

Prevalence of Inter-arm blood pressure difference among young healthy adults: Results from a large cross-sectional study on 3235 participants

Rawand Abdulrahman Essa

Department of Emergency, Rania Teaching Hospital, Rania, Sulaimani, Kurdistan-region, Iraq

Sirwan Khalid Ahmed (✉ sirwan.ahmed1989@gmail.com)

Department of Emergency, Rania Pediatric & Maternity Teaching Hospital, Rania, Sulaimani, Kurdistan-region, Iraq <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8361-0546>

Research Article

Keywords: Inter-arm blood pressure difference, systolic Inter-arm Difference, diastolic Inter-arm Difference, hand dominance, blood pressure.

Posted Date: February 17th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1208309/v3>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Medical Internet Research on September 8th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.2196/24195>.

Abstract

More than 100 years ago, the difference in blood pressure (BP) between arms was first reported. Recent studies have shown that different blood pressure between the right and left arm leads to cardiovascular events. Three thousand and thirty volunteers participated in our cross-sectional study. The sIABP was equal in 163 of 3030 persons (5.37%), dIABP was equal in 222 out of 3030 persons (7.32%), from a total of 792/3030 persons (26.1 %) sIAD > 10 mmHg, and dIAD > or =10 mmHg was found in 927 out of 3030 persons (33.5%) in the right arm, and 32.4% in the left arm. In 2692 of 3030 volunteers BP, initially recorded in the dominant hand (right arm), showing sIAD > or = 10 mmHg was found in 943 (37.1%) volunteers, and when the first measurement was done in 338 left-handed volunteers it showed sIAD > or = 10 mmHg in 112 of 338 (34.1%), $P < 0.001$; 95% confidence interval for systolic right hand were (115.73:116.73), and for systolic left hand 95% confidence interval were (113.17:114.15). Furthermore, height, residential area, and heart rate above 90 bpm had a significant effect on IAD ($P = .041, .002, <001$, respectively). In conclusions significant inter-arm systolic and diastolic BP differences above (10 mm Hg) is common in the young, healthy population. Hand dominance is a significant consideration while measuring blood pressure. It is mandatory to measure blood pressure in both arms in a sitting position with a stable condition.

Highlights

Evidence before this study

- More than 100 years ago, the difference in blood pressure (BP) between arms was first reported. Recent studies have shown that different blood pressure between the right and left arm leads to cardiovascular events.
- The prevalence of IAD has been estimated only in older adults, diseased adults, pregnant women and diabetic patients. In a young healthy population, the prevalence is unknown
- In most of the other studies, BP was measured in the right arm for detection of hypertension

Added value of this study

- The aim of the present study was to establish of an inter-arm blood pressure difference (IAD) and the dominant hands effect on the elevation blood pressure among young healthy population.
- In this study the prevalence of IAD among young healthy adults is reported
- It is mandatory to measure blood pressure in both arms in a sitting position with a stable condition

1. Introduction

Blood pressure should be measured in both arms due to differences in values between them to avoid under-diagnosis of hypertension [1]. In the clinical setting, both systolic and diastolic values should be measured [2]. Accurate assessment of blood pressure is mandatory to prevent cardiovascular,

cerebrovascular, and renal diseases because hypertension is a modifiable risk factor that increases mortality and morbidity [3]. Detection of inter-arm difference is an indication of peripheral vascular disease; therefore, knowledge of evaluation, recent guidelines, and risk factors is essential [2]. IAD is recognized as a sign of peripheral vascular disease (PVD), and it may be a prognostic factor for cardiovascular disease and causes decreasing of ankle-brachial pressure index (ABPI) [2].

In the latest United Kingdom guideline for the management of hypertension, [2] and the 2017 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guideline and the seventh report of Joint National Committee, [3] taking BP in both arms is recommended and the higher value should be recorded. Difficulty in the measurement of BP in bilateral arms arises due to cost, lack of time, manpower, and workforce; in most of the other studies, BP was measured in the right arm for detection of hypertension [3]. The prevalence of IAD has been estimated only in older adults, diseased adults, and pregnant women. In a young healthy population, the prevalence is unknown as few studies have been done [1]. There are many studies and meta-analyses on diseased patients or in patients admitted to ICU [4–9], but rarely IABPD has been studied in healthy populations [10, 11]. In Hirono's study of 700 cardiovascular disease patients, 11% of patients had higher BP in the left arm than the right by approximately 5 mmHg, but in 16 % of patients the right arm BP was higher than the left by a minimum 5 mmHg, the other having IAD of less than 5% [12]. In a study by Cassidy and Jones, right arm blood pressure was higher than the left arm by approximately 4-5 mmHg [13]. The right arm SBP was higher in 147 hypertensive patients by approximately 2-3 mmHg [14]. Another study with 877 patients in whom IASBPD > 2 mmHg showed that SBP was similar in 9%, higher in the right arm in 48%, and higher in the left arm in 43% of patients with no difference in mean SBP between left or right arms [1]. The aim of the present study was to establish of an inter-arm blood pressure difference (IAD) and the dominant hands effect on the elevation blood pressure among young healthy population.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1. Background and study design: This was a cross-sectional study using quantitative method for both data collection and data analysis and carried out at the Sulaimanyah governorate Kurdistan Region, Iraq among a young, healthy population.

2.2. Population: Volunteers were 3,030 outdoor young healthy for the study from 13 May 2019 up to 22 February 2020. Direct permission was received from volunteers for clinical knowledge. The inclusion criteria were: being between the ages of 15 and 40 years; and being in good health. The exclusion criteria excluded 205 volunteers: one case was below 15 years; 49 were above 40 years; 155 had chronic diseases by a medical diagnosis like; 8 were hypertensive; 7 were known to have diabetes mellitus; 10 had hyperthyroidism; 25 had hypothyroidism; 45 had hypercholesterolemia; 22 had renal diseases; 1 had heart problems; and 27 had genetic disease all of which were thalassemia.

2.3. Instrument and tools: For data collection, the study instruments were composed of an oscillometer monitor (OMRON model M2 HEM-7120-E, Healthcare Co., Ltd., Japan, accuracy \pm 3mmHg, pulse

indicator, Arm Cuff 22-32cm, with Movement Indicator, Hypertension indicator, and Irregular heartbeat detection) and standard mercury Sphygmomanometer (ALPK2-300 VSN Aluminum die cast body brown, manometer 0 -300 mmHg, Accuracy \pm 2mmHg , air system Velcro cuff with latex bag, bulb with valves, weight 1.18 kg), with a fingertip pulse oximeter (model YK-80C) and stethoscope (MDF single head stethoscope). The tools selected were based on an extensive review of related literature and studies about inter-arm blood pressure differences in the young, healthy population.

2.4. Blood Pressure Measurements and data collection: The data were collected using a constructed tool, and face-to-face interviews with the participants. Volunteers were seated for 10 minutes before measurements and refrained from smoking or caffeine ingestion and exercise for > 30 minutes. The volunteer's arm was kept at heart level during the measurement, and using an appropriately sized cuff, two measurements were made in the sitting position, and the mean BP in each arm was recorded. At least one to two minutes elapsed between BP measurements. BP was taken in the first arm (dominant hand); the arm was supported during each measurement. No tight clothing constricted the arm, participants sat in a chair with legs flat on the floor; three trained nurses experienced in collecting clinical data measured brachial BP.

2.5. Statistical Analysis: The data were organized and coded into computer files, by using SPSS version 25. Data were expressed as percentages of individuals with systolic IAD and diastolic IAD and mean (\pm standard deviation) for the inter-arm difference in systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). To evaluate the association between IAD and age, BMI, heart rate, systolic BP, and diastolic BP Pearson's correlation test was used. The Chi-square test was used to compare the prevalence inter-arm difference category between groups; $P < 0.05$ was considered significant, while $P < .001$ was considered significant.

3. Results

We studied 3235 young, healthy participants from 13 May 2019 to 22 February 2020. We excluded 205 volunteers; they were not healthy.

Finally, 3,030 volunteers remained (1377 (45.4%) male, 1653 (54.6%) female, mean age 19.5 (\pm 5) years old, mean BMI 22.3 (\pm 3.9)) all were in good health. Of 3030 participants, 2732 (90.1 %) were single, 297 of 3030 volunteers (9.8%) were married, only one female was divorced. There was no relationship between these factors and IAD, ($P = 0.451$). Regarding the weight of participants 1904 out of 3030 (62.8%) had normal weight, and 124 (4.1%) were obese. A total of 115 /3030 persons (3.7%) had a hard-physical occupation (hard duty), 751 out of 3030 volunteers (24.7%) had a moderate duty, and 2164 out of 3030 volunteers (71.4%) had a low duty (**Tables 1, 2, 5**).

Furthermore, 1369 out of 3030 (45.2 %) persons had never previously had their blood pressure measured; a total of 3030 volunteers 1634 had normal BP, 592 had pre-hypertension, but hypertension stage1 was recorded in 420, and hypertension Stage 2 was recorded in 221volunteers (**Table S1&Table 6**).

Regarding the prevalence of inter-arm difference, a total of 163/3030 persons (5.37%) sIABP was equal, a total of 222/3030 persons (7.3%) dIABP was equal, a total of 926/3030 persons (30.7 %) sIAD was 1-4 mmHg, 1149 of 3030 persons (37.88%) sIAD was 5-10 mmHg, and 792 out of 3030 (26.1 %) persons sIAD > 10 mmHg (**Table 3**). The dIAD > or =10 mmHg was found in 508/1515 persons (33.5%)

in the right arm, and 419/1293 persons (32.4%) in the left arm (**Table 4**). The IAD was greater in males ($P < .001$) (**Table 5**).

Moreover, a total of 85/3030 persons (3%) were past smokers, 288 of 3030 persons (9.5%) were current smokers mostly smoking 10 to 20 cigarettes per day, a total of 290/3030 persons (9.6%) were smoking a hookah (**Table S2**). Nearly all had IAD ranging from 1-20 mmHg, and 30% of their IAD was above 10 mmHg ($P < .001$) (**Table 5**). In 2692 volunteers, BP was initially recorded in the dominant hand (right arm), but showed sIAD 5-9 mmHg in 787 out of 2692 persons (30.99%), and sIAD > or = 10 mmHg was found in 943 of 2692 volunteers (37.1%) in the right arm. The first measurement was done in 338 left-handed volunteers and showed sIAD 5-9 mmHg in 99 out of 338 volunteers (30%), and sIAD > or = 10 mmHg in 112 out of 338 (34.1%), ($P < .001$) (**Table 4**). In addition, the blood oxygen level SPO₂ of the all volunteers was normal. There was no association with basic SPO₂, ($P = 0.20$) (**Table 5**).

On the other hand, the height of participants had an effect on IAD ($P = .041$), and the residential area had a significant effect on IAD; urban participants had more inter-arm difference than rural area participants, ($P = .002$) (**Table 5**). The blood group has no effect on inter-arm difference, ($P = 0.65$) (**Table 5**); a total of 440/3030 volunteers (14.5 %) were under weight, 558 of 3030 volunteers (18.4%) were overweight. The IAD of overweight persons showed that 180 of 558 volunteers (32.2%) had IAD; 123 had IAD approximately 5-10 mmHg, and 57 had > 10 mmHg, ($P = .046$). In addition, 124 of 3030 volunteers (4.1%) were obese, just 30 of 124 (24%) had IAD; 18 had IAD approximately 5-10 mmHg, and 12 had >10 mmHg, ($P = .04$) (**Table S3**). The heart rate of 985 of 3030 volunteers was above 90 bpm, and 234 of 985 had IAD; in 162 (% 69.2) were between 5-10 mmHg, in 72 (%30.76) were >10 mmHg, ($P = .001$) (**Table S4**).

4. Discussion

One of the main goals of this study to establish prevalence of IAD and effect of dominant hand on elevation blood pressure in young healthy population. The present study revealed that prevalence sIAD more than 10 mmHg was found in 792 of 3030 volunteers (26.1%), and dIAD > or =10 mmHg was found in 927 of 3030 volunteers (30.5%). In our study, the dominant hand had a significant effect on elevation blood pressure. The left-handed persons SBP was higher in the left hand than the right hand.

Most of the previous studies were on patients with cardiovascular diseases and diabetic patients [4, 6, 7, 12, 15,16,17]. Few studies were done with young, healthy population [10]. Our study involved young, healthy populations from the age of 15 to 40 years. The prevalence of IAD is greater in known hypertensive patients [18]. According to NICE, and Beevers's guidance, the range of IAD below 10 mmHg can be healthy, but more than 10 mmHg should be referred to a specialist [19, 20]. Harvey's did not find a

role of hand dominance with IABPD [21]. In our study, the dominant hand has higher blood pressure than non-dominant, as shown by Olmedilla's and Loenneke's studies, and they hypothesised this might be related to larger circumference due to greater muscle mass and biceps girth [22, 23]. In other studies, the right arm had higher SBP with no relationship to hand dominance [13, 14]. Our study in left-handed persons, showed higher SBP in the left arm than the right arm.

In our study sIAD in smokers is significantly higher than non-smokers. It is like Donfrancesco's study, which involved young, healthy smoker Italian adults [24]. In Daniel's study, there was no significant IAD associated with smoking and hypertension [25]. Our study shows a significant difference associated with smoking and high blood pressure; ($P < .001$ and $P < .001$, respectively). In the same study, there was no association between IAD and BMI [26]. In our study, there is a significant difference ($P = .046$). Singh, in his pairwise meta-analysis of five studies, found that IASBPD had no relation to age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, or smoking [26]. In the present study, IABPD is greater in males, hypertensive, and smokers, but because we only involved young, healthy populations, we do not have data on diseased populations. Thus, our results did not show any relationship between IAD and cardiovascular risk. However, Clark et al and some other studies found that an IAD > 15 mmHg is a risk factor for vascular diseases and death [18]. At first, the IAD was suspected as a sign of aortic aneurysm [27], but in an early study, the IAD has no relation with aneurysm [28]. CE Clark showed that IAD > 10 mmHg in patients with CVD was 19%, but patients without CVD it was 2.7 % [2]. Moll found that 83% of patients had innominate or subclavian artery stenosis on the side that had higher blood pressure [29]. Hennereci and Lawson found that 78-88% of subclavian steal syndrome caused IAD [30, 31]. Baribeau and Sin Lau found that sIAD ≥ 15 mmHg is related to carotid and aortic artery disease by angiography; therefore, the affected arm had hypertension [32, 33]. Siyu and Johansson showed that DBP has a significant effect on cardiovascular disorders [34, 35], and Hu et al showed the appearance of flow-mediated dilation of the arm due to dIAD that caused arterial endothelium lesion [36]. The dIAD ≥ 4 mmHg and sIAD ≥ 6 mmHg caused intracranial and extra cranial arterial stenosis, respectively [37]. The prevalence of sIABPD > 10 mmHg (26.1%) in our study is higher than all previous studies [1,10,38,18,39], even in young, healthy normotensive adults [21]. In previous studies, sIAD ≥ 10 mmHg was 5-15%, 3-7%, 23.5%, 23,5%, 1.4-38%, 34%, respectively [40,41,18,43,39,5,15,43,7], and dIAD ≥ 10 mmHg was 7%, 14%. 14.5%, respectively. [1,42,18,43,39]. Nevertheless, in our study the sIAD ≥ 10 was % 36.79 % of participants without any previous vascular disease. In a few studies, the BP is higher in the right arm than the left arm [13, 41]. BP should be measured in both arms so as not to miss hypertension. Poon showed that 30% of hypertensive patients had normal blood pressure in whom single arm BP had been measured [45]. Our study showed that obesity has a high risk of increasing IAD as Kimura's study in Japan showed that obese patients had more sIAD > 10 mmHg [46]. sIAD is higher in the right arm in the general population, according to Johansson's study and other studies [35]. However, in Wei ma's study sIAD is higher in the left arm than the right site but dIAD is lower. Clark in his cross-sectional study showed that IASBPD > 10 & 15 mmHg is related to PAD [18]. Canepa et al measured carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity for detection of arterial stiffness and found that persons who had IAD > 10 mmHg have recorded higher (cf-PWV) [47]. Jiji also showed that IAD has a significant relation with arterial stiffness; therefore, detection of IABPD is

mandatory to decrease cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [43]. Verberk's meta-analysis showed that the IABPD should be measured precisely because this concurrent checking of BP in both arms is required [42]. Daniel recommends measuring blood pressure in the arm with higher blood pressure to diagnose potential hypertension [25]. However, this cross-sectional study was conducted with young, healthy adults who are apparently stable, Because of a lack of long-term follow-up; we could not conclude on the long-term effects of these results. The sequential or simultaneous measurement requires further research.

5. Conclusion

Significant Inter-arm difference (>10 mm Hg) is common in the young, healthy population. Hand dominance is significant for considering while measuring blood pressure. In Left-handed (dominant) persons, the pressure is higher than their right site. The rate was mostly between 5-10 mm Hg. When IAD is more than 20 mm Hg requires proper assessment to detect any underlying pathology. It is mandatory to measure blood pressure in both arms in a sitting position with a stable condition. The sequential measurement or the simultaneous measurement needs more research to find the benefit of each. The Inter-arm blood pressure difference is significant in young, healthy persons needs to follow up for a long time

Abbreviations

ABPI: ankle-brachial pressure index

BMI body mass index

BP: blood pressure

CVD: cardiovascular diseases

DIABP: Diastolic Inter Arm Blood Pressure

DIAD: Diastolic Inter Arm Difference

IAD: inter-arm difference

IASBPD: Inter Arm Systolic Blood Pressure Difference

ICU: Intensive Care Unit,

PVD: Peripheral vascular disease

SIABP: Systolic Inter Arm Blood Pressure

SIAD: Systolic Inter Arm Difference

Declarations

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict to be declared.

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Trial registry number

Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: Special thanks for Rozhgar Mohammed Qadir and Zhalla Khdir Mire have a major role in the data collection and for Roger Watson professor of Nursing, School of Health & Social Work, at University of Hull in UK for reviewing, editing and proofreading this paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned, externally peer-reviewed.

References

1. Grossman A, Prokupetz A, Gordon B, Morag-Koren N, Grossman E. Inter-arm blood pressure differences in young, healthy patients. *The Journal of Clinical Hypertension Wiley Online Library*; 2013;15(8):575–578.
2. Clark CE, Campbell JL, Evans PH, Millward A. Prevalence and clinical implications of the inter-arm blood pressure difference: a systematic review. *Journal of human hypertension Nature Publishing Group*; 2006;20(12):923–931.
3. Song BM, Kim HC, Shim J-S, Kang DR. Comparison between right and left upper arms in detection of hypertension. *Korean circulation journal* 2019;49(3):267–277.
4. Cao K, Xu J, Shangguan Q, Hu W, Li P, Cheng X, Su H. Association of an inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: an updated meta-analysis of cohort studies. *International journal of cardiology Elsevier*; 2015;189:211–219.
5. Clark CE, Taylor RS, Shore AC, Campbell JL. Prevalence of systolic inter-arm differences in blood pressure for different primary care populations: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Gen Pract*

British Journal of General Practice; 2016;66(652):e838–e847.

6. Zhou M, Gao Z, Chen F, Xu H, Dong X, Ma L. The inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference and risk of cardiovascular mortality: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Clinical and Experimental Hypertension Taylor & Francis*; 2016;38(3):317–324.
7. Kranenburg G, Spiering W, de Jong PA, Kappelle LJ, de Borst GJ, Cramer MJ, Visseren FLJ, Aboyans V, Westerink J, group S study. Inter-arm systolic blood pressure differences, relations with future vascular events and mortality in patients with and without manifest vascular disease. *International Journal of Cardiology Elsevier*; 2017;244:271–276.
8. Kim JY, Kim EJ, Namgung J, Cho B-R, Nam C-W, Kim Y-K, Park JB. Between-visit reproducibility of inter-arm systolic blood pressure differences in treated hypertensive patients: the coconet study. *Hypertension Research Nature Publishing Group*; 2017;40(5):483–486.
9. Rosenberger J, McCrudden S, McCullough C, Wang L, Kime J, Albert NM. Factors associated with inter-arm blood pressure differences in patients admitted to critical care units. *Heart & Lung Elsevier*; 2018;47(2):100–106.
10. Fotherby MD, Panayiotou B, Potter JF. Age-related differences in simultaneous interarm blood pressure measurements. *Postgraduate medical journal The Fellowship of Postgraduate Medicine*; 1993;69(809):194–196.
11. Kim KB, Oh MK, Kim HG, Ki JH, Lee SH, Kim SM. Inter-arm differences in simultaneous blood pressure measurements in ambulatory patients without cardiovascular diseases. *Korean journal of family medicine Korean Academy of Family Medicine*; 2013;34(2):98.
12. Hirono A, Kusunose K, Kageyama N, Sumitomo M, Abe M, Fujinaga H, Sata M. Development and validation of optimal cut-off value in inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference for prediction of cardiovascular events. *Journal of Cardiology Elsevier*; 2018;71(1):24–30.
13. Cassidy P, Jones K. A study of inter-arm blood pressure differences in primary care. *Journal of human hypertension Nature Publishing Group*; 2001;15(8):519–522.
14. Eguchi K, Yacoub M, Jhalani J, Gerin W, Schwartz JE, Pickering TG. Consistency of blood pressure differences between the left and right arms. *Archives of internal medicine American Medical Association*; 2007;167(4):388–393.
15. Tokitsu T, Yamamoto E, Hirata Y, Fujisue K, Sugamura K, Maeda H, Tsujita K, Kaikita K, Hokimoto S, Sugiyama S. Relationship between inter-arm blood pressure differences and future cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease. *Journal of hypertension LWW*; 2015;33(9):1780–1790.
16. Kristensen BØ, Kornerup HJ. Which arm to measure the blood pressure? *Acta Medica Scandinavica Wiley Online Library*; 1982;212(S670):69–73.

17. Miyashima M, Shoji T, Kakutani Y, Yamazaki Y, Ochi A, Morioka T, Shinohara-Mitsuki K, Fukumoto S, Shioi A, Inaba M. Inter-Arm Blood Pressure Difference in Diabetes Mellitus and Its Preferential Association with Peripheral Artery Disease. *Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis Japan Atherosclerosis Society*; 2019;52886.
18. Clark CE, Taylor RS, Shore AC, Ukoumunne OC, Campbell JL. Association of a difference in systolic blood pressure between arms with vascular disease and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Elsevier*; 2012;379(9819):905–914.
19. (NICE) NI for H and CE. NICE Guideline CG127 hypertension: clinical management of primary hypertension in adults. 2011.
20. Beevers G, Lip GHY, O'Brien E. ABC of hypertension. Part II. Conventional sphygmomanometry: technique of auscultatory blood pressure measurement. *BMJ* 2001;322:1043–1047.
21. Mayrovitz HN. Inter-arm systolic blood pressure dependence on hand dominance. *Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging Wiley Online Library*; 2019;39(1):35–41.
22. Olmedillas H, Sanchis-Moysi J, Fuentes T, Guadalupe-Grau A, Ponce-González JG, Morales-Alamo D, Santana A, Dorado C, Calbet JAL, Guerra B. Muscle hypertrophy and increased expression of leptin receptors in the musculus triceps brachii of the dominant arm in professional tennis players. *European journal of applied physiology Springer*; 2010;108(4):749–758.
23. Loenneke JP, Loprinzi PD, Abe T, Thiebaud RS, Allen KM, Mouser JG, Bemben MG. Arm circumference influences blood pressure even when applying the correct cuff size: Is a further correction needed? *International Journal of Cardiology Elsevier*; 2016;202:743–744.
24. Donfrancesco C, Palmieri L, Vannucchi S, Lo Noce C, Dima F, Vanuzzo D, Giampaoli S, Cardiovascolari reparto di E delle malattie cerebro e, Cnesps-Iss. Salute cardiovascolare degli italiani: i dati preliminari dell'indagine Oec/ Hes 2008–12 [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jul 19]. Available from: <https://www.epicentro.iss.it/cardiovascolare/Salutecardiotaliani.asp>
25. Neunhäuserer D, Gasperetti A, Ortolan S, Battista F, Pettenella P, Zaccaria M, Bergamin M, Ermolao A. Inter-arm Systolic Blood Pressure Difference in Physically Active, Adult Subjects. *High Blood Pressure & Cardiovascular Prevention Springer*; 2018;25(3):303–307.
26. Singh S, Sethi A, Singh M, Khosla S. Prevalence of simultaneously measured interarm systolic blood pressure difference and its clinical and demographic predictors: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Blood pressure monitoring Wolters Kluwer*; 2015;20(4):178–185.
27. Osler W. *Modern Medicine*. Lea & Febiger, editor. Philadelphia; 1915.
28. Bing HJ. Ueber die Blutdruckmessung bei Menschen. *Berl Klin Wchnschr* 1906;43:1650.

29. Moll F, Six J, Mutsaerts D. Misleading upper extremity blood pressure measurements in vascular occlusive disease. *Bruit* 1983;8:18–19.
30. Hennerici M, Klemm C, Rautenberg W. The subclavian steal phenomenon: a common vascular disorder with rare neurologic deficits. *Neurology AAN Enterprises*; 1988;38(5):669.
31. LAWSON J, PETRACEK M, BUCKSPAN G, DEAN R. Subclavian steal: review of the clinical manifestations. *Southern Medical Journal* 1979;72(11):1369–1373.
32. Baribeau Y, Westbrook BM, Charlesworth DC, Hearne MJ, Bradley WA, Maloney CT. Brachial gradient in cardiac surgical patients. *Circulation Am Heart Assoc*; 2002;106(12_suppl_1):I–11.
33. Lau YS, Yeung JMC, Lingam MK. Vascular disease of the upper limb. *Modern Hypertension Management MEDICAL EDUCATION PARTNERSHIP*; 2003;5:9–11.
34. Yu S, Zhou Y, Wu K, Zhou X, Yang Y, Qiu H, Liu X, Ke J, Wang X, Li Z. Association of interarm blood pressure difference with cardio-cerebral vascular disease: A community-based, cross-sectional study. *The Journal of Clinical Hypertension Wiley Online Library*; 2019;21(8):1115–1123.
35. Johansson JK, Puukka PJ, Jula AM. Interarm blood pressure difference and target organ damage in the general population. *Journal of hypertension LWW*; 2014;32(2):260–266.
36. Hu W, Li J, Su H, Wang J, Xu J, Liu Y, Huang M, Cheng X. The inter-arm diastolic blood pressure difference induced by one arm ischemia: a new approach to assess vascular endothelia function. *PLoS One Public Library of Science*; 2014;9(1):e84765.
37. Wang Y, Zhang J, Qian Y, Tang X, Ling H, Chen K, Li Y, Gao P, Zhu D. Association of inter-arm blood pressure difference with asymptomatic intracranial and extracranial arterial stenosis in hypertension patients. *Scientific reports Nature Publishing Group*; 2016;6:29894.
38. Arnett DK, Tang W, Province MA, Oberman A, Ellison RC, Morgan D, Eckfeldt JH, Hunt SC. Interarm differences in seated systolic and diastolic blood pressure: the Hypertension Genetic Epidemiology Network study. *Journal of hypertension LWW*; 2005;23(6):1141–1147.
39. Lane D, Beevers M, Barnes N, Bourne J, John A, Malins S, Beevers DG. Inter-arm differences in blood pressure: when are they clinically significant? *Journal of hypertension LWW*; 2002;20(6):1089–1095.
40. Sun L, Zou T, Wang B-Z, Liu F, Yuan Q-H, Ma Y-T, Ma X. Epidemiological investigation into the prevalence of abnormal inter-arm blood pressure differences among different ethnicities in Xinjiang, China. *PloS one Public Library of Science San Francisco, CA USA*; 2018;13(1):e0188546.
41. Song BM, Kim HC, Shim J-S, Lee MH, Choi DP. Inter-arm difference in brachial blood pressure in the general population of Koreans. *Korean circulation journal* 2016;46(3):374–383.

42. Verberk WJ, Kessels AGH, Thien T. Blood pressure measurement method and inter-arm differences: a meta-analysis. *American journal of hypertension* Oxford University Press; 2011;24(11):1201–1208.
43. Inassi J, Razeena KC, Jowhara P V. Interarm blood pressure difference: Magnitude and prevalence in healthy adults. *National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology Association of Physiologists, Pharmacists & Pharmacologists*; 2017;7(11):1186–1189.
44. Weinberg I, Gona P, O'Donnell CJ, Jaff MR, Murabito JM. The systolic blood pressure difference between arms and cardiovascular disease in the Framingham Heart Study. *The American journal of medicine* Elsevier; 2014;127(3):209–215.
45. Poon LCY, Kametas N, Strobl I, Pachoumi C, Nicolaidis KH. Inter-arm blood pressure differences in pregnant women. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* Wiley Online Library; 2008;115(9):1122–1130.
46. Kimura A, Hashimoto J, Watabe D, Takahashi H, Ohkubo T, Kikuya M, Imai Y. Patient characteristics and factors associated with inter-arm difference of blood pressure measurements in a general population in Ohasama, Japan. *Journal of hypertension* LWW; 2004;22(12):2277–2283.
47. Canepa M, Milaneschi Y, Ameri P, AlGhatrif M, Leoncini G, Spallarossa P, Pontremoli R, Brunelli C, Strait JB, Lakatta EG. Relationship between inter-arm difference in systolic blood pressure and arterial stiffness in community-dwelling older adults. *The Journal of Clinical Hypertension* Wiley Online Library; 2013;15(12):880–887.

Tables

Table 1: Demographic and Clinical Measurements of the study sample.
Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation.

	N	Mean age/years	Mean BMI	Systolic BP mmHg		Diastolic BP mmHg	
				Right hand	Left hand	Right hand	Left hand
Entire Cross-Sectional	3030	19.5 \pm 5	22.3 \pm 3.9	116.2 \pm 14	113.6 \pm 13	72.9 \pm 10	72.37 \pm 11
Right first hand	1692	20 \pm 5	22.3 \pm 3.8	116.4 \pm 14	113.1 \pm 13	72.8 \pm 11	72.12 \pm 11
Left first hand	338	20 \pm 5	22.5 \pm 3.7	114 \pm 13	118.2 \pm 13	73.1 \pm 10	74.3 \pm 10

Table 2: General characteristics of study participants.

Variables	Total N (%)	Male N (%)	Female N (%)	P-Value
Age (years)	19.56 ± 5.14	20.65 ± 5.96	18.65 ± 4.13	<.001
Height (cm)	165.83 ± 9.82	172.96 ± 7.68	159.89 ± 7.08	<.001
Weight (kg)	61.84 ± 13.39	68.58 ± 13.37	56.23 ± 10.52	<.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.38 ± 3.84	22.87 ± 3.95	21.97 ± 3.68	<.001
Classification of BMI				<.001
Under weight (BMI >18.5)	440 (14.5)	169 (38.4)	271 (61.6)	
Normal weight (BMI 18.5 - 24.99)	1904 (62.83)	831 (43.6)	1073 (56.3)	
Over weight (BMI 25 - 29.99)	562 (18.5)	304 (55)	258 (45.9)	
Obesity (BMI ≤ 30)	124 (4.1)	73 (59)	51 (41)	
Marital status				<.001
Single	2732 (90.16)	1182 (43.26)	1550 (56.73)	
Married	297 (9.8)	195 (65.6)	102 (34.3)	
Divorced	1 (0)		1 (0)	
Occupational Status				<.001
High duty	115 (3.7)	66 (57)	49 (42)	
Moderate duty	751 (%24.7)	453 (%60.3)	298 (39.6)	
Low duty	2164 (71.4)	858 (39.6)	1306(60.35)	
Level of Education				<.001
Unable to read and write	10 (0.33)	5 (50)	5 (50)	
Able to read and write	17 (0.56)	7 (41.17)	10 (58)	
High school	2356 (77.75)	1122 (47.6)	1234 (52.37)	
Institute Graduate	41 (1)	26 (63.4)	15 (36)	
Under Graduate	483 (15.9)	158 (32.7)	325 (67.2)	
College Graduate	119 (3.9)	56 (47)	63 (53)	
Post Graduate	4 (0.13)	3 (75)	1 (25)	

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation, or numbers (%). The p value was derived from independent t-test, chi square, test. BMI = body mass index; underweight if BMI>18.5; normal weight if BMI (18.5-24.99); over weight if BMI 25-29.99); and obesity if BMI ≤30.

Table 3: Inter-Arm Blood Pressure (BP) Difference for the Entire Study Population. Data are presented as frequency and percentages.

Inter-Arm Difference	BP	All N (%)	Systolic BP		All (%)	N	Diastolic BP	
			Right Arm>Left Arm	Left Arm>Right Arm			Right Arm>Left Arm	Left Arm>Right Arm
Entire cross-sectional								
0 mm/Hg		163 (5.3)			222(7.3)			
1 mm/Hg		303 (10)	213	90	245 (8.1)	119	126	
2 mm/Hg		230 (7.5)	155	75	265 (8.7)	140	125	
3 mm/Hg		225 (7.4)	138	87	229. (7.3)	116	104	
4 mm/Hg		168 (5.5)	114	54	198 (6.5)	101	97	
5 mm/Hg		352(11.6)	226	126	397 (13.1)	221	176	
6 mm/Hg		140 (4.6)	84	56	157 (5.1)	89	68	
7 mm/Hg		153 (5.0)	95	58	136 (4.5)	70	66	
8 mm/Hg		128 (4.2)	78	50	129 (4.2)	81	48	
9 mm/Hg		113 (3.7)	72	41	134 (4.5)	70	64	
10 mm/Hg		263 (8.6)	178	85	252 (8.3)	160	92	
Above mm/Hg	10	792(26.1)	515	277	675 (22)	348	327	
Total n (%)		3030(100)	1868(61.65)	999(32.9)	3030 (100)	1515 (50)	1293(42.67)	

Table 4: Inter Arm Systolic and Diastolic Difference.

Clinical variables	1- 4 mm Hg N (%)	5 - 9 mm Hg N (%)	10 - 14mm Hg N (%)	15-19mm Hg N (%)	≥ 20 mm Hg N (%)	Pvalue
Systolic inter-arm Difference (sIAD)						
Right first hand, Right hand was dominant (n=2692)	809 (32.6)	787 (30.9)	490 (19.2)	196 (7.7)	257 (10.1)	< .001
Left first hand, Left hand was dominant (n=338)	117 (35.6)	99 (30)	58 (18)	26 (7.9)	28 (8.5)	
Diastolic inter-arm Difference (dIAD)						
Right hand (n=1515)	476 (31.4)	531 (35.05)	302 (19.9)	116 (7.6)	90 (5.9)	< .001
Left hand (n=1293)	452 (34.9)	422 (32.63)	232 (17.9)	106 (8.2)	81 (6.2)	

Association was analysed by chi square, test. $P \leq .05$ is considered as statistically significant. And excluded (n=153) (.68%) From right first hand and (n=10) (2.9%) from left hand first in this table because there is no difference between systolic right and left arm BP measurements, and excluded (n=222) (7.3%) of the study sample in this table because there is no difference between diastolic right and left arm BP measurements

Table 5: Inter Arm Systolic Difference. (N=2867).

Clinical variables	1 - 4 mm Hg N (%)	5 - 10 mm Hg N (%)	Above 10 mm Hg N (%)	P value
Age of participant by years				0.467
Age below 25 years (n=2543)	830 (32.6)	1018 (40)	695 (27.3)	
Age above 25 years (n=324)	96 (29)	131 (40.4)	97 (30)	
Weight of participant by (kg)				0.057
Weight below 55 kg (n=1052)	331 (31.4)	403 (38.3)	318 (30.2)	
Weight above 55 kg (n=1815)	595 (32.7)	746 (41.1)	474 (26.1)	
Height of participant by (cm)				0.041
Height below 160 cm (n=1059)	323 (30.5)	415 (39.2)	321 (30.3)	
Height above 160 cm (n=1808)	603 (33.3)	734 (40.6)	471 (26)	
Residential area				0.002
Rural (n=236)	75 (31.7)	113 (47.9)	48 (20)	
Suburban (n=1186)	400 (33.7)	484 (40.8)	302 (25.4)	
Urban (n=1445)	451 (31.2)	552 (38.2)	442 (30.6)	
Level of Education				0.055
Unable to read and write (n=9)	4 (44)	3 (33)	2 (22)	
Able to read and write (n=14)	3 (21)	6 (43)	5 (36)	
High school (n=2231)	734 (32.9)	877 (39.3)	620 (27.8)	
Institute graduate (n=39)	19 (48)	12 (30)	8 (20.5)	
Undergraduate (n=461)	141 (30.6)	207 (44.9)	113 (24.5)	
College graduate (n=110)	24 (22)	43 (39)	43 (39)	
Postgraduate (n=3)	1 (33)	1 (33)	1 (33)	
Blood group (n=2762)				0.635
A (n=717)	238(33.2)	277 (38.6)	202 (28.5)	
B (n=420)	147 (35)	161 (38.3)	112 (26.6)	
AB (n=172)	50 (29)	71 (42)	51 (29)	
O (n=1000)	310 (31)	416 (41.6)	274 (27.)	
Unknown blood group (n=453)	158 (34.8)	172 (37.9)	123 (27.1)	
Gender				< .001
Male (n=1284)	453 (35.2)	521 (40.5)	310 (24.1)	
Female (n=1583)	473 (29.8)	628 (39.6)	482 (30.4)	
Marital status				.451
Single (n=2590)	848 (32.7)	1030 (39.7)	712 (27.5)	
Married (n=277)	78 (28.1)	117 (42.2)	80 (28.9)	
Past smoking				< .001
Yes (n=78)	26 (33)	27 (34)	25 (32)	
No (n=2789)	900 (32.2)	1122 (40.22)	767 (27.5)	
Current smoking				< .001
Yes (n=274)	84 (30.6)	104 (37.9)	86 (31)	
No (n=2593)	842 (32.4)	1045 (40.30)	706 (27.2)	
Smoking hookah				< .001
Yes (n=273)	88 (32.2)	113 (41.3)	72 (26.3)	
No (n=2594)	838 (32.3)	1036 (39.9)	720 (27.7)	
First time BP measurement				.187
Yes (n=1578)	487 (30.8)	648 (41)	443 (28)	
No (n=1289)	439 (34)	501 (38.8)	349 (27)	
SPO2%				.200
SPO ₂ between (91-95%), (n=131)	33 (25)	59 (45)	39 (29)	
SPO ₂ between (96-99%),	893 (32.6)	1090 (39.83)	753 (27.5)	

(n=2736)

Data are expressed as frequency and percentage, or numbers (%). The *P* value was derived from, chi square test. $P \leq .05$ is considered a statistically significant. Abbreviations: Kg = kilogram, Cm= centimetre. Blood group (A, B, AB, O) both positive, SPO₂ = peripheral capillary oxygen saturation.

Table 6: Inter Arm Systolic Difference (N=2867) in normotensive, pre-hypertension, and stage 1,2 of hypertension volunteers.

Clinical variables	1-5 mm Hg N (%)	5 -10 mm Hg N (%)	10 - 15mm Hg N (%)	15 -20 mm Hg N (%)	Above >20 mm Hg N (%)	<i>P</i> value
Normotensive (n=1634)	648 (39.6)	516 (31.5)	299 (18.3)	117 (7.1)	54 (3)	< .001
Pre-hypertension (n=592)	169 (28.5)	201 (33.9)	106 (17.9)	71 (12)	45 (7)	
Hypertension stage 1 (n=420)	86 (20)	117 (27.8)	95 (22)	65 (15)	57 (13)	
Hypertension Stage 2 (n=221)	23 (10)	52 (23)	48 (22)	32 (14)	66 (29)	

Association was analysed by chi square test. $P \leq .05$ is considered as statistically significant. And excluded (n=163) (5.37%) of the study sample in this table because there is no difference between systolic right and left arm BP measurements.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [TABLES1.docx](#)
- [TABLES2.docx](#)
- [TABLES3.docx](#)
- [TABLES4.docx](#)