Mortality in free-ranging Eurasian brown bears (*Ursus arctos arctos*) in Spain “1998-2018”

Ana Balseiro (✉ abalm@unileon.es)

University of Leon <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5121-7264>

Luis José Royo

Servicio Regional de Investigacion y Desarrollo Agroalimentario

Elena Gayo

Universidad de Leon

Ramón Balsera

Gobierno del Principado de Asturias Consejería de Desarrollo Rural y Recursos Naturales

Olga Alarcia

Junta de Castilla y Leon

Juan Francisco García Marín

Universidad de Leon

Research article

Keywords: Brown bear; *Ursus arctos arctos*; pathology; cause of death

Posted Date: April 29th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.21646/v2>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: This work summarizes the mortality cases of twenty-five free-ranging Eurasian wild brown bears (*Ursus arctos arctos*) from the Cantabrian mountain range submitted for necropsy in Asturias and Castilla y León (northwestern Spain) from “1998 to 2018”.

Results: Causes of death were classified both based on (i) infectious or non infectious etiology and (ii) caused by “human intervention” or “natural causes”. In four cases (16%) it was not possible to determine the cause of death due to the inadequate preservation of collected specimens or insufficient tissue availability. Some bears died from a combination of human activities or natural trauma and secondary bacterial infection (i.e. clostridiosis).

Based on infectious or non infectious etiology nine out of the 21 (42.9%) brown bears in which the cause of death could be determined died due to infectious diseases, namely gangrenous myositis, infectious canine hepatitis or septicemia. The remaining twelve (57.1%) animals died due to non infectious causes, which included handling, traumatic lesions such as shooting, snare, fighting or infanticide, strychnine poisoning, mushroom poisoning or neoplasia. Exertional myopathy was additionally observed in the handled animal and in one bear found in a snare.

Mortality data was also stratified by deaths caused by “human intervention” or “natural causes”. Seven out of the 21 (33.3%) brown bears died as a consequence of “human intervention” due to illegal hunting (shooting or snare), handling or strychnine poisoning. In contrast, fourteen (66.7%) brown bears died by “natural causes” due to traumatic lesions (fights, traumas, or infanticide), infectious canine hepatitis, neoplasia or mushroom poisoning. In four cases traumatic lesions were complicated with clostridiosis which finally caused the death of those animals. Two additional bears showed septicemia and gangrenous myositis secondary to fighting. Another two animals developed exertional myopathy.

Conclusions: In a non-hunted population of Eurasian brown bear from the Cantabrian mountain range, main cause of death is attributed to “natural causes” mostly due to traumatic lesions and infectious diseases (primary or secondary developed) which is in contrast to previously reported data for other bear populations.

These data are valuable and may help in the conservation and management of this recovering population.

Background

In Spain the endangered Eurasian brown bear (*Ursus arctos arctos*) population is located in the Cantabrian mountain range (northwestern Iberian Peninsula) and represents the southwestern limit distribution for this species in Europe [1]. The population of free-ranging Eurasian brown bear has recovered specially during the last two decades (2000-2020) from approximately 100 individuals in the nineties to 230-260 presently [1, 2]. The deaths of brown bears in nature sometimes can be attributed to

human interventions, i.e. shooting, poisoning or traffic accidents, and on other occasions death is due to natural causes, i.e. infanticide [3, 4].

The identification of causes of mortality in wild natural populations is relevant for the correct design of conservation strategies and management programs. However, knowledge on the mortality among bears is limited because of the difficulty in finding the dead animals in nature, and certain percentage of dead animals remains unrecorded.

The aim of the present work is to summarize the confirmed causes of deaths and most significant findings related to deaths reported in twenty-five free-ranging Eurasian brown bears submitted for necropsy in Asturias and Castilla y León (northwestern Spain) over the past 20 years. These data are valuable and may help in the conservation and management of this recovering population.

Results

Classification of causes of death

The cause of death and relevant pathological findings in the twenty-five brown bears studied is shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. In four (4/25, 16%) cases it was not possible to determine the cause of death due to inadequate preservation of collected specimens or insufficient tissue availability. Some animals showed several pathological findings that could lead to death, i.e. combination of human activities or natural trauma and secondary bacterial infection. Three causes of death (*Clostridium sordellii* infection in one bear, infectious canine hepatitis caused by canine adenovirus type 1 (CAV-1) and cholangiocarcinoma) had been already described in previous papers by our group [5-7].

Mortality cases were classified both based on (i) infectious or non infectious etiology and (ii) caused by “human intervention” or “natural causes”.

Based on infectious or non infectious etiology nine out of the 21 (9/21, 42.9%) brown bears in which the cause of death could be determined died due to infectious diseases, namely gangrenous myositis ($n=5$, 23.8%) of which 4 were due to clostridiosis, infectious canine hepatitis ($n=3$, 14.3%) or septicemia ($n=1$, 4.8%). The remaining twelve (12/21, 57.1%) animals died due to non infectious causes, which included handling ($n=1$, 4.8%), traumatic lesions ($n=8$, 38.1%) such as shooting, snare, fighting or infanticide, strychnine poisoning ($n=1$, 4.8%), mushroom poisoning ($n=1$, 4.8%) or neoplasia ($n=1$, 4.8%). Exertional myopathy was additionally observed in the handled animal and in one bear found in a snare.

Mortality data was also stratified by deaths caused by “human intervention” or “natural causes”. Seven out of the 21 (7/21, 33.3%) brown bears died as a consequence of “human intervention” due to illegal hunting [wire snare hunting ($n=3$, 14.3%) or shooting $n=2$ (9.5%)], handling ($n=1$, 4.8%) or strychnine poisoning ($n=1$, 4.8%). In contrast, fourteen (14/21, 66.7%) brown bears died by “natural causes” due to traumatic lesions ($n=9$, 42.9%) [fights ($n=4$, 19%), traumas ($n=3$, 14.3%) or infanticide ($n=2$, 9.5%)], infectious canine hepatitis ($n=3$, 14.3%), neoplasia ($n=1$, 4.8%) or mushroom poisoning ($n=1$, 4.8%). One

of the animals that died due to wire snare hunting and three of those that died due to traumatic lesions suffered secondary developed clostridiosis. Two additional bears showed septicemia and gangrenous myositis secondary to fighting. Another two animals developed exertional myopathy.

Description of necropsy findings

Usually traumatic death causes such as shooting (metallic fragments were found), wire snares, fighting or infanticide were easier to determine not only based on necropsy and histopathological studies but also using complementary diagnostic techniques (i.e. radiography) or knowledge of the behavior of this species. *Ante mortem* traumatic lesions always showed vascular reactions such as hemorrhages, hyperemia, congestion or edema. In fighting and infanticide cases lesions in skin, muscles or bones showed signs compatible with bites and claws. In two animals the origin of traumas could not be determined. Other causes were more difficult to discern and are reported below.

Infectious diseases

The five bears (bears number 1, 8, 10, 15 and 23) with developed secondary *gangrenous myositis* (Table 1, Figure 1), as a consequence of wire snare hunting, infanticide, fights or traumas, showed serohemorrhagic edema in the abdominal cavity, thorax, pericardium, and skeletal muscle, and hemorrhages in heart, skeletal muscles, stomach, intestine, liver, spleen, and kidney. The case description of bear 8 was previously reported [5]. Microscopically, vascular damage and hyperacute myodegeneration consisted of myonecrosis, edema, gas, extravasation of fibrin into the interstitial spaces, and lacunar dissolution of myofibers in skeletal muscles were observed using hematoxylin-eosin and Masson's trichrome stains. *Clostridium sordellii* was identified by Gram stain and culture [5] as the etiological agent of the lesions in four brown bears. In two of these four bears each, the *C. bifermentans* and *C. septicum* were also isolated. The presence of *C. sordellii* was always associated with previous muscle damage (i.e. traumas) that triggered its proliferation. In bear number 15 *Clostridium* spp could not be isolated. An additional bear (number 12) showed septicemia secondary to fighting although the etiological agent could not be determined.

Gross lesions in the three bears (bears number 11, 14 and 20) with *infectious canine hepatitis* caused by CAdV-1 consisted of hemorrhages in thymus (in cubs), lungs, heart and mesentery; hemorrhagic fluid in thoracic and abdominal cavities; friable and yellow-tinged liver; hepatomegaly; thickening of the gall bladder due to edema and congestion of spleen, kidney and meninges [6]. Microscopically the main pathological findings appeared in liver and gall bladder. The liver showed mild centrilobular multifocal degeneration and necrosis of hepatocytes, with the presence of intranuclear inclusions bodies and low inflammatory infiltration mainly of lymphocytes. The gall bladder showed edema of the wall. Additionally, the brain showed edema, congestion, perivascular cuffing, foci of gliosis, and degeneration of neurons, mainly located in the brainstem. CAdV-1 was confirmed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) and immunohistochemistry [6].

Exertional (degenerative) myopathy

In two animals *exertional myopathy* was diagnosed. One bear (bear number 1) additionally exhibited signs of clostridiosis after being captured in a snare and died after one week. A female cub also died due to exertional myopathy after handling (bear number 9). The cub was found alone in the wild when it was two months old and it was kept in captivity until it was nine months old. The cub died during transit in an attempt to reintroduce it back into the wild. Both animals showed gross lesions consisting of dry and pale cardiac and some skeletal (mainly intercostals and femoral) muscles (Figures 2a and 2b).

Microscopically severe segmental degeneration of muscles was observed and consisted of hypercontracted fibers, extensive Zenker's hyaline degeneration and coagulative necrosis of myofibers (Figures 2c to 2h). Bear number 1 also showed an intensive infiltrate mainly consisting of lymphocytes and macrophages, as well as mineralization in the affected muscles (Figure 2f). In that animal necrotic myofibers with surviving satellite cells, invading macrophages and elongating myoblasts, all indicative of events of regeneration, were also observed (Figure 2d). The cub also showed hypoplasia of adrenal glands (1.7 grams, 0.004% relative weight; physiological relative weight 0.03% [8]).

Strychnine poisoning

In the bear affected by *strychnine poisoning* (bear number 6) extensive hemorrhages were found in several organs (heart, lungs, liver, kidney, spleen, stomach and intestine) often showing hemotorax, hemopericardium and hemoperitoneum. Microscopically vascular damage and diffuse necrosis in those organs were the most common findings. Strychnine was identified by chromatography from hair samples in a private company.

Neoplasia

Cholangiocarcinoma was observed in the liver of an old female (bear number 13). A complete description of this case was already published [7]. Briefly, microscopically liver tumor tissue showed tubular, acinar or pseudoglandular structures in the area facing a large cavity of necrosis with a thick trabecular growth pattern. Multiple small nodules were also present in the gall bladder. Metastatic encapsulated foci of cholangiocarcinoma were located in lung parenchyma, adrenal glands and articulation of the left elbow.

Mushroom poisoning

Bear number 19 showed hemorrhagic gastritis and diffuse hepatic and renal necrosis compatible with mushroom poisoning, likely due to ingestion of poisonous *Amanita* spp, although that could not be confirmed by toxicological analysis.

Discussion

This study shows that the main causes of deaths in Eurasian brown bears from the Cantabrian mountain range are those caused by infectious diseases (42.9%), mainly by clostridiosis (associated to previous muscle damage) or infectious canine hepatitis. In Sweden, where ninety-eight animals were analyzed, no deaths of brown bears were attributed to any infectious disease [4]. Thus, the confirmation of *C. sordellii*

and CAdV-1 (the agent of infectious canine hepatitis in dogs) as relevant causes of death in Eurasian brown bear is probably the most outstanding finding in this study. In contrast with previous data on brown bears, for the first time infectious diseases are described as an important cause of death. The four fatal cases of clostridial infection described to date, compared to the few descriptions reported worldwide, could show a higher susceptibility of the Cantabrian brown bear population to these bacteria [5]. Gross lesions such as hemorrhages and edema in skeletal muscle and internal organs might lead to suspect of clostridial infection in bears found dead in the nature. The putative high susceptibility to infectious pathogens reported here might be a consequence of a weaker immune system due to the lower genetic diversity described for this species in the Cantabrian range subpopulation [9, 10]. Another possibility might be a high degree of circulation of the pathogens both in the environment and sympatric species (i.e. CAdV-1 carriers such as wolves or not sanitarily controlled dogs in rural areas) [6].

Two animals died showing exertional myopathy as a consequence of extreme exertion and stress after trapping by a snare [11] or handling. Those are to our knowledge the second and third cases of death by exertional myopathy reported in bears in the literature worldwide. The first case was described by Cattet et al. (2008) in a grizzly bear that died approximately 10 days after capture by leghold snare in Canada [12]. Those three cases confirm the fact that the prolonged suffering in bears might cause exertional myopathy as occurs in other mammal species, i.e. red fox or cervids [13, 14]. Therefore that should be taken into account in the management of bears, trying to minimize stress as much as possible. Some measures to reduce stress and complications due to capture myopathy might include minimize noise and activity, limit captures to periods with reduced temperature or provide sodium bicarbonate, Selenium or Vitamin E supplements [14]. The acute presentation of adrenal hypoplasia shown in the cub could be precipitated by the physiologic stress suffered [15].

Despite of the limited number of studied cases (related to the conservation status and behaviour of the species that hampers the detection of moribund or dead bears in nature) the data reported in the present work confirm the persistence and relevance of causes of death directly related to human intervention (33%). The total number of mortalities and causes of death are a conservative figure as unreported mortality is difficult to estimate. Additionally the impossibility of establishing the cause of death in four brown bears submitted for necropsy due to the nature and/or preservation status of available samples must be taken into account when considering the frequency and importance of the different causes of death reported in this paper.

Nevertheless, the confirmation of six out of 25 brown bears submitted to the laboratory for necropsy confirmed as death by shooting, snare and poisoning (4 of them from 1998 to 2008 and two from 2008 onwards) seems to agree with the apparent reduction in illegal killing after the mid-nineties [1, 16], suggested as a key factor for the recovery undergone during last two decades [2]. In this regard human actions were responsible of most grizzly bear mortalities in Canada [3]. In Sweden, Mörner et al. (2005) found that human caused mortality stands for 64% of total submissions; meanwhile bears killed by other bears and infanticide were the most frequent cause of natural death (16%) [4].

The detection of four male bears that died after fighting, three due to traumas, three bears that died due to CAdV-1 infection, two cubs that died due to infanticide, one female died due to a cholangiocarcinoma and one male due to mushroom poisoning confirm the existence of deaths attributable to “non-human mediated” natural causes (67%) usually difficult to detect in nature, and thus highlight the importance of necropsies.

Traffic induced bear mortality has been documented as a common cause of death in bears in other European countries [4, 17-22]. In our study we have not reported any road-killed animals, despite one bear that died in a traffic accident (the only one reported in Spain to date) in León in 2008, although necropsy of this bear was not performed, and it is not included in this report [23].

Regarding the limited genetic variability of the Cantabrian brown bear population [2], and taking into account that a free-ranging bear can live about 25 years [2, 16], we can consider that the three animals found dead at the age of 19-20 years have had the opportunity to widely contribute to the genetic stock of the Cantabrian brown bear population. However, two out of the five necropsied cubs (40%) died due to CAdV-1 infection. Thus, we can consider that an important percentage of future progenitors might die due to that infectious disease among others causes, which can be important for the maintenance of genetic variability of the species, even more in this endangered population.

Conclusion

In summary the data in the present work represent a first review of the death causes reported at necropsy in the Cantabrian brown bear population since 1998. The apparent moderate but steady recovery experienced during last decades provides a new landscape for this endangered population, where recovery and conservation works will have to be accompanied by an increasingly important effort on surveillance and management programs of the species, focused on the control of infectious diseases shared between domestic and wild animals under the one health strategy.

Methods

Twenty-five free-ranging Eurasian brown bears, nine from Castilla y León and 16 from Asturias, of different sexes and ages (see Table 1) were necropsied from “1998 to 2018”.

After the detection of one dead animal and the exhaustive examination of the area in the field where the bear was found, a complete *post mortem* examination of each carcass was conducted at the University of León or Servicio Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Agroalimentario (SERIDA, Asturias). Tissue samples were taken for evaluation using standard methods in microbiology [5], molecular techniques [6], virology [6], parasitology (coprologic study), toxicology and histopathology [5-7]. Histological stains included hematoxylin-eosin, Gram, Ziehl-Neelsen, Von-Kossa, Mallory Azan, Klüber-Barrera and Masson’s trichrome. A dental histological study [24] was performed when possible in order to determine the age of the bears. Data on bears carcass sites, necropsy findings and laboratory results were considered in

making conclusions about the cause of death and allowed tracking of changes and trends in mortality throughout the years.

Abbreviations

CAdV-1: Canine adenovirus type 1; qPCR: quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We comply with the IUCN Policy Statement on Research Involving Species at Risk of Extinction and the Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. We also comply national and regional legislation on sampling of Cantabrian brown bear (Consejería de Fomento, Government of Principality of Asturias and Consejería de Fomento y Medio Ambiente, Junta de Castilla y León). Ethics approval was deemed unnecessary according to Spanish national regulations (Real Decreto 53/2013).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Techniques performed were supported by a grant from the Principado de Asturias, PCTI 2018–220 (GRUPIN: IDI2018-000237 and FEDER).

Authors' contributions

AB and JFGM developed the concept of the study and collected all required data; AB, LJR, EG, RB, OA and JFGM collected and processed the samples, and analyzed and interpreted the data. AB and JFGM drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Authors thank colleagues from SERIDA, University of León, Servicios del Principado de Asturias (SERPA), Servicio de Espacios Protegidos y Conservación de la Naturaleza, Dirección General de Biodiversidad

from Viceconsejería de Medio Ambiente del Principado de Asturias and Consejería de Fomento y Medio Ambiente de la Junta de Castilla y León for the invaluable collaboration. We thank Dr. Kevin P. Dalton for critically reviewing the manuscript.

Author details

¹ Departamento de Sanidad Animal, Instituto de Ganadería de Montaña (CSIC-ULE), Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de León, León, Spain. ² Servicio Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Agroalimentario del Principado de Asturias (SERIDA), Villaviciosa, Spain. ³ Consejería de Fomento, Ordenación del Territorio y Medio Ambiente, Oviedo, Asturias, Spain. ⁴ Consejería de Fomento y Medio Ambiente de la Junta de Castilla y León, Dirección General del Medio Natural, Valladolid, Spain.

References

1. Naves J, Wiegand T, Fernández A, Stephan T. Riesgo de extinción del oso pardo cantábrico. Oviedo: Fundación Oso de Asturias; 1999.
2. González EG, Blanco JC, Ballesteros F, Alcaraz L, Palomero G, Doadrio I. Genetic and demographic recovery of an isolated population of brown bear *Ursus arctos* L., 1758. Peer J. 2016;4:e1928. doi: 10.7717/peerj.1928
3. Mörner T, Eriksson H, Broejer C, Nilsson K, Uhlhorn H, Agren E, Ryser-Degiorgis M P, Hard af Segerstad C, Jansson DS, Gavier-Widen D. Diseases and mortality in free-ranging brown bear (*Ursus arctos*), wolf (*Canis lupus*) and wolverine (*Gulo gulo*) in Sweden. J Wildl Dis. 2005;41:298–03.
4. Balseiro A, Oleaga Á, Polledo L, Aduriz G, Atxaerandio R, Kortabarria N, García Marín JF. *Clostridium sordellii* in a brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) from Spain. J Wildl Dis. 2013;49:1047–51.
5. García Marín JF, Royo LJ, Oleaga A, Gayo E, Alarcia O, Pinto D, Martínez IZ, González P, Balsera R, Marcos JL, Balseiro A. Canine adenovirus type 1 (CAV-1) in free-ranging European brown bear (*Ursus arctos arctos*): A threat for Cantabrian population?. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2018;65:2049–56.
6. Balseiro A, Royo LJ, Gayo E, García Marín JF. Cholangiocarcinoma in a free-ranging Eurasian brown bear (*Ursus arctos arctos*) from Northern Spain. J Wildl Dis. 2019; doi: 10.7589/2019-03-054
7. Barszcz K, Przespolewska H, Olbrych K, Czopowicz M, Klećkowska-Nawrot J, Goździewska-Harłajczuk K, Kupczyńska M. The morphology of the adrenal gland in the European bison (*Bison bonasus*). BMC Vet Res. 2016;12:161.
8. Sommer S. The importance of immune gene variability (MHC) in evolutionary ecology and conservation. Front Zool. 2005;2:16.
9. Swenson JE, Taberlet P, Bellemain E. Genetics and conservation of European brown bears *Ursus arctos*. Mammal Rev. 2011;41:87–98.
10. Naves J, Fernández-Gil A, García-Marín F, Delibes M. Brown bear death related to capture myopathy and *Clostridium chauvoei* toxemia (Somiedo Natural Park, Spain). In: Proceedings of the 12th

- International Conference on Bear Research and Management, International Association for Bear Research and Management, Poiana Brasov, Romania; 1999. P. 35.
11. Kreeger TJ, White PJ, Seal US, Tester JR. Pathological responses of red foxes to foothold traps. *J Wild Manage*. 1990;54:147–60.
 12. Hewitt DG. *Biology and Management of White-tailed Deer*. CRC Press, London: 2011. p. 65.
 13. Kyritsi EM, Sertedaki A, Charmandari E, Chrousos GP. Familial or Sporadic Adrenal Hypoplasia Syndromes. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Boyce A, Chrousos G, Dungan K, Grossman A, Hershman JM, Kaltsas G, Koch C, Kopp P, Korbonits M, McLachlan R, Morley JE, New M, Perreault L, Purnell J, Rebar R, Singer F, Trencle DL, Vinik A, Wilson DP, editors. *Endotext* [Internet]. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com
 14. Palomero G, Blanco JC, Ballesteros F, García-Serrano A, Herrero J, Nores C. Record de osas con crías en el occidente cantábrico. *Quercus*. 2011;301:20–5.
 15. Ueckermann VE. Erhebung über die Wildverluste durch den Strassenverkehr und die Verkehrsunfälle durch Wild. *Z Jagdwiss*. 1964;10:142–68.
 16. Frković AR, Ruff L, Cicnjak L, Huber D. Brown bear mortality in Gorski kotar, Yugoslavia. *Int Conf Bear Res and Manage*. 1987;7:87–92.
 17. Kaczensky P, Knauer F, Huber T, Jonosovic M, Adamic M. The Ljubljana-Postojna highway - a deadly barrier for brown bears in Slovenia?. *J Wild Res*. 1996;1:263–7.
 18. Huber D, Kusak J, Frkovic A. Traffic kills of brown bears in Gorski Kotar, Croatia. *Ursus*. 1998;10:167–71.
 19. Kusak J, Huber Đ, Frković A. The effects of traffic on large carnivore populations in Croatia. *Bios Conserv*. 2000;3:35–9.
 20. Seiler S, Helldin JO, Seiler C. Road mortality in Swedish mammals: results of a drivers' questionnaire. *Wildl Biol*. 2004;10:225–33.
 21. Morales M. Primer oso muerto en un atropello en España. 2008. https://elpais.com/sociedad/2008/10/28/actualidad/1225148405_850215.html. Accessed 22 December 2019.
 22. Klevezal GA. *Recording structures of mammals. Determination of age and reconstruction of life history*. AA Balkema Publishers, Rotterdam, Netherlands; 1996.

Tables

Table 1 Available data, cause of death and pathological findings of twenty-five free-ranging Eurasian brown bears (*Ursus arctos arctos*) necropsied from “1998 to 2018” in Asturias and Castilla y León (northwestern Spain).

Bear	Date	Age	Sex	Cause of death
1	08/05/1998	7 years	Male	Snare/exertional myopathy/gangrenous myositis (<i>Clostridium sordellii</i> and <i>C. bifermentans</i>)
2	12/06/1998	Cub	Female	Infanticide
3	10/06/2000	Adult	N.d.	N.d.
4	06/06/2005	Subadult	Male	N.d.
5	26/09/2005	Adult	Male	Shooting
6	19/11/2005	Adult	N.d.	Poisoning: strychnine
7	14/06/2008	1 year	Male	Infanticide
8	27/08/2012	Adult	Male	Snare/gangrenous myositis (<i>Clostridium sordellii</i>)*
9	29/10/2012	Cub (9 months)	Female	Died after handling and transport/exertional myopathy
10	12/06/2014	3 years	Male	Fighting/gangrenous myositis (<i>Clostridium sordellii</i> and <i>C. septicum</i>)
11	15/06/2014	5 years	Male	Infectious disease: CAdV-1**
12	12/12/2014	9 years	Male	Fighting/septicemia
13	29/04/2015	20 years	Female	Neoplasia: cholangiocarcinoma***
14	23/05/2015	Cub (4 months)	Male	Infectious disease: CAdV-1**
15	16/10/2015	Adult	Male	Traumatic lesions/gangrenous myositis
16	05/03/2016	Adult	Male	Traumatic lesions due to fall
17	08/10/2016	Subadult	Male	Shooting
18	27/11/2016	6 years	Female	Snare/strangled
19	07/01/2017	6 years	Male	Mushroom poisoning; hepatic and renal necrosis

20	03/04/2017	Cub (3 months)	Female	Infectious disease: CAdV-1**
21	21/04/2017	19 years	Male	Fighting and cliff fall
22	21/04/2017	20 years	Male	Fighting and cliff fall
23	29/09/2018	4 years	Female	Traumatic lesions/gangrenous myositis (<i>Clostridium sordellii</i>)
24	27/10/2018	5 years	N.d.	N.d.
25	08/11/2018	7 years	Male	N.d.

* [5]. ** [6]. *** [7]. Grey shadow: deaths by human intervention. N.d.: not determined. CAdV-1: Canine adenovirus type 1. Bears 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 24 and 25 are from Castilla y León. The remaining bears are from Asturias.

Figures

Figure 1

Mortality cases in Eurasian brown bears (*Ursus arctos arctos*) in Asturias and Castilla y León (northwestern Spain) from “1998 to 2018”. Blue arrows indicate bears which died from a combination of human activities or natural trauma and secondary bacterial infection.

Figure 2

Severe exertional myopathy in Eurasian brown bears is shown. Figures a, c, d, e and f correspond to bear number 1 and Figures b, g and h to bear number 9 (see Table 1 for details). a) Gross lesions consisted of dry and pale cardiac muscle. Inset: detail of lesions in myocardium. b) Gross lesions consisted of pale skeletal (femoral) muscle. c) Extensive segmental hyaline degeneration in femoral muscle. Hypercontracted fibers (asterisks), coagulative necrosis and areas of myofibrillar lysis (stars), as well as

intensive infiltrate mainly consisted of lymphocytes and macrophages (arrowheads). Hematoxylin-eosin staining. d) Detail of necrotic myofibers (stars) with surviving satellite cells (arrow), invading macrophages (arrowhead) and elongating myoblasts (asterisks) indicative of events of regeneration. Hematoxylin-eosin staining. e) Necrotic and lysed myofibers. Mallory Azan staining. f) Calcification (mineralization), hyalinization and necrosis of muscle fibers. Von Kossa staining. g) Segmental degeneration in a longitudinal section of intercostal muscle. In this case infiltrate is not present. Hematoxylin-eosin staining. h) Necrotic and hypercontracted (arrows) myofibers. Mallory Azan staining.