

A More Complete Story of the Genetic Bases of Resistance to the *Rice Hoja Blanca Virus*

Alexander Silva

Alliance Bioversity-CIAT

María Elker Montoya

FLAR - Fondo Latinoamericano para Arroz de Riego

Constanza Quintero

Alliance Bioversity-CIAT

Juan Cuasquer

Alliance Bioversity-CIAT

Joe Tohme

Alliance Bioversity-CIAT

Eduardo Graterol

FLAR - Fondo Latinoamericano para Arroz de Riego

Maribel Cruz

FLAR - Fondo Latinoamericano para Arroz de Riego

Mathias Lorieux (✉ mathias.lorieux@ird.fr)

DIADE, University of Montpellier, Cirad, IRD, Montpellier

Research Article

Keywords: Rice hoja blanca virus (RHBV), Disease severity, Disease incidence, Quantitative trait locus (QTL), candidate gene

Posted Date: January 7th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1225485/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Rice *hoja blanca* is one of the most serious diseases in rice growing areas in tropical Americas. Its causal agent is the *Rice hoja blanca virus* (RHBV), transmitted by the planthopper *Tagosodes orizicolus* Müir. Genetic resistance is the most effective and environment-friendly way of controlling the disease. So far, only one major quantitative trait locus (QTL) of *Oryza sativa* ssp. *japonica* origin, *qHBV4.1*, that alters incidence of the virus symptoms in two Colombian cultivars has been reported. This resistance has already started to be broken, stressing the urgent need for diversifying the resistance sources. In the present study we performed a search for new QTLs of *O. sativa indica* origin associated with RHBV resistance. We used four F_{2:3} segregating populations derived from *indica* resistant varieties crossed with a highly susceptible *japonica* pivot parent. Beside the standard method for measuring disease *incidence*, we developed a new method based on computer-assisted image processing to determine the affected leaf area (ALA) as a measure of symptoms *severity*. Based on the disease severity and incidence scores in the F₃ families under greenhouse conditions, and SNP genotyping of the F₂ individuals, we identified four new *indica* QTLs for RHBV resistance on rice chromosomes 4, 6 and 11, namely *qHBV4.2*^{WAS208}, *qHBV6.1*^{PTB25}, *qHBV11.1* and *qHBV11.2*. We also confirmed the wide-range action of *qHBV4.1*. Among the five QTLs, *qHBV4.1* and *qHBV11.1* had the largest effects on incidence and severity, respectively. These results provide a more complete understanding of the genetic bases of RHBV resistance in the cultivated rice gene pool, and can be used to develop marker-aided breeding strategies to improve RHBV resistance. The power of joint- and meta- analyses allowed precise mapping and candidate genes identification, providing the basis for positional cloning of the two major QTLs *qHBV4.1* and *qHBV11.1*.

Introduction

The rice *hoja blanca* (RHB) disease is one of the most important constraints to rice productivity in the tropical zone of Americas, causing yield losses in many countries, including Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Guyana, Panama, Peru, Dominican Republic, Nicaragua and Venezuela (Morales and Jennings 2010). Its causal agent is the *Rice hoja blanca virus* (RHBV), a *Tenuivirus* transmitted by the planthopper *Tagosodes orizicolus* M. (Hemiptera:Delphacidae). Genetic resistance to both the virus and its vector insect is key for a successful, environment- and consumers health-friendly, integrated crop management. No real immunity has been found in the cultivated rice germplasm, and even in resistant materials, the plantlets (< 10 days old) can show susceptibility to the virus. Nonetheless, a handful of varieties – including the two Colombian cultivars, Fedearroz 50 and Fedearroz 2000 – with good resistance level have been bred in the past and have been important to stabilize rice production. Fedearroz 2000 is still the most resistant commercial variety, however, the incidence of the disease has increased under field and controlled conditions. Fedearroz 50 has turned virus-susceptible in the past years in the field, probably due to virus mutations that allowed it to overcome the resistance. Thus, there is an urgent need for diversifying the sources of genetic resistance in order to breed new varieties with more durable resistance. Genetic resistance to RHB disease can be decomposed into resistance to the virus itself, and resistance to its insect vector. In the present study, we focus on resistance to the virus.

In a previous study, we reported a major QTL on the short arm of chromosome four for resistance to RHBV, shared by Fedearroz 50 and Fedearroz 2000, abbreviated as FD 50 and FD 2000 in this paper (Romero et al. 2014). This QTL, called here *qHBV4.1*, controlled RHBV *incidence*, measured as the percentage of plants that show symptoms of the viral infection, no matter the level of the symptoms. Incidence is of course an important parameter of the epidemics of a disease. Yet, its *severity* is certainly as much as important: if severity is low, a high incidence might have no significant impact on plant viability, panicle development, or grain yield. Additional to RHBV incidence, we thus designed – and report in this study – new experiments to decipher the genetic control of RHBV resistance seen as symptoms severity, measured by computer-aided image processing of the affected leaf area (ALA).

Moreover, a local ancestry analysis showed that, although FD 50 and FD 2000 are mostly of *Indica* genetic background, *qHBV4.1* in those two cultivars was found to be of *Japonica* origin, and was surrounded by several hundred kilobase pairs of *Japonica* DNA. We found desirable to search for *Indica* resistance QTLs in order to ensure better compatibility with tropical irrigated materials in breeding programs, and also to increase the diversity of the available sources of genetic resistance. Based on the encouraging screening work by the FLAR (Fondo Latinoamericano para Arroz de Riego) team (Cruz-Gallego et al. 2018), we thus designed a new QTL analysis based on four crosses involving new resistance sources.

Materials And Methods

Plant materials

Four *O. sativa* ssp. *indica* accessions were selected amongst the most resistant materials identified in (Cruz-Gallego et al. 2018): WAS 208-B-B-5-1-1-3 (IRGC 121855) thereafter abbreviated as WAS 208), Badkalamkati (IRGC 45011) (abb. Badka), PTB 25 (IRGC 6386) and Fedearroz 2000 (IRGC 124388) (abb. FD 2000). The main selection criteria were (1) selected sources should show a consistent, high resistance to RHBV and (2) they should cover the genetic diversity spectrum of the *indica* cluster. Then, the four resistance sources were crossed with the highly susceptible *japonica* line Bluebonnet 50 (IRGC 121874) (abb. BBT 50), using BBT 50 as the male parent. Candidate F₁ hybrids were checked for self-pollination of the mother plant with 48 SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) markers distributed along the rice genome. F₂ (S₁) populations were derived from self-pollination of the verified F₁ hybrids. Each F₂ plant was self-pollinated to produce F₃ (S₂) families. All panicles involved in crosses or self-pollination were bagged to avoid out-crossing.

Population sizes

The following population sizes were used for both genotyping in F₂ plants and trait scoring in F₃ families: WAS 208 ´ BBT 50: 104 F₂, 1,040 F₃ (ALA), 6,240 F₃ (incidence); Badka ´ BBT 50: 105 F₂, 1,050 F₃ (ALA), 6,300 F₃ (incidence); PTB 25 ´ BBT 50: 108 F₂, 1,080 F₃, 6,480 (incidence); FD 2000 ´ BBT 50: 105 F₂,

1,050 F₃ (ALA), 6,300 F₃ (incidence). Populations sizes for ALA scoring are significantly lower than for incidence, however, as ALA is assessed using tube-based phenotyping (non-choice feeding test), precision is higher than for incidence measured in trays (see hereby).

Evaluation of RHBV resistance

To evaluate the level of resistance – or susceptibility – of the F₃ plants, we looked at two complementary aspects of the disease: the *incidence* and the *severity* of the symptoms. *Incidence* is simply defined by the proportion of diseased plants in a population, while *severity* is the area or volume of plant tissue that is visibly diseased (Campbell and Neher 1994). The F₃ families, parents and check lines were evaluated under greenhouse conditions, at the CIAT (Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical) headquarters in Palmira, Colombia.

Virulent insect colonies

T. orizicolus virulent insects were obtained from colonies maintained at CIAT. The RHBV harboring colony contained insects that were fed on RHBV-infected plants and allowed to reproduce on BBT 50. To determine the percentage of virulent insects in this colony, 200 individual nymphs were tested for virulence on separate, caged, RHB-susceptible 8-day-old seedlings.

Incidence

Here we consider incidence as the percentage of plants showing any level of RHBV symptoms. We consider the absence of symptoms as a sign of absence of infection, not as extreme tolerance. F₃ materials and controls were planted in plastic trays containing 17 furrows of 20 plants each. Each tray contained a furrow of each parent – BBT 50 also served as a susceptible control – and the controls FD 2000 (resistant) and Colombia 1 (intermediate). The trays were placed in a mesh cage 18 days after sowing, and infested by mass release of *T. orizicolus* with virulence between 50% and 65%, with an average of four nymphs per plant. The nymphs were allowed to feed for three days on the plants, after which they were eliminated with water rinsing. A randomized complete block design was used with three replicates (20 plants from each F₃ family per replicate), where each block represented a cage. Incidence assessment was made 35 days after infestation (DAI) by counting the plants showing disease symptoms, per row.

Severity

In order to test the severity of RHBV, a novel methodology based on the affected leaf area (ALA) by the virus was developed using an image processing approach. Briefly, images of infected plants were taken using a reference scale (a ruler) and a contrasting background under homogeneous light exposure. Raw images of plants with symptoms (Figure 1A) are processed using the ImageJ software in two steps: in the first step, brightness is decreased to eliminate the areas affected by the disease (Figure 1B). Then, the

image is binarized, *i.e.*, converted to black and white (Figure 1C). The number of black pixels is thus representing the healthy area of the leaf, according to the previously calibrated reference scale. In the second step, a binarization process allows to calculate the total area (Figure 1D). The affected area is then calculated as the difference between total and healthy areas.

Statistical treatment

Since ALA was measured as the ratio of affected/total leaf area for each family in each block, a weighted ALA was calculated with a generalized linear mixed model (MLGM) using the gamma distribution. The incidence variable was analyzed with a MLGM using the binomial distribution. The MLGM procedure is used for variables that are not necessarily normally distributed. For each variable, an analysis of variance was performed to test for differences between families and to evaluate the effect of the blocks. Subsequently, adjusted means were calculated for each family and a mean comparison test was performed to identify those families that were more resistant or equal to the resistant parent. The descriptive analysis was performed in the R program and the other analyses were performed in the SAS[®] statistical program.

SNP marker development

Whole-genome sequences of parental lines, available from previous studies (Li et al. 2014; Duitama et al. 2015; Cruz-Gallego et al. 2018) and the IRGSP 1.0 Nipponbare reference genome were compared *in silico* using the Next Generation Sequencing Eclipse Plugin, NGSEP (Duitama et al. 2014). Variant detection and annotation were performed with NGSEP and SNP positions were determined relative to the reference genome. Informative biallelic SNPs were filtered based on the following characteristics of their flanking sequence: minimum 30 bp between the target SNP and other variant and GC content between 20 and 65%. The flanking sequence of 100bp upstream and downstream the target SNP was retrieved and submitted to D3 assay design web-based tool (<https://d3.fluidigm.com>) to develop Fluidigm[®] SNPtype assays. SNPs were chosen based on their design quality score and their genomic position.

DNA extraction

Leaf tissue was collected from 15-days-old F2 plants. Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until processed. Plant DNA was isolated in 96-racked tubes using a modified version of a method previously described (Risterucci et al. 2000) as follows: 480 μl extraction buffer was added to 150 mg ground frozen leaf tissue. The buffer was 100 mM Tris (pH = 8.0), 2M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA (pH = 8.0), MATAB 2%, sodium bisulfite 0.5%, and PEG 8000 1%. This mixture was homogenized and incubated in a water bath at 74°C for 30 min. Subsequently, 480 μl chloroform:isoamyl-alcohol (24:1) was added and the mixture was centrifuged at 3000rpm. Supernatants were precipitated with 270 μl isopropanol at -20°C for one hour and centrifuged at 3000rpm. The pellets were washed with 200 μl 80% ethanol and

allowed to dry at 40°C by inverting the tubes for one hour. DNA was resuspended in Tris-EDTA [10 mM Tris-HCl, pH8 and 1 mM EDTA, pH8) containing 40µg/ml of RNase A and spectrophotometric quantification was done using a multimode plate reader Synergy H1 (Biotek). DNAs were normalized at 60ng/µl for subsequent processing.

Genotype scoring

F₂ individuals and parents were scored for polymorphic SNPs in each population using the Fluidigm nanofluidic genotyping platform, according to the manufacturer's protocols. Briefly, pre-amplification of targets regions was done in a 5µl multiplex-PCR reaction, used as template for allele specific-PCR that was conducted in 48 ´ 48 integrated fluidic circuit (IFCs) of the Fluidigm® genotyping platform. Fluorescent images of the IFCs were acquired in an EP1 reader and analyzed with the Fluidigm Genotyping Analysis Software.

Linkage maps construction

Genetic maps were calculated with MapDisto 2.0 (Lorieux 2012; Heffelfinger et al. 2017) (<http://mapdisto.free.fr>). Goodness-of-fit to Mendelian segregation (1:2:1) was tested for each marker by computing the chi-squared (*c*²) statistic with the 'Segregation *c*²s' function. As the SNP markers were defined from WGS, we kept their order on the physical map or rice. For each cross separately, we checked the data for singletons (e.g., the "B" in "AAAAAAA**B**AAAAAA" is a singleton) with the 'Replace errors by flanking genotypes' function, with a maximum probability of a singleton to occur of 0.001. A few missing data were inferred using the 'Replace missing data by flanking genotypes' function, with the same threshold. Recombination fractions were converted to centimorgans (cM) with the Kosambi mapping function (Kosambi 1944).

QTL mapping

Data files were prepared using the 'Export map and data' function of MapDisto 2.0. Analyses of distribution of the phenotypic traits as well as QTL detection were performed using the Qgene 4.0 program (Joehanes and Nelson 2008) (<http://www.qgene.org>). For QTL detection, the LOD score statistic was calculated with the following different methods were compared: single-marker regression (SMR), simple interval mapping (SIM) and composite interval mapping (CIM). The forward cofactor selection option was used in CIM. Empirical thresholds to declare the presence of a QTL were obtained using the resampling by permutation method, performing 10,000 (SMR) or 1,000 (CIM) iterations for each trait-chromosome combination. In order to correct for possible erroneous phenotypic data corresponding to escape, mis-scoring, or incomplete penetrance, all positive QTLs were additionally confirmed by analysis of outliers in the trait distribution using the 'Plot trait vs. genotype' module of MapDisto. This module

allows to calculate corrected single-marker regression F-test values after detecting and removing outlier data in each marker genotypic sub-class.

In the case of a secondary LOD score peak linked to a major peak of a QTL, in order to determine if the secondary peak corresponded to a true QTL or to an artifact – or “fake QTL” – a detailed analysis of the distribution of recombination fractions along the chromosome was performed, following the method of (Lorieux 2018). The analysis looked for restriction of recombination fractions that could induce artificial linkage disequilibrium between the major and the secondary LOD score peaks. If artificial linkage disequilibrium was detected, then the secondary peak was declared an artifact. Interaction or epistasis was tested using the R/qtl ‘Scantwo’ function (Prins et al. 2010).

When a QTL is found in more than one cross, joint or meta-analysis can increase the precision of the QTL location. As different markers segregated in the four populations, we created a genotype matrix from the union of the four individual data sets. Markers with no data in some of the populations were imputed using the R/qtl ‘Argmax’ function. Joint- and meta-QTL analyses were then ran using MapDisto 2.0.

To identify candidate genes in the fine-mapped regions, the MSU Rice genome annotation database and the Overview of Functionally Characterized Genes in Rice Online database (OGRO) (Yamamoto et al. 2012) were used. A 1-LOD support interval was used to define the search region for each QTL.

Results

Genetic maps

Table 1 summarizes the main statistics of the individual genetic maps obtained for each cross. Overall, we obtained genetic map sizes coherent with their expected size according to ten high-quality maps based on flexible and scalable genotyping by sequencing (fsGBS) (Heffelfinger et al. 2014; Fragoso et al. 2017), indicating the good level of purity of the F_2 populations and the high quality of the SNP data.

Segregation distortion (SD): SD was observed on chromosome 3 (11.1-21.0 Mbp) in the crosses involving WAS 208, Badka and FD 2000. This region is commonly affected by SD in *indica* × *japonica* crosses (Wang et al. 2009; Fragoso et al. 2017). The homozygotes for the donor allele (AA genotype) were favored over both the homozygotes for the susceptible parent allele (BB genotype) and the heterozygotes (AB). In the cross WAS 208 × BBT 50 cross, a pattern of SD was also observed on chromosome 8 (3.53-16.44 Mbp), where the AB genotypes were favored over the AA. In the cross PTB 25 × BBT 50, segregation distortion was observed on chromosomes 2 (20.1 Mbp, 47AA:50AB:11BB), 6 (2.9-7.9 Mbp, 34AA:64AB:10BB) and 9 (10.8-12.2 Mbp, 42AA:54AB:12BB).

RHBV incidence and severity variation

In the cross WAS 208 × BBT 50, the parental lines showed 24% and 98% of incidence and 25.8% and 69.5% of RHBV severity in WAS 208 and BBT 50, respectively. The F₃ families (each representing one F₂ parental plant) exhibited a continuous variation of incidence ranged between 21.4% and 100%, as well as a variation of severity ranged between 9% and 68% (Figure 2). The high incidence score for the susceptible parent BBT 50 – almost 100% – and the maximum values in the F₃ families indicate a perfect infection efficiency. The similarity between the severity score for BBT 50 and the maximum scores in F₃ families indicates that the experimental design, and in particular the population size, were adequate to capture the range of variation between the parental values. Interestingly, some F₃ families exhibited a significant lower severity than the resistant parent WAS 208.

In the cross Badka × BBT 50, the resistant and susceptible parents exhibited 32.8% and 98.9% of RHBV incidence, respectively. In the same order, these parents displayed 35.3% and 82% of severity. The incidence in the F₃ families ranged between 13% to 98%, and the severity varied between 11% and 80% (Figure 2). A positive correlation between incidence and severity of the disease was detected ($r=0.56$, $p<0.0001$), suggesting a common partial genetic control for both assessments of RHBV disease. Some F₃ families exhibited lower incidence and severity than the resistant parent Badka.

In the cross PTB 25 × BBT 50, the incidence of the resistant and susceptible parents was 3.4% and 98.2%, respectively, and ranged between 5% to 96% in the F₃ families. Furthermore, PTB 25 and BBT 50 showed 11.8% and 68% of severity, respectively. The same trait varied between 7% and 69% in the F₃ families.

In the cross FD 2000 × BBT 50, the resistant and susceptible parents showed 20.8% and 75.4% of RHBV incidence, and 3.4% and 50.1% of severity, respectively. In the F₃ families; incidence ranged between 10% and 90%, and severity between 0% and 59%. The incidence and severity for the susceptible parent BBT 50 were notably lower than in the crosses before mentioned, indicating a low infection efficiency that can affect the power of QTL detection and the QTL effects estimation. In contrast, the incidence of FD 2000 was higher than in previous works (Romero et al. 2014; Cruz-Gallego et al. 2018).

Incidence and severity showed correlation between 0.42 and 0.66 (Table 2), indicating either a common genetic control of the two traits, or that they are interdependent.

The major QTL *qHBV4.1* for RHBV incidence is present in most donors

A major QTL, *qHBV4.1*, on chromosome 4 for RHBV incidence was identified by SMR, SIM and CIM in the crosses Badka × BBT 50 (LOD=20.97, R²=0.63), PTB 25 × BBT 50 (LOD=21.26, R²=0.60) and FD 2000 × BBT 50 (LOD=9.11, R²=0.34) (Table 3). It was not detected in the cross WAS 208 × BBT 50, although a fake QTL analysis (Lorieux 2018) showed that it could be present in WAS 208, but with a much smaller effect (data not shown). The QTL support intervals are overlapping in the three populations, suggesting that the same QTL is shared by the three resistance donors. Joint analysis gave

a LOD=47.00 and $R^2=0.42$ at the position ~ 3.56 Mbp. The *qHBV4.1* position also corresponds to a previously identified locus characterized as the major contributor to RHBV resistance in FD 2000 and FD 50 (Romero *et al.*, 2014), confirming the wide range of action of this QTL. It explained 34-63% of the trait variance, indicating that *qHBV4.1* is a major regulating factor of incidence of RHBV infection. The estimation of QTL effects for *qHBV4.1* showed that this QTL is mostly of the additive type. The same genomic region was also associated with RHBV severity in the same crosses, however with lower LOD scores and R^2 values (LOD=6.69-8.50, $R^2=25-31\%$).

A new major QTL for RHBV incidence, *qHBV4.2*, identified in WAS 208

The control of RHBV incidence in WAS 208 was mainly explained by a different QTL on chromosome 4, designated as *qHBV4.2*^{WAS208} (LOD=15.49, $R^2=0.52$) by SMR, SIM and CIM. This QTL was not found in the other crosses (Table 3). This newly discovered QTL was located between 21.29 and 21.81 Mbp and explained 52% of the incidence variance. *qHBV4.2* is therefore another major QTL for RHBV incidence that seems less frequent than *qHBV4.1* in the rice germplasm.

Two new QTLs for RHBV incidence identified in WAS 208 and PTB 25

Two additional QTLs, although of lesser effect, were detected for RHBV incidence (Table 4):

- *qHBV6.1*^{PTB25} on chromosome 6 (0.18-1.76 Mbp, $LOD_{SIM}=3.64$, $LOD_{CIM}=9.71$, $R^2=25\%$), mostly of the additive type effect and detected in the PTB 25 ´ BBT 50 cross only.
- *qHBV11.2* on chromosome 11 in the crosses involving WAS 208 (7.43-11.9 Mbp, $LOD_{CIM}=5.02$, $R^2=21\%$) and PTB 25 (7.43-16.6 Mbp, $LOD_{CIM}=5.9$, $R^2=24.2\%$). However, the SMR or SIM methods produced LOD scores under the retained threshold (Tables 3 and 5).

A new QTL, *qHBV11.1*, controls RHBV severity

One of the most interesting results of this work is the discovery of a new QTL associated with RHBV symptoms severity (measured as ALA). It was detected on chromosome 11 in the crosses WAS 208 ´ BBT 50 (18.0-18.8 Mbp, LOD=5.32, $R^2=0.21$), Badka ´ BBT 50 (17.8-18.5 Mbp, LOD=4.68, $R^2=0.19$) and FD 2000 ´ BBT 50 (17.8-18.5 Mbp, LOD=8.98, $R^2=0.33$). This QTL was designated as *qHBV11.1* and explained 17-33% (SIM) or 19-37% (CIM) of the trait variation, depending on the cross (Tables 3 and 4). The QTL effects in the populations involving WAS 208 and Badka indicate an additive behavior of *qHBV11.1*, while in FD 2000 ´ BBT 50 it seems to be more dominant (Table 3). Due to the correlation

between incidence and severity, *qHBV11.1* was also significant for RHBV incidence in the cross FD 2000 × BBT 50 although with lower statistics (LOD=7.43, $R^2=0.29$), confirming that this QTL is controlling primarily the RHBV severity.

The *qHBV4.1* and *qHBV11.1* QTLs show strong interaction

Testing interaction between QTL regions with the R/qtl “scantwo” function produced a strong signal between the two QTLs *qHBV4.1* and *qHBV11.1* (LOD>15)(Figure S1), revealing either an epistasis relationship between the two regions, or a simple interdependency between the two traits. This is coherent with the positive correlation observed between severity and incidence.

Joint- and meta-analyses provide good candidates for QTL cloning

The joint and meta-analyses approaches allow pooling populations, providing more resolution for QTL mapping. This allowed us identifying candidate genes that could underlie two of the QTLs we discovered.

The *qHBV4.1* region contains the AGO-4 Argonaute gene

In the *qHBV4.1* region, 33 genes encoding proteins with unknown function, five hypothetical genes, four genes encoding different types of kinases, two genes of the MEG (maternally expressed gene) family involved in the translocation of nutrients to the seed, and one *Argonaute* gene were identified. The most interesting gene within the *qHBV4.1* region is probably the *Argonaute* AGO-4 (MSU: LOC_Os04g06770, Chr4:3,562,793-3,555,220bp). AGO proteins are effector proteins of RNA silencing pathways, which regulate gene expression in a sequence-specific manner (Duan et al. 2015). RNA silencing is also the main antiviral defense mechanism possessed by plants. It can be post-transcriptional (PTGS) or transcriptional (TGS) (Carbonell and Carrington 2015).

The *qHBV11.1* region contains a gene for durable resistance to Rice stripe virus

The genomic region of *qHBV11.1* contains many nucleotide binding site-leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) genes, which are broadly known to confer resistance to multiple diseases (McHale et al. 2006). Other types of genes are also found in the interval, and remarkably the *STV11* gene (MSU:LOC_Os11g30910, Chr11:17,984,964-17,986,719b; RAP-DB: Os11g0505300), which confers durable resistance to *Rice stripe virus* (RSV), one of the most devastating viral diseases of rice in Asia (Wang et al. 2014). Interestingly, this virus belongs to the same genus as RHBV and it is also transmitted by planthoppers (*Laodelphax striatellus* Fallen). It should be also noted that, very close to the *qHBV11.1* support interval, there are

several paralog histidine kinase/Hsp90-like ATPase genes (MSU:LOC_Os11g31480, MSU:LOC_Os11g31500) that also confer resistance to RSV (Hayano-Saito and Hayashi, 2020).

Discussion

Successful genotype-phenotype association studies require precise methodologies to assess phenotypic variables. The latest technological advances in image acquisition have allowed the development of computer tools for picture processing and analysis that provide accurate data. Image processing for quantifying damage caused by plant diseases is particularly useful as it advantageously replaces traditional scoring scales, which are too dependent on the observer. The RHB disease has been commonly evaluated using the percentage of diseased plants at a given time – that is, the incidence. However, the main drawback of this approach is that it classifies all the plants with symptoms in the same class, without considering the level of damage – the severity. Understanding the genetic mechanisms of resistance to the *hoja blanca* disease therefore requires proper assessment of not only its incidence but also its severity. In this sense, we proposed for the first time the use of digital images to evaluate the severity of damage caused by RHBV by estimating the affected leaf area. We showed that severity varies continuously among F_3 families, a characteristic behavior of quantitative features. Severity and incidence evaluation allowed us to identify different QTLs, allowing us to better explain the complexity of the genetics of resistance to RHBV. Keeping in mind that the parents of the four populations were among the most resistant of a larger panel (Cruz et al. 2018), and although significant differences between incidence and severity were found among the parents of the four populations, complete resistance was not observed, confirming a previous observation by (Morales and Jennings 2010) about the lack of immunity to RHBV in the *O. sativa* gene pool.

RHBV resistance is regulated by multiple QTLs

The QTLs found in this study show that resistance to RHBV, assessed both by affected leaf area and incidence, is controlled by multiple genes. The co-location of LOD score peaks for incidence in the same region of chromosome 4 in three different crosses suggests that it is likely the same QTL, namely *qHBV4.1*. Although *qHBV4.1* was associated to both phenotypic variables, its association was greater with incidence where it explained up to 63.6% of the phenotypic variance. These results suggest that the main action of *qHBV4.1* could take place in the first phase of the interaction of the virus with the plant, preventing the virus to enter and propagate in the plant, which is reflected in a smaller number of plants with symptoms. In addition, the little variation in nucleotides – less than 1% – in the region of *qHBV4.1*, between Badka and PTB 25 indicates a probable common local ancestry (Figure S2). The differences in the LOD score (5.83 vs. 8.26) and in R^2 values (21.4% vs. 36.6%) for ALA, in these two populations, could be explained by the difference in the percentage of virulence of the vector colonies, which were ~69% and ~46% for Badka ´ BBT 50 and PTB 25 ´ BBT 50, respectively. Also, the phenotypic evaluation trials were performed at different times of the year for the different populations. This involves the use of different

vector colonies, and implies variations in microenvironmental factors such as day and night temperature, radiation or humidity that might affect the behavior of the insect and its ability to transmit the virus.

A major QTL had been previously identified in the region of *qHBV4.1* in the varieties FD 2000 and Fedearroz 50 by incidence assessment (Romero et al. 2014), which suggests a predominant, common mechanism of resistance to RHBV in the different clades of *Oryza sativa*. The genetic variation between the parents observed in this region shows that they carry different alleles, of *Japonica* and *Indica* origins. This has implications for crop improvement, allowing breeders to broaden the genetic base of resistance in elite germplasm. A QTL for resistance to *Rice stripe virus* (RSV) has been identified between 4.4 and 6.9 Mpb in the N22 Aus variety (Wang et al. 2013). The *qHBV4.1* QTL region might thus contain at least two tenuivirus resistance genes. As mentioned above, in the region of *qHBV4.1* there is a putative gene that encodes for the AGO-4 Argonaute protein (LOC_Os04g06770). AGO proteins, in addition to be regulatory factors of endogenous gene expression, also play a critical role in the defense against viruses through interference with small RNA of viral origin which bind to AGOs and serve as a guide for it to cut new viral RNA particles (Mallory and Vaucheret 2011)(Silva-Martins et al., 2020). This system is a common defense mechanism against pathogens, and AGO-4 might well be associated with resistance to RHBV. We are currently carrying CRISPR-Cas9 knock-out experiments in AGO-4 in order to test this hypothesis.

A distinct QTL for RHBV incidence on chromosome 4, *qHBV4.2^{WAS}*, was identified in the WAS 208 × BBT 50 cross. This QTL was also a major one, explaining ~50% of the phenotypic variation. The region contains genes associated with cold tolerance (SAPK7) (Basu and Roychoudhury, 2014) and photo-oxidative stress (OsAPX7)(Caverzan et al. 2014), and a more interesting gene encoding for a zinc finger-type C3H protein (LOC_Os04g35800). This class of proteins has been found to be associated with resistance viruses in animals through degradation of viral RNA (Gao et al. 2002; Mao et al. 2013). Although there are no reports so far of its involvement in resistance to viruses in plants, it has been found to be associated with response to other types of pathogens (and abiotic stress) (Deng et al. 2012; Jan et al. 2013), which makes it an interesting candidate for RHBV resistance. Another candidate is a gene encoding for a MAPKKK kinase, which belongs to a signaling cascade that plays a major role in disease resistance in eukaryotes. This gene is also an interesting candidate because the activation of MAPK proteins occurs in the earliest stages after the interaction of the pathogen with the plant (Meng and Zhang 2013), which coincides well with the identification of this QTL through the assessment of incidence, but not severity, indicating that it – like *qHBV4.1* – exerts its action in the early stages of the interaction of RHBV with the plant.

In contrast with the two QTLs identified on chromosome 4 that are predominantly associated with incidence, *qHBV11.1* has a greater effect on disease severity. This could suggest a later action, in a second stage of the interaction of the plant with the virus, after the virus manages to enter the cells and to propagate. In this scenario *qHBV11.1* would have a key role in diminishing the damage caused by the virus. This is thus more a “tolerance QTL”.

It has been proposed that the resistance to RHBV comes mostly from *Japonica* germplasm (Morales and Jennings 2010). If this was the case, and to explain that the resistance sources studied here are of *Indica* type, one would need to assume that the region of *qHBV11.1* was inherited from *Japonica* through introgression into *Indica*. This is not supported by the clustering analysis, which showed that the WAS 208 and Badka parents, for this region, are grouped together with *Indica* type accessions such as IR8. Therefore, this QTL does not originate from the *Japonica* cluster.

A search for candidate genes for *qHBV11.1* evidenced a co-localization with a QTL for resistance to *Rice stripe virus* (RSV) found in different genetic backgrounds: in the *Indica* varieties Teqing (*qSTV11^{TQ}*) (Wu et al. 2011) and Shingwang (*qSTV11^{SG}*) (Kwon et al. 2012), the Aus varieties Kasalath (*qSTV11^{KAS}*) (Zhang et al. 2011), N22 (*qSTV11.1*) (Wang et al. 2013) and Dular (Wu et al. 2011), and in the *Japonica* Kanto 72 (Maeda et al. 2006). Whether those QTLs correspond to the same locus or to different, linked loci still needs to be clarified. The hypothesis of a common defense mechanism acting against RHBV and RSV seems plausible, as both are RNA viruses of the same genus, although it has been found that RHBV is more related to other tenuiviruses like *Echinochloa hoja blanca virus* (EHBV) and *Urochloa hoja blanca virus* (UHBV) than to RSV (Fauquet et al., 2005), besides not being serologically related (Morales and Jennings 2010). Further studies of fine mapping and cloning of *qSTV11^{KAS}* showed that the gene responsible for resistance to RSV encodes a sulfotransferase that catalyzes the conversion of salicylic acid to its sulfated form. Although it is not clear how this process confers resistance against the virus, it was found that the susceptible allele of this gene is not capable of inducing this conversion (Wang et al. 2014). Salicylic acid has been found to be essential in the initiating signal to activate systemic resistance against *Tobacco mosaic virus* (Zhu et al. 2014), and also plays a central role in the hypersensitive response against *Potato virus Y* (PVY) (Baebler et al. 2014). It has even been reported as a direct inhibitor of the replication of *Tomato bush dwarf virus* (TBSV) (Tian et al. 2015). It is thus plausible that the STV11 gene is involved in resistance to RHBV. We are currently analyzing knock-out lines obtained by CRISPR-Cas9 in STV11 to verify this hypothesis.

A second QTL on chromosome 11, *qHBV11.2*, was identified for disease incidence in the two crosses involving WAS 208 and PTB 25. Based on the variation found in the clustering analysis – and assuming the QTL is the same in the two crosses – it is possible that WAS 208 and PTB 25 have different allelic variants of *qHBV11.2* with a different effect on disease resistance, which could explain that in PTB 25 it was also associated with severity. The region could also correspond to two distinct, linked QTLs in the two populations, since their support intervals are quite large (> 3 Mpb). To answer this question, a fine mapping study of the region using larger F₂ populations would be needed.

An additional QTL for RHBV incidence, *qHBV6*, was identified in the PTB 25 ´ BBT 50 cross on chromosome 6. In a previous GWAS experiment, the same region was identified in the genotypes PTB 25 and Pokkali (Cruz-Gallego et al. 2018), also supported by a biparental QTL analysis in the F_{2:3} cross FD 50 ´ WC 366 (our unpublished data). A search for genes in this region found the OsBBI1 gene that participates in various biological processes, among which is the innate immune response. OsBBI1's

expression is induced by the fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* and chemical inducers such as salicylic acid (Li et al. 2011), which, as discussed above, is also associated with systemic resistance to viruses. OsBBI1 is thus a good candidate gene for *qHBV6*.

Altogether these results show that resistance to RHBV disease is controlled by multiple quantitative genetic factors of different origins, with varying effects and action mode. The identification of strong candidate genes underlying the detected QTLs supports the idea that resistance is mediated by different defense mechanisms such as viral gene silencing and the salicylic acid pathway. This hypothesis has proven true for other study models such as in the *Citrus tristeza virus* (CTV) where it has been found that silencing of key genes in these defense pathways increases the spread of the virus and its accumulation in the plant (Gómez-Muñoz et al. 2017). A considerable amount of work is still needed to understand the fine mechanisms behind defense against RHBV.

Resistance QTLs in the susceptible parent

In the PTB 25 × BBT 50 population, two QTLs for RHBV incidence were identified on chromosomes 9 and 10, of which the allele that reduces the affected leaf area is brought by BBT 50. One explanation could be that PTB 25 carries susceptibility alleles at these QTLs. However, this is unlikely since inactivation of a susceptibility gene by the resistant allele results in increased resistance. Genetically, the resistant allele of a susceptibility gene is therefore generally recessive. This is not what we observed, since the mean ALA of the heterozygous class AB is more similar to the susceptible class AA (PTB 25) than to the BB class (BBT 50). Therefore, the most likely explanation is that the three other resistant parents (FD 2000, WC 366 and Badka) and the susceptible parent (BBT 50) carry resistant alleles at these QTL, while the PTB 25 parent does not.

Integration of QTLs into a RHBV disease resistance model

Based on the QTLs associated with RHBV resistance, we propose a simplistic model that draws the possible processes involving these loci. The QTLs *qHBV4.1* and *qHBV4.2* were found to be most associated with disease incidence, so it is likely that they are involved in the first phase of virus-plant interaction. These QTL may be inhibiting the translation of viral RNA, either by direct action on them or through other genes for degradation. The effect of these QTLs on the incidence, indicates that this mechanism might be the most important for the resistance of the plant to the virus. In the scenario where the favorable alleles of *qHBV4.1* or *qHBV4.2* are not present or that the virus manages to overcome this barrier and to propagate in the plant, an additional mechanism involving *qHBV11.1* would be hampering the multiplication of the virus. This could occur by direct action, inhibiting the synthesis of new viral particles, or more likely, serving as a trigger signal for the activation of defense genes of the systemic resistance system. Although this mechanism would not provide complete resistance to the virus, it would considerably reduce the damage – meaning less leaf area affected. The *qHBV6* QTL is also related to the severity of the disease, so it is possible that it also participates in this same mechanism. Although the

role of the susceptibility QTLs *qHBV9* and *qHBV10* is more difficult to interpret, it is likely that they are negatively regulating the activation of defense genes against the virus.

Abbreviations

ALA : affected leaf area

Badka: Badkalamkati variety, accession number IRGC 45011

BBT 50: Bluebonnet 50 variety

CIAT- Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (now Alliance Bioversity-CIAT)

CIM: composite interval mapping

cM: centimorgan

CTV: *Citrus tristeza virus*

DAI: days after infestation

DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid

EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

EHBV: *Echinochloa hoja blanca virus*

FD 50: Fedearroz 50 variety, accession number IRGC 1799-1-1

FD 2000: Fedearroz 2000 variety, accession number IRGC 124388

FLAR: Fondo Latinoamericano para Arroz de Riego

fsGBS: flexible and scalable genotyping by sequencing

IFC: integrated fluidic circuit

LOD: logarithm in base 10 of likelihoods odds

MATAB: mixed alkyltri-methylammonium bromide

MEG: maternally expressed gene

MLGM: generalized linear mixed model

NBS-LRR: nucleotide binding site-leucine-rich repeat

PCR: polymerase chain reaction

PTGS: post-transcriptional gene silencing

PTB 25: PTB 25 variety, accession number IRGC 6386

PVY: *Potato virus Y*

QTL: quantitative trait locus

RHB: rice hoja blanca disease

RHBV: Rice hoja blanca virus

RNA: ribonucleic acid

RSV: *Rice stripe virus*

SD: segregation distortion

SIM: simple interval mapping

SMR: single-marker regression

SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism

TBSV: *Tomato bush dwarf virus*

TGS: transcriptional gene silencing

UHBV: *Urochloa hoja blanca virus*

WAS 208: WAS 208-B-B-5-1-1-3 variety, accession number IRGC 121855

Declarations

Ethical Approval and Consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of supporting data

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

The following programs partially supported this initiative: The Latin American Fund for Irrigated Rice (FLAR), the RICE CGIAR Research Program (RICE CRP), and the OMICAS program (Optimización Multiescala In-Silico de Cultivos Agrícolas Sostenibles (Infraestructura y Validación en Arroz y Caña de Azúcar), sponsored within the Colombian Scientific Ecosystem by the World Bank, The Colombian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MINCIENCIAS), ICETEX, The Colombian Ministry of Education and the Colombian Ministry of Industry and Tourism under GRANT ID: FP-44842-217-2018.

Authors' contributions

AS wrote the draft manuscript and performed the SNP design and QTL analysis, MEM set up the disease severity experiments, CQ supervised the SNP genotyping, JQ did the statistical treatment of phenotype data, JT led the Agrobiotechnology laboratory, EG led the FLAR, MC supervised the phenotyping experiments, ML designed the QTL experiments and edited the manuscript, MC and ML supervised AS work.

Acknowledgements

We warmly thank Drs. Laurence Albar, Sébastien Cunnac, Mathilde Hutin and Marlène Lachaux from the IRD for their useful comments on the manuscript.

References

1. Baebler Š, Witek K, Petek M, et al (2014) Salicylic acid is an indispensable component of the Ny-1 resistance-gene-mediated response against *Potato virus Y* infection in potato. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65:1095–1109. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert447
2. Campbell CL, Neher DA (1994) Estimating disease severity and incidence. In: *Epidemiology and Management of Root Diseases* (pp. 117-147). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
3. Carbonell A, Carrington JC (2015) Antiviral roles of plant ARGONAUTES. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 27:111–117. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2015.06.013

4. Caverzan A, Bonifacio A, Carvalho Fel, et al (2014) The knockdown of chloroplastic ascorbate peroxidases reveals its regulatory role in the photosynthesis and protection under photo-oxidative stress in rice. *Plant Science* 214:74–87. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2013.10.001
5. Cruz-Gallego M, Rebolledo MC, Cuasquer JB, et al (2018) Identification of new sources of resistance to RHBV - *Rce hoja blanca virus*. *Acta Agronomica* 67:368–374. doi: 10.15446/acag.v67n2.61334
6. Deng H, Liu H, Li X, et al (2012) A CCCH-type zinc finger nucleic acid-binding protein quantitatively confers resistance against rice bacterial blight disease. *Plant Physiology* 158:876–889. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.191379
7. Duan C-G, Zhang H, Tang K, et al (2015) Specific but interdependent functions for Arabidopsis *AGO4* and *AGO6* in RNA-directed DNA methylation. *EMBO Journal* 34:581–592. doi: 10.15252/embj.201489453
8. Duitama J, Quintero JC, Cruz DF, et al (2014) An integrated framework for discovery and genotyping of genomic variants from high-throughput sequencing experiments. *Nucleic Acids Research* 42:e44. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1381
9. Duitama J, Silva A, Sanabria Y, et al (2015) Whole genome sequencing of elite rice cultivars as a comprehensive information resource for marker assisted selection. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0124617–20. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0124617
10. Fragoso CA, Moreno M, Wang Z, et al (2017) Genetic architecture of a rice Nested Association Mapping population. *G3 | Genes, Genomes, Genetics* g3.117.041608–15. doi: 10.1534/g3.117.041608
11. Gao G, Guo X, Goff SP (2002) Inhibition of retroviral RNA production by ZAP, a CCCH-type zinc finger protein. *Science* 297:1703–1706. doi: 10.1126/science.1074276
12. Gómez-Muñoz N, Velázquez K, Vives MC, et al (2017) The resistance of sour orange to *Citrus tristeza virus* is mediated by both the salicylic acid and RNA silencing defence pathways. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 18:1253–1266. doi: 10.1111/mpp.12488
13. Hayano-Saito, Y., Hayashi, K., 2020. Stvb-i, a Rice Gene Conferring Durable Resistance to Rice stripe virus, Protects Plant Growth From Heat Stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 519. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00519>
14. Silva-Martins, G., Bolaji, A., Moffett, P., 2020. What does it take to be antiviral? An Argonaute-centered perspective on plant antiviral defense. *J. Exp. Bot.* 71, 6197–6210. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa377>
15. Heffelfinger C, Fragoso CA, Lorieux M (2017) Constructing linkage maps in the genomics era with MapDisto 2.0. *Bioinformatics* 1–2. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx177
16. Heffelfinger C, Fragoso CA, Moreno MA, et al (2014) Flexible and scalable genotyping-by-sequencing strategies for population studies. *BMC Genomics* 15:979. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-979
17. Jan A, Maruyama K, Todaka D, et al (2013) OsTZF1, a CCCH-tandem zinc finger protein, confers delayed senescence and stress tolerance in rice by regulating stress-related genes. *Plant Physiology* 161:1202–1216. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.205385

18. Joehanes R, Nelson JC (2008) QGene 4.0, an extensible Java QTL-analysis platform. *Bioinformatics* 24:2788–2789. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn523
19. Kosambi DD (1944) The Estimation of Map Distances from Recombination Values. *Annals of Eugenics* 12:172–175.
20. Kwon T, Lee J-H, Park S-K, et al (2012) Fine mapping and identification of candidate rice genes associated with *qSTV11^(SG)*, a major QTL for rice stripe disease resistance. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 125:1033–1046. doi: 10.1007/s00122-012-1893-8
21. Li J-Y, Wang J, Zeigler RS (2014) The 3,000 rice genomes project: new opportunities and challenges for future rice research. *Gigascience* 3:1–3. doi: 10.1186/2047-217X-3-8
22. Li W, Zhong S, Li G, et al (2011) Rice RING protein OsBBI1 with E3 ligase activity confers broad-spectrum resistance against *Magnaporthe oryzae* by modifying the cell wall defence. *Cell Research* 21:835–848. doi: 10.1038/cr.2011.4
23. Lorieux M (2012) MapDisto: fast and efficient computation of genetic linkage maps. *Molecular Breeding* 30:1231–1235. doi: 10.1007/s11032-012-9706-y
24. Lorieux M (2018) Is this a fake QTL? A short note on analysis of multiple QTL peaks on the same chromosome. *BioRxiv* 1–7. doi: 10.1101/270736
25. Maeda H, Matsushita K, Iida S, Sunohara Y (2006) Characterization of two QTLs controlling resistance to *Rice stripe virus* detected in a Japanese upland rice line, Kanto 72. *Breeding Science* 56:359–364. doi: 10.1270/jsbbs.56.359
26. Mallory A, Vaucheret H (2011) Form, function, and regulation of ARGONAUTE proteins. *The Plant Cell Online* 22:3879–3889. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.080671
27. Mao R, Nie H, Cai D, et al (2013) Inhibition of hepatitis B virus replication by the host zinc finger antiviral protein. *PLoS Pathogens* 9:e1003494. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003494
28. McHale L, Tan X, Koehl P, Michelmore RW (2006) Plant NBS-LRR proteins: adaptable guards. *Genome Biology* 7:212–11. doi: 10.1186/gb-2006-7-4-212
29. Meng X, Zhang S (2013) MAPK cascades in plant disease resistance signaling. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 51:245–266. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102314
30. Morales F and Jennings P (2010) Rice hoja blanca: a complex plant-virus-vector pathosystem. *CAB Reviews*. doi: 10.1079/PAVSNNR20105043
31. Prins P, Jansen RC, Broman KW (2010) R/qtl: high-throughput multiple QTL mapping. *Bioinformatics* 26:2990–2992. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq565
32. Risterucci AM, Grivet L, N'Goran J, et al (2000) A high-density linkage map of *Theobroma cacao* L. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 101:948-955
33. Romero LE, Lozano I, Garavito A, et al (2014) Major QTLs control resistance to *Rice hoja blanca virus* and its vector *Tagosodes orizicolus*. *G3 | Genes, Genomes, Genetics* 4:133–142. doi: 10.1534/g3.113.009373

34. Tian M, Sasvari Z, Gonzalez PA, et al (2015) Salicylic acid inhibits the replication of *Tomato bushy stunt virus* by directly targeting a host component in the replication complex. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 28:379–386. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-09-14-0259-R
35. Wang Q, Liu Y, He J, et al (2014) *STV11* encodes a sulphotransferase and confers durable resistance to *Rice stripe virus*. *Nature Communications* 5:4768. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5768
36. Wang Q, Liu Y, Hu J, et al (2013) Detection of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for resistances to small brown planthopper and *Rice Stripe Virus* in rice using recombinant inbred lines. *International journal of molecular sciences* 14:8406–8421. doi: 10.3390/ijms14048406
37. Wang S, Tan Y, Tan X, et al (2009) Segregation distortion detected in six rice F₂ populations generated from reciprocal hybrids at three altitudes. *Genetics Research* 91:345–353. doi: 10.1017/S0016672309990176
38. Wu X, Zuo S, Chen Z, et al (2011) Fine mapping of *qSTV11^{TQ}*, a major gene conferring resistance to rice stripe disease. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 122:915–923. doi: 10.1007/s00122-010-1498-z
39. Yamamoto E, Yonemaru J-I, Yamamoto T, Yano M (2012) OGRO: The overview of functionally characterized genes in rice online database. *Rice* 5:1–10. doi: 10.1186/1939-8433-5-26
40. Zhang Y-X, Wang Q, Jiang L, et al (2011) Fine mapping of *qSTV11 KAS*, a major QTL for rice stripe disease resistance. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 1–14. doi: 10.1007/s00122-011-1557-0
41. Zhu F, Xi D-H, Yuan S, et al (2014) Salicylic acid and jasmonic acid are essential for systemic resistance against *Tobacco mosaic virus* in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 27:567–577. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-11-13-0349-R

Tables

Table 1 Summary of genetic maps characteristics in the four populations. Map sizes are expressed in cM calculated with Kosambi function

<i>Cross</i>	<i>Population size</i>	<i>Nb SNPs</i>	<i>Nb SSRs</i>	<i>Total markers</i>	<i>Map size (cM)</i>
WAS 208 × BBT 50	104	207	2	209	1,296
Badka × BBT 50	105	226	1	227	1,570
PTB 25 × BBT 50	108	184	1	185	1,617
FD 2000 × BBT 50	105	217	0	217	1,630

Table 2 Trait correlation values in each population, and in the four populations combined

A. WAS 208 × BBT50

	<i>INCIDENCE</i>	<i>INCID_MO_V1</i>	<i>INCID_MO</i>	<i>SEVERITY</i>	<i>SEVER_MO_V1</i>	<i>SEVER_MO</i>
<i>INCIDENCE</i>	1	1	1	0.42	0.42	0.42
<i>INCIDENCE_MO_V1</i>	1	1	1	0.42	0.42	0.42
<i>INCIDENCE_MO</i>	1	1	1	0.42	0.42	0.42
<i>SEVERITY (ALA)</i>	0.42	0.42	0.42	1	1	1
<i>SEVERITY_MO_V1</i>	0.42	0.42	0.42	1	1	1
<i>SEVERITY_MO</i>	0.42	0.42	0.42	1	1	1

B. Badka × BBT50

	<i>INCIDENCE</i>	<i>INCID_MO_V1</i>	<i>INCID_MO</i>	<i>SEVERITY</i>	<i>SEVER_MO_V1</i>	<i>SEVER_MO</i>
<i>INCIDENCE</i>	1	1	1	0.625	0.625	0.625
<i>INCIDENCE_MO_V1</i>	1	1	1	0.625	0.625	0.625
<i>INCIDENCE_MO</i>	1	1	1	0.625	0.625	0.625
<i>SEVERITY (ALA)</i>	0.625	0.625	0.625	1	1	1
<i>SEVERITY_MO_V1</i>	0.625	0.625	0.625	1	1	1
<i>SEVERITY_MO</i>	0.625	0.625	0.625	1	1	1

C. PTB 25 × BBT50

	<i>INCIDENCE</i>	<i>INCID_MO_V1</i>	<i>INCID_MO</i>	<i>SEVERITY</i>	<i>SEVER_MO_V1</i>	<i>SEVER_MO</i>
<i>INCIDENCE</i>	1	1	1	0.458	0.458	0.458
<i>INCIDENCE_MO_V1</i>	1	1	1	0.458	0.458	0.458
<i>INCIDENCE_MO</i>	1	1	1	0.458	0.458	0.458
<i>SEVERITY (ALA)</i>	0.458	0.458	0.458	1	1	1
<i>SEVERITY_MO_V1</i>	0.458	0.458	0.458	1	1	1
<i>SEVERITY_MO</i>	0.458	0.458	0.458	1	1	1

D. FD 2000 × BBT50

	<i>INCIDENCE</i>	<i>INCID_MO_V1</i>	<i>INCID_MO</i>	<i>SEVERITY</i>	<i>SEVER_MO_V1</i>	<i>SEVER_MO</i>
<i>INCIDENCE</i>	1	1	1	0.655	0.655	0.655
<i>INCIDENCE_MO_V1</i>	1	1	1	0.655	0.655	0.655
<i>INCIDENCE_MO</i>	1	1	1	0.655	0.655	0.655
<i>SEVERITY (ALA)</i>	0.655	0.655	0.655	1	1	1
<i>SEVERITY_MO_V1</i>	0.655	0.655	0.655	1	1	1
<i>SEVERITY_MO</i>	0.655	0.655	0.655	1	1	1

E. Four crosses combined

	<i>INCIDENCE</i>	<i>INCID_MO_V1</i>	<i>INCID_MO</i>	<i>SEVERITY</i>	<i>SEVER_MO_V1</i>	<i>SEVER_MO</i>
<i>INCIDENCE</i>	1	0.891	0.898	0.613	0.481	0.484
<i>INCIDENCE_MO_V1</i>	0.891	1	0.993	0.449	0.538	0.538
<i>INCIDENCE_MO</i>	0.898	0.993	1	0.448	0.534	0.537
<i>SEVERITY (ALA)</i>	0.613	0.449	0.448	1	0.829	0.835
<i>SEVERITY_MO_V1</i>	0.481	0.538	0.534	0.829	1	0.993
<i>SEVERITY_MO</i>	0.484	0.538	0.537	0.835	0.993	1

Table 3 Summary statistics for QTL detected with Simple Interval Mapping (SIM) analysis in the four crosses, and joint analysis, with Qgene version 4.40. Positions in Mbp on Nipponbare genome (MSU v7). Empirical threshold $\alpha_{0.01}$ calculated with 10,000 permutations

Trait	QTL name	WAS 208	Bad-ka	PTB 25	FD 2000	Joint (4 pop.)	Chromosome	Peak (Mbp)	R ²	Additivity (A)	Dominance (D)	D/A	LOD score	Permut. threshold $\alpha = 0.01$
Incidence	qHBV4.1		✓	✓	✓	✓	4	3.56-6.47	0.63	-24.14	-1.17	0.05	20.97	4.43
								0.22-4.19	0.60	-24.47	-5.24	0.21	21.26	4.55
								3.56-3.57	0.34	-14.69	-0.46	0.03	9.11	4.40
								3.56-3.57	0.42	-17.51	-2.51	0.14	47.00	4.50
Incidence	qHBV4.2 ^{WAS208}	✓					4	21.8	0.52	-18.44	4.90	0.27	15.49	4.47
Incidence	qHBV6.1 ^{PTB25}			✓			6	1.87-3.08	0.15	-16.79	-3.69	0.22	3.64	4.55
Incidence	qHBV11.1				✓	✓	11	18.78-18.87	0.29	-14.25	-3.50	0.25	7.43	4.40
								18.5	0.11	-9.33	-4.11	0.44	10.40	4.37
Incidence	qHBV11.2	✓		✓			11	7.43-17.23	0.09	-8.51	1.98	0.23	2.08	4.47
								7.43-8.60	0.09	-9.58	-6.68	0.70	2.16	4.55
ALA	qHBV4.1		✓	✓	✓	✓	4	3.56-6.47	0.31	-13.90	0.43	0.03	8.31	4.49
								0.22-4.19	0.30	-10.86	-5.41	0.50	8.50	4.29
								3.71-4.19	0.25	-9.16	-3.73	0.41	6.69	4.40
								3.71-4.19	0.23	-9.46	-3.95	0.42	23.40	4.30
ALA	qHBV11.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	11	18.06	0.21	-8.82	-3.17	0.36	5.32	4.56
								17.62-17.83	0.19	-10.70	-2.33	0.22	4.68	4.49
								18.06	0.33	-9.36	-7.18	0.77	8.98	4.69
								18.06	0.17	-8.65	-3.62	0.42	17.29	4.37

Table 4 Summary statistics for QTL detected with Composite Interval Mapping (CIM) analysis in the four crosses, and joint analysis, with Qgene version 4.40. Cofactor selection method: Forward selection, automatic, QTL cofactors instead of markers = no. Positions in Mbp on Nipponbare genome (MSU v7). Empirical threshold $\alpha_{0.01}$ calculated with 10,000 permutations

Trait	QTL name	WAS 208	Bad-ka	PTB 25	FD 2000	Joint (4 pop.)	Chromosome	Peak (Mbp)	R ²	Additivity (A)	Dominance (D)	D/A	LOD score	Permut. threshold $\alpha = 0.01$
Incidence	qHBV4.1		✓				4	3.56-4.46	0.6	-22.37	-0.67	0.03	23.46	5.57
								3.5-4.19	0.73	-25.38	-6.84	0.27	30.45	5.10
								3.5-3.7	0.501	-14.95	1.34	0.09	17.27	4.95
								3.5-3.7	0.42	-16.78	-1.09	0.06	47.82	4.85
Incidence	qHBV4.2 ^{WAS208}	✓					4	21.29-21.81	0.57	-18.75	3.15	0.17	18.31	5.47
Incidence	qHBV6.1 ^{PTB25}			✓			6	0.18-1.76	0.25	-10.52	0.16	0.02	9.71	5.11
Incidence	qHBV11.1				✓		11	17.8-18.52	0.44	-13.83	-5.4	0.39	14.08	5.29
								17.8-18.52	0.08	-7.154	-3.314	0.46	7.26	4.32
Incidence	qHBV11.2	✓		✓			11	7.43-17.23	0.21	-8.16	2.66	0.33	5.02	4.11
								7.43-16.6	0.242	-10.21	2.45	0.24	5.90	4.97
ALA	qHBV4.1		✓	✓			4	3.56-4.46	0.26	-10.65	-2.86	0.27	8.98	5.26
								3.56-4.19	0.26	-9.53	-1.07	0.11	9.81	5.43
								3.56-4.46	0.29	-7.87	-2.48	0.32	9.93	5.32
								3.56-4.46	0.222	-8.958	-2.899	0.32	23.00	5.33
ALA	qHBV11.1	✓	✓		✓		11	18.0-18.8	0.19	-8.71	-2.94	0.34	5.32	4.84
								17.8-18.5	0.23	-10.27	-3.19	0.31	6.09	4.95
								17.8-18.5	0.37	-8.74	-7.01	0.80	11.52	5.47
								18.06	0.19	-8.03	-2.92	0.36	18.84	4.62

Table 5 Summary statistics for QTL detected with Simple Marker Regression (SRM) analysis in the four crosses, joint- and meta-analyses, with MapDisto version 2.0. Positions in Mbp on Nipponbare genome (MSU v7). Empirical threshold $\alpha_{0.01}$ calculated with QGene 4.40, with 10,000 permutations*

Trait	QTL name	WAS 208	Bad-ka	PTB 25	FD 2000	Joint (4 pop.)	Meta (4 pop.)	Chromosome	Peak (Mbp)	R ²	Additivity (A)	Dominance (D)	D/A	F-test	-Log ₁₀ (p-value of test)	Permut. threshold $\alpha = 0.01$
Incidence	qHBV4.1		✓					4	3.56-6.47	0.61	22.43	-1.65	0.07	74.18	19.33	4.35
									0.22-4.19	0.60	24.47	-5.24	0.21	77.85	20.67	4.18
									3.56-3.57	0.34	14.69	-0.46	0.03	25.29	8.84	4.20
									3.56-3.57	0.42	17.51	-2.51	0.14	142.30	46.65	4.29
					✓			3.56-3.57	-	-	-	-	-	47.00	*	
Incidence	qHBV4.2 ^{WAS208}	✓						4	21.8	0.50	17.67	4.40	0.25	48.04	14.42	4.28
Incidence	qHBV6.1 ^{PTB25}			✓				6	2.97-3.08	0.13	15.04	-6.76	0.45	7.82	3.16	4.31
Incidence	qHBV11.1				✓			11	18.78-18.87	0.29	14.09	-2.92	0.21	19.45	7.10	4.22
									18.52-18.87	0.11	9.33	-4.11	0.44	25.24	10.32	4.29
									18.52-18.87	-	-	-	-	8.91	*	
					✓											
Incidence	qHBV11.2	✓		✓				11	7.43-17.23	0.10	7.55	2.05	0.27	5.12	2.11	4.29
									7.43-8.60	0.09	9.15	-6.09	0.67	5.03	2.08	4.25
ALA	qHBV4.1		✓	✓				4	3.56-6.47	0.29	12.85	-1.09	0.09	21.12	7.67	4.23
									0.22-4.19	0.30	10.54	-2.48	0.24	22.09	8.01	4.18
									3.71-4.19	0.25	8.86	-3.19	0.36	17.23	6.45	4.38
									3.56-3.71	0.23	9.46	-3.95	0.42	60.94	23.23	4.22
					✓			3.70-4.19	-	-	-	-	-	20.75	*	
ALA	qHBV11.1	✓	✓		✓			11	18.06	0.21	8.82	-3.17	0.36	13.43	5.17	4.31
									17.62-17.83	0.19	10.70	-2.33	0.22	11.62	4.55	4.16
									18.06	0.32	9.22	-6.66	0.72	23.72	8.46	4.38
									18.06	0.17	8.65	-3.62	0.42	43.49	17.16	4.30
									18.06	-	-	-	-	15.40	*	

*Meta-analysis not available in QGene

Table 6 Summary of QTLs found in each resistance donor

QTL name	Trait	Chromosome	Resistance donor			
			WAS 208	Bad-ka	PTB 25	FD 2000
qHBV4.1	Incidence	4		✓	✓	✓
	ALA	4		✓	✓	✓
qHBV4.2 ^{WAS208}	Incidence	4	✓			
qHBV6.1 ^{PTB25}	Incidence	6			✓	
qHBV11.2	Incidence	11	✓		✓	
qHBV11.1	ALA	11	✓	✓		✓
	Incidence	11				✓

Figures






Figure 1

RHBV disease severity (% of affected leaf area, ALA) measurement workflow. A: Image acquisition of rice leaves affected by RHBV. B: Image segmentation to separate healthy from affected leaf areas. C: Healthy leaf area binarization. D: Total leaf area binarization


WAS 208 × BBT50 – Incidence




WAS 208 × BBT50 – ALA




Badka × BBT50 – Incidence




Badka × BBT50 – ALA




PTB 25 × BBT50 – Incidence




PTB 25 × BBT50 – ALA




FD 2000 × BBT50 – Incidence



FD 2000 × BBT50 – ALA



Four crosses combined – Incidence



Four crosses combined – ALA




Figure 2

Distribution of the means of RHBV incidence (% of plants with symptoms) and severity (% of affected leaf area, ALA) in F3 families, in four *indica* × *japonica* rice mapping populations. Means in F3 families were calculated from 60 plants (incidence) or 10 plants (ALA)




Figure 3

Whole-genome QTL plots (SIM) in the four crosses analyzed independently (A-D), and jointly (E). Red:incidence; purple: severity (ALA)




Figure 4

Hypothetical model of plant resistance mechanisms to RHBV

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementalfigures.docx](#)