Novel Color Orthogonal Moments-Based Image Representation and Recognition

Bing He (✉ hebing@wnu.edu.cn)

Weinan Normal University

Jun Liu

Weinan Normal University

Tengfei Yang

Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications

Bin Xiao

Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications

Yanguo Peng

Xidian University

Research

Keywords: Quaternion algebra, Fractional-order moments, Feature extraction, Pattern recognition, Image reconstruction

Posted Date: December 18th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-127190/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Novel Color Orthogonal Moments-Based Image Representation and Recognition

Bing He

¹School of Physics and Electrical Engineering, Weinan Normal University, Weinan 714000, China

Email address: hebing@wnu.edu.cn

²Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Network Data Analysis and Intelligent Processing, Xi'an University of Posts & Telecommunications, Xi'an 710121, China

Jun Liu

School of Computer Science and Technology, Weinan Normal University, Weinan 714000, China

Email address: liujun@wnu.edu.cn

Tengfei Yang

School of Cyberspace Security, Xi'an University of Posts & Telecommunications, Xi'an 710121, China

tfyang@xupt.edu.cn

Bin Xiao

Chongqing Key Laboratory of Computational Intelligence, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing 400065, China

Email address: xiaobin@cqupt.edu.cn

Yanguo Peng

School of Computer Science and Technology, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, China

Email address: ygpeng@xidian.edu.cn

Abstract: Inspired by quaternion algebra and the idea of fractional-order transformation, we propose a new set of quaternion fractional-order generalized Laguerre orthogonal moments (QFr-GLMs) based on fractional-order generalized Laguerre polynomials. Firstly, the proposed QFr-GLMs are directly constructed in Cartesian coordinate space, avoiding the need for conversion between Cartesian and polar coordinates; therefore, they are better image descriptors than circularly orthogonal moments constructed in polar coordinates. Moreover, unlike the latest Zernike moments based on quaternion and fractional-order transformations, which extract only the global features from color images, our proposed QFr-GLMs can extract both the global and local color features. This paper also derives a new set of invariant color-image descriptors by QFr-GLMs, enabling geometric-invariant pattern

recognition in color images. Finally, the performances of our proposed QFr-GLMs and moment invariants were evaluated in simulation experiments of correlated color images. Both theoretical analysis and experimental results demonstrate the value of the proposed QFr-GLMs and their geometric invariants in the representation and recognition of color images.

Keywords: Quaternion algebra; Fractional-order moments; Feature extraction; Pattern recognition; Image reconstruction

1. Introduction

In the last decade, image moments and geometric invariance of moments have emerged as effective methods of feature extraction from images [1,2]. Both methods have made great progress in image-related fields. However, most of the existing algorithms extract the image moments only from grayscale images. Color images contain abundant multi-color information that is missing in greyscale images. Therefore, in recent years, research efforts have gradually shifted to the construction of color-image moments [3,4]. Color-image processing is traditionally performed by one of three main methods: (1) Select a single channel or component from the color space of a color image, such a channel from a red-green-blue (RGB) image, as a grayscale image and calculate its corresponding image moments; (2) directly gray a color image, and then calculate its image moments; (3) calculate the image moments of each monochromatic channel (R, G and B) in a RGB image, and average them to obtain the final result. Although all three methods are relatively simple to implement, they discard some of the useful image information, and cannot determine the relationship among the different color channels of a RGB image. This common defect reduces the accuracy of color-image representation in image processing or recognition. Owing to loss of correlations among the different color channels and part of the color-image information, the advantages of color images over grayscale images are not fully exploited in practical application [5].

Recently, quaternion algebra based color image representation has provided a new research direction in color model spaces [6,7] such as RGB, luma-chroma (YUV), and hue-saturation-lightness (HSV) [8]. Quaternion algebra has made several achievements in color-image processing [9,10]. The quaternion method represents an image as a three-dimensional vector describing the components of the color image, which effectively uses the color information of different channels of the color image. Wang et al. [11,12] constructed a class of quaternion color orthogonal moments based on quaternion

theory. In ref [11], they proposed quaternion polar harmonic Fourier moments (QPHFMs) in polar coordinate space, and applied them to color-image analysis. They also proposed a zero-watermarking method based on quaternion exponent Fourier moments (QEFMs) [12], which is applied to copyright protection of digital images. Xia et al. [13] combined Wang et al.'s method with chaos theory, and proposed an accurate quaternion polar harmonic transform for a medical image zero-watermarking algorithm. The above results on quaternion color-image moments provide theoretical support for exploring new-generation color-image moments. However, image-moment construction based on quaternion theory is complex and increases the time of the color-image calculation. Moreover, the performance of the existing quaternion image moments in color-image analysis is not significantly improved from multi-channel color-image processing [14]. Most importantly, the quaternion color-image moments constructed by the existing methods are similar to grayscale-image moments, and extract only the global features; therefore, they are powerless for local-image reconstruction and region-of-interest (ROI) detection. In conclusion, the new generation of quaternion color-image moment algorithms requires further research. The new fractional-order orthogonal moments [15] effectively improve the performance of orthogonal moments in image analysis, and can also improve the quaternion color-image moments. The basis function of fractional-order orthogonal moments comprises a set of fractional-order (or real-order) orthogonal polynomials rather than traditional integer-order polynomials [16,17].

Fractional-order image moments have been realized only in the past three years, and their research is incomplete. Accordingly, their applications are limited to image reconstruction and recognition. In addition, the technique of the existing fractional-order orthogonal moments is only an effective supplement and an extension of integer-order grayscale image moments. Few academic achievements and investigations of fractional-order orthogonal moments have been reported in image analysis. Inspired by fractional-order Fourier transforms, Zhang et al. [15] introduced fractional-order orthogonal polynomials in 2016, and constructed fractional-order orthogonal Fourier–Mellin moments for character recognition in binary images. Yang et al. [18] proposed fractional-order Zernike radial orthogonal moments based on Zernike radial orthogonal polynomials, and conducted related image-reconstruction testing. In image reconstruction tasks, the fractional-order Zernike radial orthogonal moments with different parameters outperformed traditional Zernike radial orthogonal moments. Based on Legendre polynomials with radial translation, Xiao et al. [19] constructed fractional-order

orthogonal moments in Cartesian and polar coordinate spaces. They showed how general fractional-order orthogonal moments can be constructed from integer-order orthogonal moments in different coordinate systems. Benouini et al. [20] recently introduced a new set of fractional-order Chebyshev moments and moment invariant methods, and applied them to image analysis and pattern recognition. Although the existing fractional-order image moments provide better image descriptions than traditional integer-order image moments, their application to computer vision and pattern recognition remains in the exploratory stage. An improved fractional-order polynomial that constructs a superior fractional-order image moment is an expected hotspot of future research. Combining fractional-order image moments with quaternion theory, Chen et al. [21] newly developed quaternion fractional-order Zernike moments (QFr-ZMs), which are mainly used in robust copy-move forgery detection in color images. This paper combines the quaternion method with fractional-order Laguerre orthogonal moments, and hence develops new class of quaternion fractional-order generalized Laguerre moments (QFr-GLMs) for color-image reconstruction, and geometric-invariant recognition. The main contributions of this paper are summarized below.

1. The kernel function of the proposed QFr-GLMs is composed of fractional-order generalized Laguerre orthogonal polynomials, and the orthogonal moments are directly established in Cartesian coordinate space, providing better image descriptions than image moments constructed in polar coordinate space.

2. The state-of-the-art QFr-ZMs extract only the global features from color images. In contrast, the proposed QFr-GLMs not only describes the global features, but also extracts arbitrary local features (namely, the ROI) from a color image.

3. Based on the relationship between the proposed QFr-GLMs and geometric moments, this paper proposes a new set of feature-extraction approach, namely, quaternion fractional-order generalized Laguerre moment invariants (QFr-GLMIs), which can handle geometric-invariant transformations (rotation, scaling, and translation) of color images.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce the basic concepts of quaternion theory and fractional-order image moments. The quaternion is a generalized form of complex numbers, a systematic mathematical theory and method proposed by the British mathematician Hamilton in 1843 [22], also fractional-order orthogonal moments are defined in Cartesian and polar coordinate spaces, and we present the

transformation relationship between fractional-order orthogonal polynomials in Cartesian coordinate space and those in polar coordinate space. Then, we introduce the related contents of generalized Laguerre polynomials.

2.1 Representation quaternion algebra and fractional-order image moments

The quaternion is a four-dimensional complex number, also known as a hypercomplex. It is composed of one real component and three imaginary part components, and is formally defined in [5]:

$$q = a + bi + cj + dk, \quad (1)$$

where a, b, c and d are real numbers, and i, j, k are unit imaginary numbers satisfying the following properties:

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, jk = -kj = i, ki = -ik = j, ij = -ji = k. \quad (2)$$

To obtain the fractional-order image moments (Fr-IMs), we introduce the parameter λ and slightly modify the basis of traditional geometric moments [19] as follows:

$$M_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y) x^{\lambda n} y^{\lambda m} dx dy, \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda \in R^+$. As evidenced in Eq. (3), the order of the fractional-order geometric moments is $\lambda(n + m)$; that is, the integer-order is extended to real-order (or fractional-order).

In Cartesian and polar coordinate spaces, the fractional-order orthogonal moments are respectively defined as:

$$FrM_{nm}^{(\lambda_x, \lambda_y)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y) \bar{P}_n(\lambda_x, x) \bar{P}_m(\lambda_y, y) dx dy, \quad (4)$$

$$FrP_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, \theta) \bar{P}_n(\lambda, r) \exp(-jm\theta) r dr d\theta, \quad (5)$$

where $\bar{P}_n(\lambda, x) = \sqrt{\lambda} x^{(\lambda-1)/2} P_n(x^\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^n c_{n,i} x^{\lambda i + ((\lambda-1)/2)}$ are the fractional-order orthogonal

polynomials, and $\bar{P}_n(\lambda, r) = \sqrt{\lambda} r^{(\lambda-2)/2} P_n(r^\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^n c_{n,i} r^{\lambda i + ((\lambda-2)/2)}$ are the radial orthogonal

polynomials. The traditional integer-order orthogonal polynomials $P_n(x)$ are expressed as

$P_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_{n,i} x^i$, where $c_{n,i}$ are the binomial coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials [23,24].

Similarly to traditional integer-order image moments [25-28], a two-dimensional image $f(x, y)$ or $f(r, \theta)$ can be reconstructed from fractional-order orthogonal moments of finite order, which can be written as:

$$\bar{f}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\max}} \sum_{m=0}^{m_{\max}} FrM_{nm}^{(\lambda_x, \lambda_y)} \bar{P}_n(\lambda_x, x) \bar{P}_m(\lambda_y, y), \quad (6)$$

$$\bar{f}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\max}} \sum_{m=0}^{m_{\max}} FrP_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \bar{P}_n(\lambda, r) \exp(jm\theta). \quad (7)$$

We now determine the interchangeable relationship between the fractional-order orthogonal polynomials in Cartesian coordinate space and those in polar coordinate space. First, if $Q_n(x)$ is an integer-order orthogonal polynomial in Cartesian coordinates, the fractional-order orthogonal polynomial is expressed as $Q_n^{(t)}(x) = \sqrt{t} x^{\frac{t-1}{2}} Q_n(x^t)$, and the corresponding fractional-order radial orthogonal polynomials in polar coordinates is expressed as $Q_n^{(t)}(r) = \sqrt{t} r^{\frac{t-2}{2}} Q_n(r^t)$, $t \in R^+$. Second, if $Q_n(r)$ is an integer-order orthogonal polynomial in polar coordinate space, the fractional-order radial orthogonal polynomial is given by $Q_n^{(t)}(r) = \sqrt{t} r^{t-1} Q_n(r^t)$. The corresponding fractional-order orthogonal polynomial in Cartesian coordinates is then given by $Q_n^{(t)}(x) = \sqrt{t} x^{\frac{t-1}{2}} Q_n(x^t)$, $t \in R^+$.

2.2 Generalized Laguerre polynomials

The generalized Laguerre polynomials (GLPs), also known as associated Laguerre polynomials [29], are expressed as $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$. When $\alpha > -1$, GLPs satisfy the following orthogonal relationship in the range $[0, +\infty)$:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \exp(-x) x^\alpha L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) L_m^{(\alpha)}(x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!} \delta_{nm}. \quad (8)$$

For convenience, we let $\omega^{(\alpha)}(x) = \exp(-x) x^\alpha$ be a weighted function, and $\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!} = \gamma_n^{(\alpha)}$ be the weighted normalization coefficient. Here, $\Gamma(\bullet)$ is the gamma function, and $n, m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ Eq. (8) is then modified as follows:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \omega^{(\alpha)}(x) L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) L_m^{(\alpha)}(x) dx = \gamma_n^{(\alpha)} \delta_{nm}, \quad (9)$$

where δ_{nm} is the Kronecker delta function. $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is then expressed as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{n!} {}_1F_1(-n, \alpha+1; x), \quad (10)$$

where $(\alpha)_k = \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+k-1)$, $(\alpha)_0 = 1$ is the Pochhammer expression, and

${}_1F_1(-n, \alpha+1; x)$ is a hypergeometric function given by

$${}_1F_1(a, b; z) = 1 + \frac{a}{b}z + \frac{a(a+1)}{b(b+1)} \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(b)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}. \quad (11)$$

Using Eq. (11), $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ in Eq. (10) is redefined as

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{(n+\alpha)!}{(n-k)!(k+\alpha)!k!} x^k. \quad (12)$$

To facilitate the calculation, we compute $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ by the following recursive algorithm:

$$nL_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = [2(n-1) + \alpha + 1 - x]L_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(x) - (n-1+\alpha)L_{n-2}^{(\alpha)}(x), \quad (13)$$

with $L_0^{(\alpha)}(x) = 1$, and $L_1^{(\alpha)}(x) = 1 + \alpha - x$. For details, see [17] and [22].

3. Methods

This section introduces our proposed QFr-GLMs scheme, derived from quaternion algebra theory, fractional-order orthogonal moments, and GLPs. After developing the basic framework of QFr-GLMs, we analyze the relationship between the quaternion based method and the single-channel based approach.

As shown in Fig. 1, the components of an image $f^{rgb}(x, y)$ in RGB color space, $f_r(x, y)$, $f_g(x, y)$, and $f_b(x, y)$, correspond to three imaginary components of a pure quaternion. Therefore, an image $f^{rgb}(x, y)$ in RGB color space can be expressed by the following quaternion:

$$f^{rgb}(x, y) = f_r(x, y)i + f_g(x, y)j + f_b(x, y)k. \quad (14)$$

The remainder of this section is organized as follows. Subsection 3.1 defines and constructs our fractional-order GLPs (Fr-GLPs) and normalized Fr-GLPs (NFr-GLPs), and subsection 3.2 defines the proposed QFr-GLMs, and relates them to the fractional-order generalized Laguerre moments (Fr-GLMs) of single channels in a traditional RGB color image, and the basic framework is shown in Fig. 1. The QFr-GLMs invariants (QFr-GLMIs) are constructed in subsection 3.3.

3.1 Calculation of Fr-GLPs and NFr-GLPs

Fr-GLPs [30] can be expressed as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = L_n^{(\alpha)}(x^\lambda), \quad (15)$$

where, $\lambda > 0, x \in [0, +\infty]$, similarly to Eq. (9). The Fr-GLPs satisfy the following orthogonality relation in the interval $[0, +\infty]$:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) L_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) L_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) dx = \gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)} \delta_{nm}, \quad (16)$$

where $\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = \lambda x^{(\alpha+1)\lambda-1} \exp(-x^\lambda)$, $\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!}$. The Fr-GLPs can be rewritten as the following binomial expansion [19,30]:

$$L_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \psi_{ni} x^{\lambda i}, \quad (17)$$

where $\psi_{ni} = (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha+1)(n-i)!i!}$, similar to Eq. (12), the Fr-GLPs can be implemented by the following recursive algorithm:

$$nL_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = [2(n-1) + \alpha + 1 - x^\lambda] L_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) - (n-1+\alpha) L_{n-2}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x), \quad (18)$$

where $L_0^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = 1, L_1^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = 1 + \alpha - x^\lambda$.

In order to enhance the stability of polynomials, normalized polynomials are generally used instead of conventional polynomials. Therefore, normalized fractional-order GLPs (NFr-GLPs) are defined as:

$$\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = L_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} \quad (19)$$

Theorem 1. The NFr-GLPs $\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)$ are orthogonal on the interval $[0, +\infty]$:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) dx = \delta_{nm}. \quad (20)$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Given the NFr-GLPs $L_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)$ and substituting $\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = L_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}}$

into Eq. (20), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} L_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} L_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\gamma_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)} \gamma_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} \int_0^{+\infty} \omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) L_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) L_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Using Eq. (16), we further obtain:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) dx = \frac{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}{\sqrt{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)} \gamma_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} \delta_{nm}, \quad (22)$$

when $n = m$, $\frac{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}{\sqrt{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)} \gamma_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} = 1$, $n \neq m$, $\delta_{nm} = 0$. Thus, $\int_0^{+\infty} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) dx = \delta_{nm}$, which

completes the proof of Theorem 1. To reduce the computational complexity and ensure numerical stability, the NFr-GLPs are recursively calculated as follows:

$$\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = (A_0 + A_1 x^\lambda) \bar{L}_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) + A_2 \bar{L}_{n-2}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x), \quad (23)$$

$$\text{where } \bar{L}_0^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}}, \quad \bar{L}_1^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = (1 + \alpha - x^\lambda) \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)}}, \quad A_0 = \frac{2n + \alpha - 1}{\sqrt{n(n + \alpha)}},$$

$$A_1 = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{n(n + \alpha)}}, \text{ and } A_2 = -\sqrt{\frac{(n + \alpha - 1)(n - 1)}{n(n + \alpha)}}. \text{ The detailed proof of the recursive operation is}$$

given in Appendix A.

Fig. 2 shows the distribution curves of the NFr-GLPs under different parameter settings. Note that the parameter α mainly affects the amplitudes of the NFr-GLPs of different orders and the distributions of the zero values along the x-axis. Thus, if an image is sampled with NFr-GLPs, the local-feature regions (ROI) are easily extracted from the images. In addition, the parameter λ can extend the integer-order polynomials to real-order polynomials ($\lambda > 0, \lambda \in R^+$). Therefore, traditional GLPs are a special case of Fr-GLPs with $\lambda = 1$, that is, $\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, 1)}(x) = L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$. Note also that changing λ changes the width of the zero-value distributions of the Fr-GLPs along the x-axis (Fig. 2(c)–(e)), thus affecting the image-sampling result.

3.2 Definition and calculation of QFr-GLMs

Pan et al. [22] proposed the generalized Laguerre moments (GLMs) for grayscale images in Cartesian coordinates. Recalling the introduction, the corresponding Fr-GLMs can be defined as:

$$FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)} = w \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f^{gray}(i, j) \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_i) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_j), \quad (24)$$

233 where $f^{gray}(i, j)$ represents a grayscale digital image. For convenience, we map the original two-
 234 dimensional digital-image matrix to a square area of $[0, L] \times [0, L]$. Here,

$$235 \quad L > 0, w = (L/N)^2, x_i = \frac{iL}{N}, y_j = \frac{jL}{N}, i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1.$$

236 Using Eq. (24) with the help of Eq. (14), the right-side QFr-GLMs of an original RGB color image in
 237 Cartesian coordinates are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 238 \quad QFrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)} &= w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) f^{rgb}(p, q) \mu \\
 239 \quad &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (if_r + jf_g + kf_b)(i + j + k) \\
 240 \quad &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (f_r + f_g + f_b)] \\
 241 \quad &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} k [w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (f_r - f_g)], \quad (25) \\
 242 \quad &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} j [w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (f_b - f_r)] \\
 243 \quad &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} i [w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (f_g - f_b)]
 \end{aligned}$$

244 where $\mu = (i + j + k) / \sqrt{3}$ is the unit pure imaginary quaternion. The QFr-GLMs expressed in
 245 quaternion and the Fr-GLMs of single channels in traditional RGB color images are related as follows:

$$246 \quad QFrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)} = A + iB + jC + kD, \quad (26)$$

$$247 \quad \text{where } A = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(f_r) + FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(f_g) + FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(f_b)],$$

$$248 \quad B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(f_g) - FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(f_b)], \quad C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(f_b) - FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(f_r)],$$

$$249 \quad D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(f_r) - FrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(f_g)].$$

250 Accordingly, an original color image $f^{rgb}(p, q)$ can be reconstructed by finite-order QFr-GLMs. The
 251 reconstructed image is represented as:

$$252 \quad \bar{f}^{rgb}(p, q) = w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} QFrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) \mu$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} (A + iB + jC + kD) \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (i + j + k) \\
&= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (B + C + D)] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} k [w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (A + B - C)], \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} j [w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (A - B + D)] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} i [w \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_p) \bar{L}_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_q) (A + C - D)]
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

3.3 Design of QFr-GLMIs

The authors of [31] proposed a geometric invariance analysis method based on Krawtchouk moments. We considered that the Krawtchouk moments can be calculated as a linear combination of their corresponding geometric moments. Therefore, the geometric-invariant transformations (rotation, scaling, and translation) of the Krawtchouk moments can also be expressed as the linear combination of their corresponding geometric-invariant moments. Inspired by the Krawtchouk moment invariants, this subsection proposes a new set of QFr-GLMIs. After analyzing the relationship between the quaternion fractional-order geometric moment invariants (QFr-GMIs) and the proposed QFr-GLMIs, we provide a realization scheme of the QFr-GLMIs; specifically, we construct the QFr-GLMIs as a linear combination of QFr-GLMs. Finally, we obtain the invariant transformations (rotation, scaling, and translation) of the proposed QFr-GLMIs.

3.3.1 Translation invariance of QFr-GMIs

Extending the traditional integer-order geometric moments to real-order (fractional-order) moments, the quaternion fractional-order geometric moments (QFr-GMs) of an $N \times N$ digital color image can be expressed as:

$$m_{pq}^{(rgb; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_i^{\lambda_1 p} y_j^{\lambda_2 q} f^{rgb}(x_i, y_j). \tag{28}$$

Similarly to the traditional centralized geometric moments of integer-order, the centralized moments of QFr-GMs, can be defined as:

$$u_{pq}^{(rgb;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (x_i - x_c)^{\lambda_1 p} (y_j - y_c)^{\lambda_2 q} f^{rgb}(x_i, y_j), \quad (29)$$

where the centroid of a digital color image (x_c, y_c) is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} x_c &= (m_{10}^{(r;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} + m_{10}^{(g;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} + m_{10}^{(b;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}) / m_{00}^{(rgb;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} \\ y_c &= (m_{01}^{(r;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} + m_{01}^{(g;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} + m_{01}^{(b;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}) / m_{00}^{(rgb;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}. \\ m_{00}^{(rgb;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} &= m_{00}^{(r;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} + m_{00}^{(g;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} + m_{00}^{(b;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Above, we mentioned that a quaternion color image can be expressed as a linear combination of the single channels of an original color image. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be 1, and let $m_{00}^{(r;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$ and $m_{01}^{(r;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$ (or $m_{10}^{(r;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$) represent the zeroth-order and first-order moments of the R component of the original color image, respectively. Similarly, let $m_{00}^{(g;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$ and $m_{01}^{(g;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$ (or $m_{10}^{(g;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$) represent the zeroth-order and first-order moments of the G component of the image, respectively, and let $m_{00}^{(b;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$ and $m_{01}^{(b;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$ (or $m_{10}^{(b;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$) represent the zeroth-order and first-order moment of the B component of the image, respectively. In this case, Eq. (29) satisfies the translation invariance of the original color image.

3.3.2 Rotation, scaling, and translation invariance of QFr-GMIs

Referring to Eq. (17) in [31], the rotation, scaling, and translation invariants of QFr-GMIs can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{pq}^{(rgb;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} &= \tau^{-\gamma} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} [(x_i - x_c) \cos \theta + (y_j - y_c) \sin \theta]^{\lambda_1 p} \\ &\quad \times [(y_j - y_c) \cos \theta - (x_i - x_c) \sin \theta]^{\lambda_2 q} f^{rgb}(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\text{where } \tau = m_{00}^{(rgb;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\lambda_1 p + \lambda_2 q + 2}{2}, \quad \theta = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{2u_{11}^{(rgb;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}}{u_{20}^{(rgb;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} - u_{02}^{(rgb;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}}\right), \quad -45^\circ \leq \theta \leq 45^\circ.$$

The calculation steps of the rotational, scaling, and translation invariants of QFr-GMIs are detailed in [31].

3.3.3 Rotation, scaling, and translation invariance of the proposed QFr-GLMIs

Substituting Eq. (19) into Eq. (25), we first obtain the following result:

$$QFrS_{nm}^{(\alpha,\lambda)} = w \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} L_n^{(\alpha_x,\lambda_x)}(x_i) L_m^{(\alpha_y,\lambda_y)}(y_j) \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha_x,\lambda_x)}(x_i)}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha_x,\lambda_x)}}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha_y,\lambda_y)}(y_j)}{\gamma_m^{(\alpha_y,\lambda_y)}}} f^{rgb}(i, j) u. \quad (32)$$

Let $\bar{f}^{rgb}(i, j)$ be the following weighted color-image representation:

$$\bar{f}^{rgb}(i, j) = w \sqrt{\omega^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_i)} \sqrt{\omega^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_j)} \bar{f}^{rgb}(i, j) u. \quad (33)$$

Eq. (32) can then be rewritten as follows:

$$QFrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)} = \sigma_n \sigma_m \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} L_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}(x_i) L_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}(y_j) \bar{f}^{rgb}(i, j), \quad (34)$$

where $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_n^{(\alpha_x, \lambda_x)}}}$ and $\sigma_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_m^{(\alpha_y, \lambda_y)}}}$. Given $L_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \psi_{ni} x^{\lambda_i}$ (see Eq. (17)) and using

Eq. (28), the above formula becomes

$$QFrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)} = \sigma_n \sigma_m \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \psi_{np} \psi_{mq} m_{pq}^{(rgb; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)}. \quad (35)$$

Eq. (35) is derived in Appendix B.

The invariant transformations (rotation, scaling, and translation) of the QFr-GLMIs are obtained by

substituting $m_{pq}^{(rgb; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$ in Eq. (35) with $v_{pq}^{(rgb; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$ in Eq. (31):

$$QFrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)} = \sigma_n \sigma_m \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \psi_{np} \psi_{mq} v_{pq}^{(rgb; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)}. \quad (36)$$

4. Results and Discussion

In this section, the experimental results and analysis are used to validate the theoretical framework developed in the previous sections. The performances of the proposed QFr-GLMs and QFr-GLMIs in image processing were evaluated in five sets of typical experiments. In the first group of experiments, the global reconstruction performance of the color images was evaluated under noise-free, noisy, and smoothing-filter conditions. The second group of experiments evaluated the proposed QFr-GLMs on local-image reconstruction, ROI-feature extraction, and the influence of different parameter conditions on image reconstruction. To improve the reconstruction and classification performance of the proposed QFr-GLMs on color images, the parameters were optimized through image reconstruction in the third group of experiments. The fourth group of experiments tested the image classification of the proposed QFr-GLMIs under geometric transformation, noisy, and smoothing-filter conditions. These experiments were mainly performed on different color-image datasets that are openly accessible on the Internet. In the last group, the computational time consumption of the proposed QFr-GLMs was compared with those of the latest QFr-ZMs and other orthogonal moments. All experimental simulations were

completed on a PC terminal with the following hardware configuration: Intel (R) core (IM) i5, 2.5 GHz CPU, 8 GB memory, Windows 7 operating system. The simulation software was MATLAB 2013a.

4.1 Experiments on global reconstruction of color images

This subsection evaluates the global feature-extraction performance of the proposed QFr-GLMs on color images. The evaluation was divided into two steps: image-reconstruction evaluation of the QFr-GLMs and other approaches on original color images (i.e., noise-free and unfiltered images), and image-reconstruction evaluation of color images superposed with salt and pepper noise or pre-processed by a conventional smoothing filter. The QFr-GLMs and other image moments are then applied to image feature extraction, and are finally subjected to color-image reconstruction experiments. The test image in this experiment was the colored ‘cat’ image selected from the well-known Columbia Object Image Library (COIL-100). The test image was sized 128×128 . The color-image reconstruction performance was evaluated by the mean square error (MSE) and peak signal-to-noise ratio ($PSNR$), which are respectively calculated as follows:

$$MSE^{rgb} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{x,y} (MSE^{(r)} + MSE^{(g)} + MSE^{(b)}), \quad (37)$$

$$PSNR^{(rgb)} = 10 \lg(255^2 / MSE^{(rgb)}). \quad (38)$$

Here, $MSE^{(r)}$, $MSE^{(g)}$ and $MSE^{(b)}$ denote the MSE values of the grayscale image corresponding to the independent red, green, and blue components of the color image, respectively, which are defined as

$$MSE^{(gray)} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} (f(x, y) - \bar{f}(x, y))^2. \quad (39)$$

In Eq. (39), $f(x, y)$ and $\bar{f}(x, y)$ represent the original two-dimensional $N \times N$ grayscale image and its reconstructed image, respectively.

To assess the global reconstruction performance of the proposed QFr-GLMs, experiments were performed under three parameter settings: (I) $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = 1, \lambda_x = \lambda_y = 1.1$, (II)

$\alpha_x = \alpha_y = 1, \lambda_x = \lambda_y = 1.2$, and (III) $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = 1, \lambda_x = \lambda_y = 1.3$. The performances of the proposed

QFr-GLMs were compared with those of QFr-ZMs and other state-of-the-art color image moments. The comparative results are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. The reconstruction performance of the low-order QFr-

GLMs ($n, m < 12$) was poorer under parameter setting (III) than under parameters settings (I) and (II)

(Fig. 3). Under parameter setting (III), the low-order QFr-GLMs were also outperformed by other color

image moments (QGLMs, QFr-ZMs, and QZMs). Note that QGLMs are a special case of QFr-GLMs with $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = 1, \lambda_x = \lambda_y = 1$. However, when the order of each color-image moment was sufficiently high ($n, m > 20$), the QFr-GLMs achieved the best image-reconstruction performance under parameter setting (III). The image reconstruction results of the QFr-GLMs clearly differed between the low- and high-order moments. In the low-order moments, the zero-value distributions of the QFr-GLMs polynomials were concentrated at the image origin under the parameter settings $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = 1, \lambda_x = \lambda_y = 1.3$, so the sampling neglected the edges and details of the image. Conversely, in the high-order moments, the zero-value distributions of the polynomials approximated a uniform distribution, so the image reconstruction was optimal. To intuitively show the visual effect of image reconstruction, Table 1 presents the visualization results of the reconstruction experiments with different color-image moments. At higher orders, the proposed QFr-GLMs provided a better visual effect of the image reconstruction than the other color image moments.

To further verify the robustness of the proposed QFr-GLMs in noise resistance and non-conventional signal processing, the features of color images infected with salt and pepper noise or subjected to smooth filtering were extracted by the proposed QFr-GLMs and other color-image moments. New color images were reconstructed using the extracted features, and the performances of the image reconstructions were evaluated by the *PSNR*. Fig. 4 shows the color images subjected to salt and pepper noise (noise density = 2%) and smooth filtering (with a 5×5 filter window), and Fig. 5 compares the color images reconstructed from the different image moments. Regardless of the parameter settings, increasing the order of the image moment (especially the high-order moments) reduced the sensitivity of the proposed QFr-GLMs to salt and pepper noise and smoothing. Comparing the *PSNR* values of the different image moments, we find that the 28-order QFr-GLMs outperformed the QFr-ZMs by 8 dB. In summary, the proposed QFr-GLMs can properly describe color images under noise-free, noisy and smoothed conditions, and also exhibit high global feature extraction performance. Consequently, the proposed QFr-GLMs show promising applicability to color image analysis.

4.2 Experiments on local reconstruction of color images

In recent years, local-feature-extraction or ROI detection have presented new challenges for the existing orthogonal moments. The existing image moments, especially most of the orthogonal moments, extract only the global features, and cannot describe the local features. The detection of arbitrary ROIs in

images is especially challenging. Among the existing orthogonal moments, only a few discrete orthogonal moments based on Cartesian coordinate space, such as the Krawtchouk [32] and Hahn [33] moments, can perceive the local features in an image. Thus far, the application of such discrete orthogonal moments has been limited to local-feature detection in binary images. Xiao et al. [19] proposed fractional-order shifting Legendre orthogonal moments, which extract the local features of a grayscale image by changing the parameter values of the fractional order. However, the local image is not well reconstructed (see Fig. 5 in [19]); especially, the details of the ROI are insufficiently protected in the local-image reconstruction. In addition, local-feature-extraction from color images has been little reported in the literature on image moments. In this subsection, we meet the challenge of applying the proposed QFr-GLMs to local-feature extraction from color images. The test images were three typical “block” color images selected from the COIL-100 database. The local features in the color images at different positions of the three “block” color images were reconstructed using the features extracted by the QFr-GLMs with different parameters. The experimental results are summarized in Table 2. This table shows that under different parameter settings, the proposed QFr-GLMs provided good image reconstructions in different regions of the original color image (the target areas of ROI extraction from the original color images are enclosed in the red-edged boxes). Under the parameter setting $\alpha_x = 20, \alpha_y = 1, \lambda_x = 1.4, \lambda_y = 1.5$, the QFr-GLMs extracted the upper part of the original color image. Meanwhile, the QFr-GLMs with $\alpha_x = 1, \alpha_y = 100, \lambda_x = 1.28, \lambda_y = 1.38$ extracted the bottom part of the original color image, those with $\alpha_x = 25, \alpha_y = 1, \lambda_x = 1.7, \lambda_y = 0.8$ obtained the left part of the original color image, and those with $\alpha_x = 85, \alpha_y = 1, \lambda_x = 1.4, \lambda_y = 1.5$ extracted the right-upper part of the original color image. We conclude that the translation parameters of the proposed QFr-GLMs determine the position information of the local features in the original color images, whereas the fractional-order parameters mainly affect the quality of the local-image feature extraction and the details of the reconstructed color image. Specifically, the proposed QFr-GLMs with smaller and larger values of the translation parameter α along the x- and y-axes, respectively, mainly extracted the bottom part of the color image; conversely, the proposed QFr-GLMs with smaller and larger α values along the x- and y-axes, respectively, extracted the upper part of the color image. If the parameter values of different fractional orders along the x- and y-axes are combined, the QFr-GLMs obtain the local information at different positions in the original color image. As shown in

the local-image-reconstruction results (Table 2), the proposed QFr-GLMs well described the local features at different positions of the block color images, implying their effectiveness as a local-feature-extraction descriptor.

To further verify their local-feature extraction capability, the proposed QFr-GLMs were tested on a medical image (a computed tomography (CT) image of the human ankle, CT image seems to be a grayscale image, however, it is composed of R, G, and B three components, thus in this experiment, it is regarded as a color image). In this experiment, the QFr-GLMs were required to detect the ROI (the lesion area) in the human-ankle CT image. As shown in Fig. 6, the proposed QFr-GLMs properly detected the lesion in the CT image.

4.3 Optimal parameter selection

As presented in subsection 4.2, the proposed QFr-GLMs with determined translation parameters α requires the proper selection of the fractional-order parameter λ , because this parameter mainly affects the quality of the local-image feature extraction and the detailed descriptions of the reconstructed image. Therefore, optimizing the parameter λ is the key requirement of image reconstruction and classification by the proposed QFr-GLMs. The optimal λ will guarantee the quality of the image reconstruction and the accuracy of image classification.

To study the influence of the parameters λ_x and λ_y on the performance of the proposed QFr-GLMs, we selected four color images (“cat”, “piggybank”, “tomato”, and “block”) from the COIL-100 database. Referring to the different image reconstructions, an approach for selecting the parameter optimization method is proposed in this subsection. To elucidate how image size affects the parameters λ_x and λ_y , each of the selected images was scaled to different sizes: 256×256 , 128×128 , 64×64 , and 32×32 . The results are shown in Fig. 7.

To determine the optimal parameters λ_x and λ_y in combination, this subsection computes the performance of the proposed QFr-GLMs by the average statistical normalized image reconstruction error (*ASNIRE*), which is defined as follows:

$$ASNIRE(\lambda_x, \lambda_y) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=1}^L SNIRE(f_c, \bar{f}_c). \quad (40)$$

Here, the number of testing images L was 4, f_c is an original color image, and $\bar{f}_c$ is the reconstruction of that color image. The *SNIRE* is the statistical normalized image reconstruction error function proposed in [19], defined as

$$SNIRE(f_c, \bar{f}_c) = \frac{\sum_{x=1}^N \sum_{y=1}^N |f_c(x, y) - \bar{f}_c(x, y)|}{\sum_{x=1}^N \sum_{y=1}^N f_c^2(x, y)}. \quad (41)$$

In this experiment, the orders of the QFr-GLMs were set to $10 \leq n, m \leq 20$. Because $\lambda_x, \lambda_y \geq 0$, we limited their values to the interval $(0, 2]$, and calculated the combined results of their optimal values. Fig. 8 shows the reference selection range of the optimal parameter values λ_x and λ_y obtained by this method. The *ASNIRE* values of the four color images were minimized around $\lambda_x, \lambda_y = 1$ (the blue regions in Fig. 8). Therefore, when selecting the optimal parameter combination for the proposed QFr-GLMs, we suggest seeking within the range $[1.0, 1.5]$.

4.4 Geometric-invariant recognition in color images

This subsection tests and analyzes the recognition of geometric-invariant transformations (rotation, scaling, and translation) by the proposed QFr-GLMs, and their robustness to noise and smoothing filter operations. This experiment was performed on two sets of public color-image databases: (128×128) -sized color images selected from COIL-100 (Fig. 9), and (128×128) -sized butterfly color images selected from [5] (Fig. 10). To verify that the proposed QFr-GLMs recognize geometric invariants, the QFr-GLMs were employed with three parameter settings: (I) $\lambda_x = \lambda_y = 1.1$, (II) $\lambda_x = \lambda_y = 1.2$, and (III) $\lambda_x = \lambda_y = 1.3$. In all three cases, $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = 1$. The images sets were categorized by a KNN classifier. The amplitudes of the color-image moments were arranged into a feature vector for classification as follows:

$$V_{nm} = \{v_{00}, v_{01}, v_{02}, \dots\}, n + m \leq K, K \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (42)$$

The classification effects of the different image moments were determined by a measure called the correct classification percents (*CCPs*), expressed as

$$CCPs = \frac{N_c}{N_t} \times 100, \quad (43)$$

where N_c and N_t represent the number of correctly classified objects and the total number of all testing objects, respectively.

Experiment 1: The color image dataset for this experiment was extracted from COIL-100. First, 100 color images from the COIL-100 dataset were rotated by 0° and 180° , obtaining 200 images (100×2) as the training set. Each image in the training set was then translated by $(\Delta x, \Delta y) \in [-45, 45]$. The set of rotation vectors was defined as $\varphi_i = 5 * i$, where $i \in [0, 35]$ is an integer, and a scale factor α was defined for the scaling operation. Rotating 200 images by φ_i , and scaling by $\alpha = 0.5 + (2.5 * \varphi_i) / 360 \in [0.5, 3]$, we obtained 7200 (36×200) color images for testing. Finally, salt and pepper noise (with noise density ranging from 0 to 25% in 5% increments) was added to each image in the existing test set, forming a new noisy test set. In this experiment, the vectors V_{nm} of the different image moments were obtained at $k=12$ (low-order moment) and $k=28$ (high-order moment). Fig. 11 compares the correct classification rates (CCPs) of the proposed QFr-GLMs and other orthogonal moments (QZMs, QFr-ZMs, and QGLMs). As seen in the figure, the proposed QFr-GLMs outperformed the other moments in both cases ($k=12$ and $k=28$).

Experiment 2: The dataset for this experiment was extracted from the Butterfly color image database. As described in Experiment 1, the 20 color images in the extracted dataset were rotated by 0° , 90° and 270° , obtaining 200 images (20×3) as the training set. Next, following the steps described in experiment 1, we obtained 2160 (36×60) color images as the test set. Finally, each image in the test set was passed through a smoothing filter with different window sizes (3, 5, 7, and 9), obtaining 2160 new color images as the filtered test set. Again, the vectors V_{nm} of different image moments were obtained at $k=12$ (low-order moment) and $k=28$ (high-order moment). Fig. 12 shows the classification experiment results after smoothing. The proposed QFr-GLMs were strongly robust to rotation, scaling, and translation transformations, and achieved higher classification accuracies than the QZMs, QFr-ZMs, and QGLMs.

4.5 Computational times

This experiment determined the computational times of the proposed QFr-GLMs (for notational simplicity, we express the QFr-GLMs with the three groups of parameter settings as QFr-GLMs (I),

QFr-GLMs (II) and QFr-GLMs (III)). The results are compared with those of the latest QFr-ZMs and other quaternion orthogonal moments (such as QZMs). The simulations were conducted on a Microsoft Window 7 operating system with a 2.5-GHz Intel Core and 8 GB memory, and the program was encoded in Matlab2013a. The images were 25 color images of size 128×128 pixels, extracted from the Columbia University Image Library. Fig. 13 summarizes the average elapsed CPU times of the 25 color images as the $(n+m)$ th order of each image moment increased from 5 to 25 in 5-unit increments.

The computational time of all orthogonal moments increased with order. However, as the polynomial of the moment in our approach is calculated by a recursive algorithm, the proposed QFr-GLMs color image moments in all parameter settings were computed faster than the QZMs and QFr-ZMs, and the computational time approached that of QGLM. In addition, because the QZMs and QFr-ZMs are computed in polar coordinates, the color images must be converted from Cartesian coordinates to polar coordinates, whereas the proposed image moments are directly constructed in the Cartesian coordinate system, which further reduces the computational time.

5. Conclusions

This paper proposed a new set of quaternion fractional-order generalized Laguerre moments (QFr-GLMs) based on GLPs and quaternion algebra. As color-image feature descriptors, the proposed QFr-GLMs can be used for color-image reconstruction and feature extraction, and the image moments are available for global and local color image representations in the field of image analysis. More importantly, based on the local image representation characteristics of the proposed QFr-GLMs, the application of the proposed moments in the field of digital watermarking [34-36] can effectively solve the problem of resisting large-scale cropping and smearing attacks, which is also one of our future work directions. After establishing the relationship between QFr-GLMs and Fr-GLMs, it was found that QFr-GLMs can be represented as linear combinations of Fr-GLMs. We also presented a new set of rotation, scaling, and translation invariants for object recognition applications. In comparison experiments with other state-of-the-art moments, i.e., the performance tests included global and local-feature extraction from color images, and geometric-invariant classification of color images. The proposed QFr-GLMs demonstrated higher color-image reconstruction capability and invariant recognition accuracy under noise-free, noisy, and smooth filtering conditions. Thus, the proposed QFr-GLMs are potentially useful for color-image description and digital watermarking [37-40]. However,

the only deficiency is that the perfect geometric invariance [41,42] cannot be achieved directly for invariant image recognition since the derivation of these QFr-GLMs invariants are not based on generalized Laguerre polynomials themselves. In the future, another focus of our work is to construct a new set of generalized Laguerre moment invariants, namely, deriving an explicit generalized Laguerre moment invariants approach, which can be directly applied to the field of image recognition.

APPENDIX A

Proof of the recursive operation Eq. (23):

From Eq. (18), when $n \geq 2$, we have:

$$\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = \frac{(2n-1+\alpha-x^\lambda)}{n} \bar{L}_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) - \frac{(n-1+\alpha)}{n} \bar{L}_{n-2}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x).$$

Substituting Eq. (22) in Eq. (21), we obtain:

$$\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) = \frac{(2n-1+\alpha-x^\lambda)}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} L_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) - \frac{(n-1+\alpha)}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} L_{n-2}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x).$$

Substituting $\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!} = \frac{(n+\alpha)\Gamma(n+\alpha)}{n(n-1)!} = \frac{(n+\alpha)}{n} \gamma_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}$ into the above formula, we

have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) &= \frac{(2n-1+\alpha-x^\lambda)}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\frac{(n+\alpha)}{n} \gamma_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} L_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) - \frac{(n-1+\alpha)}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\frac{(n+\alpha)}{n} \frac{(n+\alpha-1)}{n-1} \gamma_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} L_{n-2}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \\ &= \frac{(2n-1+\alpha-x^\lambda)}{n} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+\alpha}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\gamma_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} L_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) - \frac{(n-1+\alpha)}{n} \sqrt{\frac{n(n-1)}{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha-1)}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x)}{\gamma_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}}} L_{n-2}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \\ &= \frac{(2n-1+\alpha-x^\lambda)}{\sqrt{n(n+\alpha)}} \bar{L}_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) - \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(n+\alpha-1)}{(n+\alpha)n}} \bar{L}_{n-2}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \\ &= \frac{2n-1+\alpha}{\sqrt{n(n+\alpha)}} \bar{L}_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) + \left(-\frac{x^\lambda}{\sqrt{n(n+\alpha)}}\right) \bar{L}_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) + \left(-\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(n+\alpha-1)}{n(n+\alpha)}}\right) \bar{L}_{n-2}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $A_0 = \frac{2n-1+\alpha}{\sqrt{n(n+\alpha)}}$, $A_1 = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{n(n+\alpha)}}$, $A_2 = -\sqrt{\frac{(n+\alpha-1)(n-1)}{n(n+\alpha)}}$, we complete the proof.

APPENDIX B

Derivation of Eq. (35)

Substituting Eqs. (17) and (28) into Eq. (34), we have:

$$QFrS_{nm}^{(\alpha, \lambda)} = \sigma_n \sigma_m \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{p=0}^n \psi_{np} x_i^{\lambda, p} \sum_{q=0}^m \psi_{mq} y_j^{\lambda, q} \right) \bar{f}^{rgb}(i, j)$$

536

$$= \sigma_n \sigma_m \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{q=0}^m \psi_{np} \psi_{mq} x_i^{\lambda_x p} y_j^{\lambda_y q} \bar{f}^{rgb}(i, j)$$

537

$$= \sigma_n \sigma_m \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{q=0}^m \psi_{np} \psi_{mq} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_i^{\lambda_x p} y_j^{\lambda_y q} \bar{f}^{rgb}(i, j) \right]$$

538

$$= \sigma_n \sigma_m \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{q=0}^m \psi_{np} \psi_{mq} m_{pq}^{(rgb; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \text{ which completes the derivation.}$$

539

List of abbreviations

540

QFr-GLMs : Quaternion fractional-order generalized Laguerre orthogonal moments

541

QPHFMs : Quaternion polar harmonic Fourier moments

542

QEFMs : Quaternion exponent Fourier moments

543

ROI : region of interest

544

QFr-ZMs : Quaternion fractional-order Zernike moments

545

QFr-GLMIs : Quaternion fractional-order generalized Laguerre moment invariants

546

Fr-IMs : Fractional-order image moments

547

GLPs : Generalized Laguerre polynomials

548

Fr-GLPs : Fractional-order GLPs

549

NFr-GLPs: Normalized Fr-GLPs

550

GLMs : Generalized Laguerre moments

551

Fr-GLMs : Fractional-order GLMs

552

QFr-GMs : Quaternion fractional-order geometric moments

553

QFr-GMIs : Quaternion fractional-order geometric moment invariants

554

SNIRE: Statistical-normalization image-reconstruction error

555

CCPs: Correct classification percentages

556

Declarations

557

• Availability of data and material

558

(1) The datasets generated in our experiments are available from coil-100 image database and

559

Butterfly images Database, URL link: <http://www.cs.columbia.edu/CAVE/databases/>.

560

<http://cs.cqupt.edu.cn/info/1078/4189.htm>.

561

(2) The datasets used or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding

562

author on reasonable request.

• **Competing interests**

The authors declare that we have no competing interests in the submission of this manuscript.

• **Funding**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61702403, 61976168, 61976031), Science Foundation of the Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Network Data Analysis and Intelligent Processing (Grant No. XUPT-KLND201901), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (JBF180301), the Project funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2018M633473), Shaanxi Province of Key R & D project (Grant No. 2020GY-051), and Shaanxi Provincial Department of Education project (Grant No. 20JS044).

• **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

• **Authors' contributions**

Jun Liu developed the idea for the study proposed moments and contributed the central idea in our manuscript, Bing He did the analyses for the properties of the proposed image moments and analysed most of the data, and wrote the initial draft of the paper, and the remaining authors contributed to refining the ideas, carrying out additional analyses and finalizing this paper. All authors were involved in writing the manuscript.

• **Authors' information**

BING HE was born in 1982. He received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in Communication Engineering and Electrical Engineering from Northwestern Polytechnical University(NPU)and Shaanxi Normal University(SNNU), Xi'an, China in 2006 and 2009 respectively. Now he is an associate professor in Weinan Normal University, and received his Ph.D. degree in computer science from Xidian University, Xi'An, China in 2020. His research interests include image processing, object recognition and digital watermarking.

JUN LIU was born in 1973. He received his M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Computer Science and Technology from Northwest University, Xi'an, China in 2009 and 2018, respectively. He is currently a professor at the School of Computer Science and Technology in Weinan Normal University, and also a member of China Computer Federation. His research interests include pattern recognition and machine learning.

TENG-FEI YANG was born in 1987. He received the M.S. degree with the School of Physics and Information Technology from Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, China, in 2016, received his Ph.D. degree in computer science from Xidian University, Xi'an, China. He is currently an associate professor with the School of Cyberspace Security, Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications. His research interests include image processing, pattern recognition, multimedia security and applied cryptography.

BIN XIAO was born in 1982. He received his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Electrical Engineering from Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, China, in 2004 and 2007, received his Ph.D. degree in computer science from Xidian University, Xi'An, China. He is now working as a professor at Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing, China. His research interests include image processing, pattern recognition and digital watermarking.

YAN-GUO PENG was born in 1986. He is currently a lecturer in Computer Architecture at Xidian University, Xi'an, China. He received his Ph. D. degree from Xidian University in 2016. His research interests include secure issues in data management, privacy protection, cloud security.

References

- [1] Hosny K, Darwish M. Invariant color images representation using accurate quaternion Legendre-Fourier moments[J]. Pattern Analysis and Applications, 2019, 22(3):1105-1122.
- [2] Liu Y, Zhang S, Li G, et al. Accurate quaternion radial harmonic Fourier moments for color image reconstruction and object recognition[J]. Pattern Analysis and Applications, 2020(7):1-17.
- [3] Hosny K, Darwish M, Eltoukhy M M. Novel Multi-channel Fractional-Order Radial Harmonic Fourier Moments for Color Image Analysis[J]. IEEE Access, 2020, PP(99).
- [4] Guo L Q, Zhu M. Quaternion Fourier-Mellin moments for color images[J]. Pattern Recognition, 2011, 44(2):187-195.
- [5] Yang T, Ma J, Miao Y, et al. Quaternion weighted spherical Bessel-Fourier moment and its invariant for color image reconstruction and object recognition[J]. Information Sciences, 2019, 505:388-405.
- [6] Chen B J, Shu H Z, Zhang H, et al. Quaternion Zernike moments and their invariants for color image analysis and object recognition[J]. Signal Processing, 2012, 92(2):308-318.
- [7] Shao Z, Shu H, Wu J, et al. Quaternion Bessel-Fourier moments and their invariant descriptors for object reconstruction and recognition[J]. Pattern Recognition, 2014, 47(2):603-611.

- [8] Yang H Y, Zhang Y, Wang P, et al. A geometric correction based robust color image watermarking scheme using quaternion Exponent moments[J]. Optik-International Journal for Light and Electron Optics, 2014, 125(16):4456-4469.
- [9] Chen B, Qi X, Sun X, et al. Quaternion pseudo-Zernike moments combining both of RGB information and depth information for color image splicing detection[J]. Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation, 2017, 49:283-290.
- [10] Singh C, Singh J. Multi-channel versus quaternion orthogonal rotation invariant moments for color image representation[J]. Digital Signal Processing, 2018, 78: 376-392.
- [11] Wang C, Wang X, Li Y, et al. Quaternion polar harmonic Fourier moments for color images[J]. Information Sciences, 2018, 450:141-156.
- [12] Wang C P, Wang X Y, Xia Z Q, et al. Geometrically resilient color image zero-watermarking algorithm based on quaternion Exponent moments[J]. Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation, 2016, 41:247-259.
- [13] Xia Z Q, Wang X Y, Zhou W J, et al. Color medical image lossless watermarking using chaotic system and accurate quaternion polar harmonic transforms[J]. Signal Processing, 2019, 157:108-118.
- [14] Hosny K M, Darwish M M. New set of multi-channel orthogonal moments for color image representation and recognition, Pattern Recognition, 2019, 88:153-173.
- [15] Zhang H, Li Z, Liu Y. Fractional Orthogonal Fourier-Mellin Moments for Pattern Recognition[C]// Chinese Conference on Pattern Recognition. Springer Singapore, 2016.
- [16] Hosny K M, Darwish M M, Aboelenen T. Novel fractional-order generic Jacobi-Fourier moments for image analysis[J]. Signal processing, 2020, 172(6):107545.1-107545.17.
- [17] Sabermahani S, Ordokhani Y, Yousefi S A. Fractional-order general Lagrange scaling functions and their applications[J]. BIT, 2019(1):1-28.
- [18] Yang J W, Jin D J, Lu Z D. Fractional order Zernike moments[J]. Journal of Computer-Aided Design & Computer Graphics, 2017, 29(3):479-484.
- [19] Xiao B, Li L, Li Y, et al. Image analysis by fractional-order orthogonal moments [J]. Information Sciences, 2017, s 382-383:135-149.

- 651 [20] Benouini R, Batioua I, Zenkour K, et al. Fractional-order Orthogonal Chebyshev Moments and
 652 Moment Invariants for image representation and pattern recognition[J]. Pattern Recognition,
 653 2019, 86:332-343.
- 654 [21] Chen B, Yu M, Su Q, et al. Fractional Quaternion Zernike Moments for Robust Color Image
 655 Copy-Move Forgery Detection[J]. IEEE Access, 2018, 6:56637-56646.
- 656 [22] Pan B, Li Y, Zhu H. Image Description using Radial Associated Laguerre Moments[J]. Journal of
 657 ICT Research and Applications, 2015, 9(1):1-19.
- 658 [23] Hu M. Visual pattern recognition by moment invariants[J]. IEEE Transactions on Information
 659 Theory, 1962, 8(2):179-187.
- 660 [24] Flusser J. Pattern recognition by affine moment invariants[J]. Pattern Recognition, 1993,
 661 26(1):167-174.
- 662 [25] Honarvar B, Paramesran R, Lim C L. Image reconstruction from a complete set of geometric and
 663 complex moments. North-Holland: Elsevier Inc, 2014.
- 664 [26] Tech C H, Chin R T. On image analysis by the methods of moments[J]. IEEE Transactions on
 665 Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 1988, 10:496-513.
- 666 [27] Ping Z, Ren H, Zou J, et al. Generic orthogonal moments: Jacobi-Fourier moments for invariant
 667 image description[J]. Journal of Optoelectronics Laser, 2007, 40(4):1245-1254 .
- 668 [28] Hu H T, Zhang Y D, Shao C, et al. Orthogonal moments based on exponent functions: Exponent-
 669 Fourier moments[J]. Pattern Recognition, 2014, 47(8):2596-2606.
- 670 [29] Sabermahani S, Ordokhani Y, Yousefi S A. Fractional-order general Laguerre scaling functions
 671 and their applications[J]. BIT, 2019(1):1-28.
- 672 [30] Bhrawy A H, Alhamed Y A, Baleanu D, et al. New spectral techniques for systems of fractional
 673 differential equations using fractional-order generalized Laguerre orthogonal functions[J].
 674 Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, 2014, 17(4):1137-1157.
- 675 [31] Yap P T, Paramesran R, Ong S H. Image analysis by Krawtchouk moments[J]. IEEE Transactions
 676 on Image Processing, 2003, 12(11):1367-1377.
- 677 [32] Aneta B, Klesk P, Sychel D, et al. Constant-time Calculation of Zernike Moments for Detection
 678 with Rotational Invariance[J]. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence,
 679 2018, 1-14.

- [33] Yap P T, Paramesran R, Ong S H. Image analysis using Hahn moments[J]. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 2007, 29(11):2057-2062.
- [34] Jin N R, Lv X Q, Gu Y, et al. Blind watermarking algorithm for color image in Contourlet domain based on QR code and chaotic encryption[J]. Packaging engineering, 2017, 38(15): 173-178
- [35] Wang X H, Wei D H, Liu X X, et al. Digital Watermarking Technique of Color Image Based on Color QR Code[J]. Journal of Optoelectronics Laser, 2016(10): 1094-1100.
- [36] Hong Y L, Qie G L, Yu H W, et al. A contrast preserving color image graying algorithm based on two-step parametric subspace model[J]. Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering, 2017 (11): 102-116.
- [37] Wang, Guo-Yin, Wei-Sheng, et al. Moments and moment invariants in the Radon space[J]. Pattern Recognition: The Journal of the Pattern Recognition Society, 2015.
- [38] Xia Z, Wang X, Li X, et al. Efficient copyright protection for three CT images based on quaternion polar harmonic Fourier moments[J]. Signal processing, 2019, 164(11):368-379.
- [39] Munib S, Khan A. Robust image watermarking technique using triangular regions and Zernike moments for quantization based embedding[M]. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2017.
- [40] Zhang H, Li X Q. Geometrically Invariant Image Blind Watermarking Based on Speeded-Up Robust Features and DCT Transform[C]// International Workshop on Digital Watermarking. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [41] He B, Cui J, Xiao B, et al. Image analysis using modified exponent-Fourier moments[J]. EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing, 2019, 2019(1).
- [42] He B, Cui J, Xiao B, et al. Image analysis by two types of Franklin-Fourier moments[J]. IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica, 2019, 6(4):1036-1051.

List of figure Legend

- Figure 1 title: Block diagram of QFr-GLM and single-channel Fr-GLM calculations
- Figure 2 title: Distribution curves of the NFr-GLPs under different parameter settings
- Figure 3 title: *PSNR* versus moment-order curves of different color-image moments
- Figure 4 title: The original and processed color images: (a) the original color image, (b) the image infected with 2% salt and pepper noise, and (c) the color image after smoothing through a filter with a 5×5 window
- Figure 5 title: *PSNR* versus moment-order curves of different color-image moments after various types

710 of signal processing: (a) salt and pepper noise, and (b) smoothing filter

711 Figure 6 title: CT image of ankle: (a) original image, (b) lesion area (enclosed in the red-edged
712 rectangle), and (c) local extraction image

713 Figure 7 title: Four color images (cat, piggybank, tomato, and block) selected from the COIL-100
714 database and scaled to different sizes (left to right: 256×256 , 128×128 , 64×64 , and 32×32)

715 Figure 8 title: Search values of different parameter combinations of λ_x and λ_y within a limited region:
716 (a) 256×256 , (b) 128×128 , (c) 64×64 , and (d) 32×32

717 Figure 9 title: Some typical color images in the Coil-100 dataset of Columbia University

718 Figure 10 title: Some sample images in the Butterfly color image database

719 Figure 11 title: Classification results of the COIL-100 color image dataset: (a) low-order moment ($k =$
720 12), and (b) high-order moment ($k = 28$)

721 Figure 12 title: Classification results of the Buttery color image dataset: (a) low-order moment ($k = 12$),
722 and (b) high-order moment ($k = 28$)

723 Figure 13 title: Average elapsed CPU times of four kinds of orthogonal image moments

Figures

Figure 1

Block diagram of QFr-GLM and single-channel Fr-GLM calculations

Figure 2

Distribution curves of the NFr-GLPs under different parameter settings

Figure 3

PSNR versus moment-order curves of different color-image moments

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 4

The original and processed color images: (a) the original color image, (b) the image infected with 2% salt and pepper noise, and (c) the color image after smoothing through a filter with a window

Figure 5

PSNR versus moment-order curves of different color-image moments after various types of signal processing: (a) salt and pepper noise, and (b) smoothing filter

Figure 6

CT image of ankle: (a) original image, (b) lesion area (enclosed in the red-edged rectangle), and (c) local extraction image

Figure 7

Four color images (cat, piggybank, tomato, and block) selected from the COIL-100 database and scaled to different sizes (left to right: 256×256 , 128×128 , 64×64 , and 32×32)

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

Figure 8

Search values of different parameter combinations of Y_x and Y_y within a limited region: (a) 256×256 ,
(b) 128×128 , (c) 64×64 , and (d) 32×32

Figure 9

Some typical color images in the Coil-100 dataset of Columbia University

Figure 10

Some sample images in the Butterfly color image database

Figure 11

Classification results of the COIL-100 color image dataset: (a) low-order moment ($k = 12$), and (b) high-order moment ($k = 28$)

Figure 12

Classification results of the Buttery color image dataset: (a) low-order moment ($k = 12$), and (b) high-order moment ($k = 28$)

Figure 13

Average elapsed CPU times of four kinds of orthogonal image moments

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Table1.doc](#)
- [Table2.doc](#)