Uptake and willingness to use PrEP among Chinese gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men with experience of sexualized drug use in the past year

Zixin Wang (✉ wangzx@cuhk.edu.hk)

Chinese University of Hong Kong <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1158-2304>

Phoenix K.H. Mo

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Mary Ip

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Yuan Fang

Education University of Hong Kong

Joseph T.F. Lau

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Research article

Keywords: Pre-exposure prophylaxis, willingness to use, gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men, sexualized drug use, China

Posted Date: April 2nd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.22067/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Infectious Diseases on April 22nd, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-020-05024-4>.

Abstract

Background Sexualized drug use (SDU) refers to use of any psychoactive substance before/during sexual intercourse. Chemsex is a subset of SDU, which is defined as the use of some specific psychoactive substances (methamphetamine, mephedrone, γ -hydroxybutyrate, ketamine and cocaine) before/during sexual intercourse. SDU and chemsex are prevalent among gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men (GBMSM). This study investigated uptake and willingness to use pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) among a sample of GBMSM in Hong Kong with experience of SDU in the past year.

Methods A total of 600 GBMSM were recruited by convenient sampling through outreaching in gay venues, online recruitment and peer referral. Participants completed a cross-sectional anonymous telephone interview. This study was based on a subsample of 580 GBMSM self-reported as HIV negative/unknown sero-status.

Results: Of the participants, 82 (14.1%) and 37 (6.4%) had experience of SDU and chemsex in the past year. The prevalence of PrEP uptake was 4.0% among all participants and 14.6% among those with experience of SDU in the past year. Among MSM with experience of SDU in the past year who were not on PrEP ($n=70$), 67.1% were willing to use daily oral PrEP in the next six months. Multivariate logistic regression models showed that positive attitudes toward PrEP (AOR: 2.37, 95%CI: 1.47, 3.82), perceived support from significant others to use PrEP (AOR: 9.67, 95%CI: 2.95, 31.71), and perceived behavioral control of using PrEP (AOR: 19.68, 95%CI: 5.44, 71.26) were significantly associated with higher willingness to use PrEP.

Conclusion: GBMSM with experience of recent SDU are potentially good candidates of PrEP implementation. This group of GBMSM reported high prevalence of uptake and willingness to use PrEP. Perceptions related to PrEP based on the Theory of Planned Behavior were significantly associated with willingness to use PrEP.

Background

The HIV epidemic is severe among gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men (GBMSM) in China, as the overall HIV prevalence and incidence in this group are both high and increasing (9.9% and 5.6 per 100 person-year in 2016) (1, 2). In Hong Kong, China, where the study was conducted, the HIV prevalence and incidence among GBMSM was 6.54% and 1.0 per 100 person-year in 2017, respectively (3, 4). Out of the 681 new HIV cases in 2017, 63% acquired it via homosexual or bisexual contact (3).

In line with numerous published studies, psychoactive substances were defined as the following: 1) ketamine, 2) methamphetamine, 3) cocaine, 4) cannabis, 5) ecstasy, 6) Dormicum/Halcion/Erimin 5/non-prescription hypnotic drugs, 7) heroin, 8) cough suppressant (not for curing cough), 9) amyl nitrite (popper), 10) GHB/GBL (γ -hydroxybutyrate), 11) 5-methoxy-N, N-diisopropyltryptamine (Foxy), and 12) mephedrone (5-7). Sexualized drug use (SDU) refers to the use of any of the abovementioned psychoactive substances before/during sexual intercourse (8). Chemsex is considered as a subset of SDU, which is commonly defined as the use of some specific psychoactive substances (methamphetamine, mephedrone, γ -hydroxybutyrate (GHB/GBL), ketamine and cocaine) before/during sexual intercourse (5-7). These psychoactive substances were mainly used to facilitate, initiate, prolong, sustain and intensify sexual encounter (8, 9).

A high prevalence of SDU was reported among GBMSM in the United States (43%), Australia (54%), and Western Europe (18-54%) (5, 6, 10-13). The rates were slightly lower in Asia, ranged from 28% in mainland China, 18% in Thailand, 14% in Vietnam, to 7% in Malaysia (14). Worldwide, the prevalence of chemsex ranged from 3% to 35% among GBMSM (7, 13, 15). A recent study reported that 12% of GBMSM in Hong Kong had SDU in the past six months (excluding the use of amyl nitrite alone) (16). Psychoactive substances adversely affect users' capacity to perceive and respond to risks during sexual encounters, leading to high-risk sexual practices (e.g., condomless anal intercourse (CAI), group sex, fisting, etc.) (17) and hence HIV and other sexually transmitted infections (STI) (7). However, there was a lack of effective behavioral interventions in reducing psychoactive substance use and sexual risk behaviors among GBMSM with experience of SDU/chemsex (18-21).

Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is a potentially risk reduction measure for GBMSM with experience of SDU/chemsex, which refers to the initiation of Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate/Emtricitabine before and during periods of HIV exposure among HIV-negative individuals in order to prevent HIV acquisition (22). With good adherence, PrEP could significantly reduce the risk of HIV infection among various at-risk groups, including GBMSM (22) and drug users (23). The World Health Organization (WHO) strongly recommends PrEP to all population at substantial risk of HIV infection (24). Clinical trials did not identify any significant safety concerns with daily PrEP use among psychoactive substance users (23).

A systematic review suggested that prevalence of PrEP use among GBMSM varied from 2.5% in Australia, 2-5% in Western Europe, to 9-12% in the United States (25). PrEP use is less common among GBMSM in Hong Kong (3.6% in 2017) (3). Willingness to use PrEP among GBMSM also varied between 19.1% and 96.2%, with a pooled estimate of 57.8% (26). Meta-analyses suggested that accessibility of PrEP and social stigma contributed to willingness to use PrEP among GBMSM, and country-specific factors were likely to affect willingness (26). In Hong Kong, due to the high cost of obtaining formal prescription in private clinics (US\$1,000/month) and limited coverage of the PrEP demonstration project (70 participants), majority of local GBMSM PrEP users obtained the medication from overseas clinics or through online purchase (3). Previous studies showed that about half of Hong Kong GBMSM in general were willing to use free PrEP (3, 27). GBMSM with experience of SDU might have higher interest to use PrEP. One study in Hong Kong found that GBMSM with recent or ongoing SDU showed higher awareness of PrEP (28). While another study in the United States reported that GBMSM using amyl nitrite had higher prevalence of PrEP use (29).

In order to develop effective intervention to promote PrEP, it is important to understand factors associated with willingness to use PrEP among GBMSM with experience of SDU. At least three quantitative studies investigated factors associated with willingness to use PrEP among high-risk drug users (30-32). These studies had found a number of factors to be associated with willingness to use PrEP, including age, types and frequency of drug use, perceptions of HIV risk

and PrEP (e.g., perceived effectiveness, would be less worried if on PrEP, concerns related to side effects, and confident to use/adhere to PrEP) (30-32). However, these studies mainly targeted heterosexuals and injective drug users. The findings may not be generalized to GBMSM with experience of SDU. Only one qualitative (33) investigated reasons for PrEP use among substance-using GBMSM. The results suggested that being able to relax and not having to worry about getting HIV, and increased comfort and openness to have sexual relationship with HIV positive partners were facilitators of PrEP use among substance-using GBMSM. The participants of the qualitative study also expressed concerns that substance use/SDU would disrupt their daily routine and negatively affect their ability to take PrEP or adhere to daily PrEP (33). These factors were considered by this study.

Theory-based interventions are more effective than non-theory-based ones (34). In this study, we applied the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) (35) as the theoretical framework. The TPB postulates that behavioral intention to adopt a health-related behavior (e.g., use PrEP) is a strong predictor of actual behavior. In order to form such an intention, one would evaluate the pros and cons of the behavior (positive and negative attitudes), consider whether their significant others would support such behavior (perceived subjective norm), and appraise how much control one has over the behavior (perceived behavioral control). In recent published studies, the TPB has been successfully used to explain willingness to use PrEP among GBMSM in general (27), transgender women sex workers (36) and heterosexual population (37). Moreover, many published studies also used the TPB to explain sexual behaviors (38-40), and utilization of HIV testing (41) and antiretroviral therapy (42).

In addition, mental health may be particular salient for PrEP use. Prevalence of mental health problems (e.g., depression, anxiety, and stress) are much higher among GBMSM than that of heterosexual men (43, 44). Although acceptance of same-sex relationships is growing in many western countries, stigma toward homosexuals are still widespread in China (45). As a result, previous studies reported high prevalence of mental health problems among GBMSM in China (46, 47). Moreover, psychoactive substance use and SDU were associated with poorer mental health status among GBMSM (48-51). Poor mental health status was found to be a barrier to start and adhere to PrEP (36, 52, 53). For instance, anxiety symptoms were associated with lower willingness to use PrEP among transgender women sex workers in China (36). Therefore, in this study, we investigated the associations between depressive/anxiety symptoms and willingness to use PrEP among GBMSM with experience of SDU in the past year.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no study investigating willingness to use PrEP and associated factors among GBMSM with experience of SDU. Their prevalence of uptake and willingness to use PrEP as well as associated factors may be different from GBMSM without experience of SDU. To address these gaps, this study investigated PrEP uptake and willingness to use daily PrEP among GBMSM in Hong Kong with experience of SDU in the past year. Potential associated factors were also investigated, including variables related to socio-demographics, service utilization, sexual behaviors, patterns of SDU, perceptions related to PrEP based on the TPB, and mental health status.

Methods

Participants and data collection

Data used in this study were derived from a cross-sectional study among GBMSM in Hong Kong from April to December, 2018. Participants were: 1) Hong Kong Chinese speaking men, 2) aged 18 years or above, and 3) had anal intercourse with at least one man in the last 12 months. Participants were recruited through multiple sources. Initially, a recent mapping exercise was conducted by the government and identified 12 gay bars and 16 gay saunas in Hong Kong. On approval of the owners, trained and experienced fieldworkers approached visitors in these venues at different time slots during weekdays and weekends. They briefed the prospective participants on details of the study and gave them an information sheet on site. Those who showed interest to join the study were asked some questions to screen their eligibility. Online outreaching to potential GBMSM was also used for study recruitment. The research team posted the information of this study periodically as new discussion topics on two popular gay websites in Hong Kong which are commonly used by GBMSM to seek sex partners. If prospective participants were interested in this study, they could contact the interviewers through private messaging or other means (e.g., WhatsApp, telephone, email, etc.). Recruitment was supplemented by peer referrals. Fieldworkers screened participants' eligibility, guaranteed anonymity, right to quit at any time and that refusal would not affect chance to use any services. Verbal instead of written informed consent was obtained in order to maintain anonymity, and fieldworkers signed a form pledging that the participants had been fully informed about the study. Participants provided multiple contacts (mobile, emails, social media account, etc.) to fieldworkers and then made appointment to conduct a telephone interview.

During the interviews, trained interviewers confirmed participants' eligibility over phone, and participants again provided consent to participate in the study. Interviewers then conducted telephone interview with the participants which took about 30 minutes to complete. At least five follow-up calls were made in different time slots during weekdays and weekends before considering the case as a non-contact. Incentive was provided to participants upon completion to compensate their time spent. A HK\$50 supermarket or café coupon was mailed to an address provided by the participant, in an envelope containing no names, nor any information, about the study. Telephone numbers/addresses were cross-checked to avoid repetition. Ethics approval was obtained from the Survey and Behavioral Research Ethics Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Measures

Design of the questionnaire

A panel consisted of a public health researcher, an epidemiologist, one psychologist, one GBMSM and one non-governmental organization (NGO) worker formed to develop the questionnaire. Based on literature review and informal consultation involving all panel members, a tentative list of potential measurement was drafted. The panel members then rated the relevancy and importance on a pool of items in the list. A questionnaire was drafted and pilot among five GBMSM selected by purposive sampling. The five GBMSM commented on the relevancy, wording and content of the draft questionnaire. Based on comments made by GBMSM and all panel members, revision was made accordingly. The semi-final questionnaire was then pilot among another five GBMSM

to seek further comments on wording and relevancy. All panel members then finalized the questionnaire. Participants of the pilot study did not take part in the actual survey.

Socio-demographics, utilization of HIV prevention services, sexual behaviors and mental health status

Participants were asked to report on socio-demographics, sexual orientation, HIV prevention services utilization, and history of STIs other than HIV. Queried sexual behaviors included anal intercourse with regular and non-regular male sex partners, CAI with men, and multiple male sex partnerships in the last year. Regular male sex partners (RP) were defined as lovers/stable boyfriends, while non-regular male sex partners (NRP) were defined as casual sex partners and/or male sex workers. Measurements of these variables had been used some published studies targeting GBMSM in Hong Kong (27, 54).

Probable depression was measured by validated Chinese version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Short Depression Scale (CES-D-10) (55), which has been widely used in studies targeting GBMSM (56, 57). Scores ≥ 10 indicated presence of clinically significant depressive symptoms (range: 0-30) (55). Anxiety symptoms were measured by validated Chinese version of the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7) (58). A cut-off score of 15 is recommended to define severe anxiety (58). In this study, the Cronbach alpha of the CES-D-10 and the GAD-7 was 0.893 and 0.930, respectively.

Patterns of SDU

Participants with experience of SDU in the past year were asked to report on the details about SDU, including: 1) types of psychoactive substance used during SDU in the past year, 2) poly-use of psychoactive substances during SDU in the past year, 3) time since the first episode of SDU, 4) frequency of SDU in the past year, 5) CAI during SDU in the past year, and 6) utilization of drug cessation/rehabilitation services in lifetime. Queried details of their most recent episode of SDU included number of people involved, use of alcohol and erectile dysfunction drug, and presence of group sex and CAI.

PrEP use and willingness to use PrEP

Participants who were currently on PrEP were asked about sources of PrEP, methods of PrEP use (i.e., daily PrEP, on-demand PrEP, and holiday PrEP: using PrEP either daily or on-demand only in some period of time). Daily PrEP users were asked about whether they had missed more than three doses of PrEP within a week in the past month. Such measurement of adherence was commonly used in published studies (59).

Participants with experience of SDU in the past year who were not on PrEP were briefed with the following: *“PrEP is a strategy that promotes taking oral antiretroviral drugs to prevent HIV infection among HIV-negative individuals. PrEP is strongly recommended by the WHO as an additional HIV prevention strategy for MSM. You are required to take PrEP once every day when you are using it in order to achieve its effect in preventing HIV infection. Daily use of oral PrEP could reduce risk of HIV infection by 92%. PrEP has possible side effects such as nausea, vomiting and headache”*. They were then asked whether they were willing to take a once-daily oral pill as PrEP in the next six months (Response categories: 1=definitely not, 2=probably not, 3=neutral, 4=probably will, 5=definitely will). Responses were then dichotomized. Willingness to use daily PrEP was defined as “probably will” or “definitely will”. Such a definition has been commonly used in previous studies (27, 60, 61). For those with willingness to use PrEP, they were further asked about the maximum amount (in HK\$) they were willing to pay per month for using daily PrEP.

Perceptions related to PrEP based on the TPB

Two scales based on the TPB were constructed for this study, they are the 3-item Positive Attitude Scale and the 5-item Negative Positive Scale (response categories: 1=disagree, 2=neutral, 3=agree). The Cronbach's alpha for the Positive Attitude Scale and the Negative Attitude Scale was 0.648 and 0.747, single factors were identified by explanatory factor analysis, explaining for 56.6-61.6% of the total variances. We extracted one item from the validated Subjective Norm Scale (i.e., ‘people who are important to you will support you to use PrEP’; response categories: 1=disagree, 2=neutral, 3=agree) (27) to measure perceived subjective norm related to PrEP. In addition, one item was extracted from the validated Perceived Behavioral Control Scale with some modification to measure perceived behavioral control related to PrEP use (i.e., ‘In general, you are confident in taking PrEP every day in the next six months’; response categories: 1=disagree, 2=neutral, 3=agree) (27).

Statistical analysis

Using SDU in past year (among all participants) and willingness to use PrEP (among participants with experience of SDU in the past year who were not on PrEP) as the dependent variables, univariate odds ratios (ORu) of independent variables of interest were obtained by logistic regression models. Two summary multivariate logistic regression models were fit for these two dependent variables, using independent variables with $p < 0.05$ in univariate analysis as candidates. Adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and respective 95% confidence interval (CI) were obtained from the analyses. SPSS version 21.0 was used for data analysis, with p values < 0.05 taken as statistically significant. SPSS version 21.0 was used for data analysis, with p values < 0.05 taken as statistically significant.

Results

Socio-demographics, HIV prevention service utilization, sexual behaviors and mental health status

Out of 1131 prospective participants being approached through outreach in gay venues ($n=211$), online recruitment ($n=607$) and peer referral ($n=313$), 906 showed interest to join the study and left their contact information. All these 906 participants were successfully contacted, 711 were screened to be eligible. Of eligible participants, 600 provided verbal informed consent and completed the telephone interview. The main reason for not providing informed consent was lack of time to complete the survey ($n=71$), while the other 40 refusals did not specify their reason. This study was based on 580 GBMSM self-reported to be HIV negative/unknown HIV sero-status.

Most participants were aged 18-30 years (56.6%), currently single (84.0%), full-time employed (84.5%), with monthly personal income of at least HK\$20,000/month (57.5%), and had attained at least a college education (84.5%). Over half had tested for HIV (71.6%) and had used other forms of HIV prevention services (55.3%) in the past year. In addition, 19.5% self-reported a history of STI other than HIV. In the last year, 85.3% and 60.9% had anal intercourse with regular and non-regular male sex partners, respectively. A total of 39.5% and 69.3% participants reported CAI with men and multiple male sex partnerships, respectively. The prevalence of probable depression and probable case of severe anxiety was 35.9% and 6%, respectively. (Table 1)

Prevalence of SDU in the past year and associated factors

Among participants, 82 (14.1%) and 37 (6.4%) reported SDU and chemsex in the past year, respectively.

During SDU in the past year, popper (80.5%), Methamphetamine (31.7%), and GHB/GBL (31.7%) were the most commonly used psychoactive substances; 30.5% reported poly-use of psychoactive substances, and 39.3% reported CAI. Only 1.9% and 7.5% had ever utilized drug cessation/rehabilitation provided by governmental and non-governmental organizations. In the most recent episode of SDU, 21.7% involved more than two people, 14.5% and 30.1% used alcohol and erectile dysfunction drugs, 19.3% and 50.6% had group sex and CAI. (Table 2)

In multivariate logistic regression model, participants who had utilized other forms of HIV prevention services (AOR: 1.77, 95%CI: 1.03, 3.05), had anal intercourse with NRP (AOR: 7.75, 95%CI: 2.30, 26.09), and CAI with men (AOR: 2.46, 1.44, 4.21) reported higher prevalence of SDU in the past year. As compared to participants without experience of SDU in the past year, those with such experience were more likely to use PrEP (AOR: 4.09, 95%CI: 1.56, 10.73). Higher education level was associated with lower prevalence of SDU in the past year (AOR: 0.36, 95%CI: 0.19, 0.69). (Table 1)

PrEP uptake and willingness to use daily oral PrEP

Twenty-three participants (4.0%) were on PrEP at the date of the survey. Sources of their PrEP included online purchase (7/23, 30.4%), clinics in Thailand (6/23, 26.1%), clinics in Hong Kong (3/23, 13.0%), the ongoing PrEP demonstration project in Hong Kong (6/23, 26.1%), and friends (1/23, 4.4%). Majority of them (14/23, 60.9%) were taking daily PrEP, six (26.1%) were using on-demand PrEP, and three (13.0%) were on holiday PrEP. Five out of 14 daily PrEP users (35.7%) reported sub-optimal adherence to daily regimen in the past month.

Among 70 participants with experience of SDU in the past year who were not on PrEP, 67.1% were willing to use daily oral PrEP in the next six months. Among 28 participants who had chemsex in the past year who were not on PrEP, 19 (67.9%) showed willingness to use daily oral PrEP in the next six months.

Factors associated with willingness to use daily oral PrEP in the next six months among GBMSM with SDU in the past year

Multivariate logistic regression model showed that three out of four constructs of the TPB were significantly and positively associated with willingness to use PrEP. They were: 1) the Positive Attitude Scale (AOR: 2.37, 95%CI: 1.47, 3.82), 2) perceived support from significant others to use PrEP (subjective norm) (AOR: 9.67, 95%CI: 2.95, 31.71), and 3) perceived behavioral control of taking PrEP every day in the next six months (AOR: 19.68, 95%CI: 5.44, 71.26). (Table 4)

Discussion

Our findings showed that 4% of our sampled GBMSM were on PrEP, rate that was comparable to that of a representative GBMSM survey conducted in 2017 (3.6%) (3). Our hypothesis that GBMSM with experience of recent SDU had higher interest to use PrEP was supported by the results, as they had much higher PrEP uptake than those without such experience. Mathematical models suggested that achieving 75% PrEP coverage among high-risk HIV-negative GBMSM in China would prevent 25.7% of new HIV infection among all GBMSM (62). GBMSM with experience of recent SDU may be a priority group for future PrEP implementation. Strategies to increase PrEP access and coverage are hence needed for this group of GBMSM in Hong Kong.

Among GBMSM with experience of SDU in the past year who were not on PrEP, about 70% were willing to use daily PrEP in the next six months. The rate was higher than that of GBMSM in general in Hong Kong (27). Data on willingness to pay suggested that the current market rate for obtaining PrEP in Hong Kong (HK\$8,000 per month) was not affordable for this group of GBMSM, as none of them were willing to pay such amount. Future PrEP implementation in Hong Kong should take potential users' willingness to pay into consideration. Without affordable PrEP in Hong Kong, this group of GBMSM may seek cheaper PrEP from informal channels (e.g., online purchases or overseas clinics) (3). Previous studies showed that informal GBMSM PrEP users often reported sub-optimal adherence, risk compensation, and not taking up required testing (63). These issues threaten their safety.

To achieve high coverage of PrEP, health promotion is needed even after affordable PrEP is available in Hong Kong. Findings of this study provided some insights for promoting PrEP among Hong Kong GBMSM with experience of recent SDU. Those who had taken up HIV testing in the past year showed higher willingness to use PrEP. HIV testing and counseling may be an ideal setting to promote PrEP, as confirmed HIV-negative sero-status is a prerequisite for initiate PrEP, and users may already be motivated to take up HIV protective measures and should be more ready to use PrEP (64). Local NGO may play an important role in future PrEP implementation, as they are main providers of HIV testing services for GBMSM in Hong Kong (65).

Our results also suggested that increasing positive attitudes toward PrEP, creating subjective norm supporting PrEP use, and enhancing perceived behavioral control of using PrEP are potential useful strategies to promote PrEP, as these factors were significantly associated with willingness to use PrEP. Health communication messages should emphasize PrEP is an effective strategy in preventing HIV during SDU. Future health promotion should also encourage GBMSM with experience of SDU to discuss PrEP with their significant others to obtain support. Simplification of the procedures to obtain PrEP and provision of gay-friendly services may be useful strategies to enhance perceived behavioral control of using PrEP. In contrast to our hypothesis, negative attitudes such as concerns about psychoactive substances would reduce effectiveness, increase severity of side effects or risk of non-adherence, or lead to

stigmatization originated from service providers was not significantly associated with willingness to use PrEP. Removing these negative attitudes may not be useful strategies to promote PrEP in this group.

In addition, our study also showed that prevalence of SDU and chemsex among GBMSM in Hong Kong was lower than that of Western Europe, Australia, the United States and mainland China (5, 6, 10-14). Similar to previous findings, SDU in the past year was associated with higher prevalence of anal intercourse with NRP and CAI with men. Among GBMSM with experience of SDU in the past year, many of them engaged in SDU frequently, and reported CAI and group sex during SDU. Since we did not assess adoption of biobehavioral or behavioral risk reduction strategies (e.g., negotiated safety, serosorting, etc.) during sexual encounters, CAI might not represent high risk of HIV/STI infection. Future studies investigating sexual behaviors during SDU should take adoption of these biobehavioral or behavioral risk reduction strategies into account.

This study was one of the first studies looking at PrEP use among Chinese GBMSM with experience of recent SDU. However, it had some limitations. First, the small sample size of GBMSM with experience of SDU in the past year was one major limitation of this study. Only 70 GBMSM with such experience were asked about willingness to use PrEP and perceptions related to PrEP. This was a pilot study providing some preliminary data among this group of GBMSM with particularly high risk of HIV infection. Second, we did not ask PrEP-related questions among participants without experience of recent SDU. We were not able to compare perceptions related PrEP between GBMSM with and without experience of recent SDU. Third, we did not ask whether participants used PrEP or adopted other behavioral strategies (e.g., negotiated safety) during sexual encounters. Without measuring adoption of biobehavioral or behavioral risk reduction strategies, CAI might not represent high risk of HIV/STI infection. Fourth, participants were recruited by non-probabilistic sampling in the absence of sampling frame. As compared to a representative GBMSM survey in Hong Kong (3), our participants reported lower level of CAI, but higher HIV testing rate. Fifth, we were not able to obtain characteristics of participants who refused to join the study; selection bias might exist. Moreover, the results were self-reported and were collected via telephone interview, social desirability bias might exist. The prevalence of SDU, chemsex, CAI with men and multiple male sex partnerships may be under-reported. Furthermore, we did not ask participants' willingness to use on-demand PrEP (66). The willingness to use PrEP may be underestimated. Finally yet importantly, this was a cross-sectional survey and could not establish causal relationship.

Conclusion

GBMSM with experience of recent SDU are potentially good candidates of PrEP implementation. This group of GBMSM reported higher prevalence of PrEP uptake and willingness to use PrEP. Perceptions related to PrEP based on the TPB were significantly associated with willingness to use PrEP.

Abbreviations

GBMSM: Gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men

HIV: Human Immunodeficiency virus

SDU: sexualized drug use

CAI: condomless anal intercourse

PrEP: pre-exposure prophylaxis

NGO: non-governmental organization

STI: sexually transmitted infection

ORu: univariate odds ratios

AOR: adjusted odds ratios

CI: confidence interval

SD: Standard deviation

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Survey and Behavioral Research Ethics Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Verbal instead of written informed consent was obtained due to maintaining anonymity but the fieldworkers signed a form pledging that the participants had been fully informed about the study.

Consent for publication: All authors have read and approved the manuscript for publication

Availability of data and materials: All data are included in the manuscript.

Competing interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding: This study was supported by the Beat Drugs Fund, Narcotics Division, Security Bureau of Hong Kong SAR, China (Ref# 160051). The funder had no role in study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data, writing the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication

Authors' contribution: Author ZW and JTFL designed the study and wrote the protocol. Author ZW and PM designed the questionnaire and analytical plan. Author ZW and MI supervised the data collection process. Author ZW and FY analyzed and interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. Author ZW and JTFL revised the manuscript critically and finalized the paper. All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript

References

1. Qin Q, Tang W, Ge L, Li D, Mahapatra T, Wang L, et al. Changing trend of HIV, Syphilis and Hepatitis C among Men Who Have Sex with Men in China. *Scientific reports*. 2016;6:31081.
2. Zhang W, Xu JJ, Zou H, Zhang J, Wang N, Shang H. HIV incidence and associated risk factors in men who have sex with men in Mainland China: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sexual health*. 2016.
3. Centre for Health Protection. Factsheet: PRISM — HIV prevalence and risk behavioural survey of men who have sex with men in Hong Kong 2017. Available at: https://www.aids.gov.hk/english/surveillance/sur_report/prism2017e.pdf. . 2018.
4. Hong Kong Advisory Council on AIDS. Recommended HIV/AIDS strategies for Hong Kong (2017-2021). Available at: <http://www.aca.gov.hk/english/strategies/pdf/strategies17-21.pdf>. 2017.
5. Evers YJ, Van Liere G, Hoebe C, Dukers-Muijrers N. Chemsex among men who have sex with men living outside major cities and associations with sexually transmitted infections: A cross-sectional study in the Netherlands. *PloS one*. 2019;14(5):e0216732.
6. Hibbert MP, Brett CE, Porcellato LA, Hope VD. Psychosocial and sexual characteristics associated with sexualised drug use and chemsex among men who have sex with men (MSM) in the UK. *Sexually transmitted infections*. 2019;95(5):342-50.
7. Maxwell S, Shahmanesh M, Gafos M. Chemsex behaviours among men who have sex with men: A systematic review of the literature. *The International journal on drug policy*. 2019;63:74-89.
8. Edmundson C, Heinsbroek E, Glass R, Hope V, Mohammed H, White M, et al. Sexualised drug use in the United Kingdom (UK): A review of the literature. *The International journal on drug policy*. 2018;55:131-48.
9. Public Health England. Substance misuse services for men who have sex with men involved in chemsex. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/669676/Substance_misuse_services_for_men_who_2015.
10. Druckler S, van Rooijen MS, de Vries HJC. Chemsex Among Men Who Have Sex With Men: a Sexualized Drug Use Survey Among Clients of the Sexually Transmitted Infection Outpatient Clinic and Users of a Gay Dating App in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. *Sexually transmitted diseases*. 2018;45(5):325-31.
11. Park SH, Al-Ajlouni Y, Palamar JJ, Goedel WC, Estreet A, Elbel B, et al. Financial hardship and drug use among men who have sex with men. *Substance abuse treatment, prevention, and policy*. 2018;13(1):19.
12. Ryan KE, Wilkinson AL, Pedrana A, Quinn B, Dietze P, Hellard M, et al. Implications of survey labels and categorisations for understanding drug use in the context of sex among gay and bisexual men in Melbourne, Australia. *The International journal on drug policy*. 2018;55:183-6.
13. Sewell J, Cambiano V, Speakman A, Lampe FC, Phillips A, Stuart D, et al. Changes in chemsex and sexual behaviour over time, among a cohort of MSM in London and Brighton: Findings from the AURAH2 study. *The International journal on drug policy*. 2019;68:54-61.
14. Lim SH, Akbar M, Wickersham JA, Kamarulzaman A, Altice FL. The management of methamphetamine use in sexual settings among men who have sex with men in Malaysia. *The International journal on drug policy*. 2018;55:256-62.
15. Kohli M, Hickson F, Free C, Reid D, Weatherburn P. Cross-sectional analysis of chemsex drug use and gonorrhoea diagnosis among men who have sex with men in the UK. *Sexual health*. 2019.
16. Wong NS, Kwan TH, Lee KCK, Lau JYC, Lee SS. Delineation of chemsex patterns of men who have sex with men in association with their sexual networks and linkage to HIV prevention. *The International journal on drug policy*. 2019;75:102591.
17. Kurtz SP. Post-circuit blues: motivations and consequences of crystal meth use among gay men in Miami. *AIDS and behavior*. 2005;9(1):63-72.
18. Coffin PO, Santos GM, Colfax G, Das M, Matheson T, DeMicco E, et al. Adapted personalized cognitive counseling for episodic substance-using men who have sex with men: a randomized controlled trial. *AIDS and behavior*. 2014;18(7):1390-400.
19. Santos GM, Coffin PO, Vittinghoff E, DeMicco E, Das M, Matheson T, et al. Substance use and drinking outcomes in Personalized Cognitive Counseling randomized trial for episodic substance-using men who have sex with men. *Drug and alcohol dependence*. 2014;138:234-9.
20. Kurtz SP, Stall RD, Buttram ME, Surratt HL, Chen M. A randomized trial of a behavioral intervention for high risk substance-using MSM. *AIDS and behavior*. 2013;17(9):2914-26.
21. Mansergh G, Koblin BA, McKirnan DJ, Hudson SM, Flores SA, Wiegand RE, et al. An intervention to reduce HIV risk behavior of substance-using men who have sex with men: a two-group randomized trial with a nonrandomized third group. *PLoS medicine*. 2010;7(8):e1000329.
22. Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, McMahan V, Liu AY, Vargas L, et al. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2010;363(27):2587-99.
23. Choopanya K, Martin M, Suntharasamai P, Sangkum U, Mock PA, Leethochawalit M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV infection in injecting drug users in Bangkok, Thailand (the Bangkok Tenofovir Study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9883):2083-90.
24. World Health Organization. WHO expands recommendation on oral pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV infection (PrEP). Available at: <https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/prep/policy-brief-prep-2015/en/>. 2015.

25. Maxwell S, Gafos M, Shahmanesh M. Pre-exposure Prophylaxis Use and Medication Adherence Among Men Who Have Sex With Men: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *The Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care* : JANAC. 2019;30(4):e38-e61.
26. Peng P, Su S, Fairley CK, Chu M, Jiang S, Zhuang X, et al. A Global Estimate of the Acceptability of Pre-exposure Prophylaxis for HIV Among Men Who have Sex with Men: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *AIDS and behavior*. 2018;22(4):1063-74.
27. Wang Z, Lau JTF, Fang Y, Ip M, Gross DL. Prevalence of actual uptake and willingness to use pre-exposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV acquisition among men who have sex with men in Hong Kong, China. *PloS one*. 2018;13(2):e0191671.
28. Kwan TZ, Lee SS. Bridging awareness and acceptance of pre-exposure prophylaxis among men who have sex with men — the need for targeting chem-sex and HIV testing: cross-sectional survey. *JMIR public health and surveillance*. 2019.
29. Holloway IW, Dougherty R, Gildner J, Beougher SC, Pulsipher C, Montoya JA, et al. Brief Report: PrEP Uptake, Adherence, and Discontinuation Among California YMSM Using Geosocial Networking Applications. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes*. 2017;74(1):15-20.
30. Shrestha R, Altice FL, Huedo-Medina TB, Karki P, Copenhaver M. Willingness to Use Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP): An Empirical Test of the Information-Motivation-Behavioral Skills (IMB) Model among High-Risk Drug Users in Treatment. *AIDS and behavior*. 2017;21(5):1299-308.
31. Stein M, Thurmond P, Bailey G. Willingness to use HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among opiate users. *AIDS and behavior*. 2014;18(9):1694-700.
32. Kuo I, Olsen H, Patrick R, Phillips G, 2nd, Magnus M, Opoku J, et al. Willingness to use HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among community-recruited, older people who inject drugs in Washington, DC. *Drug and alcohol dependence*. 2016;164:8-13.
33. Storholm ED, Volk JE, Marcus JL, Silverberg MJ, Satre DD. Risk Perception, Sexual Behaviors, and PrEP Adherence Among Substance-Using Men Who Have Sex with Men: a Qualitative Study. *Prevention science : the official journal of the Society for Prevention Research*. 2017;18(6):737-47.
34. Michie S, Johnston M, Francis J, Hardeman W, Eccle M. From theory to intervention: mapping theoretically derived behavioural determinants to behaviour change techniques. *Applied Psychology*. 2008;57:660-80.
35. Ajzen I. The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision*. 1991;50(2):179-211.
36. Wang Z, Lau JTF, Yang X, Cai Y, Gross DL, Ma T, et al. Acceptability of Daily Use of Free Oral Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Among Transgender Women Sex Workers in Shenyang, China. *AIDS and behavior*. 2017;21(12):3287-98.
37. Roth A, Felsher M, Tran N, Bellamy S, Martinez-Donate A, Krakower D, et al. Drawing from the Theory of Planned Behaviour to examine pre-exposure prophylaxis uptake intentions among heterosexuals in high HIV prevalence neighbourhoods in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA: an observational study. *Sexual health*. 2019;16(3):218-24.
38. Tseng YH, Cheng CP, Kuo SH, Hou WL, Chan TF, Chou FH. Safe sexual behaviors intention among female youth: The construction on extended theory of planned behavior. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2020;76(3):814-23.
39. Chowdhuri RN, Pinchoff J, Boyer CB, Ngo TD. Exploring gender and partner communication: Theory of planned behavior predictors for condom use among urban youth in Zambia. *International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*. 2019;147(2):258-67.
40. Wu AMS, Lau JTF, Wang Z, Ma L. Prevalence and Factors of Concurrent Multiple Male Sex Partnership Among Men Who Have Sex With Men With Regular Sex Partners in Beijing, China. *Journal of sex & marital therapy*. 2019;45(3):247-58.
41. Niu L, Wang Z, Fang Y, Ip M, Lau JTF. Behavior intention to use routine opt-out HIV testing in primary care settings among men who have sex with men in China. *AIDS care*. 2019;31(12):1565-73.
42. Yang X, Wang Z, Wang X, Ma T, Xue H, He Y, et al. Behavioral Intention to Initiate Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) Among Chinese HIV-Infected Men Who Have Sex With Men Having High CD4 Count in the Era of "Treatment for All". *American journal of men's health*. 2019;13(1):1557988319828615.
43. Batchelder AW, Safren S, Mitchell AD, Ivardic I, O'Cleirigh C. Mental health in 2020 for men who have sex with men in the United States. *Sexual health*. 2017;14(1):59-71.
44. King M, Semlyen J, Tai SS, Killaspy H, Osborn D, Popelyuk D, et al. A systematic review of mental disorder, suicide, and deliberate self harm in lesbian, gay and bisexual people. *BMC psychiatry*. 2008;8:70.
45. Chi X, Hawk ST. Attitudes toward Same-Sex Attraction and Behavior among Chinese University Students: Tendencies, Correlates, and Gender Differences. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2016;7:1592.
46. Yu L, Jiang C, Na J, Li N, Diao W, Gu Y, et al. Elevated 12-month and lifetime prevalence and comorbidity rates of mood, anxiety, and alcohol use disorders in Chinese men who have sex with men. *PloS one*. 2013;8(4):e50762.
47. Liu J, Yi Z, Zhao Y, Qu B, Zhu Y. The psychological health and associated factors of men who have sex with men in China: A cross-sectional survey. *PloS one*. 2018;13(5):e0197481.
48. Carrico AW, Pollack LM, Stall RD, Shade SB, Neillands TB, Rice TM, et al. Psychological processes and stimulant use among men who have sex with men. *Drug and alcohol dependence*. 2012;123(1-3):79-83.
49. Hegazi A, Lee MJ, Whittaker W, Green S, Simms R, Cutts R, et al. Chemsex and the city: sexualised substance use in gay bisexual and other men who have sex with men attending sexual health clinics. *International journal of STD & AIDS*. 2017;28(4):362-6.
50. Kecojevic A, Wong CF, Corliss HL, Lankenau SE. Risk factors for high levels of prescription drug misuse and illicit drug use among substance-using young men who have sex with men (YMSM). *Drug and alcohol dependence*. 2015;150:156-63.
51. Pufall EL, Kall M, Shahmanesh M, Nardone A, Gilson R, Delpech V, et al. Sexualized drug use ('chemsex') and high-risk sexual behaviours in HIV-positive men who have sex with men. *HIV medicine*. 2018;19(4):261-70.
52. Velloza J, Baeten JM, Haberer J, Ngure K, Irungu E, Mugno NR, et al. Effect of Depression on Adherence to Oral PrEP Among Men and Women in East Africa. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes*. 2018;79(3):330-8.

53. Ogunbajo A, Kang A, Shangani S, Wade RM, Onyango DP, Odero WW, et al. Awareness and Acceptability of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) among gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men (GBMSM) in Kenya. *AIDS care*. 2019;1-8.
54. Wang Z, Lau JTF, Ip M, Ho SPY, Mo PKH, Latkin C, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial Evaluating Efficacy of Promoting a Home-Based HIV Self-Testing with Online Counseling on Increasing HIV Testing Among Men Who Have Sex with Men. *AIDS and behavior*. 2018;22(1):190-201.
55. Boey KW. Cross-validation of a short form of the CES-D in Chinese elderly. *International journal of geriatric psychiatry*. 1999;14(8):608-17.
56. Li D, Li C, Wang Z, Lau JT. Prevalence and associated factors of unprotected anal intercourse with regular male sex partners among HIV negative men who have sex with men in China: a cross-sectional survey. *PloS one*. 2015;10(3):e0119977.
57. Su X, Zhou AN, Li J, Shi LE, Huan X, Yan H, et al. Depression, Loneliness, and Sexual Risk-Taking Among HIV-Negative/Unknown Men Who Have Sex with Men in China. *Archives of sexual behavior*. 2018;47(7):1959-68.
58. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2006;166(10):1092-7.
59. Parsons JT, Rendina HJ, Lassiter JM, Whitfield TH, Starks TJ, Grov C. Uptake of HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) in a National Cohort of Gay and Bisexual Men in the United States. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes*. 2017;74(3):285-92.
60. Ko NY, Chen BJ, Li CW, Ku WW, Hsu ST. Willingness to Self-Pay for Pre-exposure Prophylaxis in Men Who Have Sex With Men: A National Online Survey in Taiwan. *AIDS education and prevention : official publication of the International Society for AIDS Education*. 2016;28(2):128-37.
61. Zhang Y, Peng B, She Y, Liang H, Peng HB, Qian HZ, et al. Attitudes toward HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among men who have sex with men in western China. *AIDS patient care and STDs*. 2013;27(3):137-41.
62. Li J, Peng L, Gilmour S, Gu J, Ruan Y, Zou H, et al. A mathematical model of biomedical interventions for HIV prevention among men who have sex with men in China. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2018;18(1):600.
63. Rivierez I, Quatremere G, Spire B, Ghosn J, Rojas Castro D. Lessons learned from the experiences of informal PrEP users in France: results from the ANRS-PrEPAGE study. *AIDS care*. 2018;30(sup2):48-53.
64. Lau JT, Wang Z, Kim JH, Lau M, Lai CH, Mo PK. Acceptability of HPV vaccines and associations with perceptions related to HPV and HPV vaccines among men who have sex with men in Hong Kong. *PloS one*. 2013;8(2):e57204.
65. Wong HT, Tam HY, Chan DP, Lee SS. Usage and acceptability of HIV self-testing in men who have sex with men in Hong Kong. *AIDS and behavior*. 2015;19(3):505-15.
66. Hall EW, Heneine W, Sanchez T, Sineath RC, Sullivan P. Preexposure Prophylaxis Modality Preferences Among Men Who Have Sex With Men and Use Social Media in the United States. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2016;18(5):e111.

Tables

Table 1 Characteristics of GBMSM with and without experience of sexualized drug use (SDU) in the past year

	All (n=580)	Without experience of SDU in the past year (n=498)	With experience of SDU in the past year (n=82)	With vs. without experience of SDU in the past year	
	%	%	%	OR (95%CI)	AOR (95%CI)
Socio-demographic characteristics					
Age group					
18-30	56.6	57.6	50.0	1.0	
31-40	31.6	30.5	37.8	1.43 (0.86, 2.37)	
>40	11.9	11.8	12.2	1.19 (0.56, 2.50)	---
Highest educational level attained					
Senior high or below	15.5	14.3	23.2	1.0	1.0
College or above	84.5	85.7	76.8	0.55 (0.31, 0.98)*	0.36 (0.19, 0.69)**
Current marital status					
Currently single	84.0	84.9	78.0	1.0	
Married/cohabited with a man	15.7	14.7	19.8	1.63 (0.91, 2.91)†	
Married/cohabited with a woman	0.3	0.4	0.0	N.A.	---
Monthly personal income (HK\$)					
<10,000	13.4	12.7	18.3	1.0	
10,000-19,999	29.1	28.1	35.4	0.87 (0.44, 1.74)	
20,000-39,999	36.7	37.8	30.5	0.56 (0.28, 1.13)	
40,000 and above	19.7	20.5	14.6	0.49 (0.22, 1.12)†	
Refuse to disclose	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.84 (0.09, 7.73)	---
Current employment status					
Full-time	83.6	85.1	74.4	1.0	1.0
Part-time/unemployed/retired/ students	16.4	14.9	25.6	1.97 (1.13, 3.43)*	1.37 (0.74, 2.56)
Sexual orientation					
Homosexual	90.7	90.4	92.7	1.0	
Bisexual	8.4	8.6	7.3	0.83 (0.34, 2.01)	
Heterosexual	0.9	1.0	0.0	N.A.	---
Channels of recruitment					
Outreach in gay venues	14.1	13.3	19.5	1.0	
Online recruitment	57.6	58.6	51.2	0.59 (0.31, 1.12)	
Peer referral	28.3	28.1	29.3	0.71 (0.35, 1.42)	---
Service utilization					
HIV testing in the last 12 months					
No	28.4	29.9	19.5	1.0	
Yes	71.6	70.1	80.5	1.76 (0.99, 3.14)†	---
Other HIV prevention services in the last 12 months (e.g., condom distribution, peer education, pamphlet and lectures)					
No	44.7	46.8	31.7	1.0	1.0
Yes	55.3	53.2	68.3	1.89 (1.15, 3.11)*	1.77 (1.03, 3.05)*
History of sexual transmitted infections					
History of sexually transmitted infections other than HIV					
No	80.5	82.9	65.9	1.0	1.0
Yes	19.5	17.1	34.1	2.52 (1.51, 4.21)***	1.72 (0.97, 3.07)†
Sexual behaviors in the last 12 months					
Had had anal intercourse with regular male sex partners (RP)					
No	14.7	13.5	22.0	1.0	1.0
Yes	85.3	86.5	78.0	0.55 (0.31, 0.99)*	0.90 (0.46, 1.73)
Had had anal intercourse with non-regular male sex partners (NRP)					

No	39.1	44.0	9.8	1.0	1.0
Yes	60.9	56.0	90.2	7.26 (3.43, 15.38)***	7.75 (2.30, 26.09)**
Condomless anal intercourse (CAI) with men					
No	60.5	63.7	41.5	1.0	1.0
Yes	39.5	36.3	58.5	2.47 (1.54, 3.98)***	2.46 (1.44, 4.21)**
Multiple male sex partnerships					
No	30.7	34.1	9.8	1.0	1.0
Yes	69.3	65.9	90.2	4.79 (2.26, 10.18)***	0.84 (0.25, 2.80)
Use of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP)					
Currently on PrEP					
No	96.0	97.8	85.4	1.0	1.0
Yes	4.0	2.2	14.6	7.59 (3.23, 17.86)***	4.09 (1.56, 10.73)**
Mental health status					
Depressive symptoms					
No clinical depressive symptoms (CES-D-10 score <10)	64.1	65.7	54.9	1.0	
Presence of clinical depressive symptoms (CES-D-10 score ≥10)	35.9	34.3	45.1	1.57 (0.98, 2.52)†	---
Anxiety					
No/mild anxiety (GAD-7 score <15)	94.0	94.4	91.5	1.0	
Severe anxiety (GAD-7 score ≥15)	6.0	5.6	8.5	1.57 (0.66, 3.72)	---

OR: crude odds ratios

AOR: multivariate odds ratios obtained by multivariate logistic regression using variables in Table 1 that were found to be statistically significant in the univariate analysis as candidates.

95%CI: 95% confidence interval

† 0.05<P<0.10, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001

N.A.: not applicable; ---: not considered in the model

Table 2 Patterns of SDU and perceptions related PrEP among GBMSM with experience of SDU in the past year

	% / Mean (SD)
Patterns of SDU	(n=82)
Types of psychoactive substance used during SDU in past year	
Ketamine	1.2
Methamphetamine	31.7
Cocaine	1.2
Cannabis	8.5
Ecstasy	3.7
Dormicum / Halcion / Erimin 5 / Hypnotic drugs (non-prescription)	0.0
Heroin	0.0
Cough suppressant (not for curing cough)	1.2
Amyl nitrite	80.5
GHB/GBL	31.7
5-methoxy-N, N-diisopropyltryptamine (Foxy)	4.9
Mephedrone	0.0
Poly-use of psychoactive substances in lifetime	
No	69.5
Yes	30.5
Time since the first episode of SDU	
<1 year	40.2
1-2 years	15.9
3-5 years	15.9
>5 years	28.0
Frequency of SDU in the past year	
1 episode/month	37.8
1-2 episodes/month	29.3
≥3 episodes/month	32.9
Condomless anal intercourse during SDU in the past year	
No	48.8
Yes	51.2
Drug cessation/rehabilitation services provided by governmental organizations	
No	97.6
Yes	2.4
Drug cessation/rehabilitation services provided by non-governmental organizations	
No	90.2
Yes	9.8
Details of the most recent episode of SDU	
Number of participants	
2	78.0
≥3	22.0
Alcohol consumption	
No	85.4
Yes	14.6
Use of erectile dysfunction drugs	
No	69.5
Yes	30.5
Group sex	
No	80.5
Yes	19.5
Condomless anal intercourse	
No	48.8
Yes	51.2
Perceptions related to PrEP (among participants with experience of SDU in the past year who were not on PrEP)	(n=70)
Willingness to take once-daily oral pill as PrEP in the next six months after being briefed some facts of PrEP	
No (definitely not/probably not/neutral)	32.9
Yes (probably will/definitely will)	67.1
Willingness to pay (HK\$ per month) for using once-daily oral pill as PrEP (among those with willingness to take PrEP in the next six months, n=56)	
≤300	24.2
301-500	14.3
501-1000	18.6
1000-2000	21.4
2001-4000	17.1
4001-6000	2.9
6001-8000	1.4
>8000	0.0
Perceptions related to PrEP based on the TPB	
<u>Positive attitudes toward PrEP (% agree)</u>	
PrEP can reduce your chance of HIV infection during SDU	81.4
PrEP would reduce your worry of HIV infection when having condomless sex during SDU	64.3
PrEP provides you more choice for HIV prevention	84.3
<u>Positive Attitude Scale</u> ¹	8.1 (1.3)
<u>Negative attitudes toward PrEP (% agree)</u>	
Psychoactive substances that are used during SDU would reduce the protective effect of PrEP	17.1
Psychoactive substances that are used during SDU would aggravate the side-effects of PrEP	30.0
Psychoactive substances that are used during SDU would make you forget to take PrEP	28.6
Daily use of PrEP would cause severe financial burden for you	80.0
You will be stigmatized by medical professionals when you are using PrEP-related services	37.1
<u>Negative Attitude Scale</u> ²	10.2 (2.2)
<u>Perceived subjective norm related to PrEP</u>	
People who are important to you will support you to use PrEP	
Disagree/neutral	32.9
Agree	67.1
<u>Perceived behavioral control to use PrEP</u>	
In general, you are confident in taking PrEP every day in the next six months	
Strongly disagree/disagree/neutral	35.7
Agree/strongly agree	64.3

Sexualized drug use is defined as use of the following psychoactive substances before/during anal intercourse, including ketamine, methamphetamine, cocaine, cannabis, ecstasy, Dormicum/Halcion/Erimin 5/non-prescription hypnotic drugs, heroin, cough suppressant (not for curing cough), amyl nitrite (popper), GHB/GBL (γ -hydroxybutyrate), 5-methoxy-N, N-diisopropyltryptamine (Foxy), and mephedrone.

¹ Positive Attitude Scale, three items, Cronbach’s alpha: 0.648, one factor was identified by explanatory factor analysis, explaining for 61.6% of the total variance. Higher score of the scale indicated more positive attitudes toward PrEP.

² Negative Attitude Scale, five items, Cronbach’s alpha: 0.747, one factor was identified by explanatory factor analysis, explaining for 56.6% of the total variance. Higher score of the scale indicated more negative attitudes toward PrEP.

Table 3 Associations between background characteristics and willingness to take once-daily oral pill as PrEP in the next six months (among GBMSM with experience of SDU in the past year who were not on PrEP, n=70)

	Row%	OR (95%CI)
Socio-demographic characteristics		
Age group		
18-30	53.2	1.0
31-40	57.8	0.55 (0.19, 1.59)
>40	77.8	1.40 (0.25, 7.93)
Highest educational level attained		
Senior high or below	83.3	1.0
College or above	61.5	0.32 (0.08, 1.25) [†]
Current marital status		
Currently single	69.0	1.0
Married/cohabited with a man	64.3	0.81 (0.29, 2.22)
Monthly personal income (HK\$)		
<10,000	75.0	1.0
10,000-19,999	74.1	0.95 (0.20, 4.55)
20,000-39,999	63.6	0.58 (0.12, 2.80)
40,000 and above	44.4	0.27 (0.04, 1.70)
Current employment status		
Full-time	67.9	1.0
Part-time/unemployed/retired/ students	64.7	0.87 (0.27, 2.73)
Sexual orientation		
Homosexual	66.2	1.0
Bisexual	80.0	2.05 (0.22, 19.43)
Channels of recruitment		
Outreach in gay venues	73.3	1.0
Online recruitment	68.4	0.79 (0.21, 2.99)
Peer referral	58.8	0.52 (0.12, 2.32)
Service utilization		
HIV testing in the last 12 months		
No	50.0	1.0
Yes	72.0	2.60 (1.01, 7.18)*
Other HIV prevention services in the last 12 months (e.g., condom distribution, peer education, pamphlet and lectures)		
No	73.9	1.0
Yes	63.8	0.62 (0.21, 1.88)
Drug cessation/rehabilitation services provided by governmental organizations		
No	69.1	1.0
Yes	0.0	N.A.
Drug cessation/rehabilitation services provided by non-governmental organizations		
No	68.3	1.0
Yes	57.1	0.62 (0.13, 3.04)
History of sexual transmitted infections/viral hepatitis		
History of other sexually transmitted infections		
No	68.0	1.0
Yes	65.0	0.87 (0.29, 2.61)
Sexual behaviors in the last 12 months		
Had had anal intercourse with regular male sex partners (RP)		
No	68.8	1.0
Yes	66.7	0.91 (0.27, 3.02)
Had had anal intercourse with non-regular male sex partners (NRP)		
No	57.1	1.0
Yes	68.3	1.61 (0.33, 7.89)

OR: crude odds ratios

OR and 95% were bold for variables with p<0.05

† 0.05<P<0.10, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001

N.A.: not applicable

Table 4 Factors associated with willingness to take once-daily oral pill as PrEP in the next six months (among GBMSM with experience of SDU in the past year and were not on PrEP, n=70)

	OR (95%CI)	AOR (95%CI)
Patterns of SDU		
Poly-use of psychoactive substances during SDU the past year		
No	1.0	
Yes	2.02 (0.58, 7.01)	---
Time since the first episode of SDU		
<1 year	1.0	
1-2 years	1.00 (0.21, 4.77)	
3-5 years	1.14 (0.25, 5.33)	
>5 years	0.59 (0.18, 1.96)	---
Frequency of SDU in the past year		
1 episode/month	1.0	
1-2 episodes/month	0.81 (0.25, 2.67)	
≥3 episodes/month	1.33 (0.39, 4.58)	---
Condomless anal intercourse in the past year		
No	1.0	
Yes	0.88 (0.32, 2.40)	---
Perceptions related to PrEP		
Positive Attitude Scale	2.30 (1.46, 3.62)***	2.37 (1.47, 3.82)***
Negative Attitude Scale	1.16 (0.91, 1.47)	---
People who are important to you will support you to use PrEP		
Disagree/neutral	1.0	1.0
Agree	9.14 (2.90, 28.77)***	9.67 (2.95, 31.71)***
In general, you are confident in taking PrEP every day in the next six months		
Strongly disagree/disagree/neutral	1.0	1.0
Agree/strongly agree	20.57 (5.75, 73.65)***	19.68 (5.44, 71.26)***
Mental health status		
Depressive symptoms		
No clinical depressive symptoms	1.0	
Presence of clinical depressive symptoms	0.88 (0.32, 2.40)	---
Anxiety		
No/mild/anxiety	1.0	
Severe anxiety	0.46 (0.08, 2.45)	---

OR: univariate odds ratios;

AOR: multivariate odds ratios obtained by multivariate logistic regression using variables in Table 3 and 4 that were found to be statistically significant in the univariate analysis as candidates.

95%CI: 95% confidence interval

OR, AOR and 95% were bold for variables with $p < 0.05$

† $0.05 < P < 0.10$, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, ---: not considered in the model

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [STROBEchecklistcrosssectional.pdf](#)