

Synthesis, Characterization and Application of Organoclay for Adsorptive Desulfurization: Isothermal, kinetic and Thermodynamics Studies

Muhammad Saeed

University of the Punjab Lahore

Aqsa Riaz

University of the Punjab Lahore

Azeem Intisar

University of the Punjab Lahore

Mazhar Iqbal Zafar

Quaid-i-Azam University

Humaria Fatima

Quaid-i-Azam University

Haidar Howari

Qassim University

Aiyeshah Alhodaib

Qassim University

Amir Waseem (✉ amir@qau.edu.pk)

Quaid-i-Azam University

Research Article

Keywords: Bentonite, organo-clays, desulfurization, adsorption, DBT

Posted Date: December 30th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1208540/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

The present study encompasses the application of cost effective, organo-modified bentonite material for efficient desulfurization of model oil and real fuel. For the adsorptive desulfurization of oil, dibenzothiophene (DBT) was used as model compound. Various experimental parameters (time, temperature, adsorbent-amount and DBT concentration) were thoroughly investigated. The synthesized material was characterized via X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray Fluorescence (XRF), Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX), Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR). The modification exhibits the increase in interlayer spacing of clay as confirmed from XRD and modified material shows interesting morphology as compared to unmodified bentonite. The results showed that >90% of DBT removal was achieved under optimized conditions for B-BTC, B-BTB and B-DSS and >80% for B-BEHA, for model fuel oil. Additionally, the findings from desulfurization of real fuel oil declare that 96.76% and 95.83% removal efficiency was achieved for kerosene and diesel oil respectively, at optimized conditions and fuel properties follow ASTM specifications. The obtained findings well fitted with thermodynamic, isothermal (Langmuir) with adsorption capacity (70.8 (B-BTC), 66 (B-BTB), 61.2 (B-DSS) and 55.2 (B-BEHA) in mg/g) and pseudo-second-order kinetics. In thermodynamic studies, negative sign (ΔG°) specifies the spontaneity whereas, (ΔH°) endothermic and positive sign (ΔS°) show randomness after DBT adsorption.

Introduction

Demand for the utilization of more eco-friendly fuels and their production is increasing due to the implementation of legislation requiring strict regulation of green-house gas emissions. Many countries are currently enforcing a strict control of sulfur content in liquid fuels to ultralow levels, making the production of deep desulfurization processes an important research objective [1]. Among the main industrial processes for the removal of sulfur from liquid fuels, the most important is referred as adsorptive desulfurization (ADS) and operates with microporous and mesoporous materials [2].

As the stringent sulfur content has emerged, desulfurization of fuel has received massive attention of the world. Thiols, sulfides and disulfides can be efficiently removed using conventional adsorbents [3]. Various important features of adsorptive desulfurization (ADS) which have grasped attention as an alternative technology are high efficiency, moderate operation conditions and its economical rates. Similar technique is photocatalytic oxidative desulfurization (ODS) in which sulfur compounds are converted to SO_4^{2-} , sulfoxides and sulfones under photo-oxidation [4]. These polarized compounds can be separated from non-polar oils into extractants i.e., water, acetonitrile or ionic liquids (ILs). Numerous studies have been conducted for desulfurization of fuel oils by combining UV irradiation and liquid-liquid extraction. The center of their research (adsorptive and oxidative) lies on product identification and the effect of sulfur removal [5].

These days many of the refineries utilize hydrodesulfurization method (HDS) for the removal of sulfur compounds from petroleum products. The process contains drastic conditions as it operates at high temperatures, elevated concentrations of hydrogen gas and conventional catalyst to convert the organo sulfur compounds into hydrocarbons and H_2S . Despite its severe conditions the process is unable to achieve current sulfur specifications [5, 6]. Due to this reason the researchers are working to develop alternate desulfurization methods. Many methods are reported for sulfur removal such as oxidative desulfurization (ODS), bio-desulfurization (BDS) and adsorptive desulfurization (ADS) [4]. Desulfurization assisted by adsorption a potential method to remove sulfur compounds

from liquid fuels. During the last few decades, adsorptive removal has gained considerable research interests upon modification that result in increased the adsorption capacity. Based on experimental results, about 99% of the sulfur compounds can be removed from model diesel fuels to reach promising desulfurization via adsorption process [7, 8]. Adsorption is considered to be one of most popular technique for sulfur removal owing to its high efficacy, cost efficiency, simple operation, and tolerant of processing conditions [9]. Commonly used adsorbents are grapheme nanoplates [10], mesoporous silica [11], magnetic carbon [12], activated alumina [13], activated charcoal [14], Tin (Sn) impregnated activated charcoal [15], activated carbon manganese oxide nanocomposite [16], mesoporous carbon [17], activated carbon [18], etc. but they exhibit lower adsorption capacity as compared to clay material.

Clay (low-cost and eco-friendly) is a naturally available adsorbent that has been used for the removal of dyes, heavy metals, organic pollutants, mycotoxins, sulfur content etc. form decades [19–21]. Its effectiveness is because of its layered structure that bears strong affinity regarding cations and anions and has exchangeable ions that play vital role in adsorption. Thus, it works as host material for the adsorbents with an increased surface area as well as it has significant applications in synthesis of biodiesel [22, 23]. However, the adsorption capacity of raw clay is not as good as compared to synthetically modified clay. Once the clay surface is modified with surfactant based organic molecule, its adsorption capacity could be enhanced by leaps and bounds. For the past two decades removal of organic contaminants using modified solid material has gained much attention [24, 25]. The clay was modified with organic molecules to form micelle-like structures on its surface that had the capability to solubilize organic pollutant such as DBT.

We herein report the removal of DBT desulfurization by a low-cost adsorbent i.e., organoclay. But hydrophilicity of interlayer surface restricts the adsorption capacity of clay for organic targets. So, benzyl tri-n-butyl ammonium bromide (BTB), Dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (DSS), benzethonium chloride (BTC) and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) amine (BEHA) based modified clay was observed to have improved hydrophobicity to interact strongly with the organic matter providing efficient adsorption and removal of DBT from model fuel oil and real fuel oil. In addition, the unmodified and modified clay was characterized via FT-IR, XRF, XRD, SEM, EDX and TGA to investigate the composition of material. Adsorption studies reveal the desulfurization of mg/L level of DBT in model fuel and real fuel oil. To further investigate the adsorption capacity of the clay, adsorption kinetics (pseudo first order, pseudo second order and intraparticle diffusion model) and isotherms (Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin model) were also studied and efficacy was monitored at different optimized conditions.

Materials And Methods

Materials

Sodium Bentonite ($\text{Al}_2\text{H}_2\text{Na}_2\text{O}_{13}\text{Si}_4$, LOT: 10195902) pristine clay (2:1) (BT) and modification reagent; benzyl tri-n-butyl ammonium bromide (BTB), Dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (DSS), benzethonium chloride (BTC) and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) amine (BEHA) were obtained from *Alfa Aesar Co.*, Germany and used without any modification. The targeted adsorbate Dibenzothiophene (DBT) was obtained from *Sigma Aldrich Co.*, used for adsorption study.

Material Synthesis

Modified bentonite (B-BTB, B-DSS, B-BTC and B-BEHA) were synthesized via modification reagent by dispersing the 1 g of bentonite (BT) in 50 mL of water through sonication (for the dispersion of clay particles into water) for 30 min at room temperature, reported previously [21]. The pH (4) was maintained by using 0.5 M HCl to make clear suspension of above solution. 0.3 g of modification reagent (BTB, DSS, BTC or BEHA) was dissolved in 50 mL of water and added into above suspension and refluxed stirring was carried out for 6 hours at 120 °C. The synthesized material was subjected to filtration, dried at 120 °C in oven and grounds via pestle mortar.

Adsorption studies method

Adsorption of Dibenzothiophene (DBT) on to synthesized organoclay (B-BTB, B-DSS, B-BTC and B-BEHA) was conducted with different concentrations of BTB solution in isooctane. The optimization studies were performed with 30 mL of 1000 mg/L DBT solution with 0.5 g of organoclay. Centrifugation and filtration were used for the separation of adsorbent and adsorbate (DBT solution). The calibration curve was obtained by UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV1700 Japan) and PETRA X-Ray Sulfur Analyzer, ASTM D-4294) was used to analyze the residual amount of DBT. The percentage efficiency (S%) and adsorption capacity (q_e) at equilibrium for adsorption of DBT were determined by following equations (1) and (2) [19]:

$$\text{Sorption Efficiency (S\%)} = \frac{(C_i - C_e)}{C_i} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$q_e = \frac{(C_i - C_e) \times V}{m} \quad (2)$$

Here, C_e and C_i = equilibrium and initial DBT concentrations (mg/L), q_e = adsorption capacity of synthesized organoclay (mg/g), V = solution volume, m = mass of organoclay by weight (g).

Instrumentation

The synthesized materials (B-BTB, B-DSS, B-BTC and B-BEHA) were characterized via XRD; Powder X-ray diffractometer (PXRD) of $\text{Cu-K}\alpha=1.54\text{\AA}$ in the range of ($2\theta = 2-70^\circ$) with scan rate ($2^\circ, 2\theta/\text{min}$), SEM; Scanning electron microscopy (NOVA Nano), EDX; Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy for elemental composition and FTIR; Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, ATR/IRTRACER-100 with resolution rate of (15 scan and 1 cm^{-1}) in the range of ($4000-400\text{ cm}^{-1}$). In addition, X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (XRF, Bruker S8) was used to determine the chemical composition. TGA study was also investigated for the quantification of organic moieties in the layers of clay by heating in the range of ($40-800\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$), inert atmosphere (Helium, 30 mL/min) with heating rate ($30^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$). Moreover, PETRA-X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) sulfur analyzer (ASTM D-4294) used to analyze the residual amount of sulfur compounds.

Results And Discussion

Material Characterization

FT-IR

In order to affirm the presence of functional groups at the adsorbent surface, FT-IR spectral analysis of both modified and unmodified material (BT) was carried out (range $4000\text{-}400\text{cm}^{-1}$) as shown in Figure 1. The 3480 cm^{-1} band that appears only in unmodified material due to interlayer water molecules i.e., corresponds to -OH stretching vibrations. The band in the range of $1470\text{-}1350\text{cm}^{-1}$ and $2950\text{-}2800\text{ cm}^{-1}$ appears only for modified material is characteristic and corresponds to C-H bending and stretching vibrations, thus absent in unmodified material. The sp^3 C-H stretch for B-BEHA is (2876 cm^{-1}), sp^2 C-H stretch for B-BEHA (2964 cm^{-1}), CH_3 bending vibration for B-BEHA is (1379 cm^{-1}), CH_2 bending vibration for B-BEHA (1481 cm^{-1}). These stretching and bending vibrations are confirms the functional groups of the adsorbent surface that has been modified and are similar to the FT-IR described previously [21, 26, 27].

XRD and XRF

Powder X-ray Diffraction studies was carried out to investigate the peak shifting towards lower 2θ value in modified clay that increase the interlayered d-spacing of clay material [21, 26, 28]. The Figure 2 shows the pXRD pattern of pristine clay (Fig. 2a) along with the modified clays, most of the peaks in modified pXRD pattern is same as the pristine bentonite clay as confirmed by the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards card (JCPDS No. 00-003-0015) except one peak that is observed at $2\theta = 9.23^\circ$ with basal d-spacing 0.96 nm in pristine clay. If there is a shifting of this peak observed towards lower 2θ values, it shows the increase in interlayer spacing due to intercalation of organic molecule [21, 26, 28]. The modified bentonite shows the peak shifting of B-DSS ($2\theta = 6.44^\circ$, 1.37 nm), B-BTC ($2\theta = 6.97^\circ$, 1.26 nm), B-BTB ($2\theta = 6.79^\circ$, 1.30 nm), B-BEHA ($2\theta = 7.61^\circ$, 1.15 nm) (Fig. 2b-e), which are similar to previous reported studies [21, 26, 28].

Chemical composition of pristine clay (unmodified) as determined via XRF study was found to be: $\text{CaO} = 5.01\%$, $\text{Na}_2\text{O} = 2.1\%$, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = 23.9\%$, $\text{MgO} = 2.9\%$, $\text{SiO}_2 = 55.1\%$ and $\text{K}_2\text{O} = 3.48\%$. This data indicates that; Na, Mg, K and Ca are prime exchangeable cations [19].

SEM

The micrographs of unmodified and modified bentonite material were observed via Scanning electron microscopy (SEM Nano NOVA) to confirm the presence of organic moieties into galleries of clay particles and changes in morphology after modification. It seems that the pristine clay exhibits the grasps foliated with massive curved like plates and tightly held as shown in Figure which become foamy, fluffy and more porous after modification as given in Figure 3 [29, 30]. Moreover, in modified clay there are bigger porous aggregates that provide more residence to adsorbate and have extra available bonding sites for adsorption of DBT. Additionally, regarding as quantification of elemental analysis in modified clay material via EDX, more carbon content was observed in organoclay than carbon content in unmodified bentonite material. It indicates that the synthesized material is effectively modified that results in increase in adsorption capacity of DBT.

TGA

The stability of modified and unmodified material was determined by analyzing weight loss over a range of temperature (i.e., 40-840°C) under inert atmosphere via TGA as given in Figure 4. Transitions of unmodified material during thermal degradation were at low temperature the surface adsorbed water that volatilize (below 140°C), at high temperature (450-600°C) due to –OH group de-hydroxylation of water occurred. The four regions of thermal degradation in modified materials occurred as following; the physically adsorbed gaseous substances and water evolved (below 150°C), the organic specie (BTC, BTB, DSS and BEHA) decomposed (between 200-450°C), structural water loss caused de-hydroxylation (450-600°C) and the carbonaceous organic products evolved (between 600-700°C) [19, 21]. The increased adsorption capacity of modified materials has successfully been demonstrated by the comparison of modified and unmodified material.

Optimization of adsorption process

Effect of contact Time and Temperature on DBT adsorption

The effect of contact time on the removal of DBT onto B-BTC, B-DSS, B-BTB and B-BEHA was observed at various time intervals by keeping the adsorbent dose 0.5 g, volume = 30 mL and DBT concentration 1000 mg/L as constant. The adsorption of DBT onto organoclay increases with increase of contact time. At the beginning the adsorption process is fast and gradually slows down in order to attain stability. The maximum adsorption occurs at 60 min. Hence 60 min is marked for higher efficiency of adsorption process as shown in Figure 5(a) [12]. Furthermore, to find out the optimum temperature the desulfurization of DBT was carried out by varying temperature in the range of 25-60 °C and other parameters were remained constant. The results depict that the adsorption efficiency has direct relation with temperature (Fig. 5b). At higher temperature, Dibenzothiophene (DBT) is more mobile due to reducing the viscosity as well as higher temperature lead the widens of adsorbent pores to some extent and results in decrease the activation energy [14].

Effect of Adsorbent dose on adsorption

The effect of adsorbent dosage (organoclay) on the desulfurization of DBT was investigated by varying the amount of dose (0.25-1.25 g) and the DBT concentration of 1000 mg/L, time = 60 min and volume = 30 mL at 45 °C as shown in Figure 5 (c). The results declared that adsorption efficiency (%) is directly proportional to adsorbent dose and inversely proportional to adsorption capacity. This decrease in adsorption capacity of DBT with increased adsorbent dose is because of larger number of adsorption sites. Hence at lesser adsorbent dosage the adsorption capacity is maximum as observed [14].

Effect of DBT Concentration

Adsorption capacity of organoclays is altered by varying the concentration of DBT. Five different concentrations (500-2000 mg/L) were used for the investigation of DBT concentration effects on adsorption of DBT keeping remaining parameters as constant (adsorbent dose = 0.5 g, volume = 30 mL, time = 60 min and at 45 °C). In general, adsorption capacity increases with increasing concentration of DBT until the availability of the adsorbent. Yet the efficiency is affected, as it limits the available sites of adsorbent for the DBT molecules (at high levels) but

can still work with reduced efficiency. DBT removal and adsorption capacity of organoclay illustrate opposite fashion, which can be elucidated as binding sites of organoclay are fixed. When less concentration of DBT is available the faster will be the adsorption and the percent removal will be high, as higher numbers of binding sites are present on the organoclay [31]. More the presence of binding sites on organoclay, lesser the concentration of the DBT molecules, the most efficient will be the adsorption process as shown in Figure 5(d).

Kinetic Study

For understanding the mechanism of adsorption process, kinetic study is of prime importance. During adsorption of DBT on to adsorbent (B-BTC, B-DSS, B-BTB and B-BEHA), undergoes various processes from bulk solution onto organoclay surface (adsorbent). For adsorption mechanism, pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order kinetic models were applied. Pseudo-first order is valid for adsorption of adsorbate from aqueous solution (physisorption). The integral form of Pseudo-first order kinetic model is represented as eq. (3) [32]:

$$\log(q_{\infty} - q_t) = \log(q_{\infty}) - \frac{K_1}{2.303} t$$

3

Where q_t is amount of DBT adsorbed at time, q_{∞} is at equilibrium the amount of DBT adsorbed, K_1 pseudo-first order constant. Rate constant, intercept and slope were calculated from linear plot ($\log(q_{\infty} - q_t)$ vs. time).

The pseudo-second order kinetic model is based on "the rate involves forces for sharing or exchanging of electrons between adsorbate and adsorbent (chemisorption)". Pseudo-second order kinetic model is represented as eq. (4) [32]:

$$\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_2(q_e - q_t)^2$$

4

Rearranging eq. 4 by integrating within boundary conditions at $q_t=0$ to $t=0$ and $q_t=q_t$ to $t=t$, (5):

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}$$

5

Where k_2 pseudo-second order constant, Slope ($\frac{t}{q_t}$) and intercept ($\frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2}$) were used for calculating k_2 and q_e .

The optimized situations for the studies contain 0.5 g of organoclay, 1000 mg/L of DBT concentration with range of time scale as given in Table 1. The regression coefficient (R^2) of pseudo-second order is better than pseudo-first

order for the organoclay. So, the data indicates the chemisorption mechanism for the adsorption of specific DBT as shown in Figure 6. In addition, the calculated adsorption capacity (q_m) for pseudo second order kinetics is greater than experimental (q_m) for the adsorption of DBT via organoclay.

To study the mass transfer rate comparison for the adsorption of DBT, the intraparticle diffusion model (*Fickian-diffusion*) model was also applied and it represents as eq. (6) [32]:

$$qt = K_p t^{1/2} + C \quad (6)$$

Where C is intercept (determined from q_t vs $t^{1/2}$ plot) and k_p is rate constant ($\text{mgg}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1/2}$) as values are given in Table 1. This *Fickian-diffusion* model is studied to evaluate the rate controlling step ($t = 10 - 100$ min) and the plot is not linear as well as R^2 (0.881, 0.899, 0.936 and 0.931 for B-BTC, B-BTB, B-DSS and B-BEHA respectively) which is quite lower than pseudo second order kinetics. The obtained findings declared that this model is not fitted well as compared to pseudo second order kinetic model, but it also indicate that the DBT adsorption onto organoclay may also be followed by intraparticle diffusion model.

Table 1

Kinetics results of Pseudo first order, Pseudo second order and Intraparticle diffusion model for the adsorption of DBT onto B-BTC, B-DSS, B-BTB and B-BEHA

Adsorbent	Pseudo-first order				Pseudo-second order			Intraparticle diffusion model		
	$q_{(exp)}$ (mg/g)	$q_{(calc)}$ (mg/g)	$K_1(\text{min}^{-1})$	R^2	$q_{(calc)}$ (mg/g)	$K_2(\text{g/mg min}^{-1})$	R^2	K_p	C	R^2
B-BTC	58.8	67.7	0.0575	0.953	78	5.99×10^{-4}	0.983	5.02	15.46	0.881
B-BTB	58.2	74.38	0.0576	0.918	81	4.75×10^{-4}	0.972	5.42	11.38	0.899
B-DSS	54.6	60.25	0.0511	0.973	75	5.32×10^{-4}	0.984	5.41	9.081	0.936
B-BEHA	50.4	59.06	0.0525	0.960	71	5.16×10^{-4}	0.989	5.06	7.587	0.931

Adsorption Isotherm Models

Equilibrium adsorption was analyzed by different isotherm models. The amount of adsorbed DBT and its concentration in solution were shown by Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm. Langmuir model shows the adsorption of DBT molecules on to adsorbent surface, happens on homogenous monolayer surface deprived of any interaction with neighboring adsorbed molecules. Langmuir isotherm equation is given as eq. (7) [19]:

$$\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{C_e}{q_m} + \frac{1}{K_L q_m}$$

7

Where K_L is Langmuir adsorption equilibrium constant associated with free energy and q_m is maximum adsorption capacity of organoclay. The adsorption isotherm is plotted by $\frac{C_e}{q_e}$ vs C_e , and data should give straight line, which is appropriate for this model where, slope is $\frac{1}{q_m}$ and intercept $\frac{1}{K_L q_m}$. Regarding as Langmuir Isotherm model; there is no interaction among the adsorbed molecules. The distinctiveness of Langmuir isotherm model was expressed via R_L parameter (dimensionless constant) that is expressed in equation (8):

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L \cdot C_0}$$

8

R_L parameter point out the nature of DBT adsorption onto organoclay either; irreversible ($R_L = 0$), unfavorable ($R_L > 1$), favorable ($0 < R_L < 1$) and linear ($R_L = 1$).

Freundlich adsorption isotherm is for multilayer formation that occurs due to heterogeneous adsorption. Freundlich adsorption isotherm equation is given as eq. (9) [31]:

$$\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n_F} \ln C_e$$

9

Where q_e is the amount of adsorbate, adsorbed on the surface of adsorbent at equilibrium, C_e is equilibrium adsorbate concentration, K_F is Freundlich constant and $\frac{1}{n_F}$ is heterogeneity factor. For favorable adsorption n_F is large than 1. The values for slope $\frac{1}{n_F}$ and intercept $\ln K_F$ were obtained from linear plot of $\ln q_e$ vs $\ln C_e$.

Table 2 and Figure 7 include the experimental results of isothermal models, though the regression coefficient (R^2) of Langmuir model is best for DBT removal, which indicates the monolayer adsorption of sulfur molecules on the surface of organoclay. Moreover, the calculated value of $q_m = 70.8$ (B-BTC), 66 (B-BTB), 61.2 (B-DSS) and 55.2 (B-BEHA) in (mg/g) by using Langmuir model is very close to the experimental observed $q_m = 71.43$ (B-BTC), 66.66 (B-BTB), 62.50 (B-DSS) and 57.14 (B-BEHA) mg/g which clearly indicated the monolayer adsorption phenomenon. The R_L value is lesser than 1 which indicating the favorability of DBT adsorption onto organoclay. Moreover, the order of adsorptive desulfurization is B-BTC > B-BTB > B-DSS > B-BEHA.

To examine the adsorbate-adsorbent interaction for the adsorption of DBT onto modified bentonite, we further applied a Temkin model. The general representing of Temkin model is given in eq (10) [14]:

$$q_e = B \ln C_e + B \ln A$$

10

Where A (Temkin constant (L/g) that is related to adsorbate-adsorbate interaction), B (Heat of adsorption in J/mol) and q_e (equilibrium adsorbed amount (mg/g)). The heat of adsorption and regression coefficient (R^2) is given in Table 2 which indicates that the Temkin model is not well fitted as compared to Langmuir isotherm model.

Table 2
Isotherm studies for the adsorption of DBT onto modified bentonite

Adsorbent	Langmuir		Freundlich				Temkin Model			
	q_m (mg/g)	Exp. q_m (mg/g)	K_L (L/mg)	R^2	RL	nF	Kf (mg/g)	R^2	B	R^2
B-BTC	71.43	70.8	0.104	0.99	0.009	6.71	28.8	0.753	7.19	0.8166
B-BTB	66.66	66.00	0.129	0.99	0.007	6.72	26.9	0.724	6.89	0.7724
B-DSS	62.50	61.20	0.072	0.99	0.013	5.23	18.9	0.782	8.36	0.8175
B-BEHA	57.14	55.2	0.040	0.99	0.024	4.72	14.4	0.788	8.54	0.8146

Thermodynamic studies of DBT adsorption

To understand the effect of different temperatures for the removal of DBT from fuel oil via organoclay, various thermodynamic parameters i.e., standard entropy, standard enthalpy and standard Gibbs Free energy has been thoroughly studied. The above procedure was conducted with 10 mL of (100 mg/L) initial (DBT) solution at various temperatures (298.5, 303.5, 318.5, and 333.5 K) along with 0.5 g (modified clay) for an hour. Using following equation (11) Gibbs Free energy was calculated [14]:

$$\Delta G^\circ = - RT \ln K_c$$

11

Moreover, Standard entropy was calculated using Vant's Hoff equation (12) by plotting $\ln K_c$ vs $1/T$ and standard enthalpy was calculated using equation (13):

$$\ln K_c = - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R}$$

12

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = \Delta H^{\circ} - T\Delta S^{\circ}$$

13

Where K_c = Organoclay retention ability to hold the (DBT) which is calculated through equation (14):

$$K_c = \frac{q_e}{C_e}$$

14

Here C_e is the adsorbed Organoclay equilibrium concentration while q_e is the (DBT) equilibrium concentration.

The van der Waals forces exist between organoclay (B-BTC, B-DSS, B-BTB and B-BEHA) and DBT molecules were reduced by triggers the weak interaction at low temperature and at high temperature optimum adsorption was observed, thus it gives negative values of ΔG° . However, positive value of enthalpy was justified the endothermic nature of adsorption. Besides this during the adsorption of (DBT), the positive values of ΔS° indicates the irregularity in randomness onto synthesized organoclay as given in Table 3.

Table 3

Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of Dibenzothiophene (DBT) on modified clay

Adsorbents	$\Delta G^{\circ}(\text{KJmol}^{-1})$				ΔH° (KJmol^{-1})	ΔS° ($\text{KJmol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$)
	T = 298.5 K	T = 303.5 K	T = 318.5 K	T = 333.5 K		
B-BTC	-21.87	-32.37	-83.64	-106.83	4.393	5.114
B-BTC	-20.22	-29.88	-77.58	-94.59	4.308	5.028
B-DSS	-18.15	-26.89	-68.25	-89.78	3.985	4.874
B-BEHA	-12.42	-20.17	-56.28	-74.62	2.545	3.657

Adsorptive desulfurization (ADS) of real fuel oil

The adsorptive desulfurization of commercially available fuel samples (Kerosene and Diesel) was also investigated via modified bentonite material (B-BTC) under optimized conditions (time = 120 min, adsorbent = 1 g, volume = 50 mL and temperature = 45 °C) and before desulfurization the total sulfur content in kerosene and diesel oil was 2848 mg/L and 4468 mg/L respectively. To quantify the amount of sulfur components PETRA X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (XRF) (ppm, ASTM D-4294) was used. Moreover, other fuel properties such as specific gravity, water content and distillation were also conducted via Hydrometer (g/mL @ 15.6 °C, ASTM D-1298), Water content tester (China PT-D4006-8929A) (vol. %, ASTM D-4006) and Distillation tester (PMD 110, PAC) (ASTM D-86). The findings declared that 96.76 % and 95.83 % removal efficiency was achieved for kerosene and diesel oil respectively and the other fuel characteristics before and after ADS are given in Table 4. Moreover, the unmodified bentonite was also tested for the desulfurization of fuel oil but due to lower interlayer spacing of clay the results were not efficient as compared to modified bentonite material. Upon modification the increase in *d*-spacing and development of interesting morphology (porous and fluffy) results in the increase in adsorption capacity.

Table 4
ADS of kerosene and diesel oil onto B-BTC

Tests	Method No.	Kerosene		Diesel Oil		
		Before ADS	After ADS	Before ADS	After ADS	
Specific Gravity (g/mL @ 15.6 °C)	ASTM D-1298	0.834	0.830	0.882	0.875	
Total Sulfur by Petra X-Ray, wt. % (ppm)	ASTM D-4294	2848	92	4468	186	
Water Content by distillation (vol. %)	ASTM D-4006	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	
Distillation (°C)	ASTM D-86	50%	240	240	280	279
		90%	301	299	346	342

Compariosn with other reported methods

Due to limited available data for the DBT desulfurization via organo-clay based modified materials, we can not make comparison for the adsorption efficiency effectively. Moreover, we have compared adsorption capacity (mg/g) for the DBT removal with other modified adsorbents as given in Table 5. It can be seen that the proposed method shows better adsorption capacity than the reported methods.

Table 5
Comparison of adsorption capacity (mg/g) of various adsorbents for desulfurization

Adsorbent	Adsorption Capacity (mg/g)	Initial Sulfur Conc. (mg/L)	Reference
Granulated activated carbon	3.52	324	[33]
Copper impregnated activated carbon	3.34	333	[33]
Iron impregnated activated carbon	3.59	320	[33]
Nickel impregnated activated carbon	3.52	324	[33]
Aluminium Oxide	2.79	360.5	[34]
Bentonite	2.29	271.2	[5]
Activated clay	4.01	99.5	[5]
Kaolinite	1.73	327	[5]
Carbon nanotubes	21.50	250	[35]
AC _{TD}	8.60	150	[36]
Carbon silica nanocomposite via Cu-modified	13.95	960	[37]
Carbon aerogels	15.10	250	[38]
Magnetic mesoporous carbon	62	1000	[39]
B-BTC	70.8	1000	Present Study
B-BTB	66.00	1000	Present Study
B-DSS	61.20	1000	Present Study
B-BEHA	55.2	1000	Present Study

Conclusions

The cost-effective material has been developed via benzyl tri-n-butyl ammonium bromide (BTB), Dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (DSS), benzethonium chloride (BTC) and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) amine (BEHA) based modified bentonite materials (B-BTC, B-BTB, B-DSS and B-BEHA) for studying the adsorption of model fuel oil and commercially available real fuels (Kerosene and Diesel oil). XRD and SEM depicts the increase in interlayer spacing and more porous/fluffy structure upon modification. The result of present study shows promising achievement (adsorption capacity 70.8 (B-BTC), 66 (B-BTB), 61.2 (B-DSS) and 55.2 (B-BEHA) and adsorption efficiency > 90% for B-BTC, B-BTB and B-DSS and > 80% for B-BEHA) under optimized operating conditions for prepared model oil. Additionally, the findings declare that 96.76% and 95.83% removal efficiency was achieved for kerosene and diesel oil,

respectively, at optimized conditions and fuel properties follow ASTM specifications. The optimization study shows direct relation of adsorption efficiency with time, temperature and adsorbent amount and is indirectly related to DBT concentration. Adsorption kinetics study follows pseudo-second-order kinetic model (regression coefficient $R^2 = 0.98$) which shows chemisorption behavior of DBT adsorption. However, present data fits very well with isothermal (Langmuir Model where $0 < R_L < 1$ shows favorable adsorption and $R^2 = 0.99$) and thermodynamic studies (endothermic and spontaneity in system). Thus, the whole analytical study confirms the prominence of developed organoclay material for better adsorptive desulfurization.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest

All the authors declares no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements:

The authors are grateful to Pakistan Science Foundation (PSF-NSFC-VI/Chem/CQAU (27) and Deanship of Scientific Research, Qassim University, Saudi Arabia for the financial assistance.

References

1. Saha, B., S. Vedachalam, and A.K. Dalai, Review on recent advances in adsorptive desulfurization. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2020: p. 106685.
2. Dehghan, R. and M. Anbia, Zeolites for adsorptive desulfurization from fuels: A review. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2017. **167**: p. 99–116.
3. Lee, K.X. and J.A. Valla, Adsorptive desulfurization of liquid hydrocarbons using zeolite-based sorbents: a comprehensive review. *Reaction Chemistry & Engineering*, 2019. **4**(8): p. 1357–1386.
4. Babich, I. and J. Moulijn, Science and technology of novel processes for deep desulfurization of oil refinery streams: a review. *Fuel*, 2003. **82**(6): p. 607–631.
5. Choi, A.E.S., et al., Adsorption of benzothiophene sulfone over clay mineral adsorbents in the frame of oxidative desulfurization. *Fuel*, 2017. **205**: p. 153–160.
6. Choi, A.E.S., et al., Adsorptive removal of dibenzothiophene sulfone from fuel oil using clay material adsorbents. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2017. **161**: p. 267–276.
7. Abbasov, V.M., et al., Adsorptive desulfurization of the gasoline obtained from low-pressure hydrocracking of the vacuum residue using a nickel/bentonite catalyst. *Energy & Fuels*, 2017. **31**(6): p. 5840–5843.
8. Mikhail, S., T. Zaki, and L. Khalil, Desulfurization by an economically adsorption technique. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2002. **227**(1-2): p. 265–278.
9. Shahmirzadi, M.A.A., et al., Significance, evolution and recent advances in adsorption technology, materials and processes for desalination, water softening and salt removal. *Journal of environmental management*, 2018. **215**: p. 324–344.
10. Jha, D., et al., Batch and Continuous Adsorptive Desulfurization of Model Diesel Fuels Using Graphene Nanoplatelets. *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data*, 2020. **65**(4): p. 2120–2132.

11. Kwon, J.M., et al., Adsorptive desulfurization and denitrogenation of refinery fuels using mesoporous silica adsorbents. *ChemSusChem: Chemistry & Sustainability Energy & Materials*, 2008. **1**(4): p. 307–309.
12. Ishaq, M., et al., Adsorptive desulfurization of model oil using untreated, acid activated and magnetite nanoparticle loaded bentonite as adsorbent. *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society*, 2017. **21**(2): p. 143–151.
13. Srivastav, A. and V.C. Srivastava, Adsorptive desulfurization by activated alumina. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 2009. **170**(2-3): p. 1133–1140.
14. Ullah, S., et al., Desulfurization of model oil through adsorption over activated charcoal and bentonite clay composites. *Chemical Engineering & Technology*, 2020. **43**(3): p. 564–573.
15. Shah, S.S., I. Ahmad, and W. Ahmad, Adsorptive desulphurization study of liquid fuels using Tin (Sn) impregnated activated charcoal. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 2016. **304**: p. 205–213.
16. Saleh, T.A., et al., Adsorptive desulfurization of thiophene, benzothiophene and dibenzothiophene over activated carbon manganese oxide nanocomposite: with column system evaluation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2017. **154**: p. 401–412.
17. Li, X., et al., Synthesis, modification, and application of hollow mesoporous carbon submicrospheres for adsorptive desulfurization. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 2018. **57**(44): p. 15020–15030.
18. Danmaliki, G.I. and T.A. Saleh, Effects of bimetallic Ce/Fe nanoparticles on the desulfurization of thiophenes using activated carbon. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2017. **307**: p. 914–927.
19. Saeed, M., et al., Synthesis, characterization and applications of silylation based grafted bentonites for the removal of Sudan dyes: Isothermal, kinetic and thermodynamic studies. *Microporous and mesoporous materials*, 2020. **291**: p. 109697.
20. Wahab, N., et al., Synthesis, characterization, and applications of silk/bentonite clay composite for heavy metal removal from aqueous solution. *Frontiers in chemistry*, 2019. **7**: p. 654.
21. Saeed, M., et al., Green and Eco-Friendly Removal of Mycotoxins with Organo-Bentonites; Isothermal, Kinetic, and Thermodynamic Studies. *CLEAN–Soil, Air, Water*, 2020. **48**(9): p. 1900427.
22. Munir, M., et al., Sustainable production of bioenergy from novel non-edible seed oil (*Prunus cerasoides*) using bimetallic impregnated montmorillonite clay catalyst. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2019. **109**: p. 321–332.
23. Munir, M., et al., Production of high quality biodiesel from novel non-edible *Raphanus raphanistrum* L. seed oil using copper modified montmorillonite clay catalyst. *Environmental Research*, 2021. **193**: p. 110398.
24. Awasthi, A., P. Jadhao, and K. Kumari, Clay nano-adsorbent: structures, applications and mechanism for water treatment. *SN Applied Sciences*, 2019. **1**(9): p. 1–21.
25. Ali, F.D., Adsorptive desulfurization of liquid fuels using Na-bentonite adsorbents. *Al-Nahrain Journal for Engineering Sciences*, 2018. **21**(2): p. 248–252.
26. Su, J., et al., Synthesis, characterization and kinetic of a surfactant-modified bentonite used to remove As (III) and As (V) from aqueous solution. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 2011. **185**(1): p. 63–70.
27. Zhang, Y., et al., Adsorption of mixed cationic-nonionic surfactant and its effect on bentonite structure. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 2012. **24**(8): p. 1525–1532.
28. Ullah, H., et al., Adsorption Kinetics of Malachite green and Methylene blue from aqueous solutions using surfactant-modified Organoclays. *Acta Chimica Slovenica*, 2017. **64**(2): p. 449–460.

29. Ikhtiyarova, G., et al., Characterization of natural-and organo-bentonite by XRD, SEM, FT-IR and thermal analysis techniques and its adsorption behaviour in aqueous solutions. *Clay Minerals*, 2012. **47**(1): p. 31–44.
30. Stepova, K.V., D.J. Maquarrie, and I.M. Krip, Modified bentonites as adsorbents of hydrogen sulfide gases. *Applied Clay Science*, 2009. **42**(3-4): p. 625–628.
31. Sadare, O.O. and M.O. Daramola, Adsorptive desulfurization of dibenzothiophene (DBT) in model petroleum distillate using functionalized carbon nanotubes. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2019. **26**(32): p. 32746–32758.
32. Jha, D., et al., Enhanced adsorptive desulfurization using Mongolian anthracite-based activated carbon. *ACS omega*, 2019. **4**(24): p. 20844–20853.
33. Chen, T.-C., et al., Adsorption of sulfur compounds from diesel with ion-impregnated activated carbons. *Energy & Fuels*, 2016. **30**(5): p. 3870–3878.
34. Lu, M.-C., et al., Removal of oxidized sulfur compounds using different types of activated carbon, aluminum oxide, and chitosan-coated bentonite. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 2014. **52**(4-6): p. 873–879.
35. Jha, D., et al., Adsorptive removal of dibenzothiophene from diesel fuel using microwave synthesized carbon nanomaterials. *Fuel*, 2019. **244**: p. 132–139.
36. Saleh, T.A. and G.I. Danmaliki, Adsorptive desulfurization of dibenzothiophene from fuels by rubber tyres-derived carbons: kinetics and isotherms evaluation. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 2016. **102**: p. 9–19.
37. Cheng, J., et al., Enhanced adsorption selectivity of dibenzothiophene on ordered mesoporous carbon-silica nanocomposites via copper modification. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 2015. **212**: p. 137–145.
38. Haji, S. and C. Erkey, Removal of dibenzothiophene from model diesel by adsorption on carbon aerogels for fuel cell applications. *Industrial & engineering chemistry research*, 2003. **42**(26): p. 6933–6937.
39. Nejad, N.F., et al., Synthesis of magnetic mesoporous carbon and its application for adsorption of dibenzothiophene. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2013. **106**: p. 376–384.

Figures




Figure 1

FT-IR spectrum of (a) unmodified bentonite and modified bentonite; (b) B-DSS, (c) B-BTC, (d) B-BTB and (e) B-BEHA




Figure 2

Powder XRD pattern of pristine clay unmodified (a), and modified (b) B-DSS, (c) B-BTC, (d) B-BTB, and (e) B-BEHA.

Figure 3

SEM images showing (a) unmodified bentonite, and (b-e) modified bentonite (B-BTC, B-BTB, B-DSS and B-BEHA)




Figure 4

TGA curves of pristine clay BT and modified bentonite material




Figure 5

Effect of various parameters: time (a), temperature (b), adsorbent dose (c) and concentration (d) on the adsorption DBT.

Figure 6

Pseudo second order kinetics for the adsorption of DBT onto organoclay

Figure 7

Langmuir Isotherm for the adsorption of DBT onto B-BTC, B-BTB, B-DSS and B-BEHA