Computational characterization of the behavior of a saliva droplet in a social environment

Unai Fernandez-Gamiz (✉ unai.fernandez@ehu.eus)

University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU

Ainara Ugarte-Anero

University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU

Koldo Portal-Porras

University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU

Ekaitz Zulueta

University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU

Oskar Urbina-Garcia

University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU

Research Article

Keywords: droplet evaporation, respiratory droplets, CFD, relative humidity, ambient temperature, particle diameter

Posted Date: February 3rd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1257422/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

The conduct of respiratory droplets is the basis of the study to reduce the spread of a virus in society. The pandemic suffered in early 2020 due to COVID-19 shows the lack of research on the evaporation and fate of droplets exhaled in the environment. The current study, attempts to provide solution through computational fluid dynamics techniques based on a multiphase state with the help of Eulerian - Lagrangian techniques to the activity of respiratory droplets. A numerical study has shown how the behavior of droplets of pure water exhaled in the environment after a sneeze or cough have a dynamic equal to the experimental curve of Wells. The droplets of saliva have been introduced as a saline solution. Considering the mass transferred and the turbulence created, the results has showed that the ambient temperature and relative humidity are parameters that significantly affect the evaporation process, and therefore to the fate. Evaporation time tends to be of a higher value when the temperature affecting the environment is lower. With constant parameters of particle diameter and ambient temperature, an increase in relative humidity increases the evaporation time. A larger particle diameter is consequently transported at a greater distance, since the opposite force it affects is the weight. Finally, a neural network based model is presented to predict particle evaporation time.

1 Introduction

After experiencing the biggest pandemic in the world, so far, of the 21st century, experts have focused on the investigation of the consequences of living this situation, both, economically and sanitarly. The state lived during these two years ago, has left a society very different from the one known before this phenomenon. Two years of uncertainty have developed several measures to combat this virus. The first measure was quarantine for approximately two months. Once on the street, the use of masks was forced. Different types of masks were designed. Notably, mathematical studies such as Ugarte-Anero et al.[1] demonstrate through a Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) research that the face-shields, which cover the entire surface of the face, do not fully protect a person from sneezing. Later, and currently is developing, the invention of different vaccines. Although different vaccines designed by large pharmaceutical companies are known, the World Health Organization (WHO) differentiates between 3 types; those that use a virus or a whole bacterium, those that use fragments that introduce an immune system response and those that use only genetic material. But the situation got worse. As expected, the virus mutated, creating new variants. Variant B.1.617.2 (delta) identified in India and variant B.1.1.7 (Alpha) identified in England, show different behavior to vaccine efficacy, according to Bernal et al. [2]. Although, after several tests, between these two types of variants, a slight difference in effectiveness is observed when the two doses are given, on the other hand, there is a greater difference when only one dose has been applied. The study by Su et al.[3] reports that protein S is the best antigen that should be included in vaccines against COVID-19 to achieve an effective and safe.

This disease has given a boost to the field of research that drives aerosol studies. It has been researched with the aim of providing a solution to the famous SARS-CoV-2, but all these studies are based on explaining the functioning of the exhaled air to the environment, which is the route of contagion of all

diseases, either by major droplets or by aerosols, according to Leung et al. [4]. The human body exhales particles between 10 μm and 100 μm of diameter in a sneeze, according to the numerical study of Chillón et al. [4], but it is not considered aerosol until the particle reaches 5 μm of diameter, Chatterjee et al. [5]. When a person coughs, speaks, or sneezes, exhales to the environment particles with great contagion power. These particles are formed of saliva and epithelial lining fluid (ELF), Pöhlker [6]. Saliva can vary its composition depending on the person, according to Almeida PDV et al. [7]. Even if you focus on the same person, depending on what you eat during that day, it can vary the composition of saliva. Even so, droplets of saliva have the same method of evaporation as a droplet of pure water. According to Bozic et al.[8], the droplet gradually evaporates over time, reducing its size. In this first stage it gets rid of water (H_2O) molecules. Once that H_2O has evaporated, the result is what is called droplet residue, which depends on non-water solutes inside the droplet. Lieber et al.[9] indicates that the so-called droplet residue will remain in the environment for hours and will have a size that is 20% of the initial size of the particle studied. The latter result is what differentiates it from the evaporation mechanism of a droplet of pure water, becoming aerosol. This phenomenon is marked by various characteristics that occur in the environment, such as, for example, relative humidity (RH) and ambient temperature among others, Ugarte-Anero et al. [1], Wang et al.[10] and Sen et al [11]. Stiti et al.[12] shows that a droplet of an initial diameter of 21 μm in 2 seconds could become an aerosol when a temperature above 20°C and an RH below 80% occurs. Studies such as Xie et al.[13], Liu et al.[14] and Ugarte-Anero et al.[1] state that at higher relative humidity the evaporation time of these is longer. These same studies, observe that the larger diameter of size the evaporation time is greater. The study of Xie et al.[13] shows us that a particle of pure water at 33°C in a space at a temperature of 18°C and a 0% RH evaporates in 2 seconds when the initial diameter is 50 μm , instead, with a diameter of 100 μm the time amounts to 7.2 seconds. As the size increases the evaporation time increases. If the focus is shifted to investigate the reaction of these droplets to ambient temperature, the investigation of Wang et al.[10] shows that the lifetime of a droplet in wet spaces is less when the temperature decreases. In dry environments, on the other hand, the shelf life of the droplet is longer when the temperature decreases. He also points out that average particles are very sensitive to relative humidity, and it might be different to study their precise behavior.

Evaporation is important to study how long it takes to become aerosol and achieves a strong contagion capacity. Although, once you have that particle less than 5 μm in diameter, you need to know where it's going. This phenomenon depends on many factors; the speed at which it is generated, whether we are in a closed or open place, anyhow there is a high-speed wind or a soft breeze, regardless there is ventilation, etc. Stiti[12] has found that a particular 80 μm becomes solid waste before reaching the ground when the person exhaling it has a height of 1.6 meters from the mouth to the ground. CFD studies such as Dbouk et al.[15] and Li et al.[16] warn that with an external wind greater than 1.1 m/s can reach up to 6 meters away. This current, if it is descending, can remove the infected droplets in just 10 seconds, on the contrary, an ascending current helps to have a viral load of 50% around the height of people, according to de Oliveira [17]. Consequently, in a closed space, such as the study by Chillon et al.[4] the particles do not exceed one and a half meters of distance. The study by Zhao et al.[18] combines fate with RH and ambient temperature and ensures that in humid environments and low temperature droplets travel a

greater space. In dry environments and high temperature, the number of aerosols is higher, underline what previously cited by the studies of Xie et al.[13] and Wang et al.[10] that in dry space the evaporation is slower.

The aim of this work is to study through computational simulations the behavior of a single saliva particle exposed to different environmental characteristics in a social environment. Launch the particle, without velocity, from a height of 1.6 meters and study in a first case its evaporation time at 0°C, 14°C and 35°C in a relative humidity range of 0–80%. The diameter of the particle are 25 µm, 50 µm, 75 µm and 100 µm. Finally, the fate of droplets saliva (10 µm – 90 µm) is studied at a temperature of 14°C and 35°C and relative humidity of 0%, 20%, 40%, 60% and 80%, with a velocity of 0 m/s, affecting only the gravity and weight of the droplet of saliva.

2 Materials And Methods

2.1 Computational domain and initial conditions

In order to analyze through CFD techniques the most remarkable properties of a droplet of saliva, the domain used has been a box of 2m X 2m X 1.6m (X, Y, Z). Enclosed space, no external air flow. It should be noted that the value of Z is equal to 1.6 meters for the average height that can take the mouth of a human. Figure 1 shows the bucket with the cone injector, placed in the central part of the upper face, specifically [1, 1, 1.6]. This injector launches a particle into outer space simulating a human cough. Instead of generating a particle size distribution, a particular particle will be studied, with a specific diameter the importance it has when exhaled. The injector will make as if it were a human mouth when generating a sneeze.

First, taking as a reference the temperatures reached by European countries, the pure water particle has been subjected to 3 different temperatures: 0°C, 14°C and 35°C. In contrast, relative humidity varies from 0–80%. The diameter of the particle takes values of 25 µm, 50 µm 75 µm and 100 µm at 36°C. According to the study of Almeida PDV et al. [7], saliva is composed of 99% water and the rest is formed by proteins, enzymes and electrolytes, among others. Also, the electrolytes, sodium and chloride stand out. Research by Xie et al.[13] models saliva as a saline solution with a concentration of 150mM of ions and cations, affecting only saturation pressure. In Figure 2, you can see the process of evaporation of an exhaled droplet into the human environment. This study has the limitation of not being able to study aerosol behavior. The process of complete evaporation of a droplet of saliva has been given as a droplet of pure water, without reaching the residue of the droplet.

In the other hand, the behavior of the saliva particle in relation to the distance travelled has been studied. For this case, the temperatures are 14°C and 35°C and relative humidity of 0%, 20%, 40%, 60% and 80%, with a starting speed of 0m/s, so it has only affected the forces of gravity, the weight of the drop, according to Bozic [8]. According to the CFD model of Pendar et al. [19], approximately the experimental average speed that can catch a sneeze is 20 m/s, but when it is emitted to the environment in just a few

seconds loses the speed adapting to that of the environment, Ugarte-Anero et al. [1]. Figure 3 shows a sketch of the forces to which a particle is subjected.

As usually defined in CFD based numerical studies, the domain has been discretized into a uniform mesh formed by 4360 cells. The cubicle geometry is formed by a structured mesh composed of hexagonal cells. Figure 4a shows the meshed cube and Figure 4b shows the plane through which the particle exiting the injector passes.

3 Numerical Set Up

The study is solved as a two-phase flow situation, introducing the two-way coupling module. The droplet of pure water has form the Lagrangian phase. The particle, in question, has an initial temperature of 36°C but then will go down, taking the temperature of the external environment, according to the statement offered by Redow [20]. Saturation pressure follows Antoine equation, when the particle is pure water. The latter characteristic varies when it comes to simulating saliva. A saline solution of 0.9% w/v, have a saturation pressure in accordance with Raoult's Law, as indicated by Xie [13].

$$P_{va,s} = X_d P_{va} (T_w) \quad (1)$$

where $P_{va,s}$ is the saturation pressure of the droplet in the saline mixture, P_{va} is the saturation pressure indicated by the Antoine equation at an indicated temperature (in this case at 36°C) (T_w) and X_d is the mole fraction of the droplet, that is calculated as shown in Equation 2.

$$X_d = \left(1 + \frac{6im_s M_w}{\pi \rho_L M_s (d_p)^3} \right)^{-1} \quad (2)$$

where m_s the mass of solute in the droplet; d_p is the diameter of the droplet studied; M_w is the molecular weight of water and M_s is the molecular weight of solute, the ion factor "i" is equal to 2.

The Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations with k- ω Shear Stress Transport (SST) turbulence model, developed by Menter et al.[21] have been introduced in this work. Figure 3 shows the forces to which the droplet is subjected, which is initially assumed to be spherical. The influence of the gravity force was taken into account. The Taylor analogy breakup (TAB) model was implemented to provide a solution to particle distortion and break up. Also, the turbulent particle dispersion with the exact eddy interaction time is taken into account. The drag force takes the value according to Schiller-Naumann mathematics model. Model used in the numerical study of Wang et al.[10] and in the investigation of de Oliveira et al. [17]. The model simulated the drag between the two current phases. Equation 3 shows the expression to calculate the drag coefficient C_d .

$$C_d \begin{cases} \frac{24}{Re}, & Re \leq 1 \\ \frac{24}{Re} (1 + 0.15 Re^{0.687}), & 1 < Re \leq 1000 \\ 0.44, & Re > 1000 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where Re is the Reynolds number.

Once the respiratory droplets are exhaled into the social environment where humans are found, the process of evaporation begins. For this, Busco et al. [22] introduces the quasi-steady evaporation model, incorporated in this project. Formula 4 shows the equation in which the model is governed, subject to mass loss.

$$\dot{m}_p = g^* \times A_s \ln(1 + B) \quad (4)$$

where g^* is the mass transfer conductance and A_s is the droplet surface area. B is the Spalding transfer number.

The second important part of this research is the simulation of the atmosphere. The cube has simulate this and have been solved by equations for the continuous phase expressed in Eulerian form. Non-reactive species that after determining their properties, the total binding property is calculated as a mass function of the components of the mixture. Busco et al. [22] incorporates Equation 5, based on the mass-weighted mixture method.

$$\phi_{\text{mix}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N=2} \phi_i Y_i \quad (5)$$

where Y_i is the mass fraction of air and water vapor and ϕ_i is the property values of mixture component. N is the total number of components in the mixture.

Composed of dry air and water vapor, varying their mass composition gives rise to relative humidity. By observing the Kukkonen et al.[23] appendix the density and viscosity of the water vapor air and liquid water have been taken as the value marked by the equations of that report when changing temperature. In the current work, the commercial CFD code STAR-CCM + v.14.02 (Siemens, London, UK) was used to define and solve the numerical model of aerosols. A personal server-clustered parallel computer with Intel Xeon © E5-2609 v2 CPU @ 2.5 GHz (16 cores) and 45 GB RAM were used to run all the simulations.

3.1 Validation

In the case of computational simulations, validation with experimental results is required. The current work reports on the evaporation of pure water droplets and on the fate of saliva droplets, therefore, has validated both, evaporation and distance.

The same method used by Redow [20], Mowraska [24], Li[16] and Ugarte-Anero[1] was used for the validation of evaporation. A study in which different diameters of droplets of pure water (1 μm 10 μm and 100 μm) with a temperature of 310.15K are subjected to an environment of 293.15 K and RH varies to see the evaporation time. The results obtained are similar to those shown by the aforementioned works, shown in Figure 5.

Following the same philosophy, a pure water droplet and a saliva droplet only have difference in the vapor pressure in this study, therefore, affect the same forces and we can go ahead with studies like Hamey[25] and Spillman[26] that show the path of a droplet of pure water. Hamey study consists of two droplets of water, one of 110 μm and another of 115 μm of diameter, at a temperature of 289K in an environment at 293K and relative humidity of 70% and observe its path having let the droplet fall freely. With the same objective, the Spillman studio launches a 170 μm of diameter particle at 25°C in a 31°C environment with a relative humidity of 68%. Figures 5 and 6 show the results obtained by comparing our CFD data with the experimental studies.

4 Results

In a sneeze, a particle distribution of 10-100 μm diameter is generated. The average diameters selected to study the case are: 25 μm , 50 μm , 75 μm and 100 μm . The human body is at a temperature of approximately 36°C, so the exhaled particles have an initial temperature of 36°C. With a minimum temperature of 0°C, a particle of 100 μm of diameter, in an environment with relative humidity of 80%, reaches an evaporation time of 61,2 seconds. In contrast, with a temperature of 14°C and constant RH the evaporation time is equal to 54,4 seconds. And, with 35°C, and the same characteristics, 30,3 seconds is the time it takes for that particle to evaporate.

When it is a 50 μm particle with a temperature of 14°C, in an environment with a relative humidity of 50% the evaporation time is 5,2 seconds and RH 80% the evaporation time is equal to 13,7 seconds. The distribution of evaporation of the test droplets is analyzed in Figures 7, 8 and 9.

Figure 10 shows the difference between a droplet of pure water and a droplet of saliva. By converting saliva into a saline solution, the evaporation time of saliva is 0.2 seconds more under the conditions of a RH=0% and an environment temperature of 20°C, the diameter of the droplet, for both is 50 μm .

In the case of studying the distance that the droplets generated in a sneeze or cough can travel, the law of gravity is kept, the more diameter the more weight, the longer distance traveled. An average particle of 50 μm , at a relative humidity of 60% travels 0,24 meters, before evaporating. Rather, at a humidity of 20% the particle stays at a distance of 1,5 meters from the ground, all in an environment with a temperature of 14°C. With the same peculiarities, when RH=60%, a particle of 90 μm has travelled the 1.6 meters before evaporating and when the RH=20% the distance traveled has been 0,95 meters.

Considering Figures 6, 7 and 8, the effect of the evaporation process, a 50 μm of diameter particle at ambient temperature of 35°C and a relative humidity of 60% passes through a distance of 0,13 meters before evaporating, from a height of 1.6 meters. Figures 11 and 12 show the fate of the particles released from a height of 1,6 meters from the ground, corresponding to the average height of the mouth of an adult person. The heavier droplets manage to reach the soil instead, the smaller droplets evaporate into the air leaving the droplet residue in the environment. The droplet residue generated by the larger droplets would settle on the surface.

Then, according to the results obtained by studying the particle dynamics, the results of the time of thirist determined by empirical formulas is studied. Equation 6, provided by the study of Bozic et al.[8], indicates the parameters that influence the sedimentation time. Table 1 shows the results obtained from four examples at a relative humidity of 50%. It should be noted that a particle with a diameter of 80 μm in an environment with a temperature of 35°C and RH=80%, can be transported from a height of 1.6 meters, before evaporation, at a distance of 0,015 meters from the ground over a time of 7,9 seconds. With the empirical formula we obtain that the sedimentation time of that droplet is 0.2 seconds more than the evaporation time, time that would elapse to travel the distance of 0.015 meters previously mentioned. Only the 100 μm particle has been evaporate after deposition in the soil, the others have evaporated in the air before reaching the marked distance.

$$t_{sedimentation} = h \div (\xi \times (R_{droplet})^2) \quad (6)$$

here $h=1.6 \text{ m}$, in this case since it is the average height of the human mouth, $R_{droplet}$ is the radius of the particle and the letter ξ equals to the number $1,2 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Table 1
Differences between the time of sedimentation and the time of evaporation.
Time of sedimentation calculated with empirical formulas and time of
evaporation taken out with this study CFD.

Particle diameter	Time of sedimentation (s)	Time of evaporation (s)
25 µm	85,4	0,74
50 µm	21,3	2,8
75 µm	9,5	6,2
100 µm	5,3	10,3

5 Ann-based Evaporation Time Prediction Model

Aiming to obtain the evaporation time of the particles, an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is developed. Deep Learning techniques, which include neural networks, are exceptional tools for modelling a wide variety of systems due to their properties and advantages in comparison with other traditional techniques, see Lopez-Guede et al. [27], [28]. Among these advantages and properties, the most remarkable ones are their ability to learn and their fast computational speed.

In the present paper a multi-layer model with two hidden layers is used. The evaporation time of the particle is calculated by Equation (7), and the outputs of each neuron of the hidden layers follow a sigmoid function, which is defined in Equation (8). The ANN with these parameters represents a typical Multilayer Perceptron with Backpropagation (BP-MLP) configuration. The postsynaptic h_i of each i neuron is calculated using a linear combination defined in Equation (9).

Time = $\sum_{i=1}^{i=N_{hidden}} \omega_i \cdot g_i(\mathbf{x}) + \theta$	(7)
$g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-h_i}}$	(8)
$h_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=N_{inputs}} \omega'_{i,j} \cdot x_j + \theta'_i$	(9)
where ω_i represents the weights of the output layers and ω_{ij} the weights of the input hidden layers.	

The designed network has three different inputs, temperature, particle diameter and relative humidity: and a single output, the evaporation time. As mentioned before, it has two hidden layers. A schematic view of the ANN is provided in Figure 13.

For training the network, the data has been separated into 70% training, 20% validation and 10% test. Matrices (10)-(13) contain the values of the input hidden layer weights (ω_{ij}), output layer weights (ω_i),

hidden layer threshold parameters (σ) and output layer threshold parameters (σ'). These matrices have been obtained in the training process of the network and are fundamental for a correct prediction of the evaporation time.

$\omega_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.279 & 0.1721 & 0.3654 \\ 0.4295 & -0.5551 & -1.5249 \\ -0.2749 & 0.1648 & 0.3602 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$
$\omega_i = [0.3913 \quad -0.0049 \quad -1.0907] \quad (8)$
$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} -1.9907 \\ 3.0613 \\ -2.5123 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$
$\sigma' = [-695.3689] \quad (10)$

With the obtained network, predictions of evaporation times under conditions different from those of CFD simulations are made. Figure 14 shows the results obtained for 0°C (Figure 14a), 14°C (Figure 14b) and 35°C (Figure 14c).

To demonstrate the accuracy of the network the correlation coefficient (R-value) of the predictions in the cases corresponding to the test-set mentioned above is analyzed, as shown in Figure 15. This coefficient quantifies the relation between the ground-truth values and the predicted values. Therefore, to ensure the accuracy of the predictions this coefficient should be as close to 1 as possible. In this case, $R=0.9997$, therefore, the ANN is able to correctly predict the evaporation time.

6 Conclusions

In the current work, the dynamics of a single saliva droplet exposed to different characteristics has been studied by means of CFD based numerical simulations. Launch the particle, without velocity, from a height of 1.6 meters, average distance from a human mouth, and study in a first case its evaporation time at 0°C, 14°C and 35°C in a relative humidity range of 0–80%. The diameter of the particle was 25 μm , 50 μm , 75 μm and 100 μm . Finally, we study the fate of these particles at a temperature of 14°C and 35°C and relative humidity that varies from 0–80% in a range of 20, with a velocity of 0 m/s, affecting only the gravity and weight of the saliva drop. The diameters studied in this case have been from 10 μm to 90 μm in a range of 10.

Note that when a particle moves in an environment with temperature and RH constant, when the diameter increases the evaporation time is greater. It is also perceived that increasing the diameter the change in

temperature is more noticeable. In contrast, with a constant particle diameter and without varying the relative humidity, when the ambient temperature is lower the evaporation time is longer. On the other hand, when the variable to be altered is the RH, if the value is increased, the evaporation time has been increased. Increasing the RH, the change in ambient temperature is more effective. Taking all this into account, we can state that the characteristics of the environment, and more specifically the ambient temperature and relative humidity, are parameters that significantly affect the evaporation process. We also conclude that a larger particle diameter is consequently be transported at a greater distance, since the opposite force that affects is the weight and the greater the diameter, the greater the weight.

Declarations

Funding:

The authors are thankful to the government of the Basque Country for the financial support of ELKARTEK21/10 KK-2021/00014 and ELKARTEK20/78 KK-2020/00114 research programs, respectively.

Acknowledgments:

The authors are grateful for the support provided by the SGiker of UPV/EHU.

Conflicts of Interest:

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

References

1. Ugarte-Anero, A.; Fernandez-Gamiz, U.; Aramendia, I.; Zulueta, E.; Lopez-Guede, J.M. Numerical Modeling of Face Shield Protection against a Sneeze. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9* Available online: <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9131582>.
2. Lopez Bernal, J.; Andrews, N.; Gower, C.; Gallagher, E.; Simmons, R.; Thelwall, S.; Stowe, J.; Tessier, E.; Groves, N.; Dabrera, G.; Myers, R.; Campbell, C.N.J.; Amirthalingam, G.; Edmunds, M.; Zambon, M.; Brown, K.E.; Hopkins, C.; Meera; Ramsay, M. Effectiveness of Covid-19 Vaccines against the B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant. *The New England journal of medicine* **2021**, *385*, 585-594, DOI 10.1056/NEJMoa2108891. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2108891>.
3. Su Shan, Du Lanying, Jiang Shibo Learning from the past: development of safe and effective COVID-19

vaccines.

4. Chillón, S.A.; Ugarte-Anero, A.; Aramendia, I.; Fernandez-Gamiz, U.; Zulueta, E. Numerical Modeling of the Spread of Cough Saliva Droplets in a Calm Confined Space. *Mathematics (Basel)* **2021**, *9*, 574, DOI 10.3390/math9050574. Available online: <https://search.proquest.com/docview/2501480547>.
5. Chatterjee, S.; Murallidharan, J.S.; Agrawal, A.; Bhardwaj, R. How coronavirus survives for hours in aerosols. *Physics of fluids (1994)* **2021**, *33*, 81708-081708, DOI 10.1063/5.0059908. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0059908>.
6. Pöhlker, M.L.; Krüger, O.O.; Förster, J.; Berkemeier, T.; Elbert, W.; Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J.; Pöschl, U.; Pöhlker, C.; Bagheri, G.; Bodenschatz, E.; Huffman, J.A.; Scheithauer, S.; Mikhailov, E. Respiratory aerosols and droplets in the transmission of infectious diseases. **2021** Available online: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.01188>.
7. Saliva Composition and Functions: A Comprehensive Review. Available online: <https://search.proquest.com/docview/1938479715>.
8. Bozic, A.; Kanduc, M. Relative humidity in droplet and airborne transmission of disease. *J Biol Phys* **2021**, *47*, 1-29, DOI 10.1007/s10867-020-09562-5. Available online: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10867-020-09562-5>.
9. Lieber, C.; Melekidis, S.; Koch, R.; Bauer, H. Insights into the evaporation characteristics of saliva droplets and aerosols: Levitation experiments and numerical modeling. *Journal of aerosol science* **2021**, *154*, 105760, DOI 10.1016/j.jaerosci.2021.105760. Available online: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2021.105760>.
10. Wang, B.; Wu, H.; Wan, X. Transport and fate of human expiratory droplets-A modeling approach. *Phys. Fluids* **2020**, *32*.
11. Sen, N. Transmission and evaporation of cough droplets in an elevator: Numerical simulations of some possible scenarios.
12. Stiti, M.; Castanet, G.; Corber, A.; Alden, M.; Berrocal, E. Transition from saliva droplets to solid aerosols in the context of COVID-19 spreading. *Environmental research* **2022**, *204*, 112072, DOI 10.1016/j.envres.2021.112072. Available online: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112072>.
13. X. Xie, Y. Li, A. T. Y. Chwang, P. L. Ho, E. H. Seto How far droplets can move in indoor environments - revisiting the Wells evaporation-falling curve. , DOI 10.1111/j.1600-0668.2006.00469.x.
14. Liu, F.; Qian, H.; Zheng, X.; Song, J.; Cao, G.; Liu, Z. Evaporation and dispersion of exhaled droplets in stratified environment. *IOP conference series. Materials Science and Engineering* **2019**, *609*, 42059, DOI 10.1088/1757-899X/609/4/042059. Available online: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/609/4/042059>.
15. Dbouk, T.; Drikakis, D. On coughing and airborne droplet transmission to humans. *Physics of fluids (1994)* **2020**, *32*, 53310-053310, DOI 10.1063/5.0011960. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0011960>.
16. Li, H.; Leong, F.Y.; Xu, G.; Ge, Z.; Kang, C.W.; Lim, K.H. Dispersion of evaporating cough droplets in tropical outdoor environment. *Physics of fluids (1994)* **2020**, *32*, 113301, DOI 10.1063/5.0026360.

Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0026360>.

17. De Oliveira, P.M.; Mesquita, L.C.C.; Gkantonas, S.; Giusti, A.; Mastorakos, E. Evolution of spray and aerosol from respiratory releases: theoretical estimates for insight on viral transmission. **2020**, DOI 10.1101/2020.07.23.20160648.
18. Zhao, L.; Qi, Y.; Luzzatto-Fegiz, P.; Cui, Y.; Zhu, Y. COVID-19: Effects of Environmental Conditions on the Propagation of Respiratory Droplets. *Nano Lett* **2020**, *20*, 7744, DOI 10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c03331.
19. Pendar, M.; Páscoa, J.C. Numerical modeling of the distribution of virus carrying saliva droplets during sneeze and cough. *Physics of fluids (1994)* **2020**, *32*, 83305, DOI 10.1063/5.0018432. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0018432>.
20. Redrow, J.; Mao, S.; Celik, I.; Posada, J.A.; Feng, Z. Modeling the evaporation and dispersion of airborne sputum droplets expelled from a human cough. *Building and environment* **2011**, *46*, 2042-2051, DOI 10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.04.011. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.04.011>.
21. Menter, F.R. Two-equation eddy-viscosity turbulence models for engineering applications. *AIAA journal* **1994**, *32*, 1598-1605, DOI 10.2514/3.12149. Available online: <http://arc.aiaa.org/doi/full/10.2514/3.12149>.
22. Busco, G.; Yang, R.; Seo, J.; Hassan, Y.A. Sneezing and asymptomatic virus transmission. *Phys. Fluids* **2020**, *32*.
23. Kukkonen, J.; Vesala, T.; Kulmala, M. The interdependence of evaporation and settling for airborne freely falling droplets. *Journal of aerosol science* **1989**, *20*, 749-763, DOI 10.1016/0021-8502(89)90087-6. Available online: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-8502\(89\)90087-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-8502(89)90087-6).
24. Morawska, L. Droplet fate in indoor environments, or can we prevent the spread of infection? *Indoor air* **2006**, *16*, 335-347, DOI 10.1111/j.1600-0668.2006.00432.x. Available online: <https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-91MJ8H78-5/fulltext.pdf>.
25. Hamey, P.Y., The evaporation of airborne droplets. Granfield Institute of Technology, Bedfordshire, 1982.
26. Spillman Evaporation from freely falling droplets. *Aeronaut. J.* **1984**, *88*, 181-185.
27. Lopez-Guede, J.M.; Ramos-Hernanz, J.A.; Zulueta, E.; Fernandez-Gamiz, U.; Oterino, F. Systematic modeling of photovoltaic modules based on artificial neural networks. *International journal of hydrogen energy* **2016**, *41*, 12672-12687, DOI 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.04.175. Available online: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.04.175>.
28. Lopez-Guede, J.M.; Ramos-Hernanz, J.A.; Zulueta, E.; Fernandez-Gamiz, U.; Azkune, G. Dual model oriented modeling of monocrystalline PV modules based on artificial neuronal networks. **2017**, *42*, 18103-18120.

Figures

Figure 1

Geometry of the domain. It consists of a box with measures of 2 m X 2 m X 1.6m (X, Y, Z). At a height of 1 m X 1 m X 1.6 m a cone injector is collapsing simulating the particle.

Figure 2

Evaporation process of a droplet of saliva. Once the particle is exhaled into the environment, the evaporation process begins by evaporating saliva water. When it reaches 5 μm of diameter it becomes aerosol, becoming droplet residue.

Figure 3

Forces that affect a droplet of saliva. Droplet falling freely affected by gravity, whether weight, and drag force.

a)

b)

Figure 4

Mesh distribution. (a) Geometry of the mesh cube; (b) plane through which the particle passes.

Figure 5

Water pure droplets evaporation. Particle diameter of 1 μm, 10 μm and 100 μm at a temperature of 310.15K. Simulated in an environment with variable relative humidity of 0%, 20%, 60% and 80% at a constant temperature of 293.15K.

Figure 6

Water pure droplets fate. Droplets of 110 μm and 115 μm fall freely at an initial temperature of 289K in an environment of 293K to an RH of 70%, called as Hamey study. Spillman study with the same purpose as the above, of a particle of 170 μm of diameter at a temperature of 25°C in an environment of 31°C temperature and HR of 68%.

Figure 7

Water pure droplets evaporation. Time elapsed in the evaporation process of a droplet of pure water at an ambient temperature of 0°C, with variable relative humidity and particle diameter of 25 μm, 50 μm, 75 μm and 100 μm.

Figure 8

Water pure droplets evaporation. Time elapsed in the evaporation process of a droplet of pure water at an ambient temperature of 14°C, with variable relative humidity and particle diameter of 25 μm, 50 μm, 75 μm and 100 μm.

Figure 9

Water pure droplets evaporation. Time elapsed in the evaporation process of a droplet of pure water at an ambient temperature of 35°C, with variable relative humidity and particle diameter of 25 μm , 50 μm , 75 μm and 100 μm .

Figure 10

Difference of evaporation process. Under conditions of relative humidity of 0% and ambient temperature of 20°C, a particle of 50 μm in diameter evaporates 200 milliseconds before one of pure water that one of saliva.

Figure 11

Distance covered by droplets of saliva (10 μm , 20 μm , 30 μm , 40 μm , 50 μm , 60 μm , 70 μm , 80 μm and 90 μm) from a height of 1.6 meters at a temperature of 14°C and with a variable relative humidity.

Figure 12

Distance covered by droplets of saliva (10 μm, 20 μm, 30 μm, 40 μm, 50 μm, 60 μm, 70 μm, 80 μm and 90 μm) from a height of 1.6 meters at a temperature of 35°C and with a variable relative humidity.

Figure 13

Sketch of the designed ANN.

Figure 14

Comparison of the evaporation times of the ANN predictions (colored surface) and CFD results (black circles). (a) $T=0^{\circ}\text{C}$; (b) $T=14^{\circ}\text{C}$; (c) $T=35^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Figure 15

ANN training correlation coefficients. The vertical axis shows the ANN predictions and the horizontal axis the CFD data.