Dynamics of optical vortices in 2D materials

Yaniv Kurman

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5784-3573>

Raphael Dahan

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

Hanan Sheinfux

Institute of Photonic Sciences

Gilles Rosolen

University of Mons <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7399-3838>

Eli Jenzen

Kansas State University

James Edgar

Kansas State University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0918-5964>

Frank Koppens

ICFO - The Institute of Photonic Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9764-6120>

Ido Kaminer (✉ kaminer@technion.ac.il)

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2691-1892>

Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: September 27th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1234204/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Dynamics of optical vortices in 2D materials

Yaniv Kurman¹, Raphael Dahan¹, Hanan Herzig Shenfux², Gilles Rosolen³, Eli Janzen⁴, James H. Edgar⁴, Frank H. L. Koppens^{2,5}, and Ido Kaminer^{1*}

¹Department of Electrical Engineering, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel

²ICFO-Institut de Ciències Fotòniques, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Av. Carl Friedrich Gauss 3, 08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain

³Micro and Nanophotonic Materials Group, Research Institute for Materials Science and Engineering, University of Mons, 7000 Mons, Belgium

⁴Tim Taylor Department of Chemical Engineering, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506 USA

⁵ICREA-Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, Passeig Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain

*Correspondence to: kaminer@technion.ac.il

Quantized vortices are topological defects found in different two-dimensional geometries, from liquid crystals to ferromagnets, famously involved in spontaneous symmetry breaking and phase transitions. Their optical counterparts appear in planar geometries as a universal wave phenomenon, possessing topologically protected orbital angular momentum (OAM). So far, the spatio-temporal dynamics of optical vortices, including vortex-pair creation and annihilation, was observed only in Bose-Einstein condensates. Here we observe optical vortices in 2D materials and measure their dynamics, including events of pair-creation and annihilation. The vortices conserve their combined OAM during pair creation/annihilation events and determine the surrounding field profile throughout their motion between these events. The vortices are made of phonon polaritons in hexagonal boron nitride, which we directly probe using free electrons in an ultrafast transmission electron microscope. Our findings promote future investigations of vortex phenomena in 2D material platforms, toward their use for chiral plasmonics, quantum simulators, and control over selection rules in light-matter interactions.

Optical vortices are points in the light field with non-zero optical orbital angular momentum (OAM)¹. In these points, the phase is undefined, creating a singularity with zero amplitude and integer values of OAM which equals the integrated phase of the field (over 2π) in a closed contour around the vortex location^{2,3}. Distinguished by dimensionality, two distinct families of optical vortices are known: vortex beams⁴ and planar vortices⁵. Vortex beams showed a plethora of phenomena⁶ from stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy⁷ and optical tweezers⁸, through nonlinear optical effects such as vortex solitons⁹, to implications for quantum entanglement^{10,11} and transfer of angular momentum from light to matter¹².

In planar systems, optical vortices are confined to the 2D-plane and evanescently decay in the out-of-plane direction, as famously shown with surface plasmon polaritons⁵ and with other guided modes¹³. Their reduced dimensionality led to unique phenomena such as nanotweezers¹⁴, optical skyrmions¹⁵, and manipulation of selection rules in light-matter interactions^{16,17}. Much of the research on 2D optical vortices is focused on controlling the vortex OAM and location^{18–20}. The control is primarily based on engineering the boundary conditions and the laser excitation properties (e.g., polarization), even showing vortices with high OAM²¹. Delicate engineering of the interference of planar waves enabled sub-cycle ultrafast observations of the formation, dissipation, and rotation of individual vortices^{21–24} and of topological plasmon vortices (and vortex arrays), as optical analogous to magnetic merons and skyrmions^{23,24}. The latter works suggested to use active control of the excitation pulses to “enable the creation, manipulation and annihilation of plasmonic topological spin textures”²³.

Fundamental to the physics of vortices is the conservation of topological OAM in the vortex, implying that vortex pairs can be created or annihilated while maintaining a fixed overall OAM in each process²⁵. These conservation laws were probed experimentally in nanophotonic

platforms by sweeping over the frequency²⁶ or polarization²⁰ of time harmonic (monochromatic) fields. Such approaches provide an indirect analogue of the temporal dynamics of vortices, showing that pairs of vortices can be created and annihilated, and change their location for slowly varying continuous wave fields²⁷.

The temporal dynamics of vortices, and especially their creation and annihilation, attract further interest due to the famous Berezinskii–Kosterlitz–Thouless (BKT) phase transition²⁸. These processes were measured on several occasions in various systems such liquid crystals²⁹. Nevertheless, in optical systems, the spatiotemporal motion of optical vortices and vortex-pair creation were measured only in Bose-Einstein condensates³⁰. Unlike optical vortex-pair creation, the inverse process of annihilation is less likely due to entropy reasons that increases the number of vortices in time in a lossy system and to the best of our knowledge remained beyond experimental reach.

In this work, we observe the spatiotemporal dynamics of optical vortices, including events of vortex-pair creation and annihilation. Specifically, our experiment constitutes the first observation of optical vortices in a 2D material, appearing here in the form of phonon polaritons (PhP). The 2D optical vortices appear as points of zero field amplitude that are shown to move continuously inside the sample. All the features predicted by the theory of vortex temporal dynamics (Fig. 1) are found in our experiments. The OAM of individual vortices is conserved during their movement and the combined OAM is conserved during the creation and annihilation of vortex pairs; only on sample edges, the OAM is not conserved. Our experiments promote ideas for optical quantum simulators of topological dynamics using 2D polaritons.

Figure 1: Theory of 2D optical vortex dynamics. Exemplified by a simulation of phonon-polaritons (PhP) in hexagonal boron nitride (hBN). **(a)** Simulated PhP electric field amplitude $|E_z|$. **(b)** The corresponding phase of the field, from which we can determine the locations of the vortices. The vortex locations match the amplitude nodal points. Inset: The blue and red dots denote the location of the left-handed and right-handed vortices, respectively. **(c)** The electric field amplitude as a function of time, marking a few vortex trajectories (blue and red curves) and creation/annihilation events (stars).

We use an ultrafast transmission electron microscope (UTEM)^{31–37} to probe the spatiotemporal dynamics of PhP vortices in boron-10 isotopically pure hexagonal boron nitride ($h^{10}\text{BN}$). hBN is a widely studied 2D material which exhibits unique hyperbolic PhPs^{38–44}. Besides PhPs in hBN, 2D materials span a much larger range of polaritons (including plasmon-polariton, phonon-polariton, exciton-polariton, and more^{45,46}), which have dispersion relations that may be tuned via their thicknesses, surrounding environments, and material doping. Here, PhPs were chosen due to their slow group velocity that determined the vortex velocity and the relatively long lifetime of the PhPs in monoisotopic boron $h^{10}\text{BN}$ in room temperature^{40,44}. The long lifetime was essential for showing other 2D optical phenomena in room temperature such as PhP cavity dynamics⁴¹ wavepacket dynamics⁴³, and PhP lensing⁴². Our observation of PhP vortices in hBN is the first measurement of optical vortices in any 2D-polaritonic system.

Spatiotemporal imaging of 2D vortices using free electrons

Fig. 2 shows how we extract the temporal dynamics of the vortices. We use a pump-probe technique, in which a single laser pulse is divided so that one part is converted to the mid infrared (IR) regime to pump the PhPs in the sample, while the other part is converted to the UV to excite the free electron probe in the UTEM (see details in SM section S1). Our probing approach is based on the technique called photon-induced near-field electron microscopy (PINEM)³¹, which originally operated in the visible and near IR range^{32–37}. The pulsed free electron interacts with the electric field along its trajectory, resulting in a widening of its energy spectrum. The image of the PhPs is produced when applying an energy filter which collects only the electrons that gained energy from their interaction with the PhPs. This technique is named energy-filtered transmission electron microscopy (EFTEM)^{47–49}. The filtering creates a threshold for the minimum electric field that we can measure. Above this threshold, there is a quadratic connection between the integrated electric field along the electron trajectory and the number of counts in the image^{32,33}. The dynamics of the field is probed when changing the time delay τ_d between the mid-IR pump pulse and the free-electron probe pulse. A similar approach to observe field spatiotemporal dynamics was first used in Ref. ⁴³ to monitor the propagation of PhP wavepackets and extract their group velocities. We use time steps of 50 fs and find vortex dynamics for a duration of 4.5 ps, significantly longer than the mid IR pulse duration of 600 fs FWHM.

Figure 2: Experimental setup and measurement examples showing spatiotemporal dynamics of optical vortices. (a) Experimental setup. A femtosecond laser pulse (orange) splits in two. One pulse serves as the pump, converted to the mid-infrared (IR; red) using difference frequency generation (DFG) and excites the phonon-polaritons (PhPs) in the sample. The other pulse is converted to ultraviolet (UV; purple) using fourth harmonic generation (FHG) and photoexcites the electron probe pulse (cyan). We image the PhP field in the hBN by energy filtering, counting only electrons that gained energy. The dynamics is retrieved by changing the time delay τ_d between the pump and the probe. (b) TEM image of the 40 nm thick isotopically pure hBN (^{10}B) sample. See SM section S3 and Fig. S4 for a detailed sample analysis. (c) PhP field patterns for different time delays, showing the evolution of complex interference pattern dynamics. The dashed gray contour is the sample's edge. The bright areas that include fewer counts are nodal lines and points where vortices are located. The full 3D movie that continues until $\tau_d = 4.5$ ps with 50 fs time steps can be found in Movie S1.

The PhP spatiotemporal dynamics is shown in Fig. 2b and Movie S1. The PhP field is excited at the edges of the sample (coupling directly to the bulk is impossible due to momentum mismatch between the free-space photons and the PhP modes). From the edges, the PhP wavepackets propagate toward the sample center ($\tau_d=0$ to 0.4 ps) and interfere with each other (meeting at the center around $\tau_d = 0.6$ ps). Due to this interference, the measured field pattern fluctuates and changes in a complex manner. Yet, there are a few clear structures that stand out of this fluctuating landscape: nodal points and nodal lines in the PhP field. As shown by our simulations, these features are the signature of PhP vortices. That is, the interference of PhP wavepackets that couple at the edges and reflect from them create phase singularities observed as the nodal points in the field pattern. By following the nodal points inside these patterns, we study the vortex dynamics.

Vortex creation and annihilation

In Fig. 3 (and Movie S2), we present an example of the simulated motion of vortex dynamics and their processes of creation and annihilation (thorough description in SM section S2). We simulate a $6 \times 6 \mu\text{m}^2$ square hBN sample and analyze both the amplitude and phase of the field in the time domain. Indeed, the nodal points of the field (top row) correspond to the optical vortices that we extract from the phase of the field (bottom row). By following the field map evolution in time, we find specific timestamps in which the vortices are created and annihilated. For further validation of the results, we also performed full electromagnetic COMSOL simulations of the field dynamics, showing that the measured features can be reproduced numerically for a sample shape similar to the one used in the experiment (Figs. S6 and S7).

Figure 3: Vortex dynamics, creation, and annihilation. (a-b) A pair of right-handed and left-handed vortices can be created when the field amplitude at a certain point reduces to zero, forming a nodal point, or annihilated if the nodal point suddenly disappears. (c-d) A single vortex of any orientation may be created or annihilated on the sample's edge. Thus, both the local OAM and the total OAM within the sample are not conserved. (e-f) A pair of vortices can be created or annihilated within nodal lines (dashed green) along which the field amplitude is already near zero. Such nodal lines are formed between at least two vortices with opposite orientations. Often when two vortices are distant from each other, additional vortex pairs are created between them, effectively supporting a longer nodal line. (g-l) The phase maps corresponding to images (a)-(f). The time delay between consequent images is 50 fs.

Fig. 3 summarizes the three mechanisms in which vortices are created and annihilated. The first option (Fig. 3 left column) is the creation of a pair of opposite sign vortices, occurring when the amplitude of a certain point reduces to zero. Thus, a non-zero local minima possess a total OAM of zero but has the potential to become the source of a pair of vortices. When such a pair move away from one another, they create a nodal line. Nodal lines appear as continuous curves along which the field is near zero. They are formed by a set of vortices of alternating OAM that destructively interfere.

The second option for the creation or annihilation of a pair of vortices is inside an existing nodal line (Fig. 3 right column). When the distance between neighboring vortices inside a nodal line grows, another pair of vortices with opposite signs is often created along the nodal line,

maintaining a longer nodal line through their destructive interference. Importantly, in both of the above mechanisms of pair creation, each individual vortex can move inside the sample and annihilate with any vortex of the opposite OAM.

Since pairs of vortices can be created or annihilated, the total number of vortices is not conserved. The quantity that is conserved is the local OAM at each point inside the bulk. However, the total OAM of the field in the sample is not conserved due to a third mechanism of vortex creation and annihilation – along the edges, single vortices can be created or annihilated (Fig. 3 middle column). At the core of this mechanism is the effect of anomalous reflection of planar waves at the edges of the sample that adds a phase to the field ^{41,50}. Altering the phase locally enables phase distortions equivalent to having single vortices enter and exit the sample along the edges. From a different point of view, because of the nature of optical vortices¹, the combined OAM of all vortices in the sample equals the integrated phase along the sample edges, and if the phase is changing, a vortex might be created or annihilated. Once a vortex is created on the sample edge it can change its location, annihilate with another vortex of an opposite sign, or annihilate on the edge (usually at a different location from where it was created).

Analysis of the measured spatiotemporal vortex dynamics

Our experimental observation of vortex creation and annihilation demonstrates the features predicted by the theory. Specifically, Fig. 4a shows selected timeframes to highlight vortex dynamics, in close agreement with the theory we showed in Fig. 1: we follow the trajectories of all the vortices in the flake and find that certain trajectories of vortices of opposite signs can begin (end) at certain points in time and space, which correspond to the process of creation (annihilation). The complete measurement including the locations and signs of the vortices in each time frame is shown in Movie S3 and Fig. S1ⁱ.

Figure 4: Observation of vortex dynamics. (a) Selected timeframes showing the vortex dynamics, marking events of creation and annihilation. A few vortex trajectories are shown through the blue and red curves: a right-handed (red) vortex is created from a pair creation process at $\tau_d = 1.3$ ps and annihilated with a left-handed (blue) vortex at $\tau_d = 2.05$ ps. The reconstruction of all vortices throughout our measurement is shown Fig. S1 and Movie S3. (b) First step for identification of vortices – areas of nodal points and nodal lines (where optical vortices appear) are denoted in yellow (more information in Fig. S2). (c) The experimental measurement for $\tau_d = 1.8$ ps with the estimated vortex locations (up to flipping all vortex orientations). (d) The simulation field pattern in a specific timeframe, showing how a set of vortices can determine the qualitative shape of the field's pattern inside the sample. (e) An amplitude peak is formed when surrounded by a set of vortices with alternating orientations (pink). When the vortex orientations are not alternating, a saddle point is created, having a smaller amplitude compared to the peak (gray). (f) The number of vortices with an OAM of +1 (blue), -1 (red), their sum (purple), and difference (brown). The total OAM is not expected to conserve due to creation or annihilation of vortices on the edges while the total number of vortices includes pair creation or annihilation. The excitation pulse duration is shown in blue. The comparison with a full numerical COMSOL simulation is shown in Fig. S7.

Fig. 4b-e show how we deduce the location and sign of the vortices. For each frame, we find the vortex locations by identifying the nodal points and nodal lines, appearing as areas with extremely low counts (Fig. 4b and Fig. S2). Then, we analyze the field pattern to determine the OAM sign of each vortex (Fig. 4c). We can verify from simulations (Fig. 4d-e) that each peak of the field is surrounded by a set of vortices of alternating signs (e.g., $+-+-$), forcing a uniformity in the phase within the peak. In contrast, when the vortices do not have alternating signs (e.g., $++++$), the field that is surrounded by these vortices is not a peak but a saddle, having a smaller amplitude compared to a peak and a larger variation in the phase inside it. The saddle configuration can create a large area in the sample with very low counts (Fig. 4c bottom right). This area seems to have almost zero counts due to the minimal electric field that we can measure (~ 1 MV/m, SM section S1), but it is not strictly zero (see the average signal over time, Fig. S3). Finally, when comparing the estimated vortices in all timestamps, we recognize the continuous movement of the vortices and the events of vortex creation and annihilation.

Discussion and outlook

Our experiment stands as an example for the universal nature of planar vortices. In most experiments that showed 2D optical vortices so far, the boundary conditions were designed for generating a specific vortex OAM at a specific location that remains constant in time (e.g., Refs. ^{21,22}). In our experiment, we used the natural edges of the sample to show that vortex spatiotemporal dynamics can appear in arbitrary samples with only a single requirement – that the sample is optically mesoscopic. We can divide all samples into three categories (see Fig. 5): (1) If the sample's dimensions are smaller than the typical wavelength of the optical modes, it can support only a few modes. The field in such cavity-like samples possess a distinct shape⁴¹ and cannot show vortex dynamics or any complex dynamics. We present an example of such an observation in Fig. 5a. The resonant mode can potentially have a phase singularity forming an optical vortex, but such a vortex cannot have temporal dynamics changing its location in time. (2) On the other extreme, if the sample's dimensions are much larger than the decay length of the optical modes, there is dynamics, but no interference from different boundaries, and thus optical vortices cannot form. This is the case in Ref. ⁴³, shown in Fig. 5c, having a wavepacket propagating freely in-plane, moving away from the edge. (3) Consequently, spatiotemporal dynamics of vortices can only occur in samples of intermediate sizes – optically mesoscopic sample – larger than the typical wavelength of the optical modes and smaller than their typical decay length. This is the case in our work, as shown in Fig. 5b.

Figure 5: Universality of optical vortex dynamics: 2D optical vortices are expected in any mesoscopic-size sample of arbitrary shape. (a) Small samples: Spatial dimensions (L) much smaller than the wavelength of light in the material (λ). The electric field pattern (both simulated and measured) has a cavity-like mode with no field dynamics. Such samples can support vortices for specific sample shapes, though the location of the vortex is static. (b) Optically mesoscopic samples: Spatial dimensions (L) much smaller than the decay length of light in the material (L_{decay}) but larger than the wavelength of light in the material (λ). These conditions are sufficient for having the spatiotemporal dynamics of optical vortices (shown in both simulated and measured plots) in arbitrary sample shapes, due to complex interference patterns that evolve over time. (c) Large samples: Spatial dimensions (L) much larger than the decay length of light in the material (L_{decay}). Such dimensions support free-propagation dynamics (data taken from Ref. ⁴³), until the field disperses and decays. In all figures, the dashed lines denote the sample's boundaries. The inset at the bottom of each panel shows a hBN flake of the corresponding size with which the presented data was collected. The red bar denotes 5 μm in all insets.

It is interesting to compare the features of PhP vortices to those in exciton-polariton condensates⁵¹. There, a strong interaction between matter and an optical cavity mode creates the condensate, which can form a superfluid⁵². Previous work in such systems show the creation and propagation of vortex pairs^{53,54}, including their spatiotemporal dynamics³⁰. Although sharing certain polaritonic properties with PhPs, the behavior of exciton-polaritons arise from the Gross-Pitaevskii equation and requires extremely low temperatures, in contrast to the PhP vortices that

arise from Maxwell's equations and were measured here at room temperature. Moreover, the degrees of freedom in designing the polariton vortices are completely different. While the exciton-polariton vortices are determined by the external pump laser field profile^{55–57}, the 2D polariton dynamics is governed also by the boundary conditions (shape of the sample), and by the PhP tunable dispersion relation that strongly depend on the sample thickness and surrounding materials^{45,46,58}.

The vast degrees of freedom of polaritons in 2D materials creates a unique advantage for simulating universal vortex phenomena. For example, recent demonstrations of quantum simulations⁵⁹ and analogies of gravity⁶⁰ with exciton-polaritons raise intriguing possibilities for similar prospects with PhPs, especially once higher excitation intensities unlock their nonlinear optical response⁶¹. It is possible that nonlinear effects had already affected our measurements here (see Fig. S8 and SM section S4). Future investigations may deliberately introduce nonlinearity through atomic emitters or 2D quantum wells, exploiting their extremely strong light-matter interactions with 2D light⁶², and specifically with vortices of 2D light^{16,17}.

In our measurement (Fig. 4f) and simulations (Fig. S7), we identify the total number of vortices and the total OAM of the flake as a function of time. We observe that the laser excitation (cyan in Fig. 4f) acts as a source of order that reduces the total number of vortices when it is applied on the sample, analogous to reducing the effective temperature in a solid-state BKT-type system. The number of vortices then gradually increases again after the laser excitation is over, since the entropy is increasing (forcing the scarcity of pair-annihilation processes compared to pair-creation). This generation of vortices as a result of noise and losses in the 2D sample may create a continuous analogue of quantum surface codes⁶³, where the quantum state is related to the

topological nodal lines and additional pulses could be used to correct errors in the form of vortex creation.

Looking forward, by engineering the sample's boundary conditions, for example using inverse design methods⁶⁴, desired vortex phenomena could be demonstrated. For example, if excited by non-classical light, a properly designed boundary condition could establish full entanglement between two vortex states of opposite charge (± 1) at two different locations r_1, r_2 : taking the form of $|+1, r_1\rangle|-1, r_2\rangle + |-1, r_1\rangle|+1, r_2\rangle$. Altogether, the precise control of the laser excitation and boundary conditions make 2D materials into attractive platforms for demonstrating and probing a wide range of vortex phenomena in optics and condensed matter physics.

References

1. Nye, J. F. & Berry, M. V. Dislocations in wave trains. *Proc. R. Soc. London. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **336**, 165–190 (1974).
2. Thiborlique, L. D. P. & Valrose, P. Optical Vortex. *Opt. Commun.* **73**, 403–408 (1989).
3. Soskin, M. S., Gorshkov, V. N., Vasnetsov, M. V., Malos, J. T. & Heckenberg, N. R. Topological charge and angular momentum of light beams carrying optical vortices. *Phys. Rev. A - At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **56**, 4064–4075 (1997).
4. Allen, L., Beijersbergen, M. W., Spreeuw, R. J. C. & Woerdman, J. P. Orbital angular momentum of light and the transformation of Laguerre-Gaussian laser modes. *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 8185–8189 (1992).
5. Gorodetski, Y., Niv, A., Kleiner, V. & Hasman, E. Observation of the spin-based plasmonic effect in nanoscale structures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 1–4 (2008).
6. Shen, Y. *et al.* Optical vortices 30 years on: OAM manipulation from topological charge to multiple singularities. *Light Sci. Appl.* **8**, (2019).
7. Willig, K. I., Rizzoli, S. O., Westphal, V., Jahn, R. & Hell, S. W. STED microscopy reveals that synaptotagmin remains clustered after synaptic vesicle exocytosis. *Nature* **440**, 935–939 (2006).
8. Gahagan, K. T. & Swartzlander, G. A. Optical vortex trapping of particles. *Conf. Proc. - Lasers Electro-Optics Soc. Annu. Meet.* **21**, 155–156 (1996).
9. Swartzlander, G. A. & Law, C. T. Optical vortex solitons observed in Kerr nonlinear media. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2503–2506 (1992).
10. Nagali, E. *et al.* Quantum Information Transfer from Spin to Orbital Angular Momentum of Photons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 1–4 (2009).
11. Stav, T. *et al.* Quantum entanglement of the spin and orbital angular momentum of photons using metamaterials. *Science (80-.)*. **361**, 1101–1104 (2018).
12. He, H., Friese, M. E. J., Heckenberg, N. R. & Rubinsztein-Dunlop, H. Direct Observation of Transfer of Angular Momentum to Absorptive Particles from a Laser Beam with a Phase Singularity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 826–829 (1995).
13. Balistreri, M. L. M., Korterik, J. P., Kuipers, L. & van Hulst, N. F. Local observations of phase singularities in optical fields in waveguide structures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 294–297 (2000).
14. Wang, K., Schonbrun, E., Steinvurzel, P. & Crozier, K. B. Trapping and rotating nanoparticles using a plasmonic nano-tweezer with an integrated heat sink. *Nat. Commun.* **2**, (2011).
15. Tsesses, S. *et al.* Optical skyrmion lattice in evanescent electromagnetic fields. *Science (80-.)*. **361**, 993–996 (2018).
16. Machado, F., Rivera, N., Buljan, H., Soljačić, M. & Kaminer, I. Shaping Polaritons to Reshape Selection Rules. *ACS Photonics* **5**, 3064–3072 (2018).
17. Sloan, J., Rivera, N., Joannopoulos, J. D., Kaminer, I. & Soljačić, M. Controlling spins with surface magnon polaritons. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 30–33 (2019).
18. Kim, H. *et al.* Synthesis and dynamic switching of surface plasmon vortices with plasmonic vortex lens. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 529–536 (2010).
19. David, A., Gjonaj, B., Blau, Y., Dolev, S. & Bartal, G. Nanoscale shaping and focusing of visible light in planar metal–oxide–silicon waveguides. *Optica* **2**, 1045 (2015).
20. Ostrovsky, E., Cohen, K., Tsesses, S., Gjonaj, B. & Bartal, G. Nanoscale control over optical singularities. *Optica* **5**, 283 (2018).

21. Spektor, G. *et al.* Orbital angular momentum multiplication in plasmonic vortex cavities. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, 1–7 (2021).
22. Spektor, G. *et al.* Revealing the subfemtosecond dynamics of orbital angular momentum in nanoplasmonic vortices. *Science* (80-.). **355**, 1187–1191 (2017).
23. Dai, Y. *et al.* Plasmonic topological quasiparticle on the nanometre and femtosecond scales. *Nature* **588**, 616–619 (2020).
24. Davis, T. J. *et al.* Ultrafast vector imaging of plasmonic skyrmion dynamics with deep subwavelength resolution. *Science* (80-.). **368**, (2020).
25. Berry, M. V. & Dennis, M. R. Knotted and linked phase singularities in monochromatic waves. *Proc. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **457**, 2251–2263 (2001).
26. De Angelis, L., Alpeggiani, F., Di Falco, A. & Kuipers, L. Spatial Distribution of Phase Singularities in Optical Random Vector Waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 1–5 (2016).
27. De Angelis, L., Alpeggiani, F., Di Falco, A. & Kuipers, L. Persistence and Lifelong Fidelity of Phase Singularities in Optical Random Waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 1–5 (2017).
28. kosterlitz, J. M. & Thouless, D. J. Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems. *J. Phys. C Solid State Phys.* **6**, 1181–1203 (1973).
29. DeCamp, S. J., Redner, G. S., Baskaran, A., Hagan, M. F. & Dogic, Z. Orientational order of motile defects in active nematics. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 1110–1115 (2015).
30. Dominici, L. *et al.* Vortex and half-vortex dynamics in a nonlinear spinor quantum fluid. *Sci. Adv.* **1**, 1–10 (2015).
31. Barwick, B., Flannigan, D. J. & Zewail, A. H. Photon-induced near-field electron microscopy. *Nature* **462**, 902–906 (2009).
32. Garcia De Abajo, F. J., Asenjo-Garcia, A. & Kociak, M. Multiphoton absorption and emission by interaction of swift electrons with evanescent light fields. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 1859–1863 (2010).
33. Park, S. T., Lin, M. & Zewail, A. H. Photon-induced near-field electron microscopy (PINEM): theoretical and experimental. *New J. Phys.* **12**, 123028 (2010).
34. Lummen, T. T. A. *et al.* Imaging and controlling plasmonic interference fields at buried interfaces. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 1–9 (2016).
35. Pomarico, E. *et al.* MeV Resolution in Laser-Assisted Energy-Filtered Transmission Electron Microscopy. *ACS Photonics* **5**, 759–764 (2018).
36. Madan, I. *et al.* Holographic imaging of electromagnetic fields via electron-light quantum interference. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, 1–8 (2019).
37. Wang, K. *et al.* Coherent interaction between free electrons and a photonic cavity. *Nature* **582**, 50–54 (2020).
38. Dai, S. *et al.* Tunable phonon polaritons in atomically thin van der Waals crystals of boron nitride. *Science* (80-.). **343**, 1125–1129 (2014).
39. Caldwell, J. D. *et al.* Sub-diffractive volume-confined polaritons in the natural hyperbolic material hexagonal boron nitride. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 1–9 (2014).
40. Giles, A. J. *et al.* Ultralow-loss polaritons in isotopically pure boron nitride. *Nat. Mater.* **17**, 134–139 (2018).
41. Tamagnone, M. *et al.* Ultra-confined mid-infrared resonant phonon polaritons in van der Waals nanostructures. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, 4–10 (2018).
42. Chaudhary, K. *et al.* Engineering phonon polaritons in van der Waals heterostructures to enhance in-plane optical anisotropy. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaau7171 (2019).

43. Kurman, Y. *et al.* Spatiotemporal imaging of 2D polariton wave packet dynamics using free electrons. *Science* (80-.). **372**, 1181–1186 (2021).
44. Pavlidis, G. *et al.* Experimental confirmation of long hyperbolic polariton lifetimes in monoisotopic (10B) hexagonal boron nitride at room temperature. *APL Mater.* **9**, (2021).
45. Basov, D. N., Fogler, M. M. & Garcia de Abajo, F. J. Polaritons in van der Waals materials. *Science* (80-.). **354**, aag1992–aag1992 (2016).
46. Low, T. *et al.* Polaritons in layered 2D materials. **16**, (2016).
47. Egerton, R. F. *Electron Energy-Loss Spectroscopy in the Electron Microscope. 'Ungainfull Arte'* (Springer US, 2011). doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-9583-4.
48. Nelayah, J. *et al.* Mapping surface plasmons on a single metallic nanoparticle. *Nat. Phys.* **3**, 348–353 (2007).
49. Bosman, M., Keast, V. J., Watanabe, M., Maarroof, A. I. & Cortie, M. B. Mapping surface plasmons at the nanometre scale with an electron beam. *Nanotechnology* **18**, (2007).
50. Kang, J. H. *et al.* Goos-Hänchen Shift and Even-Odd Peak Oscillations in Edge-Reflections of Surface Polaritons in Atomically Thin Crystals. *Nano Lett.* **17**, 1768–1774 (2017).
51. Lagoudakis, K. G. *et al.* Quantized vortices in an exciton-polariton condensate. *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 706–710 (2008).
52. Amo, A. *et al.* Superfluidity of polaritons in semiconductor microcavities. *Nat. Phys.* **5**, 805–810 (2009).
53. Amo, A. *et al.* Polariton Superfluids Reveal Quantum Hydrodynamic Solitons. *Science* (80-.). **332**, 1167–1170 (2011).
54. Lerario, G. *et al.* Vortex-stream generation and enhanced propagation in a polariton superfluid. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 1–5 (2020).
55. Marchetti, F. M., Szymańska, M. H., Tejedor, C. & Whittaker, D. M. Spontaneous and triggered vortices in polariton optical-parametric-oscillator superfluids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 1–4 (2010).
56. Tosi, G. *et al.* Geometrically locked vortex lattices in semiconductor quantum fluids. *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 1–5 (2012).
57. Boulrier, T. *et al.* Vortex chain in a resonantly pumped polariton superfluid. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, (2015).
58. Sheinflux, H. H. *et al.* Multimodal interference and bound in the continuum modes in indirectly-patterned hyperbolic cavities (2022). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2202.0861>
59. Boulrier, T. *et al.* Microcavity Polaritons for Quantum Simulation. *Adv. Quantum Technol.* **3**, 2000052 (2020).
60. Jacquet, M. J. *et al.* Polariton fluids for analogue gravity physics: Polariton fluids for analogue gravity. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **378**, 1–18 (2020).
61. Iyikanat, F., Konečná, A. & De Abajo, F. J. G. Nonlinear Tunable Vibrational Response in Hexagonal Boron Nitride. *ACS Nano* **15**, 13415–13426 (2021).
62. Kurman, Y. & Kaminer, I. Tunable bandgap renormalization by nonlocal ultra-strong coupling in nanophotonics. *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 868–874 (2020).
63. Dennis, E., Kitaev, A., Landahl, A. & Preskill, J. Topological quantum memory. *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 4452–4505 (2002).
64. Piggott, A. Y. *et al.* Inverse design and demonstration of a compact and broadband on-chip wavelength demultiplexer. *Nat. Photonics* **9**, 374–377 (2015).

ⁱ We note that our overall vortex reconstruction has a few degrees of freedom that are indistinguishable. For example, if all vortices flip their OAM, the amplitude map that we measure does not change (i.e., we could flip all vortices blue $\leftrightarrow$ red). Another unknown quantity is the number of vortices found along each nodal line, since the near-zero amplitude along these lines can potentially sustain arbitrary numbers of degenerate vortices, where each pair has its phase cancelling out.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SM.pdf](#)
- [MovieS1.avi](#)
- [MovieS2.avi](#)
- [MovieS3.mp4](#)
- [MovieS4.avi](#)