

A novel anatomical titanium plate versus the reconstruction plate for quadrilateral plate fractures of the acetabulum: A propensity-matched cohort study

Haiyang Wu

General Hospital of Central Theater Command

Ranran Shang

General Hospital of Central Theater Command

Ximing Liu

General Hospital of Central Theater Command

Chengjing Song

General Hospital of Central Theater Command

Yanzhao Chen

General Hospital of Central Theater Command

xianhua cai (✉ lzgkcxh@163.com)

PLA Middle Military Command General Hospital

Research article

Keywords: Acetabular fracture; Quadrilateral; Fracture reduction; Fixation; Reconstruction plate; Models, Anatomic

Posted Date: March 3rd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.21289/v2>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research on May 14th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-020-01659-w>.

Abstract

Background Surgical treatment of acetabular fractures involving quadrilateral plate is a challenge to orthopaedic surgeons. We have developed a novel fixation technique using a special shaped reconstruction plate combined with several buttress screws of quadrilateral plate which was also called Dynamic Anterior Plate-Screw System for Quadrilateral plate (DAPSQ) to treat acetabular fractures involving quadrilateral plate since 2005(RP group). And the long-term follow-up results have confirmed the effectiveness and safety of this technique. After 2016, anatomical titanium plate (ATP group) of DAPSQ have been designed and applied. The aim of the study was to compare the clinical efficacy of anatomical plate and the reconstruction plate of DAPSQ in the treatment of quadrilateral plate fractures.

Methods We led a propensity-matched cohort study of quadrilateral plate fractures. Twenty-two patients were included in the ATP group during the inclusion period (2016-2018), and were matched to 22 cases in our database of RP group (2008-2016). The primary outcome measures were quality of reduction and functional outcomes. Intraoperative conditions were also compared. Results Of these 22 consecutive patients in ATP group, the mean age was 46.7 years and the most common fracture pattern was a both-column fracture (12cases, 54.5%) according to Letournel-Judet classification. The mean follow-up period was 23.1 months (range 12-37). There were no significant differences between the two groups with regards the quality of reduction using the Matta radiological criteria and functional outcomes evaluated by the modified Merle d'Aubigné score($P > 0.05$). Compared with the RP group, the ATP group has a shorter operation time(245.1 minutes vs. 286.8 minutes, $P = 0.020$), less intraoperative blood loss (1136.4 mL vs. 1777.3mL, $P = 0.014$) and transfusion (780.9 vs. 1256.8mL, $P = 0.035$). The complication rate was 18.2% in ATP group, and there was no significantly difference compared with the RP group (36.4%)($P > 0.05$). None of the cases in the two groups had quadrilateral screws entering the hip or implant failure.

Conclusion s The fixation of anatomical titanium plate in quadrilateral plate fractures showed a similar result to the reconstruction plate, in terms of quality of reduction and functional outcome. The anatomical titanium plate of DAPSQ has the advantages of short operation time, less intraoperative bleeding and blood transfusion, and it is worth further promotion and research.

Background

With the development of industrialization and urbanization in China, high-energy injuries caused by traffic or construction accidents are gradually increasing, and the incidence of acetabular fractures is also increasing year by year[1]. In order to allow early mobilization, improve functional outcomes and decrease the risk of post-traumatic arthritis, operative reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) has been considered as the gold standard for the treatment of displaced acetabular fractures[2,3]. Letournel-Judet classification is one of the most widely used clinical classification of acetabular fractures. According to the acetabular double-column theory, acetabular fractures are divided into 10 categories, including 5 simple fractures and 5 complex fractures[2]. It is worth noting that in addition to the simple anterior or posterior wall fractures, the other 8 types of acetabular fractures may involve an important anatomical structure called quadrilateral plate. The quadrilateral plate is located on the inner surface of the acetabulum, deep in

position, thin in bone, and adjacent to a large number of peripheral nerves and blood vessels. When suffering from high-energy trauma, medial subluxation of the femoral head and dome impaction, as well as a high degree of comminution fractures are common in this area. Chang et al.[4] noted that the reduction of the quadrilateral plate fracture played an important role in the surgical results of acetabular fractures, and more than 80% of implant failure occurred in this area. Although quadrilateral plate fractures are not formally considered as a separate parameter of acetabular fracture classification, they are frequently encountered in various fracture patterns and gradually attract the attention of orthopaedic surgeons and even considered to be an important factor affecting the complexity of surgery[5,6].

Over the past decade, with the renovation of internal fixation technologies, various methods have been described to address this particular fracture fragment, including using a 1/3 tubular plate[7], T-shaped[8] or L-shaped plate[9] across the pelvic brim as spring plate to buttress the medial wall, or using a long infrapectineal buttress plate[10]. These internal fixation devices are not entirely without any limitations, in most cases, it is extremely difficult to fix the quadrilateral plate especially in the absolute “dangerous zone” directly by screws, and also exist a high risk of screws penetrating the hip by mistake. Although many scholars have proposed several methods such as inserting screws under direct vision after hip capsulotomy or increasing fluoroscopic to determine the location of screws etc, the above methods will inevitably prolong the operation time and increase the risk of bleeding and infection[11,12].

Since 2005, our department has innovatively proposed the method of dynamic anterior plate screw system for quadrilateral area (DAPSQ) which was composed of a specially shaped reconstruction plate and several buttress screws of quadrilateral plate (quadrilateral screws) to treat acetabular fractures involving quadrilateral plate. This fixation method has been patented in China (No. ZL 2013 2 0106378.0) and the long-term follow-up results have observed that it is an effective and safe choice for treatment of quadrilateral plate fractures[13]. However, the reconstruction plate of DAPSQ needs temporary shaping during operation. The proportion and torsion angle of each part depends on the experience of surgeons. It is difficult to form a unified standard and may also increase surgical time and intraoperative bleeding. In order to overcome the above problems, our team has performed many technical innovations and designed a set of anatomical titanium plate of DAPSQ according to the acetabular anatomic parameters of Chinese population.

The primary objective of this study are to present surgical techniques of DAPSQ and compare the clinical efficacy of anatomical titanium plate and the reconstruction plate of DAPSQ in the treatment of quadrilateral plate fractures. The secondary objectives are to compare the intraoperative conditions including surgical time, bleeding, and blood transfusion and postoperative complications between the two groups.

Patients And Methods

Patients

After getting approval from our institution's ethical committee (ethical review number: 2018024-1), we led a retrospective case-matched cohort study at our Level 1 trauma center of military general hospital between May 2016 to May 2018. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) all types of acetabular fractures involving the quadrilateral plate, (2) treated with anatomical titanium plate of DAPSQ, (3) age > 18 years, (4) fresh fractures. Exclusions included open or pathologic acetabular fractures, patients with pre-existing osteoarthritis of the affected hip. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Twenty-two consecutive acetabular fracture patients were treated with anatomical titanium plate of DAPSQ (ATP group) formed group 1. Then, the fractures in group 1 were matched according to age, gender, fracture pattern and surgical approach, to similar cases from our databases which included more than 140 cases treated with the reconstruction plate of DAPSQ from January 2008 to January 2016. This pool of cases was then used to randomly choose individual cases to create a 1:1 proportion, and this matched cohort was group 2 (RP group).

Surgical technique

Preoperative Management

The initial management of acetabular trauma followed the principles of the Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) and the most important was to keep the stability of vital signs[14]. All injuries were evaluated preoperatively with radiographs and CT scans. Acetabular fractures were categorized according to Judet and Letournel classification[2]. Skeletal traction was applied via the femoral condyles or tibial tubercle in all patients. One day before the operation, autologous blood transfusion machine and heterogeneous blood(1000mL) were prepared.

Operation procedures

All fractures were treated by 2 senior orthopedic surgeons on a radiolucent table using a standard ilioinguinal approach described by Letournel[15] or combination with the Kocher-Langenbeck approach. Through the "second window" of the ilioinguinal approach, the acetabular anterior column, pelvic boundary and the upper part of the quadrilateral plate could be directly exposed or touched. The first step was to reduce the medial dislocation of the femoral head, and the restoration of the continuity of the pelvic ring followed a principle of proximal to distal. Next, push quadrilateral plate fracture fragment into its bed until a smooth quadrilateral surface with no external step off was obtained.

ATP group

After the reduction was accomplished in the ATP group, an appropriate mode of the anatomical titanium plate of DAPSQ was selected and placed on the superior arcuate line, and the ends extended toward the iliac wing and the superior pubic ramus (Fig.1). The titanium plate was divided into three parts: the iliac region, the quadrilateral region and the pubic region according to the placement trajectory on the pelvis (Fig.1). We have preliminary designed three models based on the total anatomical length of the placement trajectory and the different proportion of the length in the three regions measured by Chinese

population (Fig.2). Before screws insertion, both ends of the titanium plate were up-warped, and not firmly attached to the bone surface. But during the screws insertion, the titanium plate could gradually firmly attach to the bone surface and detailed screws placement methods showed in Fig 3. The key surgical steps were as follows: Two or more fixation screws on the iliac and pubic region should first be fixed to stabilize the acetabular anterior column. Then with the help of a 4.5mm screwdriver, quadrilateral screws were inserted along the pelvic brim and parallel to the surface of quadrilateral plate under direct vision, and only the 1/3 to 1/2 transverse diameter of the quadrilateral screw was screwed into the bone to avoid penetrating the hip. And during the process of screws insertion, the torsion and elastic recoil of the plate could provide a strong holding force for quadrilateral screws to block the inward displacement of quadrilateral plate. Also, make sure the distal end of the quadrilateral screws extended at least 10mm beyond the fracture line.

RP group

A reconstruction plate was used in patients of the RP group. The shaping steps were as follows: First, select a 12 to 16 hole arc-shaped reconstruction plate based on the total anatomical length of the placement trajectory. Then both ends of the plate were reverse twisted and upturned by using a bender and screwdriver. The torsion angle of the iliac and pubic regions was higher than the radius of the bone surface, so the both ends of plate were upturned after placed, and the plate in the quadrilateral region slightly incline into the pelvis about 15° (Fig.4). In order to achieve the best effect of quadrilateral buttress screws, the torsion angle and the proportion of the length in the three regions of the plate should be adjusted repeatedly. And the screws insertion methods were similar to the ATP group.

In addition, if the reduction through a single ilioinguinal approach was not satisfactory or patients complicated with fractures of acetabular posterior wall, adding the Kocher-Langenbeck approach was a appropriate choice. Throughout the application of DAPSQ, obturator neurovascular bundle were identified and protected. Fracture reduction and implant positioning was carefully checked using fluoroscopy prior to closure of the wound.

Postoperative Management

Intravenously administered antibiotics were continued for 24 hours. The drainage tube was removed within 3 days (24h drainage flow < 20 mL). Patients started early rehabilitation after awoke from anesthesia and were instructed to non-weight bearing exercises such as passive and active ipsilateral hip flexion or extension motion on the affected limb for 4-6 weeks. Then protected weight-bearing exercises were encouraged till 8-12 weeks, and gradually progress to full-weight bearing at 12 weeks.

Method of assessment and data collection

Gender, age, fracture pattern, mechanism of injury, fracture side, concomitant injuries, time between injury and surgery, surgical time, intraoperative bleeding, blood transfusion, hospital stay time were all collected. Complications including the deep venous thrombosis, sciatic nerve problem, lateral femoral cutaneous

nerve injury, surgical site infections, posttraumatic arthritis, heterotopic ossification, screws penetrating into the hip joint cavity and implant failure were documented.

The immediate postoperative radiographs (anteroposterior of the pelvis and Judet views of the affected acetabulum) and 3D CT reconstruction were reviewed and the reduction was evaluated using the Matta radiological criteria by 2 senior orthopedic surgeons[16]. The Matta grading scores were classified as anatomic(0-1mm), imperfect (2-3mm), or poor (>3mm) based on the maximal displacement on all views.

Regular outpatient review and follow-up were performed 1, 2, 3, 6, 12 months after surgery and then yearly thereafter. Changes in clinical function, radiographic progress, fracture healing, and complications were recorded. Functional outcomes were evaluated by the modified Merle d'Aubigné score[17] assessed at the last follow-up and categorized as excellent (18 points), good (15-17 points), fair (13 or 14 points), or poor (<13 points).

Statistical analysis

Data was coded and analyzed with the statistical package SPSS version 19.0(IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviations and categorical variables with absolute frequencies and percentages. Independent-samples *t* test was used to compare quantitative variables. Chi-square test or Fisher exact test was used to compare categorical variables. While the ranked data were analysed with Mann-Whitney U rank sum test. Cohen's Kappa Index was measured to estimate the inter-observer agreement. A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographics

Twenty-two patients, including 18 males and 4 females with an average age of 46.7 years (range, 23 to 64 years) were treated with anatomical titanium plate of DAPSQ in the inclusion period. According to Letournel-Judet classification, there were 12 Associated Both Column, 2 anterior column, 4 Anterior Column and Posterior Hemitransverse, 2 T-shaped and 1 transverse with posterior wall fractures. Randomization resulted in a matching ratio of 1:1 (Table 1).

Patients were evaluated in terms of their demographic variables. There were no significant differences between the groups with regards to gender ($P = 0.176$), age ($P = 0.202$), mechanism of injury ($P = 0.646$), fracture side ($P = 0.353$), concomitant injuries ($P = 0.918$) and mean time between injury and surgery ($P = 0.799$). Patient demographics and characteristics are shown in Table 2.

Intraoperative conditions:

All patients underwent surgery through single ilioinguinal approach(77.3%) or combined with Kocher-Langenbeck approach(22.7%). There were 2 to 4 quadrilateral screws used to control the medial

displacement of quadrilateral plate in all patients. For RP group, a 14 or 16 hole reconstruction plate was most commonly used, while type I anatomical plate was most used in ATP group.

The mean surgical time was increased in RP group (mean: 286.8 minutes; range, 185 to 397 minutes) compared with the ATP group (mean: 245.1 minutes; range, 155 to 355 minutes) with a mean difference of 41.7 minutes ($P = 0.020$). Intraoperative mean blood loss and transfusion were significantly lower in the ATP group compared with the RP group (bleeding: 1136.4 vs. 1777.3 mL, $P = 0.014$; transfusion: 780.9 vs. 1256.8 mL, $P = 0.035$). There was no significant difference in length of postoperative stay in both groups ($P = 0.612$). The mean postoperative stay was 26.8 days in RP group vs 25.7 days in ATP group. Considering that the learning curve of the new technique may affect the results, all cases in the RP group were selected after 2008, and the technology has been used in our hospital for more than 3 years.

Quality of reduction:

The reduction quality of the acetabulum was evaluated by two independent senior orthopedic surgeons according to the Matta radiological criteria. And the degree of agreement between them was 84.1%, with a kappa value of 0.68, which indicated substantial agreement. 16 cases (72.7%) were graded as anatomical reduction in the ATP group, 5 cases (22.7%) as imperfect, and 1 case (4.5%) as poor. In the RP group, 14 patients (63.6%) showed anatomical reduction, while 6 (27.3%) and 2 (9.1%) patients showed imperfect and poor reduction, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference ($P = 0.490$) (Table 2). Postoperative 3D CT reconstruction and X-ray had shown that there was no one quadrilateral screw entering the hip and no one case occurring early fracture displacement or implant failure.

Functional outcome:

The mean time of follow-up was 23.1 months in ATP group vs 26.1 months in RP group ($P = 0.260$). According to the radiological evaluation at 12 weeks of follow-up, bony healing was achieved in all patients. At the last follow-up, the functional outcome in the ATP group according to the modified Merle d'Aubigné score were excellent in 11 cases (50%), good in 9 cases (40.9%), fair in 1 case (4.5%) and poor in 1 (4.5%). While the results in RP group were excellent in 9 (40.9%), good in 9 (40.9%) and fair in 4 (18.2%). Although the excellent-to-good rate of ATP group was higher than the RP group (90.9% vs 81.8%), there was no significant difference between the two groups ($P = 0.457$). Detailed data was summarized in Table 3, and two typical cases were shown in Figs. 5 and 6.

Complications:

The mean complication rate was 36.4% in RP group which was higher compared with the ATP group (18.2%), but there was no significant difference ($P = 0.176$). In RP group, one patient presented with iliac vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, and a vena cava filter was inserted on the third day after operation. Superficial wound infection was observed in 1 patient, and were treated with antibiotics (2 weeks) and superficial wound debridement. Two cases of lateral femoral cutaneous nerve injury had recovered within two months. Four cases had developed into mild post-traumatic arthritis according to

the Kellgren-Lawrence osteoarthritis classification system[18]. In addition, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve injury (1 case) and post-traumatic arthritis (2 cases) were also observed in ATP group, and one case finally required THA at 48 months. Sciatica symptoms developed in 1 patient and recovered after nerve nutrition drugs (Vitamin B₁₂) were applied. None of the patients in two groups had heterotopic ossification or loss of reduction at the last follow-up.

Discussion

The quadrilateral plate refers to the medial wall of the acetabulum, and is not specifically considered as a parameter in most classification systems. Compared to the thick superior weight bearing area, it is a bony structure that is relatively thin and requires less force to fracture it. When high-energy injury occurs, the medial displacement of femoral head often results in the fracture of quadrilateral plate, even the central dislocation of femoral head[8-10]. And the quadrilateral plate fractures are most frequently associated with both-column, anterior column and posterior hemitransverse or T-shaped fractures. The current consensus on the treatment of acetabular fractures are to relieve pain, allow early mobilisation, restore function by restoring the normal hip anatomy. Previous studies have shown that the reduction of the quadrilateral plate fracture played an important role in the surgical results and failure to restore the quadrilateral plate with good reduction and reliable fixation would inevitably lead to a high incidence of complications such as joint dysfunction or post-traumatic arthritis[2-4]. As the presence of quadrilateral surface injury probably plays a decisive role in outcomes, optimal treatment of quadrilateral fracture is very important. On the one hand, it is necessary to restore and coincide the rotation center of the femoral head and the acetabulum. On the other hand, the fixation of quadrilateral plate needs to overcome the inward and upward force of the femoral head. However, due to the deep location, weak bones and surrounded with many important blood vessels and nerves, quadrilateral plate fracture has always been a constant challenge to orthopaedic surgeons[5,6].

Treatment options for acetabular fractures include conservative and surgical methods. Over time, prognostic indicators of outcome have been defined and this has led to an increasing trend towards operative fixation. Currently, more and more operative fixation methods for quadrilateral plate fractures are being reported. The most common methods include anterior column reconstruction titanium plate combined with lag screw in posterior column[19,20], reconstruction titanium plate of anterior and posterior column[21]. Letournel and Judet[2,15] described the fixation method of long screws inserting along the pelvic brim and through the quadrilateral plate. They also commented that this technique was limited if the quadrilateral surface was comminuted or accompanied with severe osteoporosis. Although a biomechanical study by Shazar et al.[22] has shown that periarticular long screws could increase the stability of plate fixation, it cannot be ignored that this method can always withstand the high risk of hip penetration. In most cases, screw holes in the quadrilateral region of the plate are vacant without screws. If insertion is necessary, direction of the screw should deviate from the joint surface, or a short screw less than 12mm, otherwise the screw may penetrate into the hip[10,13]. Abandoning the direct fixation or screws away from the quadrilateral plate means the stability of the fixation will decrease[22]. Although

the use of a lag screw in the posterior column improves the stability, it requires the high integrity of anterior and posterior column fragments[19,20].

In order to avoid the screws mistakenly entering the hip, Mears et al.[7] first described the technique of "buttress plate" instead of periarticular screws. An one-third tubular plate used in this fashion was bent in an oblique manner and contoured over the pelvic brim to buttress the medial acetabular wall like a spring. However, subsequent studies have found that it was difficult to provide adequate medial buttress support for all individuals, and post-operative nursing of turning-body-over could affect the stability of the fixation[9,23]. Then Farid et al.[24] introduced a method of one-third tubular plate combined with cerclage wire to treat quadrilateral plate fractures. Although the fixation effect was improved, since the cerclage wire needed to pass through the greater sciatic notch, it was easy to injure the sciatic nerve and surrounding vessels. To date, newer buttress plate constructs that span the posterior and anterior columns through the quadrilateral surface have been developed. Among them, Stryker's anatomical titanium plate such as the infrapectineal or suprapectineal quadrilateral surface buttress plates are most researched. Vitro biomechanical studies have showed that standard buttress plate fixation of acetabular fracture resulted in at least as good and, in some cases, superior to traditional forms of fixation[25, 26]. But their clinical results still need further follow-up.

Unlike the above methods, DAPSQ plate is a simple and ingenious design based on the anterior reconstructed titanium plates. The core idea of this fixation technology is elastic fixation and dynamic compression. First, quadrilateral screws were located on bone surface and fixed under direct vision through the second window of the ilioinguinal approach. Only the 1/3 to 1/2 transverse diameter of the quadrilateral screw was screwed into the bone had avoided the risk of hip penetrating. Meanwhile, because the quadrilateral screws were not on the same plane as the screws fixed to the iliac and the pubic region of DAPSQ, the torsion and elastic recoil of the plate could provide a strong holding force for the quadrilateral screws to control the medial displacement of quadrilateral plate. Besides that, 2 to 4 quadrilateral screws parallel to the surface of quadrilateral plate has formed a plane like the "bamboo raft". More importantly, our team has evaluated the biomechanical stability of DAPSQ by the finite element analysis and cadaver model simulation[27, 28]. Finite element analysis results have shown that DAPSQ fixed acetabular double-column fractures had reliable biomechanical properties. Under 500 N load of standing, the Von-Mises stress was mainly concentrated across the titanium plate-screw junction near the large notch of the ischium and the maximum stress values were far less than the yield strength of titanium materials. Biomechanical test also showed that compared with anterior reconstruction titanium plate plus 1/3 tube buttress-plate, the stability of a double-column fracture model fixed by DAPSQ was superior. Our analysis suggests that the main reason may be that the fixation of 1/3 tubular plate only provides a single point support for the quadrilateral plate, and its biomechanical stability is obviously at a disadvantage compared to the "bamboo raft" multi-point elastic support formed by quadrilateral screws of DAPSQ. The biomechanical research conducted by Zha etc.[29]also has shown that compared with the quadrilateral spring plates of T-shaped, L-shaped and H-shaped, the reconstruction plate combined with long screws had higher biomechanical stability for the treatment of quadrilateral plate fracture.

As the first propensity-matched cohort study comparing the use of anatomical titanium plate and the reconstruction plate of DAPSQ in quadrilateral plate fractures. Our data indicate that the application of anatomical titanium plate has the advantages of shorter operation time, less bleeding and transfusion. These findings can be explained by the fact that repeated shaping steps are not required in the anatomical titanium plate group, and appropriate model can be individually selected during surgery. In addition, the process of screws placement is more standardized, and corresponding matching apparatus is also designed and better utilized. One may argue that the reconstruction plate can provide more reasonable design for all individuals, so that the reconstruction plate could firmly attach to the bone surface. However, the characteristics of this technique determine that it is not the key element for the success of fixation, on the contrary, repeated shaping steps may cause the elastic strength of the plate to decrease.

No significant differences were found between the two groups with regard to the quality of reduction using the Matta radiological criteria and the functional outcomes evaluated by the modified Merle d'Aubigné score. To our knowledge, only one retrospective study reported the similar technology of buttress screws to maintain the reduction of the quadrilateral fracture[30]. Their study included 35 quadrilateral plate fractures with an average age of 35 years (range 16-68 years). The anatomical reduction was achieved in 23 cases (66%) and the rate of excellent-to-good evaluated by the modified Merle d'Aubigné score was achieved in 31 cases (89%). Compared with our study, the difference may come from the difference fracture patterns and surgical approaches. Although the technical characteristics of this study were similar to DAPSQ, that was, to control medial displacement of the quadrilateral surface on the basis of avoiding the risk of hip penetrating, the fixation method is obviously different from DAPSQ. First, we shaped the reconstruction plate in a special way so that the plate did not fully conform to the bone surface before screws insertion. During the process of screws insertion, the torsional deformation of the DAPSQ plate could provide sufficient lateral dynamic compression for quadrilateral screws to block the medial displacement of quadrilateral fracture. That's why we called the quadrilateral screws not only buttress screws, but also dynamic pressurized buttress screws. More importantly, we have drilled semi-"U" type holes parallel to the surface of quadrilateral plate under direct vision, which not only enables the quadrilateral screws partially fixed the fracture fragments, but also make the screws firmly attach to the bone surface to prevent screws from loosening or withdrawing. The design of different types of anatomic titanium plate also makes the selection of titanium plate more convenient during operation.

No significant differences were found between the two groups in the incidence of complications. As expected, no one had occurred the quadrilateral screws entering the hip. In clinical practice, we have found that DAPSQ anatomical titanium plate has the following advantages over the reconstruction plate: (1) no additional shaping steps are required during operation, which greatly simplifies the procedure. (2) the pre-shaped design of the anatomical titanium plate can provide more stable elastic strength for the quadrilateral screws and reduce the loss of elastic strength caused by the repeated shaping steps. (3) The design of adding an auxiliary nail hole in the quadrilateral region can not only assist the screw placement of quadrilateral screws, but also can be used to insert a lag screw to improve the fixation of

acetabular posterior column when necessary. (4) The process of screws placement is more standardized, and corresponding matching apparatus is also designed and better utilized for anatomical titanium plate.

This study presents some limitations: small sample size as well as a propensity-matched cohort study, where the study group originates from a prospective cohort and matched cases in a retrospective database, which may have selection bias. By matching the basic data as closely as possible (such as age, fracture type, and surgical approaches), selection bias can be minimized, but not eliminated. In addition, the most common type included in this study is double column fractures, which is different from the distribution of acetabular fractures in clinical practice. This selection bias is mainly due to our cases come from a level I trauma center where the most complex acetabular fractures are referred. Therefore, the results of this study need to be interpreted with caution and confirmed with large samples.

Conclusion

DAPSQ is a simple and efficient technique for quadrilateral plate fractures and should be considered in the reconstruction of acetabular fractures. In terms of reduction quality and functional outcomes, anatomical titanium plate and reconstruction plate have similar results.

The anatomical titanium plate of DAPSQ has the advantages of short operation time, less intraoperative bleeding and blood transfusion, and it is worth further promotion and research.

Abbreviations

DAPSQ: Dynamic Anterior Plate-Screw System for Quadrilateral plate; AP: anterior-posterior; CT: computed tomography; 3D: three-dimensional; ACPHT: anterior column posterior hemitransverse type; LFCNI: lateral femoral cutaneous nerve injury; THA: total hip arthroplasty

Declarations

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Kunming Cheng, for her love and support all through this work.

Funding

This work was supported by the technological innovation projects of hubei province (Grant No. 2017ACA099).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author by reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

WHY, SRR, LXM and CXH conceived and designed the study. SRR and SCJ contributed to the data collection. WHY, CYZ, and SRR analyzed the data. SCJ drew the pictures. WHY wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript and consented to publish this manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study has been approved by the appropriate ethics committee (the Scientific Board of the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, General Hospital of Central Theater Command). Details that might disclose the identity of the subjects in the study have been omitted. No benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Each author certifies that he has no commercial or any other associations that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article.

Author details

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, General Hospital of Central Theater Command, Wuhan 430070, Hubei, China. ²Southern Medical University, Guangzhou 510515, Guangdong, China.

References

1. Mauffrey C, Hao J, Cuellar D R et al (2014) The epidemiology and injury patterns of acetabular fractures: are the USA and China comparable?. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 472(11):3332-3337.
2. Letournel E (1980) Acetabulum fractures: classification and management. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 151:81-106.
3. Mardian S, Rau D, Hinz P et al (2017) Acetabular Fractures in an Advanced Age - Current Knowledge and Treatment Options. *Acta Chir Orthop Traumatol Cech* 84(4):241-246.
4. Chang J K, Gill S S, Zura R D et al (2001) Comparative strength of three methods of fixation of transverse acetabular fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 392:433-441.
5. Bastian J D, Tannast M, Siebenrock K A et al (2013) Mid-term results in relation to age and analysis of predictive factors after fixation of acetabular fractures using the modified Stoppa approach. *Injury* 44(12):1793-1798.

6. Elnahal W A, Abdel K M, Khaled S A et al (2018) Quadrilateral plate fractures of the acetabulum: Proposition for a novel classification system. *Injury* 49(2):296-301.
7. Mears D C (1999) Surgical treatment of acetabular fractures in elderly patients with osteoporotic bone. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 7(2):128-141.
8. Sen R K, Tripathy S K, Aggarwal S et al (2013) Comminuted quadrilateral plate fracture fixation through the iliofemoral approach. *Injury* 44(2):266-273.
9. Peter R E (2015) Open reduction and internal fixation of osteoporotic acetabular fractures through the ilio-inguinal approach: use of buttress plates to control medial displacement of the quadrilateral surface. *Injury* 46 Suppl 1:S2-S7.
10. Qureshi A A, Archdeacon M T, Jenkins M A et al (2004) Infrapectineal plating for acetabular fractures: a technical adjunct to internal fixation. *J Orthop Trauma* 18(3):175-178.
11. Sebaaly A, Jouffroy P, Emmanuel M P et al (2018) Intraoperative Cone Beam Tomography and Navigation for Displaced Acetabular Fractures: A Comparative Study. *J Orthop Trauma* 32(12):612-616.
12. Keil H, Beisemann N, Schnetzke M et al (2018) Intraoperative assessment of reduction and implant placement in acetabular fractures-limitations of 3D-imaging compared to computed tomography. *J Orthop Surg Res* 13(1):78.
13. Cai XH, Liu XM, Wang GD et al (2013) Quadrilateral plate fractures of the acetabulum treated by internal fixation with reconstruction titanium plate combined with trans-plate quadrilateral screws via the ilioinguinal approach. *Chin J Orthop Trauma* 15(2):102-106. (article in Chinese)
14. Frank C J, Zacharias J, Garvin K L (1995) Acetabular fractures. *Nebr Med J* 80(5):118-123.
15. Letournel E (1993) The treatment of acetabular fractures through the ilioinguinal approach. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 292:62-76.
16. Matta J M (1996) Fractures of the acetabulum: accuracy of reduction and clinical results in patients managed operatively within three weeks after the injury. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 78(11):1632-1645.
17. Merle D R (1990) Numerical classification of the function of the hip. 1970. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot* 76(6):371-374.
18. Kellgren J H, Lawrence J S (1957) Radiological assessment of osteo-arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 16(4):494-502.
19. Jung G H, Lee Y, Kim J W et al (2017) Computational analysis of the safe zone for the antegrade lag screw in posterior column fixation with the anterior approach in acetabular fracture: A cadaveric study. *Injury* 48(3):608-614.
20. Wang H, Utku K, Zhuang Y et al (2017) Post wall fixation by lag screw only in associated both column fractures with posterior wall involvement. *Injury* 48(7):1510-1517.
21. Kistler B J, Smithson I R, Cooper S A et al (2014) Are quadrilateral surface buttress plates comparable to traditional forms of transverse acetabular fracture fixation?. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 472(11):3353-3361.

22. Shazar N, Brumback R J, Novak V P et al (1998) Biomechanical evaluation of transverse acetabular fracture fixation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 352:215-222.
23. Tosounidis T H, Gudipati S, Panteli M et al (2015) The use of buttress plates in the management of acetabular fractures with quadrilateral plate involvement: is it still a valid option?. *International Orthopaedics* 39(11):2219-2226.
24. Farid Y R (2010) Cerclage wire-plate composite for fixation of quadrilateral plate fractures of the acetabulum: a checkrein and pulley technique. *J Orthop Trauma* 24(5):323-328.
25. Kistler B J, Smithson I R, Cooper S A et al (2014) Are quadrilateral surface buttress plates comparable to traditional forms of transverse acetabular fracture fixation? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 472(11):3353-3361.
26. Busuttill T, Teuben M, Pfeifer R et al (2019) Screw fixation of ACPHT acetabular fractures offers sufficient biomechanical stability when compared to standard buttress plate fixation *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 20(1):39.
27. Wu Y D, Cai X H, Liu X M et al (2013) Biomechanical analysis of the acetabular buttress-plate: are complex acetabular fractures in the quadrilateral area stable after treatment with anterior construct plate-1/3 tube buttress plate fixation?. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)* 68(7):1028-1033.
28. Wu Y D, Cai X H, Zhang M C et al (2013) Finite element analysis of standing position in both-column acetabular fractures fixed by anterior specially-shaped reconstruction plate combined with trans-plate quadrilateral screws. *Chin J of Experimental Surgery* 30(10):2175-2177. (article in Chinese)
29. Zha G, Sun J, Dong S et al (2015) A Novel Fixation System for Acetabular Quadrilateral Plate Fracture: A Comparative Biomechanical Study. *BioMed Research International* 2015:1-8.
30. Karim M A, Abdelazeem A H, Youness M et al (2017) Fixation of quadrilateral plate fractures of the acetabulum using the buttress screw: A novel technique. *Injury* 48(8):1813-1818.

Tables

Table 1

Fracture types and surgical approach in the anatomical titanium plate(ATP) group and reconstruction plate(RP) group

	ATP group(n=22)	RP group(n=22)
Fracture type(n, %)		
Both column	12(54.5%)	12(54.5%)
Anterior column	2(9.1%)	2(9.1%)
ACPH	4(18.2%)	4(18.2%)
T type fracture	2(9.1%)	2(9.1%)
Transverse and posterior wall	1(4.5%)	1(4.5%)
Transverse fracture	1(4.5%)	1(4.5%)
Surgical approach(n, %)		
Ilioinguinal	17(77.3%)	17(77.3%)
Ilioinguinal+Kocher-Langenbeck	5(22.7%)	5(22.7%)

Table 2

Comparison of the different variables between the ATP and RP groups

Variable	ATP group(n=22)	RP group(n=22)	P-value
Gender, male (n, %)	18(81.8%)	14(63.6%)	0.176
Age, years (mean ± SD)	46.7±11.6	50.8±8.9	0.202
Mechanism of injury(n, %)			
Fall from height	11(50%)	8(36.4%)	0.646
Traffic accident	9(40.9%)	11(50%)	
Others	2(9.1%)	3(13.6%)	
Fracture side, left (n, %)	15(68.2%)	12(54.5%)	0.353
Concomitant injuries(n, %)			
Head trauma	5(22.7%)	6(27.3%)	0.918
Spine fracture	1(4.5%)	2(9.1%)	
Limb fracture	9(40.9%)	6(27.3%)	
Rib or clavicle fracture	4(18.2%)	5(22.7%)	
Dislocation of hip	3(13.6%)	2(9.1%)	
Others	3(13.6%)	2(9.1%)	
Time to surgery, days (mean ± SD)	8.8±3.3	8.6±2.6	0.799
Surgical time, min (mean ± SD)	245.1±54.6	286.8±59.3	0.020
Blood loss, mL (mean ± SD)	1136.4±686.3	1777.3±944.6	0.014
Blood transfusion, mL (mean ± SD)	780.9±685.2	1256.8±763.2	0.035
Hospital stay time, days (mean ± SD)	25.7±6.3	26.8±7.8	0.612
Quality of reduction(n, %)			
Anatomic (0-1mm)	16(72.7%)	14(63.6%)	0.490
Imperfect (2-3mm)	5(22.7%)	6(27.3%)	
Poor (>3 mm)	1(4.5%)	2(9.1%)	

Bold entries indicates statistically significant *P* value.

Table 3

Postoperative outcome measurements

	ATP group(n=22)	RP group(n=22)	P-value
Mean time of follow-up, month(mean ± SD)	23.1±8.9	26.1±8.8	0.260
Modified Merle d'Aubigné score(n, %)			
Excellent	11(50%)	9(40.9%)	0.457
Good	9(40.9%)	9(40.9%)	
Fair	1(4.5%)	4(18.2%)	
Poor	1(4.5%)	0	
Rate of excellent-to-good(%)	90.9%	81.8%	

Table 4

Descriptive data of postoperative complications

	ATP group (n=22)	RP group (n=22)	P-value
Deep venous thrombosis	0	1	
Sciatic nerve problem	1	0	
Lateral femoral cutaneous nerve injury	1	2	
Superficial wound infection	0	1	
Posttraumatic arthritis	2	4	
THA	1	0	
Incidence of complication(n, %)	4(18.2%)	8(36.4%)	0.176

Figures




Figure 1

The structure and components of DAPSQ DAPSQ was placed on the superior arcuate line, and the ends extended toward the iliac wing and the superior pubic ramus, respectively. According to the placement trajectory on the pelvis, DAPSQ plate was divided into three parts: the iliac region, the quadrilateral region and the pubic region. And the screws placed in the quadrilateral region were called “quadrilateral screws”.




Figure 2

Three different models of the anatomical titanium plate According to the total anatomical length of the placement trajectory and and the different proportion of the length in the three regions (the iliac region, the quadrilateral region and the pubic region) measured by Chinese population, DAPSQ have been divided into three models: I, II and III.




Figure 3

The placement sequence of screws The Cadaveric model (The Southern Medical University Anatomy Laboratory, Guangzhou, China) depicted the placement sequence of screws. (a) Choose a appropriate model of titanium plate and both ends of plate were upturned after placed. (b) The first screw was fixed on the the pubic region. (c) drill semi-"U" type holes parallel to the surface of quadrilateral plate. (d) Insert the first quadrilateral screw along the pelvic brim and parallel to the surface of quadrilateral plate, and only the 1/3 to 1/2 transverse diameter of the quadrilateral screw was screwed into the bone. (e) Then move the plate of the iliac region away from the pelvic rim, so that the first quadrilateral screw can firmly attach to the bone surface, and insert a screw in the iliac region. (f) Subsequently, two fixation screws were inserted into the pubic and the iliac region, respectively, to stabilize the acetabular anterior column. (g, h) A 4.5mm screwdriver was inserted into the adjacent screw hole to lift the internal edge of the plate, so that the 1/3 to 1/2 of the screws hole were exposed to the inner edge of the pelvic brim. Then drill and insert the second quadrilateral screw. After the placement was completed, the screwdriver was removed, and the plate can naturally bounce back to provide the elastic retraction force for quadrilateral screws to block the inward displacement of quadrilateral plate. (i, j) Place the residual screws in the iliac and the pubic region. And during the process of screws insertion, the titanium plate was gradually attached to the bone surface, the torsion and elastic recoil of the plate could provide a strong holding force for quadrilateral screws to block the inward displacement of quadrilateral plate.




Figure 4

The shaping steps of the reconstruction plate (a) First, the total anatomical length of the placement trajectory on the pelvis was measured. (b) Then select a appropriate length of the arc-shaped reconstruction plate. (c) Both ends of the plate were reverse twisted and upturned. And the torsion angle of the iliac and pubic regions was higher than the radian of the bone surface, so the both ends of plate

were upturned after placed. (d) The plate in the quadrilateral region slightly incline into the pelvis about 15°.




Figure 5

A typical case showing the use of the reconstruction plate for a displaced acetabular fracture. A 48-year-old man presented with both column fracture of the left acetabulum following a fall from a height. Preoperative AP (a) and 3D CT reconstruction (b) of the pelvis have confirmed the fracture pattern. On the 8th day after the injury, fixation was performed through the ilioinguinal approach by using a 12 holes reconstruction plate with 3 quadrilateral screws to control the medial displacement of quadrilateral plate (c). Postoperative CT scanning image(d) and 3D CT reconstruction (e) showing the quadrilateral screws were located on the surface of quadrilateral plate and there was no one quadrilateral screw entering the hip joint cavity. At his two years follow-up visit, the patient was symptom-free. The modified Merle d'Aubigné evaluation was scored as good (f, g).




Figure 6

The second typical case showing the use of the anatomical titanium plate for a complex acetabular fracture. A 56-year-old man presented with anterior column and posterior hemitransverse fracture of the left acetabulum following a traffic accident. Preoperative AP (a) and 3D CT reconstruction (b,c,d) of the pelvis have confirmed the fracture pattern. On the 9th day after the injury, fixation was performed through the ilioinguinal approach using the type I anatomical titanium plate with 4 quadrilateral screws. Postoperative AP(e) and 3D CT reconstruction (f,g,h) showing Matta's X-ray evaluation was scored as excellent. The AP (i) and Judet views (j) after one year showing a good radiological result and no evidence of osteoarthritic change. The modified Merle d'Aubigné evaluation was scored as excellent and the hip function of abduction (k) and flexion(l) was satisfied.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [stabilityofquadriateralscrews.MTS](#)
- [Theplacementsequenceofscrews.mp4](#)