Increasing Nursing Student Interest in Rural Healthcare: Lessons From a Rural Rotation Program in Democratic Republic of the Congo

Susan Michaels-Strasser (✉ sm3966@columbia.edu)

Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8176-1463>

Paul W. Thurman

Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health

Narcisse Mwinkeu Kasongo

Institut Superieur des Techniques Medicales (ISTM) de Lubumbashi

Daniel Kapenda

Institute Superieur des Techniques Medicales (ISTM) de Lubumbashi

John Ngulefac

Health Resources and Services Administration

Beatrice Lukeni

ICAP at Columbia University

Serge Matumaini

ICAP at Columbia University

Lauren Parmley

ICAP at Columbia University

Rebekah Hughes

Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health

Faustin Malele

ICAP at Columbia University

Case study

Keywords: Rural healthcare, nurse rotation program, in-service nursing training, nurse recruitment, HRH nursing improvement

Posted Date: December 10th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-122030/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Human Resources for Health on April 20th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-021-00598-9>.

Abstract

Background

Many challenges exist in providing equitable access to healthcare in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) including resource disparities between rural and urban areas coupled with a majority rural population. One of the most promising ways to close the gap between rural and urban healthcare access and quality is through the support of human resources for health (HRH), especially nurses, in rural health settings. Current barriers including lack of nursing students from rural communities, insufficient infrastructure, and absence of programs for rural practice exposure, have allowed disparities between rural and urban healthcare to persist.

Methods

To implement a rural rotation (RR) program for nursing students in DRC, whereby students are immersed in a rural clinical and community placement for an extended period of time, ICAP at Columbia University (ICAP), with funding and support from the United States Health Resources Service Administration (HRSA), consulted with stakeholders in DRC including students, the Ministry of Health (MoH), the Ministry of Education (MoE), and nursing schools and associations to improve health workforce education including understanding the disparities and realities of rural health care. Following this, schools of nursing in the Lubumbashi area agreed to add nursing students into rural workforce settings, including time in clinical as well as community health on a rotational basis. ICAP then worked with the MoH and MoE to select rural sites that could adequately support rotating nursing students and develop expectations for the RR program. Assessments of the selected rural sites were conducted, and the availability of nursing mentors, teaching materials, security, and accessibility, as well as patient volumes, were identified. Building on prior work to strengthen preceptors, a training of trainers approach was used. Out of a cohort of 85 trained preceptors from across 55 target schools and 30 rural health facilities, 30 were selected to be “master trainers” based on their experience in training others, their performance in initial preceptor training, and willingness to contribute more to overall success of the RR program. These master trainers educated the remaining 55 preceptors in rural practice. Concurrent with the training, ICAP, along with the MoH and MoE, worked with communities around the rural health facilities to secure accommodations for nursing students and to engage them with community leaders for purposes of future health promotion and clinic collaboration.

Results

All five target schools from the Lubumbashi area that were selected for the project chose to participate. Kinshasa was not targeted for the project but did have two schools in rural areas outside the urban center interested and willing to accept students on rotations. Over the life of the project, 583 students successfully participated in the program and completed rural rotations as planned. Sixteen rural sites and 298 students participated in the rotational program during the 2018-2019 school year. For the 2019-2020 school year (through March), 285 students participated.

Post-rotation feedback RECEIVED FROM 274 students, as well as from over 25 preceptors, and nursing school leaders, has been very positive and has resulted in more nursing students seeking rural assignments upon completion of their studies. Ninety-three percent of students surveyed, for example, indicated that they agreed or strongly agreed that they would recommend participation in RR programs to their classmates. Ninety-seven percent agreed or strongly agreed that their RR program had strengthened their education experience and increased their willingness to serve in rural areas in the future. The most significant challenges, however, were financial support (35%) and housing (30%).

Conclusions

With nearly 600 successful participants, this project showed that a RR program is both feasible and acceptable for nursing students, faculty, and rural clinic staff in resource-limited settings. Student exposure to rural practice is critical to increasing interest (and therefore downstream HRH staffing) in rural area healthcare. ICAP, HRSA, and DRC ministry leadership believe these early successes are indicative of both the opportunity available and value-added of such a RR program. Going forward, HRSA, ICAP and key stakeholders will continue to collaborate to sustain this project and advocate for employment and placement of student nurses who expressed interest, into rural employment following graduation. In addition, expanding such programs to other majority rural areas of sub-Saharan Africa seems both feasible and affordable with the right up-front collaborations and program design with key stakeholders.

Introduction

This paper presents a case study of implementing a rural rotation (RR) program for nurses in Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). Health systems routinely grapple with how to ensure adequate skills for clinical staff in rural areas. In DRC, where most people reside in such rural areas, supporting human resources for health (HRH) in rural areas is particularly important to health system strengthening and to providing healthcare for all [1]. This case study describes the rationale for steps taken and methods used to implement a novel RR program for nursing students. The results of two academic years as well as the challenges faced and lessons learned during and since implementation are provided.

Background

DRC Context and Challenges

DRC gained independence in 1997 and has received United States President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) funding since PEPFAR's inception in 2003 [2, 3]. DRC is a country of over 2 million square kilometers that borders seven sub-Saharan African countries. Decades of violent conflict and instability have taken a devastating toll on the country's economy, human resources, and infrastructure, and, coupled with a largely rural population, present several challenges to providing healthcare [1].

DRC has a generalized HIV epidemic with an estimated prevalence of 1.2 percent among adults aged 15 to 49. HIV infection is more prevalent in urban settings (1.6 percent) compared to rural areas (0.9 percent). An estimated 404,894 people are living with HIV, and around 10,535 people die from AIDS-related conditions each year [4]. The HIV epidemic has drastically impacted two of DRC's most populous provinces, with general population prevalence estimates of 1.6 percent in Kinshasa and 2.6 percent in Haut Katanga [5].

ICAP at Columbia University (ICAP) initiated a comprehensive program of support for HIV care and treatment (C&T) services in DRC in 2010. Since then, ICAP has worked hand-in-hand with the Programme National de Lutte contre le SIDA (PNLS) to expand the availability, quality, and uptake of adult and pediatric HIV care and treatment in DRC with an emphasis on expanding prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) activities, development of a laboratory network for disease monitoring, integration of HIV and tuberculosis (TB) services, improvement of infrastructure, prevention among key populations (KP) in Kinshasa and Haut Katanga provinces, and epidemic control. In partnership with DRC Ministry of Health (MoH) and donors, ICAP has rapidly expanded its support for PNLS activities from just 10 sites in 2010 to 240 public and private hospitals, health centers, and TB clinics as of September 2014. ICAP currently supports 199 sites.

ICAP and HRSA Engagement in Nursing in DRC

With PEPFAR funding through the United States Health Resources and Services Agency (HRSA), in 2017, ICAP was awarded the Resilient and Responsive Health Systems (RRHS) project to continue strengthening HRH in DRC. Using the World Health Organization (WHO) conceptual framework for HRH development, the first two years of the RRHS project built on extensive HRH capacity building and infrastructure improvements for student nurses and midwives through HRSA funding the Nursing Education Partnership Initiative (NEPI) as a follow-on to the previously funded Global Nursing Capacity Building Program (GNCBP) [6, 7]. Since nurses are the largest HRH component in DRC, this NEPI-focused work centered on nurses' readiness for clinical practice through curricula reform and development of innovative pedagogy including use of skills labs and simulation-based training. Over the past two years, HRSA and ICAP have leveraged these pre-service strengthening efforts to shift focus to in-service capacity building and addressing wider HRH limitations affecting epidemic control.

Given the volume of people living in rural areas and HIV service needs therein, a collaborative effort between the MoH, Ministry of Education (MoE), ICAP and HRSA has yielded a RR program to increase nursing student and community health worker (CHW) exposure to rural health needs, rural health care, as well as rural clinical practice and community engagement prior to graduation, entry to practice, and employment.

The Challenge: Getting Nursing Students to Understand the Importance of—and to Participate in—Rural Healthcare

An increase in the use of telemedicine globally has expanded access to quality healthcare services regardless of a patient's proximity to physical medical care/clinic services [8, 9], diminishing healthcare disparities in rural settings. However, many countries, including those in sub-Saharan Africa, still struggle with gaps in healthcare access for rural populations. Common themes seen among the extant literature include lack of funds to enhance rural healthcare, lack of medical professionals among rural populations, and lack of technology available for use in rural areas [10]. Current scholarship identifies various issues faced in attempting to close these gaps between rural and urban health settings and describes various interventions that have been used on a global scale, in sub-Saharan Africa, and more specifically in DRC. In particular, the evolving role of nurses in supporting—and advancing—rural healthcare in such contexts is explored in-depth as is the need to actively recruit nurses to areas by demonstrating the advantages that such experiences and expertise will bring to their careers. This, exposure, in turn, will be valued more by student nurses and will, as a result, increase their willingness/interest in rural placement [11].

An interesting healthcare paradox exists in rural settings, compared to urban ones, no matter where one looks in the world: rural communities in which some of the most numerous, complex, and diverse healthcare needs exist are precisely those served least by HRH. Despite the prevalence of unmet healthcare needs in rural areas, healthcare providers tend to remain in the wealthier, better resourced, urban areas [12]. A recent retrospective review of 174 nations and the rural “deficits” in health coverage showed that four basic inequities exist when comparing rural versus urban healthcare: lack of rights to healthcare (i.e., fewer entitlement programs in rural areas), shortages of rural HRH, unequal funding for rural health protection (and for preventative healthcare), and high out-of-pocket costs for rural populations forced to pay for their own health services [13]. These deficits were seen in very poor rural areas throughout regions of Africa including countries such as Zambia, Nigeria, South Africa and Kenya.

These inequities, alone, however, are not the only reasons for higher incidence and prevalence of some infectious diseases (e.g., malaria), malnutrition, and less preventative care in rural areas. Social determinants of health also come into play including access to education, food and social support [14]. Given social and economic inequities, few rural areas send students to medical and nursing schools; thus, fewer return to serve these areas [10]. Yet where a medical professional student comes from is highly correlated with where that student will practice upon graduation [15]. Attempts to improve recruitment from rural areas—via incentives and increased access to education—have resulted in mixed, or at best only short-term results and improvements [16]. In addition, poor road networks and physical distances from patients to care centers—as well as the social factors and pressures in some rural communities—also prevent patients from seeking health care early or often. Social exclusion from urban areas, not to mention the social pressures to seek guidance from local/rural “healers,” are strong forces that may keep patients from seeking life-saving care [17].

These rural determinants of health can result in relatively lower health indicators and indices; for example: greater numbers of stillbirths and higher infant mortality in Central Africa [18], greater child malnutrition and inequitable distribution of food among families across most low-income countries [19], and larger family sizes [20]. If rural determinants of health are not addressed proactively, poor rural health

outcomes can pose serious health risks to urban areas—and their healthcare systems and populations, especially after conflict and/or national disasters when (rural) healthcare services are often depleted and rural urban migration ensues [21]. For example, when an Ebola patient traveled from a small Liberian town to an urban center to seek better care during civil unrest and to escape pressures to utilize local healers, this patient's travels resulted in a number of downstream infections closer to an urban center [22].

Other obvious negative effects from failing to adequately address rural healthcare staffing and care provision include underreporting of diseases, such as Buruli Ulcer in DRC [23], lack of appropriate and basic diagnostic services such as radiology in across Sub-Saharan Africa [24], and inadequate communication networks and sharing of best practices from urban to rural settings—from healthcare providers and thought-leaders in DRC [11]. While several interventions have been proposed—including e-health information sharing and application deployment through smartphones in Ghana [25], e-health solutions for rural clinics in South Africa [26], Ghana, Tanzania, and Burkina Faso [27], and improved clinic leadership training for rural healthcare clinic leaders in South Africa [28], none appear to have been more effective than recruiting both rural and urban practitioners, nurses, and CHWs to serve these rural health centers even if only on a rotational basis [7].

In 2019 with support from HRSA, ICAP launched a telementoring program in DRC to help address some of the disparities and lack of knowledge transfer from urban to rural settings [29]. While successfully launched and currently being scaled up to assist and build capacity of rural clinicians, telementoring does not address insufficient supply of health care workers in rural areas. Therefore, a combination approach to strengthening rural service delivery is necessary.

Engaging students in rural contexts while increasing their familiarity and understanding of rural health needs also allows students to experience the breadth of health services provided in rural areas and opportunities to expand knowledge and skills. Under the mentorship and supervision of experienced rural clinicians, students can be exposed to a broader array of healthcare challenges than may be seen in urban settings where care is divided between many units and specialized services. This level of exposure to health issues, symptomatology, and engagement in all aspects of care from health history, point of care laboratory investigations, diagnosis and follow up can rapidly build clinical understanding. Students also live in and absorb more varied cultural environs, and, in many cases, establish early career leadership and managerial success on a scale more demonstrable than in urban settings where they might become “lost in the crowd” of the larger healthcare workforce. Exposing nursing students to the opportunities in (secure) rural settings, on a rotational basis, can create more HRH who are willing to work in rural settings after graduating [30].

Methods

Three broad activities were implemented to launch the RR program: stakeholder alignment, rotation preparation (with nursing schools), and clinic preparation (to receiving nursing students).

1. Alignment of Stakeholders Around Key Competencies

During the alignment phase of the project, ICAP/RRHS conducted meetings with the DRC MoH, MoE, Nursing Council, Midwife Association, target schools, students, and students' parents to raise awareness of the subject by explaining to them the requirements of Education Reform using a competency-based approach:

- Train students to be future health workers on a global scale
- Prepare them to work in all conditions, even in the remote environments both in hospitals and in rural communities

Once consensus was reached with key stakeholders on key competency goals, the joint ICAP/RRHS team partnered with nursing schools to support the RR launch.

2. Preparation with Nursing Schools to Support RR Launch

Once key stakeholders understood the value of building core competencies in nursing students, getting the nursing schools, themselves, on board was a critical next step. Explaining how the program would work, how progress would be measured, which sites nursing students would be housed at to learn/practice, and what safety and security guarantees would be put in place were crucial to program success. Once these discussions took place and nursing schools were on-board with the RR plan, the team then had to identify clinics that were willing to receive nursing students on short-term, rural rotations followed by post-rotation debriefings and continued coursework.

3. Preparing Clinics to Receive Nursing Students

Preparing to receive students at different clinic sites involved both physical and program preparation. Sites had to be assessed for readiness in terms of places to house rotating nurses, availability of space in which they could learn and practice, availability of preceptors who would monitor and evaluate their learning, and broader community acceptance of healthcare provisioning by students/learners.

a. Mapping of Rural Sites

To start, DRC MoH and MoE, working in conjunction with ICAP, identified specific rural sites that would most likely be able to handle a cadre of nurses on a rotating basis. The ministries, with ICAP's assistance, informed selected sites and helped set expectations for the rotational program.

b. Assessment of Rural Sites

Next, a joint MoH/ICAP team conducted site visits to assess conditions and readiness of the target clinics to receive nursing students. A senior nurse and clinician in charge of health zone technical support from MoH, as well as a policy and training manager, and a monitoring and evaluation specialist from

ICAP, visited each site and conducted surveys of living and teaching conditions for rotating nursing students. The focus of these site-level assessments were on:

- i. Availability of necessary clinical and teaching materials and equipment (including frequent pathologies)
- ii. Geographic accessibility and security
- iii. Accommodation conditions and local utilization of/attendance at the health center
- iv. Quality and number of health workers including availability of preceptors for nursing students

A comprehensive, detailed site assessment tool was developed by the ICAP team, in partnership with key stakeholders, to facilitate site-level site visits and assessments (see Exhibit 1).

c. Training of Preceptors

After site-level assessments were completed, preceptors from various target schools and rural health facilities were selected to be trained by the MoH and MoE experts with ICAP/RRHS technical assistance focused on how to mentor and to train nurses according to required competencies as noted earlier. To efficiently complete this training of preceptors, a training of trainers approach was used whereby the experts and technical assistants selected a subset of preceptors to complete detailed training. These “master trainers” then trained the remaining preceptors at the various sites. Key topics and competencies covered during these preceptor training sessions can be found in Exhibit 2, and an example detailed agenda for the multi-day train-the-trainer program can be found in Exhibit 3.

Concomitant with this training, MoH, MoE and ICAP continued to align with target clinics to obtain buy-in to the RR program (and how the program would help them fill HRH gaps). In addition, the team negotiated with the local communities around the target clinics/sites (with community leaders, religious leaders, local authorities and elders, etc.) to find accommodations for nursing students and to mitigate any issues around these nursing students rotating in and out of their communities over time.

Results

Following assessment of the rural sites, 85 preceptors from 55 target schools and 30 rural health facilities were chosen to be trained in mentoring and training nurses according to the aforementioned competency requirements of Education Reform. Of the total 85 preceptors, 30 individuals completed detailed training with the experts from the MoH and MoE. The 30 individuals were then considered “master trainers” who then trained the remaining 55 preceptors at various sites. As of May 2020, the 30 master trainers have on-boarded an additional 263 preceptors to improve nurse mentorship and instruction during students’ rotations.

All five targeted schools in Lubumbashi area have participated in the RR program. The DRC urban capital, Kinshasa, was not targeted for RR programs, but two schools supported under NEPI were interested in

this activity and were also willing to accept rotating nursing students (at Kongo central and rural areas in Kinshasa at the Minkawa Catholic Church health area).

Sixteen sites in total took part in the RR program, and nursing student participation in the 2018-2019 academic year rotational program comprised a one-month time period for secondary level nurses and three-month time period for advanced nurses. Site-level student volumes and participation by nursing school were broken out as follows:

- ISTM Lubumbashi: 141 nursing students
- IEM Kamalondo: 54
- ITM Immaculée Conception: 65
- ITM Zaidi: 38

In the most recent academic year, 2019-2020, participation has thus far comprised the following (noting that most rotations were put on hold due to the novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) concerns/quarantines in mid-March 2020):

- ISTM Lubumbashi (February-July 2020): 87 nursing students
- IEM Kamalondo (February-March 2020): 72
- ITM Immaculée Conception (March 2020): 81
- ITM Zaidi (February-March 2020): 45

Nursing student experiences at the various sites comprised a broad spectrum of rural healthcare functions, patient interactions, community liaising, and local health policymaking. Nurses not only practiced medicine with patients during clinic hours but also established themselves as valuable community members in terms of health policy outreach (e.g., HIV testing), preventative health advocacy (e.g., importance of improved diets and exercise with local healers and community leaders), and role models for future nursing students and CHWs.

After completing their RRs, students provided voluntary feedback to inform and to improve clinical rotations at sites. 274 students, as well as over 25 preceptors and nursing school leaders, provided feedback on their RR placement (see Exhibit 4A for distribution of respondents by site). Eighty percent of students providing feedback were placed during the most recent (2019-2020) academic year, and most students were placed for one month (63 percent) compared to three- (26 percent) or four-month (11 percent) placements.

Respondents were asked whether they strongly agreed, agreed, disagreed, or strongly disagreed with the following statements:

- I would recommend that my classmates participate in a RR
- I feel that the RR has strengthened my educational experience

- I feel better-equipped to provide HIV/AIDS prevention, care, and treatment services because I took part in the RR

Ninety-three percent of students agreed or strongly agreed that they would recommend RR participation to classmates (see Exhibit 4B). Ninety-seven percent agreed or strongly agreed that their RR had strengthened their educational experience (see Exhibit 4C). Ninety-five percent of students agreed or strongly agreed that they felt better-equipped to provide HIV/AIDS prevention, care, and treatment services thanks to RR participation (see Exhibit 4D).

When asked about their biggest challenges during their RRs, students most commonly reported financial support (35 percent), housing (30 percent), and rural living conditions (3 percent). Levels of responsibility and preceptor support were also reported by a few respondents (see Figure 4E). The ICAP team continues to try to correlate RR participation directly with improvements in Monitoring, Evaluation, and Reporting (MER) statistics as reported via PEPFAR “95-95-95” measures.

Challenges and Lessons Learned

This two-year case study demonstrates that a RR program is feasible even in low resource settings. Systematic preparation, broad engagement, careful assessment of sites and training of preceptors were seen as critical steps and keys to the program’s early success.

Key challenges to initial launch and ongoing operation of the RR program revolved mostly around accommodation availability and student financial assistance. Not all sites provided accommodations for student nurses, and at those where accommodations were available, spaces were poorly maintained and in need of renovation. Some nursing students also faced financial difficulties including support for transport to rural clinic sites. While the ICAP team was successful in securing some MoH/MoE funding/resources to support students’ needs, these challenges must continue to be addressed to ensure long-term sustainability of such a program.

Through donor support, ICAP has supported minor renovations at all 16 sites to improve accommodation conditions and assistance for student and preceptor transportation. In the first year of RR implementation, students were placed at all 16 sites. However, in the second year of placements, only 15 of the 16 sites were staffed due to security issues at one of the sites. The renovations completed on the living quarters for nursing students ensured students would have a proper place to stay while on their rotations. As sustainability is a key goal of this program, ICAP has worked with HRSA, MoH, and MoE to develop a transition and sustainability plan.

Discussion

To improve access to care and the health of all citizens of the DRC, it is essential to focus on strengthening rural health care. This is critical both for individual health and population health security. However, getting health workers to work in rural areas has historically been a challenge. The literature

reveals that exposing students to rural practice is one method to improve interest in and appreciation for rural care [28]. In low-resource settings, infrastructure and transport challenges can be barriers to such a program which need to be overcome.

Specifically, the programs School Sustainability Plan includes ensuring ongoing maintenance of living accommodations for nurses, continued transportation support, and longitudinal tracking of key educational outcomes to demonstrate program success (including downstream increases in rural nurse placements). By seeing this value from the RR program, continued funding and support can be assured. In fact, with the onset of COVID-19 healthcare priorities, rotating nursing students have been uniquely positioned to provide immediate front-line support thanks to this RR program. As such DRC MoH and MoE have realized even more value from this program than originally envisioned and have committed to continued funding of RR activities like these in the future.

Limitations

This case study has focused on building a nursing RR program. Early positive results have been presented using qualitative data and quantitative results to the outcome level. Further study of the impact of such a program is needed both on retention of health workers in rural areas following a rural rotation program as well as the impact of access to health care and health care outcomes. Identifying and studying key endpoints such as improvements in rural access to care, health outcomes, patient satisfaction, and the professional benefits of rural practice will help strengthen and build on the work presented here.

Conclusions

This case study shows that a RR program is both acceptable and feasible in remote areas of DRC. Broad stakeholder engagement and capacity-building are seen as keys to its success and eventual transition to local ownership and sustainability. Similarly, careful collaboration between nursing schools, the MoH, MoE, community leaders, and local service providers oriented and trained for rural mentorship as well as a solid rotational schedule, plan, and accommodations for participating nurses and preceptors are keys to success for such a rotational program. Initial student feedback indicates increased opportunities to engage with patients and to take part in community-based programs such as home visits, behavior change education, community awareness and health promotion, and these activities enhance student exposure and experience in the breadth and depth of primary and acute clinical care. Nursing school leaders and clinic managers reported student engagement to be an asset rather than a burden given the paucity of health workers available in rural settings. Further study of the essential components as well as short- and longer-term benefits and sustainability of a rural rotation program is encouraged.

Abbreviations

C&T: Care and Treatment

CHW: Community Healthcare Worker

COVID-19: Coronavirus Disease 2019

DRC: Democratic Republic of the Congo

GNCBP: Global Nursing Capacity Building Program

HIV/AIDS: Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome

HRH: Human Resources for Health

HRSA: (United States) Health Resources and Services Administration

ICAP: ICAP at Columbia University

IEM: Institut d'Enseignement Medical (nursing, midwife, technician, and pharmacy school))

IRB: Institutional Review Board

ISTM: Institut Supérieur des Techniques Médicales (nursing and medical school)

ITM: Institut des Techniques Médicales (nursing and midwife school)

KP: Key Populations

MER: Monitoring, Evaluation, and Reporting statistics (from PEPFAR's 95-95-95 goals)

MoE: Ministry of Education

MoH: Ministry of Health

NEPI: Nursing Education Partnership Initiative

PEPFAR: (United States) President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief

PMTCT: Prevention of Mother-To-Child Transmission

PNLS: Programme National de Lutte Contre le VIH/SIDA, DRC's national AIDS program

RR: Rural Rotation (program for nursing students)

RRHS: Resilient and Responsive Health Systems

TB: Tuberculosis

WHO: World Health Organization

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank all study respondents, MoH, PNLS, HRSA, and ICAP leadership and staff for their time and ongoing commitment to rural healthcare and nursing education in DRC.

Authors' Contributions

SM-S developed the overall case study methodology including rural rotation program frameworks and implementation guidelines. PT assisted with site-level HRH staffing analyses and reporting. NMK and DK contributed to the in-country nurse training and rotation implementation. JN supported both training and rotation planning with HRSA best practices and funding. BL and SM provided in-country technical guidance and RRHS leadership (including site-level collaborations). LP provided strategic site- and country-level information support and data analysis for nursing and other C&T programs. RH contributed to the literature review, bibliographic organization, and overall paper organization and editing. FM provided in-country leadership including ICAP-MoH collaborations and approvals. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This research has been supported by PEPFAR through HRSA. Funding for this effort was provided to ICAP through HRSA grant UH6HA30739. John Ngulefac, one of the study's co-authors, is a Public Health Analyst, HRSA, and has supervised this funding.

Availability of Data and Materials

Data collected and used for this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics Approval to Consent and to Participate

The Columbia University Irving Medical Center's IRB reviewed this proposed research study and gave it a "non-research" determination (IRB-AAAT1559) on August 7, 2020. This determination was shared with DRC MoH, and the MoH also deemed this study to be of a "non-research" nature. Only general, site-level rotation information has been collected and analyzed, and no specific individuals' names or identifying data were captured as part of any interview or discussion for this case study.

Consent for Publication

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent the positions of HRSA or of the organizations with which the authors are affiliated.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Messina JP, Emch M, Muwonga J, Mwandagaliwa K, Edidi SB, Mama N, Okenge A, Meshnick SR. Spatial and socio-behavioral patterns of HIV prevalence in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Social science & medicine*. 2010 Oct 1;71(8):1428-35.
2. Wembonyama S, Mpaka S, Tshilolo L. Medicine and health in the Democratic Republic of Congo: from Independence to the Third Republic. *Medecine tropicale: revue du Corps de sante colonial*. 2007 Oct;67(5):447-57.
3. Mulanga C, Bazepeo SE, Mwamba JK, Butel C, Tshimpaka JW, Kashi M, Lepira F, Caraël M, Peeters M, Delaporte E. Political and socioeconomic instability: how does it affect HIV? A case study in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Aids*. 2004 Mar 26;18(5):832-4.
4. PEPFAR Country Operational Plan (COP) for Democratic Republic of the Congo, Strategic Direction Summary. 2019 Apr 12.
5. Ministère de la Sante République Démocratique du Congo. Ministère de la Sante. Plan Stratégique Sectoriel Sante de la Lutte Contre le VIH/SIDA 2018-2021. Avril 2018.
6. World Health Organization. HRH action framework. World Health Organization; 2008. <https://www.who.int/hrh/tools/en/>. Accessed 10 Aug 2020.
7. Michaels-Strasser S, Smith J, Khanyola J, Sutton R, Price T, El Sadr WM. Strengthening the Quality and Quantity of the Nursing and Midwifery Workforce: Report on Eight Years of the NEPI Project. *Annals of global health*. 2018;84(1):31.
8. World Health Organization. "Telemedicine results: Current state of telemedicine services." In *Telemedicine: Opportunities and developments in member states*. World Health Organization, 2010.
9. Ferrer-Roca O, Garcia-Nogales A, Pelaez, C. The impact of telemedicine on quality of life in rural areas: The Extremadura model of specialized care delivery. *Telemedicine and E-Health*. 2010 Mar;16(2):233-43.
10. Naicker S, Eastwood JB, Plange-Rhule J, Tutt RC. Shortage of healthcare workers in sub-Saharan Africa: a nephrological perspective. *Clinical nephrology*. 2010 Nov;74:S129-33.
11. Global Partnership for Social Accountability. Improving health service delivery in DRC. World Bank: Global Partnership for Social Accountability. 2018.
12. Wilson N, Couper I, de Vries E, Reid S, Fish T, Marais B. A critical review of interventions to redress the inequitable distribution of healthcare professionals to rural and remote areas.
13. Scheil-Adlung X. Global evidence on inequities in rural health protection: new data on rural deficits in health coverage for 174 countries. International Labour Organization; 2015.

14. Bonfrer I, Van de Poel E, Grimm M, Van Doorslaer E. Does the distribution of healthcare utilization match needs in Africa?. *Health Policy and Planning*. 2014 Oct 1;29(7):921-37.
15. Reid SJ, Couper ID, Volmink J. Educational factors that influence the urban-rural distribution of health professionals in South Africa: A case-control study. *South African Medical Journal*. 2011;101(1):29-33.
16. Strasser R, Kam SM, Regalado SM. Rural health care access and policy in developing countries. *Annual review of public health*. 2016 Mar 18;37:395-412.
17. Kenny A, Basu G, Ballard M, Griffiths T, Kentoffio K, Niyonzima JB, Sechler GA, Selinsky S, Panjabi RR, Siedner MJ, Kraemer JD. Remoteness and maternal and child health service utilization in rural Liberia: A population-based survey. *Journal of global health*. 2015 Dec;5(2).
18. Engmann C, Matendo R, Kinoshita R, Ditekemena J, Moore J, Goldenberg RL, Tshefu A, Carlo WA, McClure EM, Bose C, Wright LL. Stillbirth and early neonatal mortality in rural Central Africa. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*. 2009 May;105(2):112-7.
19. Kismul H, Hatløy A, Andersen P, Mapatano M, Van den Broeck J, Moland KM. The social context of severe child malnutrition: a qualitative household case study from a rural area of the Democratic Republic of Congo. *International journal for equity in health*. 2015 Dec;14(1):47.
20. Ngatu NR, Kanbara S, Renzaho A, Wumba R, Mbelambela EP, Muchanga SM, Muzembo BA, Leon-Kabamba N, Nattadech C, Suzuki T, Oscar-Luboya N. Environmental and sociodemographic factors associated with household malaria burden in the Congo. *Malaria journal*. 2019 Dec;18(1):53.
21. Medecins Sans Frontieres. Urgent need for aid in rural areas of Kasai [Press Release]. Medecins Sans Frontieres. 2018.
22. Fallah MP, Skrip LA, Enders J. Preventing rural to urban spread of Ebola: lessons from Liberia. *The lancet*. 2018 Jul 28;392(10144):279-80.
23. Phanzu DM, Suykerbuyk P, Saunderson P, Lukanu PN, Minuku JBM, Imposo DBB, Diengidi BM, Kayinua M, Muyembe JJT, Lutumba PT, de Jong DC, Portaels F, Boelaert M. Burden of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* disease (Buruli Ulcer) and the underreporting ratio in the territory of Songololo, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *PLoS neglected tropical disease*. 2013 Dec 5;7(12).
24. Kawooya Training for rural radiology and imaging in sub-saharan Africa: addressing the mismatch between services and population. *Journal of clinical imaging science*. 2012;2.
25. Peprah P, Abalo EM, Agyemang-Duah W, Budu HI, Appiah-Brempong E, Morgan AK, Akwasi AG. Lessening barriers to healthcare in rural Ghana: providers and users' perspectives on the role of mHealth technology. A qualitative exploration. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 2020 Dec 1;20(1):27.
26. Ruxwana NL, Herselman ME, Conradie DP. ICT applications as e-health solutions in rural healthcare in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa. *Health information management journal*. 2010 Mar;39(1):17-29.
27. Blank A, Prytherch H, Kaltschmidt J, Krings A, Sukums F, Mensah N, Zakane A, Loukanova S, Gustafsson LL, Sauerborn R, Haefeli WE. "Quality of prenatal and maternal care: bridging the know-

- do gap" (QUALMAT study): an electronic clinical decision support system for rural Sub-Saharan Africa. BMC medical informatics and decision making. 2013 Dec;13(1):44.
28. Doherty J, Couper I, Walker J. Transforming rural health systems through clinical academic leadership: lessons from South Africa. Rural and remote health. 2013;13(3):2618.
29. Thurman PW, Michaels-Strasser S, Lukusa LFK, Ngulefac J, Lukeni B, Matumaini S, Assukulu N, Parmley L, Zidana-Ndovi S, Malele F. Strengthening human resources for health to achieve epidemic control: A case study of Democratic Republic of the Congo. July 2020, in press.
30. Neill J, Taylor K. Undergraduate nursing students' clinical experiences in rural and remote areas: Recruitment implications. The Australian Journal of Rural Health. 2008 Jun 28;10(5):239-43.

Figures

Clinical Practice Site Visit

The clinical practice sites have sufficient medical supplies, categories of patients, and experienced clinical staff needed to train students to competency.

The clinical practice sites model practice that is consistent with evidence-based best practices.

The clinical staff working with students are supported by the teachers through regular service-school clinical coordination meetings, copies of students' clinical learning objectives and log books, sharing of resources and information.

Ask what are the key health problems encountered in this setting:

1.	
2.	
3.	

On average, how many patients do you treat a day?

On average, how many students can you accommodate on one day?

What is your clinical staff complement?

Category	Number

Assess the following items:	Yes	No
Ask to see the clinical practice guidelines/treatment protocols for the top 3 health problems:		
Problem 1:	☐	☐
Problem 2:	☐	☐
Problem 3:	☐	☐
Have clinical staff [nurses, doctors] have attended a "clinical update" course in the past 18 months?	☐	☐
Is there a qualified preceptor available on the unit on each shift to which a student is assigned?	☐	☐
Do you have a formal arrangement with the school to train their students?	☐	☐
Does the site have a copy of the program's clinical learning objectives?	☐	☐
Do you have at least two meetings a year with the school to coordinate clinical placements, get information about student learning objectives and changes to the curriculum, address clinical placement problems, get information updates, and share feedback about the students clinical performance?	☐	☐
Do you have sufficient human and clinical supplies and material resources to train students to competency?	☐	☐

What is your experience of the students' clinical competence (knowledge and skill and attitudes) in dealing with people with the above health problems at the different years of training?

Year 1:	
Year 2:	
Year 3:	
Year 4:	

What are your three recommendations for helping students to transition from the student role to the practitioner role at graduation?

1.	
2.	
3.	

Figure 1

On-Site Clinical Practice Site Visit Assessment Tool

THEMATICS ON PRECEPTORS TRAINING

- Theoretical framework on an integration situation
- criteria for selecting internship fields
- Situational analysis of support and assessment practices for learners on work placements
- Situational analysis on the programmatic framework of internships
- Support strategies for internships
- Planning, organization and evaluation of internships
- Reflection on the organization of internships
- Function and activities of preceptors
- Feedback on the analysis of qualitative assessment tools
- Internship reference tools / materials
- Triple concordance in terms of training
- Planning of academic activities
- Purpose and assessment methods / vision of skills
- Continuing professional development
- Prerequisites in the organization of internships
- Internship support strategies
- Selection criteria for internship sites (Exercises and simulation of a problem situation)
- Reflective practices
- Organization of reflective feedback sessions or experiences
- Presentation on the purpose and methods of evaluation in the reform program (secondary and Advanced)
- Exchange of experiences of training institutions on the assessment of resources and skills
- Theoretical framework on internships,
- Work instructions and group distribution for the simulation on professional sites (
- Nursing - & Midwifery groups
- Special instructions on the preceptors
- Instructions on the work of coordinating internships at the UNILU Faculty of Medicine (University supervisors and professional field advisers).
- Simulation of the evaluation in professional field
- Development of training project for internship supervisors from UNILU Faculty of Medicine
- Pooling of learning from the field of internships on evaluation with qualitative grids and from the training project on internship supervision.
- Theoretical framework on integration situations.

Figure 2

Topics and Competencies for Preceptor Training (in English)

JOURS	HEURES	THEMES	DOCUMENT	FORME	OBSERVATION	
1	08h00 – 10h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ouverture de la session de formation• Mot du partenaire ICAP• Mot du Chef de Division Provinciale de la Santé ou son représentant• Fin partie protocolaire• Présentation mutuelle organisation, facilitation et participants• Recolte des craintes et attentes des participants• Règles de bonne conduite• Présentation du TDR de la session de formation• Présentation du chronogramme global des activités	Mot des acteurs impliqués Modèle de présentation Atentes et craintes Règles de bonne conduite TDR de la session de formation	Plénière	Facilitation modération	
	10h30 – 11h00	PAUSE – CAFE				
	11h00 – 13h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Analyse situationnelle sur les pratiques d'accompagnement et d'évaluation des apprenants en stages (Faculté Médecine, ISTM Lvh, IEM Kamalondo et ITM Zaidi)	Analyse de la situation par groupes des participants	Travail de groupe	Facilitation	
	13h00 – 14h00	PAUSE – REPAS				
	14h00 – 15h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Analyse situationnelle sur le cadre programmatique des stages (Faculté Médecine, ISTM Lvh, IEM Kamalondo et ITM Zaidi)	Analyse de la situation par groupes des participants	Travail de groupe	Facilitation	
	15h00 – 15h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Stratégies d'accompagnement des apprenants en stages	PPT Dipro	Plénière	Facilitation	
	15h30 – 16h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evaluation de la journée et fin des activités	Agenda du Jour 1	Plénière	Facilitation	
JOUR 2 : MARDI 31 OCTOBRE 2017						
2	08h00 – 10h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evaluation Jour 1• Amendement et adoption du rapport jour 1• Croisement des attentes, craintes et objectifs de la formation	PPT évaluation journalière Rapport du jour 1	Plénière	Equipe de rapportage	
	10h30 – 11h00	PAUSE – CAFE				
	11h00 – 12h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Planification, organisation et évaluation des stages	PPT l'organisation et évaluation des stages	Plénière	Facilitation	
	12h00 – 14h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Réflexion sur l'organisation des stages• Fonction et activités des encadreurs de stages	Présentation sur les rôles des acteurs des stages	Plénière Travail de groupe	Facilitation	
	13h00 – 14h00	PAUSE – REPAS				
	14h00 – 15h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evaluation de la journée et fin des activités	Agenda du Jour 2	Plénière	Facilitation	
JOUR 3 : MERCREDI 01 NOVEMBRE 2017						
3	08h00 – 09h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lecture et adoption du rapport du 2	Rapport journalier du 2	Plénière	Equipe de rapportage	
	09h30 – 10h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Retour sur l'analyse des outils d'évaluation qualitative• Outils sur/aux, de référence des stages	PPT sur les outils	Plénière et Groupes	Facilitation Participants	
	10h30 – 11h00	PAUSE – CAFE				
	11h00 – 11h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Triple concordance en matière de formation	PPT de la triple concordance	Plénière	Facilitation	
	11h30 – 12h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Planification des activités académiques• Objet et modalités d'évaluation / vision des compétences• Développement professionnel continu	PPT Planification pédagogique Référentiel PPT développement	Plénière Groupes de travail	Facilitation	
	12h30 – 13h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Prélabiles dans l'organisation des stages• Stratégies d'accompagnement des stages	PPT sur les prélabiles stages	Plénière	Facilitation	
	13h00 – 14h00	PAUSE – REPAS				
	14h00 – 15h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Critères sélection de terrains de stages (Exercices et simulation d'une situation problème)	PPT sur les critères	Plénière	Facilitation	
	15h00-15h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evaluation de la journée et fin des activités	Agenda du Jour 3	Plénière	Facilitation	
JOUR 4 : JEUDI 02 NOVEMBRE 2017						
4	08h00 – 09h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lecture et adoption du rapport du 3	Rapport du jour 3	Plénière	Equipe de rapportage	
	09h30 – 10h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pratiques réflexives• Organisation de séances de retour réflexif ou d'expériences	PPT sur la pratique réflexive Présentation/ locale	Plénière Travaux de groupe	Facilitation Groupes de travail	
	10h30 – 11h00	PAUSE – CAFE				
	11h00 – 11h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Présentation sur l'objet et les modalités d'évaluation en programme de réforme (secondaire et supérieur)	Référentiel d'évaluation	Plénière	Facilitation	
	11h30 – 12h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Echanges d'expériences des établissements de formation sur l'évaluation des ressources et des compétences (ISTM Lubumbashi, IEM Kamalondo et ITM Zaidi)	Fiches qualitatives Fiches disciplinaires	Travail en groupes Plénière	Facilitation + participants	
	12h30 – 14h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cadrage théorique sur les stages, ECI, EEI, DDP, TPE• Situation d'intégration	PPT sur le cadrage théorique	Plénière	Facilitation	
	14h00 – 15h00	PAUSE – REPAS				
	15h00 – 15h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Consignes de travail et répartition de groupe pour la simulation sur terrain professionnel (Hôpital Gécaminas Sud)• Groupe ECI/ETI (1 & 3) SIG Infirmière• Groupe stages (2 & 4) SF/Accoucheuse• Consignes particulières sur les encadreurs de la faculté de Médecine de l'UNLU	Consignes de travail Répartition de groupe	Plénière	Facilitation + participants	
	15h30 – 16h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evaluation de la journée et fin des activités	Agenda du Jour 4	Plénière	Facilitation	
JOUR 5 : VENDREDI 03 NOVEMBRE 2017						
5	08h00 – 09h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lecture et adoption du rapport du 4	Rapport du jour 4	Plénière	Equipe de rapportage	
	09h30 – 10h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Retour sur les consignes de travaux de groupe) et descente sur terrain professionnel et travail de groupes avec les stagiaires de la faculté de Médecine• Consignes sur le travail de la coordination des stages de la faculté de Médecine de l'UNLU (encadreurs de l'Université et référents du terrain professionnel)	Consignes de travail Modèle de production attendue	Plénière	Facilitation	
	10h30 – 11h00	PAUSE – CAFE				
	11h00 – 13h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Simulation de l'évaluation sur terrain professionnel (Hôpital Gécaminas sud) sur les stages, ECI et ETI• Production du projet de formation des encadreurs de stages de la faculté de Médecine de l'UNLU	Grilles d'évaluation des A2 et A1	Plénière	Organisation Facilitation Apprenants	
	14h30 – 14h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mise en commun des acquis du terrain de stages sur l'évaluation avec les grilles qualitatives et du projet de formation sur l'encadrement des stages• Evaluation de la journée et fin des activités	Consignes de travaux de groupes			
JOUR 6 : SAMEDI 04 NOVEMBRE 2017						
6	08h00 – 09h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lecture et adoption du rapport du 4	Rapport du jour 4	Plénière	Equipe de rapportage	
	09h30 – 11h00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mise en commun des acquis du terrain de stages sur l'évaluation avec les grilles qualitatives et du projet de formation sur l'encadrement des stages• Cadrage théorique sur les situations d'intégration, l'ECI/ETI et les stages	Grilles d'évaluation PPT sur le cadrage théorique	Travaux de groupe Plénière	Participants Facilitation	
	11h00 – 11h30	PAUSE – CAFE				
	11h30 – 12h30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cérémonie de clôture de la session de formation• Evaluation de la session de formation• Rapport synthèse de la session de formation• Formulation des recommandations• Mot des participants• Mot de la facilitation• Mot du partenaire ICAP• Mot de l'autorité et clôture des activités• Photo de famille• Cocktail	Grille d'évaluation Programme Mot des participants Mot de la facilitation Mot du partenaire Mot de l'autorité et discours de clôture	Plénière	Facilitation Modération Organisation	

Figure 3

Detailed Agenda for Preceptor Training (in French)

Site placement for rural clinical rotation					
Q1	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent	
Chanfubu General Hospital	6	2.19	6	2.19	
Kablasha	13	4.74	19	6.93	
Kaboka General Hospital	56	20.44	75	27.37	
Kaboka Health Center	15	5.47	90	32.85	
Kasameno CSR	23	8.39	113	41.24	
Kashobwe HQR	20	7.30	133	48.54	
Katabe CSR	8	2.92	141	51.46	
Kawama Health Center	14	5.11	155	56.57	
Kilewa General Hospital	41	14.96	196	71.53	
Kisamamba Health Center	4	1.46	200	72.99	
Lukonzolewa Health Center	6	2.19	206	75.18	
Lumata Health Center	13	4.74	219	79.93	
Lwansa Health Center	5	1.82	224	81.75	
Muhanga Health Center	9	3.28	233	85.04	
Mukupe Health Center	13	4.74	246	89.78	
Other	28	10.22	274	100.00	

Placement year					
Q2	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent	
2018-2019 academic year	54	19.71	54	19.71	
2019-2020 academic year	220	80.29	274	100.00	

Duration of rural clinical rotation					
Q3	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent	
1 month	172	62.77	172	62.77	
3 months	71	25.91	243	88.69	
4 months	31	11.31	274	100.00

Figure 4

Distribution of Student RR Satisfaction Survey Respondents by Site, Placement Year, and RR Duration
Distribution of RR Student Responses to the Question Regarding Recommending Participation in a RR to Classmates
Distribution of RR Student Responses to the Question Regarding Whether RR Participation Strengthened Educational Experience
Distribution of RR Student Responses to the Question Regarding

Whether Respondents Felt Better-Equipped to Provide HIV/AIDS Care Given RR Participation Distribution of RR Student Responses to the Question Regarding Biggest Challenge During RR