

What are the effects of six month personalized endurance training on work ability in middle-aged sedentary women: A randomized controlled trial

Hedwig Theda Stenner (✉ stenner.hedwig@mh-hannover.de)

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Julian Eigendorf

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Arno Kerling

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Momme Kück

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Alexander A. Hanke

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Johanna Boyen

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Anne-Katrin Nelius

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Anette Melk

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Dietmar Boethig

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Christoph Bara

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Andres Hilfiker

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Dominik Berliner

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Johann Bauersachs

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Denise Hilfker-Kleiner

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Jörg Eberhard

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Meike Stiesch

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Cordula Schippert

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Axel Haverich

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Uwe Tegtbur

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Sven Haufe

Medizinische Hochschule Hannover

Research

Keywords: Physical activity, workplace intervention, Work Ability Index, subgroups

Posted Date: January 28th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.21924/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology on May 6th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12995-020-00261-4>.

Abstract

Background

To test the effects of guided endurance training on work ability in middle-aged female hospital workers of various occupations.

Methods

We randomized 265 healthy, sedentary, middle-aged women (45-65 years) to an endurance training group (EG 210 min/week) or a wait-list control group (CG). At baseline and at 6-month follow-up, we assessed work ability (Work Ability Index [WAI]), physical activity (Freiburger activity questionnaire) and peak oxygen uptake (VO_{2peak}) by cardiopulmonary exercise testing. To examine the influence of baseline work ability, participants were divided into poor-moderate (WAI 1, 7-36 points, n=83), good (WAI 2, 37-43 points, n=136) and excellent (WAI 3, 44-49 points, n=46) WAI subgroups.

Results

Cardiorespiratory fitness improved significantly after 6 months in the EG but not in the CG. The WAI total score increased significantly in the EG (38.3 ± 5.0 to 39.8 ± 4.9 points) but not in the CG (39.4 ± 4.7 to 39.3 ± 4.9 points), with a significant difference between groups ($p < 0.01$). In the EG, only the poor-moderate subgroup (WAI 1, 33.0 ± 2.9 to 36.6 ± 4.8 points, $p < 0.05$) increased the WAI total score, with this increase being significantly higher compared to the good (WAI 2, 40.2 ± 2.1 to 40.4 ± 3.7 points) and excellent (WAI 3, 45.6 ± 1.5 to 45.7 ± 1.8 points) subgroup.

Conclusions

A 6-month guided exercise training intervention significantly increases cardiorespiratory fitness with concomitant improvements in work ability in middle-aged previously sedentary hospital employees. Women with low baseline work ability seem to particularly benefit from the intervention, which implies that similar interventions may be particularly beneficial for this group of individuals.

Background

Work ability is defined as the balance between individual resources and the specific demands of a work task (1). The demographic changes in most Western societies have been accompanied by the challenge of longer employment. German employees between the ages of 45 and 65 have significantly more inability to work than younger workers (2). Moreover female workers have more frequent and longer days of illness than men (2). In 2015, 47,249 million Euros in medical expenses were caused by female employees between 45 and 65 years old, which is a substantially higher amount than that of their younger colleagues (15-45 years 33,582 million Euros) (3).

To assess an individual's subjective work ability, the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health developed a questionnaire called the Work Ability Index (WAI) (4). This questionnaire is often used in workplace interventions to measure the effects of interventions (5). The questionnaire is a kind of early warning system that enables the assessment of the current ability to work and the adoption of measures to maintain and increase the ability to work (6).

One way to improve work ability is through regular activity, as shown in previous studies (7, 8). However, a review (9) concluded that there is insufficient and limited evidence on the effects of interventions for work-related components of aging workers. An even more recent review (5) found that only one-third of randomized studies showed an improvement in work ability through exercise programs. To maintain and possibly increase the ability to work through physical activity in this context, new approaches have to be established. One possibility might be the integration of physical activity within the working hours. One way is activity promotion during working hours and the other is special focus on eligible employees with a limited ability to work.

The context of female middle-aged employees working in university hospitals may involve unique problems, such as an above average absenteeism rate, working conditions, shift work, time pressure, unsafe employment and a high proportion of physical and psychological pressure (10). Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that individualized moderate endurance training partly performed at the workplace improves work ability. We conducted a prospective and randomized controlled study to investigate the effects of a 6-month endurance exercise intervention on work ability in middle-aged sedentary women working at a German university hospital.

Methods

Participants and study design

This was a prospective, randomized, parallel-group, and single-blind (assessor blind) study. Participants were recruited through announcements on the institutional intranet, posters, the mail distribution list, and kick-off information events. According to inclusion and exclusion criteria 291 women between 45 and 65 years of age with sedentary lifestyles and with low regular physical activity (Freiburger Questionnaire ≥ 20 MET-hours per week) who were employed at Hannover Medical School (Lower Saxony, Germany) were included over a period of 18 months (11/2013-05/2015). The

inclusion was distributed over the calendar year to exclude seasonal influences. Exclusion criteria were acute or chronic infections, coronary heart disease, diabetes mellitus, oncological diseases, joint replacements or any surgery within the last 6 weeks, and any condition that precluded the realization of an exercise intervention.

Throughout the entire period, new participants were randomized 1:1 into an exercise- (EG) and a waiting-control group (CG) using a computer-based list of random numbers generated by an external collaborator (Figure 1). After their assignment, women in the EG took part in an individualized 6-month exercise intervention. At the beginning and after the 6 months, all women underwent a medical examination by a physician and completed questionnaires. Women in the control group were asked to maintain their current physical activity and dietary habits.

This study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the current guidelines of good clinical practice. The ethics committee of the Hannover Medical School approved the study (ID: 6428), and written informed consent was obtained from participants before their entry into the study.

Anthropometrics and body composition

Height was measured using a stadiometer, and body weight was determined using a calibrated scale (seca 764, seca gmbh & co. kg, Hamburg, Germany). The bioelectrical impedance method was used to estimate fat- and fat-free mass (InBody 720, JP Global Markets GmbH, Eschborn, Germany).

Questionnaires

We distributed questionnaires to estimate daily physical activity (Freiburger Physical Activity Questionnaire) (11) and work ability (Work Ability Index [WAI]) (12). The Freiburger Physical Activity Questionnaire was used to assess the total and exercise-related physical activity of adults, both of which are specified as metabolic equivalents of task (MET)-hours per week. The WAI questionnaire (short form) contains 7 items concerning work, work ability and health: WAI item 1 (current work ability compared with the lifetime best, 0-10 points), WAI item 2 (work ability in relation to the demands of the job, 2-10 points), WAI item 3 (number of current diseases diagnosed by a physician, 1-7 points), WAI item 4 (estimated work impairment due to diseases, 1-6 points), WAI item 5 (sick leave during the past year, 1-5 points), WAI item 6 (own prognosis of work ability two years from now, 1-7 points), and WAI item 7 (mental resources, 1-4 points). The sum of these questions results in a total score ranging from 7 to 49 points, with higher values representing greater work ability.

The WAI total score is categorized into four subgroups: 1 = poor (7-27 points), 2 = moderate (28-36 points), 3 = good (37-43 points) and 4 = excellent work ability (44-49 points) (13). To examine the influence of the level of work ability at baseline, the participants were subgrouped into poor-moderate (WAI 1), good (WAI 2) and excellent (WAI 3) groups. The two lowest WAI score subgroups were combined in the poor-moderate subgroup because of a low number of cases in the poor group (n = 3).

Exercise testing

At baseline and after 6 months, an incremental bicycle exercise test (Ergoline 150P, ergoline GmbH, Bitz, Germany) was performed to measure exercise capacity (maximum workload in Watt) and cardiorespiratory fitness (VO_{2peak}). The test started at 20 Watt (W), and the workload increased by 10 W every minute until the subjects could not maintain the requested 60 rpm pedal frequency (voluntary exhaustion) or the test was prematurely stopped by the physician due to predefined stopping criteria (14). We recorded heart rate and blood pressure, and collected capillary blood samples from the earlobe at rest, 1 min after the start and every 3 min during the test to determine blood lactate concentrations. This was followed by a five-minute recovery period at 20 W (MasterScreen CPx, Carefusion, Höchberg, Germany).

Study intervention: Endurance training

The aim of the training intervention was to perform 210 minutes of endurance training a week (20 - 60 minutes units for at least 3 days per week) over 6 months. The participants in the EG were able to complete part of their training during their working hours (full-time staff 60 minutes per week and part-time staff 30 minutes per week) at the in-house health club.

For individualized training, the control subjects received heart rate ranges based on the lactate threshold (approx. 60-80% of the estimated max. heart rate) for their respective activities, such as cycling, rowing and walking. These individual heart rate ranges were based on their prior measured cardiorespiratory fitness and a 30-minute constant load test on a bicycle ergometer with 50% of the maximum workload and blood lactate measurements.

To control and document the training heart rates, training content and volume, the participants were equipped with a heart rate monitor, a heart rate belt (PM70, Beurer, Ulm, Germany) and an optional paper or online diary. All participants received an individualized training schedule from an exercise physiologist. The training schedule includes the use of the workplace health club and participation in specially created group fitness courses such as Nordic walking, aqua fitness and running. During the whole intervention period, an exercise physiologist supervised and adapted the individual training program.

Statistical analyses

The presented data include a secondary analysis of our study. The primary outcome of this trial, changes in telomere length with 6 months of exercise training, has already been published (15). The normal distribution of data was tested with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Chi-square tests χ^2 were used to compare sample distributions. Group differences at baseline between the EG and CG were assessed with two-tailed independent t-tests for parametric data or Mann-Whitney U tests for nonparametric data. Data were analyzed by the intention-to-treat (ITT) approach, with the last-observation-carried-forward method for missing data. Within-group differences between baseline and after 6 months were calculated with two-sided dependent t-tests for paired samples for parametric data or Wilcoxon tests for nonparametric data. To analyze the differences between study groups over time, a two-way ANOVA for repeated measures was conducted, where the partial eta-squared (η^2) was used as the effect size. To compare the group differences between the three WAI subgroups at baseline, a one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni *post hoc* tests for parametric data or a Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Mann-Whitney U tests for nonparametric data were used. If not otherwise mentioned, all data were presented as the mean \pm standard deviation. Significance was accepted as $p < 0.05$. All tests were performed with SPSS Version 25 (SPSS, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

146 women were randomized to the EG and 145 to the CG (Figure 1). The two study groups were well matched for age, body weight, BMI and cardiorespiratory fitness (Table 1). The largest group of employees was the medical and technical workers (33%), followed by administration (27%), nursing (19%) and physician/scientist (12%). For 9% information is missing. Adherence to the goal of 210 min/week of endurance activity per week in the EG was 207 ± 86 min/week. The analysis of variance showed no significant interaction effect between menstrual status and changes in the WAI total score over time ($p = 0.067$).

Table 1: Anthropometrics and cardiorespiratory fitness at baseline

Parameter	EG (n=129)	CG (n=136)	p-value
Age (yrs.)	53.0 ± 5.0	52.7 ± 4.8	0.588
Body weight (kg)	72.3 ± 13.9	72.6 ± 13.6	0.992
Body mass index (kg/m^2)	25.7 ± 4.4	26.0 ± 4.6	0.744
Body fat percentage (%)	32.9 ± 7.3	33.2 ± 7.8	0.960
Relative $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ ($\text{ml}/\text{min}/\text{kg}$)	25.4 ± 4.7	25.7 ± 5.1	0.667
Relative workload _{max} (W/kg)	1.98 ± 0.40	2.02 ± 0.43	0.521
Physical activity total score (MET-h/wk)	25.0 ± 18.2	22.2 ± 16.6	0.216
Physical activity sports score (MET-h/wk)	4.8 ± 4.9	5.1 ± 5.2	0.710

CG, control group; EG, intervention group; $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$, peak oxygen uptake.

Intervention effects

Cardiorespiratory fitness, body weight and body fat percentage improved after 6 months in the EG but not in the CG, with significant differences over time, favoring the EG (Table 2). Furthermore, the physical activity total score and sports-related score increased significantly in the EG compared to the CG (Table 2).

The WAI total score improved significantly in the EG but not in the CG, with a significant difference over time, favoring the EG (Figure 2). For the WAI subitems, only WAI item 2 and WAI item 3 scores increased significantly (Table 2). A statistically significant greater part of women in EG (33 out of 129) as compared to CG (20 out of 136) were able to improve WAI transferring into a higher WAI group ($p = 0.032$).

Table 2: Anthropometrics, cardiorespiratory fitness and the results of the questionnaires at baseline and after 6 months in the EG and CG

Parameter	EG (n=129)		CG (n=136)		Time x group	
	Baseline	After 6 months	Baseline	After 6 months	p-value	η^2
Body weight (kg)	72.3 ± 13.9	71.6 ± 13.5	72.6 ± 13.6	72.6 ± 13.3	0.014	0.02
Body fat percentage (%)	32.9 ± 7.3	32.2 ± 7.5	33.2 ± 7.8	33.8 ± 7.4	<0.001	0.05
Relative VO _{2peak} (ml/min/kg)	25.4 ± 4.7	27.4 ± 5.2	25.7 ± 5.1	25.7 ± 5.1	<0.001	0.09
Relative workload _{max} (W/kg)	1.98 ± 0.40	2.17 ± 0.44	2.02 ± 0.43	2.02 ± 0.45	<0.001	0.16
Physical activity total score (MET-h/wk)	25.0 ± 18.2	38.5 ± 23.0	22.2 ± 16.6	27.8 ± 25.3	0.001	0.04
Physical activity sports score (MET-h/wk)	4.8 ± 4.9	14.3 ± 11.4	5.1 ± 5.2	8.5 ± 15.3	<0.001	0.05
Work ability items						
WAI item 1 (points)	7.7 ± 1.4	8.1 ± 1.5	7.9 ± 1.6	7.9 ± 1.6	0.144	0.01
WAI item 2 (points)	7.8 ± 1.3	8.2 ± 1.2	8.2 ± 1.3	8.1 ± 1.3	0.002	0.04
WAI item 3 (points)	2.2 ± 1.7	1.9 ± 1.6	2.1 ± 1.7	2.2 ± 1.9	0.047	0.02
WAI item 4 (points)	5.4 ± 0.7	5.4 ± 0.8	5.6 ± 0.6	5.6 ± 0.6	0.715	0.00
WAI item 5 (points)	3.9 ± 0.8	3.9 ± 0.9	3.9 ± 0.8	3.9 ± 0.8	0.586	0.00
WAI item 6 (points)	6.8 ± 1.0	6.7 ± 1.0	6.8 ± 0.7	6.7 ± 1.0	0.309	0.00
WAI item 7 (points)	3.2 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.7	0.427	0.00

CG, control group; EG, intervention group; VO_{2peak}, peak oxygen uptake; WAI, Work Ability Index. Bold p<0.05.

WAI subgroups

Body weight and body mass index were significantly higher, whereas relative VO_{2peak} and relative workload_{max} were lower in the poor-moderate (WAI 1) subgroup compared to the WAI 2 and WAI 3 subgroups (Table 3). There were no differences between the WAI subgroups in age or the physical activity total score and sports-related score.

Table 3: Anthropometrics and cardiorespiratory fitness at baseline for the WAI subgroups

Parameter	WAI 1 (n=83)	WAI 2 (n=136)	WAI 3 (n=46)	p-value
n (EG/CG)	47/36	64/72	18/28	0.141
Age (yrs.)	53.6 ± 4.6	52.6 ± 4.9	52.3 ± 5.0	0.210
Body weight (kg)	75.9 ± 14.7 ^{a,b}	71.4 ± 13.5 ^a	69.6 ± 11.2 ^b	0.010
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.1 ± 5.1 ^{a,b}	25.3 ± 4.3 ^a	25.0 ± 3.8 ^b	0.007
Body fat percentage (%)	34.8 ± 8.3 ^a	32.0 ± 7.1 ^a	32.8 ± 6.8	0.029
VO _{2peak} (ml/min/kg)	24.0 ± 4.6 ^{a,b}	26.0 ± 4.6 ^a	27.2 ± 5.4 ^b	<0.001
Workload _{max} (W/kg)	1.87 ± 0.41 ^{a,b}	2.03 ± 0.38 ^a	2.12 ± 0.48 ^b	0.002
Physical activity total score (MET-h/wk)	24.0 ± 19.6	24.1 ± 17.3	21.1 ± 13.3	0.655
Physical activity sports score (MET-h/wk)	5.0 ± 4.9	5.0 ± 5.2	4.7 ± 4.9	0.959

CG, control group; EG, intervention group; a p<0.05 WAI 1 vs WAI 2, b p<0.05 WAI 1 vs WAI 3, c p<0.05 WAI 2 vs WAI 3; VO_{2peak}, peak oxygen uptake.

Each WAI subgroup had increased VO_{2peak} and workload_{max} after 6 months, without differences between subgroups over time (Table 4). In contrast, body weight and BMI did not change during the intervention (Table 4). For the WAI, a significant time x group (EG vs. CG) interaction was observed for the WAI 1 and 3 subgroups (Figure 3). In the poor-moderate (WAI 1) subgroup, the exercise training increased the WAI total score significantly more (33.0±2.9 to 36.6±4.8 points) than in the good (WAI 2: 40.2±2.1 to, 40.4±3.7 points) and excellent (WAI 3: 45.6±1.5 to 45.7±1.8 points) WAI subgroups (Figure 3).

Table 4: Mean differences at baseline and after 6 months in anthropometrics, cardiorespiratory fitness and the results of the questionnaires in the EG

Parameter	WAI 1 (n=47)	WAI 2 (n=64)	WAI 3 (n=18)	p-value
DBody weight (kg)	-1.2 ± 3.4	-0.3 ± 2.7	-1.0 ± 2.3	0.238
DBody mass index (kg/m ²)	-0.3 ± 1.2	0.0 ± 1.2	-0.3 ± 0.8	0.285
DBody fat percentage (%)	-0.5 ± 2.9	-0.4 ± 3.3	-1.7 ± 1.8	0.155
DRelative VO _{2peak} (ml/min/kg)	2.0 ± 3.3	1.9 ± 2.9	1.9 ± 3.6	0.982
DWorkload _{max} (W)	10.3 ± 11.3	12.0 ± 12.8	11.0 ± 18.9	0.684
Work ability items				
DWAI item 1 (points)	1.0 ± 1.7^{a,b}	0.1 ± 1.5 ^a	-0.1 ± 1.1 ^b	<0.001
DWAI item 2 (points)	0.8 ± 1.5^a	0.1 ± 1.0 ^a	0.5 ± 1.2	0.030
DWAI item 3 (points)	-0.7 ± 1.6^b	-0.2 ± 1.2	0.2 ± 0.6 ^b	0.014
DWAI item 4 (points)	0.2 ± 0.9 ^a	-0.2 ± 0.6^a	0.0 ± 0.0	0.015
DWAI item 5 (points)	0.1 ± 1.0	0.0 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.6	0.952
DWAI item 6 (points)	0.1 ± 1.2	-0.1 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.588
DWAI item 7 (points)	0.4 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.4	0.042

Bold p<0.05 baseline vs 6 months, a p<0.05 WAI 1 vs WAI 2, b p<0.05 WAI 1 vs WAI 3. VO_{2peak}, peak oxygen uptake; WAI, Work Ability Index.

Discussion

The hypothesis that a 6-month individualized moderate endurance training partly performed at the workplace during working hours would improve work ability has been confirmed. An important finding is that female employees with low baseline work ability seemed to particularly benefit from the intervention. This finding supports the classification of individuals according to their work ability before starting an intervention to identify which employees might benefit most from such programs.

With a 6-month training intervention, we achieved significant improvement in the WAI total score. This observation was in line with other studies that have increased the ability to work with exercise training (16-19). Previous results of a comparable study showed that the control group had a threefold faster reduction than the intervention group (20). Therefore, not only have we been able to stop the natural decline but also improve work ability.

We conducted an intervention that offered the possibility to train during the working hours. It has been previously shown that a supervised physical exercise intervention with middle-aged female health care workers is more successful during working hours than during leisure time at home (21). In addition, physical exercise during work hours is considered to be the most effective form of primary prevention (22). Given the weekly working time of 39.4 hours per week in Germany (23) in the health sector, the workplace should receive special attention. This view is supported by the World Health Organization (WHO), which has established the workplace as a priority setting for health promotion (24). This approach by the WHO has provided a basis for intervention programs performed during the working hours (25). Not all previous studies have shown positive effects of interventions during working hours on work ability (26, 27). Reasons for this might be that participants already work physically (e.g., in the case of cleaners, construction workers, and home care workers) or have a high work ability score at baseline, resulting in a ceiling effect. We focused on physically inactive women and observed a high adherence of 98.8%, which was possibly due to their ability to exercise during their working hours and use the workplace health club. This likely facilitated their exercise by decreasing the amount of time and travels required and thus contributed to their incorporation of exercise into the workplace (28). The personal guidance and regular contact between participants and the supervisor were also likely helpful for strong compliance. Furthermore, problems could be detected early on and solved, thereby maintaining motivation. This approach made it possible to eliminate the two most important barriers for exercise training: lack of time and motivation (29).

For the present study group, it seems reasonable to conclude that an increase in work ability can be slowed by hormonal changes (30). Therefore, we also investigated the influence of hormonal status and, in contrast to other studies (30), were unable to determine any association between hormonal status and work ability.

In times of demographic change with increasing aging of the working population, maintaining working capacity is of particular interest. There is a relationship between poor work ability and higher age, as well as resulting earlier retirement (31, 32). All three WAI subgroups improved their cardiorespiratory fitness and body composition, with no significant differences between the subgroups. Considering work ability, the poor/moderate (WAI 1) subgroup improved their WAI total score significantly compared to those of the good and excellent subgroups. The good and excellent groups were unable to benefit from the present intervention in terms of the total work ability score. This might indicate the need to determine participants' current work ability if the goal of endurance training is an improvement in work ability and not fitness alone. This consideration has already been mentioned in previous studies examining more intensive programs in persons with lower baseline WAI scores (33). A previous comparable but uncontrolled study observed similar improvements as our intervention after a 12-month program (34). In this study, the group with a poor/moderate WAI (n=43) increased their scores by approximately 2.9 points after 4 months and by approximately 3.5 points after 8 months, which was consistent with the increase of 3.6 points in our study indicating that 6 months might be sufficient to observe meaningful benefits on work ability.

Considering the individual items, the WAI item 2 (workability in relation to the demands of the job) and WAI item 3 (number of current diseases diagnosed by a physician) scores increased significantly in the EG but not in the CG. The women in the EG found themselves more able to cope

physically and psychologically with the work requirements and reduces their diseases. This finding is possibly attributable to the positive effect of the increase in performance and body composition throughout the intervention. The women with the lowest baseline work ability again experienced the greatest benefits for these subitems.

The short-term increase in work ability resulting from the intervention is only an intermediate step. For long-term positive outcomes, an intervention has to affect all levels of work ability (35). The basic level (health resources) and the fourth level (work ability) represent the most important effects. However, to be comprehensive, an intervention should include the other levels (competence, values and work) as well. In addition, Lidegaard et al. emphasized that longer interventions may be needed to induce sustained effects on work ability (17).

This indicates the need for further studies with overarching, interdisciplinary and holistic approaches to evaluate long-term effects.

Limitations

Our study has some limitations. The shift work status was not assessed; therefore, we cannot make a statement regarding the relationship between work ability and shift work. Furthermore, most of our participants were sedentary due to the predefined inclusion criteria. Therefore, our study is limited to a mainly inactive working group.

Conclusions

An individualized 6-month exercise intervention in middle-aged female hospital workers resulted in positive effects on work ability. For the best outcomes, employees should first be categorized into WAI subgroups to focus on the group with the lowest WAI scores for individualized endurance training. In this context, the support of employees with the weakest work ability could be a beneficial strategy for long-term work ability.

Abbreviations

CG waiting-control group

EG exercise-group

VO_{2peak} cardiorespiratory fitness

WAI Work Ability Index

WHO World Health Organization

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the current guidelines of good clinical practice. The ethics committee of the Hannover Medical School approved the study (ID: 6428), and written informed consent was obtained from participants before their entry into the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the Cortiss Foundation and the DFG through the "REBIRTH" cluster of excellence and the German Heart Foundation. German Clinical Trials Register Identifier: DRKS00005159.

Authors' contributions

Uwe Tegtbur (UT), Axel Haverich (Aha), Denise Hilfiker-Kleiner (DH), Anette Melk (AM), Jörg Eberhard (JEb), Dominik Berliner (DBe), Meike Stiesch (MS), Christoph Bara (CB) and Johann Bauersachs (JBa) contributed to the conception and design of the work; Andres Hilfiker (AHi) contributed to the design of the work; Hedwig Stenner (HS), Julian Eigendorf (JE), UT, AM, CB, DBe and Cordula Schippert (CS) contributed to the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data for the work; Ahi and Arno Kerling (AK) contributed to the acquisition of data for the work; DH, Johanna Boyen (JBo), and Anne-Katrin Nelius (AKN) contributed to the acquisition of data and interpretation of the work; Dietmar Boethig (DB), Sven Haufe (SH), Momme Kueck (MK), and AHa contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the work; HS, SH, and MK drafted the manuscript; and Alexander Hanke (AH), JE, AH, AK, JBo, AKN, AM, DB, CB, DBe, MS, JEb, CS, AH, JBa, AHa, DH and UT critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave final approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work to ensure integrity and accuracy.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Hannover Clinical Trial Centre (HCTC) and clinical research group 311 (KFO311) for their support and study management.

Publications

Part of the results were previously presented in poster presentations:

ACSM Annual Meeting in Minneapolis in June 2018 "Effects of 6 Months Endurance Training on Quality of Life and Work Ability"

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/325633312_Effects_of_6_Months_Endurance_Training_on_Quality_of_Life_and_Work_Ability_3382_Board

8th European Exercise is Medicine Conference in Amsterdam in September 2019 "Who benefits the most? Results of a 6-month endurance training on work ability in middle-aged sedentary women."

https://www.exerciseismedicine.eu/_Resources/Persistent/756fd787923635a891cf06a4740e37fdb0ef1e0f/Abstracts_EIEM-Kongress_2019_V4.pdf

References

1. Ilmarinen J, Tuomi K, Klockars M. Changes in the work ability of active employees over an 11-year period. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 1997;23 Suppl 1:49-57.
2. Kordt M. DAK health report Hamburg, Germany 2018 [Available from: <https://www.dak.de/dak/download/gesundheitsreport-2018-pdf-2073702.pdf>].
3. Federal Statistical Office. Medical expenses Germany 2015: Years, sex, age groups Berlin, Germany [Available from: <https://www-genesis.destatis.de/>].
4. Ilmarinen J. The work ability index (WAI) 2007.
5. Oakman J, Neupane S, Proper KI, Kinsman N, Nygard CH. Workplace interventions to improve work ability: A systematic review and meta-analysis of their effectiveness. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 2018;44(2):134-46.
6. Breutmann N. Measure and promote work ability: The Work Ability Index. *Angewandte Arbeitswissenschaft*. 2007;192: 1-15.
7. Maher CG. A systematic review of workplace interventions to prevent low back pain. *The Australian journal of physiotherapy*. 2000;46(4):259-69.
8. Proper KI, Staal BJ, Hildebrandt VH, van der Beek AJ, van Mechelen W. Effectiveness of physical activity programs at worksites with respect to work-related outcomes. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 2002;28(2):75-84.
9. Cloostermans L, Bekkers MB, Uiters E, Proper KI. The effectiveness of interventions for ageing workers on (early) retirement, work ability and productivity: a systematic review. *International archives of occupational and environmental health*. 2015;88(5):521-32.
10. Gutenbrunner C, Egen C, Kahl KG, Briest J, Tegtbur U, Miede J, et al. Entwicklung und Implementierung eines umfassenden Gesundheitsmanagements (Fit for Work and Life) für Mitarbeiter/innen eines Universitätsklinikums – ein Praxisbericht. *Gesundheitswesen*. 2017;79(07):552-9.
11. Frey I, Berg A, Grathwohl D, Keul JJS-uP. Freiburger Fragebogen zur körperlichen Aktivität-Entwicklung, Prüfung und Anwendung. 1999;44(2):55-64.
12. van den Berg TI, Elders LA, de Zwart BC, Burdorf A. The effects of work-related and individual factors on the Work Ability Index: a systematic review. *Occup Environ Med*. 2009;66(4):211-20.
13. Feldt T, Hyvonen K, Makikangas A, Kinnunen U, Kokko K. Development trajectories of Finnish managers' work ability over a 10-year follow-up period. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 2009;35(1):37-47.
14. Trappe H, Loellgen H. Guidelines for ergometry. German Society of Cardiology–Heart and Cardiovascular Research. *Zeitschrift für Kardiologie*. 2000;89:821-31.

15. Eigendorf J, Melk A, Haufe S, Boethig D, Berliner D, Kerling A, et al. Effects of personalized endurance training on cellular age and vascular function in middle-aged sedentary women. *European journal of preventive cardiology*. 2019;2047487319849505.
16. Nurminen E, Malmivaara A, Ilmarinen J, Ylostalo P, Mutanen P, Ahonen G, et al. Effectiveness of a worksite exercise program with respect to perceived work ability and sick leaves among women with physical work. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 2002;28(2):85-93.
17. Lidegaard M, Sogaard K, Krustup P, Holtermann A, Korshoj M. Effects of 12 months aerobic exercise intervention on work ability, need for recovery, productivity and rating of exertion among cleaners: a worksite RCT. *International archives of occupational and environmental health*. 2018;91(2):225-35.
18. Chopp-Hurley JN, Brenneman EC, Wiebenga EG, Bulbrook B, Keir PJ, Maly MR. Randomized Controlled Trial Investigating the Role of Exercise in the Workplace to Improve Work Ability, Performance, and Patient-Reported Symptoms Among Older Workers With Osteoarthritis. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*. 2017;59(6):550-6.
19. Haufe S, Kerling A, Protte G, Bayerle P, Stenner HT, Rolff S, et al. Telemonitoring-supported exercise training, metabolic syndrome severity, and work ability in company employees: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Public health*. 2019;4(7):e343-e52.
20. Pohjonen T, Ranta R. Effects of worksite physical exercise intervention on physical fitness, perceived health status, and work ability among home care workers: five-year follow-up. *Preventive medicine*. 2001;32(6):465-75.
21. Jakobsen MD, Sundstrup E, Brandt M, Jay K, Aagaard P, Andersen LL. Physical exercise at the workplace prevents deterioration of work ability among healthcare workers: cluster randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15:1174.
22. Blangsted AK, Sogaard K, Hansen EA, Hannerz H, Sjogaard G. One-year randomized controlled trial with different physical-activity programs to reduce musculoskeletal symptoms in the neck and shoulders among office workers. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 2008;34(1):55-65.
23. Federal Statistical Office. Gross earnings and working hours, results for the 4th quarter of 2018 Berlin, Germany [Available from: <https://www.destatis.de/>].
24. WHO. World Health Organization. Workplace health promotion. The workplace: A priority setting for health promotion 2019 [Available from: https://www.who.int/occupational_health/topics/workplace/en/].
25. Sjogaard G, Justesen JB, Murray M, Dalager T, Sogaard K. A conceptual model for worksite intelligent physical exercise training–IPET–intervention for decreasing life style health risk indicators among employees: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:652.
26. Gram B, Holtermann A, Bultmann U, Sjogaard G, Sogaard K. Does an exercise intervention improving aerobic capacity among construction workers also improve musculoskeletal pain, work ability, productivity, perceived physical exertion, and sick leave?: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*. 2012;54(12):1520-6.
27. Jorgensen MB, Faber A, Hansen JV, Holtermann A, Sogaard K. Effects on musculoskeletal pain, work ability and sickness absence in a 1-year randomised controlled trial among cleaners. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11:840.
28. Dalager T, Bredahl TG, Pedersen MT, Boyle E, Andersen LL, Sjogaard G. Does training frequency and supervision affect compliance, performance and muscular health? A cluster randomized controlled trial. *Man Ther*. 2015;20(5):657-65.
29. Special Eurobarometer 412. SPORT AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY. REPORT 2014 [
30. Geukes M, van Aalst MP, Robroek SJ, Laven JS, Oosterhof H. The impact of menopause on work ability in women with severe menopausal symptoms. *Maturitas*. 2016;90:3-8.
31. van den Berg TI, Elders LA, de Zwart BC, Burdorf A. The effects of work-related and individual factors on the Work Ability Index: a systematic review. *Occup Environ Med*. 2009;66(4):211-20.
32. Sell LJIAoO, Health E. Predicting long-term sickness absence and early retirement pension from self-reported work ability. 2009;82(9):1133-8.
33. Smolander J, Blair SN, Kohl HW, 3rd. Work ability, physical activity, and cardiorespiratory fitness: 2-year results from Project Active. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*. 2000;42(9):906-10.
34. Kettunen O, Vuorimaa T, Vasankari T. 12-mo intervention of physical exercise improved work ability, especially in subjects with low baseline work ability. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2014;11(4):3859-69.
35. Ilmarinen J, Tuomi K, Seitsamo J. New dimensions of work ability. *International Congress Series*. 2005;1280:3-7.

Figures




Figure 1

Participant flow chart throughout the study. WAI, Work Ability Index. ^aMore than one reason per participant may have been reported.




Figure 2

WAI total score in the EG and CG at baseline and after 6 months. There was a significant group x time interaction, as analyzed with a two-way repeated measures ANOVA; the displayed values are the means \pm standard errors. WAI, Work Ability Index.




Figure 3

WAI total scores in EG and CG by WAI subgroups from baseline to 6 months. $*=p < 0.05$ for mean differences over time in the WAI between the EG and CG within each WAI subgroup, as analyzed with a two-way repeated measures ANOVA. The p-values over the brackets indicate significance of the differences between the WAI subgroups for the WAI changes in the EG, as analyzed with a one-way repeated measures ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc tests. WAI 1, poor-moderate group; WAI 2, good group; WAI 3, excellent group; WAI, Work Ability Index.