Super Strong Wide TM Mie Bandgaps Tolerating Disorders

Kiyanoush Goudarzi (✉ goudarzi@postech.ac.kr)

Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH)

Moonjoo Lee

Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH)

Research Article

Keywords: bandgaps, Mie, TM, metamaterials

Posted Date: December 30th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1190807/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Super strong wide TM Mie bandgaps tolerating disorders

Kiyanoush Goudarzi^{#1} and Moonjoo Lee^{#1}

¹Department of Electrical Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), 37673 Pohang, Korea

[#]goudarzi@postech.ac.kr and moonjoo.lee@postech.ac.kr

ABSTRACT

This study demonstrates the appearance of super intense and wide Mie bandgaps in metamaterials composed of germanium rods in air that tolerate some disordering of rod position and rod radius under transverse magnetic (TM) polarized light waves. Results for Mie bandgap modes TM_{01} and TM_{11} tolerate rod-position disordering of 50%, and rod-radius disordering of 34 and 20%, respectively. Using these characteristics of TM_{11} under position and radius disordering, ultra-narrow straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides that contain 14, four, and two rows of Ge rods in air are designed. Also, it is shown that TE_{01} Mie bandgap appear in metamaterials contain high refractive index, and disappear in metamaterials with lower refractive index such as silicon; in contrast, a new phenomenon of intense and broadband TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} in metamaterials with lower refractive index such as silicon appear. Also, in Si-based metamaterials, TM_{01} tolerates high rod-position and rod-radius disordering of 50% and 34%, respectively, and TM_{11} shows robustness to rod-position and rod-radius of 20%. This strong tolerance of disordering of TM modes in silicon and germanium metamaterials opens a new way to design small, high-efficient, and easy-fabricable optical devices for optical integrated circuits.

Introduction

Manipulation of electromagnetic waves at the scale of subwavelength structures requires strong light-matter interactions. The light-matter interaction happens in several structures such as plasmonic, photonic crystals (PCs), and all-dielectric metamaterials (MMs). The interaction in plasmonic structures is provided by the coupling of incident light to plasmons¹⁻⁴. Plasmonic structures are composed of dissipative materials, so the interaction causes power dissipation⁵⁻⁹. Other structures such as PCs and all-dielectric MMs show strong light-matter interactions and are feasible candidates to overcoming this power-dissipation problem¹⁰⁻¹³. The phenomenon of light-matter interaction in PCs appears in the form of the Bragg effect¹⁴, which has its origin in the periodic nature, and generates Bragg bandgaps in the photonic band structures. The produced bandgaps act as mirrors that prohibit propagation of incident light through the PCs. Point, line, and planar defects inside the PCs can localize guided modes in the bandgaps; this phenomenon provides an opportunity to steer light inside the PCs. Although PCs are suitable structures for designing low-loss and high-efficient optical devices, they manipulate light at the scale of a wavelength, and therefore must be large¹⁴⁻¹⁶.

The best replacement structures to design of small optical devices that have low dissipation are all-dielectric MMs^{12,13}. These are artificial dielectric structures in the form of periodic arrays in which each unit cell contributes to the functionality of the structure. The occurrence of Mie resonances, i.e., scattering of light by small particles, in all-dielectric MMs provides a new way to steer light through the optical devices¹⁷⁻²⁰. The high refractive index n of elements in all-dielectric MMs permit small size, and their dielectric natures permit ultra-low power consumption. High- n elements manipulate incident light in a fraction $\frac{\lambda}{n}$ of wavelength λ . The dielectric nature of these elements results in low power dissipation in all-dielectric MMs.

As feature size is scaled down in subwavelength structures, fabrication imperfections become increasingly significant, because they impose disorderings that can eliminate some of the structures' functionalities²¹⁻²⁸. Disorderings up to a certain level are eliminated using all-dielectric MMs that are composed of elements that have high n under TE polarized light waves. The transition from photonic crystals that have low- n elements ($n = 2$) to all-dielectric MMs that have high- n elements ($n = 5$) yields a TE_{01} Mie bandgap that is insensitive to position disordering of 40%²⁹. The transition from PCs to all-dielectric MMs can be achieved in two ways³⁰. The first is to increase n of the elements, while maintaining a constant ratio of radius r of the elements to the period a of the structure. The second is to increase $\frac{r}{a}$ while maintaining n . The goal of both ways is to increase the effective refractive index n_{eff} of the structure, and thereby yield Mie bandgaps in the dispersion diagram and transmission spectra³⁰.

Proposing optical integrated circuits (OIC) in 1969 by S. E. Miller has attracted many researchers' attentions to design on-chip optical components. The on-chip OICs require small and highly-efficient optical components which are compatible

with CMOS fabrication technology. The optical components such as waveguides^{31,32}, power splitters^{33–35}, demultiplexers^{36–38}, and crossing waveguides^{39,40} are mainly used for steering, filtering, and splitting light waves. Among the optical components, straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides are vital for OICs. High- n all-dielectric MMs-based waveguides are low-loss and shrank components for OICs. The rapid advancement of high- n all-dielectric MMs in photonics is accompanied by the inevitable cost of fabrication imperfections. In high- n all-dielectric MMs that contain dielectric rods in air, these imperfections appear as position and radius disorderings, as a result, designing straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides which tolerate fabrication imperfections are of great interest for OICs.

This paper for the first time of our best knowledge presents a study of the tolerance of TM_{01} and TM_{11} Mie bandgaps to the rod-position and rod-radius disorderings in all-dielectric MMs, then proposes and evaluates ultra-narrow straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides composed of two or four rows of germanium (Ge) rods. Ge has a high- n of about 4 over the wavelength range of $2\ \mu\text{m} < \lambda < 11\ \mu\text{m}$ ⁴¹. The proposed all-dielectric MMs use Ge rods in a cubic arrangement in air. Also, a comparison between TE and TM Mie bandgaps in high- n (Ge) and lower n (silicon (Si)) MMs is presented. The comparison shows existence of intense and broadband TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} Mie bandgaps and diminishing TE_{01} in Si-based MMs. The structures were simulated using the Maxwell's equations solver of the two-dimensional finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) numerical method imbedded in the FDTD module of Lumerical software.

Physical background

Mie and Fabry-Perot (FP) resonances govern the physical background of high- n all-dielectric MMs. Shining a plane wave on a high- n dielectric rod that has length $L \gg$ radius r causes a_l^m and b_l^m resonances (where m and l are integer mode numbers), which are caused by strong light-matter interactions. Transverse magnetic (TM) polarized illumination yields a_l^m , and transverse electric (TE) polarized illumination yields b_l^m . A transverse wavevector $k_{\perp}^l = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_l}$ (where λ_l is the Mie resonant wavelength for

Figure 1. (a) SCS of a long single Ge rod under TM polarized plane wave versus normalized frequency. (b–d) and (e–g) show E- and H-field distributions, respectively, of the rod for the Mie resonances of a_1^m , a_2^m , and a_3^m . Blue to red show minimum to maximum of the fields. (inset (a): Illumination of a single Ge rod with a refractive index $n = 4$, radius r , and length L ($L \gg r$) under a TM polarized plane wave (electric field along the long axis).)

different l mode number) is created that is responsible for Mie resonances, and a longitudinal wavevector $k_{\parallel}^m = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_l}$ is created that is responsible for the FP resonance appears as a result of the length of the rod⁴².

When a long Ge rod ($L \gg r$) is illuminated by a TM-polarized plane wave (Fig. 1(a); inset), the long L means that extraction of time and frequency (Fourier transform) responses of electric and magnetic fields requires 2D FDTD simulations. Simulation results of the scattering cross-section (SCS) show Mie resonance peaks at normalized frequency $\frac{2\pi r}{\lambda} = 0.254, 0.579$, and 0.9225 , respectively, which correspond to a_1^m , a_2^m , and a_3^m (Fig. 1(a)). E-field distributions in the xy plane for a_1^m , a_2^m , and a_3^m show one, two, and four electric dipoles, respectively, which originate from collective polarization of particle materials in response to the incident electric field (along the long axis of the rod) (Fig. 1(b–d)). The circulating magnetic fields inside the rod are created due to the oscillation of electric dipoles. The rod and the surrounding medium (air) are nonmagnetic, so the permeability $\mu_r = 1$; therefore, the magnetic current loops penetrate to the air (Fig. 1(e–g)).

Calculations of the total, K -direction, and H -direction SCSs of the Ge rod (Fig. 1(a)) show that a_1^m represents the higher amplitude of the SCS in the H direction and that a_2^m , and a_2^m in reveal a stronger amplitude of SCS a_3^m in that direction. The higher amplitude of SCS along H direction than the propagation direction (K direction) is responsible for a H-field coupling between rods that are in a periodic arrangement in that direction.

In a periodic arrangement of Ge rods in air with $r = 0.3a$ (where a is the lattice constant), excitation a_1^m , a_2^m , and a_3^m modes induce the development of H-field couplings between rods located along the H-field direction, as a consequence of the induced circulating magnetic field inside each rod. These couplings suppress the incident wave to penetrate the periodic structure and result in bandgaps in the photonic band structure. In the periodic arrangement, the Mie resonances of a_1^m , a_2^m , and a_3^m are responsible for creating Mie bandgaps of TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} , respectively.

Figure 2. Periodic arrays of Ge rods in air under excitation of (a) TM_{01} , (c) TM_{11} and (e) TM_{21} Mie bandgaps. (b), (d) and (f) normalized magnetic fields for (a), (c), and (e), respectively, over y axis for two lines of $x = 0$ and $x = 0.5a$. Incident plane has been located at the bottom of the structure and propagates from $-y$ to y direction. Black arrows represent the direction of magnetic fields.

The intensity of the H-field couplings is proportional to the scattering along the H-field direction (Fig. 1a, H direction), consequently, the strengths of the H-field couplings between rods descend in order $a_1^m > a_2^m > a_3^m$. These couplings are depicted by H-field distributions as well as normalized H-field along $x = 0$ and $x = 0.5a$ lines (Fig. 2). In this figure, TM polarized plane waves which are located at the bottom of the structure propagate from $-y$ to y direction. H-fields of Mie resonances of a_1^m , a_2^m , and a_3^m that are responsible for Mie bandgap modes of TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} have different distributions (Fig. 2(a, c, e)), and different normalized magnetic fields along $x = 0$ (green dotted-dashed line) and $x = 0.5a$ (solid-blue line) (Fig. 2(b, d, f)). The incident plane waves that propagate from $-y$ to y , interact mostly with the first row (I) of the periodic Ge MMs rather than with the second row (II). These interactions can be shown quantitatively using normalized magnetic fields (Fig. 2(b, d, f)). The Mie scattering amplitude along the H-field direction is highest in a_1^m , so the H-field couplings between the first row of rods (I) (Fig. 2(b), green broken line) descend in strength in the order $a_1^m > a_2^m > a_3^m$. The normalized magnetic fields in Fig. 2(b, d, f) at $x = 0$ (solid blue) for the first row of Fig. 2(a, c, e), show one, two, and three peaks that are created due to the shape mode of a_1^m , a_2^m , and a_3^m , respectively. The created shape mode of magnetic fields inside each rod for TM_{01} and TM_{11} (Fig. 2(a, c)) are the same as a_1^m and a_2^m (Fig. 1(e, f)), respectively. Due to the H-field couplings between rods along the incident H-field, the shape mode inside each rod for TM_{21} (Fig. 2(e)) mode differs slightly from the shape mode of a_3^m (Fig. 1(g)).

As described earlier the H-field couplings between rods are responsible for the creation of TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} bandgap modes; also, there are other ways that describe the creation of a photonic bandgap which are originated from solid-state physics. The creation of electronic bandgap in electronic crystals is explained by band or bond theory⁴³. The band theory uses the Bloch theorem under perfect periodicity of the lattice structure which results in the creation of the Bragg bandgap. In contrast, bond theory explains the creation of the bandgap in periodic and amorphous electronic crystals by postulating the internal states of bonding and antibonding states in two adjacent atoms which result in the formation of the lower and upper bands of the bandgap. The bond theory can be used to explain the creation of photonic bandgap in disordered all-dielectric MMs. This theory postulates the coupling of optical states between two adjacent dielectric atoms. The optical state in a dielectric atom forms a quasi-bond state. The coupling of quasi-bond states between two adjacent dielectric atoms forms an optical bandgap. In disordered dielectric MMs, the bond theory is the origin of the creation of Mie bandgaps that robust disorderings⁴⁴.

Position disordering

Mie bandgaps normally appear as dips in transmission diagrams of the periodic arrangement of high- n dielectric rods, because of a transition from PCs to all-dielectric MMs. This part demonstrates that the Ge MMs show TM_{01} and TM_{11} bandgaps that tolerate significant disordering of the rod position. The position of a rod in a periodic structure is defined as (x^i, y^i) , where $x^i = x_0^i + \sigma_p U_x$ and $y^i = y_0^i + \sigma_p U_y$. (x_0^i, y_0^i) , is the origin position of the rod in the periodic structure, σ_p is the strength of the position disordering, and $U_i(i : x, y)$, are random variables over interval $[-1, 1]$ along x and y directions, respectively. The position-disordering parameter is defined as $\eta_p = \frac{\sigma_p}{a}$, where a is lattice constant²⁹.

Transmission spectra under illumination of TM-polarized plane waves (magnetic field is along x direction) were obtained for borosilicate crown glass (BK7) PCs in air (Fig. 3(a)) and Ge MMs in air (Fig. 3(b)). BK7 PCs were composed of cylindrical BK7 rods with $n = 1.5$ and Ge MMs were composed of Ge rods with $n = 4$; both types were set in a periodic-cubic pattern that position disordering $\eta_p = 0, 20, 40$, or 50% . Incident plane waves are located at the bottom of the structures, propagate from $-y$ to y direction, and are monitored at the top of the structures (Fig. 3(c, d) and Fig. 6(c, d)).

The BK7 PCs showed two weak Bragg bandgaps of BG_1 and BG_2 over $0.1 < \frac{a}{\lambda} < 1$ that do not tolerate position disordering (Fig. 3(a)). At $\eta_p = 0$ the Bragg bandgaps of BG_1 and BG_2 appear at $\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.44$ and 0.87 , respectively and disappear with increase in η_p . The Bragg bandgap obeys $f \propto (a \cos^{-1}(\theta))$, where θ is the propagation angle. Increase in position disordering changes both a and θ , so the Bragg bandgaps diminish and disappear. Also, position disordering breaks the symmetric of the periodic structure which results in degradation of the Bragg bandgaps. H-field distributions of BG_2 show penetration of TM polarized plane wave at $\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.833$ which results in degradation of BG_2 (Fig. 3(c)).

The Ge structure showed three Mie bandgaps TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} , of which TM_{01} and TM_{11} reveal strong position disordering that can tolerate $\eta_p = 50\%$ with average $T = -135$ dB. The strong tolerance of the Mie bandgaps of TM_{01} and TM_{11} under position disordering occurs for two reasons. The first is that the Ge rods have high SCSs a_1^m and a_2^m along with incident H-field direction, so adjacent rods develop high H-field coupling. The second reason is that TM_{01} and TM_{11} are pure Mie bandgaps and show high tolerance to position disordering, whereas TM_{21} is a mixture of Bragg and Mie bandgaps which degrades with increase in position disordering. The H-field distribution of BK7 PCs at the Bragg bandgap BG_2 ($\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.833$) show diffusion of electromagnetic waves all over the structure, whereas the H-field distribution of Ge MMs TM_{11} Mie bandgap ($\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.41$) modes show suppression of incident waves by each rod of the first row. An increase in position disordering, increases the distance between some rods along x and y directions which results in declining the coupling between the rods as well as weakens coupling between optical quasi-bond states which in turn narrows bandwidths of TM_{01} and TM_{11} .

Figure 3. (a) and (b) logarithmic transmission spectra of BK7 PCs and Ge MMs in a cubic pattern under TM polarized plane waves (H field along x direction) for position disordering $\eta_p = 0, 20, 40$, and 50% . (c) and (d) H-field distributions of BK7 PCs and Ge MMs at the center of the Bragg bandgap BG_2 ($\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.833$) and Mie bandgap TM_{11} ($\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.41$) under $\eta_p = 40\%$. The plane waves propagate from $-y$ to y .

Position-disorder-tolerant Ge narrow straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides

Ge MMs tolerate intense disorder of $\eta_p = 50\%$ for TM_{01} and TM_{11} Mie bandgaps under TM polarized plane wave (Fig. 3(b)). This high tolerance of position disordering is a result of Mie scattering by individual rods in the first row of the structure (Fig. 3(d); Fig. 2); therefore, the first row (I) has a significant effect on suppression of incident TM polarized light, and also, localization of H-field inside each rod of the first row.

To exploit this effect, we suggest ultra-narrow straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides that contain 14, four or two rows of rods, and that tolerate a specific degree of position disordering. For this purpose, straight, L-shaped, and plus shaped defects were surrounded by 14 (Fig. 4(a, d, g); structure ‘A’), four (Fig. 4(b, e, h); structure ‘B’), or two (Fig. 4(c, f, i); structure ‘C’) rows of dielectric rods (either BK7 or Ge) in air. Creating straight, L-shaped, or plus-shaped defect inside the structure localizes guided modes within the Mie bandgaps of TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} (Fig. S1(a)) that are called donor modes. For the BK7 PCs, the line defect localizes guided modes inside the Bragg bandgaps of BG_1 and BG_2 (Fig. S1(b)). The guided mode inside the TM_{01} bandgap propagates inside the rods by H-field coupling, but for TM_{11} this guided mode acts as a total-internal-reflection effect and propagates through the waveguides; therefore, TM_{11} is exploited for Ge waveguides. Incident Gaussian waves located at the bottom of the structures in an orange bell-shaped, propagate from $-y$ to y direction and are monitored at the top (straight and crossing waveguides) and right (L-shaped waveguides) parts of the structures (Fig. 4).

Transmission spectra for the Ge and BK7 waveguides are monitored for the localized mode inside the TM_{11} and BG_2 , respectively. In the Ge straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides, the transmissions are higher and show robustness at all η_p than for BK7 PCs (Fig. 5). Ge-based A-type straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides have high average normalized transmission amplitude ($\frac{T}{T_0}$) $> 96, 90$, and 80% , respectively at $\eta_p \leq 20\%$; also, show the small variation of the average $\frac{T}{T_0}$. Ge-based B-type straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides have average $\frac{T}{T_0} > 86, 70$, and 70% at $\eta_p \leq 20\%$ and C-type straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides show average transmission $> 70, 40$, and 46% at $\eta_p \leq 10\%$ (Fig. 5(a–c)). H-field distributions of B-type waveguide under $\eta_p = 20\%$ show high coupling of incident light waves to the outputs as well as represent robustness of $\frac{T}{T_0}$ to the sharp bend in a L-shaped waveguide and a horizontal defect in a crossing waveguide (Fig.

Figure 4. All-dielectric straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides surrounded by (a), (d), and (g) 14, (b), (e), and (h) four, and (c), (f), and (i) two rows of dielectric rods in air that are called A, B, and C structures. The dielectric rods are either BK7 or Ge. The waveguides are under $\eta_r = 20\%$. Yellow: dielectric rod; blue: air. An incident Gaussian sources located at the bottom of the structures and propagate from $-y$ to y direction.

Figure 5. Transmission versus η_p for A, B, and C straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides. (a)–(c) and (d)–(f) Ge and BK7 waveguides. Gaussian sources are launched at the bottom of the waveguides, propagate from $-y$ to y direction. S, L, and C stand for straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides.

S2(a–c)). Decreasing the number of rows of Ge rods from A-type waveguides surrounded by 14 rows, to B-type waveguides with four rows decreased the average transmission slightly (Fig. 5(a–c)). The decreases in average $\frac{T}{T_0}$ at $\eta_p > 20\%$ for B waveguides and at $\eta_p > 10\%$ for C waveguides, occurs because some rods are separated by large distances, which result in H-field coupling degradation, fleeing electromagnetic waves, and a from guided mode to radiative mode; also the increase in distances decreases the coupling between quasi-bound states between rods and results in escaping of electromagnetic waves.

In the BK7 PCs straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides, the average $\frac{T}{T_0}$ is much less than the Ge MMs (Fig. 5(d–f)), owing to the lower refractive index. BK7-based A-type straight and crossing waveguides have average $\frac{T}{T_0} < 0.6$ at $\eta_p \leq 50\%$ and the average $\frac{T}{T_0}$ decreased with increase in position disordering. By reducing the number of BK7 rods in straight and crossing waveguides from 14 (type A) to four (type B) and two (type C) decreases the average $\frac{T}{T_0}$, owing to the dependence of defect mode inside the bragg gap the is strongly dependent to the periodicity and the number of unit cell of the structure. Because of sharp bend in BK7 based L-shaped waveguides, the average $\frac{T}{T_0}$ is about zero for A, B, and C-type waveguides. The guided mode in L-shaped waveguides turn into the radiation mode at the sharp bend due to the low refractive index of BK7 which is represented in H-field distribution of B-type waveguides at $\eta_p = 20\%$ (Fig. S2(d–f)). Also, by increasing the position disordering, the average normalized transmission amplitudes decrease sharply due to the breaking symmetry in the structure. As obvious, the average $\frac{T}{T_0}$ of L-shaped BK7 waveguides (Fig. 5(e)) is less than the L-shaped Ge-based waveguides (Fig. 5(b)) that implies the robustness of Ge-based TM_{11} to sharp bends.

Radius disordering

The effect of radius disordering can also be exploited in the transition from BK7 PCs to Ge MMs. Under radius disordering, the radius is $R^i = R_i^0 + \sigma_r U$, where R_i^0 is origin radius, σ_r is the strength of the radius disordering, and U is a random variable over the interval $[-1, 1]$. The radius-disordering parameter is defined as $\eta_r = \sigma_r / R_i^0$.

BK7 PCs show weak Bragg bandgaps of BG_1 and BG_2 with $T = -50$ and -25 dB, respectively, that are tolerant of

Figure 6. (a) and (b) logarithmic transmission spectra of BK7 PCs and Ge MMs in a cubic pattern under TM polarized plane waves (H field along x direction) for position disordering $\eta_r = 0, 20, 27$, and 34% . (c) and (d) H-field distributions of BK7 PCs and Ge MMs at the center of the Bragg bandgap BG_2 ($\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.833$) and Mie bandgap TM_{11} ($\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.41$) under $\eta_p = 27\%$. The plane waves propagate from $-y$ to y .

$\eta_r = 20\%$, because the periodic lattice is retained under radius disordering (Fig. 6(a)). For Ge MMs under radius disordering, the radii of the rods change, so their localized mode changes; these changes degraded the TM_{21} Mie bandgap but TM_{11} and TM_{01} tolerated $\eta_r = 20$ and 34% , respectively (Fig. 6(b)), because the TM_{01} and TM_{11} mode shapes inside each rod do not change at less than a certain R^i .

As η_r increased, the width of the TM_{01} and TM_{11} Mie bandgaps decreased, as a result of light penetration through rods that had small radii, and the penetrated lights in turn experience interferences that result in high oscillation in transmission spectrum around TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} Mie bandgaps (Fig. 6(b)). H-field distribution for BK7 PCs and Ge MMs at the Bragg bandgap BG_2 ($\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.833$) (Fig. 6(c)) and TM_{11} Mie bandgap ($\frac{a}{\lambda} = 0.4$) (Fig. 6(d)) show the leaking of H-field distribution for Bragg bandgap and suppression of the incident field for the TM_{11} bandgap by the individual Ge rods of the first row.

Radius-disorder-tolerant Ge narrow straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides

As a result of the high- n elements of Ge MMs, the TM_{01} and TM_{11} Mie bandgaps tolerate radius disordering of $\eta_r = 34$ and 20% , respectively (Fig. 6(b)). We exploited this tolerance to design ultra-narrow Ge straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides that can endure radius disordering to a certain level. In this section the Ge MMs waveguides that use 14 (Type A waveguide), four (Type B), or two (Type C) rows of Ge rods are designed and simulated. The three types of Ge waveguides were analyzed under radius disordering (Fig. 7).

Creating straight, L-shaped or plus-shaped defect localizes guided modes inside the Mie and Bragg bandgaps (Fig. S3(a)). The average T for the Ge waveguides increased as the number of rows of rods increased, but decreased as η_r increased (Fig. 8). Waveguides A tolerated strong radius disorder of $\eta_r \leq 20\%$ with average $\frac{T}{T_0} > 0.93, 0.76$, and 0.8 , but under $\eta_r > 20\%$, $\frac{T}{T_0}$ decreased gradually. Due to the straight path for light waves for A-type straight and crossing waveguides, the $\frac{T}{T_0}$ has small variation at $\eta_r < 20\%$. Waveguides B tolerated $\eta_r \leq 13\%$ with average $\frac{T}{T_0} > 0.82, 0.67$, and 0.76 for straight, L-shaped, and plus-shaped waveguides, respectively. H-field distributions of B-type waveguides at $\eta_r = 13\%$ represent high confinement of light waves in the waveguides and robustness of the confinement to the sharp bend and horizontal defect in L-shaped and crossing waveguides (Fig. S3(a-c)). Also, $\frac{T}{T_0}$ has larger variation at $\eta_r < 20\%$, owing to the sharp bend in L-shaped waveguide A and B. Waveguide C show lower toleration to radius disordering. There are three reasons governing decreasing average

Figure 7. All-dielectric straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides surrounded by (a), (d), and (g) 14, (b), (e), and (h) four, and (c), (f), and (i) two rows of dielectric rods in air that are called A, B, and C structures. The waveguides are under $\eta_r = 27\%$. The dielectric rods are either BK7 or Ge. Yellow: dielectric rod; blue: air. An incident Gaussian sources located at the bottom of the structures and propagate from $-y$ to y direction.

Figure 8. Transmission versus η_r for A, B, and C straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides. (a)–(c) and (d)–(f) Ge and BK7 waveguides. Gaussian sources are launched at the bottom of the waveguides, propagate from $-y$ to y direction. S, L, and C stand for straight, L-shaped and crossing waveguides.

$\frac{T}{T_0}$ for Ge-based waveguides under radius disordering. First, increasing radius disordering decreases some radii of rods that increases the distances between them and decreases H-field coupling between them and change the guided mode to radiation mode which result in decreasing the average $\frac{T}{T_0}$. The second reason is diminishing quasi-bond states couplings between rods which have larger distances due to the radius disordering; the weaker coupling results in escaping electromagnetic waves from the waveguides. The last reason originated from non-localization of TM_{11} mode inside the rods due to the different radii.

BK7 straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides have much lower transmission than Ge waveguides (Fig. 8(d–f)). The BK7 straight and crossing waveguides show higher transmission than L-shaped waveguides. The low transmission of L-shaped waveguides are originated from sharp bend in the structure that can not confine light waves due to the low refractive index of BK7 as shown in Fig. S3(d–e).

Comparison between TM and TE modes in Ge and Si MMs

This part describes the existence of TM_{01} , TM_{11} , TM_{21} , and TE_{01} Mie bandgaps in periodic and disordered structures contain dielectric rods (either Ge or Si) in air. In contrast to the TE_{01} Mie bandgap mode that appear in all-dielectric MMs contain high-refractive index elements^{29,30}, TM_{01} , TM_{11} , TM_{21} Mie bandgaps appear in all-dielectric MMs contain lower refractive index elements such as Si as numerically simulated in the following.

All-dielectric MMs consist of array of 15×15 Ge rods with $n = 4$ in air under illumination of a TE polarized plane wave show a weak and narrow TE_{01} with $T = -88$ dB and $0.3 < \frac{a}{\lambda} < 0.33$ which robust a low level of position disordering ($\eta_p = 20\%$); in addition an ultra-narrow TE_{11} Mie bandgap and a very weak Bragg bandgap (BG) that do not tolerate position disordering appear in the transmission spectra (Fig. 9(a)). In contrast, the structure under illumination of a TM plane wave shows three intense and wide Mie bandgaps of TM_{01} ($0.17 < \frac{a}{\lambda} < 0.266$), TM_{11} ($0.351 < \frac{a}{\lambda} < 0.461$), and TM_{21} ($0.53 < \frac{a}{\lambda} < 0.63$). TM_{01} and TM_{11} tolerate position disordering $\eta_p = 50\%$ and TM_{21} tolerates position disordering $\eta_p = 20\%$ (Fig. 9(b)). As mentioned earlier, the strong robustness of TM_{01} and TM_{11} is originated from the fact that they are pure Mie bandgaps while TM_{21} is a combination of Mie and bragg bandgaps that does not tolerate position disordering. The structure under radius disordering and illumination of either TE or TM modes show the strong and broadband TM Mie bandgaps and a narrow-weak TE_{01} Mie bandgap mode (Fig. S4(a, b)).

The TE_{01} Mie bandgap mode almost disappears in a MMs structure contains Si rods with $n = 3.48$ in air under illumination of TE polarized plane wave (Fig. 9(c)). In contrast, the Si MM structure under a TM polarized incident plane wave shows three strong and broadband of TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} ; furthermore, TM_{01} and TM_{11} reveal tolerant to position disordering $\eta_p = 40$ and 20% (Fig. 9(d)). TE_{01} approximately disappears and show no robustness to radius disordering in Si MMs structure while

Figure 9. Logarithmic transmission spectra of 15×15 Ge rods in air under illumination of TE (a) and TM (b) plane waves, respectively. (c) and (d) show logarithmic transmission spectra of 15×15 Si rods in air under illumination of TE (c) and TM (d) plane waves. Solid black, dotted green, dashed red, and dot-dashed blue curves represent the position disordering of $\eta_r = 0, 20, 40$, and 50% , respectively.

three intense and broad bandgaps appear in which TM_{01} and TM_{11} show robustness to radius disordering $\eta_r = 34$ and 20% , respectively (Fig. S4(c, d)). Based on the results, TE_{01} only appears in Ge MMs and disappears in Si MMs, that shows highly dependent of the TE_{01} Mie mode to the refractive index; the dependent is due to the highly-dependence of Mie scattering to the refractive index. Intense and broadband TM_{01} , TM_{11} , and TM_{21} appear in Ge and Si MMs, which imply a very low dependence of Mie scattering modes of a_1^m , a_2^m , and a_3^m to the refractive index.

Conclusion

The paper has revealed the tolerance of Ge MMs to disordering of the position and radius of the rods that constitute robustness of the MMs to fabrication imperfections. This tolerance is a result of directive scattering of light by high- n Ge rods in air; this scattering induces H-field couplings between adjacent rods. The TM_{01} and TM_{11} Mie bandgap modes of Ge structures tolerate position disordering $\eta_p = 50\%$ and radius disordering $\eta_r = 34$ and 20% , respectively. Position and radius disorderings were exploited to design ultra-narrow straight, L-shaped, and crossing waveguides composed of 14, four, and two rows of Ge rods in air. These waveguides show average $\frac{T}{T_0} > 0.96, 0.9$, and 0.8 for type A and $\frac{T}{T_0} > 0.86, 0.7$, and 0.7 for type B at $\eta_p \leq 20\%$. Also, These waveguides show average $\frac{T}{T_0} > 0.93, 0.76$, and 0.8% for type A at $\eta_p \leq 20\%$ and $\frac{T}{T_0} > 0.96, 0.9$, and 0.8% for type B at $\eta_p \leq 13\%$. In addition intense TM modes emerge in lower n (Si) MMs that tolerate a certain level of disordering; in contrast, TE_{01} fades in Si MMs. The tolerances to position and radius disorder of Ge and Si MMs provides a resource for production of optical components that are ultra-narrow and tolerant of fabrication imperfections, for use in high-density OICs.

References

1. Gerislioglu, B. *et al.* Monolithic metal dimer-on-film structure: New plasmonic properties introduced by the underlying metal. *Nano letters* **20**, 2087–2093 (2020).

2. Perera, T., Gunapala, S. D., Stockman, M. I. & Premaratne, M. Plasmonic properties of metallic nanoshells in the quantum limit: From single particle excitations to plasmons. *The J. Phys. Chem. C* **124**, 27694–27708 (2020).
3. García-Lojo, D. *et al.* Plasmonic supercrystals. *Accounts chemical research* **52**, 1855–1864 (2019).
4. Wang, Y. *et al.* Plasmon resonances of highly doped two-dimensional mos₂. *Nano letters* **15**, 883–890 (2015).
5. García-García, I. *et al.* Silver-based plasmonic catalysts for carbon dioxide reduction. *ACS Sustain. Chem. & Eng.* **8**, 1879–1887 (2020).
6. Bonin, G. O. *et al.* Self-assembly of plasmonic near-perfect absorbers of light: The effect of particle size. *The J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **11**, 8378–8385 (2020).
7. Ali, W. *et al.* All-solution-processed ultrahigh broadband and wide-angle perfect absorber based on mxene–gold nanoparticles. *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **8**, 2000447 (2020).
8. Park, J., Kang, I.-S., Sim, G., Kim, T. H. & Lee, J.-K. Broadband responsivity enhancement of si photodiodes by a plasmonic antireflection bilayer. *Opt. Express* **29**, 26634–26644 (2021).
9. Wang, P., Li, Z., Cheng, L., Ye, F. & Zhang, L. Sic/rgo core–shell nanowire as a lightweight, highly efficient gigahertz electromagnetic wave absorber. *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.* **2**, 473–482 (2020).
10. Yoshimi, H., Yamaguchi, T., Ota, Y., Arakawa, Y. & Iwamoto, S. Slow light waveguides in topological valley photonic crystals. *Opt. letters* **45**, 2648–2651 (2020).
11. Vaidya, S., Benalcazar, W. A., Cerjan, A. & Rechtsman, M. C. Point-defect-localized bound states in the continuum in photonic crystals and structured fibers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 023605 (2021).
12. Jahani, S. & Jacob, Z. All-dielectric metamaterials. *Nat. nanotechnology* **11**, 23–36 (2016).
13. Koshelev, K. & Kivshar, Y. Dielectric resonant metaphotonics. *ACS Photonics* **8**, 102–112 (2020).
14. Baba, T. Slow light in photonic crystals. *Nat. photonics* **2**, 465–473 (2008).
15. Ishizaki, K., Koumura, M., Suzuki, K., Gondaira, K. & Noda, S. Realization of three-dimensional guiding of photons in photonic crystals. *Nat. Photonics* **7**, 133–137 (2013).
16. Kawashima, S., Ishizaki, K. & Noda, S. Light propagation in three-dimensional photonic crystals. *Opt. express* **18**, 386–392 (2010).
17. Bohren, C. F. & Huffman, D. R. *Absorption and scattering of light by small particles* (John Wiley & Sons, 2008).
18. Bakker, R. M. *et al.* Magnetic and electric hotspots with silicon nanodimers. *Nano Lett.* **15**, 2137–2142 (2015).
19. Bi, K. *et al.* Magnetically tunable mie resonance-based dielectric metamaterials. *Sci. reports* **4**, 1–6 (2014).
20. Yang, Q. *et al.* Mie-resonant membrane huygens’ metasurfaces. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **30**, 1906851 (2020).
21. Koenderink, A. F. & Vos, W. L. Light exiting from real photonic band gap crystals is diffuse and strongly directional. *Phys. review letters* **91**, 213902 (2003).
22. Hughes, S., Ramunno, L., Young, J. F. & Sipe, J. Extrinsic optical scattering loss in photonic crystal waveguides: role of fabrication disorder and photon group velocity. *Phys. review letters* **94**, 033903 (2005).
23. Rockstuhl, C., Peschel, U. & Lederer, F. Correlation between single-cylinder properties and bandgap formation in photonic structures. *Opt. letters* **31**, 1741–1743 (2006).
24. Gollub, J. *et al.* Characterizing the effects of disorder in metamaterial structures. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **91**, 162907 (2007).
25. Asatryan, A. A. *et al.* Suppression of anderson localization in disordered metamaterials. *Phys. review letters* **99**, 193902 (2007).
26. Topolancik, J., Ilic, B. & Vollmer, F. Experimental observation of strong photon localization in disordered photonic crystal waveguides. *Phys. review letters* **99**, 253901 (2007).
27. Toninelli, C., Vekris, E., Ozin, G. A., John, S. & Wiersma, D. S. Exceptional reduction of the diffusion constant in partially disordered photonic crystals. *Phys. review letters* **101**, 123901 (2008).
28. Rockstuhl, C. & Lederer, F. Suppression of the local density of states in a medium made of randomly arranged dielectric spheres. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 132202 (2009).
29. Liu, C., Rybin, M. V., Mao, P., Zhang, S. & Kivshar, Y. Disorder-immune photonics based on mie-resonant dielectric metamaterials. *Phys. review letters* **123**, 163901 (2019).

30. Rybin, M. V. *et al.* Phase diagram for the transition from photonic crystals to dielectric metamaterials. *Nat. communications* **6**, 1–6 (2015).
31. Sakib, N. & Ryckman, J. D. Design of ultra-small mode area all-dielectric waveguides exploiting the vectorial nature of light. *Opt. Lett.* **45**, 4730–4733 (2020).
32. Jahani, S. & Jacob, Z. Transparent subdiffraction optics: nanoscale light confinement without metal. *Optica* **1**, 96–100 (2014).
33. Chang, W. *et al.* Inverse design and demonstration of an ultracompact broadband dual-mode 3 db power splitter. *Opt. express* **26**, 24135–24144 (2018).
34. Tahersima, M. H. *et al.* Deep neural network inverse design of integrated photonic power splitters. *Sci. reports* **9**, 1–9 (2019).
35. Piggott, A. Y., Petykiewicz, J., Su, L. & Vučković, J. Fabrication-constrained nanophotonic inverse design. *Sci. reports* **7**, 1–7 (2017).
36. Piggott, A. Y. *et al.* Inverse design and demonstration of a compact and broadband on-chip wavelength demultiplexer. *Nat. Photonics* **9**, 374–377 (2015).
37. Su, L., Piggott, A. Y., Sapra, N. V., Petykiewicz, J. & Vuckovic, J. Inverse design and demonstration of a compact on-chip narrowband three-channel wavelength demultiplexer. *Acs Photonics* **5**, 301–305 (2018).
38. Yuan, H. *et al.* An ultra-compact dual-channel multimode wavelength demultiplexer based on inverse design. *Results Phys.* **27**, 104489 (2021).
39. Yi, D., Zhou, W., Zhang, Y. & Tsang, H. K. Inverse design of multi-band and wideband waveguide crossings. *Opt. Lett.* **46**, 884–887 (2021).
40. Yu, Z., Feng, A., Xi, X. & Sun, X. Inverse-designed low-loss and wideband polarization-insensitive silicon waveguide crossing. *Opt. letters* **44**, 77–80 (2019).
41. Amotchkina, T., Trubetskov, M., Hahner, D. & Pervak, V. Characterization of e-beam evaporated ge, ybf₃, zns, and laf₃ thin films for laser-oriented coatings. *Appl. optics* **59**, A40–A47 (2020).
42. Traviss, D. J., Schmidt, M. K., Aizpurua, J. & Muskens, O. L. Antenna resonances in low aspect ratio semiconductor nanowires. *Opt. express* **23**, 22771–22787 (2015).
43. Phillips, J. Bonds and bands in semiconductors: new insight into covalent bonding in crystals has followed from studies of energy-band spectroscopy. *Science* **169**, 1035–1042 (1970).
44. Nojima, S., Kaneda, G. & Aizawa, K. Quantitative isolation of band-gap formation mechanisms by randomizing the lattice arrangement in photonic crystals. *J. Appl. Phys.* **113**, 123105 (2013).

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the financial support from the BK21 FOUR program and Educational Institute for Intelligent Information Integration.

Author contributions

K.G and M.L conceived the idea of the project. K.G simulated the structures and wrote the paper. M.L revised the paper. Both authors contributed to discussions.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing of interests.

Additional information

The data that supports the findings of this study are available within the article [and its supplementary material].

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.G and M.L.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementary.pdf](#)