Nomogram Based on MRI Can Preoperatively Predict Brain Invasion in Meningioma

Jing Zhang

Fifth Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University

Yuntai Cao

Qinghai University Affiliated Hospital

Zhiyong Zhao

Lanzhou University Second Hospital

Jianqing Sun

Shanghai United Imaging Research Institute of intelligent imaging

Wenyi Li

Fifth Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University

Jialiang Ren

GE Healthcare

Tao Han

Lanzhou University Second Hospital

Junlin Zhou

Lanzhou University Second Hospital

Kuntao Chen (✉ chenkunt2021@163.com)

Fifth Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3409-0676>

Research Article

Keywords: Meningioma, Brain, Magnetic resonance imaging

Posted Date: January 20th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1268090/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Objectives: Predicting brain invasion preoperatively should help to guide surgical decision-making and aid the prediction of meningioma grading and prognosis. However, only a few imaging features have been identified to aid prediction. This study aimed to develop and validate an MRI-based nomogram to predict brain invasion by meningioma.

Methods: In this retrospective study, 658 patients were examined via routine MRI before undergoing surgery and were diagnosed with meningioma by histopathology. Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regularization was used to determine the optimal combination of clinical characteristics and MRI features for predicting brain invasion by meningiomas. Logistic regression and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were used to determine the discriminatory ability. Furthermore, a nomogram was constructed using the optimal MRI features, and decision curve analysis was used to validate the clinical usefulness of the nomogram.

Results: Eighty-one patients with brain invasion and 577 patients without invasion were enrolled. According to LASSO regularization, tumor shape, tumor boundary, peritumoral edema, and maximum diameter were independent predictors of brain invasion. The model showed good discriminatory ability for predicting brain invasion in meningiomas, with an AUC of 0.905 (95% CI, 0.871-0.940) vs 0.898 (95% CI, 0.849-0.947) and sensitivity of 93.0% vs 92.6% in the training vs validation cohorts.

Conclusions: Our predictive model based on MRI features showed good performance and high sensitivity for predicting the risk of brain invasion in meningiomas and can be applied in the clinical setting.

Key Points

- Tumor shape, tumor boundary, peritumoral edema, and maximum diameter were independent predictors of brain invasion.
- Our model showed good discriminatory ability for predicting brain invasion in meningiomas.

Importance of the Study

Microscopic examination of brain invasion has been added as an independent grading criterion for the diagnosis of WHO grade II atypical meningioma, according to the latest 2016 edition of the World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Central Nervous System (CNS) tumours. Therefore, accurate prediction of brain invasion in meningiomas is urgently needed. To our knowledge, this is the first study to build a model based on MRI features to predict brain invasion in meningiomas. This model demonstrated good performance and might be a potential non-invasive tool to assist in the clinical and surgical management, meningioma grading, and prediction of prognosis.

Introduction

Meningiomas are the most common primary intracranial tumors in adults, accounting for approximately 36.7% of all intracranial tumors ¹. According to the 2016 edition of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of central nervous system tumors ², the microscopic examination of brain invasion has become a stand-alone criterion for the differentiation of grade II atypical meningioma. This new criterion has the highest clinical relevance. Brain invasion by meningioma is not only associated with surgical decision-making but is also independently associated with recurrence ^{3,4} and a poor prognosis ⁵; it is therefore indirectly related to adjuvant therapy and to inclusion in clinical trials. In addition, brain invasion is a risk factor for preoperative seizures and postoperative hemorrhage, which has gained distinct changes in clinical behavior ^{6,7}. For the abovementioned reasons, brain invasion has important clinical significance and is receiving the increased attention from clinicians ⁸. Therefore, it is necessary to accurately predict brain invasion by meningiomas before surgery.

At present, the only standard for diagnosing brain invasion in meningiomas is histopathological examination. However, extensive brain tissue sampling at the tumor-brain interface during surgical resection is difficult, and standardized surgical sampling and neuropathological analysis are still inconsistent. Therefore, brain invasion may not be detected by histopathology ^{9,10}. Some authors reported that 85% of the samples were “unassessable” pathologically ⁶. Imaging studies of brain invasion can analyze the entire tumor compared to focusing on local fine structures. According to the guidelines of the European Association of Neuro-Oncology, MRI is the main method for the provisional diagnosis of meningioma. Several studies have explored the correlation between brain invasion and imaging features ^{6,11,12}, such as peritumoral edema, heterogeneous contrast enhancement, and irregular tumor shape, which have been identified as predictors of brain invasion ⁶. However, only a few studies have explored reliable imaging-related predictors of brain invasion, and their results remain controversial ⁵. Although these studies have found a correlation between imaging features and brain invasion, few studies have developed a predictive model and independently verified these results to confirm their accuracy and reliability.

Thus, in this study, we developed and validated a predictive model to investigate the potential association between MRI features and brain invasion. It is hypothesized that a predictive model may offer a more accurate non-invasive preoperative prediction of brain invasion in meningiomas. Therefore, the aim of our study was to (1) select MRI features that are correlated with brain invasion in meningiomas, (2) combine these MRI features to build a predictive model, and (3) establish a nomogram to predict brain invasion in patients with meningioma based on MRI.

Materials And Methods

Patient Cohort

This was a retrospective study. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Fifth Affiliation Hospital of Zunyi Medical University, and the requirement for informed patient consent was waived. All patients with meningiomas who underwent surgery were enrolled according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) a diagnosis of meningioma and clear grading by histology according to the 2016 edition of the WHO classification of central nervous system tumors; and (2) available MR images, including T1, T1C, and T2 sequences, taken within 1 week before the surgical resection. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients without a clear histological grading, (2) patient images with artifacts and poor quality that impacted assessment, (3) patients with incomplete MRI sequences, and (4) patients with surgeon-suspected brain invasion.

With the aid of a microscope, tumor resection was performed for all patients, and brain invasion was diagnosed according to the pathological records¹³. Because of the limitations of extensive brain tissue sampling, some cases may not have been determined as brain invasion. The samples (excluding poor quality imaging, brain invasion, etc.) were further assessed for brain invasion by the surgeon¹⁴. Surgical records described obvious adhesions at the tumor-brain interface, and these were recorded as brain invasion. Thus, 16 patients with suspected brain invasion were excluded.

A total of 658 patients were enrolled from January 2010 to March 2020. After surgeon evaluation, 81 patients (training cohort: $n = 54$; validation cohort: $n = 27$) were enrolled in the invasion group, while 577 patients (training cohort: $n = 384$; validation cohort: $n = 193$) were enrolled in the non-invasion group. Subsequently, the patients were randomly divided into training ($n = 438$, 86 male and 352 female, mean age 52.24 ± 9.97 years) and validation ($n = 220$, 51 male and 169 female, mean age 51.28 ± 10.23 years) cohorts in a 7:3 ratio. The patient recruitment flowchart is shown in Fig. 1. Data concerning the following two conventional clinical variables, age and sex, were obtained from the electronic medical records.

MRI Parameters

MRI scans were performed at our institution with a 1.5-T scanner (Siemens Magnetom Aera) and 3.0-T scanners (Philips Achieva; Siemens Verio). All MRI examinations included T2-weighted, T1-weighted, and T1-weighted sequences after the administration of a gadolinium-based contrast agent with fat suppression. The detailed MRI parameters are provided in Table S1.

Imaging analysis

Two radiologists (readers 1 and 2, with 12 and 15 years of experience in brain MRI interpretation, respectively) independently evaluated 658 MRI data sets and were blinded to the diagnosis and results of each other. If there was any disagreement, a unanimous decision was reached after discussion. In terms of morphological characteristics, a total of nine MRI features was assessed according to literature reports^{15,16} and previous research experience, as shown in Table 1. The tumor maximum diameter was measured on T1C images, and the average of the maximum diameters provided by both radiologists was then calculated. Enhanced features including uniform enhancement (nonenhancing portions due to the presence of cysts, calcification, necrosis, hemorrhage, or inadequate blood supply) or uneven

enhancement (complete filling of contrast without any intervening structure inside the tumor) was assessed on T1C images. Peritumoral oedema was evaluated on T2 images according to the standardized visually accessible Rembrandt Images (VASARI; <https://wiki.nci.nih.gov/display/CIP/VASARI>) feature set. Bone invasion assessments were performed by pathology and surgeon assessment intraoperatively ^{14, 17}. Sinus invasion was evaluated by an intraoperative neurosurgeon as a diagnostic standard ¹⁸. We calculated the interclass correlation coefficients in order to evaluate the reproducibility of MRI judged by the two observers, and values greater than 0.75 indicated good agreement. Examples of different MRI features of meningiomas in the invasion and non-invasion groups are shown in Fig. 2.

Table 1

Clinical factors and MRI features of brain invasion and non-invasion groups in meningioma (mean $\pm$ SD or no, %)

Characteristics	Brain invasion (n=81)	Non invasion (n=577)	Univariate analysis (<i>p</i> value)
Clinical factors			
Age (years)	52.5 $\pm$ 10.5	52.2 $\pm$ 10.70	0.833
Sex	48 (59.3%)	474(82.1%)	<0.001*
Female	33 (40.7%)	103 (17.9%)	
Male			
Imaging features			
Maximum diameter (mm)	52.44 $\pm$ 15.05	35.88 $\pm$ 14.28	<0.001*
Tumour shape	23 (28.4%)	447(77.5%)	<0.001*
Circular or quasi- circular	58 (71.6%)	130 (22.5%)	
Irregular			
Tumour boundary	63 (77.8%)	548(95%)	<0.001*
Clear	18 (22.2%)	29(5%)	
Blur			
Dural tail sign	41(50.6%)	257(44.5%)	0.341
Yes	40(49.4%)	320(55.5%)	
None			

Note: Among peritumoural oedema, percentage represents the proportion of peritumoural oedema in the entire abnormality, and the entire abnormality may be comprised of the entire tumour and oedema component. A Student's t-test was used to compare the difference in age and maximum diameter, while the chi-square test was used to compare the difference in other features. **P* < 0.05. SD, standard deviation.

Characteristics	Brain invasion (n=81)	Non invasion (n=577)	Univariate analysis (<i>p</i> value)
Peritumoural oedema	0(0%)	0(0%)	<0.001*
1 Uncertain	6(7.4%)	329(57.0%)	
2 None (0%)	9(11.1%)	71(12.3%)	
3 ≤5%	20(24.7%)	84(14.6%)	
4 6-33%	22(27.2%)	55(14.0%)	
5 34-67%	23(28.4%)	33(6.7%)	
6 68-95%	1(1.2%)	5(0.9%)	
7 ≥95%			
MRI signal			
T2WI			0.115
Slightly high signal	34 (42.0%)	191 (33.1%)	
Iso signal	22 (27.2%)	221 (43.2%)	
Mixed signal	25 (30.8%)	165 (28.6%)	
Enhanced features	19 (23.5%)	418(72.4%)	
Uniform	62 (76.5%)	159(27.6%)	<0.001*
Uneven enhancement			
Bone invasion	36(44.4%)	195(33.8%)	
Yes	45(55.6%)	382(66.2%)	0.124
No			
Sinus invasion	24(29.6%)	158(27.4%)	
Yes	57(70.4%)	419(72.6%)	0.381
No			
Note: Among peritumoural oedema, percentage represents the proportion of peritumoural oedema in the entire abnormality, and the entire abnormality may be comprised of the entire tumour and oedema component. A Student's t-test was used to compare the difference in age and maximum diameter, while the chi-square test was used to compare the difference in other features. * <i>P</i> <0.05. SD, standard deviation.			

Nomogram Development and Validation

Based on the MRI features selected by LASSO, multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to build a predictive model for predicting the risk of brain invasion. Thereafter, using the coefficients of the multivariate logistic regression, a nomogram combining correlated MRI features was developed in the training cohort and validated in the validation cohort. This method provides a more understandable and convenient tool for patients and clinicians.

The calculation formula for the nomogram:

Nomogram score = $-5.4709 + 0.511 \times \text{Tumor shape} + 0.256 \times \text{Enhanced features} + 0.373 \times \text{Oedema} + 0.026 \times \text{Maximum diameter}$.

The discriminatory ability of the nomogram was assessed by calibration curves for the training and validation cohorts, which showed agreement between the observed outcomes and predicted risk of brain invasion. Decision curve analysis was used to quantify the net benefits at different threshold probabilities in order to assess the clinical usefulness of the nomogram ¹⁹.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 3.6.0; <http://www.Rproject.org>). Two-sided p-values of <0.05, were considered statistically significant. Descriptive statistics for continuous variables are expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation, and discrete variables are expressed as percentages. In the training and validation cohorts, the chi-square test and Student t-test were used to compare the differences in the clinical characteristics and MRI features between the invasion and non-invasion groups. Generally, two-sided P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression was used to select highly related factors of brain invasion in order to reduce the risk of overfitting. The AUC was used to evaluate the discriminatory ability of the predictive model ²⁰. The larger the AUC value, the better the discriminatory ability of the predictive model. Generally, AUC > 0.75 was considered to have excellent discrimination ²¹.

Results

Clinical Characteristics and MRI Features

The clinical characteristics and MRI features of the patients are shown in Table 1. According to the Student t-test and chi-square test, six features (including sex, maximum diameter, tumor shape, tumor boundary, peritumoral edema, and enhanced features) were significantly different between the invasion and non-invasion groups after univariate analysis ($P < 0.05$). These six variables were further reduced to four MRI features regarded as optimal predictors by LASSO regularization; these predictors had non-zero coefficients. The LASSO algorithm prefers estimation of sparse coefficients using a linear model with a L1 regularization penalty term, resulting in fewer selected features with non-zero coefficient for later modeling procedure. Besides, Cross-validation method was used to determine the best regularization parameter in LASSO algorithm, called LassoCV. In this study, the coefficient of L1 term (lamda) was

chosen as 0.01065. These variables were tumor shape (coefficient, e0.511), enhanced features (coefficient, e0.256), peritumoral edema (coefficient, e0.373), and maximum diameter (coefficient, e0.026). Ten-fold cross-validation was used for the tuning parameter (lambda) selection, as shown in Fig. 3.

Model Development and Validation

Based on the selected MRI features, we built a predictive model using multivariate logistic regression analysis to predict the risk of brain invasion. The predictive model demonstrated good performance, resulting in an AUC of 0.905 (95% CI, 0.871-0.940) vs 0.898 (95% CI, 0.849-0.947), a sensitivity of 93.0% (95% CI, 83.3–100%) vs 92.6% (95% CI, 81.5–100%), accuracy of 79.7% (95% CI, 71.2–86.3%) vs 86.4% (95% CI, 74.5–90.9%), and specificity of 77.8% (95% CI, 67.9–86.5%) vs 86.0% (95% CI, 71.5–91.1%) for brain invasion prediction in the training vs validation cohorts, as shown in Table 2 and Fig. 4A.

Table 2
Performance of the predictive model

Cohort	AUC	ACC	SEN	SPE
Training cohort	0.905 (0.871-0.940)	0.797 (0.712-0.863)	0.930 (0.833-1.000)	0.778 (0.679- 0.865)
Validation cohort	0.898 (0.849-0.947)	0.864 (0.745-0.909)	0.926 (0.815- 1.000)	0.860 (0.715- 0.911)
Note: AUC, area under receiver operating characteristic curve; ACC, balanced accuracy; SEN, sensitivity; SPE, specificity; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.				

Nomogram Performance Assessment

The predictive model showed good performance and defined the nomogram (Fig. 4B). A calibration curve was applied to measure the consistency between the actual brain invasion outcomes and the probability of brain invasion predicted by the model. As shown in Fig. 4C, the actual brain invasion outcomes were consistent with the predicted probability of brain invasion in both the training and validation cohorts (all $P > 0.05$). The decision curve then assessed the discriminatory ability of the model based on clinical applications. The model provided a net benefit in the decision curve analysis over the brain invasion scheme or non-invasion scheme at a threshold probability of >10% (Fig. 4D).

Discussion

In this study, we developed and validated a nomogram based on MRI features, including tumor shape, enhanced features, peritumoral edema, and maximum diameter, to predict brain invasion in patients with meningioma. The nomogram showed good discriminatory ability (AUC: 0.905 vs 0.898) and high sensitivity (93.0% vs 92.6%) in both the training and validation cohorts. The performance of this

nomogram was validated via discrimination and calibration curves in an independent validation cohort and should enable more accurate prediction of brain invasion.

In this study, the incidence of brain invasion (12.3%) is consistent with other reports (4%-19%) amongst tumors of all WHO grades ^{13,22}. Among clinical characteristics, sex was significantly different between the brain invasion and non-invasion groups after univariate analysis. Male patients were prone to brain invasion, which is in agreement with previously published reports. Recent studies have found that there is a higher proportion of males with invasive meningiomas than with non-invasive meningiomas ^{6,23,24}. Moreover, the invasive patterns were different. Brain invasion demonstrated a predominantly cluster-like infiltrative growth in women, while the pattern showed a mostly finger-like growth in men ⁵. In the analysis of continuous variables, there was no significant difference in mean age between the invasion and non-invasion groups, which is consistent with other reports. Brokinkel et al. ⁵ conducted a systematic review of the literature, analyzed 15 studies, and concluded that the incidence of brain invasion was generally not correlated with patient age.

MRI is the main method for the diagnosis of meningioma and may serve as a non-invasive approach for the visual assessment of brain tissue around meningiomas. Thus, we explored the relationship between MRI features and brain invasion in meningiomas. After LASSO regularization analysis, four MRI features, including tumor shape, enhanced features, peritumoral edema, and maximum diameter, were strongly correlated with brain invasion in meningiomas and could be used as optimal independent risk predictors. Compared with non-invasive meningioma (22.5%), the tumors in the brain invasion group were more irregular (71.6%), which is consistent with the findings of some previous studies. Adeli et al. ⁶ found that tumor shape was significantly different between the brain invasion and non-invasion groups on univariable analyses, as noted in our results. Previous studies have shown a correlation between atypical meningiomas and irregular margins ^{15,25,26}. Our result demonstrated that the irregular tumor shape corresponded to an "invasive" phenotype ²⁷ and tended to grow in all directions at different rates. However, Ong et al. reported that brain invasion was not associated with tumor contour ²⁸. This discrepancy could be attributed to the sample size. The heterogeneous enhancement pattern of meningiomas mostly indicates cyst formation, necrosis, hemorrhage, or intratumoral calcification. In accordance with previous studies, uneven contrast enhancement was correlated with high-grade histology ²⁹. In our study, enhanced features were significantly different between the two groups. Similar findings ⁶ were revealed when analyzing correlations of uneven enhancement with brain invasive growth or other grading criteria separately.

In addition, peritumoral oedema was significantly different between the brain invasion and non-invasion groups. After LASSO analysis, there was a strong correlation between peritumoral oedema and brain invasion, which was consistent with the results of previous studies ^{6,28,30}. Several authors have reported a strong correlation between an invasive growth pattern and peritumoral oedema, and Mantle et al. found a 20% increase in brain invasion incidence for each centimeter of oedema ¹¹, while Gill et al. reported that every 1-cm³ increase in peritumoral oedema was associated with a 10% increase in the odds of brain

invasion³⁰. Peritumoral oedema is often associated with the loss of a clean arachnoid dissection plane at the tumor-brain interface, decreased vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression, and impaired pial blood supply to the meningioma^{31,32}. The maximum diameter was statistically significant for identifying brain invasion, this finding was different from the results of previous studies. Adeli et al. found that brain invasion was not associated with a larger tumor volume⁶. The main reason for this difference is that, on one hand, we measured the maximum diameter of the tumor, while previous studies measured the tumor volume. The difference between maximum diameter and tumor volume may have a certain deviation. On the other hand, there are few imaging studies on brain invasion in meningioma, and the criteria for including imaging features differed between studies. In the future, further research with larger sample sizes from different centers is needed.

To conclusively identify the MRI features associated with brain invasion, 11 candidate variables (including two clinical characteristics and nine MRI features) were reduced to four potential predictors based on LASSO regularization. LASSO regularization avoids overfitting and allows the analysis of multiple characteristics of cohorts with relatively small sample sizes³³. Based on these four predictors, both the final model and independent internal validation demonstrated good discriminatory ability. Moreover, compared to the model, combining the four predictive factors of the nomogram demonstrated good predictive performance. This individualized nomogram should contribute to the preoperative prediction of brain invasion in meningiomas for both radiologists and clinicians. The results of the nomogram were more beneficial than those of the predictive model and could be used in clinical applications for meningioma patients who undergo MRI.

This study has a few limitations. First, it had a retrospective study design, and our results may have been affected by selection bias. Second, this was a single-center study, and a multicenter study is needed to validate the robustness and generalizability of the model. Third, MRI features were judged by subjective and qualitative evaluations, which could be non-specific.

The preoperative identification of brain invasion should facilitate improvements in clinical decision-making, prediction of meningioma grading, and prognosis. Four MRI features, including tumor shape, enhanced features, peritumoral oedema, and maximum diameter, demonstrated high correlations with brain invasion. The model incorporating these four MRI features showed excellent performance and high sensitivity in predicting brain invasion and can be used in patients with meningioma.

Abbreviations

AUC, area under the curve; LASSO, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; WHO, World Health Organization

Declarations

Conflict of Interest: None

Authorship:

- Conception/Design: KTC, JLZ, JZ
- Acquisition: JZ, YTC, ZYZ, JQS, WYL, JLR, TH, JLZ, KTC
- Implementation: JZ, YTC, ZYZ, WYL, TH
- Analysis and Interpretation: JQS, JLR
- All authors contributed to either the drafting or revision of the final manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version.

Data availability

Data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the Science and Technology Plan Project of Guizhou Province(Qiankehe Support [2020] No. 4Y179), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82071872), Doctoral Research Startup Fund of Zunyi Medical University (BS2021-03), and Science and Technology Fund Project of Guizhou Provincial Health Commission (gzwkj2021-375) for funding part of the technical aspects and clinical data generation of this study.

Grant Support

KTC is funded by Science and Technology Plan Project of Guizhou Province (Qiankehe Support [2020] No. 4Y179), JLZ in funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82071872), JZ is funded by Doctoral Research Startup Fund of Zunyi Medical University (BS2021-03), and Science and Technology Fund Project of Guizhou Provincial Health Commission (gzwkj2021-375).

References

1. Park YW, Oh J, You SC, Han K, Ahn SS, Choi YS et al (2019) Radiomics and machine learning may accurately predict the grade and histological subtype in meningiomas using conventional and diffusion tensor imaging. *Eur Radiol* 29(8):4068–4076
2. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK et al (2016) The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Acta Neuropathol* 131(6):803–820
3. Sun SQ, Kim AH, Cai C, Murphy RK, DeWees T, Sylvester P et al (2014) Management of atypical cranial meningiomas, part 1: predictors of recurrence and the role of adjuvant radiation after gross total resection. *Neurosurgery* 75(4):347–354 discussion 354-345; quiz 355

4. Vranic A, Popovic M, Cor A, Prestor B, Pizem J (2010) Mitotic count, brain invasion, and location are independent predictors of recurrence-free survival in primary atypical and malignant meningiomas: a study of 86 patients. *Neurosurgery* 67(4):1124–1132
5. Brokinkel B, Hess K, Mawrin C (2017) Brain invasion in meningiomas-clinical considerations and impact of neuropathological evaluation: a systematic review. *Neuro Oncol* 19(10):1298–1307
6. Adeli A, Hess K, Mawrin C, Streckert EMS, Stummer W, Paulus W et al (2018) Prediction of brain invasion in patients with meningiomas using preoperative magnetic resonance imaging. *Oncotarget* 9(89):35974–35982
7. Chernov M (2016) Letter to the Editor: Seizures and invasive meningiomas. *J Neurosurg* 125(6):1615–1616
8. Jenkinson MD, Javadpour M, Haylock BJ, Young B, Gillard H, Vinten J et al (2015) The ROAM/EORTC-1308 trial: Radiation versus Observation following surgical resection of Atypical Meningioma: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 16:519
9. Niu L, Zhou X, Duan C, Zhao J, Sui Q, Liu X et al (2019) Differentiation Researches on the Meningioma Subtypes by Radiomics from Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging: A Preliminary Study. *World Neurosurg* 126:e646–e652
10. Rogers L, Barani I, Chamberlain M, Kaley TJ, McDermott M, Raizer J et al (2015) Meningiomas: knowledge base, treatment outcomes, and uncertainties. A RANO review. *J Neurosurg* 122(1):4–23
11. Mantle RE, Lach B, Delgado MR, Baeesa S, Bélanger G (1999) Predicting the probability of meningioma recurrence based on the quantity of peritumoral brain edema on computerized tomography scanning. *J Neurosurg* 91(3):375–383
12. Huang RY, Bi WL, Griffith B, Kaufmann TJ, la Fougère C, Schmidt NO et al (2019) Imaging and diagnostic advances for intracranial meningiomas. *Neuro Oncol* 21(Suppl 1):i44–i61
13. Spille DC, Hess K, Sauerland C, Sanai N, Stummer W, Paulus W et al (2016) Brain Invasion in Meningiomas: Incidence and Correlations with Clinical Variables and Prognosis. *World Neurosurg* 93:346–354
14. Zwirner K, Paulsen F, Schittenhelm J, Gepfner-Tuma I, Tabatabai G, Behling F et al (2019) Integrative assessment of brain and bone invasion in meningioma patients. *Radiation Oncology*; 14(1)
15. Hale AT, Wang L, Strother MK, Chambless LB (2018) Differentiating meningioma grade by imaging features on magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of clinical neuroscience: official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia* 48:71–75
16. Kalasauskas D, Kronfeld A, Renovanz M, Kurz E, Leukel P, Krenzlin H et al (2020) Identification of High-Risk Atypical Meningiomas According to Semantic and Radiomic Features. *Cancers*; 12(10)
17. Zhang GJ, Zhang YS, Zhang GB, Yan XJ, Li CB, Zhang LW et al (2018) Prognostic Factors, Survival, and Treatment for Intracranial World Health Organization Grade II Chordoid Meningiomas and Clear-Cell Meningiomas. *World Neurosurg* 117:e57–e66
18. Maiuri F, Donzelli R, Pagano S, Mariniello G (2019) The management of the venous sinuses during surgery for posterior fossa meningiomas. *World Neurosurg*.

19. Fitzgerald M, Saville BR, Lewis RJ (2015) Decision curve analysis. *JAMA* 313(4):409–410
20. Harrell FE Jr, Califf RM, Pryor DB, Lee KL, Rosati RA (1982) Evaluating the yield of medical tests. *Jama* 247(18):2543–2546
21. Niu XK, He WF, Zhang Y, Das SK, Li J, Xiong Y et al (2017) Developing a new PI-RADS v2-based nomogram for forecasting high-grade prostate cancer. *Clin Radiol* 72(6):458–464
22. Zhang J, Yao K, Liu P, Liu Z, Han T, Zhao Z et al (2020) A radiomics model for preoperative prediction of brain invasion in meningioma non-invasively based on MRI: A multicentre study. *EBioMedicine* 58:102933
23. Hess K, Spille DC, Adeli A, Sporns PB, Brokinkel C, Grauer O et al (2018) Brain invasion and the risk of seizures in patients with meningioma. *J Neurosurg* 130(3):789–796
24. Yun S, Koh JM, Lee KS, Seo AN, Nam KH, Choe G (2015) Expression of c-MET in Invasive Meningioma. *J Pathol Transl Med* 49(1):44–51
25. Surov A, Ginat DT, Sanverdi E, Lim CCT, Hakyemez B, Yogi A et al (2016) Use of Diffusion Weighted Imaging in Differentiating Between Malignant and Benign Meningiomas. A Multicenter Analysis. *World Neurosurg* 88:598–602
26. Kawahara Y, Nakada M, Hayashi Y, Kai Y, Hayashi Y, Uchiyama N et al (2012) Prediction of high-grade meningioma by preoperative MRI assessment. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 108(1):147–152
27. Hyare H, Rice L, Thust S, Nachev P, Jha A, Milic M et al (2019) Modelling MR and clinical features in grade II/III astrocytomas to predict IDH mutation status. *Eur J Radiol* 114:120–127
28. Ong T, Bharatha A, Alsufayan R, Das S, Lin AW (2020) MRI predictors for brain invasion in meningiomas. *Neuroradiol J.*:1971400920953417
29. Sapkota MR, Yang Z, Zhu D, Zhang Y, Yuan T, Gao J et al (2020) Evaluation of Epidemiologic Factors, Radiographic Features, and Pathologic Findings for Predicting Peritumoral Brain Edema in Meningiomas. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI* 52(1):174–182
30. Gill CM, Loewenstern J, Rutland JW, Arib H, Pain M, Umphlett M et al (2021) Peritumoral edema correlates with mutational burden in meningiomas. *Neuroradiology* 63(1):73–80
31. Hou J, Kshetty VR, Selman WR, Bambakidis NC (2013) Peritumoral brain edema in intracranial meningiomas: the emergence of vascular endothelial growth factor-directed therapy. *Neurosurg Focus* 35(6):E2
32. Denizot Y, De Armas R, Caire F, Moreau JJ, Pommepuy I, Truffinet V et al (2006) The Quantitative analysis of bFGF and VEGF by ELISA in human meningiomas. *Mediators of inflammation.* ; 2006(6):36376
33. Li Y, Qian Z, Xu K, Wang K, Fan X, Li S et al (2018) MRI features predict p53 status in lower-grade gliomas via a machine-learning approach. *NeuroImage Clinica.I* ; 17:306-311

Figures

Figure 1

Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Figure 2

Examples of different MRI features of meningiomas in the invasion and non-invasion groups.

(A-D) **A 51-year-old female with brain invasion in transitional meningioma.** The tumor is irregular in shape and grows across the leaves. On MRI, the tumor shows uneven slight high signal on T2WI, low signal on T1WI, and uneven high signal on DWI. Peritumoral oedema is defined as 34-67%. T1 enhancement manifests as significantly reinforcement and bone invasion. In (E), transitional meningioma, tumor shows finger-like expansions (red arrows) in the surrounding brain tissue. (hematoxylin and eosin staining x 200; **=brain tissue); (F-I) **A 49-year-old female with brain non-invasion in transitional meningioma.** The tumor is round in shape. On MRI, the tumor shows slight high signal on T2WI, slight low signal on T1WI, and uneven high signal on DWI. A complete cerebrospinal fluid cleft is visible, and no peritumoral oedema. T1 enhancement manifests as significantly reinforcement. (J) The epithelial-like cells are arranged in nests, the cytoplasm is acidophilic, the nucleus is round, and some areas form a whirlpool structure. (hematoxylin and eosin staining x 200)

Figure 3

Parameters selection by the LASSO regression procedure.

(A) A 10-fold cross-validation was used in the LASSO regression procedure. Binomial deviance was delineated versus log (lambda). Dotted vertical lines were drawn at the optimal values by utilizing the minimum criteria (left dotted line) and the 1-standard error criterion (right dotted line).

(B) The LASSO coefficient profiles of the 11 variables. A coefficient profile plot was produced in connection with the log (lambda) sequence. Dotted vertical lines were drawn at the optimal values the 1-standard error criterion (red line). In the study, as penalization increased (to the right of the figure), parameters were chosen according to the optimal values, where only four coefficients related to the

optimal lambda were non-zero. The best predicting model was constructed using the four remaining parameters.

LASSO, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator.

Figure 4

Establishment and performance of the model.

(A) The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of the predictive model. The model demonstrated good discriminating ability, with an AUC of 0.905 in the training cohort and an AUC of 0.898 in the validation cohort. (B) The predictive model was conducted to develop a nomogram. (C) Calibration curves of the nomogram for the training cohort. The x-axis represents the probability of brain invasion measured using the model, and the y-axis represents the actual rate of brain invasion. The red represents the discrimination ability of the nomogram, while the diagonal dotted line represents an ideal evaluation by a perfect model. A closer fit to the diagonal dotted line represents a better evaluation. (D) Decision curve analysis for the predictive model. The x-axis shows the threshold probability, and the y-axis measures the net benefit. The gray line represents all patients with brain invasion, while the black line represents all patients without brain invasion. The red line represents the predictive model.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementarymaterials.doc](#)