Premorbid Echocardiography And Risk of Hospitalization In COVID-19

Harsh Goel

St. Luke's University Hospital

Kashyap Shah (✉ kshah717@gmail.com)

St. Luke's University Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7884-1692>

Janish Kothari

St. Luke's University Hospital

Timothy Daly

St. Luke's University Hospital

Pooja Saraiya

St. Luke's University Hospital

Israa Taha

St. Luke's University Hospital

Marjolein Le

St. Luke's University Hospital

Jamshid Shirani

St. Luke's University Hospital

Research Article

Keywords: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019, echocardiography, hospitalization, risk

Posted Date: January 12th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1236645/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at The International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging on April 5th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10554-022-02565-4>.

Abstract

Background: COVID-19 has caused an unprecedented global pandemic, with cardiovascular risk factors predicting outcomes. We investigated whether baseline trans-thoracic echocardiography could refine risk beyond clinical risk factors.

Methods: Symptomatic COVID-19 positive (RT-PCR) adults across St Luke's University Health Network between March 1st-October 31st 2021, with trans-thoracic echocardiography (TTE) within 15-180 days preceding COVID-19 positivity were selected. Demographic/clinical/echocardiographic variables were extracted from patients' EHR and compared between groups stratified by disease severity. Logistic regression was used to identify independent predictors of hospitalization.

Results: 192 patients were included. 87 (45.3%) required hospitalization, 34 (17.7%) suffered severe disease (need for ICU care/mechanical ventilation/in-hospital death). Age, co-morbidities, and several echocardiographic abnormalities were more prevalent in moderate-severe versus mild disease. On multivariate analysis, age (OR 1.039, 95% CI 1.011-1.067), coronary artery disease (OR 4.184, 95% CI 1.451-12.063), COPD (OR 6.886, 95% CI 1.396-33.959) and left atrial (LA) diameter ≥ 4.0 cm (OR 2.379, 95% CI 1.031-5.493) predicted need for hospitalization. Model showed excellent discrimination (ROC AUC 0.809, 95% CI 0.746-0.873).

Conclusion: Baseline LA enlargement independently predicts risk of hospitalization in COVID-19. When available, baseline LA enlargement could identify patients for 1) closer outpatient follow-up, and 2) counseling vaccine-hesitancy.

Introduction

As of January 2022, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has caused over 296 million infections and over 5.4 million fatalities worldwide, representing by far the largest global infectious disease pandemic in over a century.¹ Several large cohorts have reported certain baseline demographic and clinical features being consistently associated with disease severity and mortality among patients with COVID-19.²⁻⁵ In particular, cardiovascular (CV) risk factors such as age, diabetes, obesity, and hypertension have been associated with adverse outcomes among patients with COVID-19, including risk of severe disease, need for mechanical ventilation, and mortality.³⁻⁵ Moreover, although primarily a respiratory disease, multi-organ involvement is common in COVID-19 and contributes significantly to adverse outcomes.^{6,7}

However, patients with these risk factors themselves represent a fairly heterogeneous group, both based on number of such co-morbidities, their severity (for example uncontrolled versus mild or well-controlled diabetes/hypertension), and duration of co-morbidities. Obviously, structural and functional myocardial abnormalities, as assessed using trans-thoracic echocardiography (TTE), are a consequence of long-standing or advanced phenotypes of the above cardiovascular risk factors. Indeed, extant literature spanning several decades overwhelmingly shows that presence of echocardiographic abnormalities in

the general population as well as in those with cardiovascular risk factors has incremental prognostic value to clinical factors alone in predicting risk of stroke, sudden cardiac death and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.⁸⁻¹¹ Along those lines, we hypothesized that pre-existent echocardiographic abnormalities may perhaps be able to identify a higher risk stratum of patients within a clinically high-risk, albeit heterogenous, group of patients. If so, TTE abnormalities could prove additive to clinical risk-stratification among COVID-19 patients. At the very least, the identification of such associations should add to the overall body of knowledge regarding a novel infection. Ultimately, given the enormous and unprecedented burden of COVID-19 on health-care systems across the world it is clearly imperative to continue to refine triage of patients, for more efficient planning and use of resources. Moreover, TTE is currently one of the most commonly performed diagnostic test, especially in those above 60-65 years old, an age group that is particularly vulnerable to severe COVID-19. Hence, presence of baseline TTE features as predictors of outcomes in COVID-19 may aid risk-stratification in a large target population.

Methods

Patient population

Electronic health records (EHR) were searched for all adult patients testing positive for COVID-19 due to suspicious symptoms across the St. Luke's University Health Network (SLUHN) between March 1st and October 31st, 2021. Patients with a baseline echocardiogram within 15-180 days preceding the COVID-19 infection were selected. Each patient's EHR was manually searched for baseline demographic information (age, gender, race), clinical co-morbidities, and transthoracic echocardiographic (TTE) variables. Patients were excluded if, 1) suffering severe aortic or mitral valvular stenosis or regurgitation, 2) any acute cardiovascular event (acute myocardial infarction, new onset congestive heart failure, or stroke) between the dates of TTE and COVID-19 positivity, 3) testing positive on a screening test for COVID-19 (for example for employment or placement in nursing home), but without any symptoms of COVID-19. All variables were extracted to a pre-designed spreadsheet. Major outcomes of interest were need for hospitalization, and among those hospitalized, the need for ICU care, mechanical ventilation, and in-hospital mortality. The study protocol was approved by the SLUHN Institutional Review Board (IRB).

Outcome definition and data synthesis

Patients were stratified into mild, moderate and severe COVID-19. Those not requiring hospital admission were categorized as having mild disease, surviving hospitalization without a need for ICU admission or mechanical ventilation as having moderate disease, and those requiring ICU admission/mechanical ventilation or died during the hospital stay as severe. To simplify statistical analysis, and for greater clinical applicability, important echocardiographic variables were transformed into binary variables based on established cut-offs of normality per the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) guidelines. Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) was defined as indexed left ventricular mass (LVMi) $\geq 95 \text{ g/m}^2$ in females and 115 g/m^2 for males, relative wall thickness (RWT) as increased when >0.42 , left atrial (LA) enlargement as LA diameter $\geq 4 \text{ cm}$. Severity of pulmonary hypertension was reported as per TTE

interpretation, since mean or systolic pulmonary artery pressures were seldom quantified in patients with no evidence of elevated pressures or obviously in those without meaningful tricuspid regurgitation, and ultimately classified as moderate or higher pulmonary artery hypertension (PAH). Finally left ventricular systolic dysfunction was defined as left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) <0.50, for simplicity of analysis.

Statistical analysis

Patients were compared across groups stratified by disease severity, i.e. mild, moderate, or severe, as described above. Continuous variables were compared across the three groups using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Post-hoc comparisons between groups were performed using Tukey's honestly significant difference test (Tukey's HSD) in case of homogeneity of variance (Levene's test $p > 0.05$), and the Games-Howell test when not. Categorical variables were compared using the χ^2 -test. Given our findings (noted below) on post-hoc testing, need for hospitalization (indicating moderate or severe disease, in other words, non-mild disease) was chosen as the primary outcome for regression analysis. Univariate analysis was performed to identify significant predictors for the multivariate model at $p < 0.10$. Multivariate backward stepwise logistic regression was performed to identify independent predictors of hospitalization. Echocardiographic variables with potential significant interactions (such as left ventricular linear dimensions used in calculation of LVMI and LVH) were not entered into the multivariate regression despite their correlation to outcomes on a univariate analysis basis. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 26 (IBM Corp, Armonk, USA).

Results

A total of 192 patients met inclusion criteria, of which 87 (45.3%) required hospitalization, and 34 (17.7% of total, 39.1% of those hospitalized) suffered severe disease (needed ICU admission/mechanical ventilation or died). Baseline clinical and TTE characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Patients requiring hospitalization were significantly older and more often suffered medical co-morbidities including diabetes, hypertension, and renal cardiac and chronic pulmonary disease. However, none of these were more prevalent between moderate versus severe disease, among hospitalized patients. In other words, once hospitalized, pre-existing clinical and TTE variables were not significantly predictive of risk of need for ICU care, mechanical ventilation, or death. Indeed, the major point of difference, both in prevalence of clinical co-morbidities, and of TTE abnormalities was at the point of hospitalization i.e., mild versus non-mild disease.

Table 1

Baseline demographic, clinical and echocardiographic characteristics of patients stratified by novel coronavirus disease severity.

Groups ♦	I	II	III	<i>p</i>		
Variable	Mild (n=105)	Moderate (n=53)	Severe (n=34)	I-vs-II	I-vs-III	II-vs-III
Clinical and Demographic Data						
Age, years	56.2±18.8	72.6±12.5	75.6±12.3	<0.001	<0.001	NS
Male	50 (47.6%)	31 (58.5%)	17 (50%)	NS	NS	NS
Diabetes mellitus	27 (25.7%)	26 (49.1%)	17 (50%)	0.010	0.024	NS
Hypertension	60 (57.1%)	44 (83%)	28 (82.4%)	0.004	0.024	NS
Heart failure	23 (21.9%)	26 (49.1%)	21 (61.8%)	0.001	<0.001	NS
Coronary artery disease	12 (11.4%)	21 (39.6%)	12 (35.3%)	<0.001	0.004	NS
Chronic pulmonary disease	5 (4.8%)	11 (20.8%)	9 (26.5%)	0.005	0.001	NS
Chronic kidney disease (≥stage 3)	19 (18.1%)	22 (41.5%)	18 (52.9%)	0.005	<0.001	NS
Echocardiography						
Left ventricular	4.6±0.6	4.5±0.6	4.6±0.7	NS	NS	NS
End-diastolic diameter (cm)	0.98±0.2	1.1±0.2	1.1±0.2	0.035	0.001	NS
Posterior wall thickness (cm)	81.5±23.2	90.9±29.6	101.4±27.7	NS	<0.001	NS
Mass index (g/m ²)	13 (12.4%)	15 (28.3%)	9 (26.5%)	0.04	NS	NS
Hypertrophy	0.44±0.12	0.48±0.14	0.50±0.13	NS	0.036	NS
Relative wall thickness	57	35 (66%)	25 (73.5%)	NS	0.0487	NS
≥ 0.42	57 (54.3%)	55±10	57±10	NS	NS	NS
Ejection fraction (%)	57±9					
Ventricular septal thickness (cm)	1.0±0.2	1.1±0.3	1.2±0.3	0.017	0.016	NS

Groups ♦	I	II	III	<i>p</i>		
Variable	Mild (n=105)	Moderate (n=53)	Severe (n=34)	I-vs-II	I-vs-III	II-vs-III
Left atrial Diameter (cm)	3.6±0.7	3.9±0.8	4.2±0.7	NS	<0.001	NS
≥4 cm	24 (22.9%)	25 (47.2%)	19 (55.9%)	0.005	0.001	NS
Mitral inflow E/A ratio	1.16±0.45	1.11±0.47	1.18±0.54	NS	NS	NS
Pulmonary artery systolic pressure	28.2±9.1	33.6±12.9	40.4±15.6	0.022	<0.001	NS
Peak (mmHg)	19.2±5.5	22.5±7.9	26.2±10.3	0.022	0.002	NS
Mean (mmHg)	5 (4.8%)	7 (13.2%)	10 (29.4%)	NS	<0.001	NS
Elevated ≥moderate						
Tricuspid annular plan systolic excursion (cm)	2.1±0.5	2.1±0.5	2.1±0.4	NS	NS	NS

In this regard, several left ventricular (LV) structural parameters, including septal and posterior wall thickness, left ventricular mass (LVM), presence of LVH, and left atrial enlargement were significantly different between mild versus non-mild disease. Interestingly, left ventricular systolic (left ventricular ejection fraction) or diastolic functional parameters (mitral valve E/A ratio) did not differ between groups. Finally, peak and mean pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) were higher, and hence, moderate or worse pulmonary hypertension (PAH) was more prevalent among those with moderate-severe disease versus mild disease. Univariate analysis for predictors of need for hospitalization is summarized in Table 2. As expected from above findings, LV diastolic inflow pattern (E/A ratio) or LVEF failed to predict risk of hospitalization. On the other hand, several LV structural parameters were predictive of risk of moderate-severe disease, including septal thickness (IVSd), posterior wall thickness (LVPWd), LV mass index (LVMI), and relative wall thickness (RWT). Peak PAP, mean PAP, and presence of at least moderate PAH were also associated with moderate-severe disease.

Table 2
Univariate clinical and echocardiographic predictors of hospitalization in patients with novel coronavirus infection.

Variable	Univariate analysis, Odds ratio (95% CI)	p
Age, years	1.060 (1.038-1.083)	<0.001
Hypertension	3.187 (1.620-6.271)	0.001
Diabetes mellitus	2.963 (1.613-5.444)	<0.001
Coronary artery disease	5.335 (2.536-11.222)	<0.001
Heart failure	3.602 (1.945-6.670)	<0.001
Chronic kidney disease ($\geq$ stage 3)	3.181 (1.682-6.016)	<0.001
Chronic pulmonary disease	5.096 (1.933-13.436)	0.001
Left ventricular	1.097 (0.694-1.734)	0.692
End-diastolic diameter (cm)	7.834 (1.921-31.939)	0.004
Posterior wall thickness (cm)	1.008 (1.003-1.014)	0.003
Mass (g)	1.016 (1.004-1.027)	0.006
Mass index (g/m ²)	1.969 (0.953-4.067)	0.067
Hypertrophy	1.969 (0.953-4.067)	0.036
Relative wall thickness	1.790 (0.985-3.256)	0.056
≥ 0.42	0.168 (0.008-3.552)	0.252
Ejection fraction (%)	1.512 (0.610-3.752)	0.372
<50%		
Ventricular septal thickness (cm)	5.254 (1.538-17.950)	0.008
Left atrial	3.126 (1.681-5.812)	0.001
Diameter (cm)	3.126 (1.681-5.812)	<0.001
≥ 4 cm		
Mitral inflow E/A ratio	0.900 (0.488-1.661)	0.735
Pulmonary artery systolic pressure	1.051 (1.023-1.079)	<0.001
Peak (mmHg)	1.077 (1.032-1.124)	0.001
Mean (mmHg)	3.214 (1.245-8.296)	0.016
Elevated $\geq$ moderate		
Tricuspid annular plan systolic excursion (cm)	0.800 (0.429-1.493)	0.484

For multivariate analysis, in addition to age and medical co-morbidities listed in Table 1, we chose TTE parameters that were most clinically relevant, especially given the high degree of expected collinearity. For the final model, we chose RWT >0.42, presence of LVH (LVMI $\geq$ 95 g/m² in females or $\geq$ 115 g/m² in males), LA enlargement (LA diameter $\geq$ 4 cm), and moderate or worse PAH, in addition to age and clinical co-morbidities. Table 3 summarizes independent predictors of risk of hospitalization on multivariate analysis with effect sizes. LA enlargement emerged as the only echocardiographic parameter independently predicting moderate-severe COVID-19 infection, in addition to age and clinical co-morbidities. The overall model had excellent discrimination (Figure 1: c-statistic = 0.809; 95% confidence interval 0.746-0.873, p<0.001).

Table 3
Independent predictors of risk of hospitalization among patients with novel coronavirus infection.

Variable	B	SE B	Wald χ^2	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p
Age, years	0.038	0.014	7.581	1.039 (1.011-1.067)	0.006
Coronary artery disease	1.431	0.540	7.020	4.184 (1.451-12.063)	0.008
Chronic pulmonary disease	1.930	0.814	5.617	6.886 (1.396-33.959)	0.018
Left atrial diameter $\geq$ 4 cm	0.867	0.427	4.123	2.379 (1.031-5.493)	0.042

Discussion

We present, to our knowledge, the first cohort of COVID-19 patients with baseline, pre-infection echocardiographic characteristics and their relation to disease severity. Though there have been many investigations reporting TTE findings among COVID-19 patients,¹²⁻¹⁵ these have largely been aimed at investigating the impact of COVID-19 on the heart vis-à-vis echocardiographic abnormalities among patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Although important by way of adding to our knowledge of the full gamut of organ involvement by this relatively novel virus, and hence aiding description of the full signature of COVID-19, our study was aimed at investigating a more “upstream” role of TTE in COVID-19 patients. In other words, instead of investigating the impact of COVID-19 on echocardiographic parameters, we aimed to investigate the converse, i.e., the impact of echocardiographic parameters/abnormalities on COVID-19 patients. The most important findings from our cohort include, 1) both clinical and TTE abnormalities were substantially more common in hospitalized versus non-hospitalized patients, i.e. in those with more than mild disease, 2) in general, LV structural abnormalities and pulmonary hypertension predicted risk of non-mild disease, while LV functional parameters did not, and most importantly, 3) after adjusting for medical co-morbidities and other echocardiographic abnormalities, LA enlargement was independently associated with worse than mild disease.

Moreover, we found that in general, clinical co-morbidities as well as most TTE parameters were not significantly different between patients with moderate versus severe disease. In other words, beyond a

certain threshold of disease severity, clinical co-morbidities or TTE abnormalities did not seem to discriminate those with uneventful hospital course from those requiring ICU stay, mechanical ventilatory support or death from COVID-19. Obviously, our cohort likely represents a somewhat higher risk cohort than the general population, due to the fact that patients who undergo TTE are generally older and more likely to have CV risk factors. Indeed, the prevalence of these risk factors in our cohort was somewhat higher than reported in previous cohorts including all-comers with COVID-19.^{3,16,17} Hence, the uniformly high and somewhat similar prevalence of these co-morbidities among hospitalized patients in our cohort possibly masked differences that may be evident in a larger sample. It is worth noting here that previous cohorts have generally reported a higher attributable risk to multi-organ injury, degree of hypoxemia, presence of respiratory distress syndrome, and/or inflammatory markers compared to medical co-morbidities among hospitalized patients, somewhat in support of our findings.^{3,17,18} In other words, the predictive value of medical co-morbidities seems to decline as disease severity increases.

In addition to the findings of the present data, there has been an abundance of literature evaluating the role of echocardiography in refining risk of cardiovascular events and mortality.¹⁹ Among various echocardiographic parameters, LA enlargement, a marker of long-standing or severe LV volume and/or pressure overload, and a risk factor for atrial fibrillation, thrombus formation and cardioembolic disease, has been associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes.^{20–23} LA enlargement has been found to be an independent predictor of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events regardless of the presence of LVH.²⁴ Finally, LA diameter has been shown to independently predict all-cause mortality in both men and women.²⁵ Thus, it is not surprising that we found LA enlargement to be an echocardiographic parameter predicting risk of non-mild COVID-19, even when adjusted for LVH, and indeed when adjusted for clinical risk factors. To reiterate, we did not find a statistically significant difference in prevalence of LA enlargement among hospitalized patients suffering severe disease, though the prevalence was numerically higher in the latter (Table 1, 55.9% vs 47.2%). This was likely due to the relatively small sample size, and certainly worth investigating in larger cohorts. Conversely, it remains somewhat impressive that even in a modest-sized cohort such as ours, LA diameter proved additive to traditional risk factors in predicting risk of hospitalization. Admittedly we were restricted to using LA diameter as the parameter to define LA enlargement, rather than the currently recommended LA volume, largely because the latter was not routinely reported in majority of TTEs in our cohort. This is obviously a major limitation, especially since LA enlargement (as defined by LA diameter) emerged as the only echocardiographic predictor of hospitalization. However, ample evidence exists regarding the prognostic value of LA diameter, and though now superseded by LA volume, remains a premier predictor of outcomes.^{19,21,26} We believe that even given this limitation, our findings still strongly support the fundamental hypothesis that LA enlargement predicts risk of hospitalization among COVID-19 patients.

There are some other important limitations to our findings. Firstly, our study is retrospective. Secondly, we had a relatively modest sample size. Thirdly, we did not have the time/resources to have all TTEs re-interpreted using a strictly standardized methodology. In other words, all TTEs parameters were extracted as originally interpreted at the time of the TTE, obviously raising the possibility of non-uniform

methodology in performing and interpreting the TTE. However, all TTEs were performed in the same lab, and any heterogeneity that may exist in technique/interpretation should be randomly distributed across patient groups. To our way of thinking, this should in fact make our findings closer to the “real-world”. Moreover, our strategy to define mild versus moderate disease severity based on need for hospitalization may be criticized since different physicians have different thresholds to admit patients with COVID-19. However, we at SLUHN had a very uniform set of criteria to guide admission with COVID-19 given the sudden surge of patients early during the pandemic in neighboring New York city. Hence, we believe that inter-physician heterogeneity in deciding to admit patients was minimal. Finally, given that patients who have TTE at baseline are generally older and more likely to have suspected or known cardiovascular disease, our findings may not be fully applicable to the general population. Regardless, we found that in patients who do have a baseline TTE, the presence of LA enlargement should raise concern about the patient’s risk of hospitalization, and perhaps warrant closer outpatient follow up and/or monitoring. At the very least our findings are novel and add to the available data on a novel and unprecedented disease. Moreover, quantifying risk is invaluable in stratifying common illnesses with a heavy disease burden, such as the current context. Our findings suggest that in patients in whom a recent TTE is available, the presence of LA enlargement may help refine triage.

Conclusion

To conclude, pending confirmation in larger cohorts, echocardiographic LA enlargement is an independent predictor of risk of hospitalization among patients with COVID-19. Major clinical implications of our findings include, 1) using this information when counseling patients who may be resistant to vaccination against COVID-19, and 2) closer outpatient follow up in patients infected with COVID-19 and having LA enlargement.

Declarations

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the diligence and hard work of Bruce Kemmerer, Director, Clinical Analytics, and Monica Elliott, clinical Analytics analyst, St. Luke’s University Health Network in EHR search.

Sources of Funding: none

Disclosures: The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Author Contribution: All authors contributed equally to data collection, and manuscript preparation. HG and JS performed statistical analysis.

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). *WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard*.
<https://covid19.who.int/>

2. Harmouch F, Shah K, Hippen JT, Kumar A, Goel H (2021) Is it all in the heart? Myocardial injury as major predictor of mortality among hospitalized COVID-19 patients. *J Med Virol* 93(2):973–982. doi:10.1002/jmv.26347
3. Tian W, Jiang W, Yao J et al (2020) Predictors of mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Med Virol* 92(10):1875–1883. doi:10.1002/jmv.26050
4. Mehraeen E, Karimi A, Barzegary A et al (2020) Predictors of mortality in patients with COVID-19—a systematic review. *Eur J Integr Med* 40. doi:10.1016/j.eujim.2020.101226
5. Du RH, Liang LR, Yang CQ et al (2020) Predictors of mortality for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV- 2: A prospective cohort study. *Eur Respir J* 55(5). doi:10.1183/13993003.00524-2020
6. Zou Y, Zhao X, Hou YY et al (2017) Meta-Analysis of Effects of Voluntary Slow Breathing Exercises for Control of Heart Rate and Blood Pressure in Patients With Cardiovascular Diseases. *Am J Cardiol* 120(1):148–153. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.03.247
7. Cao Y, Liu X, Xiong L, Cai K (2020) Imaging and clinical features of patients with 2019 novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Med Virol* March1–11. doi:10.1002/jmv.25822
8. Konety SH, Koene RJ, Norby FL et al (2016) Echocardiographic predictors of sudden cardiac death. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 9(8). doi:10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.115.004431
9. Lundorff I, Modin D, Mogelvang R et al (August 2020) Echocardiographic predictors of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in women from the general population. *Eur Hear J - Cardiovasc Imaging*. doi:10.1093/ehjci/jeaa167
10. Modin D, Biering-Sørensen SR, Møgelvang R, Alhakak AS, Jensen JS, Biering-Sørensen T (2019) Prognostic value of left atrial strain in predicting cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the general population. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 20(7):804–815. doi:10.1093/ehjci/jey181
11. Xu Y, Zhao L, Zhang L, Han Y, Wang P, Yu S (2020) Left Atrial Enlargement and the Risk of Stroke: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Front Neurol* 11:26. doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.00026
12. Giustino G, Croft LB, Stefanini GG et al (2020) Characterization of Myocardial Injury in Patients With COVID-19. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 76(18):2043–2055. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2020.08.069
13. Shafiabadi Hassani N, Shojaee A, Khodaprasat Z, Sepahvandi R, Shahrestanaki E, Rastad H (2021) Echocardiographic Features of Cardiac Injury Related to COVID-19 and Their Prognostic Value: A Systematic Review. *J Intensive Care Med* 36(4):500–508. doi:10.1177/0885066620981015
14. Szekely Y, Lichter Y, Taieb P et al (2020) Spectrum of Cardiac Manifestations in COVID-19: A Systematic Echocardiographic Study. *Circulation* 142(4):342–353. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047971
15. Ng ACT, Delgado V, Bax JJ (2020) An international, multicentre survey of echocardiographic abnormalities in COVID-19 patients. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 21(9):959–960. doi:10.1093/ehjci/jeaa218

16. Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J et al (2020) Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: Prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 369. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1966
17. Singer AJ, Morley EJ, Meyers K et al (2020) Cohort of Four Thousand Four Hundred Four Persons Under Investigation for COVID-19 in a New York Hospital and Predictors of ICU Care and Ventilation. *Ann Emerg Med* 76(4):394–404. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2020.05.011
18. Knight SR, Ho A, Pius R et al (2020) Risk stratification of patients admitted to hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: Development and validation of the 4C Mortality Score. *BMJ* 370(September):1–13. doi:10.1136/bmj.m3339
19. Laukkanen JA, Kurl S, Eränen J, Huttunen M, Salonen JT (2005) Left atrium size and the risk of cardiovascular death in middle-aged men. *Arch Intern Med* 165(15):1788–1793. doi:10.1001/archinte.165.15.1788
20. Melenovsky V, Hwang SJ, Redfield MM, Zakeri R, Lin G, Borlaug BA (2015) Left atrial remodeling and function in advanced heart failure with preserved or reduced ejection fraction. *Circ Hear Fail* 8(2):295–303. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.114.001667
21. Benjamin EJ, D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ, Wolf PA, Levy D (1995) Left atrial size and the risk of stroke and death: The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 92(4):835–841. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.92.4.835
22. Patel DA, Lavie CJ, Gilliland YE, Shah SB, Dinshaw HK, Milani RV (2015) Prediction of all-cause mortality by the left atrial volume index in patients with normal left ventricular filling pressure and preserved ejection fraction. *Mayo Clin Proc.* ;90(11):1499-1505. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.07.021
23. Kizer JR, Bella JN, Palmieri V et al (2006) Left atrial diameter as an independent predictor of first clinical cardiovascular events in middle-aged and elderly adults: The Strong Heart Study (SHS). *Am Heart J* 151(2):412–418. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2005.04.031
24. Bombelli M, Facchetti R, Cuspidi C et al (2014) Prognostic significance of left atrial enlargement in a general population results of the PAMELA study. *Hypertension* 64(6):1205–1211. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.03975
25. Bouzas-Mosquera A, Broullón FJ, Álvarez-García N et al (2011) Left atrial size and risk for all-cause mortality and ischemic stroke. *CMAJ* 183(10). doi:10.1503/cmaj.091688
26. Bouzas-Mosquera A, Broullón FJ, Alvarez-ardcia N et al (2011) Left atrial size and risk for all-cause mortality and ischemic stroke. *Can Med Assoc J* 183(10):E657–E664. doi:10.1503/cmaj.110617

Figures

AUC: 0.809 (95% CI 0.746-0.873, $p < 0.001$)

Figure 1

Receiver operating characteristics curve for regression model in Table 1.