

Efficacy and safety of artesunate-amodiaquine and artemether-lumefantrine and prevalence of molecular markers associated with resistance, Guinea: an open-label two-arm randomised controlled trial

Abdoul Habib Beavogui (✉ bea@maferinyah.org)

Minister of Health and University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4950-6224>

Alioune Camara

Universite Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry Faculte de Medecine Pharmacie et Odontostomatologie

Alexandre Delamou

Universite Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry Faculte de Medecine Pharmacie et Odontostomatologie

Abdoulaye Doumbouya

Universite Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry Faculte de Medecine Pharmacie et Odontostomatologie

Karifa Kourouma

Universite Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry Faculte de Medecine Pharmacie et Odontostomatologie

Patrice Bouedouno

Universite Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry Faculte de Medecine Pharmacie et Odontostomatologie

Timothee Guilavogui

Universite Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry Faculte de Medecine Pharmacie et Odontostomatologie

Souza Samaly dos Santos

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julia Kelley

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Eldin Talundzic

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Aissata Fofana

RTI International

Mateusz M Plucinski

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Mamadou Saliou Diallo

Centre National de Formation et de Recherche en Sante Rurale de Maferinyah

Keywords: Efficacy; Plasmodium falciparum ; artesunate-amodiaquine; Artemether-lumefantrine; molecular makers; Guinea

Posted Date: June 15th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.21808/v3>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on June 24th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03290-w>.

Abstract

Background Anti-malarial resistance is a threat to recent gains in malaria control. This study aimed to assess the efficacy and safety of artesunate-amodiaquine (ASAQ) and artemether-lumefantrine (AL) in the management of uncomplicated malaria and to measure the prevalence of molecular markers of resistance of *Plasmodium falciparum* in sentinel sites in Maferinyah and Labé Health Districts in Guinea in 2016.

Methods This was a two-arm randomised controlled trial of the efficacy of AL and ASAQ among children aged 6-59 months with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in two sites. Children were followed for 28 days to assess clinical and parasitological response. The primary outcome was the Kaplan-Meier estimate of Day 28 (D28) efficacy after correction by microsatellite-genotyping. Pre-treatment (D0) and day of failure samples were assayed for molecular markers of resistance in the *pfk13* and *pfmdr1* genes.

Results A total of 421 participants were included with 211 participants in the Maferinyah site and 210 in Labé. No early treatment failure was observed in any study arms. However, 22 (5.3%) participants developed a late treatment failure (8 in the ASAQ arm and 14 in the AL arm), which were further classified as 2 recrudescences and 20 reinfections. The Kaplan-Meier estimate of the corrected efficacy at D28 was 100% for both AL and ASAQ in Maferinyah site and 99% (95% Confidence Interval: 97.2-100%) for ASAQ and 99% (97.1-100%) for AL in Labé. The majority of successfully analysed D0 (98%, 380/389) and all day of failure (100%, 22/22) samples were wild type for *pfk13*. All 9 observed *pfk13* mutations were polymorphisms not associated with artemisinin resistance. The NFD haplotype was the predominant haplotype in both D0 (197/362, 54%) and day of failure samples (11/18, 61%) successfully analysed for *pfmdr1*.

Conclusion This study observed high efficacy and safety of both ASAQ and AL in Guinea, providing evidence for their continued use to treat uncomplicated malaria. Continued monitoring of ACT efficacy and safety and molecular makers of resistance in Guinea is important to detect emergence of parasite resistance and to inform evidence-based malaria treatment policies.

Background

Worldwide, malaria represents a constant and persistent public health threat due to its morbidity and mortality especially among children [1], with sub-Saharan Africa bearing the largest proportion of the burden [2]. Significant progress had been made in the control of malaria over the past years through interventions such as early case identification and diagnosis and prompt treatment with artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) [3]. Major artemisinin-based combinations used globally include artesunate-amodiaquine (ASAQ), artemether-lumefantrine (AL), and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DP) [4].

However, progress in providing effective treatment of malaria is facing challenges including parasite resistance to anti-malarial drugs, particularly in South East Asia [5]. In response to these major threats, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends periodic surveillance of anti-malarial first- and second-line treatment efficacy to provide data to national programmes for evidence-based malarial treatment policies [6]. This strategy is based on assessing both clinical and biological parameters along with analysis of molecular markers of resistance [4].

In line with these recommendations, sub-Saharan African countries have initiated the surveillance of the efficacy and safety of anti-malarial drug treatments to prevent parasite resistance [7–10]. In Tanzania, a study assessing the efficacy and safety of AL for the treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria and prevalence of artemisinin resistance molecular markers found high efficacy and safety of AL and no known artemisinin resistance *pfk13* mutations [11]. Several rounds of therapeutic efficacy monitoring in Angola have highlighted absence of molecular markers for artemisinin resistance along with generally high observed efficacies of ACT, albeit with some evidence of decreased AL efficacies [9,12,13]. In Gabon, a recent open-label clinical trial reported lack of molecular markers of artemisinin resistance and high efficacy of artemether–lumefantrine and artesunate–amodiaquine [14]. A review and network meta-analysis monitoring the efficacy and safety of ACT in Cameroon, reported that ACT is still effective and safe in Cameroon, but there are insufficient data on efficacy, safety and tolerability [15]. In Guinea, initial studies prior to ACT introduction showed high baseline efficacy [16,17]. More recent data from a large multi-site randomized control trial have showed continued efficacy of a range of artemisinin-based combinations in Guinea and the larger West Africa region [2].

In Guinea, ACT has been recommended as a first-line anti-malarial treatment for uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* infection since 2005, with both ASAQ and AL included in the national treatment guidelines. Prior to 2016, ASAQ was the primary artemisinin-based combination used in Guinea, but since then AL has largely replaced ASAQ. The change in ACT medicine procurement strategy was motivated by patient and provider preference [18] as well as the expansion of seasonal chemoprevention using sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and amodiaquine [19].

Guinea has observed a significant decrease of malaria burden over the past years, with malaria prevalence in children < 5 years measured in the last national household surveys declining from 44% in 2012 to 30% in 2017 [20]. However, in light of limited data on resistance molecular markers in the region, in 2015 the National Malaria Control Programme (NMCP) began implementing periodic therapeutic efficacy studies rotating between four sentinel sites in the country for early detection of emergence and prevention of spread of drug resistance.

The present study aimed to assess the efficacy and safety of ASAQ and AL in the management of uncomplicated malaria in children aged 6-59 months and to measure the prevalence of molecular markers of resistance of *Plasmodium falciparum* in two sentinel sites in Guinea in 2016.

Methods

Study sites

This study was conducted in two of the four sentinel sites across the four natural regions of the country: Maferinyah health centre in Forecariah District in Lower-Guinea and Ley-Sare health centre in Labé District in Middle-Guinea. Anti-malarial resistance surveillance in Guinea rotates between four sentinel sites and the 2016 round occurred in Maferinyah and Labé. Maferinyah is a hyperendemic area with high rainfall (6 to 10 months), and the principal vector of malaria is *Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto* (*s.s.*). Labé is a mesoendemic area with low rainfall, with *Anopheles funestus* and *Anopheles melas* as primary vectors [21].

Study design, period and population

This was an open-label two-arm randomised controlled trial assessing the therapeutic efficacy of two anti-malarial treatments: ASAQ and AL among children aged 6 to 59 months with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Participants meeting study inclusion criteria were allocated to either group in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by study site. The allocation was restricted with randomly varying block sizes of 4-6 and was concealed through sealed opaque white envelopes.

Sample size

A non-probability sampling methodology was used to select patients presenting at the two study sites. A minimum of 100 patients per treatment arm were required giving a total of 200 children per site. The sample size was determined based on a precision of $\pm 5\%$ for the proportion of clinical and parasitological cure at Day 28 (D28) after correction by PCR assuming a cure rate of 95% and a loss to follow-up of 25%.

Inclusion criteria

Patients were screened and included according to the WHO standard protocol related to the treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria (2009) [22]. Briefly, children aged 6-59 months, inclusive, with axillary temperature $\geq 37.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ or history of fever in last 24 hours and microscopy-confirmed *Plasmodium falciparum* mono-infection with parasitaemia between 2,000 and 200,000 p/uL without signs of severe malaria and available for the full period of follow up were invited to participate.

Treatment

Patients meeting the inclusion criteria were treated with either ASAQ or AL based on randomisation on site by an authorised member of the research team and they were given the drug according to their weight and age. The treatment duration was three consecutive days (D0, D1 and D2) with oral intake under medical observation once daily for ASAQ and twice daily for AL. The entire dose was repeated if vomiting occurred within 30 minutes after intake of the anti-malarial and half the dose if vomiting occurred between the first 30 minutes and 1 hour after intake. Drugs such as vitamin C [23], vitamin B12 [24], retinol supplementation [25,26], that may influence anti-malarial drug activity were avoided during

prescription of concomitant drugs. Similarly, antibiotics such as cotrimoxazole, macrolides, tetracycline and doxycycline were avoided during the period of follow up.

Clinical follow-up

Patients were actively followed for 28 days for both arms from the first day of treatment D0 till D28 with scheduled visits on D1, D2, D3, D7, D14, D21 and other days of unscheduled visits. Patients were systematically assessed on D0 for splenomegaly according to the Hackett classification that has been used in previous studies [27,28]. Clinical and parasitological assessments were performed and dried blood spots were collected on all days of follow-up with the following exceptions: microscopy was only performed on D1 in the presence of signs of severe malaria and no dried blood spots were collected on D1-D2. Recurrent infections were assessed by the field medical doctor of the site and treated with quinine (injection/intravenous) or artesunate injection based on clinical presentation as per the Guinean National Malaria Control Programme protocol.

The on-site research team was available to ensure 24-hour passive monitoring for patients. During enrollment and scheduled visits, parents/guardians were informed and encouraged to bring back their children to the health centres or call the field medical doctor whenever their children felt unwell without waiting for scheduled visits. Patients who did not show up for their scheduled visits by mid-day were first called and asked to come to the health centre and then actively searched for by a community health worker. If a patient had travelled and could not be traced for scheduled follow-up, he or she was classified as lost to follow-up.

Data collection

Data were collected using a standardised case report form. Data variables included sociodemographic characteristics (age, sex), clinical characteristics (weight, body temperature, splenomegaly) and laboratory results (malaria microscopy and haemoglobin).

Laboratory monitoring

Parasitaemia and haemoglobin

Malaria microscopy was carried out using 10% Giemsa staining of thick and thin smears according to a standard operating procedure on D0 and every day of follow-up except D1. The parasite density from the thick smear was determined according to the following formula: Parasitaemia per microlitre = (number of asexual parasites divided by 200 or 500 counted leukocytes) multiplied by 8000. A slide was classified negative when the entire examination of the thick smear revealed no asexual form of *Plasmodium*. The presence of gametocytes of *Plasmodium falciparum* (sexual forms) was determined over 1000 leucocytes instead of 200 or 500 for the asexual forms. For quality control, each slide was read by two microscopists and, if results differed by more than 30%, were re-examined by a third microscopist, with the final parasite density calculated based on the two closest results. Haemoglobin was measured using HemoCue machines (AB Leo Diagnostics, Helsingborg, Sweden).

Biomolecular markers

Dried blood spots were collected on Whatman 903 filter paper on D0, D3, D7, D14, D21, D28 and at any unscheduled visits. Fragment lengths of seven neutral microsatellite markers (Supplemental Table S1) were used to compare genotypes on D0 and day of failure for patients with recurrent parasitaemia using a Bayesian classifier for molecular correction [29]. In brief, the Bayesian algorithm uses allele frequencies to calculate the posterior probability of recrudescence for each recurrent parasitaemia. Patients with a posterior probability of recrudescence greater than 0.5 were considered as recrudescences in the analysis.

Additionally, all D0 samples and Day of Failure samples from late treatment failures were systematically amplified and sequenced for *pfk13* and *pfmdr1* resistance genes following previously described methodologies [30]. Molecular analyses were performed in collaboration with the U.S Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) laboratories in Atlanta, USA as part of the PMI-supported Antimalarial Resistance Monitoring in Africa (PARMA) Network [31].

Study outcomes

The primary endpoints were adequate clinical and parasitological response (ACPR), early treatment failure (ETF), and late treatment failure (LTF) in accordance with the WHO *in vivo* guidelines. Secondary endpoints of therapeutic efficacy included the proportion of patients with negative slides at D3. Adverse events that occurred were reported on specific forms and classified according to their severity and their assessed relationship to the study. Serious or unexpected side effects were reported to the principal investigator, the sponsor, the study coordinator and the Guinean Ethics Committee on Health Research.

Data analysis

Data from the standardised forms were double-entered into Microsoft Access version 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) and then exported into STATA 14 software (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) for analysis. Primary endpoints findings were tabulated, and the primary outcome was reported as the Kaplan-Meier estimate of the corrected efficacy at D28 by study site and drug.

Results

Baseline characteristics of participants enrolled and completing follow-up

A total of 966 participants were screened from July to October 2016 at the two study sites. A total of 211 and 210 participants were included in the Maferinyah and Labé sites, respectively, for a total of 421 participants. Baseline characteristics of the included participants who completed their follow-up are shown in Table 1. At both study sites, nearly all included participants completed their follow-up, with combined exclusion and loss to follow-up rates of less than 3% across all arms. The median age of the study participants was 36 months (interquartile range [IQR]: 24-48) for both study arms in Maferinyah. In Labé, median patient age was higher in the ASAQ arm (45 months, [IQR]: 24-59) than in the AL arm (36

months, [IQR]: 24-48). The median weight of the participants at both sites was similar across all arms, at approximately 13 kg.

Overall, there were fewer girls than boys among the included participants at both sites (39.5% vs 60,5% in Labé and 44.5% vs 55.5% in Maferinyah)

At inclusion (D0), the median baseline parasite density for participants in AL arm was higher at 19,400 parasites/ μ L ([IQR]: 10,100-51,900) than for those in ASAQ arm 27,430 parasites/ μ L ([IQR]: 10,215-55,045) in Maferinyah. In Labé, median baseline parasite density was almost the same in both arms at 30,200 and 30,480 parasites/ μ L respectively. The median haemoglobin level of participants, was 9 g/dl for both arms in Maferinyah 10 g/dl for both arms in Labé.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants enrolled and completing follow-up (n=421)

Variables	Maferinyah (n=211)		Labé (n=210)	
	ASAQ	AL	ASAQ	AL
Participant characteristics at baseline				
Median age, in month (interquartile range)	36 (24-48)	36 (24-59)	45 (24-59)	36 (24-48)
Median weight, kg (interquartile range)	14 (10-16)	13 (11-16)	13 (10-16)	13 (10-15)
Percent female, n (%)	55 (51.4)	39 (37.5)	40 (38.1)	43 (41.0)
Median day 0 parasitemia, parasites/ μ L*10 ³ (interquartile range)	19,4 (101-519)	27,4 (10,2-55,0)	30,2 (13,0-63,9)	30,450(13,1-49,6)
Median day 0 haemoglobin, g/ dl (interquartile range)	9.7 (8-10)	9.8 (8-10)	10.7 (9-11)	10.6 (8.5-11)

AL artemether-lumefantrine, ASAQ artesunate-amodiaquine

Rates of follow up and treatment outcomes of participants who completed their follow-up

Of the 421 included participants, 8 (1.9%) were lost to follow-up, including two deaths. This left 413 participants completing their follow-up. Treatment outcomes of participants who completed their follow-up are shown in Table 2. No ETF was observed in any study arms. In contrast, 22/413 (5.3%) participants developed a late treatment failure: 5/105 (4.8%) in the Maferinyah ASAQ arm, 8/101 (7.9%) in the Maferinyah AL arm, 3/104 (2.8%) in the Labé ASAQ arm and 6/103 (5.8%) in Labé AL arm.

Of the 22 late treatment failures, 2 were classified as recrudescences and 20 were classified as reinfections (Supplemental Table S1). Both recrudescences occurred at D28 of follow-up, one in the Labé AL arm and one in the Labé ASAQ arm. The Kaplan-Meier estimate of the D28 corrected efficacy rate was 100% (CI: 100 - 100%) for the Maferinyah AL arm, 100% (CI: 100 - 100%) for Maferinyah ASAQ arm, 99% (CI: 97.1 - 100%) for the Labé AL arm, and 99% (CI: 97.2 - 100%) for Labé ASAQ arm.

Table 2 Treatment outcomes for participants finishing follow-up as part of therapeutic efficacy monitoring in Guinea, 2016 (N=413)

	Maferinyah		Labé	
	ASAQ	AL	ASAQ	AL
Enrolled	107	104	105	105
Reached study outcome	105	101	104	103
Treatment failure	5 (5)	8 (8)	3 (3)	6 (6)
<i>Early treatment failure</i>	0	0	0	0
<i>Late treatment failure</i>	5 (5)	8 (8)	3 (3)	6 (6)
Recrudescence	0	0	1 (1)	1 (1)
Day 28	0	0	1 (1)	1 (1)
Reinfection	5 (5)	8 (8)	2 (2)	5 (5)
<i>Day 21</i>	1 (1)	3 (3)	1 (1)	1 (1)
<i>Day 28</i>	4 (4)	5 (5)	1 (1)	4 (4)
Adequate clinical and parasitological response	100 (95)	93 (92)	101 (97)	97 (94)
Kaplan-Meier Day 28 efficacy				
<i>Uncorrected</i>	95.2% (91.3-99.4)	92.1% (87.1-97.5)	97.1% (94-100)	94.2% (89.9-98.8)
<i>Microsatellite-corrected</i>	100% *	100%*	99% (97.2-100)	99% (97.1-100)

AL artemether-lumefantrine, ASAQ artesunate-amodiaquine, 28-day follow-up

*confidence intervals: undefined

Safety of the anti-malarial drugs and deaths observed

Vomiting at any time during treatment was observed in 59/421 (14.0%) participants with 26 (44.1%) in the AL arm and 33 (53.9%) in the ASAQ arm. Two participants (one in the Maferinyah ASAQ arm and one in the Maferinyah AL arm) developed signs of severe malaria less than 24 hours after inclusion in the study; one (in the Maferinyah AL arm) ultimately died. Both were excluded from analysis due to onset of severe symptoms less than 24 hours after inclusion following WHO definitions. One additional participant

in the Maferinyah ASAQ arm died from a car accident during follow-up, and was censored at day 14 in the analysis.

Results of Day 2 and Day 3 microscopy

The proportion of negative slides at D2 and D3 of follow-up is shown in Table 3. At D2 of follow-up, the vast majority of the participants had negative slides at examination at both sites and arms (>88% for the two arms in Maferinyah and > 90% in Labé). At D3 of follow-up, nearly all slides were negative at both sites and in both arms (>99% in Maferinyah and 100% in Labé).

Table 3 Proportion of slides negative for asexual malaria parasites on days 2 and 3 following treatment (n=413)

Variables	Maferinyah (n=206)				Labé (n=207)			
	ASAQ	%	AL	%	ASAQ	%	AL	%
Day 2	94	89.5	89	88.1	99	95.2	94	91.3
Day 3	103	99.0	102	100	103	100	100	100

Molecular markers of resistance

DNA was isolated from 443 samples, including 421 pretreatment and 22 day-of-late treatment failure samples. Of the 443 samples analysed, 411 (93%) were successfully amplified and sequenced for *pfk13* (Table 4). The majority of D0 (98%, 380/389) and all day-of-late treatment failure (100%, 22/22) samples were wild type for *pfk13*. All 9 observed *pfk13* mutations were polymorphisms that have not been associated with artemisinin resistance.

Amplification and sequencing of the *pfmdr1* gene at the 86, 184, and 1246 codons was successful in 380/443 samples (86%). The NFD haplotype was the predominant *pfmdr1* haplotype at D0, present in 54% (197/362) of analysable D0 samples, followed by the NYD haplotype, present in 44% (158/362) of D0 samples. In late treatment failure samples, the NFD haplotype also predominated, at 61% (11/18).

Table 4 Prevalence of molecular markers of resistance in Day 0 and Day of Failure samples from therapeutic efficacy studies in Guinea, 2016

	Day 0	Day of Failure
<i>pfk13</i>	<i>n=389</i>	<i>n = 22</i>
Wild-type	380 (98%)	22 (100%)
Mutant	9 (1%)*	0 (0%)
<i>pfmdr1</i> 86 codon	<i>n=379</i>	<i>n =18</i>
N	296 (78%)	14 (78%)
Y	59 (16%)	4 (22%)
N/Y	24 (6%)	0 (0%)
<i>pfmdr1</i> 184 codon	<i>n=379</i>	<i>n=18</i>
Y	117 (31%)	3 (17%)
F	206 (54%)	14 (78%)
Y/F	56 (15%)	1 (6%)
<i>pfmdr1</i> 1246 codon	<i>n=370</i>	<i>n=18</i>
D	363 (98%)	18 (100%)
Y	6 (2%)	0 (0%)
D/Y	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)
<i>pfmdr1</i> haplotypes**	<i>n=362</i>	<i>n=18</i>
NYD	158 (44%)	4 (22%)
YYD	17 (5%)	0 (0%)

NFD	197 (54%)	11 (61%)
YFD	71 (20%)	4 (22%)
NYY	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)
YYY	6 (2%)	0 (0%)
NFY	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)
YFY	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

*All 9 samples with polymorphisms not associated with artemisinin resistance: P419S (1), L429L (1), C469C (3), G496G (1), E509E (1), V510V (1), A621A (1)

**Haplotypes defined at codons 86, 184, and 1246. Samples with multiple haplotypes were included in the numerator for each haplotype

Discussion

This study marks the first round of anti-malarial resistance monitoring in Guinea, which has to date lacked regular and systematic surveillance since the introduction of ACT. The results showed high efficacy of ASAQ and AL (microsatellite-corrected D28 efficacies > 99%) to treat uncomplicated malaria, despite their use for more than a decade in Guinea. These results are consistent with the high ACT efficacies observed prior to introduction of ACT in Guinea and with other studies from Africa [8,11,32,33].

Rates of recurrent parasite density were relatively low in the Guinea study sites, below 8% in the 28-day follow up period. This low rate of recurrent parasite density may be explained by a high proportion of children < five years sleeping under an insecticide-treated net in Guinea as reported by the last demographic and health survey (2018) of the country [34]. The results showed a high rate of parasite clearance on D3 of follow-up at both sites and in both arms, with all patients slide negative by D3. This finding is similar to other TES studies conducted throughout the continent [35] but contrasts with the slow parasite clearance rate of AL in the Greater Mekong region [5,36]. The high rate of parasite clearance with AL and ASAQ found in this study indicates high susceptibility of the parasite to the artemisinin component of the combination, which is able to rapidly reduce parasite biomass [37,38]. Absence of *pfk13* mutations associated with artemisinin resistance is further evidence that parasites remain susceptible to artemisinin derivatives in Guinea. This finding is similar to those studies in Africa [11,30,39–42] reporting a lack of the *pfk13* mutations associated with artemisinin combination therapeutic resistance that have been identified in Southeast Asia [40,43–45].

Sequencing of the *pfmdr1* gene revealed that the majority of both pre-treatment samples and late treatment samples harbored the NFD haplotype, a molecular marker associated with reduced susceptibility of *Plasmodium falciparum* to lumefantrine [46,47]. As AL use increases in Guinea following the treatment policy switch to AL, continued surveillance of the prevalence of NFD *pfmdr1* haplotype is important.

The current study only reports efficacy data from two sites in the country, and the results may not be representative of the whole country. Subsequent therapeutic efficacy studies from the remaining two sentinel sites in N'Zérékoré and Dabola health districts will further inform monitoring of anti-malarial resistance.

Conclusions

This study found high efficacy and safety of ACT in Guinea, providing evidence that supports continued use of ASAQ and AL to treat uncomplicated malaria. Continued monitoring of ACT efficacy and safety and molecular markers of resistance in Guinea are important to detect parasite resistance and to inform evidence-based malaria treatment policies.

List Of Abbreviations

ACPR: Adequate Clinical and Parasitological Response;

ACT: Artemisinin-based Combination Therapy; AL: Artemether-lumefantrine;

ASAQ : Artesunate + amodiaquine;

CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;

CI : Confidence interval;

CNERS: Comité National d'Éthique pour la Recherche en Santé;

DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid;

ETF: Early Treatment Failure;

IQR: Inter quartil range;

LPF: Late Parasitological Failure;

LTF: Late Treatment Failure;

NMCP: National Malaria Control Programme;

PARMA: PMI-supported Antimalarial Resistance Monitoring in Africa;

PCR: polymerase chain reaction;

pfk13: *Plasmodium falciparum* Kelch 13 gene;

pfmdr1: *Plasmodium falciparum* multi drug resistance gene 1;

PMI: President's Malaria Initiative;

TES : Therapeutic Efficacy Study;

USA: United States of America;

WHO: The World Health Organization

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Guinean Ethics Committee for Health Research (Protocol Number: 43/CNERS/15) and the Office of the Associate Director for Science in the Center for Global Health at the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Protocol Number: 2016-046). For each patient, signed informed consent was obtained from the parent/guardian before inclusion, and in the presence of a witness in the case of an illiterate parent/guardian. Data confidentiality was ensured by anonymizing patient information before analysis, keeping the patient forms in a locked cupboard and the electronic database on a password-protected computer.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data

All data generated or analysed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Funding

This research was funded by the U.S. President's Malaria Initiative (PMI) through the StopPalu Guinea project.

Authors' Contributions

AHB, AD, AC, MMP, TG and AF planned and designed the study. AD, KK, PB took part in the data collection, overall implementation of the study and performed data analysis. AD, AC, MSD, KK, AD, PB and AHB supervised the data collection. SDSS, JK, ET and MMP performed or supervised molecular analysis. AF and TG provided technical support and were involved in planning and supervision of the field work. KK, AD, AHB and AC wrote the manuscript with the support of MMP. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are deeply grateful to the study participants and their families who were involved in this research as well as to the staff of the two health centres (Maferinyah and Labé) who participated in the data collection. We also thank the National Malaria Control Programme as well as the Ministry of Health of Guinea for their support. We would like to thank Sarah Schmedes and Dhruviben Patel for analysis of the *pfmdr1* and *pfk13* data. Finally, we thank our colleagues at the Malaria Branch, CDC, Atlanta, USA, and USAID staff from StopPalu Guinea project for their support and involvement in this research project.

References

1. WHO. World Malaria Report 2018 [Internet]. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2018 [cited 2020 Jan 12]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/275867/9789241565653-eng.pdf>
2. Snow RW, Sartorius B, Kyalo D, Maina J, Amratia P, Mundia CW, et al. The prevalence of *Plasmodium falciparum* in sub Saharan Africa since 1900. *Nature*. 2017;550(7677):515.
3. WHO. Global Health Observation (GHO) data on Malaria [Internet]. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2018 [cited 2019 Jan 20]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/gho/malaria/en/>
4. WHO. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria, Third edition [Internet]. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2015 [cited 2019 Jun 19]. p. 15–115. Available from: <https://www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/9789241549127/en/>
5. Ashley EA, Dhorda M, Fairhurst RM, Amaratunga C, Lim P, Suon S, et al. Spread of artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:411–23.
6. WHO. Global database on antimalarial drug efficacy and resistance [Internet]. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2019 [cited 2019 Jun 19]. Available from: https://www.who.int/malaria/areas/drug_resistance/drug_efficacy_database/en/
7. Ntamba YL, Mavoko HM, Kalabuanga M, Fungula B, Nsengi PMN, Lutete GT, et al. Safety and tolerability of artesunate-amodiaquine, artemether-lumefantrine and quinine plus clindamycin in the treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Kinshasa, the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0222379.

8. Kakolwa MA, Mahende MK, Ishengoma DS, Mandara CI, Ngasala B, Kamugisha E, et al. Efficacy and safety of artemisinin-based combination therapy, and molecular markers for artemisinin and piperazine resistance in mainland Tanzania. *Malar J.* 2018;17:369.
9. Davlantes E, Dimbu PR, Ferreira CM, Florinda Joao M, Podo D, Félix J, et al. Efficacy and safety of artemether-lumefantrine, artesunate-amodiaquine, and dihydroartemisinin-piperazine for the treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in three provinces in Angola, 2017. *Malar J.* 2018;17:144.
10. Yeka A, Kigozi R, Conrad MD, Lugemwa M, Okui P, Katureebe C, et al. Artesunate/amodiaquine versus artemether/lumefantrine for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Uganda: A randomized trial. *J Infect Dis.* 2016;213:1134–42.
11. Ishengoma DS, Mandara CI, Francis F, Talundzic E, Lucchi NW, Ngasala B, et al. Efficacy and safety of artemether-lumefantrine for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria and prevalence of Pfk13 and Pfmdr1 polymorphisms after a decade of using artemisinin-based combination therapy in mainland Tanzania. *Malar J.* 2019;18:88.
12. Plucinski MM, Talundzic E, Morton L, Dimbu PR, Macaia AP, Fortes F, et al. Efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine and dihydroartemisinin-piperazine for treatment of uncomplicated malaria in children in Zaire and Uíge Provinces, Angola. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2015;59:437–43.
13. Fançonny C, Brito M, Gil JP. *Plasmodium falciparum* drug resistance in Angola. *Malar J.* 2016;15:74.
14. Adegbite BR, Edoa JR, Honkpehedji YJ, Zinsou FJ, Dejon-Agobe JC, Mbong-Ngwese M, et al. Monitoring of efficacy, tolerability and safety of artemether-lumefantrine and artesunate-amodiaquine for the treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Lambaréné, Gabon: an open-label clinical trial. *Malar J.* 2019;18:424.
15. Whegang Youdom S, Chiabi A, Basco LK. Monitoring the efficacy and safety of artemisinin-based combination therapies: a review and network meta-analysis of antimalarial therapeutic efficacy trials in Cameroon. *Drugs R D.* 2019;19:1–14.
16. Bonnet M, Roper C, Félix M, Coulibaly L, Kankolongo GM, Guthmann JP. Efficacy of antimalarial treatment in Guinea: in vivo study of two artemisinin combination therapies in Dabola and molecular markers of resistance to sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine in N'Zérékoré. *Malar J.* 2007;6:54.
17. Sagara I, Habib Beavogui A, Zongo I, Soulama I, Borghini-Fuhrer I, Fofana B, et al. Pyronaridine-artesunate or dihydroartemisinin-piperazine versus current first-line therapies for repeated treatment of uncomplicated malaria: a randomised, multicentre, open-label, longitudinal, controlled, phase 3b/4 trial. *Lancet.* 2018;391:1378–90.
18. Camara A, Moriarty LF, Guilavogui T, Diakité PS, Zoumanigui JS, Sidibé S, et al. Prescriber practices and patient adherence to artemisinin-based combination therapy for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Guinea, 2016. *Malar J.* 2019;18:23.
19. Ministère de la santé et l'Hygiène Publique; Direction Nationale de la Prévention et de la Santé. Programme national de lutte contre le paludisme. 2011 [cited 2019 Jun 19];(DIm):2003–5. Available from: <https://www.invest.gov.gn/document/programme-national-de-lutte-contre-le-paludisme>

20. Institut National de la Statistique. Enquête de prevalence parasitaire du paludisme et de l'anemie-Guinée 2016. [Internet]. Conakry, Guinée; 2017 [cited 2019 Mar 28]. Available from: <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR332/FR332.pdf>
21. Ministère de la Santé, Programme National de Lutte contre le Paludisme. Plan stratégique national de lutte contre le paludisme 2013-2017. Guinee 2014 <http://pnlp-guinee.org/>.
22. WHO. Methods for surveillance of antimalarial drug efficacy [Internet]. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2009 [cited 2019 Aug 6]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44048/9789241597531_eng.pdf?sequence=1
23. Mahajan SS, Kamath VR, Ghatpande SS. Synergistic antimalarial activity of ketones with rufigallol and vitamin C. *Parasitology*. 2005;131:459.
24. Chemaly SM, Chen CT, van Zyl RL. Naturally occurring cobalamins have antimalarial activity. *J Inorg Biochem*. 2007;101:764–73.
25. Samal D, Rojanawatsirivet C, Wernsdorfer G, Kollaritsch H, Sirichaisinthop J, Wernsdorfer WH. Synergism of desbutyl-benflumetol and retinol against *Plasmodium falciparum* in vitro. *Wien Klin Wochenschr*. 2005;117:39–44.
26. Serghides L, Kain KC. Mechanism of protection induced by vitamin A in falciparum malaria. *Lancet*. 2002;359:1404–6.
27. Talib VH, Hasija BD, Diwan VM, Verma MC. A clinico-haematological profile of malaria. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 1982;30:402–4.
28. Ghalaut PS, Atri K, Gaur N, Jain S, Chahal A, Pahuja I, et al. To study the clinical profile and etiological spectrum of patients with splenomegaly in a tertiary care centre of North India. *Int J Sci Res Publ*. 2016;6:120.
29. Plucinski MM, Morton L, Bushman M, Dimbu PR, Udhayakumar V. Robust algorithm for systematic classification of malaria late treatment failures as recrudescence or reinfection using microsatellite genotyping. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59:6096–100.
30. Ljolje D, Dimbu PR, Kelley J, Goldman I, Nace D, Macaia A, et al. Prevalence of molecular markers of artemisinin and lumefantrine resistance among patients with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in three provinces in Angola, 2015. *Malar J*. 2018;17:84.
31. Halsey ES, Venkatesan M, Plucinski MM, Talundzic E, Lucchi NW, Zhou Z, et al. Capacity development through the US President's malaria initiative-supported antimalarial resistance monitoring in Africa network. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2017;23:S53–6.
32. Mwaiswelo R, Ngasala B, Gil JP, Malmberg M, Jovel I, Xu W, et al. Sustained high cure rate of artemether-lumefantrine against uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria after 8 years of its wide-scale use in Bagamoyo District, Tanzania. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2017;97:526–32.
33. Teklemariam M, Assefa A, Kassa M, Mohammed H, Mamo H. Therapeutic efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine against uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in a high-transmission area in northwest Ethiopia. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0176004.

34. Institut National de la Statistique (INS); Ministère du Plan et du Développement Economique. Enquête Démographique et de Santé, EDS 2018 [Internet]. Conakry, Guinea; 2019 [cited 2019 Nov 30]. Available from: <http://www.stat-guinee.org/index.php/publications-ins/rapports-d-enquetes/category/86-enquetes-demographiques-et-de-sante-et-enquetes-mics?download=168:rapport-d-enquete-demographique-et-de-sante-2018>
35. Conrad MD, Rosenthal PJ. Antimalarial drug resistance in Africa: the calm before the storm? *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2019;19:e338–51.
36. Noedl H, Se Y, Sriwichai S, Schaecher K, Teja-Isavadharm P, Smith B, et al. Artemisinin resistance in Cambodia: a clinical trial designed to address an emerging problem in Southeast Asia. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;51:e82–9.
37. Djimdé A, Lefèvre G. Understanding the pharmacokinetics of Coartem®. *Malar J.* 2009;8(SUPPL. 1):S4.
38. White NJ. Preventing antimalarial drug resistance through combinations. *Drug Resist Updat.* 1998;1:3–9.
39. Kamau E, Campino S, Amenga-Etego L, Drury E, Ishengoma D, Johnson K, et al. K13-propeller polymorphisms in *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites from sub-saharan Africa. *J Infect Dis.* 2015;211:1352–5.
40. Ménard D, Khim N, Beghain J, Adegnika AA, Shafiul-Alam M, Amodu O, et al. A worldwide map of *Plasmodium falciparum* K13-propeller polymorphisms. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:2453–64.
41. Mayengue PI, Niama RF, Kouhounina Batsimba D, Malonga-Massanga A, Louzolo I, Loukabou Bongolo NC, et al. No polymorphisms in K13-propeller gene associated with artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* isolated from Brazzaville, Republic of Congo. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2018;18:538.
42. Ogouyèmi-Hounto A, Damien G, Deme AB, Ndam NT, Assouhou C, Tchoulin D, et al. Lack of artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* in northwest Benin after 10 years of use of artemisinin-based combination therapy. *Parasite.* 2016;23:28.
43. Takala-Harrison S, Jacob CG, Arze C, Cummings MP, Silva JC, Dondorp AM, et al. Independent emergence of artemisinin resistance mutations among *Plasmodium falciparum* in Southeast Asia. *J Infect Dis.* 2015;211:670–9.
44. Talundzic E, Okoth SA, Congpuong K, Plucinski MM, Morton L, Goldman IF, et al. Selection and spread of artemisinin-resistant alleles in Thailand prior to the global artemisinin resistance containment campaign. *PLoS Pathog.* 2015;11:e1004789.
45. WHO. Status report on artemisinin and ACT resistance. [Internet]. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2017. [cited 2019 Aug 14]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/255213/WHO-HTM-GMP-2017.9-eng.pdf%3Bjsessionid%3DC776905C7E5F82DA454900D72C402ADE%3Fsequence%3D1>
46. Otienoburu SD, Maïga-Ascofaré O, Schramm B, Jullien V, Jones JJ, Zolia YM, et al. Selection of *Plasmodium falciparum* *pfcr*t and *pfmdr*1 polymorphisms after treatment with artesunate-amodiaquine fixed dose combination or artemether-lumefantrine in Liberia. *Malar J.* 2016;15:452.

47. Happi CT, Gbotosho GO, Folarin OA, Sowunmi A, Hudson T, O'Neil M, et al. Selection of *Plasmodium falciparum* multidrug resistance gene 1 alleles in asexual stages and gametocytes by artemether-lumefantrine in nigerian children with uncomplicated *falciparum* malaria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2009;53:888–95.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementalTableS1.docx](#)