burma mega-biodiversity hotspot (Myers et al. 2000) where microbial communities and their functions in soil of different regions are still to be explored.

The goal of the present study was to isolate the rhizosphere soil bacteria from different commercial tea estates located in Assam, India and evaluate them for the potential antagonistic activity against some major tea fungal pathogens. The antagonistic isolates were also screened for the presence of one of the major fungal cell wall degrading enzymes, chitinase gene within their genome. Further, the analysis of antagonistic microbial diversity present in the tea rhizosphere soil was carried out by using ARDRA and BOX-PCR fingerprinting. Therefore, this study was made an effort to investigate the promising rhizosphere soil bacteria for potential antagonistic activity against wide array of major tea fungal pathogens.

Results

Isolation of rhizobacteria

A total of 217 rhizobacteria were isolated from rhizosphere soil six different commercial tea estates tea estates of Assam, India. The culturable rhizobacteria were isolated from collected soil samples by using four different isolation media. The rhizobacterial isolates were enumerated based on their distinctive colonial morphology.

In vitro antifungal assay

All the 217 isolates were subjected for *in vitro* antifungal activity against six test phytopathogens to evaluate their antagonistic potential. From the assay, 50 (23%) isolates exhibited positive antifungal activity. Out of these 50 positive isolates, 34 (68%) isolates exhibited antagonistic activity against *N. sphaerica* (KJ767520), 33 (66%) isolates showed antagonistic activity against *P. theae* (ITCC 6599), 19 (38%) isolates showed antagonistic activity against *C. eragrostidis* (ITCC 6429), 27 (54%) isolates showed antagonistic activity against *G. cingulate* (MTCC 2033), 24 (48%) isolates showed antagonistic activity against *R. solani* (MTCC 4633), and 27 (54%) isolates exhibited antagonistic activity against *F. oxysporum* (MTCC 284). Furthermore, 16 (32%) isolates exhibited antifungal activity against at least four test pathogens and 6 (12%) isolates i.e., HK28, SN18, SN25, HK17, TG1 and TT19 showed the most potential antagonistic activity which inhibited the growth of all six test fungal pathogens (**Table 1, Fig. S1**)

Chitinase production analysis

The 50 antagonistic isolates were subjected to the extracellular chitinase production and out of which 12 (24%) showed most promising chitinase production. The chitinase producing isolates were further detected by PCR amplification of bacterial chitinase gene (**Fig S2**). The sequencing of partial chitinase gene sequences were then translated to amino acid sequences for identification of their responsible chitinase gene and structures by using PDB protein databank. The amino acid sequences of the 8 isolates i.e., HK26, HK28, HK32, HK21, TG1, HK17, HK36 and KH49 showed 99% similarity with the ChiA gene of the *Serratia marcescens*. This ChiA gene is important in the chitin hydrolysis (Aronson et al. 2006). The other 4 isolates SN18, TG24, SN25 and TT19 showed 100% similarity at the amino acid level with ChiA74 gene of the *Bacillus thuringiensis*. The TIM-barrel/CID catalytic domain of ChiA74 of *Bacillus thuringiensis* involved in chitin hydrolysis (Juárez-Hernández et al. 2019) (**Table 2**).

ARDRA and BOX-PCR fingerprinting analysis

The restriction digestion profile of selected 50 potential antagonistic rhizobacteria were analysed by ARDRA fingerprinting using three different restriction enzymes HaeIII, MspI, HinfI. The digestion with these endonuclease restriction enzymes showed different banding pattern and dendrogram was constructed by analysing these banding patterns. The dendrogram was analysed by using the DICE similarity coefficient which is divided into three board distinct clusters A, B and C (**Fig. 1**). The genus *Bacillus* was found as a dominant bacterial genus which are mostly grouped in cluster C, cluster B is

composed of both the genus *Serratia* and *Enterobacter*, and A is composed of genus *Pseudomonas*. Similarly, the BOX-PCR fingerprinting was carried out and dendrogram was constructed by using the DICE similarity coefficient considering the band size between 500 bp to 5 kb for scoring. The BOX-PCR generated a variation in banding pattern of the isolates indicating the presence of different genotypes among the isolates (Fig. 2).

Molecular identification and Phylogenetic analysis

The 16S rDNA of 36 representative isolates were selected and identified with their closest homolog match using EzBioCloud 16S database. The 16S rDNA molecular identification of the 36 representative isolates revealed that the genus *Bacillus* was the most dominant (n=21, 58.3%); followed by *Enterobacter* (n=8, 22.2%); *Serratia* (n=3, 8.3%); *Lysinibacillus* (n=2, 5.5%); *Pseudomonas* (n=1, 2.7%); and *Burkholderia* (n=1, 2.7%). The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on neighbour-joining (NJ) method (Fig. 3). Moreover, the representative ribotypes identified from the rhizosphere soil of Assam tea estates, their closest sequence similarity and origin were described in Table 3.

Discussion

There are diverse microbial communities resides in the rhizosphere zone of plants and they are studied for their functional roles in the soil, plants and biogeochemical cycles. The aim of the present study was to study diverse autochthonous culturable antagonistic rhizobacterial communities present in the commercial tea estates of Assam, North-eastern part of India which is situated in the Indo-Burma mega-biodiversity hotspot. The presence of biodiversity hotspot in the region fuelled this study to search for the potential microbial isolates for the diverse biotechnological applications.

In this study a total of 217 isolates were isolated from tea rhizosphere soil of six different commercial tea estates of Assam, India. The rhizosphere soil is a niche for diverse beneficial microorganisms and one of most intensive plant microbe interactions zone. The pH of the soil range was found to be from 4.1 to 5.2 which indicating to the soil is acidic in nature. Studies on tea plantation have shown that soil becomes low pH under tea plantation and also acidification determined the age and use of fertilizer in tea plantation (Alekseeva et al. 2011; Abe et al. 2006).

The antagonistic activity of 217 isolates was carried out against six fungal phytopathogens and out of which 50 isolates showed potential antagonistic activity. These 50 isolates were considered as elite isolates for further investigation. Moreover, it was also observed that out of these 50 isolates, 16 (32%) isolates exhibited antifungal activity against at least four test pathogens and 6 (12%) isolates exhibited the antagonistic activity against all the test fungal pathogens. Plant associated rhizosphere microbial communities are consider as a crucial first line defence for disease suppression in plants. It was also observed that the root exudates of the infected plants are more attractive than uninfected plants to harbour the model strain *Pseudomonas protegens* CHA0 for production of disease suppressive antimicrobial metabolites (Dudenhoffer et al. 2016). The *in vitro* antifungal activity of *Bacillus subtilis* producing lipopeptides was tested against apple scab causing ascomycete fungi *Venturia inaequalis*

(Desmyttere et al. 2019). Another study on gram-positive *B. subtilis* 30VD-1 has shown very potential antagonistic activity against ascomycete fungi *Fusarium* sp. plant pathogen (Khan et al. 2018). Similarly, gram negative *Enterobacter* sp. BNM 0357 strain isolated from rhizosphere soil has been demonstrated to inhibit up to 35% of the mycelial growth and spore germination of *Fusarium solani* (Shoebitz et al. 2009). In the present study, 2 gram negative strains *Enterobacter* sp. HK28 and TG1 and 4 strains of gram-positive *Bacillus* sp. SN18, SN25, HK17 and TT19 have demonstrated board spectrum antifungal activity against five ascomycetes fungi i.e, *P. theae* (ITCC 6599), *C. eragrostidis* (ITCC 6429), *G. cingulata* (MTCC 2033), *F. oxysporum* (MTCC 284), *N. sphaerica* (KJ767520) and one basidiomycete fungal pathogen *R. solani* (MTCC 4633). This board spectrum antifungal result suggests that the rhizosphere soil of plant is a good source of potential antagonistic rhizobacteria to the encounter the plant pathogens.

Chitinases are very useful enzymes for different applications due to its key role in the degradation of crystalline polysaccharides. They catalyse the hydrolysis of β -1, 4-linkages in chitin which exert a direct inhibitory effect on the hyphal growth of fungal pathogens (Oyeleye and Normi 2018). In our study, 12 isolates out of 50 potential antagonistic isolates showed the positive for extracellular chitinase activity in plate chitinase enzyme assay and PCR based chitinase gene detection. Interestingly, the 6 isolates which showed board spectrum antagonistic activity against the fungal pathogens, also exhibited the chitinase activity and presence of chitinase gene. The analysis of the chitinase gene of 12 isolates at amino acid level with their 3D structures in PDB protein databank revealed that the isolates HK26, HK28, HK32, HK21, TG1, HK17, HK36 and KH49 showed 99% similarity with the ChiA gene of the *Serratia marcescens* and isolates SN18, TG24, SN25 and TT19 showed 100% similarity at the amino acid level with ChiA74 gene of the *Bacillus thuringiensis*. A study on expression of different chitinase genes (ChiA, ChiB, ChiC and ChiD) was conducted at the transcriptional level and found that the presence of chitin strongly induced the ChiA gene for hydrolysis of chitin followed by others three chitinase genes (Orikoshi et al., 2005). Another study on combination of purified chitinases (ChiA, ChiB and ChiC) from *S. marcescens* strain CFFSUR-B2 isolate significantly supressed the germination and germ tube growth of *Mycosphaerella fijiensis* causes black Sigatoka disease of banana (Gutiérrez-Román et al. 2015). The chitinase enzyme isolated from *Serratia marcescens* B4A strain exhibited strong antagonistic activity against *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Bipolaris* sp., *Alternaria raphanin*, and *Alternaria brassicicola* (Zarei et al. 2011). Similarly, the *Bacillus thuringiensis* C25 isolate having cell wall degrading enzymes such as protease, β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase was also reported to inhibit the mycelial growth, and suppression of sclerotia formation and germination of two major sclerotia phytopathogens (Shrestha et al. 2015). The presence of chitinase gene in the 12 isolates of the present study strongly implies the exhibition of antifungal activity was due to the presence of chitinase enzyme. However, the absence of chitinase genes does not solely determine the antagonistic activity of the isolates because the other mechanisms or bioactive agents may be involved in the production of antifungal activity.

The ARDRA and BOX-PCR molecular genotyping are robust and most widely used for classification and identification of culturable microbial communities at the level of genus and species (Khosravi and Dolatabad et al. 2019). These molecular tools are suitable and convenient for species-specific fingerprint

and phylogenetic analysis (Mishra et al. 2015). The genetic diversity of the 50 antagonistic rhizobacteria through ARDRA using three different restriction enzymes HaeIII, MspI, HinfI and BOX-PCR fingerprinting revealed significant difference among the isolates. However, the bacterial diversity and richness in soil is largely differed by ecosystem type and pH of the soil or other edaphic variables (Fierer and Jackson 2006). The study of microbial diversity and 16S rDNA sequencing of acidic soil of tea rhizosphere comprised of genus *Bacillus*, *Enterobacter*, *Serratia*, *Lysinibacillus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Burkholderia*. Based on the molecular fingerprinting and chitinase gene analysis, 36 representative ribotypes were selected for diversity analysis. The analysis of these ribotypes using EzBioCloud 16S database revealed that 21 (58.3%) isolates belonged to the genus *Bacillus*, 8 (22.2%) *Enterobacter*, 3 (8.3%) *Serratia*, 2 (5.5%) *Lysinibacillus* and 1 (2.7%) isolate to each of the genus *Pseudomonas* and *Burkholderia*. The gram-positive *Bacillus* and *Bacillus* like genus dominance was also reported by the previous studies on soil (Kim et al. 2011; Beneduzi et al. 2012; Dutta et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2019). From the present study, it was established that the genus *Bacillus* is also the most predominant group of bacteria in the tea rhizosphere soil of Assam, India.

Conclusion

The rhizosphere soil is source of intensive microbial communities represents its beneficial effects on overall health of the plant and soil. There is cross-talk between the plants and microbes in this zone to help each other in different adverse conditions. The diverse antagonistic bacterial communities present in the rhizosphere indicating its devoted service to the ecosystem management and protection of plants from various pathogenic microorganisms. Therefore, the findings in the present study revealed that antagonistic rhizobacteria isolated from the tea rhizosphere soil can be a valuable source for the application in agriculture and industrial prospective.

Methods

Site Description, sampling and isolation of bacteria

Assam state is located in the Northeast region of India and extending from 89°42' E to 96° E longitude and 24°8' N to 28°2' N latitude with an area of 78,438 km². With the tropical monsoon rainforest climate, Assam is a temperate region and experiences heavy rainfall and humidity. The tea plantations are one of the most economically important plants grown in Assam. Because of its lengthy growing season and generous rainfall, Assam is one of the most prolific tea-producing regions in the world. The state is cultivated in over 304,400 ha area with annual production of 629.05 million kg which contribute more than 50% of the overall annual tea production of India.

The rhizosphere soil samples of tea plants were collected from 5-30 cm depth soil in sterile bags and transported immediately to laboratory in ice box. These soil samples were collected from six different tea estates located in Assam, India i.e. Sonapur tea estate (26°06'56.40"N 91°58'33.18"E), Khetri tea estate (26°06'53.81"N 92°05'27.74"E), Toklai tea growing area (26°45'18.40"N 94°13'16.92"E), Difaloo tea

estate (26°36'29.41"N 93°35'03.96"E), Teok Tata tea estate (26°36'29.41"N 94°25'42.59"E) and Hatikhuli tea estate (26°34'55.94"N 93°24'43.15"E) and these sampling site was also previously described in our research article which was the part of our undergoing tea rhizosphere soil research work (Dutta et al., 2015). The bacteria were isolated from rhizosphere soil by using serial dilution method. For this, 1 g of the soil were suspended in 9 ml of saline solution (NaCl 9 g/l) and kept in shaking condition for 30-45 min at 200 rpm and 30°C. The soil suspension was then serially diluted up to 10⁻⁶ and 100 µl from each dilution was evenly spread over the surface of four different isolation media agar plates i.e., nutrient agar, pseudomonas isolation agar, azotobacter agar and azospirillum agar (HiMedia, India). The plates were then incubated for 12-24 h at 30°C and bacterial colonies appeared on different media were selected based on their different colony morphology (Dutta et al., 2015).

***In vitro* antifungal assay**

Test fungal pathogens

The six tea fungal pathogens were used for this study i.e., *Pestalotiopsis theae* (ITCC 6599), *Curvularia eragrostidis* (ITCC 6429), *Glomerella cingulata* (MTCC 2033), *Rhizoctonia solani* (MTCC 4633), *Fusarium oxysporum* (MTCC 284) and *Nigrospora sphaerica* (KJ767520). The fungal pathogens were obtained from the Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC), Institute of Microbial Technology, Chandigarh, India and Indian Type Culture Collection (ITCC), Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India. The *Nigrospora sphaerica* tea fungal pathogen was isolated, characterized and preserved at Institute of Advanced Study in Science & Technology, Guwahati, India.

***In vitro* screening of tea rhizobacteria for antifungal activity**

The isolated rhizobacteria were subjected to evaluate their antagonistic potential against the selected tea fungal pathogens. The bacterial broth cultures were adjusted to 1×10⁸ cfu/ml and a loopful of bacterial cultures was streaked equidistantly on the edge of the PDA plates. The 5 mm agar plug of the test fungal mycelium previously grown on the PDA plate was placed at the centre of the test plate between the bacterial streaked lines. The plates were incubated at 28±2°C for 5 days. The control plates were prepared with the fungal agar plug without the bacterial streaks. The antagonistic activity was evaluated by comparing the fungal mycelial diameter on control and test plates and the percentage of inhibition was calculated by using the formula $C-T/C \times 100$, where, C is the fungal mycelial diameter on control plate and T is the fungal mycelial diameter on the test plate (El-Sayed et al. 2014, Dutta et al., 2017).). The experiments were performed in triplicates.

Chitinase production

Preparation of colloidal chitin

For preparation of colloidal chitin, 5 grams of shrimp shells chitin (Sigma, USA) was slowly added into 100 ml of cold 0.25 N HCl with vigorous stirring and kept overnight at 4°C. The mixture was filtered

through the filter paper into 200 ml ice cold ethanol at 4°C with rapid stirring. The chitin suspension was centrifuged at 10,000×g for 20 min and the resultant chitin pellet was washed repeatedly with sterile distilled water until the pH became neutral (Roberts and Selitrennikoff 1988). The final concentration was adjusted to 10 mg/ml.

Chitinase production and PCR amplification of chitinase gene

The bacterial isolates were evaluated for the hydrolysis of chitin by using spot inoculation method on MS media containing 1% chitin (v/v). After pouring the chitin containing medium into the petri plates, the bacterial inoculums were spotted on the plate and incubated for 48 h to observe zone of clearance.

The genomic DNA was extracted and the presence of bacterial chitinase gene was screened by using degenerate primes GA1F and GA1R (Williamson et al. 2000). The 10 µl of PCR reaction volume comprised of 1 µl of 10×Taq DNA buffer, 2.5 mM dNTP mix, 0.2 µM of primers, 1U Taq polymerase and 1 µl of 10 ng concentration of template DNA. The amplifications were carried out in proflex PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA). The reaction was set as follows: an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 1 min at 95°C, 30 sec at 60°C, 1 min at 72°C followed by one cycle of 7 min at 72°C for final extension. The partially amplified chitinase genes were sequenced and translated to amino acid sequences using the ORF-Finder (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/>). The resulting amino acid sequences were used as queries to search the related proteins in the PDB protein databank (PDB; <http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/>) using the BLAST algorithm based advanced sequence search with the default parameters.

Amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis (ARDRA)

The genomic DNA extraction, 16S rDNA PCR amplification and purification of the PCR product was carried out as previously described (Dutta et al. 2017). For ARDRA analysis, 20 µl (50 ng) of 16S rDNA purified PCR products were digested by 1.5 U of three different restriction enzymes HaeIII, MspI, HinfI (New England Biolabs, UK) according to manufacturer's instruction and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. The resulting digested fragments together with 100 bp ladder (Merck Genei, India) were resolved by gel electrophoresis at 60 V on 2% agarose gels in 1×TAE buffer containing 10 µg/ml of EtBr. The gel profile obtained were analysed by considering, the character state "1" for clearly detected bands in the gel track and assigned "0" if it was absent or impossible to determine. The data matrix thus generated was calculated by Dice similarity coefficient. Each pairwise comparison was constructed from the similarity matrix by the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) using DICE similarity coefficient and the TreePlot program in NTSYSpc 2.02e analysis package (Applied Biostatistics Inc., New York).

BOX-PCR fingerprinting

The fingerprinting of antagonistic bacterial isolates was performed by repetitive extragenic palindromic-PCR (rep-PCR). The rep-PCR was carried out by using the BOX-A1R primer (5'-CTACGGCAAGGCGACGCTGACG-3') (Mishra et al. 2015). The PCR product obtained was subjected to

electrophoresis with a 500 bp DNA ladder (Merck Genei, India) using 2% agarose gel in 1×TAE buffer containing 10 µg/ml of EtBr. The generated fingerprints were further analysed by hierarchical clustering using DICE similarity coefficient in Phoretix 1D Pro gel analysis software (TotalLab Ltd, Newcastle upon Tyne, England).

Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis

For the 16S rDNA identification, the rhizobacteria were selected based on the chitinase activity, ARDRA and BOX-PCR fingerprinting using the facility at Scigenom Labs Pvt. Ltd. (Cochin, India). The raw forward and reverse sequences obtained after sequencing of the isolates were analysed by Sequence Scanner 2.0 software (Applied Biosystems) to filter out the low-quality base calls. The low-quality base calls were trimmed from both the sequences and aligned to remove the overlap regions. Then the contigs generated were assembled and screened for chimeras using DECIPHER software (Wright et al. 2012). The 16S rDNA sequences generated after screening were identified by EzBioCloud 16S database (Yoon et al. 2017) and submitted to the GenBank. The 16S rDNA gene sequences of antagonistic bacterial isolates along with their closest homology sequences retrieved from NCBI GeneBank were aligned by using multiple sequence alignment CLUSTAL W algorithm executed in MEGA 6 software (Tamura et al. 2011). These aligned sequences were used to construct the phylogenetic tree using neighbour-joining (NJ) method by MEGA 6 program and evolutionary distances were computed with the help of Kimura's 2 parameter model (Kimura 1980). Bootstrap analysis with 1000 replications using p-distance model was performed to estimate the confidence of a particular clade (Felsenstein 1985).

Sequences submitted to GenBank database

The 36 nucleotide sequences of 16S rDNA of rhizobacterial isolates from Assam tea estates were submitted in GenBank under NCBI accession no. KJ767521-KJ767524 and KX986579-KX986611. The 12 chitinase gene sequences were submitted under GenBank accession no. KY172956-60, KY273605-08, KY112753, KY288868, KY312499.

Data analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicates to calculate the mean values and data were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. The isolates showing antagonistic activity against the different fungal pathogens were represented as Venn diagram using the multiple dataset analysis features of VENNTURE software.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: The sequence analysis during the current study are available in the in GenBank repository under NCBI accession no. KJ767521-KJ767524, KX986579-KX986611, KY172956-60, KY273605-08, KY112753, KY288868, KY312499.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding: This work was supported by Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Govt. of India under RGYI scheme (Grant No. BT/PR6011/GBD/27/379/2012).

Authors' contributions: JD and DT designed the experiments. JD performed the laboratory experiments and data analysis. JD and DT wrote the manuscript and both the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank DBT, Govt of India for funding. The authors would like to thank Director, IASST, Guwahati, Assam, India, for providing necessary facilities for this work.

References

Abe SS, Hashi I, Masunaga T, Yamamoto S, Honna T, Wakatsuki T (2006) Soil profile alteration in a brown forest soil under high-input tea cultivation. *Plant Product Sci* 9:457-461

Alekseeva T, Alekseev A, Xu RK, Zhao AZ, Kalinin P (2011) Effect of soil acidification induced by a tea plantation on chemical and mineralogical properties of Alfisols in eastern China. *Environ Geochem Health* 33:137-148.

Aronson NN, Halloran BA, Alexeyev MF, Zhou XE, Wang Y, Meehan EJ, Chen L (2006) Mutation of a conserved tryptophan in the chitin-binding cleft of *Serratia marcescens* chitinase A enhances transglycosylation, *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 70:243-251.

Baby, U.I (2002) An overview of blister blight disease of tea and its control. *J Plant Crops* 30:1-12.

Beneduzi A, Ambrosini A, Passaglia LMP (2012) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): Their potential as antagonists and biocontrol agents. *Genet Mol Biol* 35:1044-1051

Desmyttere H, Deweer C, Muchembled J, Sahmer K, Jacquin J, Coutte F, Jacques P (2019) Antifungal activities of *Bacillus subtilis* lipopeptides to two *Venturia inaequalis* strains possessing different tebuconazole sensitivity. *Front Microbiol* 10:2327. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02327

Dudenhoffer JH, Scheu S, Jousset A (2016) Systemic enrichment of antifungal traits in the rhizosphere microbiome after pathogen attack. *J Ecol* 104:1566-1575.

Dutta J, Handique PJ, Thakur D (2015) Assessment of culturable tea rhizobacteria isolated from tea estates of Assam, India for growth promotion in commercial tea cultivars. *Front Microbiol* 6:1252. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01252

- Dutta J, Thakur D (2017) Evaluation of multifarious plant growth promoting traits, antagonistic potential and phylogenetic affiliation of rhizobacteria associated with commercial tea plants grown in Darjeeling, India. Plos one 12(8): e0182302. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal>
- El-Sayed WS, Akhkha A, El-Naggar MY, Elbadry M (2014) In vitro antagonistic activity, plant growth promoting traits and phylogenetic affiliation of rhizobacteria associated with wild plants grown in arid soil. Front Microbiol 5:651. doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00651
- Fan ZY, Miao CP, Qiao XG, Zheng YK, Chen HH, Chen YW, et al. (2016) Diversity, distribution, and antagonistic activities of rhizobacteria of *Panax notoginseng*. J Ginseng Res 40:97-104
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783-791
- Fierer N, Jackson RB (2006) The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. PNAS 103: 626-631
- Fierer N, Leff JW, Adams BJ, Nielsen UN, Bates ST, Lauber CL, Owens S, Gilbert JA, Wall DH, Caporaso GJ. (2012) Cross-biome metagenomic analyses of soil microbes. PNAS 109:21390-21395
- Gutierrez-Roma MI, Holguin-Melendez F, Dunn MF, Guillen-Navarro K, Palacios GH (2015) Antifungal activity of *Serratia marcescens* CFFSUR-B2 purified chitinolytic enzymes and prodigiosin against *Mycosphaerella fijiensis*, causal agent of black sigatoka in banana (*Musa* spp.). BioControl. doi:10.1007/s10526-015-9655-6
- Hazarika LK, Bhuyan M, Hazarika BN (2009) Insect Pests of Tea and Their Management. Annu Rev Entomol 54:267-84.
- Jenkins SN, Waite IS, Blackburn A, Husband R, Rushton SP, Manning DC, O'Donnell AG (2009) Actinobacterial community dynamics in long term managed grasslands. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 95:319-334.
- Juárez-Hernández EO, Casados-Vázquez LE, Briebe LG, Torres-Larios A, Jimenez-Sandoval P, Barboza-Corona JE (2019) The crystal structure of the chitinase ChiA74 of *Bacillus thuringiensis* has a multidomain assembly. Sci reports 9:2591
- Khan N, Martínez-Hidalgo P, Ice TA, Maymon M, Humm EA, Nejat N, Sanders ER, Kaplan D, Hirsch AM (2018) Antifungal activity of *Bacillus* species against *Fusarium* and analysis of the potential mechanisms used in biocontrol. Front Microbiol 9:2363. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02363
- Khosravi H, Dolatabad HK (2019) Identification and molecular characterization of *Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Azotobacter salinestris* using ARDRA, REP, ERIC, and BOX. Mol Biol Reports. doi:10.1007/s11033-019-05133-7

- Kim WI, Cho WK, Kim SN, Chu H, Ryu KY, Yun JC, Park CS (2011) Genetic diversity of cultivable plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in Korea. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 21:777-790.
- Kimura MA (1980) Simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *J Mol Evol* 16:111-120
- Liu J, Cui X, Liu Z, Guo Z, Yu Z, Yao Q, Sui Y, Jin J, Liu X and Wang G (2019) The diversity and geographic distribution of cultivable *Bacillus*-like bacteria across black soils of Northeast China. *Front Microbiol.* 10:1424. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01424
- Mishra RK, Pandey BK, Pathak N, Zeeshan M (2015) BOX-PCR- and ERIC-PCR-based genotyping and phylogenetic correlation among *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates associated with wilt disease in *Psidium guajava* L. *Biocatal Agri Biotechnol* 4:25-32.
- Myers N, Russel MA, Cristina, MG, Gustavo, ABF, Jennifer K (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403:853-858.
- Orikoshi H, Nakayama S, Miyamoto K, Hanato C, Yasuda M, Inamori Y, Tsujibo H (2005) Roles of four chitinases (ChiA, ChiB, ChiC, and ChiD) in the chitin degradation system of marine bacterium *Alteromonas* sp. strain O-7. *Appl Env Microbiol* 71:811-1815.
- Oyeleye A, Normi YM (2018) Chitinase: diversity, limitations, and trends in engineering for suitable applications. *Biosci Reports* 38. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20180323>
- Roberts WK, Selitrennikoff CP (1988) Plant and bacterial chitinases differ in antifungal activity. *J. Gen. Microbiol* 134:169-176.
- Rosenblueth M, Martínez-Romero E (2006) Bacterial endophytes and their interactions with hosts. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 19: 827-837.
- Rousk, J., Bååth, E., Brookes, P. Lauber CL, Lozupone C, Caporaso GJ, Knight R, Fierer N (2010) Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *ISME* 4:1340-1351
- Shoebitz M, Ribaudó CM, Pardo MA, Cantore ML, Ciampi L, Cura JA (2009) Plant growth promoting properties of a strain of *Enterobacter ludwigii* isolated from *Lolium perenne* rhizosphere. 41:1768-1774.
- Shrestha A, Sultana R, Chae, JC, Kim K, Lee KJ (2015) *Bacillus thuringiensis* C25 which is rich in cell wall degrading enzymes efficiently controls lettuce drop caused by *Sclerotinia minor*. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 142:577-589.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 28:2731-2739

- Van Loon, LC (2007) Plant responses to plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 119, 243-254
- Williamson N, Brian P, Wellington EMH (2000) Molecular detection of bacterial and streptomycete chitinases in the environment. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 78:315-321.
- Wright ES, Yilmaz LS, Noguera DR (2012) DECIPHER, a search-based approach to chimera identification for 16S rRNA sequences. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 78: 717-725.
- Wu Y, Zeng J, Zhu Q, Zhang Z, Lin X (2017) pH is the primary determinant of the bacterial community structure in agricultural soils impacted by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon pollution. *Sci reports* 7:40093.
- Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y, Seo H, Chun J (2017) Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 67:1613-1617
- Zarei M, Aminzadeh S, Zolgharnein H, Safahieh A, Daliri M, Noghabi KA, Ghoroghi A, Motallebi A (2011) Characterization of a chitinase with antifungal activity from a native *Serratia marcescens* B4A. *Brazilian J Microbiol* 42: 1017-1029.
- Zhang C, Liu G, Xue S, Song Z (2011) Rhizosphere soil microbial activity under different vegetation types on the Loess Plateau, China. *Geoderma* 161:115-125

Tables

Table 1: *In vitro* antifungal assay of rhizobacteria isolated from rhizosphere soil of different commercial tea estates of Assam, India against fungal phytopathogens.

Sl No.	Strain Code	<i>N. sphaerica</i> (KJ767520)	<i>P. theae</i> (ITCC 6599)	<i>C. eragrostidis</i> (ITCC 6429)	<i>G. cingulata</i> (MTCC 2033)	<i>R. solani</i> (MTCC 4633)	<i>F. oxysporum</i> (MTCC 284)
		Growth inhibition (%)*					
1	KH45	28.6±0.1	NA	16.6±0.1	NA	25±0.1	27.5±0.3
2	HK21	23.6±0.3	NA	18±0.2	10.5±0.1	22.5±0.1	21.5±0.3
3	SN30	NA	7.8±0.2	NA	27.5±0.3	NA	18.5±0.1
4	TG30	8.5±0.2	13.1±0.1	NA	NA	NA	22.5±0.2
5	TG24	34.2±0.3	22±0.1	NA	NA	25±0.3	40±0.5
6	TG27	17.9±0.2	10.5±0.1	NA	NA	5±0.1	NA
7	HK25	NA	32.6±0.1	NA	29.5±0.3	NA	27.5±0.5
8	DT1	NA	2.6±0.1	NA	5±0.1	NA	NA
9	TT6	26.1±0.1	NA	NA	28.7±0.2	NA	35±0.1
10	KH34	20.5±0.1	5.2±0.1	NA	NA	NA	30±0.3
11	HK54	17.7±0.2	23±0.1	26.6±0.2	NA	20±0.1	NA
12	DT15	NA	8.2±0.1	NA	NA	14.5±0.1	NA
13	HK27	22.8±0.3	NA	NA	15±0.1	NA	17.5±0.2
Sl No.	Strain Code	<i>N. sphaerica</i> (KJ767520)	<i>P. theae</i> (ITCC 6599)	<i>C. eragrostidis</i> (ITCC 6429)	<i>G. cingulata</i> (MTCC 2033)	<i>R. solani</i> (MTCC 4633)	<i>F. oxysporum</i> (MTCC 284)
		Growth inhibition (%)*					
14	KH49	22.3±0.2	NA	24±0.1	22±0.1	NA	37.5±0.5
15	SN22	25.1±0.2	NA	25.1±0.2	22.1±0.2	18.3±0.2	27.3±0.3
16	HK28	25.1±0.1	26.3±0.3	26±0.1	17±0.1	20±0.1	23±0.1
17	HK31	NA	7.9±0.1	25.6±0.3	NA	21±0.4	NA
18	SN27	7.1±0.4	NA	NA	10±0.1	NA	NA
19	SN18	28.6±0.1	29.6±0.1	23.3±0.1	22.5±0.1	25±0.1	32±0.1
20	HK8	13.6±0.3	NA	7.6±0.2	12.5±0.1	NA	NA
21	SN25	31±0.1	27.8±0.2	26±0.1	22.50.1	21±0.3	26.5±0.1
22	HK18	NA	13.1±0.1	NA	17.5±0.3	NA	22.5±0.2
23	HK36	NA	18.4±0.2	22±0.1	20±0.1	NA	27.5±0.3
24	DT18	14.9±0.2	NA	NA	10.5±0.1	7±0.1	NA
25	DT2	NA	2.6±0.1	NA	NA	5.1±0.2	17.5±0.5

26	HK33	NA	12.6±0.1	NA	15±0.1	NA	NA
27	HK51	NA	5.2±0.1	NA	NA	NA	15±0.1
28	SN29	38.5±0.2	25.2±0.1	35±0.4	30±0.5	25±0.1	NA
Sl No.	Strain Code	<i>N. sphaerica</i> (KJ767520)	<i>P. theae</i> (ITCC 6599)	<i>C. eragrostidis</i> (ITCC 6429)	<i>G. cingulata</i> (MTCC 2033)	<i>R. solani</i> (MTCC 4633)	<i>F. oxysporum</i> (MTCC 284)
		Growth inhibition (%)*					
29	HK20	NA	12±0.1	NA	NA	10±0.1	16.6±0.2
30	DT13	NA	5.2±0.1	NA	NA	17.5±0.1	NA
31	HK26	28.8±0.3	21.5±0.1	12.3±0.2	22±0.1	NA	14.6±0.1
32	HK23	NA	23.6±0.5	NA	NA	5±0.1	NA
33	SN28	5.1±0.2	NA	NA	NA	7.5±0.5	NA
34	SN23	15.1±0.1	16±0.1	NA	NA	20±0.1	NA
35	HK38	NA	17.9±0.1	11.6±0.3	NA	NA	NA
36	HK37	17.1±0.4	NA	NA	10±0.1	NA	NA
37	HK17	23.7±0.1	19.7±0.1	25.2±0.1	17.5±0.1	16±0.1	37±0.6
38	HK9	3.8±0.1	NA	NA	NA	NA	12.5±0.1
39	SN26	5.9±0.1	NA	NA	14.5±0.1	NA	NA
40	HK19	17.9±0.1	NA	6.6±0.1	NA	NA	NA
41	SN24	NA	9±0.1	NA	NA	10±0.1	12±0.1
42	HK30	12.8±0.1	19.5±0.7	NA	NA	NA	NA
43	DT9	3.5±0.2	NA	NA	10.5±0.1	NA	NA
Sl No.	Strain Code	<i>N. sphaerica</i> (KJ767520)	<i>P. theae</i> (ITCC 6599)	<i>C. eragrostidis</i> (ITCC 6429)	<i>G. cingulata</i> (MTCC 2033)	<i>R. solani</i> (MTCC 4633)	<i>F. oxysporum</i> (MTCC 284)
		Growth inhibition (%)*					
44	HK32	31.6±0.5	NA	NA	33.3±0.2	14.6±0.1	27.5±0.3
45	HK2	23.1±0.2	36.8±0.3	NA	NA	NA	NA
46	KH18	NA	22.5±0.1	NA	17.5±0.3	NA	15±0.1
47	HK29	29.3±0.2	12.1±0.2	NA	NA	NA	NA
48	TT19	30.3±0.2	31.5±0.1	18.5±0.3	27.5±0.1	25±0.1	23.3±0.2
49	TG1	42.6±0.2	32±0.1	32.5±0.3	34±0.1	28.5±0.1	43.2±0.1
50	DT23	5.1±0.1	NA	4.3±0.1	NA	NA	NA

*Growth inhibition values are given as mean $\pm$ SD (n = 3)

NA: No Activity

Table 2. Amino acid sequence similarities of the chitinase gene of rhizobacteria with their activity and 3D structures in the PDB protein data bank.

Strain Code	GenBank accession no.	PDB Top blast match	Similarity (%)	Gene Name	PDB ID	Activity	Structure	Reference
HK26	KY172957	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	99%	ChiA	1RD6	<i>Serratia marcescens</i> chiA serves during chitin hydrolysis		Aronson et al., 2006
HK28	KY172958							
HK32	KY172959							
HK21	KY172956							
TG1	KY273607							
HK17	KY112753							
HK36	KY288868							
KH49	KY172960							
SN18	KY27360	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	100%	ChiA74	6BT9	TIM-barrel/CID catalytic domain of ChiA74 of <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> harbors the conserved motif in most family 18 chitinase involved in chitin hydrolysis		Juárez-Hernández et al., 2019
TG24	KY273608							
SN25	KY273606							
TT19	KY312499							

Table 3: Molecular identification of 16S rRNA gene of representative antagonistic rhizobacteria with their sequence accession numbers and sample collection site from different commercial tea estates of Assam, India.

Sl. No.	Isolate Code	GenBank accession no.	Base pair length	Top blast match with accession no. (EzBioCloud 16S Database)	similarity (%)	Sampling site
1	HK2	KX986582	1417	<i>Bacillus safensis</i> FO-36b ASJD01000027	100	Hatikhuli tea estate
2	HK8	KX986583	1407	<i>Bacillus mobilis</i> 0711P9-1 MACF01000036	100	Hatikhuli tea estate
3	HK9	KX986584	1410	<i>Enterobacter chuandaensis</i> 090028 MK049966	99.7	Hatikhuli tea estate
4	HK17	KX986585	1350	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> ATCC 14579 AE016877	98.7	Hatikhuli tea estate
5	HK18	KX986586	1410	<i>Serratia marcescens</i> KRED AB061685	99.8	Hatikhuli tea estate
6	HK19	KX986597	747	<i>Serratia marcescens</i> SmUNAM836 CP012685	98.9	Hatikhuli tea estate
7	HK20	KX986598	763	<i>Enterobacter sichuanensis</i> WCHEC11597 POVL01000141	99.5	Hatikhuli tea estate
8	HK21	KX986587	1418	<i>Serratia marcescens</i> ATCC 13880 JMPQ01000005	99.3	Hatikhuli tea estate
9	HK23	KX986588	1410	<i>Bacillus circulans</i> ATCC 4513 AY724690	99.7	Hatikhuli tea estate
10	HK26	KX986599	649	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> LMG 2683 Z96079	97.4	Hatikhuli tea estate
11	HK28	KX986589	1405	<i>Enterobacter chuandaensis</i> 090028 MK049966	99.9	Hatikhuli tea estate
12	HK30	KX986600	763	<i>Enterobacter ludwigii</i> EN-119 JTLO01000001	99.5	Hatikhuli tea estate
Sl. No.	Isolate Code	GenBank accession no.	Base pair length	Top blast match with accession no. (EzBioCloud 16S Database)	similarity (%)	Sampling site
13	HK31	KX986601	739	<i>Lysinibacillus xylanilyticus</i> DSM 23493 LFXJ01000007	99.2	Hatikhuli tea estate
14	HK32	KX986590	734	<i>Enterobacter bugandensis</i> EB-247 FYBI01000003	99.5	Hatikhuli tea estate
15	HK33	KX986602	816	<i>Enterobacter sichuanensis</i> WCHEC11597 POVL01000141	99.8	Hatikhuli tea estate
16	HK36	KX986603	751	<i>Bacillus zhangzhouensis</i> DW5-4 JOTP01000061	99.1	Hatikhuli tea estate
17	HK37	KX986604	881	<i>Bacillus aryabhatai</i> B8W22 EF114313	100	Hatikhuli tea estate
18	HK38	KX986605	813	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> ATCC 14579 AE016877	99.5	Hatikhuli tea estate
19	DT2	KX986595	777	<i>Lysinibacillus fusiformis</i> NBRC 15717 AB271743	99.9	Difaloo tea estate
20	DT9	KX986579	1413	<i>Bacillus xiamenensis</i> HYC-10 AMSH01000114	99.9	Difaloo tea estate
21	DT13	KX986580	1414	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> ATCC 7061 ABRX01000007	99.3	Difaloo tea estate
22	DT18	KX986581	837	<i>Bacillus toyonensis</i> BCT-7112 CP006863	91.5	Difaloo tea estate

23	DT23	KX986596	811	<i>Bacillus paramycoides</i> NH24A2 MAOI01000012	99.9	Difaloo tea estate
24	SN18	KX986607	731	<i>Bacillus proteolyticus</i> MACH01000033	99.9	Sonapur tea estate
25	SN22	KX986591	1412	<i>Bacillus marisflavi</i> JCM 11544 LGUE01000011	97.2	Sonapur tea estate
Sl. No.	Isolate Code	GenBank accession no.	Base pair length	Top blast match with accession no. (EzBioCloud 16S Database)	similarity (%)	Sampling site
26	SN23	KX986608	782	<i>Bacillus nitratireducens</i> 4049 KJ812430	99.6	Sonapur tea estate
27	SN25	KX986609	794	<i>Bacillus paranthracis</i> Mn5 MACE01000012	100	Sonapur tea estate
28	SN28	KX986592	1402	<i>Bacillus wiedmannii</i> FSL W8-0169 LOBC01000053	100	Sonapur tea estate
29	SN29	KJ767523	1336	<i>Bacillus pseudomycoides</i> DSM 12442 ACMX01000133	99.6	Sonapur tea estate
30	SN30	KX986593	1392	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. AFS092012 NVOR01000041	99.9	Sonapur tea estate
31	TG1	KJ767522	1341	<i>Enterobacter lignolyticus</i> SCF1 CP002272	99.6	Tocklai tea growing area
32	TG24	KX986594	1410	<i>Bacillus albus</i> N35-10-2 MAOE01000087	100	Tocklai tea growing area
33	TG27	KX986610	823	<i>Bacillus altitudinis</i> 41KF2b ASJC01000029	100	Tocklai tea growing area
34	TT6	KJ767524	1315	<i>Burkholderia ambifaria</i> AMMD CP000442	99.3	Teok tea estate
35	TT19	KX986611	1415	<i>Bacillus proteolyticus</i> TD42 MACH01000033	99.9	Teok tea estate
36	KH45	KJ767521	1284	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> JCM 5962 BAMA01000316	99.7	Khetri tea estate

Figures

Figure 1

UPGMA dendrogram generated by Dice similarity coefficient index from ARDRA banding patterns of 50 antagonistic rhizobacteria using NTSYS 2.02. The scale on the x-axis refers to the similarity coefficient.

Figure 2

Dendrogram generated using Dice similarity coefficient index from BOX-PCR genomic fingerprints of 50 antagonistic rhizobacteria using Phoretix 1D software.

Figure 3

Phylogenetic tree showing the evolutionary relationship between selected potential antagonistic rhizobacteria and closest type strains based on the 16S rDNA sequences by NJ-method using Kimura-2 parameter model. The bar represents 0.05 substitutions per site, bootstrap values (n = 1000) are displayed.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryMaterials.docx](#)