

Optimizing NK-92 Serial Killers: Gamma Irradiation, CD95/FasLigation, And NK Or LAK Attack Limit Cytotoxic Efficacy

Lydia T Navarrete-Galvan

University of Nevada <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1736-8867>

Michael Guglielmo

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center

Judith Cruz Amaya

University of Nevada

Julie Smith-Gagen

University of Nevada

Vincent C. Lombardi

University of Nevada

Rebecca Merica

St. Olaf College, Northfield

Dorothy Hudig (✉ dhudig@med.unr.edu)

University of Nevada <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5952-208X>

Research Article

Keywords: NK-92, NK, radiation, Fas/CD95, serial killing, lymphokine activated killer, limitations, adoptive cell transfer, therapeutic efficacy

Posted Date: December 28th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1193866/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Optimizing NK-92 Serial Killers: Gamma Irradiation, CD95/Fas-Ligation, and NK or LAK Attack Limit Cytotoxic Efficacy

Lydia Navarrete-Galvan¹, Michael Guglielmo², Judith Cruz Amaya¹, Julie Smith-Gagen³, Vincent C. Lombardi¹, Rebecca Merica⁴, Dorothy Hudig^{1*}

¹University of Nevada, Reno School of Medicine, Reno, NV, 89557, USA.

²Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, 98109, USA.

³University of Nevada, Reno School of Community Health Sciences, Reno, NV 89557, USA.

⁴Biology Department, St. Olaf College, Northfield, MN, 55057, USA.

***Correspondence:** dhudig@med.unr.edu

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** The NK cell line NK-92 and its genetically modified variants are receiving attention as
3 immunotherapies to treat a range of malignancies. However, since NK-92 cells are themselves tumors,
4 they require irradiation prior to transfer and are potentially susceptible to attack by patients' immune
5 systems. Here, we investigated NK-92 cell-mediated serial killing for the effects of gamma-irradiation
6 and ligation of the death receptor Fas (CD95), and NK-92 cell susceptibility to attack by activated
7 primary blood NK cells.

8 **Methods:** To evaluate serial killing, we used ⁵¹Cr-release assays with low NK-92 effector cell to target
9 Raji, Daudi or K562 tumor cell (E:T) ratios to determine killing frequencies at 2-, 4-, 6-, and 8-hours.

10 **Results:** NK-92 cells were able to kill up to 14 Raji cells per NK-92 cell in eight hours. NK-92 cells
11 retained high cytotoxic activity immediately after irradiation with 10 Gy but the cells surviving irradiation
12 lost >50% activity one day after irradiation. Despite high expression of CD95, NK-92 cells maintained
13 their viability following overnight Fas/CD95-ligation but lost some cytotoxic activity. However, one day
14 after irradiation, NK-92 cells were more susceptible to Fas ligation, resulting in decreased cytotoxic
15 activity of the cells surviving irradiation. Irradiated NK-92 cells were also susceptible to killing by both
16 unstimulated and IL-2 activated primary NK cells (LAK). In contrast, non-irradiated NK-92 cells were
17 more resistant to attack by NK and LAK cells.

18 **Conclusions:** Irradiation is deleterious to both the survival and cytotoxicity mediated by NK-92 cells and
19 renders the NK-92 cells susceptible to Fas-initiated death and death initiated by primary blood NK cells.
20 Therefore, replacement of irradiation as an antiproliferative pretreatment and genetic deletion of Fas
21 and/or NK activation ligands from adoptively transferred cell lines are indicated as new approaches to
22 increase therapeutic efficacy.

23 **Keywords:** NK-92, NK, radiation, Fas/CD95, serial killing, lymphokine activated killer,
24 limitations, adoptive cell transfer, therapeutic efficacy

25 **Abbreviations:** ADCC, antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; E:T,
26 effector to target ratio; IL-2, interleukin-2; KF, killing frequency; LAK, lymphokine-activated killer;

27 LU₅₀, lytic units as the number of cells required to kill 50% of the ‘target’ cells; mAb, monoclonal
28 antibody; NK, natural killer, including bNK as primary blood NK cell; PBMC, peripheral blood
29 mononuclear cells.

30 **Background**

31 Natural killer (NK) cells are appealing cells for immunotherapy because they are very potent effector
32 lymphocytes of the innate immune system that can attack and kill many different tumor target cells
33 without prior sensitization (1–3). Studies show that some cytotoxic immune cells, including T- and NK
34 cells, are capable of killing multiple target cells, sequentially, in a process termed serial killing (4,5).
35 Moreover, serial killer cells are often faster at delivering lytic hits and inducing target cell death than non-
36 serial killer NK cells (6). Unfortunately for adoptive immunotherapies, many NK cells kill only once,
37 with less than 30% capable of serial killing (7–9). While the number of target cells killed per effector
38 varies by study, it is consistently noted that a minority of NK cells is responsible for the majority of
39 killing events. Furthermore, there are challenges to obtaining sufficient numbers of functionally active
40 NK cells from a patient’s blood because, despite being widespread throughout the body, NK cells
41 represent just 2 – 18% of lymphocytes in human peripheral blood and it is challenging to obtain sufficient
42 numbers of NK cells needed to overwhelm the number of tumor cells (10,11).

43 To readily obtain the large numbers of NK cells needed, immortalized cytotoxic cell lines have been
44 established from patients with NK-cell cancers; however, little is known about their serial killing
45 capacities. NK-92 are one of over eight available NK cell lines (**Additional File 1: Table S1**) and have
46 reproducible cytotoxicity to a variety of tumor types (10,12,13), even under hypoxic conditions (14).
47 NK-92 cells can also be genetically manipulated to express receptors that recognize specific tumor
48 antigens and that augment therapeutic monoclonal antibodies through antibody-dependent cellular
49 cytotoxicity (ADCC). These NK-92 cells and CAR-NK-92 variants are immediately available and more
50 affordable than current CAR-T-cell therapy (15). In fact, haNK (NK-92) cells, engineered to express the
51 high affinity CD16A allele (in order to recognize tumor cell-bound monoclonal antibodies), were tested in

52 combination with anti-PD-L1 antibody, avelumab, and have now been further modified to also express a
53 PD-L1-specific chimeric antigen receptor (16,17). NK-92 cells have been infused into patients with
54 advanced cancers, resulting in clinical benefits with limited side effects. Additionally, NK-92 cells are
55 being tested in several clinical trials in four different countries and for patients with a range of
56 malignancies, including leukemia, glioblastoma, and melanoma (18–21). It is important to assess what
57 can happen to these cells *in vivo*, following transfer, a challenging issue that has been addressed so far
58 only by monitoring cells circulating in the patients' blood (19). In this report, we assessed *in vitro*,
59 potential hazards to NK-92 cell serial killing that could occur *in vivo* after adoptive transfer, including
60 losses of cytotoxic serial capacity following irradiation, ligation of NK-92 cell Fas by cells residing in the
61 tumor, as well as vulnerability of the NK-92 cells to attack by blood primary NK cells.

62 As far as the authors are aware, we are the first to observe killing frequencies (5) (KF) > 1 by NK-92 cells
63 using standard release assays (presented at American Association of Immunologists annual meeting,
64 2021). We monitored this serial killing to predict potential losses of activity to the cells during the time
65 that the cells remain viable after adoptive transfer. Our data demonstrate several potential complications
66 that would result in losses to therapeutic efficacy *in vivo* when NK-92 cells become impaired following
67 irradiation. It was previously reported that when NK-92 cells were irradiated with 10 Gy, NK-92 cell
68 proliferation was prevented and cytolytic activity was substantially conserved within the live cells
69 remaining one day following irradiation (22). We found, however, that NK-92 cell serial killing
70 significantly decreased one day after irradiation. Irradiation also increased NK-92 cell susceptibility to
71 Fas-ligation as well as to attack by lymphokine-activatable primary blood NK cells.

72 **Methods**

73 **Cell Lines and Culture.** All cell lines were regularly tested negative for mycoplasma using the
74 MycoAlert™ mycoplasma detection kit (Lonza, Walkersville MD). **NK-92** cells (ATCC CRL-2407)
75 were cultured in alpha Minimum Essential Media with L-glutamine and sodium pyruvate, no

76 ribonucleosides or deoxyribonucleosides (Gibco, Waltham MA), with 0.2 mM inositol, 0.2 mM 2-
77 mercaptoethanol, and 0.02 mM folic acid, 12.5% horse serum (Gibco), 12.5% fetal bovine serum (FBS)
78 (Atlanta Biologicals, Flowery Branch GA), and 1000 U/ml Tecin, Teceleukin recombinant interleukin 2
79 (IL-2) (Roche, Basel Switzerland) at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C. **K562** cells (ATCC CCL-243) were cultured in
80 Dulbecco's modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with 4.5 g/L glucose, L-glutamine, and sodium pyruvate
81 (Corning Life Sciences) and with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (pen-
82 strep) solution (MilliporeSigma, Burlington MA) at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C. **Raji** (ATCC CCL-86), **Daudi**
83 (ATCC CCL-213), and **Jurkat** (ATCC TIB-152) cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute
84 (RPMI) media with L-glutamine (Corning Life Sciences, Tewksbury MA), 10% FBS and 1% pen-strep at
85 5% CO₂ and 37 °C. **PBMCs** (STEMCELL Technologies, Vancouver, Canada) were either resting,
86 unstimulated after culture in DMEM with 4.5 g/L glucose, L-glutamine, and sodium pyruvate and with
87 10% FBS and 1% Pen-Strep at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ overnight or LAK activated, cultured in DMEM with
88 4.5 g/L glucose, L-glutamine, and sodium pyruvate and with 10% FBS and 1% pen-strep at 37 °C and 5%
89 CO₂ and supplemented with 1000 U/ml IL-2 for three days prior to assay.

90 **Cell Irradiation.** NK-92 cells were gamma irradiated in 15 ml of culture media, using a cesium¹³⁷ source
91 (JL Shepherd Model I-30). A dose range of 2.5 – 20 Gy was tested. After radiation, cells were cultured
92 for the times indicated (0 – 48 hours) in 1000 U/ml IL-2. Control cells were processed in parallel without
93 applying irradiation.

94 **Fas-receptor Ligation.** *For cytotoxicity assays*, NK-92 cells were brought to 2.5 x 10⁵ cells/ml and
95 cultured overnight with either 1 ug/ml LEAF purified anti-human CD95 clone EOS9.1 or LEAF purified
96 mouse IgM clone MM-30 as an isotype control. *For flow cytometric assays of potential cell death*
97 *induced after ligation of cellular Fas/CD95*, NK-92 or Jurkat cells were cultured overnight at 2.0 x 10⁶
98 cells/ml with 1 ug/ml LEAF purified anti-human CD95 or LEAF purified mouse IgM isotype control.
99 When combined with irradiation, cells were first irradiated and then mAbs were added either immediately
100 after irradiation or one day after irradiation, as indicated in the results. Control cells were processed in

101 parallel without addition of antibodies. The mAbs were from BioLegend (San Diego, CA).

102 **Cytotoxicity Assays.** Target cells were labeled with $\text{Na}^{51}\text{CrO}_4$ (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) (23).

103 Effector NK-92 cell counts were determined using a hemacytometer with routine samples of > 600 cells
104 that excluded Trypan blue (MilliporeSigma). NK-92 effector cells were diluted 2-fold in quadruplicate in

105 V-bottom plates (Costar 3894, 96 well) in 0.1 ml to create six to eight effector to target cell (E:T) ratios.

106 NK cell effectors within PBMCs were diluted similarly. Radiolabeled 'target' cells ($1 \times 10^5/\text{ml}$ in 0.1 ml)

107 were added to each well to induce cytotoxicity. Plates were centrifuged (Sorvall RC 6+) at 1000 rpm for

108 three minutes to bring the effector and target cells together and incubated at 5% CO_2 and 37 °C for eight

109 hours (unless otherwise noted). After incubation, plates were centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 10 minutes and

110 0.1 ml of cell-free supernatant was removed for analysis in a Perkin-Elmer Wizard gamma counter.

111 Spontaneous release was calculated using the average leak rate of target cells without effectors and the

112 maximum release was the radioactivity released by target cells lysed with 1% SDS. The calculated %

113 specific release is a measure of target cell killing, as targets release internalized ^{51}Cr into the sampled

114 supernatant when they die. Percent specific release was calculated using the following formula:

115 $\% \text{Specific Release} = [(\text{Experimental counts} - \text{Spontaneous Release}) / (\text{Max} - \text{Spontaneous Release})] \times$

116 100. The data illustrated are representative of a minimum of three replicate experiments.

117 **Flow Cytometry Analysis.** *For determining the presence of Fas-receptor CD95 on cells,* the AF647

118 anti-CD95 clone DX2 was used with Zombie Aqua to eliminate dead cells from consideration. Cells

119 were taken immediately from culture, washed once with PBS, and stained with a 1:100 dilution of

120 Zombie Aqua for 30 min at room temperature (RT), protected from light. Then cells were quenched with

121 FACS buffer with 1% FCS and brought to 5×10^6 cells/ml, aliquoted into flow tubes, stained with 10

122 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ AF647 anti-CD95 clone DX2 for 30 min at RT, protected from light and washed twice. *For*

123 *analysis of cell death following irradiation and/or anti-Fas ligation,* the following fluorescent probes

124 were used: FITC annexin V (BioLegend, San Diego CA) and 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD)

125 (MilliporeSigma). Irradiated or anti-Fas treated, and control cells were washed with annexin V binding
126 buffer and stained with 4 ug/ml 7-AAD and 4.5 ug/mL FITC annexin V for 20 min at RT, protected from
127 light, then washed twice and brought up with annexin V buffer containing 20 ug/ml actinomycin D (AD)
128 (MilliporeSigma), and fixed with 0.5% formaldehyde. *For determining NK counts within PBMCs,*
129 TruCOUNT™ Beads (BD Biosciences) and the following fluorescent antibody panel was used: PacBlue
130 anti-CD45 clone HI30, BV711 anti-CD56 clone HCD56, BV711 anti-CD16A clone 3G8, FITC anti-CD3
131 clone OKT3, AF647 anti-CD244 (24) clone C1.7, together with 7-AAD to identify necrotic cells, *without*
132 *washing the cells* (in order to prevent cell loss). Gating sequence available in **Additional File 2: Figure**
133 **S1**. Resting or LAK activated PBMCs (as previously described) were taken from culture, spun down, and
134 resuspended in FACS buffer, then aliquoted into flow tubes. Cells were stained for 30 min at RT,
135 protected from light. After staining 20 ug/ml AD was added and the cells fixed by addition of
136 formaldehyde (MilliporeSigma, Boston MA) to a final concentration of 0.5%. All mAbs were from
137 BioLegend, San Diego CA, and titrated for the concentrations suitable for no-wash conditions. The
138 samples were analyzed within one day, using a BD Biosciences Special Order Research Product LSR II
139 analytical flow cytometer with a high throughput sampler (HTS) unit. *Cytometric data* were analyzed
140 with FlowJo software (BD Biosciences) to determine cell counts, %positive cells, median fluorescence
141 intensity (MFI), and statistical comparisons between samples. The data illustrated are representative of a
142 minimum of two replicate experiments.

143 **Statistical Analyses.** *Cytotoxicity assay data* were calculated and graphed with Microsoft Excel and
144 evaluated using SPSS Statistics (IBM, version 28, Armonk, NY) using linear regression analysis or
145 difference-in-difference comparisons. LU_{50} 's (the number of effector cells needed to cause 50% lysis)
146 were calculated by linear equations of cytotoxicity ($y = \% \text{ specific } ^{51}\text{Cr release}$, $x = \log_{10}$ of the E:T cell
147 ratios) to determine the number of cells needed to kill 50% of the 'target' cells. Then the lytic activity was
148 expressed as $LU_{50}/1.0 \times 10^6$ effector cells. FlowJo (BD Biosciences) "compare population" tool was used
149 to calculate Overton subtraction (25) and chi-squared statistics to analyze flow cytometric populations.

150 **Results**

151 **NK-92 cells serially kill multiple cancer cell lines**

152 Only some tumor cells are suitable targets for serial killing because NK cells sometimes lose their
153 receptors as they kill. The commonly used NK target cell, K562, was compared with two B-cell tumor
154 lines, Daudi and Raji, using killer frequencies (KFs) to measure the average number of tumor ‘target’
155 cells killed per single NK-92 cell over time. The assays were stopped at 2-, 4-, 6-, and 8-hour time points
156 for measurement of cytotoxic activity. Raji cells were killed better than K562 and Daudi at all time-
157 points. Looking specifically at the 8-hour data and at an E:T of 1:16, Rajis have 57% specific release (KF
158 = 9 dead per single NK-92 cell, calculated as 56% of targets killed divided by 6.25%, the frequency of
159 effectors available at a 1:16 ratio) compared to 53% (KF = 8.5) and just 17% (KF = 2.7) for Daudi and
160 K562 targets, respectively (**Figure 1A-C**). Additionally, Raji and Daudi tumor cells continued to be
161 serially killed after 6 hours unlike K562 cells, where serial killing stopped after 6 hours (**Figure 1B and**
162 **C**). For 8-hour assays, at an E:T of 1:16, NK-92 cells had an average KF of 6.7 Raji per effector in 11
163 experiments, with a KF range of 3.0 – 9.5. NK-92 KFs increased as target concentrations increased,
164 reaching a record of 14 Raji per NK-92 at an E:T of 1:32. The data in **Figure 1** represent the serial killing
165 to each target that was observed within one experiment. Similar activities were observed within multiple
166 experiments (Raji: n=11 experiments, Daudi: n=3, K562: n=4).

167 Killing frequency (KF) describes the average number of targets killed per effector cell and assumes that
168 every effector cell kills (5). A single round of killing for each effector cell concentration is indicated by
169 the corresponding, colored dashed lines in **Figure 1**. It should be noted that in practice with NK-92 cells
170 there is heterogeneity in killing. We observed variable externalization of CD107A associated with
171 cytotoxic granule release (26) (Navarrete-Galvan *et al.*, unpublished results) and variability in killing was
172 also observed previously by time-lapse cinematography (27,28). Therefore, it is likely that an individual
173 NK-92 cell can kill more targets than are reflected by the KF values.

174 **Irradiation of NK-92 cells impacts both their viability and their cytotoxic functionality**

175 The cytotoxic capacity of NK-92 cells was measured following irradiation, either immediately or
176 following overnight culture, to detect activity of those cells that temporarily resist the effects of lethal
177 irradiation. We used 2.5 to 20 Gy; 10 Gy is the FDA standard for adoptive transfer. **Figure 2A** shows that
178 immediately after 20 Gy irradiation, NK-92 cells retained full killing capacity. However, following
179 overnight culture, the NK-92 effectors that were still viable showed a dose response of decreasing
180 cytotoxic functionality as radiation dosage increased. The effects on serial killing were pronounced. At
181 an E:T of 1:16, 35.0% of targets were killed by non-irradiated NK-92 cells (KF 5.6) compared to 9.1%
182 killing by 10 Gy irradiated NK-92 effectors (KF 1.5), a loss of more than 2/3 of cytotoxic activity per cell
183 (**Figure 2B**, p-value < 0.001). This dose-response radiation effect on serial killing was also observed
184 with K562 targets (not illustrated). Thus, the serial killing by the NK-92 cells was greatly decreased by
185 FDA-approved irradiation. It should be noted that at lower doses of irradiation than the 10 Gy in current
186 clinical practice, serial killing was still significantly impacted; 2.5 Gy irradiated NK-92 cells had a KF of
187 3.9 (**Figure 2B**), a 30% decrease in serial cytotoxicity compared to non-irradiated NK-92 cells (p
188 <0.001).

189 Loss of cells due to radiation-induced death and loss of cytotoxic functionality act synergistically to limit
190 the overall efficacy of irradiated NK-92 cells. When loss of serial killing is considered in combination
191 with lower viable cell recovery, 10% of the potential NK-92 serial killing efficacy was retained one day
192 after 10 Gy irradiation (**Table 1**). Using lytic units for comparison, as LU₅₀ per 1 million NK-92 cells at
193 8-hours, there were dose-dependent losses of overall activity following irradiation that were amplified
194 when decreased cell survival was considered in combination with loss of function (**Table 1**). Activity
195 monitored by LU₅₀s one day after 10 Gy was consistently less than 30% of the non-irradiated NK-92 cells
196 in replicate experiments (data not shown).

Table 1. Compound Effects of Viable Cell Recovery and Impaired Lytic Activity on NK-92 Cell Functionality One Day after Irradiation*

Irradiation (Grays)	% Control viable cell recovery ⁺	Serial KF	% Control KF	% Remaining functional activity based on KF [#]	LU ₅₀ per 10 ⁶ NK-92 cells	% Control lytic units	% Remaining functional activity based on lytic units [^]
0	100	5.6	100	100	815.5	100	100
2.5	53.4	3.9	69.6	37.2	419.8	51.5	27.5
5	56.8	2.5	44.6	25.4	215.9	26.5	15.0
10	39.0	1.5	26.8	10.4	23.8	2.9	1.1

*Raji 'target' cells at E:T 1:16 after 8 hrs. This representative experiment was comparable to two other replicate experiments.
⁺ Cells proliferated to increase 75-80% from time of initial culture without irradiation.
[#]Calculated as the fraction representing viable cell recovery multiplied by the fraction of control KF.
[^]Calculated as the fraction representing viable cell recovery multiplied by the fraction of lytic unit activity that was retained compared to untreated NK-92 cells.

197 **Fas (CD95) receptor ligation weakens NK-92 serial killing, especially one day after irradiation**

198 Fas-ligand is expressed by many tumors and is a means by which these tumors can engage Fas-receptor
199 on NK- and/or T- cells and thereby initiate “suicide” of the effector lymphocytes (29) and protection of
200 the tumor cells. To evaluate if Fas ligation could also affect NK-92 cells, first, we monitored Fas/CD95
201 expression on NK-92 cells, then discovered a lack of NK-92 cell “suicide” responsiveness to Fas-ligation
202 and, last, observed detrimental effects of Fas-ligation on serial killing. Jurkat cells that readily die after
203 ligation of their membrane-bound Fas were used as positive controls for detection of Fas expression and
204 induction of cellular “suicide” by anti-Fas antibodies (30). Jurkat and NK-92 cells were stained with
205 AF647-anti-CD95/Fas and analyzed by flow cytometry. **Figure 3A** shows that > 95% of Jurkat and NK-
206 92 cells were positive for CD95/Fas. Furthermore, NK-92 cells expressed more Fas than Jurkat cells, with
207 a higher median fluorescence index (MFI) of 6,339 that remained high after irradiation, compared to an
208 MFI of 2,263 for Jurkat cells. It should be noted that within a day, a subpopulation of 20% of the
209 irradiated NK-92 cells had reduced levels of Fas (MFI 1851) (**Figure 3A**, with a 43% subpopulation in a
210 replicate experiment).

211 Following detection of CD95 on NK-92 cells, we then incubated non-irradiated and 10 Gy irradiated NK-
212 92 cells with anti-Fas IgM overnight and subsequently examined these cells for apoptotic or necrotic
213 death. Cells were stained with FITC-annexin V, which binds to phosphatidylserine externalized on
214 apoptotic cells and also binds to the internal phosphatidyl serine of permeable necrotic cells. The Jurkat

215 cells responded strongly to anti-Fas ligation, with 99% annexin V positive (**Figure 3B**). In contrast, both
216 non-irradiated and irradiated NK-92 cells responded weakly to Fas ligation, with a < 10% increase in
217 annexin V-positive cells compared to the control cells (**Figure 3B**). At least one third of all the annexin
218 V-positive cells also stained positive with 7-AAD, indicating that a substantial fraction of these cells had
219 progressed to necrosis (not illustrated). Despite NK-92 cell resistance to death following Fas ligation,
220 anti-Fas treatment did affect the irradiated, non-necrotic NK-92 cells, in form of shrunken cells (as
221 indicated by lower forward scatter (FSC)) (**Figure 3C**).

222 In contrast to the minimal effects on NK-viability, the effects on NK-92 cytotoxic functionality were
223 substantial after Fas-ligation. Non-irradiated or 10 Gy irradiated NK-92 cells were preincubated for one
224 day without antibody, with an IgM isotype control, or with anti-Fas IgM. The cytotoxic capacity of these
225 cells was then tested against K562 targets with the continuing presence of the antibodies in the ⁵¹Cr
226 release assays. Because K562 cells lack Fas, they were used as tumor ‘target’ cells, which prevented
227 addition of anti-Fas-initiated “suicide” to the target cell killing by NK-92 cells. **Figure 4A** shows that
228 non-irradiated cells were able to maintain high cytotoxic activity following overnight Fas ligation,
229 reaching 80% killing compared to 80% - 83% killing by control and IgM treated cells respectively at
230 similar E:T ratios. By LU₅₀, anti-Fas treated NK-92 cells retained ~50% activity compared to the IgM
231 isotype control (**insert, Figure 4A**). Following 10 Gy irradiation, however, anti-Fas treated NK-92
232 cytotoxic activity was significantly decreased, reaching 44% killing compared to 74% - 77% killing by
233 control and IgM treated cells respectively (**Figure 4B**). The insert of **Figure 4B** illustrates that anti-Fas
234 treated, 10 Gy irradiated NK-92 cells retained just < 5% activity by LU₅₀ compared to control cells.
235 **Figure 4C** illustrates KF measurements of serial killing and emphasizes that this function is extremely
236 vulnerable to Fas-ligation after irradiation of the NK-92 cells. Regression analyses comparing the effects
237 of *only* Fas-ligation or *only* irradiation to the combination of *both* Fas-ligation and irradiation, revealed
238 synergistic rather than additive damage to cytotoxicity (p < 0.001).

239 **Irradiated NK-92 cells are susceptible to killing by primary blood NK cells (bNK) and IL-2**
 240 **lymphokine-activated killer (LAK) cells**

241 While one would like to know if primary host NK cell attack of adoptively transferred NK-92 tumor cells
 242 has effects on the cytotoxic functionality of NK-92 cells (even if the NK-92 cells were to resist attack),
 243 the investigation is technically stymied because both the primary NK and NK-92 cells would be
 244 concurrently mediating ‘target cell’ death. It would be impossible to sort out the contributions of each
 245 cell. Instead, we simply determined if irradiated NK-92 cells could be attacked by the patients’ own NK
 246 cells, which would be another means by which efficacy of NK-92 cell adoptive therapy could be
 247 compromised *in vivo*. The susceptibility of non-irradiated NK-92 cells to primary NK and IL-2 induced
 248 LAK cell attack has been well-documented (31,32). We wanted to assess if this susceptibility was
 249 increased for irradiated NK-92 cells. This possibility is supported by the induction of stress ligands after
 250 irradiation and these ligands can serve as recognition molecules for NK cells (33).

251 In preliminary experiments, we tested the ability of resting bNK or LAK cells to kill NK-92 cells. We
 252 used K562 cells as control ‘targets’ to confirm that the healthy donors’ bNK and LAK cells had good
 253 cytotoxic activity, whether or not their NK cells killed NK-92 cells. The NK-92 target cells were either
 254 non-irradiated or irradiated with 10 Gy and cultured overnight before assay. Irradiated NK-92 cells were
 255 more sensitive to killing by bNK cells than non-irradiated NK-92 cells (**Table 2**), while the non-irradiated
 256 NK-92 cells were killed to a lesser extent than K562 cells (as previously reported). LAK cells were able
 257 to kill both non-irradiated and irradiated NK-92 cells as indicated for donor SC-6617, where a clear
 258 increase in LAK vs. bNK anti-NK-92 cell killing was observed (**Table 2**). These limited experiments
 259 indicate that after irradiation, NK-92 cells retain and/or increase ligands for NK attack and thus are
 260 susceptible to innate immune elimination *in vivo*, indicating a third consideration that could be addressed
 261 by avoiding irradiation and/or by genetic engineering.

Table 2. Primary Blood NK and LAK Killing of NK-92 Cells at 4 Hours					
NK Activation State	Donor #	E:T (PBMC:NK-92)	⁵¹ Cr 'Target' Cells ⁺		
			Non-irradiated NK-92	10 Gy irradiated NK-92	K562

Unstimulated	SC-0394	107:1	7%*	15%	76%
	SC-3663	33.5:1	2%*	6%*	23%
	SC-6617	60:1	8%*	19%	13%
	SC-0975	48:1	7%*	12%	11%
IL-2 LAK	SC-0394	23:01	8%*	ND	96%
	SC-3663	15.2:1	1%*	4%*	47%
	SC-6617	55:1	17%	31%	78%
	SC-0975	42:1	27%	43%	82%
<p>+%Specific Release. Data are available in Additional File 3: Figure S2 for the E:T titrations. *Indicates cytotoxicity below the positive killing threshold of 10%.</p>					

262 Discussion

263 In this study we demonstrated the remarkable serial killing potential of NK-92 cells towards several
264 ‘target’ cell types. We shed light on potential limitations to NK-92 cell-mediated serial killing and
265 therapeutic efficacy, specifically following irradiation, a current clinical practice preceding adoptive
266 transfer. We also tested NK-92 cell functionality remaining after Fas-ligation and documented NK-92
267 susceptibility to attack by circulating bNK cells, which are two potential limitations of adoptive cell
268 therapy. These findings suggest that NK-92 cells have immense potential in adoptive cancer
269 immunotherapy but should be carefully optimized before infusion into patients to ensure greatest
270 therapeutic efficacy.

271 Serial killing by NK-92 cells has been documented before; however, we directed our attention to potential
272 *in vivo* effects on serial killing. A previous study focused on the development of a droplet-based
273 cytotoxicity assay that utilized a lowest E:T of 1:3 and showed that ~50% of observed NK-92 cells are
274 able to serially kill two or more K562 targets in 12 hours (34). Serial cytotoxicity has also been observed
275 with time-lapse cinematography using *genetically modified*, IL-2 producing NK92-MI cells. This study
276 indicated that one NK-92 cell could kill as many as 14 target HeLa cells over six hours (27). Many
277 studies have used standard radioactive release assays to characterize NK-92 killing towards various cell
278 types, but, without an excess of ‘targets’, these assays were unable to address NK-92 serial cytotoxicity.
279 Our results confirm NK-92 serial killers and show that serial killing is target cell type dependent, with

280 Raji and Daudi targets reaching KFs > 10, while K562 targets are far less susceptible to serial killing with
281 KFs < 3.0 (**Figure 1**).

282 This variation in killing for different ‘target’ cells, as determined by KFs, may be due to differences in
283 ligands on target cells that engage the diverse activation receptors of NK-92 cells. One possible
284 explanation for low killing towards K562 cells is that NK-92 cells poorly express the receptor NKG2D, in
285 contrast to high NKG2D-expressing KHYG-1 cell line that kills K562 cells much more effectively (35).
286 Furthermore, K562s produce the granzyme B inhibitor PI-9, making them less susceptible to killing via
287 granzyme B, which is predominately used by NK-92 cells but overcome by granzyme M used by KHGY-
288 1 (36). It is possible that cleavage of NKG2D ligands by metalloproteinases such as ADAM10 (37)
289 combined with NKG2D downregulation during cytotoxic activation (38) affects NK-92 killing of K562s.
290 This loss of NKG2D may also explain the plateau in killing that we observed towards K562 after 6 hours,
291 and which has been observed for up to 12 hours elsewhere (34). A limitation of the KF method (5) to
292 detect serial killing is that it measures the simple average number of targets killed per effector, rather than
293 identifying the fraction of effectors engaged in killing within the NK cell population. Regardless, the KF
294 method is still optimal (in terms of statistical validity, time, labor, and cost savings) to screen treatments
295 used prior to adoptive cell transfer for their effects on serial killing.

296 Prior studies have investigated the effects of irradiation on NK-92 cell-mediated killing; however, these
297 studies used shorter cytotoxicity assays with different target cells and reported that NK-92 cytotoxic
298 capacity is mostly retained for at least 24 hours following irradiation (22,39). Another study, using haNK
299 cells 24-hours post irradiation saw an increase in cytotoxicity toward multiple carcinoma cell lines
300 compared to haNK cell killing immediately post irradiation (40). These findings are in contrast to our
301 findings with unmodified NK-92 cells, which show decreases in killing toward Raji cells one day after
302 irradiation (**Figure 2**) as well as K562 cells (*unpublished*). Possible explanations for the differences
303 between these findings include haNK cell endogenous expression of IL-2, while our cells were
304 supplemented with 1000 U/ml IL-2, lymphoid versus carcinoma target cells, as well as the 18-hr release

305 assays used for the haNK cells, which may allow for detection of longer-term killing potential than our 8-
306 hour release assays. In this report, we extended the effects of irradiation to effects on cytotoxicity after
307 Fas-ligation and to NK-92 cellular susceptibility to potential attack by patient NK cells. First, we
308 observed a decrease in NK-92 cell viability and viable cell recovery (**Table 1**), as well as a consistent
309 decrease in serial cytotoxicity one day after irradiation, even at lower doses of 2.5 - 5 Gy (**Figure 2**).
310 Notably, this decrease in killing was absent when NK-92 cells were assayed immediately following up to
311 20 Gy irradiation. This initial retention of activity indicates that therapeutic cell lines should be used
312 immediately post irradiation to maximize cytotoxicity *in vivo*, as in the design of one phase I trial (21).
313 Ideally, an alternative anti-proliferative approach for cell lines used in adoptive therapies would be used.

314 The profound effects of irradiation on NK-92 cytotoxic capacity indicate that radiation effects extend
315 beyond DNA damage and likely include direct damage to proteins (41,42). Ionizing radiation produces
316 radiolysis products, such as reactive oxygen species that inactivate proteome functions including those
317 involved in killing and DNA repair (39). Low energy electron irradiation, as an alternative to gamma
318 irradiation, inhibits NK-92 cell proliferation while maintaining higher cytotoxic capacity and for longer
319 periods of time and could therefore be considered for clinical applications (39). This report also indicates
320 that 2-hours after 10 Gy gamma irradiation, there is lower expression of genes encoding multiple
321 pathways that are critical to cell-mediated cytotoxicity (39). Considering the additional impact of direct
322 proteome damage by irradiation, alternative treatments such as induction of genetically introduced type II
323 restriction enzymes and pretreatment of cells with certain topoisomerase inhibitors (Hudig *et. al.*,
324 unpublished results) that only inflict damage to DNA could be used prior to adoptive transfer (42).

325 We discovered an Achille's heel for irradiated NK-92 cells, Fas/CD95, which has previously been noted
326 on the majority of activated NK cells (43,44) and on NK-92 cells (45). Despite high expression of CD95,
327 anti-Fas antibodies alone failed to affect proliferation or to initiate death of non-irradiated NK-92 cells
328 within one day, even though the non-irradiated cells did respond to Fas-ligation by shrinking in size. One
329 possible explanation for the NK-92 cell's low sensitivity to death after anti-Fas ligation is that there are

330 two pathways of Fas-mediated death, one of which relies on mitochondrial signal amplification. This type
331 II, intrinsic pathway is slow and readily inhibited by expression of the Bcl-2 family of apoptotic proteins
332 (29,46). Another possible explanation is that NK-92 cells may express wild-type PI-9, which inhibits the
333 caspase-dependent Fas/FasL-mediated death pathways (47). Intrinsic resistance to Fas-ligation is also
334 indicated by evidence that NK-92 cells constitutively produce soluble Fas ligand (39).

335 Even though the NK-92 cells resisted death by Fas, they did respond with decreased cytotoxic activity.
336 Fas-ligation alone could decrease NK-92 cytotoxicity to Raji cells, but these effects were always two-fold
337 or less for non-irradiated effector cells. However, for irradiated NK-92 cells the anti-Fas effect was
338 remarkably stronger, with just 10% or less of control killing remaining. In synergy, Fas-ligation and
339 irradiation profoundly reduced cytotoxicity (**Figure 4**). One possible explanation for this synergistic
340 effect, seen with cytotoxicity but not with viability, is that the cell shrinkage that occurred with Fas-
341 ligated irradiated, non-necrotic NK-92 cells impaired their activity. This shrinkage, that was absent from
342 Fas-ligated non-irradiated cells, is related to dehydration and has been reported as an early indicator of
343 cell death (48).

344 These findings suggest a serious risk for engagement of CD95 as a mechanism to hamper NK-92 cell
345 therapeutic efficacy *in vivo*, especially if a patient's tumor cells express the counter Fas-ligand (Fas-
346 L/CD178). After irradiation, NK-92 cells appear to have normal viability in the face of Fas-mediated
347 death receptor ligation but are considerably less-effective killers. A logical next step could be to remove
348 CD95 from NK-92 cells in order to reduce their susceptibility to rapid death via the Fas pathway. Recent
349 advances in CRISPR/Cas-9 have made the methodology a more efficient way to genetically engineer NK-
350 92 cells, including the implementation of multiple genetic changes at one time (49).

351 Having discovered that irradiation affects NK-92 cell susceptibility to Fas-ligation, we queried if
352 irradiation would also make NK-92 cells more vulnerable to attack when encountered by patient NK cells.
353 We found that irradiated NK-92 cells are susceptible to attack by both unstimulated and IL-2 LAK bNK,

354 whereas non-irradiated NK-92 cells were more resistant to killing (**Table 2**). These results contrast with
355 previous reports in which substantial killing to non-irradiated NK-92 cells (comparable to K562) was
356 observed (31,32). A technical consideration may contribute to these differences: the IL-2 concentration
357 used to maintain the susceptible NK-92 cells was 20 U/ml, while we used 1000 U/ml IL-2. Our results are
358 preliminary due to a limited number of NK cell donors but do indicate that, after irradiation, NK-92 cells
359 may become more sensitive to attack by circulating NK cells. This NK -mediated attack could potentially
360 be further promoted by antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) supported by IgG
361 antibodies that patients develop to NK-92 cell MHC class I proteins (21). We suggest that increased
362 sensitivity to host cell attack be monitored whenever NK-92 cells are genetically modified or are treated
363 before adoptive transfer.

364 Our research was limited to the cytotoxic NK line NK-92, which is only one of several lines that are being
365 evaluated for immunotherapies (**Additional File 1: Table S1**). Our study is also limited in that all assays
366 were conducted *in vitro*. Nonetheless, we were able to underscore the importance of serial killing as a
367 critical variable that may be compromised by pretreatments such as irradiation and by *in vivo* conditions
368 such as intratumor Fas ligand and bNK attack. The research indicates that other cells lines should be
369 similarly evaluated for potential on serial killing. Tumor counter-ligands other than Fas that stimulate NK
370 inhibitory receptors may also profoundly compromise serial killing, a possibility that is yet to be
371 explored. A broad implication is that it may become clinically worthwhile to genetically profile ligands
372 of a tumor environment that affect NK serial killing to select the best NK cell line for immunotherapy.

373 **Conclusions**

374 Based on these findings, our data warrant urgent changes for clinical immunotherapy. We indicated that
375 non-irradiated NK-92 cells are more effective than irradiated NK-92 cells in three ways: increased serial
376 killing, resistance to Fas-ligation effects, and resistance to attack by NK or LAK cells. Preclinical studies
377 of therapeutic efficacy testing non-irradiated NK-92 cells in murine models are overlooking these three
378 key limitations and thus are probably overestimating predicted outcomes for patients. Of greatest

379 importance to improved clinical outcomes is the need to replace irradiation with alternative methods of
380 anti-proliferative pretreatment and to test any alternative method for effects on serial killing of tumor
381 cells.

382 **Figures**

383 **Figure 1. NK-92 cell cytotoxicity and serial killing frequencies of Raji, Daudi and K562 targets.**

384 Each colored line/symbol represents %specific release at a different E:T. Dashed lines represent the
385 expected % dead targets if one target was killed by one effector at an E:T. **A.** Raji cells as ‘targets’. **B.**
386 Daudi cells as ‘targets’. **C.** K562 cells as ‘targets’. The three targets were assayed concurrently. The
387 standard deviations for each data point were less than 2% specific ⁵¹Cr release. The cytotoxicity towards
388 Raji cells is significantly greater than Daudi and K562 cells (E:T 1:32 linear regression p <0.01 & p
389 <0.001, respectively).

390 **Figure 2. Effects of irradiation on serial killing.** NK-92 cells were irradiated on the day of experiment
391 (A) or the day before the experiment and cultured with IL-2 (B). Cytotoxicity was measured after 8
392 hours. The KFs for the 1:16 E:Ts are indicated in the two boxes in the middle of the figure. E:Ts are
393 graphed on a log₁₀ scale. [***p-value <0.001 via regression analysis]

394 **Figure 3. Expression of CD95 (Fas) by NK-92 cells and effects of Fas-ligation on their viability.**

395 Fas-sensitive Jurkat cells were used as positive controls for Fas expression and death after a day of Fas
396 ligation. Dead cells are indicated by binding of FITC-labeled annexin V to phosphatidyl serine that is
397 externalized in the plasma membranes of dying cells. **A. Surface expression of Fas/CD95. 1.**
398 Expression of Fas by Jurkat cells. **1a.** Unlabeled cells. **1b.** Cells labeled with mAb anti-CD95 Fas. **2.**
399 Expression of Fas by non-irradiated NK-92 cells. 2a&2b are as indicated for 1a&1b. **3.** Expression of Fas
400 by NK-92 cells irradiated with 10 Gy. 3a&3b are as indicated for 1a&1b. **B. Induction of death with**
401 **control IgM or IgM anti-Fas in overnight culture.** By Overton subtraction, the conversion from
402 annexin V-low to annexin V-high cells (apoptotic and necrotic) was 52% for Jurkat cells, 5.8% for non-

403 irradiated NK-92 cells, and 9.2% for the irradiated NK-92 cells (*p <0.05). **C. Changes in cellular size**
404 **(forward scatter) in response to irradiation and Fas-ligation. 1.** Non-irradiated cells cultured with
405 media, IgM isotype, or anti-Fas IgM. **2.** Cells one day after 10 Gy irradiation, cultured with media, IgM
406 isotype, or anti-Fas IgM.

407 **Figure 4. Effects of CD95 (Fas)-ligation on serial killing by non-irradiated and irradiated NK-92**
408 **cells.** Non-irradiated or 10Gy irradiated cells were cultured for one day with nothing, 1 ug/ml control IgM
409 or IgM anti-Fas. Because K562 cells lack Fas, they were used as tumor ‘target’ cells to prevent addition
410 of anti-Fas-initiated “suicide” to target cell killing by NK-92 cells. Cytotoxic activity towards K562 cells
411 was measured after 8 hours. E:T ratios are approximate. **A. Anti-Fas ligation limited to non-irradiated**
412 **NK-92 cells.** Insert: LU₅₀/1M non-irradiated NK-92 cell, by treatment. **B. Anti-Fas ligation of 10 Gy**
413 **irradiated NK-92 cells.** Insert: LU₅₀/1M irradiated NK-92 cell, by treatment. [***p-value <0.001 via
414 regression analysis]

415 **Figure 5. Killing of NK-92 cells by either resting or activated primary blood NK cells.** The
416 susceptibility of NK-92 tumor cells to attack by primary peripheral blood NK cells from healthy donors
417 was investigated using NK-92 cells that were either non-irradiated or were irradiated with 10 Gy and then
418 cultured for one day. The primary NK cells were either resting or activated by three days culture with
419 1000 u/ml IL-2. Cytotoxicity was measured using 4-hour ⁵¹Cr-release assays; the gray dashed line
420 reflects the 10% threshold for positive killing. The results illustrated represent concurrent assays made
421 with E:Ts of viable effector NK cells from a single donor SC-0975 and are representative of 4
422 experiments each with a different donor. **A. Killing of NK-92 cells by resting primary NK cells.** LU₅₀s
423 were excluded for unstimulated NK cells because killing was over 10% for only the highest E:T. **B.**
424 **Killing of NK-92 cells by activated primary NK cells.** For both bNKs and LAKs, the cytotoxicity
425 towards non-irradiated versus irradiated NK-92 cells was significantly different at the highest E:T
426 (student t-test, *p < 0.03, **p < 0.005). **Insert for B.** LU₅₀/1M LAK bNK calculated using
427 TruCOUNT™ beads (Gating – **Additional File 1: Figure S1**).

428 **Declarations**

429 **Ethics approval and consent to participate** The blood lymphocytes were collected by STEMCELL
430 Technologies (Vancouver, Canada) under their authorization to obtain blood from healthy donors.

431 **Consent for publication** Not applicable.

432 **Availability of data and materials** The data that support the findings of this study are included in this
433 published article and its supplementary information files. Additional data are available from the
434 corresponding author on reasonable request.

435 **Competing interests** Not applicable.

436 **Funding** This work was supported in part by grants from the Nevada IDeA Network of Biomedical
437 Research Excellence (NIH GM103440), a Nevada Undergraduate Research Award, a UNR Foundation
438 Award and an NIH P30 for the Cytometry Center (NIH GM110767).

439 **Authors' contributions** LNG, DH, and MG designed the study with helpful suggestions from VL and
440 RM. LNG, DH, MG, and JCA performed the experiments and acquired data. LNG, DH, MG, and JSG
441 analyzed the data. LNG and DH wrote the manuscript. All authors were involved in the critical review
442 and editing of the manuscript and approved the final version.

443 **Acknowledgements** The authors thank William J. Murphy, Ph.D. for constructive suggestions, Carl
444 Ware, Ph.D. for helpful suggestions concerning measurement of anti-Fas effects, Myung Chul Jo for
445 irradiating the cells at UNR, Dana E. Reed for mycoplasma testing of cell lines, David M. White for
446 assistance with flow cytometry, and Matthew Isom for technical support.

447 **Additional Files**

448 Additional file 1.pdf, **Table S1. NK cell lines derived from malignancies or from blood NK cells of**
449 **healthy donors.** List of NK derived cell lines, including origin, year published, PMID, and expression of
450 CD16.

451 Additional file 2.pdf, **Figure S1. Flow cytometry to determine the number of primary NK cells**
452 **within peripheral blood mononuclear cells. A. Gating sequence. 1.** First, beads were gated by high
453 Pacific Orange signal. **2.** Cells without debris were gated by forward scatter (FSC-A) v side scatter (SSC-
454 A). **3.** Single cells (without doublets) were gated by SSC height versus SSC width. **4.** All cells were gated
455 on by Pacific Blue anti-CD45. **5.** Live cells were gated by Boolean not gate, taking 7-AAD positive cells
456 as dead cells. **6.** T-cells were gated out by Boolean not gate of FITC anti-CD3 positive T-cells. **7.** NK
457 cells were gated on as AF647 anti-CD244 positive cells. CD244 is expressed by CD8+ T-cells (gated out
458 with CD3) and all NK cells (24). **8.** NK cell staining for BV711 anti-CD16/CD56. **B. Expression of**
459 **CD16A & CD56 by resting and IL-2 activated (LAK) bNK cells.** Light blue samples are the unstained
460 control cells respective for each group. Red samples are stained CD16A and/or CD56. **1.** Unstimulated
461 bNK cells. **2.** Interleukin-2 activated LAK bNK cells.

462 Additional file 3.pdf, **Figure S2. Killing of NK-92 cells by either resting or activated primary blood**
463 **NK cells.** The susceptibility of NK-92 cells to attack by primary peripheral blood NK cells from healthy
464 donors was investigated using NK-92 cells that were either non-irradiated or were irradiated with 10 Gy
465 and then cultured for one day. The primary NK cells were either resting or activated by three days culture
466 with 1000 u/ml IL-2. Cytotoxicity was measured using 4-hour ⁵¹Cr-release assays; the horizontal gray
467 dashed line reflects the 10% threshold for positive killing. The results illustrated represent assays of
468 multiple donors across multiple experiments.

469 **References**

470 1. Miller JS, Lanier LL. Natural killer cells in cancer immunotherapy. Annual Review of Cancer
471 Biology. 2019;3(1).

- 472 2. Hodgins JJ, Khan ST, Park MM, Auer RC, Ardolino M. Killers 2.0: NK cell therapies at the
473 forefront of cancer control. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2019;129(9):3499–510.
- 474 3. Heipertz EL, Zynda ER, Stav-Noraas TE, Hungler AD, Boucher SE, Kaur N, et al. Current
475 Perspectives on “Off-The-Shelf” Allogeneic NK and CAR-NK Cell Therapies. *Frontiers in*
476 *Immunology*. 2021 Dec 1;12.
- 477 4. Isaaz S, Baetz K, Olsen K, Podack E, Griffiths GM. Serial killing by cytotoxic T lymphocytes: T
478 cell receptor triggers degranulation, re-filling of the lytic granules and secretion of lytic proteins
479 via a non-granule pathway. *European Journal of Immunology*. 1995 Apr;25(4).
- 480 5. Bhat R, Watzl C. Serial Killing of Tumor Cells by Human Natural Killer Cells – Enhancement by
481 Therapeutic Antibodies. *PLoS ONE*. 2007 Mar 28;2(3):e326.
- 482 6. Vanherberghen B, Olofsson PE, Forslund E, Sternberg-Simon M, Khorshidi MA, Pacouret S, et al.
483 Classification of human natural killer cells based on migration behavior and cytotoxic response.
484 *Blood*. 2013 Feb 21;121(8).
- 485 7. Perussia B, Trinchieri G. Inactivation of natural killer cell cytotoxic activity after interaction with
486 target cells. *Journal of Immunobiology*. 1981 Feb;126(2):754–8.
- 487 8. Romain G, Senyukov V, Rey-Villamizar N, Merouane A, Kelton W, Liadi I, et al. Antibody Fc
488 engineering improves frequency and promotes kinetic boosting of serial killing mediated by NK
489 cells. *Blood*. 2014 Nov 20;124(22).
- 490 9. Srpan K, Ambrose A, Karampatzakis A, Saeed M, Cartwright ANR, Guldevall K, et al. Shedding
491 of CD16 disassembles the NK cell immune synapse and boosts serial engagement of target cells.
492 *Journal of Cell Biology*. 2018;217(9).
- 493 10. Klingemann H, Boissel L, Toneguzzo F. Natural killer cells for immunotherapy - Advantages of
494 the NK-92 cell line over blood NK cells. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2016 Mar 14;7:91.
- 495 11. Vivier E, Raulet DH, Moretta A, Caligiuri MA, Zitvogel L, Lanier LL, et al. Innate or Adaptive
496 Immunity? The Example of Natural Killer Cells. *Science*. 2011 Jan 7;331(6013).
- 497 12. Gunesch JT, Angelo LS, Mahapatra S, Deering RP, Kowalko JE, Sleiman P, et al. Genome-wide
498 analyses and functional profiling of human NK cell lines. *Molecular Immunology*. 2019 Nov
499 1;115:64–75.
- 500 13. Yang HG, Kang MC, Kim TY, Hwang I, Jin HT, Sung YC, et al. Discovery of a novel natural
501 killer cell line with distinct immunostimulatory and proliferative potential as an alternative
502 platform for cancer immunotherapy. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer*. 2019 May 24;7(1).
- 503 14. Solocinski K, Padgett MR, Fabian KP, Wolfson B, Cecchi F, Hembrough T, et al. Overcoming
504 hypoxia-induced functional suppression of NK cells. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer*.
505 2020;8(1).
- 506 15. Suck G, Odendahl M, Nowakowska P, Seidl C, Wels WS, Klingemann HG, et al. NK-92: an ‘off-
507 the-shelf therapeutic’ for adoptive natural killer cell-based cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer*
508 *Immunology, Immunotherapy*. 2016 Apr 1;65(4):485–92.

- 509 16. Jochems C, Hodge JW, Fantini M, Tsang KY, Vandevener AJ, Gulley JL, et al. ADCC employing
510 an NK cell line (haNK) expressing the high affinity CD16 allele with avelumab, an anti-PD-L1
511 antibody. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2017 Aug 1;141(3):583–93.
- 512 17. Fabian KP, Padgett MR, Donahue RN, Solocinski K, Robbins Y, Allen CT, et al. PD-L1 targeting
513 high-affinity NK (t-haNK) cells induce direct antitumor effects and target suppressive MDSC
514 populations. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer*. 2020 May 20;8(1).
- 515 18. Arai S, Meagher R, Swearingen M, Myint H, Rich E, Martinson J, et al. Infusion of the allogeneic
516 cell line NK-92 in patients with advanced renal cell cancer or melanoma: A phase I trial.
517 *Cytotherapy*. 2008;10(6).
- 518 19. Tonn T, Schwabe D, Klingemann HG, Becker S, Esser R, Koehl U, et al. Treatment of patients
519 with advanced cancer with the natural killer cell line NK-92. *Cytotherapy*. 2013 Dec;15(12).
- 520 20. Burger M. Intracranial Injection of NK-92/5.28.z Cells in Patients With Recurrent HER2-positive
521 Glioblastoma (CAR2BRAIN). Identifier NCT03383978. National Library of Medicine U.S.; 2017.
- 522 21. Williams BA, Law AD, Routy B, denHollander N, Gupta V, Wang XH, et al. A phase I trial of
523 NK-92 cells for refractory hematological malignancies relapsing after autologous hematopoietic
524 cell transplantation shows safety and evidence of efficacy. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(51).
- 525 22. Klingemann H-G, Wong E, Maki G. A cytotoxic NK-cell line (NK-92) for ex vivo purging of
526 leukemia from blood. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 1996 May;2(2):68–75.
- 527 23. Golstein P. Cytotoxicity, Mechanisms of. *Encyclopedia of Immunology*. 1998 Jan 1;732–4.
- 528 24. McNerney ME, Lee KM, Kumar V. 2B4 (CD244) is a non-MHC binding receptor with multiple
529 functions on natural killer cells and CD8+ T cells. *Molecular Immunology*. 2005;42(4 SPEC.
530 ISS.).
- 531 25. Overton WR. Modified histogram subtraction technique for analysis of flow cytometry data.
532 *Cytometry [Internet]*. 1988 [cited 2021 Nov 18];9(6):619–26. Available from:
533 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3061754/>
- 534 26. Alter G, Malenfant JM, Altfeld M. CD107a as a functional marker for the identification of natural
535 killer cell activity. *Journal of Immunological Methods*. 2004;294(1–2).
- 536 27. Choi PJ, Mitchison TJ. Imaging burst kinetics and spatial coordination during serial killing by
537 single natural killer cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*
538 *of America*. 2013;110(16).
- 539 28. Xu Y, Zhou S, Lam YW, Pang SW. Dynamics of natural Killer cells cytotoxicity in Microwell
540 arrays with connecting channels. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2017;8:998.
- 541 29. Timmer T, de Vries EGE, de Jong S. Fas receptor-mediated apoptosis: A clinical application?
542 *Journal of Pathology*. 2002;196(2):125–34.
- 543 30. Matsui H, Tsuji S, Nishimura H, Nagasawa S. Activation of the alternative pathway of
544 complement by apoptotic Jurkat cells. *FEBS Letters*. 1994;351(3).

- 545 31. Bergman H, Sissala N, Hägerstrand H, Lindqvist C. Human NK-92 cells function as target cells
546 for human NK Cells – Implications for CAR NK-92 therapies. *Anticancer Research*.
547 2020;40(10):5355–9.
- 548 32. Bergman H, Lindqvist C. Human IL-15 inhibits NK cells specific for human NK-92 cells.
549 *Anticancer Research*. 2021 Jul 1;41(7):3281–5.
- 550 33. Ames E, Canter RJ, Grossenbacher SK, Mac S, Smith RC, Monjazebe AM, et al. Enhanced
551 targeting of stem-like solid tumor cells with radiation and natural killer cells. *OncoImmunology*.
552 2015;4(9).
- 553 34. Antona S, Platzman I, Spatz JP. Droplet-Based Cytotoxicity Assay: Implementation of Time-
554 Efficient Screening of Antitumor Activity of Natural Killer Cells. *ACS Omega*. 2020;5(38).
- 555 35. Suck G, Branch DR, Smyth MJ, Miller RG, Vergidis J, Fahim S, et al. KHYG-1, a model for the
556 study of enhanced natural killer cell cytotoxicity. *Experimental Hematology*. 2005;33(10).
- 557 36. Classen CF, Ushmorov A, Bird P, Debatin KM. The granzyme B inhibitor PI-9 is differentially
558 expressed in all main subtypes of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemias. *Haematologica*.
559 2004;89(11).
- 560 37. Zingoni A, Vulpis E, Loconte L, Santoni A. NKG2D Ligand Shedding in Response to Stress: Role
561 of ADAM10. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2020 Mar 25;11.
- 562 38. Molfetta R, Quatrini L, Santoni A, Paolini R. Regulation of NKG2D-dependent NK Cell
563 functions: The Yin and the Yang of receptor endocytosis. *International Journal of Molecular
564 Sciences*. 2017;18(8).
- 565 39. Walcher L, Kistenmacher AK, Sommer C, Böhlen S, Ziemann C, Dehmel S, et al. Low Energy
566 Electron Irradiation Is a Potent Alternative to Gamma Irradiation for the Inactivation of (CAR-
567)NK-92 Cells in ATMP Manufacturing. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2021 Jun 4;12.
- 568 40. Jochems C, Hodge JW, Fantini M, Fujii R, Maurice Morillon YI, Greiner JW, et al. An NK cell
569 line (haNK) expressing high levels of granzyme and engineered to express the high affinity CD16
570 allele. *Oncotarget* [Internet]. 2016 Dec;7(52):86359–73. Available from:
571 www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/
- 572 41. Krisko A, Radman M. Protein damage and death by radiation in *Escherichia coli* and *Deinococcus*
573 *radiodurans*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*.
574 2010;107(32).
- 575 42. Radman M. Protein damage, radiation sensitivity and aging. *DNA Repair*. 2016 Aug 1;44:186–92.
- 576 43. Robertson MJ, Manley TJ, Pichert G, Cameron C, Cochran KJ, Levine H, et al. Functional
577 consequences of APO-1/fas (CD95) antigen expression by normal and neoplastic hematopoietic
578 cells. *Leukemia and Lymphoma*. 1995;17(1–2).
- 579 44. Medvedev AE, Johnsen AC, Haux J, Steinkjer B, Egeberg K, Lynch DH, et al. Regulation of Fas
580 and Fas-ligand expression in NK cells by cytokines and the involvement of FAS-ligand in
581 NK/LAK cell-mediated cytotoxicity. *Cytokine*. 1997;9(6).
- 582 45. Han R, Wu WQ, Wu XP, Liu CY. Effect of total flavonoids from the seeds of *Astragali*
583 *complanati* on natural killer cell function. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2015;173.

- 584 46. Barnhart BC, Alappat EC, Peter ME. The CD95 Type I/Type II model. *Seminars in Immunology*.
585 2003;15(3):185–93.
- 586 47. Cunningham TD, Jiang X, Shapiro DJ. Expression of high levels of human proteinase inhibitor 9
587 blocks both perforin/granzyme and Fas/Fas ligand-mediated cytotoxicity. *Cellular Immunology*.
588 2007;245(1).
- 589 48. Wlodkowic D, Skommer J, Darzynkiewicz Z. Cytometry of apoptosis. Historical perspective and
590 new advances. *Experimental Oncology*. 2012;34(3).
- 591 49. Huang RS, Shih HA, Lai MC, Chang YJ, Lin S. Enhanced NK-92 Cytotoxicity by CRISPR
592 Genome Engineering Using Cas9 Ribonucleoproteins. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2020;11.
- 593

Figures

Figure 1

NK-92 cell cytotoxicity and serial killing frequencies of Raji, Daudi and K562 targets. Each colored line/symbol represents %specific release at a different E:T. Dashed lines represent the expected % dead targets if one target was killed by one effector at an E:T. A. Raji cells as 'targets'. B. Daudi cells as 'targets'. C. K562 cells as 'targets'. The three targets were assayed concurrently. The standard deviations for each data point were less than 2% specific ⁵¹Cr release. The cytotoxicity towards Raji cells is significantly greater than Daudi and K562 cells (E:T 1:32 linear regression $p < 0.01$ & $p < 0.001$, respectively).

Figure 2

Effects of irradiation on serial killing. NK-92 cells were irradiated on the day of experiment (A) or the day before the experiment and cultured with IL-2 (B). Cytotoxicity was measured after 8 hours. The KFs for the 1:16 E:Ts are indicated in the two boxes in the middle of the figure. E:Ts are graphed on a log₁₀ scale. [***p-value < 0.001 via regression analysis]

Figure 3

Expression of CD95 (Fas) by NK-92 cells and effects of Fas-ligation on their viability. Fas-sensitive Jurkat cells were used as positive controls for Fas expression and death after a day of Fas ligation. Dead cells are indicated by binding of FITC-labeled annexin V to phosphatidyl serine that is externalized in the plasma membranes of dying cells. A. Surface expression of Fas/CD95. 1. Expression of Fas by Jurkat cells. 1a. Unlabeled cells. 1b. Cells labeled with mAb anti-CD95 Fas. 2. Expression of Fas by non-irradiated NK-92 cells. 2a&2b are as indicated for 1a&1b. 3. Expression of Fas by NK-92 cells irradiated with 10 Gy. 3a&3b are as indicated for 1a&1b. B. Induction of death with control IgM or IgM anti-Fas in overnight culture. By Overton subtraction, the conversion from annexin V-low to annexin V-high cells (apoptotic and necrotic) was 52% for Jurkat cells, 5.8% for non-irradiated NK-92 cells, and 9.2% for the irradiated NK-92 cells (* $p < 0.05$). C. Changes in cellular size (forward scatter) in response to irradiation and Fas-ligation. 1. Non-irradiated cells cultured with media, IgM isotype, or anti-Fas IgM. 2. Cells one day after 10 Gy irradiation, cultured with media, IgM isotype, or anti-Fas IgM.

Figure 4

Effects of CD95 (Fas)-ligation on serial killing by non-irradiated and irradiated NK-92 cells. Non-irradiated or 10Gy irradiated cells were cultured for one day with nothing, 1 ug/ml control IgM or IgM anti-Fas. Because K562 cells lack Fas, they were used as tumor 'target' cells to prevent addition of anti-Fas-initiated "suicide" to target cell killing by NK-92 cells. Cytotoxic activity towards K562 cells was measured after 8 hours. E:T ratios are approximate. A. Anti-Fas ligation limited to non-irradiated NK-92 cells. Insert: LU50/1M non-irradiated NK-92 cell, by treatment. B. Anti-Fas ligation of 10 Gy irradiated NK-92 cells. Insert: LU50/1M irradiated NK-92 cell, by treatment. [***p-value <0.001 via regression analysis]

Figure 5

Killing of NK-92 cells by either resting or activated primary blood NK cells. The susceptibility of NK-92 tumor cells to attack by primary peripheral blood NK cells from healthy donors was investigated using NK-92 cells that were either non-irradiated or were irradiated with 10 Gy and then cultured for one day. The primary NK cells were either resting or activated by three days culture with 1000 u/ml IL-2. Cytotoxicity was measured using 4-hour ⁵¹Cr-release assays; the gray dashed line reflects the 10% threshold for positive killing. The results illustrated represent concurrent assays made with E:Ts of viable effector NK cells from a single donor SC-0975 and are representative of 4 experiments each with a different donor. A. Killing of NK-92 cells by resting primary NK cells. LU50s were excluded for unstimulated NK cells because killing was over 10% for only the highest E:T. B. Killing of NK-92 cells by activated primary NK cells. For both bNKs and LAKs, the cytotoxicity towards non-irradiated versus irradiated NK-92 cells was significantly different at the highest E:T (student t-test, *p < 0.03, **p < 0.005). Insert for B. LU50/1M LAK bNK calculated using TruCOUNT™ beads (Gating – Additional File 1: Figure S1).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionalfile1.pdf](#)
- [Additionalfile2.pdf](#)
- [AdditionalFile3.pdf](#)